

Experimental Implementation of a Linear Control Technique for a Single-Phase Matrix Converter

M. Rivera, S. Rojas

Universidad de Talca

Department of Ind. Tech., Curico, CHILE

Email: marcoesteban@gmail.com

<http://www.otalca.cl>

P. Wheeler

University of Nottingham

Nottingham, U.K.

Email: pat.wheeler@nottingham.ac.uk

<http://www.nottingham.ac.uk>

V. Yaramasu

Northern Arizona University

Department of EECS, Flagstaff, AZ, USA

Email: Venkata.Yaramasu@nau.edu

<http://www.nau.edu>

Abstract—This paper describes a matrix converter with a three-phase input and single-phase output that can be used as a building block for high-power cascaded converters. In this paper a sinusoidal pulse width modulation technique with a linear controller is proposed for the single-phase output matrix converter. The proposed modulation and control will be used in the cascade configurations for high-power applications. Simulation and experimental results are presented to validate the proposed modulation and control approach.

NOMENCLATURE

Variable	Description	
\mathbf{v}_i	Input voltage	$[v_A \ v_B \ v_C]^T$
\mathbf{i}_i	Input current	$[i_A \ i_B \ i_C]^T$
\mathbf{v}	Load voltage	$v^+ - v^-$
i_o	Load current	
C_f	Input filter capacitor	

I. INTRODUCTION

The matrix converter (MC) consists of an array of bidirectional switches, which are used to directly connect the power supply to the load without using any dc-link or large energy storage elements [1].

The most important characteristics of matrix converters are: 1) a simple and compact power circuit; 2) generation of load voltage with arbitrary amplitude and frequency; 3) sinusoidal input and output currents; 4) operation with unity power factor; 5) regeneration capability. These highly attractive characteristics are the reason for the tremendous interest in this topology [2], [3]. The major drawbacks for matrix converters include: 1) absence of ride-through capability, and 2) perturbations in the input side are reflected on the output side due to the absence of storage elements.

Research on matrix converters began with the work of Venturini and Alesina in 1980 [2]. They provided the rigorous mathematical background and introduced the name “matrix converter”, elegantly describing how the low-frequency behavior of the voltages and currents which are generated at the converters input and output. One of the biggest difficulties in the operation of this converter was the commutation of current between the bidirectional switches [4]. This problem has been solved by introducing intelligent and soft commutation techniques, giving new momentum to research in the area.

The development of this converter is now reaching industrial applications [1]. At least one major manufacturer of power converters (Yaskawa) is now offering a complete line of standard units for up to several megawatts and medium voltage using a cascade connection of converters. These units have rated power (and voltages) of 9-114 kVA (200 V and 400 V) for a low voltage MC, and 200-6,000 kVA (3.3 kV, 6.6 kV) for medium voltage operation [1].

Years of continuous effort have been dedicated to the development of different modulation and control strategies that can be applied to matrix converters [4]–[11]. Pulse width modulation (PWM) is a classic modulation technique used to digitally control the commutation of switches in a power converter. Originally this technique was employed in inverters, but due its robustness and simplicity this have been for different power converter topologies, being a very well know and used technique at the industrial level. To realized closed-loop control, a modulation stage is used in conjunction with the linear PI regulators.

In this paper, experimental implementation of a sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM) with a linear controller is proposed for a single-phase matrix converter. This converter is the basic cell of the converter presented in [1]. Simulation and experimental results are presented to validate the implementation. As well the proposed modulation has been presented in [12], here we demonstrate the performance of this by experiments.

II. TOPOLOGY AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE SINGLE-PHASE MATRIX CONVERTER

The mathematical model of the single-phase matrix converter is obtained from Fig. 1 where is shown the multimodular power topology. The output voltage $v = [v^p - v^n]$ is a function of the converter’s switch states and the input voltages \mathbf{v}_i :

$$v^p = [S_1 \ S_2 \ S_3] \mathbf{v}_i, \quad (1)$$

$$v^n = [S_4 \ S_5 \ S_6] \mathbf{v}_i. \quad (2)$$

The input currents \mathbf{i}_i are synthesized as a function of the




Fig. 1. Topology of the single-phase matrix converter.

converter's switch states and the load current i_o :

$$\mathbf{i}_i = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 - S_4 \\ S_2 - S_5 \\ S_3 - S_6 \end{bmatrix} i_o. \quad (3)$$

These equations correspond to the nine valid switching states of the converter [12] and the restrictions of no short circuits in the input and no open lines in the output.

Finally, assuming an inductive-resistive load, the following equation describes the behavior of the load:

$$\frac{di_o}{dt} = \frac{1}{L}v - \frac{R}{L}i_o. \quad (4)$$

III. SINUSOIDAL PULSE WIDTH MODULATION FOR THE SINGLE-PHASE MATRIX CONVERTER

Sinusoidal pulse width modulation (SPWM) generates the switching pulses which are proportional to the amplitude of a reference signal (v_{ref}), which consists in a sinusoidal signal that is compared to a triangular carrier signal (v_{tri}). The structure of this kind of modulation is shown in Fig. 2, where is also possible to observe two blocks coupled to the output (N) which generates two other signals based the value of N shown in Fig. 3. In a three-phase system it is necessary to identify the different sectors of the input voltage as indicated in Fig. 4. The different sectors are given by x_A , x_B and x_C , which are generated using binary comparators. With this information it is possible to obtain the switching signals as shown in Table I. The control scheme is composed of the modulation block and a PI controller (Fig. 5). Generally a PI controller is used but in this case the PI was considered for the better observed results.

IV. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Simulation and experimental results are presented in order to validate the proposal. The simulation has been implemented




Fig. 2. Unipolar modulation scheme.




Fig. 3. Signals generated for the unipolar SWPM technique.




Fig. 4. Sectors obtained from the input voltages.

using Gecko Circuits Software from ETH Zurich and the experimental validation has been done in the Energy Conversion and Power Electronics Research Laboratory (LCEEP) at the University of Talca. The parameters used in both simulation and experimental evaluation are detailed in Table II.

Simulation results in steady state for a current reference amplitude of 6 Apk and 50 Hz are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 for a sampling frequency of 20 kHz and 40 kHz, respectively. The same conditions are considered in the experimental validation and the results are shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. In order to demonstrate the dynamic performance of the proposed strategy, simulation and experimental results in transient state are presented. In Fig. 10 (simulation) and Fig. 11 (experimental) are shown the dynamic performance of the control strategy for an amplitude change from 3 Apk to 6 Apk. In Fig. 12 (simulation) and Fig. 13 (experimental) are shown also transient performance of the control strategy but now considering a frequency change from 50 Hz to 25 Hz by considering 4 Apk.

TABLE I
GENERATION OF SWITCHING PULSES

X/Y	Binary Signals					Sector X	Output		Switching pulses					
	N_1	N_0	x_A	x_B	x_C		v_o	v_o	S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4	S_5	S_6
1	0	1	1	1	0	6	v_{iA}	v_{iC}	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	1	0	1	0	2	v_{iB}	v_{iC}	0	1	0	0	0	1
3	0	1	0	1	1	3	v_{iB}	v_{iA}	0	1	0	1	0	0
4	0	1	0	0	1	1	v_{iC}	v_{iA}	0	0	1	1	0	0
5	0	1	1	0	1	5	v_{iC}	v_{iB}	0	0	1	0	1	0
6	0	1	1	0	0	4	v_{iA}	v_{iB}	1	0	0	0	1	0
7	1	1	1	1	0	6	v_{iC}	v_{iA}	0	0	1	1	0	0
8	1	1	0	1	0	2	v_{iC}	v_{iB}	0	0	1	0	1	0
9	1	1	0	1	1	3	v_{iA}	v_{iB}	1	0	0	0	1	0
10	1	1	0	0	1	1	v_{iA}	v_{iC}	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	1	1	1	0	1	5	v_{iB}	v_{iC}	0	1	0	0	0	1
12	1	1	1	0	0	4	v_{iB}	v_{iA}	0	1	0	1	0	0
13	0	0	1	1	0	6	v_{iC}	v_{iC}	0	0	1	0	0	1
14	0	0	0	1	0	2	v_{iC}	v_{iC}	0	0	1	0	0	1
15	0	0	0	1	1	3	v_{iA}	v_{iA}	1	0	0	1	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	1	1	v_{iA}	v_{iA}	1	0	0	1	0	0
17	0	0	1	0	1	5	v_{iB}	v_{iB}	0	1	0	0	1	0
18	0	0	1	0	0	4	v_{iB}	v_{iB}	0	1	0	0	1	0

TABLE II
PARAMETERS USED IN BOTH SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

Variables	Description	Value
v_i	Source voltage	50, 100 [Vpk]
f_i	Source frequency	50 [Hz]
f_s	Sampling frequency	10, 20, 40 [kHz]
R	Load resistance	10 [Ω]
L	Load inductance	10 [mH]
i_o	Reference amplitude	3, 6 [Apk]
f_o	Reference frequency	25, 50 [Hz]




Fig. 5. Scheme of the PI+SPWM control strategy

V. DISCUSSION

During the implementation and validation of the control strategy different issues were observed. At higher sampling frequency, the tracking of the current to its reference is better. This is an obvious comment, however it is important to highlight that the selection of the sampling time implies in the tracking.

By increasing the sampling frequency, the switching pulses

are updated faster at the cost of more calculations in a short time period. The performance of the strategy is much better when the source frequency is higher than the output reference frequency, because the tracking of the load current to its reference is better due to the fact that there are more available vectors to be applied in the converter. The modulation method in [12] is used in this work to obtain experimental results under different operating conditions.

In order to mathematically verify the tracking of the load current to its reference, the percentage absolute average error given by eq. (5) is evaluated.

$$e_{exp} = \frac{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n ||i_o| - |i_{ref}||}{n} \right) \times 100}{A_{iref}} \quad (5)$$

where,

- i_o Load current [A]
- i_{ref} Load current reference [A]
- n Number of processed data
- A_{iref} Amplitude of load current reference [A]

Table III presents the calculated errors for simulation and experimental cases. It is observed that the experimental results have a higher error than the simulations. This is because the simulation was done in an ideal and totally controlled environment. It is observed that at higher sampling frequency the error is reduced; the case with an amplitude of 6 Apk and a sampling frequency of 40 kHz was the lowest error (2,341%). Similar performance is observed in the experimental analysis.




Fig. 6. Simulation results in steady state: $i_o=6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 20$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 8. Experimental results in steady state: $i_o=6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 20$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 7. Simulation results in steady state: $i_o=6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 9. Experimental results in steady state: $i_o=6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.

The Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) is also evaluated for both simulation and experimental results. The analysis is done for the load current as shown in Table IV. A higher sampling frequencies the THD values decrease, as expected.

Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 show simulation and experimental results for a step amplitude change from 3[Apk] to 6 Apk. The current takes 1,38 ms in to reach steady state in simulation and 1,54 ms in the experimental validation. In Fig. 16 and Fig. 17 simulation and experimental results are shown for a

step frequency change from 50[Hz] to 25[Hz]. In all these cases is observed a very good performance of the implemented controller.

VI. CONCLUSION

The implementation of a linear current controller for a single-phase matrix converter has been presented and discussed in this paper.

TABLE III
ABSOLUTE AVERAGE ERROR OF i_{Ref} VERSUS i_o AT $f_o = 50$ Hz

Sampling (f_s)	Amplitude (i_o)	Error (e_{sim})	Error (e_{exp})
10[kHz]	6[Apk]	6,926%	13,302%
20[kHz]	6[Apk]	3,744%	9,342%
40[kHz]	6[Apk]	2,341%	7,0524%

TABLE IV
THD OF THE LOAD CURRENT AT $f_o = 50$ Hz

Sampling (f_s)	Amplitude (i_o)	THD Sim. (i_o)	THD Exp. (i_o)
10[kHz]	6[Apk]	10,957%	11,763%
20[kHz]	6[Apk]	5,171%	10,680%
40[kHz]	6[Apk]	2,341%	7,224%




Fig. 10. Simulation results in transient state: $i_o=3-6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 10$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 12. Simulation results in transient state: $i_o=4$ Apk; $f_o=50-25$ Hz; $f_s = 20$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 11. Experimental results in transient state: $i_o=3-6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 10$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.




Fig. 13. Experimental results in transient state: $i_o=4$ Apk; $f_o=50-25$ Hz; $f_s = 20$ kHz; $v_i = 56$ Vpk.

The simulation and experimental results demonstrated that the presented strategy provides good tracking of the output current to its reference in both steady-state and transient operating conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication was made possible by the Newton Picarte Project EPSRC: EP/N004043/1: New Configurations of Power Converters for Grid Interconnection Systems / CONICYT DPI20140007.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Yamamoto, H. Hara, T. Uchino, M. Kawaji, T. Kume, J. K. Kang, and H.-P. Krug, "Development of mcs and its applications in industry [industry forum]," *Industrial Electronics Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 4–12, march 2011.
- [2] M. Venturini, "A new sine wave in sine wave out, conversion technique which eliminates reactive elements," *Powercon 7, 1980*, pp. E3/1–E3/15, Mar. 2001.
- [3] J. Rodriguez, E. Silva, F. Blaabjerg, P. Wheeler, J. Clare, and J. Pontt, "Matrix converter controlled with the direct transfer function approach: analysis, modelling and simulation," *Taylor and Francis-International Journal of Electronics*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 63–85, Feb. 2005.
- [4] L. Zhang, C. Watthanasarn, and W. Shepherd, "Control of ac-ac matrix converters for unbalanced and/or distorted supply voltage," *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2001. PESC. 2001 IEEE 32nd Annual*, vol. 2, pp. 1108–1113 vol.2, 2001.
- [5] G. Roy and G.-E. April, "Cycloconverter operation under a new scalar control algorithm," *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 1989. PESC '89 Record., 20th Annual IEEE*, pp. 368–375 vol.1, Jun. 1989.
- [6] J. Rodriguez, "High performance dc motor drive using a pwm rectifier with power transistors," *Electric Power Applications, IEE Proceedings B*, vol. 134, no. 1, p. 9, Jan. 1987.
- [7] L. Huber and D. Borjevic, "Space vector modulated three-phase to three-phase matrix converter with input power factor correction," *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 1234–1246, Nov. 1995.
- [8] F. Blaabjerg, D. Casadei, C. Klumpner, and M. Matteini, "Comparison of two current modulation strategies for matrix converters under unbalanced input voltage conditions," *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 289–296, Apr. 2002.




Fig. 14. Simulation results under an amplitude step change: $i_o=3-6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 112$ Vpk.




Fig. 15. Experimental results under an amplitude step change: $i_o=3-6$ Apk; $f_o=50$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 112$ Vpk.




Fig. 16. Simulation results under an frequency step change: $i_o=4$ Apk; $f_o=50-25$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 112$ Vpk.




Fig. 17. Experimental results under an frequency step change: $i_o=4$ Apk; $f_o=50-25$ Hz; $f_s = 40$ kHz; $v_i = 112$ Vpk.

- [9] I. Takahashi and T. Noguchi, "A new quick response and high efficiency control strategy for an induction motor," *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 820–827, Sep. 1986.
- [10] S. Muller, U. Ammann, and S. Rees, "New time-discrete modulation scheme for matrix converters," *Industrial Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1607–1615, Dec. 2005.
- [11] M. Rivera, R. Vargas, J. Espinoza, and J. Rodriguez, "Behavior of the predictive dtc based matrix converter under unbalanced ac-supply," *Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2008. PESC 2008. IEEE*, pp. 202–207, Sep. 2007.
- [12] C. Rojas, J. Rodriguez, A. Iqbal, H. Abu-Rub, A. Wilson, and S. Moin Ahmed, "A simple modulation scheme for a regenerative cascaded matrix converter," in *IECON 2011 - 37th Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, nov. 2011, pp. 4361–4366.