Opt Quant Electron (2016) 48:263 DOI 10.1007/s11082-016-0542-x





# Electro-thermal modelling for plasmonic structures in the TLM method

Ahmed Elkalsh<sup>1</sup> · Ana Vukovic<sup>1</sup> · Phillip D. Sewell<sup>1</sup> · Trevor M. Benson<sup>1</sup>

Received: 25 July 2015/Accepted: 10 March 2016/Published online: 30 March 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** This paper presents a coupled electromagnetic-thermal model for modelling temperature evolution in nano-size plasmonic heat sources. Both electromagnetic and thermal models are based on the Transmission Line Modelling method and are coupled through a nonlinear and dispersive plasma material model. The stability and accuracy of the coupled EM-thermal model is analysed in the context of a nano-tip plasmonic heat source example.

**Keywords** Plasmonic waveguide · Photonics · Thermal model · Electromagnetic · Plasma · TLM method

## **1** Introduction

Plasmonics waveguides and devices have attracted large attention in the research community in the past decade due to the ability of a metallic-dielectric interface to support surface-plasmon (SP) modes at optical frequencies. This is a consequence of the fact that at optical frequencies metals behave as lossy dielectrics and can support SP modes that are highly sensitive to the changes in the surrounding medium. Large losses in the metal limit the propagation of SP modes to distances of 10–100  $\mu$ m (Wassel et al. 2012). The same

Ahmed Elkalsh eexae10@nottingham.ac.uk

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Optical Wave and Waveguide Theory and Numerical Modelling, OWTNM' 15.

Guest edited by Arti Agrawal, B. M. A. Rahman, Tong Sun, Gregory Wurtz, Anibal Fernandez and James R. Taylor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The George Green Institute for Electromagnetics Research, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

losses cause heating of the metallic surface and have opened the way for thermoplasmonics i.e., nano-controlled plasmonic heat sources and applications in the areas of thermal photovoltaics (Atwater and Polman 2010), liquid heating (Fang et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2006), thermal memories (Wang and Li 2008), imaging and spectroscopy (Boyer et al. 2002), and medicine (Gobin et al. 2007).

The emerging field of thermoplasmonics faces challenges concerning the accurate measurements of the transient temperature variation. A number of experimental approaches have been used to measure the dynamics of metal nanoparticles heating (Baffou et al. 2009, 2010; Boyer et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2012; Gobin et al. 2007; Hu and Hartland 2002; Plech et al. 2004; Romanova et al. 2009). The numerical modelling of these phenomena has been restricted to either modelling of a purely thermal process using a time-domain diffusion equation (Baffou et al. 2009, 2010), or using an electromagnetic-thermal approach whereby the steady state solution of the EM field is used as an input excitation to the thermal model (Baffou et al. 2009, 2010; Chen et al. 2012). Although in these models the essence of the EM and thermal field evolution is captured, the EM and thermal domain models are essentially decoupled and the material parameters are assumed to be constant. This is schematically shown in Fig. 1a, where cumulative power loss of the EM model is used to excite the thermal simulation and where EM and thermal simulations are performed separately, generally using different time steps ( $\Delta t_{EM} \neq \Delta t_{th}$ ) for the same real time  $T_{max}$ . This is clearly inadequate if temporal sources are considered and in scenarios where the material parameters are frequency dependent as is the case of a metal at optical frequencies.

In this paper a coupled time-domain electromagnetic and thermal method based on Transmission Line Modelling (TLM) method is developed to reflect the multi-physics



Fig. 1 The flowchart for a decoupled model and b coupled model

nature of the optically induced heating process. On their own, electromagnetic (EM) TLM and thermal TLM methods are well established methodologies for the modelling of electromagnetic wave propagation and thermal diffusion processes, respectively. The EM TLM method is an unconditionally stable time domain numerical method (Christopoulos 1995) that allows for great flexibility when modelling complex geometries and materials (Paul 1998). The EM TLM method uses the analogy between the EM field propagation and the voltage impulses travelling through a network of inter-connected transmission lines. Transmission lines are represented using equivalent RLC circuit equivalents and voltage propagation through the structure is solved in a time-stepping process of alternating scatter and connect operations (Christopoulos 1995). The background material in EM TLM is assumed to be free space and different material properties such as conductivity, permittivity and permeability are incorporated using transmission line stubs (Christopoulos 1995). Dispersive and frequency dependent properties are implemented using digital filters methodology (Paul 1998). Traditionally TLM has been used for microwave applications but it has also proved useful at optical frequencies (Janyani et al. 2004; Meng et al. 2013; Phang et al. 2013) The electromagnetic properties of plasma and a range of plasmonic devices such as a Surface Plasmon Polariton Waveguide Bragg Grating (SPP-WBG) and a dielectric surface grating for beam focusing applications have also been modelled in the TLM using the digital filter approach (Ahmed et al. 2010; Paul et al. 1999).

The thermal TLM uses the analogy between the heat equation and the EM wave equation to simulate the conductive heat diffusion through a network of lossy transmission lines whereby thermal properties such as the thermal conductivity and heat capacity of materials are mapped onto the equivalent resistances and impedances in the transmission line model (De Cogan 1998). The background material in the thermal model is chosen to be the material with the lowest time RC constant among the materials used in the model. Different materials are modelled using the link-stub technique (De Cogan 1998; Patel 1994). The thermal TLM model has previously been used for heat flux modelling in several applications such as semiconductor devices (Hocine et al. 2003), microwave heating process (Flockhart 1994) and modelling of magneto-optic multi-layered media (Williams et al. 1996).

The multi-physics nature of optical heating is in this paper described as follows: The electromagnetic TLM model is used to model electromagnetic scattering of the optical wave in the plasmonic waveguide. The metal at optical frequencies cannot be assumed to be a perfect conductor and is highly lossy, dispersive and frequency dependent. As such the metal is described using the Drude model (Ramo et al. 1997) and the Z-transform that facilitates the translation between frequency responses of the filter to the time domain of the numerical method. The losses in the metal will give rise to the heating which is used as a heat source in solving the temporal heat diffusion using the thermal TLM. The coupling process is presented in Fig. 1b where coupling is done from the EM to thermal models at specified time intervals  $\Delta t_{th}$  and where both EM and thermal simulations are run in parallel. The temporal time step of the EM simulation is fixed but the time step of the thermal simulation; in the present model it can be *m* times larger where *m* is a positive integer.

It is clear that the choice of the coupling interval which determines the thermal time steps would affect the stability, self-consistency and accuracy of the overall coupling method. This is investigated on an example of plasmonic Si waveguide utilizing a tapered nano-tip as a heat source, as shown in Fig. 2. The tapered part of the Si waveguide is submerged in a gold plate (Au). The fundamental TM mode, with the electric field polarised in y-direction, is excited in order to activate the plasmon mode at the Si–gold



interface. The strong confinement of the EM field at the waveguide tip will result in high ohmic losses and heating of the Au around the waveguide tip.

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section the plasma model and a brief description of the EM and thermal model are given followed by a description of the coupled EM-thermal algorithm. This is followed by the results on temperature distribution, thermal field convergence and temperature rise for a variety of input mesh sizes and power excitations to demonstrate the convergence and self-consistency of the model. The results are also compared to those calculated and obtained experimentally by (Desiatov et al. 2014). In (Desiatov et al. 2014) the optical simulations were performed using Finite-Difference Time Domain (FDTD) and Finite Element Method (FEM) analyses and the thermal simulations by a FEM approach. Finally some conclusions are given in Sect. 4.

## 2 The model

## 2.1 The electromagnetic (EM) model

In order to simulate the propagation of the fundamental TM optical mode, the series TLM node shown in Fig. 3 is used. This node configuration allows for a magnetic field





component along the longitudinal direction  $H_z$  and transversal electric fields  $E_x$  and  $E_y$  (Christopoulos, 1995). The node is of the size  $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta l$  where  $\Delta x$  is typically  $\langle \lambda/10 \rangle$  where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength at the highest frequency of interest. The node has four ports at which it is connected to neighbouring nodes and at which the electric fields are related to voltage impulses  $V_2$ ,  $V_3$ ,  $V_4$ ,  $V_5$ .

At each port the incident and reflected voltage impulses at each node can be defined using  $V^i$  and  $V^r$  matrices respectively in the form of

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^{i} &= \begin{bmatrix} V_{2}^{i} \ V_{3}^{i} \ V_{4}^{i} \ V_{5}^{i} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\
 V^{r} &= \begin{bmatrix} V_{2}^{r} \ V_{3}^{r} \ V_{4}^{r} \ V_{5}^{r} \end{bmatrix}^{T},
 (1)$$

where superscript "T" denotes transpose of the matrix.

The nodal excitation fields matrix  $F^i$  is related to incident voltages as (Paul 1998)

$$\mathbf{F}^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{x}^{i} & V_{y}^{i} & i_{z}^{i} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\
\begin{bmatrix} V_{x}^{i} \\ V_{y}^{i} \\ i_{z}^{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V_{2}^{i} \\ V_{3}^{i} \\ V_{4}^{i} \\ V_{5}^{i} \end{bmatrix} = R^{i} V^{i},$$
(2)

where  $R^i$  is the cell excitation matrix. The nodal voltage and nodal current are then obtained from the excitation field matrix using

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \begin{bmatrix} V_x \ V_y \ i_z \end{bmatrix}^T, \\ \begin{bmatrix} V_x \\ V_y \\ i_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_{ex} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_{ey} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t_{mz} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} V_x^i \\ V_y^i \\ i_z^i \end{bmatrix} = T\boldsymbol{F}^i,$$
(3)

where T is the transmission coefficient matrix and  $t_{ex}$ ,  $t_{ey}$  and  $t_{ez}$  depend on the normalized parameters of the modelled material. For a material with constant electric and magnetic parameters the general transmission coefficients for the series 2D-TLM node are obtained from (Paul 1998),

$$t_{ex} = t_{ey} = \frac{2}{2 + g_e + \bar{s}\chi_e},$$
  

$$t_{mz} = \frac{2}{4 + r_m + 2\bar{s}\chi_m},$$
(4)

where  $\chi_e$ ,  $\chi_m$ ,  $g_e$ ,  $r_m$  and  $\bar{s}$  are the electric susceptibility, magnetic susceptibility, normalized electric conductivity, normalized magnetic resistivity and normalized Laplace variable, respectively.

The nodal voltage and current obtained from Eq. 3 are then transformed into the Z-domain using the bilinear transform:

$$s = \frac{\bar{s}}{\Delta t} = \frac{2}{\Delta t} \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 + z^{-1}}$$
(5)

where  $\Delta t$  is the simulation time step obtained as  $\Delta t = \Delta l / \sqrt{2}c$  where *c* is the speed of light in free space.

Frequency dependent materials are modelled in the same fashion by replacing the electric or magnetic parameters such as susceptibility or conductivity with their corresponding frequency dependent parameters.

In this paper the metal is described using a frequency dependant parameters using the Drude model (Luebbers et al. 1991):

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(j\nu_c - \omega)} \right) = \varepsilon_{re}(\omega) - j\varepsilon_{im}(\omega), \tag{6}$$

where  $\omega_p$  is the plasma frequency in rad/s,  $v_c$  is the plasma collision frequency,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $\varepsilon_{im}(\omega)$  and  $\varepsilon_{re}(\omega)$  are the imaginary and real components of the plasma permittivity respectively. Complex dielectric constant is implemented in the TLM method using the Z-transform and digital filter method (Paul 1998) and will not be covered in detail here. The imaginary part of the complex dielectric index represents the material conductivity component that is responsible for the power dissipation in the metal. The heat source of the thermal model is obtained from the instantaneous power losses in the EM model as a consequence of the material conductivity. At optical frequencies the conductivity of a plasmonic material can be approximated to be (Ordal et al. 1985),

$$\sigma_e = \frac{\omega_p^2}{4\pi\nu_c},\tag{7}$$

where  $\sigma_e$  is electrical conductivity in S/m.

The instantaneous power loss at every TLM node can be obtained using the general formula for dissipated power density (Orfanidis et al. 2014)

$$P_d[n] = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_e |\bar{E}[n]|^2, \tag{8}$$

where *n* represents the node,  $P_d$  is the power dissipated per unit volume at the node in W/m<sup>3</sup> and  $|\bar{E}|$  is the electric field in V/m at each node, obtained as  $|\bar{E}| = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2}$ .

### 2.2 The thermal model

The thermal TLM utilizes the shunt node configuration of the TLM model (Christopoulos 1995) as shown in Fig. 4.

The Thevenin equivalent circuit for the 2D-thermal model (De Cogan 1998) has to be modified to accommodate a current source that will act as a heat source at the node. The thermal node has to implement the stub technique (Christopoulos 1995) in order to model different materials in real applications. The TLM circuit parameters are related to the material thermal properties through (Newton 1994)

$$Z = \frac{\Delta t}{C} = \frac{2\Delta t}{\rho C_p A \Delta l},$$
  

$$R = \frac{\Delta l}{2K_{th}A},$$
  

$$Z_s = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \frac{1}{C_s},$$
(9)

where Z is the characteristic impedance of the node, R is the nodal resistance,  $Z_s$  is the stub impedance,  $\rho$  is mass density,  $C_\rho$  is the specific heat capacity, A is the nodal area,  $\Delta l$  is

#### Fig. 4 The 2D TLM shunt node



Fig. 5 The Thevenin equivalent for the 2D thermal node

nodal length,  $K_{th}$  is the thermal conductivity and  $C_s$  is the stub capacitance evaluated from (Newton 1994)

$$C_s = C_m - C_b,\tag{10}$$

where  $C_m$  is the material thermal capacitance and  $C_b$  is the background material thermal capacitance.

Figure 5 shows the Thevenin equivalent circuit for the thermal node used in this paper, where V is the nodal voltage, R is the node thermal resistance,  $I_s$  is the nodal heat source,  $(V_1^i, V_2^i, V_3^i \text{ and } V_4^i)$  are the node ports' incident voltages.

The value of the current source at each node is obtained at coupling intervals using Eq. 8, where the mapping from power to current is given by

$$I_s = P_d[n] \times \Delta l \, A,\tag{11}$$

where A is the nodal area. The nodal voltage at the node is then calculated using

$$V = \frac{2\left(\frac{V_1^i}{Z+R} + \frac{V_2^i}{Z+R} + \frac{V_3^i}{Z+R} + \frac{V_4^i}{Z+R} + \frac{V_s^i}{Z_s}\right) + I_s}{\frac{4}{Z+R} + \frac{1}{Z_s}}$$
(12)

🖄 Springer

The voltage here is representing the temperature (T); the presentation is a voltage to maintain consistency with the circuit theory analogy.

The TLM scatter and connect processes for thermal problems are described in De Cogan (1998).

The thermal parameters of the materials used in the model are those of an ambient temperature of 25 °C. The outer boundary conditions for the thermal model are modelled as a heat sink at ambient temperature.

The principal difficulty in coupling the EM and thermal TLM models lies in the fact that the simulation time steps of the EM and thermal models are different. The simulation time step of the thermal model defines its stability and needs to satisfy (De Cogan and De Cogan 1997),

$$\Delta t_{\rm th} \ll R_{\rm th} C_{\rm th}, \tag{13}$$

where  $R_{th}$  and  $C_{th}$  are the lowest product of thermal resistance and the thermal capacitance in the thermal model.

Typically, the time step of the thermal model that satisfies Eq. 13 is many orders of magnitude higher than the time step of the EM model, which means that that the overall timescales of EM and thermal models are different. The choice of thermal time step is thus important for stable coupling between the EM and thermal models. Generally setting the thermal time step to be equal to the electrical time step will guarantee the stability of the thermal model due to the fact that thermal diffusion is much slower than the interaction of the EM waves. However, this choice would increase the computational resources of the model. It would be desirable to increase the thermal time step and coupling intervals so that both the accuracy and the stability of the method are ensured and both EM and thermal simulations are effectively running in parallel over the same time frame as shown in Fig. 1b. In the following section the choice of the thermal time step is discussed in terms of the accuracy and stability of the coupled method.

## **3** Results

In this section the coupled EM-thermal model is used to simulate the EM field propagation and conduction heat diffusion for the 2D nanotip waveguide structure shown in Fig. 2. The silicon slab waveguide has a width of 1  $\mu$ m and a length of 3  $\mu$ m. The triangular tapered area has a base of 0.45  $\mu$ m and a length of 1  $\mu$ m with the nanotip of 20 nm diameter to focus the light at the end of the waveguide. The silicon waveguide core has an effective refractive index of 3.3917 at the operating frequency of 1.55  $\mu$ m and the air as the cladding material. The material parameters for gold are  $\omega_p = 1.36734 \times 10^{16}$  rad/s and  $v_c = 6.46 \times 10^{13}$  Hz (Ordal et al. 1985). The silicon is considered to be lossless in this model as silicon conductivity is negligible at the operating frequency. All EM material parameters are kept constant in the model.

The material properties for the gold, air and silicon used in the thermal model are the specific heat capacity, density and thermal resistance at the ambient temperature of 25 °C; these are summarised in Table 1 (Benenson et al. 2002; Min et al. 2011). The background material is an artificial material with a lower diffusion constant than all the other materials used in the model to satisfy the stability condition in Eq. 13.

The electromagnetic field distribution of the  $E_y$  field component is shown in Fig. 2 for the 10mW input power of the mesh size of  $\Delta x = 10$  nm, the time step

Material	Properties of the materials used in the thermal model		
	Specific heat capacity J $g^{-1}$ K <sup>-1</sup>	Thermal conductivity $W \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	Density g cm <sup>-3</sup>
Gold (Au)	0.129	3.17	19.320
Air	1.005	$0.0262 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2928 \times 10^{-3}$
Silicon (Si)	0.710	1.48	2.33
Background	0.25	$0.0262 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2928 \times 10^{-3}$

 Table 1
 Material properties used in the thermal model

 $\Delta t_{EM} = 2.3586 \times 10^{-17}$  and the total simulation time is 63.6 ps. Figure 6 shows that the maximum of the field is at the nano-tip, which will effectively result in large Joule heating in this area.

In order to investigate the convergence of the coupled-method results with the mesh size Fig. 7a, b shows the temperature distribution along the middle of the plasmonic waveguide, i.e., in the  $y = 2.5 \mu m$  plane, for different mesh sizes, namely  $\Delta x = 25$ ,  $\Delta x = 20$  and  $\Delta x = 10$  nm. The time steps of the EM and thermal models are kept the same as  $\Delta t_{EM} = \Delta t_{th} = 2.3586 \times 10^{-17}$  s. Two different cases are considered, namely (a) an uncoupled EM-thermal where a complete EM simulation is done until the field reaches steady state and the power losses at the end of simulation are used as an input to the thermal model, and (b), where the power losses of the EM model are used as an input to the thermal at every time step. The input optical source power in both cases is taken to be 10 mW.

Figure 7a shows that as the mesh size is reduced the temperature distribution converges but the temperature distribution is spread across the waveguide tip. Similarly, in the case of coupling at every time step, Fig. 7b shows that as the mesh size is reduced the thermal distribution converges. Comparing with Fig. 7a it can be seen that the thermal profile of Fig. 7b results in a thermal distribution that is much more focused at the tip of the waveguide, i.e., at  $x \cong 4 \mu m$ .

Additionally, the maximum temperature achieved at the waveguide tip is much higher in the case of EM-thermal coupling. In both cases the coarse mesh with the discretisation  $\Delta x = 25$  nm doesn't represent the nano-tip thermal profile accurately, resulting in the maximum temperature just before rather than at the tip of the taper itself; this is a consequence of the stair-casing error that is common when discretising planes that do not align with Cartesian coordinates. As the mesh size is reduced the taper profile is modelled more accurately resulting in the maximum field at the tip of the taper, as expected. Figure 7b confirms that the convergence is reached for a mesh size of 20 nm or smaller.

To further investigate the thermal profile, Fig. 8a, b plots the thermal field distribution after 82.78 ps of the simulation time for the uncoupled and coupled EM-thermal models using  $\Delta x = 20$  nm and an input optical power of 10 mW. The uncoupled model results show a wider spatial spread but lower maximum temperature (~8 °C) due to the fact that all the thermal energy is given once at the beginning of the modelling process as shown in Fig. 8a. Figure 8b shows that thermal profile of the coupled EM-thermal model results in a more focused profile with higher maximum temperature compared to Fig. 8b. In the case of the coupled model the regular application of the input thermal source resulting from the EM simulation leads to higher temperatures and temperature distribution more focused at places where the EM field is strongest as shown in Fig. 7. The result of Fig. 7b compares



Fig. 7 Temperature distribution at  $y = 2.5 \mu m$  for different mesh sizes for **a** coupling at the end of EM simulation and **b** coupling at every EM time step

very well with the simulations and measurements of (Desiatov et al. 2014) where the maximum temperature rise is ~15 °C. The small differences might occur due to the fact that a 2D model is considered in this paper.

Results reported in Figs. 7 and 8b assume coupling from the EM model to thermal at every time step and that both thermal and EM time steps are equal. For a mesh size of  $\Delta x = 20$  nm the the EM time step is  $\Delta t_{EM} = 4.717 \times 10^{-17}$  s and the maximum stable time step for the thermal model is  $t_{th} \approx 1.57 \times 10^{-13}$  s. Running the simulation at the EM time step is clearly not computationally efficient. However, the significant difference in the time steps allows for the thermal time step to be as small as EM time step and up to a maximum of  $\Delta t_{th}(\max)$  determined by Eq. (13) in order to maintain the stability of the thermal model. It is clearly more efficient to run the thermal model at time steps  $\Delta t_{th} > \Delta t_{EM}$  whilst still ensuring that the coupling from the EM to thermal is done at every  $\Delta t_{th}$ . Figure 9 plots the maximum temperature rise in the model using different thermal time steps such that they are integer multiples of the EM time step  $\Delta t_{EM}$ . The results are shown for  $\Delta t_{th}/\Delta t_{EM} = 1$ , 625, 1350 and 2500 and are also compared with the uncoupled approach of Fig. 1a. The results of Fig. 9 show that for a ratio of  $\Delta t_{th}/\Delta t_{EM} < 625$  there is no big difference compared to the case when both thermal and EM

70

60

50

Max  $\Delta T^{o}C$ 





Fig. 9 Maximum temperature rise as a function of total time simulated using different thermal time steps

time steps are the same  $(\Delta t_{th}/\Delta t_{EM} = 1)$ . The uncoupled method results in an underestimation of the overall temperature rise.

The maximum temperature rise within the same time frame will depend on the input power intensity of the optical source. The relationship between the optical source power



Fig. 10 Maximum temperature rise as a function of source power at the same simulated time (82.76 ps) calculated using different thermal time steps

and the maximum temperature at the same overall simulation time of 82.76 ps is plotted in Fig. 10 for the mesh size  $\Delta x = 20$  nm. The results are plotted for different thermal time steps namely  $\Delta t_{th}/\Delta t_{EM} = 1$ , 625, 1350 and 2500 and the EM to thermal coupling is done every thermal time step. Figure 10 shows that in all cases the maximum temperature is linearly dependent on the input optical power which compares well with the experimental results of (Desiatov et al. 2014).

## 4 Conclusion

A stable electromagnetic (EM)-thermal multi-physics coupled model of EM-induced heating in a plasmonic tapered waveguide is demonstrated. The model calculates the accumulative power loss in the EM model and uses it as a source for the thermal model at regular time intervals. The results show that regular coupling from the EM to thermal method is an important factor to consider and that this will affect the accuracy of the overall coupling method. The results show that the computational efficiency of the coupled method can be improved if the thermal time step is up to two orders of magnitude greater than the EM time step whilst ensuring that the EM to thermal coupling is done at every thermal time step. The simulated results compare very well with the experimental results of (Desiatov et al. 2014). The linear relation between the optical source power and the maximum temperature rise is also verified and compares well with the experimental results.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

Ahmed, O.S., Swillam, M.A., Bakr, M.H., Li, X.: Modeling and design of nano-plasmonic structures using transmission line modeling. Opt. Express 18(21), 21784–21797 (2010) Atwater, H.A., Polman, A.: Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices. Nat. Mater. 9, 205-213 (2010)

- Baffou, G., Quidant, R., García de Abajo, F.J.: Nanoscale control of optical heating in complex plasmonic systems. ACS Nano 4, 709–716 (2010)
- Baffou, G., Quidant, R., Girard, C.: Heat generation in plasmonic nanostructures: influence of morphology. Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 153109 (2009)

Benenson, W., Harris, J.W., Stocker, H., Lutz, H. (eds.): Handbook of Physics. Springer, New York (2002)

- Boyer, D., Tamarat, P., Maali, A., Lounis, B., Orrit, M.: Photothermal imaging of nanometer-sized metal particles among scatterers. Science 297, 1160–1163 (2002)
- Chen, X., Chen, Y., Yan, M., Qiu, M.: Nanosecond photothermal effects in plasmonic nanostructures. ACS Nano 6, 2550–2557 (2012)
- Christopoulos, C.: The Transmission-Line Modeling Method TLM. IEEE Press, New York (1995)
- De Cogan, D., De Cogan, A.: Applied Numerical Modelling for Engineers. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1997)
- De Cogan, D.: Transmission Line Matrix (TLM) techniques for diffusion applications. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amesterdam (1998)
- Desiatov, B., Goykhman, I., Levy, U.: Direct temperature mapping of nanoscale plasmonic devices. Nano Lett. 14, 648–652 (2014)
- Fang, Z., Zhen, Y.R., Neumann, O., Polman, A., García De Abajo, F.J., Nordlander, P., Halas, N.J.: Evolution of light-induced vapor generation at a liquid-immersed metallic nanoparticle. Nano Lett. 13, 1736–1742 (2013)
- Flockhart, C.: The simulation of coupled electromagnetic and thermal problems in microwave heating. In: Second International Conference on Computation in Electromagnetics. pp. 267–270. IEE, London (1994)
- Gobin, A.M., Lee, M.H., Halas, N.J., James, W.D., Drezek, R.A., West, J.L.: Near-infrared resonant nanoshells for combined optical imaging and photothermal cancer therapy. Nano Lett. 7, 1929–1934 (2007)
- Hocine, R., Boudghene Stambouli, A., Saidane, A.: A three-dimensional TLM simulation method for thermal effect in high power insulated gate bipolar transistors. Microelectron. Eng. 65, 293–306 (2003)
- Hu, M., Hartland, G.V.: Heat dissipation for Au particles in aqueous solution: relaxation time versus size. J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 7029–7033 (2002)
- Janyani, V., Paul, J.D., Vukovic, A., Benson, T.M., Sewell, P.: TLM modelling of nonlinear optical effects in fibre Bragg gratings. IEE Proc.: Optoelectron. 151, 185–192 (2004)
- Liu, G.L., Kim, J., Lu, Y., Lee, L.P.: Optofluidic control using photothermal nanoparticles. Nat. Mater. 5, 27–32 (2006)
- Luebbers, R.J., Hunsberger, F., Kunz, K.S.: A frequency-dependent finite-difference time-domain formulation for transient propagation in plasma. IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 39, 29–34 (1991)
- Meng, X., Sewell, P., Vukovic, A., Dantanarayana, H.G., Benson, T.M.: Efficient broadband simulations for thin optical structures. Opt. Quantum Electron. 45, 343–348 (2013)
- Min, R., Ji, R., Yang, L.: Thermal analysis for fast thermal-response Si waveguide wrapped by SiN. Front. Optoelectron. 5, 73–77 (2011)
- Newton, H.R.: TLM models of deformation and their application to vitreous china ware during firing. https://hydra.hull.ac.uk/resources/hull:3499 (1994)
- Ordal, M.A., Bell, R.J., Alexander, R.W., Long, L.L., Querry, M.R.: Optical properties of fourteen metals in the infrared and far infrared: Al Co, Cu, Au, Fe, Pb, Mo, Ni, Pd, Pt, Ag, Ti, V, and W. Appl. Opt. 24, 4493–4499 (1985)
- Orfanidis, S.J.: Electromagnetic Waves and Antennas. http://www.ece.rutgers.edu/~orfanidi/ewa/ (2014)
- Patel, H.C.: Non-linear 3D modelling heat of flow in magneto-optic multilayered media, Ph.D. thesis, University of Keele (1994)
- Paul, J.: Modelling of general electromagentic material properties in TLM, Ph.D. thesis, University of Nottingham (1998)
- Paul, J., Christopoulos, C., Thomas, D.W.P.: Generalized material models in TLM Part I: materials with frequency-dependent properties. IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 47, 1528–1534 (1999)
- Phang, S., Vukovic, A., Susanto, H., Benson, T.M., Sewell, P.: Ultrafast optical switching using parity-time symmetric Bragg gratings. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 30, 2984–2991 (2013)
- Plech, A., Kotaidis, V., Grésillon, S., Dahmen, C., Von Plessen, G.: Laser-induced heating and melting of gold nanoparticles studied by time-resolved X-ray scattering. Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 70, 1–7 (2004)
- Ramo, S., Whinnery, J.R., Van Duzar, T.: Fields and Waves in Communication Electronics. Wiley, New Jersey (1997)

Romanova, E.A., Konyukhov, A.I., Furniss, D., Seddon, A.B., Benson, T.M.: Femtosecond laser processing as an advantageous 3-D technology for the fabrication of highly nonlinear chip-scale photonic devices. J. Lightwave Technol. 27, 3275–3282 (2009)

Wang, L., Li, B.: Thermal memory: a storage of phononic information. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 1-4 (2008)

- Wassel, H.M.G., Dai, D., Tiwari, M., Valamehr, J.K., Theogarajan, L., Dionne, J., Chong, F.T., Sherwood, T.: Opportunities and challenges of using plasmonic components in nanophotonic architectures. IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Circuits Syst. 2, 154–168 (2012)
- Williams, E.W., Patel, H.C., De Cogan, D., Pulko, S.H.: TLM modelling of thermal processes in magnetooptic multi-layered media. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 29, 1124–1132 (1996)