Gray, Laura J. and Sprigg, Nikola and Rashid, Parveen and Willmot, Mark and Bath, Philip M.W. (2006) Effect of nitric oxide donors on blood pressure and pulse pressure in acute and subacute stroke. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Disease, 15 (6). pp. 245-249.

TITLE PAGE

Full title:

Effect of nitric oxide donors on blood pressure and pulse pressure in acute and subacute stroke

Short title:

NO donors lower blood pressure in stroke

Laura J Gray[1], Nikola Sprigg[1], Parveen A Rashid[2], Mark R Willmot[1], Philip MW Bath[1]

[1]Institute of Neuroscience, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK;

[2]Department of Geratology, Raddcliffe Infirmary, Oxford, UK.

Corresponding author:

Professor Philip Bath

Division of Stroke Medicine

University of Nottingham

D Floor, South Block

Queen's Medical Centre

Nottingham NG7 2UH UK

Tel: 0115 823 1023

Fax: 0115 823 1022

Email: philip.bath@nottingham.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Introduction: High blood pressure (BP), pulse pressure (PP), and rate pressure product (RPP) are each associated independently with a poor outcome in acute ischaemic stroke. While nitric oxide (NO) donors such as glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) lower blood pressure in acute ischaemic stroke, their effect on other haemodynamic measures is not known.

Methods: We performed a systematic review of the effects of NO donors on systemic haemodynamic measures in patients with acute/subacute stroke. Randomised controlled trials were identified from searches of the Cochrane Library, Pubmed and Embase. Information on haemodynamic measures were assessed, including systolic and diastolic BP and heart rate; haemodynamic derivatives of these were calculated: pulse pressure (PP=SBP-DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP=DBP+PP/3), mid blood pressure (MBP=(SBP+DBP)/2), pulse pressure index (PPI=PP/MAP), and rate pressure product (RPP=SBPxHR). The effect of treatment on haemodynamic measures was calculated as the weighted mean difference (WMD) between treated and control groups with adjustment for baseline.

Results: Three trials involving 145 patients were identified; 93 patients received the NO donor, GTN, and 52 control. As compared with placebo, GTN significantly reduced SBP (WMD -9.80 mmHg, $p< 0.001$), DBP (WMD –4.43 mmHg, $p< 0.001$), MAP (WMD –6.41 mmHg, $p< 0.001$), MBP (WMD –7.33 mmHg, $p<0.001$), PP (WMD –6.11 mmHg, $p<0.001$) and PPI (WMD –0.03, $p=0.04$).

GTN increased HR (WMD +3.87 bpm, $p<0.001$) and non-significantly lowered RPP (WMD –323 mmHg.bpm, $p=0.14$).

Conclusion: The NO donor GTN reduces BP, PP and other derivatives in acute and subacute stroke whilst increasing heart rate.

INTRODUCTION

High blood pressure (BP), a key risk factor for the development of cerebrovascular disease, is common in acute ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke, and is associated independently with increased death or dependency.[1] A number of haemodynamic measures can be derived directly from blood pressure and heart rate, and may provide additional prognostic information in stroke. These include pulse pressure (PP), the difference between systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP); mean arterial pressure (MAP); mid blood pressure (MBP), which may be a better predictor of cardiovascular events than either SBP or DBP alone;[2] and rate pressure product (RPP), an index of myocardial work load. Each of these measures is associated independently with a poor outcome (as measured by death or dependency) in ischaemic stroke.[3] An increased heart rate (HR) may also be associated with a poor outcome.[4, 5]

Since high BP is associated with a poor functional outcome, several large randomised controlled trials [6-8] are now studying whether lowering BP might improve functional outcome. However, the effect of the various antihypertensive agents on other haemodynamic measures has not been reported.

Nitric oxide (NO), a neurotransmitter with vasoactive properties, is a regulator of blood pressure, cerebral blood flow (CBF) and tissue perfusion.[9] In experimental stroke, nitric oxide donors are neuroprotective, reduce infarct volume and modulate CBF;[10] as such NO donors are a candidate treatment for acute stroke. Several small trials of NO donors in patients with recent stroke have been published and found that NO, given as GTN, lowered systolic BP. [11-

14] However, the effect of NO donors on these derived haemodynamic measures has not been reported. We performed a systematic review of the effect of NO donors on systemic haemodynamic measures in patients with acute/subacute stroke.

METHODS

Trials and data

Completed non-confounded randomised controlled trials of NO donors in acute/sub acute stroke (randomisation within one week of stroke) were identified from searches of The Cochrane Library, PubMed and Embase. The search included articles up to May 2006 and employed three primary search terms (glyceryl trinitrate, stroke and trial). Additional trials were identified through searches of non-systematic reviews and reference lists. The searches were limited to human studies reported in English. Individual patient data were sought for each included trial. Study quality was assessed across five domains: method of randomisation, blinding to treatment, reporting of withdrawals, generation of random numbers and allocation concealment. Trials scored one point for each area addressed, therefore receiving a score between 0-5, with 5 reflecting the highest level of quality.[15]

Haemodynamic measures

Data on the method of BP measurement, systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) BP, and heart rate (HR) were identified for measurements made at baseline and following treatment with the NO donor or control therapy. Derivative haemodynamic measures were calculated as follows: pulse pressure (PP=SBP-DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP=DBP+PP/3), mid blood pressure (MBP=(SBP+DBP)/2), pulse pressure index (PPI=PP/MAP), and rate pressure product (RPP=SBP*HR).

Statistical methods

Data were analysed using the Cochrane RevMan software [16] and Stata (version

7).[17] Individual patient data were analysed on treatment with adjustment for baseline measures by analysis of covariance. The difference in measurements between patients randomised to NO donor and control is expressed as the weighted mean difference (WMD, with 95% confidence intervals), calculated using a random effects model. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using a χ^2 test.

RESULTS

Trial characteristics

Three completed randomised controlled trials of NO donors in acute/subacute stroke were identified.[11-13] A non randomised comparison of intravenous sodium nitroprusside in patients with acute ischaemic stroke and normal older volunteers was excluded.[18] The trials included a total of 145 patients (NO donor 93, control 52) and each assessed transdermal glyceryl trinitrate (GTN), an organic nitrate. Each trial was randomised and treatment allocation was concealed; one trial was double-blind placebo controlled [11] and the other two single-blind (table 1).[12, 13] All three trials included patients with either ischaemic stroke or primary intracerebral haemorrhage. Patients were enrolled within 75 hours of stroke onset (table 1).

Two trials studied GTN given at a dose of 5 mg,[11, 13] the other had three active arms with GTN used at (i) 5mg for 10 days; (ii) 5mg for 4 days followed by 10mg for 6 days; and (iii) 10mg for 10 days (table 1). To allow for this difference in doses, the data from this trial were separated into two, patients receiving 5mg or 5mg followed by 10mg (the increased dose was started at day 4 and therefore will not have affected BP measurements at baseline or after first dosing) and those receiving 10mg for the duration of the trial. Two trials used 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring,[11, 12] whereas one reported BP using a validated digital readout oscillometric device (Omron 705CP) at 1-2 hours post treatment.[13] Use of automated BP monitors means readings were effectively made blinded to treatment allocation.

Patient characteristics

Patients across the three trials have similar ages and types of presenting stroke. The trial of Willmot et al had a lower proportion of males and patients with cortical stroke, and the trial of Bath et al had a higher proportion of patients with a history of hypertension (table 2).

NO donors on haemodynamic measures

GTN significantly reduced SBP (WMD -9.8 mmHg), DBP (WMD –4.4 mmHg), MAP (-6.4 mmHg), MBP (-7.3 mmHg), PP (-6.1) and PPI (-0.03) (table 3, figures 1A-C); additionally, GTN non-significantly reduced RPP (table 3). In contrast, GTN increased HR (WMD +3.9 bpm) (table 3). No heterogeneity in measures was observed between trials.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review has found that the NO donor, GTN, reduces a variety of systemic haemodynamic measures including systolic BP, diastolic BP, mean arterial BP, mid blood pressure, pulse pressure and pulse pressure index in patients with acute/subacute stroke. GTN increased heart rate but tended to reduce rate pressure product, presumably representing a balance between decreasing BP, and modestly increasing heart rate. The non significant reduction in RPP suggests that GTN may tend to reduce myocardial work.

As these haemodynamic measures are associated independently with a poor outcome, [1, 3] so that there reduction (apart from HR) might improve functional outcome after stroke. Several trials are assessing lowering BP in patients with acute stroke with a variety of drugs including candesartan (angiotensin receptor antagonist, SCAST [6]), GTN (ENOS [7]), labetalol (ß-receptor antagonist, CHHIPS [8]), and lisinopril (angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, CHHIPS [8]); another study is using a variety of agents as chosen by the local investigator (INTERACT[19]). However, only one small trial has reported the effect of any of these antihypertensive agents on BP derived haemodynamic measures.[12] Previous studies have suggested that some BP lowering approaches may be detrimental such as atenolol/propranolol (ß-receptor antagonists) and nimodipine (dihydropyridine calcium antagonist).[20-22] Hence, the class of antihypertensive agent may be important when considering how to lower BP in acute stroke.[23]

BP measurements were automated in the three studies and therefore were effectively made blinded to treatment assignment. However, they were not standardised [24] in respect of the measurement technique; one trial used office measurements made 1-2 hours post first treatment therefore reflecting peak BP lowering effects;[13] in contrast, the other two studies relied on 24 hour ambulatory BP monitoring.[11, 12] Although ambulatory BP monitoring is a good predictor of outcome in acute stroke,[25] it measures average rather than peak haemodynamic effects; as such the upper limit of 'normal' for each vary (office ≤ 135/85, ABPM ≤ 130/80).[26] Hence, the weighted mean difference in haemodynamic measures reported here are a mix of peak and average effects, so the findings are robust to measurement variations and the effects of GTN may be underestimated.

The identified trials of GTN were all small (18-90 subjects) and could not assess the effect of GTN on functional outcome; this is being investigated in the ongoing 'Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke' (ENOS) trial.[7]

CONCLUSION

In summary, GTN reduces haemodynamic measures known to be related to poor prognosis in patients with acute/subacute stroke and as such is a suitable candidate agent for testing whether BP should be lowered in patients with acute stroke.

REFERENCES

1. Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PMW, Phillips SJ, Sandercock PAG, and for the IST Collaborative Group. Blood pressure and clinical outcomes in the International Stroke Trial. Stroke 2002; 33: 1315-1320.
2. Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet 2002; 360: 1903-1913.
3. Gray LJ, Sprigg N, and Bath PMW. Relationship between functional outcome and baseline blood pressure, pulse pressure and heart rate in acute ischaemic stroke: data from the TAIST trial. Cerebrovasc.Dis. 2005; 19(suppl 2): 36 (abstract).
4. Robinson TG, Dawson SL, Ahmed U, et al. Twenty-four hour systolic blood pressure predicts long-term mortality following acute stroke. J Hypertens 2001; 19(12): 2127-2134.
5. Steger C, Pratter A, Martinek-Bregel M, et al. Stroke patients with atrial fibrillation have a worse prognosis than patients without: data from the Austrian Stroke registry. European Heart Journal 2004; 25(19): 1734-40.
6. *Scandinavian Candesartan Acute Stroke Trial (SCAST)*, http://www.scast.no/resource.asp.
7. Bath PM. Major ongoing stroke trials. Efficacy of Nitric Oxide in Stroke (ENOS) trial. Stroke 2001; 32: 2450-2451 (abstract).
8. *The CHHIPS Trial Group*. CHHIPS (Controlling Hypertension and Hypotension Immediately Post-Stroke) Pilot Trial: rationale and design. J Hypertens 2005; 23(3): 649-655.
9. Willmot MR and Bath PMW. The potential of nitric oxide therapeutics in stroke. Expert Opinion Investigational Drugs 2003; 12(3): 455-470.

10. Willmot M, Gray L, Gibson C, Murphy S, and Bath PMW. A systematic review of nitric oxide donors and L-arginine in experimental stroke; effects on infarct size and cerebral blood flow. Nitric Oxide 2005; 12: 141-9.
11. Bath PMW, Pathansali R, Iddenden R, and Bath FJ. The effect of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor, on blood pressure and platelet function in acute stroke. Cerebrovasc Dis 2001; 11: 265-272.
12. Rashid P, Weaver C, Leonardi-Bee JA, et al. The effects of transdermal glyceryl trinitrate, a nitric oxide donor on blood pressure, cerebral and cardiac haemodynamics and plasma nitric oxide levels in acute stroke. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2003; 13: 143-151.
13. Willmot M, Ghadami A, Whysall B, et al. Transdermal Glyceryl Trinitrate lowers blood pressure and maintains cerebral blood flow in recent stroke. Hypertension 2006; 47: 1209-1215.
14. Bath PMW, Willmot M, and Bath FJ, *Nitric oxide donors (nitrates), L-arginine, or nitric oxide synthase inhibitors in acute ischaemic stroke (Cochrane Review)*, in *The Cochrane Library*. 2002, Update Software: Oxford.
15. Moher D, Jadad AR, Nichol G, et al. Assessing the quality of randomized controlled trials: an annotated bibliography of scales and checklists. Controlled Clinical Trials 1995; 16: 62-73.
16. RevMan, *Review Manager (RevMan) version 4.2, The Cochrane Collaboration*. 2002: Oxford, England.
17. Stata, *StataCorp. Statistical Software: Release 7.0*. 2001: College Station, TX: Stata Corporation.
18. Butterworth RJ, Cluckie A, Jackson SHD, Buxton-Thomas M, and Bath PMW. Pathophysiological assessment of nitric oxide (given as sodium

nitroprusside) in acute ischaemic stroke. Cerebrovasc Dis 1998; 8: 158-165.

19. Intensive Blood Pressure Reduction in Acute Cerebral Haemorrhage Trial - INTERACT, *http://www.thegeorgeinstitute.org/iih/index.cfm?F7EA9EB3-D7A8-D98F-DF82-743045749620*.

20. Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC). Interventions for deliberately altering blood pressure in acute stroke (Cochrane Review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2001; 4.

21. Barer DH, Cruickshank JM, Ebrahim SB, and Mitchell JR. Low dose beta blockade in acute stroke ("BEST" trial): an evaluation. BMJ 1988; 296: 737-741.

22. Ahmed N, Nasman P, and Wahlgren NG. Effect of intravenous nimodipine on blood pressure and outcome after acute stroke. Stroke 2000; 31: 1250-1255.

23. International Society of Hypertension Writing Group. International Society of Hypertension (ISH): statement on the management of blood pressure in acute stroke. J Hypertens 2003; 21: 665-672.

24. Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: Part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the AHA Council on HBP. Circulation 2005; 111(5): 697-716.

25. Robinson T, Waddington A, Ward-Close S, Taub N, and Potter J. The predictive role of 24-hour compared to casual blood pressure levels on outcome following acute stroke. Cerebrovascular Diseases 1997; 7: 264-272.

26. O'Brien E, Beevers G, and Lip GY. ABC of hypertension. Blood pressure measurement. Part III-automated sphygmomanometry: ambulatory blood pressure measurement. Bmj 2001; 322(7294): 1110-4.

TABLE 1

Characteristics of included trials

Trial	Dose	Active n	Control n	Mean time, stroke-enrolment (hours)	BP Timing	BP Method	Primary outcome	Quality score (/5)
Bath [11]	GTN 5mg	16	21	99.6	Baseline Day 1	ABPM Spacelabs	Blood pressure	5
Rashid [12]	GTN 5mg/ GTN 5/10mg/GTN 10mg	20/20/20	30	54.4	Baseline Day 1	ABPM Spacelabs	24 hour MAP	4
Willmot [13]	GTN 5mg	12	6	72.2	Baseline 1 hour	Omron 705CP	Cerebral blood flow	4

TABLE 2

Characteristics of included patients in each trial. Mean (standard deviation) or %

Characteristic	Bath [11]	Rashid [12]	Willmot [13]
Number of patients	37	90	18
Age (years)	73.7 (9.1)	71.8 (11.8)	69.4 (7.4)
Gender, male (%)	48.6	45.6	27.8
History of hypertension (%)	62.2	40.0	38.9
Ischeamic stroke (%)	89.2	93.3	88.9
Cortical syndrome (%)	54.1	53.3	33.3
Scandinavian stroke scale (/58)	-	32.6 (11.7)	42.1 (10.5)

TABLE 3

Effect of first dose of NO donor on haemodynamic measures in 145 patients (All measures adjusted for baseline value)

Outcome	Comparisons	Control group mean	WMD	95% CI	p value	Heterogeneity p value
Systolic blood pressure	4	161.1	-9.8	-12.9, -6.7	<0.0001	0.50
Diastolic blood pressure	4	88.4	-4.4	-6.4, -2.5	<0.0001	1.00
Heart rate	4	72.9	3.9	1.7, 6.1	0.001	0.91
Mean arterial pressure	4	112.8	-6.4	-8.5, -4.3	<0.0001	0.86
Mid blood pressure	4	124.9	-7.3	-9.6, -5.0	<0.0001	0.69
Pulse pressure	4	73.7	-6.1	-9.4, -2.8	0.0003	0.11
Pulse pressure index	4	0.66	-0.03	-0.06, 0.00	0.04	0.07
Rate pressure product	4	11768	-323	-746, 101	0.14	0.87

TITLES AND LEGENDS TO FIGURES

FIGURE 1

A) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on systolic blood pressure; weighted mean difference

FIGURE 1

B) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on diastolic blood pressure; weighted mean difference

FIGURE 1

C) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on pulse pressure; weighted mean difference

FIGURE 1

A) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on systolic blood pressure; weighted mean difference



FIGURE 1

B) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on diastolic blood pressure; weighted mean difference



FIGURE 1

C) Forest plot of effect of NO donors on pulse pressure; weighted mean difference