

# Wang, M. and Marshall, R. A. and Edmonds, K. W. and Rushforth, A. W. and Campion, R. P. and Gallagher, B. L. (2014) Determining Curie temperatures in dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors: high Curie temperature (Ga,Mn)As. Applied Physics Letters, 104 . 132406/1-132406/4. ISSN 1077-3118

Access from the University of Nottingham repository: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/34352/1/Wang\_APL2014.pdf

### Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end\_user\_agreement.pdf

#### A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact <a href="mailto:eprints@nottingham.ac.uk">eprints@nottingham.ac.uk</a>





# Determining Curie temperatures in dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors: High Curie temperature (Ga,Mn)As

M. Wang, R. A. Marshall, K. W. Edmonds, A. W. Rushforth, R. P. Campion, and B. L. Gallagher

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **104**, 132406 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4870521 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4870521 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/104/13?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in Determining Curie temperature of (Ga,Mn)As samples based on electrical transport measurements: Low Curie temperature case Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 242103 (2016); 10.1063/1.4953628

Low-temperature magnetotransport behaviors of heavily Mn-doped (Ga,Mn)As films with high ferromagnetic transition temperature Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 182505 (2009); 10.1063/1.3259821

A convenient way of determining the ferromagnetic transition temperature of metallic (Ga,Mn)As J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 063908 (2005); 10.1063/1.1866484

Effect of Be doping on the properties of GaMnAs ferromagnetic semiconductors J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 8307 (2003); 10.1063/1.1556272

Magnetic and transport characteristics on high Curie temperature ferromagnet of Mn-doped GaN J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 7911 (2002); 10.1063/1.1451879



Reuse of AIP Publishing content is subject to the terms at: https://publishing.aip.org/authors/rights-and-permissions. Download to IP: 128.243.2.29 On: Thu, 23 Jun 2016



## Determining Curie temperatures in dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors: High Curie temperature (Ga,Mn)As

M. Wang, R. A. Marshall, K. W. Edmonds, A. W. Rushforth, R. P. Campion, and B. L. Gallagher School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

(Received 21 January 2014; accepted 25 March 2014; published online 3 April 2014)

In this paper, we use simultaneous magnetometry and electrical transport measurements to critically examine ways in which the Curie temperature ( $T_C$ ) values have been determined in studies of dilute magnetic semiconductors. We show that, in sufficiently homogeneous samples,  $T_C$  can be accurately determined from remanent magnetization and magnetic susceptibility and from the positions of the peak in the temperature derivative of the resistivity. We also show that the peak of the resistivity does not occur at  $T_C$ , as illustrated by a (Ga,Mn)As sample for which the peak of the resistivity is at  $213 \pm 1$  K when  $T_C$  is only  $178 \pm 1$  K. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4870521]

The properties of dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors, such as (Ga,Mn)As, have been extensively investigated in recent years resulting in discoveries of new spin-related physical phenomena and functionalities.<sup>1</sup> Dilute magnetic semiconductors are a very interesting class of materials for which the ratio of the Curie temperature,  $T_{\rm C}$ , to moment density can be very high, but which to date have absolute  $T_{\rm C}$  values below room temperature, precluding their exploitation in spintronics technologies. T<sub>C</sub> is a parameter of fundamental importance and internationally many groups are exploring a range of approaches to develop dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors with  $T_{\rm C}$  above room temperature. Several different methods have been used to determine  $T_{\rm C}$  values. Using magnetometry,  $T_{\rm C}$  has been determined from thermo-remanent magnetization measurements,<sup>2,3</sup> or from the temperature-dependence of magnetization under small applied fields. Electrical transport data have also been used to determine  $T_{\rm C}$ . It has been shown that critical fluctuations lead to a peak in the temperature derivative of resistance,  $dR_{xx}/dT(T)$ , in both dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors<sup>4</sup> and ferromagnetic metals,<sup>5</sup> where in both cases the carrier and moment densities are comparable. For ferromagnetic metals, it has been shown that the peak in  $dR_{xx}/dT(T)$  and in the specific heat at  $T_{C}$  have the same functional form, as is predicted.<sup>6</sup> Experimentally it has been shown that the position of the peak in  $dR_{xx}/dT(T)$  in ferromagnetic semiconductors can be used to obtain quite accurate values of  $T_{\rm C}$ .<sup>5</sup> In dense moment ferromagnetic semiconductors, the moment density is much larger than the carrier density and it is only long wavelength spin fluctuations which scatter carriers effectively.<sup>7</sup> In this situation,  $R_{xx}(T)$  has a peak at  $T_{\rm C}$ .<sup>8</sup> In early studies of dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors, the position of the peak in  $R_{xx}(T)$  was mistakenly identified as  $T_{\rm C}^{9,10}$  and recently a peak in  $R_{\rm xx}(T)$  at 205 K in a (Ga,Mn)As sample was taken to imply an apparent record  $T_{\rm C}$  of 205 K.<sup>11</sup> Finally,  $T_{\rm C}$  values have been obtained from measurements of anomalous Hall resistances,  $R_{xy}$ , by assuming a specific relationship between  $R_{xy}$  and magnetization.<sup>12</sup>

Close to  $T_{\rm C}$  all the magnetic properties of ferromagnetic materials are determined by critical fluctuations. In the critical region just below a paramagnetic/ferromagnetic phase

transition, for zero applied field, the magnetization has power-law behavior given by  $M \propto (1 - T/T_C)^{\beta}$ .<sup>13</sup> Similarly, just above  $T_C$  the low-field susceptibility  $\chi$  is given by  $\chi \propto (T/T_C - 1)^{-\gamma}$ . In these expressions, the specific values of the critical exponents,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , depend on the dimensionality and the nature of the order parameter. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}M}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\beta}(T_{\mathrm{C}} - T) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}\chi}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}(T - T_{\mathrm{C}}).$$
 (2)

So plots of  $(dln M/dT)^{-1}$  or  $(dln \chi/dT)^{-1}$  against *T* should yield the value of the  $T_{\rm C}$  from the intercept on the *T*-axis without any assumption about the values of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , and the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  values can be obtained from the gradients. These Kouvel-Fisher (KF) plots<sup>14</sup> are established as the best approach for the determination of the critical temperature and exponents from measured data. We will demonstrate elsewhere that the critical exponent values obtained by this method for (Ga,Mn)As are consistent with the threedimensional (3D)-Heisenberg model,<sup>15</sup> but in this paper our focus is on obtaining  $T_{\rm C}$  values.

The samples studied are 25 nm thick (Ga,Mn)As layers grown on semi-insulating GaAs substrates, with a nominal manganese concentration of 12%. The sample used for the simultaneous magnetometry and transport measurements was annealed for 48 h at 180 °C, a procedure which we have found results in high  $T_{\rm C}$  values.<sup>3</sup>

In previous studies which compared magnetic and transport properties of dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors, separate pieces from the same wafer were used for magnetometry and transport measurements. This is problematic since (i) the processing of samples for transport measurements can change the materials properties and (ii) the two different measuring systems used must have the same accurate temperature calibration. In the present study, transport and magnetometry measurements were performed simultaneously on the same sample within a Quantum Design superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, using a specially designed ultra-low magnetic moment probe. A simple four-contact design was used to fabricate contact pads onto the sample, which was 4 mm by 5 mm, by standard photolithography and evaporation of a 20 nm layer of titanium and 100 nm layer of gold. The contacts were not annealed and care was taken to avoid heating the sample during evaporation.

The approximate  $T_{\rm C}$  value was established in initial measurements. Remanent magnetization was measured by first field-cooling from room temperature at 300 Oe, and then measuring the sample magnetization while warming in zero applied field. A temperature step size of 0.1 K/min was used in the temperature range around  $T_{\rm C}$  to maintain quasi-static conditions, ensure accurate temperature measurement and maximize the number of data points over the critical region. To obtain low-field susceptibility data, M(H) measurements were taken over a field range of  $\pm 200$  Oe at intervals of 0.5 K up to 10 K above  $T_{\rm C}$ , and  $\chi$  was obtained from a linear fit to M(H).

Fig. 1 shows data for the sample before the contacts for the transport measurements were added. Fig. 1(a) shows the remanent magnetization and inverse susceptibility along the [110] crystalline axis. This (Ga,Mn)As material has strong uniaxial anisotropy and is in a single domain state after field cooling,<sup>16</sup> so the total remanent magnetization is equal to the

projection of remanent magnetization along the  $[1\overline{10}]$  easy axis. This can be seen from the comparison in Fig. 1(b) of the measured remanent and field cooled magnetizations which are almost identical apart from close to  $T_{\rm C}$ . It can be seen from Fig. 1(a) that the measured remanent magnetization falls rapidly with increasing temperature and one might estimate a  $T_{\rm C}$  of about 184 K from the data.

The measured inverse susceptibility above  $T_{\rm C}$  shown in Fig. 1(a) clearly does not increase linearly with T- $T_{\rm C}$ , i.e., it does not show the Curie-Weiss behavior predicted by the mean field model. If one tries to obtain  $T_{\rm C}$  from such a linear fit, the value obtained will be significantly above the true value.

Fig. 1(c) shows the magnetization and susceptibility KF plots. We have applied linear fits to the data close to  $T_{\rm C}$  (within ~2%) but have excluded data points within ~1 K of  $T_{\rm C}$ . The fits give  $T_{\rm C}$  values of  $183.7 \pm 0.1$  K and  $183.9 \pm 0.1$  K, respectively. The measured M(T) data clearly deviates from linear behavior close to  $T_{\rm C}$ . The solid line in Fig. 1(a) is the magnetization calculated from the linear fit of the KF plot. This reveals the nature of the deviation of M(T) from power law behavior. The magnetization is suppressed in the region just below  $T_{\rm C}$  and enhanced above it, consistent with a small broadening of the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition due to inhomogeneity.<sup>17</sup>

The effect of broadening on the M(T) plot was simulated by numerical evaluation of the following equation, which assumes a Gaussian distribution of  $T_{\rm C}$ :



FIG. 1. (a) The projection of the temperature dependent remanent magnetization along the  $[1\bar{1}0]$  crystalline axis: measurements (open diamonds) and the behavior from the linear fit to the KF plots for these measurements (solid line) and the measured inverse susceptibility (red dots). (b) The projection of the temperature dependent remanent (red solid line) and field cooled (green short dashes) magnetization along the  $[1\bar{1}0]$  crystalline axis. (c) The KF plots of  $(dlnM/dT)^{-1}$  and  $(dln\chi/dT)^{-1}$ . The lines are the linear fittings within the critical region. (d) The calculated results of M(T) for power law behavior using the critical exponent from the remanent magnetization KF plot with Gaussian broadening  $\Delta T_C$  of 0 K (black solid line), 0.5 K (red dashes), 1 K (green short dashes), and 1.5 K (blue dots). The diamond points are the experimental data.

$$M(T) = \frac{M_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta T_{\rm C}} \int \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_{\rm C}}\right)^{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(T_{\rm C} - T_{\rm C0}\right)^2}{2\Delta T_{\rm C}^2}\right) dT_{\rm C}.$$
(3)

Fig. 1(d) shows calculated results, using the critical exponent  $\beta$  and the mean critical temperature  $T_{C0}$  values obtained from the KF plot, for several values for the Gaussian broadening  $\Delta T_{C}$ . This shows that the measured M(T) close to  $T_{C}$  are similar to those expected for a weakly inhomogeneous sample with a broadening of the critical region of order 0.5 K.

Fig. 2 shows the measured M(T),  $\rho_{xx}(T)$ , and  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$ for the sample after the fabrication of contacts for electrical measurements. Despite the precautions taken, the processing has clearly had a small influence on the sample. The  $T_C$  has reduced by about 1 K and a shoulder is now present in the magnetization below  $T_C$ . This possibly indicates that the material under the contacts has a slightly different  $T_C$  from that of the uncovered material. This behavior precludes using KF plots to obtain a high accuracy  $T_C$  value. However, it is clear that the position of the peak in  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  is in good agreement with the  $T_C$  estimated from the remanence while the peak of  $\rho_{xx}(T)$  is ~14 K higher. The peak in  $\rho_{xx}(T)$  does not therefore arise from critical fluctuations since it occurs well above  $T_C$ .

For an ideal homogeneous ferromagnetic metal or dilute ferromagnetic semiconductor, the temperature dependence of  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  would have a singularity at  $T_C$  and would follow that of the specific heat, and can be expressed as<sup>5</sup>

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{xx}}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)_{calc} = \frac{\mathrm{a}}{\alpha}(|1 - T/T_{\mathrm{C}}|^{-\alpha} - 1) + \mathrm{b},\tag{4}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the critical exponent, a and b are constants obtained from the fitting outside of the critical region. However, for inhomogeneous samples, the singularity is replaced with a finite peak, which is shifted to lower temperatures due to the asymmetry in the behavior of  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$ above and below  $T_{\rm C}$ .<sup>6</sup> The effect of Gaussian broadening of  $T_{\rm C}$  on the critical behavior of  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  was simulated using

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\mathrm{xx}}}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta T_{\mathrm{C}}} \int \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\mathrm{xx}}}{\mathrm{d}T}\right)_{calc} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(T_{\mathrm{C}} - T_{\mathrm{C0}}\right)^{2}}{2\Delta T_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}\right) dT_{\mathrm{C}}.$$
(5)

The calculated results with various values of the Gaussian broadening  $\Delta T_{\rm C}$  are shown in Fig. 2(c). This again shows that, close to  $T_{\rm C}$ , the measured  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  are similar to those expected for an inhomogeneous sample with a ~0.5 K broadening of the critical region. The calculated results also clearly show the shift of the peak to lower temperatures with increasing Gaussian broadening.

Fig. 3 shows similar results for annealed samples from a different wafer with a nominal Mn concentration of 12%. In this case, the transport and magnetometry measurements were not performed simultaneously. However, the  $T_{\rm C}$  values from magnetometry and from the position of the peak in  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  are in agreement while the peak in  $\rho_{xx}(T)$  is 34 K



FIG. 2. Simultaneous measurements of (a) the projection of the temperature dependent remanent magnetization along the  $[1\bar{1}0]$  easy axis, and (b) the temperature dependence of the resistivity and the temperature derivative of the resistivity ( $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$ ), for a 12% Mn 25 nm thick (Ga,Mn)As sample. (c) Calculated results of  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  for power law behavior using the critical exponent from the KF plots with Gaussian broadening  $\Delta T_C$  of 0K (black solid line), 0.5 K (red dashes), 1 K (green short dashes), and 1.5 K (blue dots). Diamond points: the experimental data.

above  $T_{\rm C}$ . For this sample, one would conclude that  $T_{\rm C} = 213 \pm 1 \,\mathrm{K}$  (a world record) if the  $\rho_{\rm xx}(T)$  peak position is taken as  $T_{\rm C}$  when the actual  $T_{\rm C}$  is only  $179 \pm 1 \,\mathrm{K}$ . Moreover, from the peak position of  $\rho_{\rm xx}(T)$  one would conclude that the  $T_{\rm C}$  is higher for the sample shown in Fig. 3 than for the sample shown in Fig. 2, when the opposite is true. Therefore, as expected from the theory of scattering from critical fluctuations, the peak in  $\rho_{\rm xx}(T)$  is not related to  $T_{\rm C}$  and cannot be used to determine  $T_{\rm C}$ .

The experimental results presented here are for samples which are quite homogeneous with a remanent magnetization which falls very rapidly to zero close to  $T_{\rm C}$  and for which fitting to the data indicates a  $T_{\rm C}$  broadening of order 0.5 K for a  $T_{\rm C}$  of order 180 K. In Fig. 4, we show calculated results showing the effects of larger values of broadening on both M(T) and  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$ . The values of  $T_{\rm C}$  and  $\Delta T_{\rm C}$  used in the calculation are chosen so as to reproduce the behavior seen in Fig. 3 of Ref. 4 in which as-grown samples were subject to a series of annealing steps which successively increase the  $T_{\rm C}$ and the sample homogeneity. With increasing broadening,



FIG. 3. (a) The projection of the temperature dependent remanent magnetization along the [110] easy axis for a second 12% Mn 25nm thick (Ga,Mn)As sample. (b) The temperature dependence of the resistivity and the temperature derivative of the resistivity  $(d\rho_{xx}/dT(T))$  from a Hall bar patterned from the same wafer.



FIG. 4. (a) The calculated results of M(T) for power law behavior with Heisenberg critical exponents with  $T_C$  and Gaussian broadening  $\Delta T_C$ . (b) Calculated results of  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  for the same parameters as (a). The T<sub>C</sub> for each of the curves is 50 K, 100 K, 130 K, 160 K, and 180 K, respectively, with  $\Delta T_C$  equal to 5 K, 4 K, 3 K, 2 K, and 1 K, respectively.

M(T) has an increasing tail extending well above the mean  $T_{\rm C}$ , and it becomes increasingly difficult to estimate  $T_{\rm C}$  from M(T). At the same time, the  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  peak moves below  $T_{\rm C}$ . For the situation of significant inhomogeneity modeled by these calculations, which applies to early studies of (Ga,Mn)As,<sup>9</sup> one can at best make rather imprecise estimates of the mean  $T_{\rm C}$  from the measured M(T) or  $d\rho_{\rm xx}/dT(T)$ . Note that the behavior of Fig. 4, and Fig. 3 of Ref. 4, is very different from the observed behavior of fully annealed samples over a range of Mn concentrations (Fig. 2 of Ref. 4), for which the peak in  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  remains sharp and close to  $T_{\rm C}$ even when the Mn concentration and  $T_{\rm C}$  are low.

In conclusion, we have shown that KF plots of remanent magnetization or susceptibility can give accurate values of  $T_{\rm C}$ . However, homogeneity limits the temperature range over which such plots show linear behavior and leads to overestimation of  $T_{\rm C}$  if one tries to identify this as "the temperature at which the magnetization goes to zero." We have also shown, by simultaneous magnetometry and transport measurements, that the peak in  $d\rho_{xx}/dT(T)$  can be used to obtain an accurate estimate of  $T_{\rm C}$ , though inhomogeneity generally leads to the peak position being slightly below  $T_{\rm C}$ . We have demonstrated that the peak in  $\rho_{xx}(T)$  can be far above  $T_C$  and cannot be used to estimate  $T_{\rm C}$  values.

We acknowledge the funding from EU Grant 214499-NAMASTE, EU ERC advanced Grant 268066, and EPSRC Grant EP/H002294.

- <sup>1</sup>T. Jungwirth, J. Sinova, J. Mašek, J. Kučera, and A. H. MacDonald, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 809 (2006); T. Dietl, Nature Mater. 9, 965 (2010).
- <sup>2</sup>K. W. Edmonds, P. Bogusławski, K. Y. Wang, R. P. Campion, S. N. Novikov, N. R. S. Farley, B. L. Gallagher, C. T. Foxon, M. Sawicki, T. Dietl, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, and J. Bernholc, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 037201 (2004); M. Sawicki, K.-Y. Wang, K. W. Edmonds, R. P. Campion, C. R. Staddon, N. R. S. Farley, C. T. Foxon, E. Papis, E. Kamińska, A. Piotrowska, T. Dietl, and B. L. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. B 71, 121302(R) (2005).
- <sup>3</sup>M. Wang, R. P. Campion, A. W. Rushforth, K. W. Edmonds, C. T. Foxon, and B. L. Gallagher, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 132103 (2008).
- <sup>4</sup>V. Novák, K. Olejník, J. Wunderlich, M. Cukr, K. Vyborny, A. W. Rushforth, K. W. Edmonds, R. P. Campion, B. L. Gallagher, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 077201 (2008).
- <sup>5</sup>L. W. Shacklette, Phys. Rev. B 9, 3789 (1974); P. P. Craig, W. I. Goldburg, T. A. Kitchens, and J. I. Budnick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1334 (1967).
- <sup>6</sup>D. Kim, B. L. Zink, F. Hellman, S. McCall, G. Cao, and J. E. Crow, Phys. Rev. B 67, 100406(R) (2003).
- <sup>7</sup>P. G. de Gennes and J. Friedel, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 71 (1958).
- <sup>8</sup>C. Haas, Crit. Rev. Solid State Sci. 1, 47 (1970).
- <sup>9</sup>A. Van Esch, L. Van Bockstal, J. De Boeck, G. Verbanck, A. S. van Steenbergen, P. J. Wellmann, B. Grietens, R. Bogaerts, F. Herlach, and G. Borghs, Phys. Rev. B 56, 13103 (1997).
- <sup>10</sup>S. J. Potashnik, K. C. Ku, S. H. Chun, J. J. Berry, N. Samarth, and P. Schiffer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 1495 (2001); S. J. Potashnik, K. C. Ku, R. Mahendiran, S. H. Chun, R. F. Wang, N. Samarth, and P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. B 66, 012408 (2002); K. F. Eid, B. L. Sheu, O. Maksimov, M. B. Stone, P. Schiffer, and N. Samarth, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 152505 (2005).
- <sup>11</sup>L. Chen, X. Yang, F. Yang, J. H. Zhao, J. Misuraca, P. Xiong, and S. von Molnár, Nano Lett. 11, 2584 (2011).
- <sup>12</sup>F. Matsukura, H. Ohno, A. Shen, and Y. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. B 57, R2037 (1998); H. Ohno, Science 281, 951 (1998); K. W. Edmonds, K. Y. Wang, R. P. Campion, A. C. Neumann, N. R. S. Farley, B. L. Gallagher, and C. T. Foxon, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 4991 (2002).
- <sup>13</sup>H. Eugene Stanley, Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena (Oxford University Press, Incorporated, 1987).
- <sup>14</sup>J. S. Kouvel and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. **136**, A1626 (1964).
- <sup>15</sup>M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi, and E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144520 (2002).
- <sup>16</sup>K. Y. Wang, M. Sawicki, K. W. Edmonds, R. P. Campion, S. Maat, C. T.
- Foxon, B. L. Gallagher, and T. Dietl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 217204 (2005).
- <sup>17</sup>M. D. Kuz'min and A. M. Tishan, Europhys. Lett. **73**, 396 (2006).