



Smyth, Neil (2015) Strategic engagement and librarians. In: The academic book of the future. Palgrave pivot . Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, UK, pp. 66-73. ISBN 978-1-137-59576-8; 978-1-137-59577-5

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/34411/1/Strategic%20Engagement%20and%20Librarians%20-%20Nottingham%20ePrints%20version.pdf>

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Strategic Engagement and Librarians

Neil Smyth, Senior Librarian – Faculty of Arts, University of Nottingham,

Abstract:

The future of the academic book is a strategic engagement issue for librarians. Books might not be stored in or purchased for university libraries; they might not even exist in a physical form. How will academic books be organised and accessed in the future, if they are not in libraries? How will librarians at universities engage academic researchers in strategic conversations about the future of their academic books? This chapter argues that conversations between librarians and academic book authors about the future are more important than ever. It puts the current challenges in context, using data from the Research Excellence Framework and the University of Nottingham library catalogue, identifying the strategic role of librarians in shaping the future of the academic book through strategic engagement.

Keywords:

Strategic Engagement
Relationship Management
Research Excellence Framework
Open Access
UK Research Reserve
JSTOR
Library data
Ebooks

Acknowledgements

You're asked to write a chapter in August, and it must be completed by the end of August; you don't know if you can do it. I am grateful for the support of my friends: Clare Boucher, Sam Edwards, Susan Glen and Alyson Tyler. And, Bex Lyon for editing, correcting and encouraging. Thank you all.

Academic books are those books used by academics in their research, and those books written as a result of academic research. While academics write books and prepare reading strategies for students, librarians develop systems, services and infrastructure for organising knowledge. But how do librarians engage academics in conversations about the future of academic books? This chapter examines the relationship between librarians and academic authors. It puts the current challenges into context, using data from the recent Research Excellence Framework (REF) and the University of Nottingham library catalogue, and identifies the role of librarians in shaping the future of the academic book through strategic engagement.

Challenges with the academic book have existed for a long time. While Vice-Chancellor at the University of Nottingham, Colin Campbell spoke about the future of scholarly communication. He described the library as his ‘laboratory,’ but also highlighted some important issues: greater numbers of books being published; student demands increasing; and, academics increasingly requiring specialist material – to name but a few. Through all of these challenges ‘the library is emotionally important to academics and vital to the well-being of any University.’¹ Open Access promised reductions in the cost of subscriptions, but fees are adding to the ‘total cost of publication’ for journals.² It is obvious that if libraries are spending more money on expensive journal subscriptions, there is less money available for books. There is further pressure on funds that could otherwise be invested in academic books.

Academic publishing has been described as a Wild West,³ but it is undeniable that long form publications are important.⁴ Some monographs are literary⁵ or have been described as semi-popular.⁶ During early conversations about the SOFT (Sprinting to the Open FuTure) project at Nottingham, which is part of the wider Academic Book of the Future project, literary outputs were identified as important. The REF is a key factor, as there may be a correlation between monographs and a 4-star rating, creating a strategic university interest in

some academic books. If academic books continue to be important to the REF and funding, it is more important than ever before to consider the place of libraries in this process.

Perhaps the most sensitive issue in the relationship between academic and librarian is the removal of books and other research materials from the library space. Although printed books are being removed from libraries – to create new research reading spaces, for example – they will undoubtedly continue to be in university libraries for a long time. A review of the arts and humanities Authored Books submitted to the REF by the University of Nottingham in 2014 showed that 92% were purchased by the university library, and over 90% were purchased in printed format. There are high expectations for many academics with regard to library holdings, and an even higher expectation that their own authored books will be in the university library.

Consider the removal of journals from libraries. JSTOR has been publicly available since 1997.⁷ In 2012 the University of Nottingham removed most of the arts and humanities printed journals in libraries that were available through JSTOR. There were no objections from academic staff because of conversations over many years and the high level of confidence and trust in JSTOR. Many universities, however, continue to have printed journals on shelves when there is a trusted electronic alternative. The UK Research Reserve (UKRR) was created to de-duplicate journals and release shelf space but it may be extended to monographs.⁸ A focus on the UKRR out of scope materials, such as superseded editions of teaching materials, popular fiction, indexes and newspapers, will allow more time for conversations about the more contentious academic books. For many librarians the academic book of the future will be managed as part of wider, national and international ‘conscious coordination.’⁹ There is a need for conversations about long term planning and this will involve challenges, but it is arguably the ongoing conversation that is important.

There is growing investment in ebooks to improve student access, particularly through new publishing initiatives such as Demand Driven Acquisition and Evidenced Based

Acquisition, or by providing free core readings in e-textbook form to first year undergraduate students.¹⁰ Of the Authored Books submitted to the REF at the University of Nottingham, however, 40% were bought as ebooks and 21% as both print and ebooks, with just 3% as electronic only. These ebooks still tend to look like familiar printed books, but there will be new forms of digital academic book that are not skeuomorphic.¹¹ It seems that for now and in the short term, only a small number of academic books will be available exclusively as ebooks.

Perhaps ebooks do not fit with all research and reading needs. They have been described as one more example of ‘content that never contents.’¹² Some academics report negative experiences using ebooks, terming them as ‘nerve-wrecking’ and ‘an absolute pain.’ They have claimed that ‘no one wants to read the d****d things’ and lamented - ‘it depresses me more than I can say that we can buy electronic resources seemingly without end but not books.’¹³ Librarians have an important role in bridging the digital divide.¹⁴ Some university libraries are now providing combined 3D printing and 3D scanning services.¹⁵ If there are preferences for reading print, new print services may emerge. Libraries may offer services based on printing whole academic books that are available online, flipping the traditional library service development: instead of print to digital; digital to print. More than ever it is essential for librarians to talk with academics about their own research reading, and reading expectations for students, as well as with publishers about the ongoing development of new platforms and new formats for different forms of academic book.

There is an increasing need to put students at the heart of libraries,¹⁶ and to consider students as the academic book authors of the future. If our students, the authors of the future, are to write sustained arguments over 80,000 - 100,000 words, they will need to read arguments of this length. The library is academic-led and library-administered. It is driven by what academics read and write, and by research and publication strategies – so it is important that they are involved with their university library. The arts and humanities collection in the

Hallward Library at the University of Nottingham, for example, has more than one book by an academic author who works within half a mile of the library on almost every row of shelves. Yet there are some authors who never visit the library, even when they live in close proximity. How can librarians inspire students to be authors in the future? One idea is using shelf-end signs with images of book covers or the photographs of authors as Aestheticodes,¹⁷ linking to films of academic authors talking about their books. In this scenario, authors appear when you browse the shelves, reading from the book or talking about the book. Making the physical library connect with the digital library might be one way to inspire students to write the academic books of the future.

Librarians are moving towards new forms of scholarly relationship management.¹⁸ Bains has described the change to a functional structure with separate research and academic engagement teams in one university library.¹⁹ Working in this changing environment is about keeping an agile mind open to many possible alternative futures, and adapting to thrive in whatever new conditions arise.²⁰ Kenny has articulated the challenge:

‘Can library liaisons play a key role in revitalizing human-to-human interactions by engaging individuals collectively in problem solving, creativity, and the production of new knowledge and awareness? Can the library become the center for engagement on campus, with liaisons providing critical human support and analysis that cuts across technology, disciplines, hierarchies, social norms, and institutional and cultural contexts?’²¹

For many librarians in recent years, Open Access has been the basis for strategic engagement. Librarians have been ‘leading change’ in scholarly communication as ‘positional leaders’ and have been ‘an active part of the academic life on campus.’²² Some have developed strategies for ‘relational communications’²³ and Scholarly Conversations²⁴ or used Open Access week ‘to provide leadership on campuses concerning scholarly communications.’²⁵ Changes and adjustments to policies²⁶ provide further opportunities for conversations. There is likely to be

a high level of compliance with the Higher Education Funding Council England policy for Open Access journals and conference proceedings. Although no submitted Authored Books were available in Nottingham ePrints at the last REF, Nottingham authored monographs are becoming available through Open Access,²⁷ and it is likely that many more will be deposited in United Kingdom institutional repositories before REF 2026. One of the challenges for libraries will be integrating Open Access outputs with the indexed content in discovery systems. There is an opportunity for senior academic engagement librarians to focus on strategic and influential faculty conversations, including: the future of academic book contracts; changing publisher policies; licensing and third party copyright; and new forms – from Open Access monographs to nanopublications and research data connected to books.

Working with academic authors is not always smooth, straightforward, or easy. There is a need for collaboration.²⁸ Silver, for example, described how the Authors@UF program enabled librarians to exercise this new role of outreach and engagement by working directly with faculty-scholars to present his or her research, making the library the heart of interaction and strategic engagement.²⁹ However, librarians need support. Posner identified some of the common challenges and complexity facing librarians collaborating and engaging with academics.³⁰

Librarians have a key role in shaping the future of the academic book through strategic engagement with the academic community. More importantly, though, this involves placing academic authors at the heart of libraries and considering students as the authors of the future. We need to better understand how strategic conversations can be effective in shaping digital scholarship and the future of scholarly communication. The AHRC / British Library Academic Book of the Future Project, and this volume of essays, generated by some of those conversations, show how complex and rewarding such collaborations can be.

Notes

1. C. Campbell (1990) 'The Future of Scholarly Communication' in K. Brookfield (ed.) *Scholarly Communication and Serials Prices: Proceedings of a Conference Sponsored by The Standing Conference of National and University Libraries and The British Library Research and Development Department*, 11-13 June.
2. S. Pinfield, J. Salter, P. A. Peter A. Bath (2015) 'The "Total Cost of Publication" in a Hybrid Open-Access Environment: Institutional Approaches to Funding Journal Article-Processing Charges in Combination With Subscriptions', *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*.
3. C. Lambert (2015) 'The "Wild West" of Academic Publishing: the troubled present and promising future of scholarly communication', *Harvard Magazine*.
<http://harvardmagazine.com/2015/01/the-wild-west-of-academic-publishing>, date accessed 25 August 2015.
4. G. Mock (2013) 'Surprising Bright Future for Academic Books', *Duke Today*.
<https://today.duke.edu/2013/12/dukepress>, date accessed 25 August 2015.
5. The University of Nottingham's Research Excellence Framework submission included two novels by Jon McGregor; *Even the Dogs* (2010) and *This Isn't The Sort Of Thing That Happens To Someone Like You* (2013). There was also a collection of poetry by Matthew Welton: *We needed coffee but we'd got ourselves convinced that the later we left it the better it would taste, and, as the country grew flatter and the roads became quiet and dusk began to colour the sky, you could guess from the way we retuned the radio and unfolded the map or commented on the view that the tang of determination had overtaken our thoughts, and when, fidgety and untalkative but almost home, we drew up outside the all-night restaurant, it felt like we might just stay in the car, listening to the engine and the gentle sound of the wind* (2009).
6. The *Analysis of Research Excellence Framework Submitted Outputs for the Arts and Humanities at the University of Nottingham* highlighted examples of academic books that were not submitted to the Research Excellence Framework. For instance, in addition to four publications for the Research Excellence Framework, Professor Stephen Mumford, Dean of the Faculty of Arts at the University of Nottingham, published two very short introductions to causation and metaphysics with Oxford University Press and a book about Sport: *Watching sport: aesthetics, ethics and emotion*.
7. R. C. Schonfeld (2003). *JSTOR: a history* (Princeton: Princeton University Press), p.xvi.
8. D. Yang (2013) 'UK Research Reserve: a sustainable model from print to E?', *Library Management*, 34(4/5):309-23.
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/01435121311328654>, date accessed 25 August 2015.
9. B. Lavoie and C. Malpas (2015) *Stewardship of the Evolving Scholarly Record: From the Invisible Hand to Conscious Coordination* (Dublin, Ohio: OCLC Research),

<http://www.oclc.org/content/dam/research/publications/2015/oclcresearch-esr-stewardship-2015.pdf>, date accessed 25 August 2015.

10. T. Dickinson (2015) 'Free core e-textbooks: a practical way to support students' <http://www.cilip.org.uk/cilip/blog/free-core-e-textbooks-practical-way-support-students>, date accessed 25 August 2015.

11. T. Abba (2013) 'The future of the book shouldn't be skeuomorphic', *New Statesman*, <http://www.newstatesman.com/culture/2013/02/future-book-shouldnt-be-skeuomorphic>, date accessed 25 August 2015.

12. K. Webb (2015) 'The content that never contents', *Times Literary Supplement*, 17 July, p.19.

13. Examples taken from recent correspondence with academic book authors at the University of Nottingham.

14. A. Seyed Vahid and M. Alireza Isfandyari (2008) 'Bridging the digital divide: The role of librarians and information professionals in the third millennium', *The Electronic Library*, 26(2):226-37.

15. There are many examples, such as the Radcliffe Science Library: <http://www.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/science/use/3d-printing>, date accessed 25 August 2015.

16. Department of Business, Innovation and Skills (2011) *Higher Education: Students at the Heart of the System*, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/32409/11-944-higher-education-students-at-heart-of-system.pdf, date accessed 25 August 2015.

17. Aestheticodes: <http://aestheticodes.com/>. Aestheticodes offer the interactivity of QR codes but in a more visually engaging experience.

18. T. Brabazon (2014) 'The disintermediated librarian and a reintermediated future', *The Australian Library Journal*, 63(3):191-205.

19. S. Bains (2013) 'Teaching 'old' librarians new tricks ', *SCONUL Focus*, 58. <http://www.sconul.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/Bains.pdf>, date accessed 25 August 2015.

20. B. Mathews (2014) 'Librarian as Futurist: Changing the Way Libraries Think About the Future', *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 14(3):453-62.

21. A. R. Kenney (2015) 'From Engaging Liaison Librarians to Engaging Communities', *College & Research Libraries*, 76(3):386-91.

22. K. J. Malenfant (2010) 'Leading Change in the System of Scholarly Communication: A Case Study of Engaging Liaison Librarians for Outreach to Faculty', *College and Research Libraries*, 71(1):63-76.

23. M. Vandegrift and G. Colvin (2012) 'Relational communications: Developing key connections', *College & Research Libraries News*, 73(7):386-9.

24. A. M. Wright (2012) 'Starting Scholarly Conversations: A Scholarly Communication Outreach Program', *Journal of Librarianship and Scholarly Communication*, 2(1).
25. P. C. Johnson (2014) 'International Open Access Week at Small to Medium U.S. Academic Libraries: The First Five Years', *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 40(6):626–31.
26. Higher Education Funding Council for England (2015) *Open access in the next Research Excellence Framework: policy adjustments and qualifications*, <http://www.hefce.ac.uk/media/HEFCE,2014/Content/Pubs/2015/CL202015/Print-friendly%20version.pdf>, date accessed 25 August 2015.
27. The book, *Oaths and swearing in ancient Greece* by Alan H. Sommerstein and Isabelle C. Torrance was published by De Gruyter. It is available through Knowledge Unlatched and The OAPEN Library.
28. S. Abram and J. Cromity (2013) 'Collaboration: The Strategic Core of 21 Century Library Strategies', *New Review of Information Networking*, 18(1):40-50.
29. I. Silver (2014) 'Authors@UF Campus Conversation Series: A Case Study', *Public Services Quarterly*, 10(4):263-82.
30. M. Posner (2013) 'No Half Measures: Overcoming Common Challenges to Doing Digital Humanities in the Library', *Journal of Library Administration*, 53: 43-52.