



Odbert, Henry M. and Grebby, Stephen (2014) A note on geographical systems and maps of Montserrat. *Memoirs*, 39 . pp. 489-494. ISSN 2041-4722

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/33875/1/Odbert_%26_Grebby_2014.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

A Note on Geographic Systems and Maps of Montserrat, West Indies

Henry M. Odbert^{1,2} & Stephen Grebby³

1. Montserrat Volcano Observatory, Montserrat
2. Seismic Research Centre, The University of The West Indies, Trinidad
3. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, UK

Abstract

It is often critically important that geospatial data are measured and mapped accurately, particularly for quantitative analyses and numerical modelling applications. Defining a geographic coordinate system requires a non-unique combination of geodetic techniques (e.g. ellipsoids, projections and geoids). The choice of geographic system presents scope for ambiguity and confusion about geographic data, especially those archived without appropriate metadata. Experience has shown that these confusions have been a repeating source of either frustration or inadvertent error for those using geographic data from Montserrat. This is, in part, probably due to common usage of multiple datums and the existence of numerous topographic data sets recorded during the past 150 years. Here, we attempt to provide a brief introduction to geodetic principles and their application to Montserrat geographic data. The differences between common datums are illustrated and we describe variations in magnetic declination as they apply to field use of magnetic instruments. We include a record of the source of the large-scale mapping data sets that have been used and analysed ubiquitously in the literature. The descriptions here are intended as an introductory reference resource for those using geographic data from Montserrat.

Number of Words: 3352

Number of References: 18

Number of Tables: 2

Number of Figures: 3

Abbreviated Title: Montserrat Geographic Systems & Maps

30 Accurate mapping is essential in most areas of geoscience. To understand geospatial data we
31 adopt coordinate systems in which we are able define position and velocity. However, the choice
32 and specification of the coordinate system is not unique and, over the centuries, geodesists have
33 derived a multitude of methods for describing three-dimensional (3D) geographical data.
34 Commonly, this invokes the use of a reference ellipsoid, which models the approximate shape of
35 the Earth's surface; a projection, which translates that ellipsoid into two dimensions (2D); and
36 sometimes a specific reference surface from which height is measured (e.g. sea level). Confusion
37 between different coordinate systems can be – and has been on occasions – a source of
38 significant error when using and comparing geospatial data. The explanations and data given
39 here include a brief summary of the most commonly used systems on Montserrat. Descriptions
40 and derivations of various ellipsoids, geoids and projections are widely available elsewhere (e.g.
41 Robinson *et al.*, 1995). Specifications are also provided here to assist configuration of field tools
42 such as handheld GPS receivers. A summary of the changes in magnetic declination for
43 Montserrat since 1995 is also included. The information herein is intended purely as a practical
44 introduction for those using geospatial data from Montserrat, not as an exhaustive description of
45 cartographic methods.

47 **1. Ellipsoids, Projections and Geoids**

48 There exists a plethora of simple geometrical ellipsoidal models that approximately describe the
49 shape of the Earth. An ellipsoid's shape and size is defined by the lengths of its three mutually
50 perpendicular radii. A *geodetic* ellipsoid is symmetrical around its polar axis such that its shape
51 may thus be defined by just two parameters: the equatorial and polar radii (a and b , respectively).
52 These may be given explicitly or via a flattening factor, f , that relates a to b , where $f = (a-b)/a$.
53 Flattening is also often cited in inverse form: $1/f$. The origin (centre) of any two ellipsoids may
54 be offset in space. An ellipsoid may thus be described by five parameters: the offset of its origin
55 from the Earth's centre of mass (dX , dY and dZ – see Figure 1); the equatorial radius; and either
56 the polar radius or the flattening factor. In some cases, additional parameters may be required
57 (e.g. coordinate axis rotation), but this will not apply herein. Ideally, an ellipsoid would
58 approximate mean sea level (or, more specifically, the geoid – see below) on a global scale.
59 However, this is not the case due to the unevenness that means even globally-defined ellipsoids
60 can vary from mean sea level by over 100 metres in some regions. Accordingly, this has given

61 rise to many different ellipsoid definitions, with models often optimised to fit sea level well over
62 a particular geographical region.

63 Adopting an ellipsoid as a simplified geometrical representation of the Earth allows for
64 geometrical translation of features on the surface of that ellipsoid onto a 2D plane (i.e. a map).
65 However, in performing such translations (projections), geometrical relationships (e.g. distance,
66 azimuth, shape, area, etc.) cannot *all* be fully preserved on any single map. The method for
67 projecting information from the ellipsoid onto a map thus depends on which properties takes
68 precedence and requires the according compromises. Numerous projections exist, but focus is
69 given here only to those in common use on Montserrat. The Transverse Mercator (TM) method
70 offers a suitable strategy for map projection on Montserrat and is described in brief in the next
71 section. For small areas, such as Montserrat, distortions due to the curvature of the ellipsoid can
72 usually be neglected. It is notable, however, that such assumptions may be inappropriate for
73 precision applications (e.g. ground deformation surveying).

74 A geoid is an equipotential surface that closely aligns with mean sea level around the globe –
75 typically to within a couple of metres of local mean sea level – and can be measured through
76 precise gravitational surveying. Unlike an ellipsoid, the geoid is complex in its shape, with
77 undulations caused by the heterogeneous distribution of mass around the Earth. Recent geoid
78 models have been derived using a combination of data from spaceborne gravity surveys (e.g.
79 GRACE and GOCE). The geoid offset for a specific location – given as the vertical offset
80 between the geoid model and an ellipsoid – may be computed using published model spherical
81 harmonic coefficients or interpolated from gridded geoid data (NGA, 2012).

82

83 **2. Datums Used On Montserrat**

84

85 For reasons discussed below, the two most commonly used ellipsoids are the Clarke 1880 and
86 World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) ellipsoids. Geographic coordinates are usually expressed
87 either in terms of geodetic latitude and longitude (in degrees) or via a TM projection. Heights are
88 measured relative to a vertical reference surface – usually the ellipsoid or, sometimes, a geoid
89 model. It is important to be sure that a common datum (the combination of ellipsoid, projection
90 *and* vertical reference) is used when considering multiple spatial datasets. Similarly, it is critical
91 that the implications of the projection (i.e. distortion) are considered in analyses where spatial
92 data are manipulated or analysed quantitatively.

93 Pre-eruption maps of Montserrat are derived from aerial photographs acquired in the 1950s on
94 behalf of the British Government's Directorate of Overseas Surveys (DOS, 1983). The map data
95 derived from these surveys were plotted using the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid and either geodetic
96 coordinates or a customised metric TM projection, referred to herein as the British West Indies
97 (BWI) grid. This datum is used by the Government of Montserrat Lands and Survey Department
98 and was initially adopted by staff and colleagues at the Montserrat Volcano Observatory (MVO;
99 e.g. Kokelaar, 2002). In recent years, the use of the WGS84 and Universal Transverse Mercator
100 (UTM) datum has become more prevalent for representing data gathered on Montserrat as it
101 provides a standardised approach to referencing geographic data. The following summaries
102 describe these systems and appropriate parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2.

103

104 *2.1 Earth-Centred Earth-Fixed Coordinates*

105

106 Positions given in Earth-Centred Earth-Fixed (ECEF) coordinates refer to a 3D Cartesian
107 coordinate system, with its origin at the Earth's centre of mass (the WGS84 origin, as shown in
108 Figure 1) and axes aligned as follows: the *Z* axis is approximately aligned with Earth's axis of
109 rotation (the International Earth Rotation Service, IERS, Reference Pole). The *X* axis is
110 perpendicular to *Z* and passes through the IERS Reference Meridian (near the Greenwich
111 Meridian), and the *Y* axis is mutually perpendicular to *Z* and *X* (NIMA, 2004).

112 Values of position, distance, angle and velocity can be defined explicitly and unambiguously in
113 this system without the need of a reference surface or projection. This can be advantageous when
114 handling position or velocity data outside of a geographic context, as there is no distortion due to
115 projection. However, ECEF coordinates bear no intuitive relation to other features on the Earth
116 and are often not useful for cartographic or geographic applications.

117

118 *2.2 Ellipsoids*

119

120 Two ellipsoids have been used predominantly in mapping Montserrat over the past century: the
121 WGS84 ellipsoid and the more region-specific Clarke 1880 ellipsoid. Geodetic coordinates
122 (degrees of latitude and longitude) can be used in conjunction with any ellipsoid but a given
123 position will mark a different position on the ground, depending on the ellipsoid used.

124 In recent decades, the WGS84 ellipsoid has become an international standard for geodetic
125 applications, against which other systems' parameters are conventionally referenced. The origin
126 of the coordinate system (Figure 1) is taken as the Earth's centre of mass, measured and updated
127 using satellite and orbital measurements, and is coincident with the ECEF origin. The WGS84
128 ellipsoid was devised as an approximate fit to the global mean sea level (via the geoid), and thus
129 typically results in regional deviations of many tens of metres. The WGS84 ellipsoid reference
130 surface is around 41 m above sea level near Montserrat (Figure 2), for example. Due to changes
131 in the location of the Earth's centre of mass and the accuracy with which it can be measured, the
132 WGS84 has undergone several revisions since it was first realised. While the differences
133 between versions are small – usually negligible for navigation purposes, for example – they can
134 be significant for precision surveying applications.

135 The Clarke 1880 ellipsoid differs in both shape (more oblate) and origin (offset by about 540 m)
136 from the WGS84 ellipsoid (Table 1, Figure 1). The Clarke 1880 ellipsoid surface is about
137 equivalent to sea level in the Lesser Antilles region and the British Ordnance Survey adopted it
138 for 20th Century mapping work. Significant horizontal and vertical offsets can exist between
139 coordinates referenced to the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid versus the WGS84 ellipsoid. For example, a
140 point in Montserrat defined by geodetic coordinates (lat./ long.) on the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid
141 would be about 400 m northeast of the point with the same coordinates on the WGS84 ellipsoid.
142 The offset is due to the difference in ellipsoid shape and origin and the exact difference depends
143 on the three-dimensional position of the point in question. Furthermore, the vertical difference
144 between the two ellipsoids also varies spatially. In Montserrat, the offset is around 38 m
145 (WGS84 is higher); variations are illustrated in Figure 2. These examples highlight the
146 importance of explicit datum referencing to avoid position ambiguity or errors.

147

148 *2.3 Projections*

149

150 The common map projection employed for Montserrat is the TM projection. The TM method
151 figuratively uses a cylinder, wrapped around the ellipsoid, with its central axis parallel to the
152 ellipsoid's equatorial plane. The great circle at which the ellipsoid meets the cylinder is the
153 'central meridian' on the ellipsoid. The projection is then performed by 'unwrapping' the
154 cylinder from the ellipsoid, translating features on the ellipsoid onto a 2D plane (see illustrations
155 by Robinson *et al.*, 1995). Distortion caused by this type of projection is minimised along the

156 chosen central meridian and it is therefore ideal to select a central meridian close to the region of
157 interest. Northing and Easting coordinates may then be measured, in units of length, eastward
158 from the central meridian and northward from the equatorial plane, respectively. Often, an
159 arbitrary offset is applied to the Easting coordinate so that positions west of the central meridian
160 do not have negative values. TM projections can thus be readily tailored to specific cartographic
161 requirements, as desired, and can be applied to any ellipsoid. The BWI grid is an example of a
162 TM projection used for mapping parts of the West Indies region (e.g. DOS, 1983), typically in
163 conjunction with the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid (Table 2).

164 The UTM system is a series of standardised TM projections that cover the globe in a series of
165 sixty numbered ‘zones’; each zone has its own central meridian, spaced six degrees of longitude
166 from the next zone. Any position in the world can be identified by values of Easting, Northing
167 and UTM Zone number, and whether the point is in the northern or southern hemisphere.

168 Subdivision of latitudinal zones in the UTM system (denoted by letters) is somewhat redundant
169 as long as the hemisphere is specified. The UTM system uses the WGS84 ellipsoid (Table 2).

170 Montserrat falls within UTM Zone 20Q (also 20-North or 20N – the latter raising ambiguity with
171 latitude Zone N).

172 It is notable that the choice of TM projection does not inherently define the vertical reference
173 surface (ellipsoid or geoid) against which elevation is measured. However, two common pairings
174 have generally been used on Montserrat: the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid with BWI TM grid *or* the
175 WGS84 ellipsoid with UTM grid.

176

177 *2.4 Geoids & ‘Sea Level’*

178

179 The Earth Gravitational Model 1996 (EGM96; NGA, 2012) is used as the reference geoid for the
180 WGS84. Earlier and more recent geoid models exist, with varying sophistication and accuracy.

181 The WGS84 geoid provides a separate alternative as a standard vertical reference surface with
182 the attraction that it is, by definition, close to the average ocean surface level. Figure 2 shows the
183 vertical offset of the WGS84 EGM96 geoid from the WGS84 ellipsoid around Montserrat. The
184 geoid has not generally been used as a vertical reference for Montserrat geographic data owing
185 partly to the additional complexity of computing or interpolating geoid offsets (e.g. Figure 2).

186 However, it is necessary to recognise that multiple vertical datums exist in the WGS84. Heights

187 referenced to the geoid (EGM96) are often used for larger-scale mapping and/or spaceborne
188 surveying such as the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) global topography model.
189 A third convention for measuring topographic height is mean sea level. Sea level can be
190 measured using one or more tide gauges in the area of interested. Commonly, however, heights
191 given ‘above sea level’ (asl) refer directly to the geoid height (NIMA, 2000). This introduces
192 ambiguity in the use of the term ‘sea level’. There are currently no reference tide gauge sea level
193 measurements on Montserrat.

194

195 *2.5 Maps of Montserrat*

196

197 The most widely available published map of Montserrat (DOS, 1983) is referenced to the Clarke
198 1880 ellipsoid and has coordinates expressed in the BWI TM grid (Easting and Northing, in
199 metres, height in feet) and in geodetic coordinates (latitude and longitude, in degrees and
200 minutes). DEMs derived from this map (described later), along with various archived
201 georeferenced data from Montserrat, use the same system. Since about 2009, MVO have adopted
202 the WGS84 ellipsoid as a reference and use the UTM grid (Zone 20Q, Easting and Northing in
203 metres, ellipsoidal height also in metres). Datum parameters (given in Table 2) may be used to
204 correctly configure instruments, such as handheld GPS receivers, and software appropriately.

205

206 **3. Converting Between Coordinate Systems**

207

208 It is often desirable or necessary to convert geospatial data from one coordinate system to
209 another. For example, quantitative analyses might be performed using ECEF coordinates and
210 then converted to geographic coordinates for visualisation. Conversion formulae are derived
211 from the geometrical form of each reference system, and are described widely in the literature.
212 There also exist numerous programs and web-based tools for performing coordinate
213 transformations. The software tools named here do not represent an exhaustive list of available
214 options but are given as a starting point. A comprehensive database of reference systems is
215 maintained online by Butler *et al.* (2012).

216 The ArcGIS software package (ESRI, Redlands, California) and open source equivalents (e.g.
217 QGIS; www.qgis.org) are popular and powerful interfaces for handling and manipulating
218 geospatial data. Such data may be explicitly assigned to a map datum and the software is

219 generally capable of relating or converting data between multiple coordinate systems. ArcGIS
220 and many similar programs use the Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL, 2012) to
221 perform datum translations. GDAL may be freely downloaded and used as a standalone, multi-
222 platform program. Programs such as GDAL and proj (Evenden, 2003) perform command-line
223 and batch-mode conversion that allows straightforward incorporation into other programs and
224 scripts. Coordinate systems are often indexed using a unique European Petroleum Survey Group
225 (EPSG) code, as listed by Butler *et al.* (2012) and in Table 2. The following example command
226 uses the ‘cs2cs’ command in proj to convert a position on Montserrat (near the volcanic vent)
227 from Clarke 1880 BWI TM to WGS84 UTM 20Q coordinates:

```
228     Input:  
229         cs2cs +init=epsg:2004 +to +init=epsg:32620  
230         380915      1847084      700  
231     Output:  
232         587842.27  1847829.34  661.98
```

233 In this example, EPSG codes (Table 2) are used as shorthand for the two map datums. Datum
234 parameters and other details (such as output precision) can be specified explicitly and input
235 values can be typed (as in this example) or given as an input file. Extensive documentation is
236 available for these and other conversion programs and the reader is directed there for further
237 information.

238

239 **4. Digital Maps of Montserrat**

240

241 Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) are grids, rasters or point files containing topographic height
242 information. They are an extremely useful resource for many geospatial applications. In the
243 context of Montserrat, DEMs have been essential for measuring topographic changes during the
244 Soufrière Hills Volcano (SHV) eruption as well as for providing constraint on numerical models
245 of eruptive processes. The following descriptions briefly document the origin of large scale
246 Montserrat DEMs that have been widely used by the volcanology community.

247 The British Ordnance Survey’s Directorate of Overseas Surveys (DOS) used photogrammetric
248 survey data – collected in the mid 20th Century – to generate a series of published topographic
249 maps. In 1986 G.Wadge manually digitised the latest edition (DOS, 1983) from original DOS
250 acetate contour sheets. DEM accuracy is affected by error in photogrammetric topography

251 retrieval – exacerbated by dense vegetation on the island – and digitisation error. The latter was
252 estimated at about 1/3 of the 50-foot contour interval (G. Wadge, pers. commun.). The resulting
253 ‘1995’, or ‘pre-eruption’, DEM (at 10 m grid intervals, available at www.nerc-essc.ac.uk/~gw)
254 has since been used extensively by the research community. The original DEM was generated
255 using the Clarke 1880 ellipsoid and BWI TM grid.

256 The accumulation of erupted volcanic material since 1995 has resulted in major changes in the
257 island’s topography and coastline. Various surveying work has been conducted throughout the
258 eruption to measure and record these changes at different spatial and temporal scales (e.g. Jones,
259 2006; Wadge *et al.*, 2008). An airborne LiDAR survey was commissioned by MVO in 2010 and
260 yielded the most extensive and detailed topographic survey recorded since the start of the
261 eruption. The survey was conducted using a helicopter-mounted scanner with on-board high-rate
262 GPS tracking which was later processed using ground control GPS data supplied by MVO. The
263 survey covered most of the island to the south of the Centre Hills, except for regions above about
264 750 m (asl), which could not be surveyed due to low cloud cover. The 2010 DEM has 1-metre
265 grid intervals and we estimate an RMS point error of 0.17 m from independent GPS
266 measurements. The original DEM data used WGS84 UTM 20Q coordinates with heights
267 referenced to the WGS84 (EGM96) geoid, later converted to ellipsoid height values.

268 Space-borne topographic surveying provides an attractive alternative to airborne and ground-
269 based surveying methods, providing wide, contemporaneous. Generating of DEMs using satellite
270 radar interferometry can be impeded by degradation of active volcanic terrain – a problem that
271 will be reduced in data from recent, high-repeat rate satellite missions (e.g. Ferrucci & Tait,
272 2011). DEM data from such endeavours are typically adjusted to fit existing topographic data
273 (e.g. SRTM) and thus adopt the cartographic conventions of the original DEM. Georeferenced
274 satellite topography and imagery data (e.g. radar intensity images, Wadge *et al.*, 2011)
275 commonly use the WGS84 UTM systems, with either geoid or ellipsoid vertical reference.

276 Bathymetric data around Montserrat have been compiled and updated in a similar fashion:
277 original data were derived from 1:50000 scale British Admiralty sea charts based on 19th and 20th
278 Century surveys. Numerous additional surveys conducted since 1998 have been used to map
279 bathymetric changes around Montserrat, particularly the evolution of submarine deposits
280 offshore from the Tar River Valley (due east from SHV). Le Friant *et al.* (2004; 2010)
281 documented the details of various bathymetric surveys. An estimate of near-shore bathymetry –

282 usually inaccessible to large survey ships – was given by Wadge *et al.* (2010). The map in
283 Figure 2 shows a current DEM, combining data from recent surveys.

284

285 **5. Magnetic Declination**

286

287 Magnetic declination (the difference in angle between magnetic and true north) changes in space
288 and time. It is important to account properly for declination in work that requires the use of a
289 magnetic compass (e.g. surveying, wind vane installation, etc.). In Montserrat, a correction of
290 about 14°W is required, and this has changed at an average (not constant) rate of about 3'W/yr
291 during the course of the eruption. Figure 3 shows the variation of magnetic declination on
292 Montserrat since 1995, estimated using the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF-
293 11; Finlay *et al.*, 2010). Magnetic inclination (dip of the magnetic field from horizontal) is
294 usually not as critical for standard surveying purposes; on Montserrat, magnetic inclination dips
295 at about 40° and changes by about 0.2°/yr (becoming shallower). Alternative magnetic field
296 models and further information are available from NOAA (2012).

297

298 **6. Summary**

299

300 This note is intended as a brief introduction, to highlight and document geodetic practices as they
301 have been used in geoscience on Montserrat. We have included a rudimentary description of the
302 fundamental geodetic tools used for handling and manipulating geospatial data and highlight the
303 importance of understanding the influence of their use and mis-use. We have also indicated the
304 conventions that have been used most commonly by researchers during the course of the eruption
305 on Montserrat.

306

307

308

309 **Acknowledgements**

310 We are indebted to many individuals for their efforts in ensuring that geographic data are
311 properly referenced or translated. Particular thanks to Andrew Simpson, Tom Herring, Adam
312 Stinton, Annde Le Friant, Geoff Wadge, Melanie Froude, Colm Jordan, Francesca Cigna, Sue
313 Loughlin, Ricky Herd and Mick Strutt for useful discussions. We are grateful to Anne Patrick
314 and colleagues at Ordnance Survey Research and to Rose Mitchell at The National Archives for

315 their kind assistance. Thanks also to the many unsung individuals who diligently recorded the
316 relevant metadata with their geospatial measurements. We thank Geoff Wadge for a useful
317 review that has improved this manuscript. SG publishes with permission of the Executive
318 Director, British Geological Survey (NERC).

319

320

321 **References & Resources**

- 322 Butler, H., Schmidt, C., Springmeyer, D. and Livni, J. 2012. Spatial Reference. *Worldwide Web*
323 *Address: <http://spatialreference.org>*
324
- 325 DOS (Directorate of Overseas Surveys) 1983. Tourist Map of Montserrat: Emerald Isle of the
326 Caribbean, 6th Edition, Scale 1:25000. *The British Government's Ministry of Overseas*
327 *Development*.
328
- 329 Evenden, G.I. 2003. Cartographic Projection Procedures for the UNIX Environment—A User's
330 Manual. *USGS Open File Report 90-284*.
- 331 Ferruci, F., and Tait, S. 2011. EVOSS: European Volcano Observatory Space Services. *5th GEO*
332 *European Projects workshop, London 8-9 February 2011. Worldwide Web Address:*
333 *www.evoss.eu/images/stories/pdf/Poster_evoss_londres-1.pdf*
- 334 Finlay C.C., Maus S., Beggan, C.D., Bondar, T.N., Chambodut, A., Chernova, T.A., Chulliat, A.,
335 Golovkov, V.P., Hamilton, B., Hamoudi, M. *et al.* 2010. International Geomagnetic Reference
336 Field: the eleventh generation. *Geophysical Journal International*, **183** (3) 1216-1230. DOI:
337 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04804.x.
- 338 GDAL (Geospatial Data Abstraction Library) 2012. *Worldwide Web Address: www.gdal.org*
339
- 340 Jones, L. D. (2006), Monitoring landslides in hazardous terrain using terrestrial LiDAR: An
341 example from Montserrat. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology & Hydrogeology*. **39**, 371–
342 373.
- 343 Kokelaar, B. P. 2002. Setting, chronology and consequences of the eruption of Soufriere Hills
344 Volcano, Montserrat (1995-1999). In: *The eruption of Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat, from*
345 *1995 to 1999*. T. H. Druitt and B. P. Kokelaar (Eds), *Geological Society, London*.
346
- 347 Le Friant, A., Harford, C.L., Deplus, C., Boudon, G., Sparks, R.S.J., Herd, R.A., and
348 Komorowski, J-C. 2004. Geomorphological evolution of Montserrat (West Indies): importance
349 of flank collapse and erosional processes, *Journal of the Geological Society*, **161** (1), 147–160.
350
- 351 Le Friant, A., Deplus, C., Boudon, G., Feuillet, N., Trfimovs, J., Komorowski, J.-C., Sparks,
352 R.S.J., Talling, P., Loughlin, S., Palmer, M. and Ryan, G. 2010. Eruption of Soufrière Hills
353 (1995–2009) from an offshore perspective: Insights from repeated swath bathymetry surveys,
354 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37** (11), doi:10.1029/2010GL043580.
355
- 356 NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) 2012. *Worldwide Web Address:*
357 *<http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/>*
358
- 359 NGA (National Geospatial-intelligence Agency) 2012. *Worldwide Web Address: [http://earth-](http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/)*
360 *[info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/](http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/)*
361

- 362 NIMA. 2000. Department of Defense World Geodetic System 1984, 3rd ed., *National Imagery*
363 *and Mapping Agency*.
- Robinson, A.H., Morrison, J.L, Muehrcke, P.C., Kimerling, A.J. and Guptill, S.C. 1995.
Elements of Cartography (6th Ed.). Wiley, 674 p.
- 364
- 365 Torge, W. 1991. Geodesy. *Walter de Gruyter, Berlin*. 264 pp.
- 366
- 367 Wadge, G., Cole, P., Stinton, A., Komorowski, J-C., Stewart, R., Toombs, A.C. 2011. Rapid
368 topographic change measured by high resolution satellite radar at Soufriere Hills Volcano,
369 Montserrat, 2008-2010. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, **199**,142-152,
370 doi:10.1016/j.volgeores.2010.10.011.
- 371 Wadge, G., Herd, R, Ryan, G., Calder, E.S. and Komorowski, J-C. 2010. Lava production at
372 Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat: 1995-2009. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **37**, L00E03
373 doi:10.1029/2009GL041466.
- 374 Wadge, G., Macfarlane, D.G., Odbert, H.M., James, M.R., Hole, J.K., Ryan, G.A., Bass, V.A.,
375 De Angeles, S., Pinkerton, H., Robertson, D.A. & Loughlin, S.C. 2008. Lava dome growth and
376 mass wasting measured by a time series of ground-based radar and seismicity observations,
377 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **113** (B8), DOI:10.1029/2007JB005466.
- 378
- 379
- 380
- 381

382 **Table 1.** Parameters for two ellipsoids commonly used on Montserrat (from Butler *et al.*, 2012).

Name	Offset (<i>to</i> WGS84)			Equatorial radius, <i>a</i> (m)	Inverse flattening ratio, <i>1/f</i>
	dX (m)	dY (m)	dZ (m)		
WGS 1984	-	-	-	6378137.000	298.257223563
Clarke 1880	174	359	365	6378249.145	293.465000000

383

384

385 **Table 2.** Parameters that define the two TM projections most commonly used for Montserrat
386 geographic data (from Butler *et al.*, 2012).

Parameter	BWI grid	UTM Zone 20N (= Zone 20Q)
<i>Ellipsoid</i>	Clarke 1880	WGS 1984
<i>Projection</i>	Transverse Mercator	Transverse Mercator
<i>Central Latitude</i>	0°	0°
<i>Central Meridian</i>	62° West	63° West
<i>False Easting (m)</i>	400000	500000
<i>False Northing (m)</i>	0	0
<i>Scale Factor</i>	0.9995	0.9996
<i>EPSG Code</i>	2004	32620

387

388

389

390

391 **Figure Captions**

392

393 **Figure 1.** Cartoon illustration of typical geodetic ellipsoids. The WGS84 ellipsoid (black) has its
394 origin at the Earth's centre of mass (black dot). The rotational pole, Z, and the prime meridian, X,
395 are defined by the IERS reference pole and meridian (IRM), respectively, as described in the
396 text. The equatorial and polar radii define the flatness of the ellipsoid. Ellipsoids are
397 conventionally defined by parameters relative to the WGS84 frame. Here, the Clarke 1880
398 ellipsoid (red) has an origin that is offset in each of the X, Y and Z dimensions (red dot), as
399 defined in Table 1. The radius and flattening of the ellipsoids also differ. The flattening and
400 offset in this figure is exaggerated for illustrative purposes. The WGS84 X, Y and Z axes also
401 form the orthogonal Earth-Centred Earth-Fixed coordinate axes.

402

403 **Figure 2.** Map of Montserrat and surrounding bathymetry (grey contours with 100 m intervals;
404 see text for description of DEM). Vertical offsets from the WGS84 ellipsoid are shown for the
405 Clarke 1880 ellipsoid surface (blue contours, 50 cm intervals) and the WGS84 (EGM96) geoid
406 (red contours, 5 cm intervals). The complexity in the geoid model derives from local and
407 regional heterogeneities of mass distribution in the Earth. All height contours are measured in
408 metres from the WGS84 ellipsoid surface (negative values are below the ellipsoid). The coastline
409 of Montserrat is thus shown by a contour at -40 m, rather than at 0, because of the ellipsoid-geoid
410 vertical offset. Horizontal coordinates are given as metres in Easting and Northing using the
411 WGS84 UTM Zone 20Q datum (see Table 2).

412

413 **Figure 3** Magnetic declination at 16°42'N 62°11'W (southwest flank of SHV) between 1995 and
414 2015, according to the IGRF-11 model. These corrections may be used to calibrate field
415 compasses or adjust uncorrected azimuth data.

416

417





