

Yu, Linpo and Chen, George Z. (2016) High energy supercapattery with an ionic liquid solution of LiClO4. Faraday Discussions, 190 . pp. 231-240. ISSN 1364-5498

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/33645/1/2016FD_LinpoYu_Revised.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

1 High energy supercapattery with an ionic liquid solution of LiClO₄

2 Linpo Yu,^{a,b} and George Z. Chen^{a,b}*

3 ^a Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, and Energy and Sustainability

- 4 Research Division, the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD UK
- 5 *Email: <u>George.Chen@nottingham.ac.uk</u>*

6 ^b Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, and Centre for Sustainable Energy

- 7 Technologies, Faculty of Science and Engineering, the University of Nottingham Ningbo China,
- 8 Ningbo, 315100 China
- 9

10 Abstract:

Supercapattery combining an ideally polarized capacitor-like electrode and a battery-like 11 electrode is demonstrated theoretically and practically using an ionic liquid electrolyte 12 containing 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium tri(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate (BMPyrrFAP), 13 gamma-butyrolactone (γ -GBL) and LiClO₄. The electrochemical deposition and dissolution of 14 15 lithium metal on a platinum and glass carbon electrode were investigated in this ionic liquid solution. The CV data shows the fresh electrochemical deposited lithium metal is stable in the 16 electrolyte, which encourages the investigation of this ionic liquid solution in supercapattery 17 with a lithium battery negative electrode. The active material counted specific energy of the 18 supercapattery based on a lithium negative electrode and an activated carbon (Act-C) positive 19 electrode can reach 230 Wh kg^{-1} under the Galvanostatic charge-discharge current density of 1 20 mA cm⁻². The positive electrode material, Act-C was also investigated by CV, AC impedance, 21 SEM and BET. The non-uniform particle size and micropore porous structure of the Act-C 22 23 enable its electric double layer capacitor (EDLC) behavior in the ionic liquid solution. The

calculated specific capacitance of the Act-C in this ionic liquid solution is higher than same Act C in aqueous solution, which indicates the pseudocapacitance behaviour of Act-C with the
 species in the ionic liquid electrolyte.

4

5 Introduction

6 Supercapattery (=**supercapa**citor + ba**ttery**) takes the advantages of both supercapacitor 7 (also known as electric double layer capacitor, or EDLC) and battery by combining an ideally 8 polarized capacitor-like electrode and a battery-like electrode.¹ Although lithium ion capacitor^{2,3} 9 is also comprised of this hybrid configuration, supercapattery is the more general term of the 10 particular design.

In theory, supercapattery can possess higher energy density than both battery and 11 supercapacitor and can supply this energy at a power output almost as high as supercapacitor. 12 The high power output of supercapattery is mainly a result of sharing the same electrochemical 13 active materials with supercapacitor, where the nanostructured carbons, like activated carbon 14 (Act-C), carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene are the best choice for the ideally polarized 15 electrode because of their large surface area, porosity, stability over a wide potential window, 16 and intrinsically low electrical resistance. In addition, pseudo-capacitive materials including 17 MnO₂, RuO₂ and conducting polymers can also be used as the capacitor-like electrode materials 18 providing the high electrode capacitance, but limiting the potential windows. 19

As to the battery-like electrode, various electrode materials from commercial battery systems can be the candidate, from lead acid batteries to metal/air systems, but in practice, metal compounds³⁻⁵ like SnO₂, MnO₂ and LiFePO₄ are more common and commercially available. Theoretically, the hypothetical battery comprising lithium metal and fluorine gas (Li-F battery)

would output a cell voltage about 6.1 V and offer a specific energy content of 6304 Wh kg^{-1} . Any 1 battery cannot go beyond the specific energy content of the hypothetical Li-F battery. As to Li-2 ion battery (Li_xC₆ | Li_{1-x}CoO₂), the theoretical specific energy is 552 Wh kg⁻¹ at 3.5 V. A 3 4 hypothetical supercapattery comprising a lithium metal negative electrode and a supercapacitor positive electrode (assumed 400 F g⁻¹) is evaluated and analyzed here. Considering the specific 5 charge capacity of lithium is much larger than that of the supercapacitor electrode, the mass of 6 the lithium metal is negligible. The theoretical specific energy value would be 625 Wh kg^{-1} for 7 the cell voltage vary from 3.5 V to 1.0 V. This theoretical value is even higher than the one of 8 9 the Li-ion battery.

10 The above calculation is based on the equation of the capacitor energy, Eq. 1,

11
$$E_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{2} C U_{\text{max}}^2$$
 (1)

where C is the specific capacitance of a capacitor, and E_{max} is the maximum energy capacity of a 12 capacitor correlated to its maximum tolerable voltage, U_{max} . It should be mentioned that a hybrid 13 cell of a battery electrode and a supercapacitor electrode does not always show typical 14 supercapacitor behaviour, where the cell voltage (U) is always proportional to the time (t) during 15 16 a constant current charging or discharging test. If the cell presents battery like features, the term supercabattery is recommended,⁶ but not discussed in this paper. In the case of supercapattery, 17 the U_{max} is a key factor for the energy capacity of the devices. Because the behaviour of 18 supercapattery is close to that of supercapacitor,¹ several strategies that have been applied in 19 20 supercapacitor can be utilized in supercapattery to improve the practical energy capacity, such as using the design of asymmetric supercapacitor cell,⁷ controlling the capacitance ratio of the 21 positive and negative electrode made from the same material,⁸ and serially stacking the cells 22 through the bipolar electrodes.⁹ Apart from these efforts based on the aqueous electrolytes, there 23

is a strong desire for changing the aqueous to organic electrolytes to achieve a high working
 voltage.

Recent studies have revealed both aqueous and non-aqueous supercapatteries using lithium 3 metal¹⁰ and Li-ion battery material³ as the electrode. An aqueous supercapattery consisting of a 4 MnO₂ positive electrode and a Li/LISICON/PEO-LiTFSI/Li⁺ negative electrode had achieved a 5 specific energy capacity of 114 Wh kg⁻¹ with a 4.3 V cell voltage.¹⁰ The Li/LISICON/PEO-6 LiTFSI/Li⁺ electrode is a multi-layered Li electrode, which consists of lithium metal, a 7 LISICON-type solid glass ceramic as the water-stable solid electrolyte, and a buffer layer 8 consisting of polyethylene oxide with Li(CF₃SO₂)₂N polymer electrolyte (PEO-LiTFSI) between 9 the lithium metal and the solid electrolyte. If MnO₂ is replaced by RuO₂ as the positive electrode, 10 the specific energy capacity of the device comes to 520 Wh kg^{-1} with a 3.8 V cell voltage.¹⁰ 11 However, the current density of the aforementioned devices is only 0.255 mA cm⁻², which is 12 limited by the solid/liquid interphase. This disadvantage prevents these high energy capacity 13 devices in any high power application. Another non-aqueous supercapattery using LiFePO₄ as 14 the positive electrode and Cabot carbon black as the negative electrode possesses good cycling 15 stability at high current density in a Li-ion contained propylene carbonate (PC) electrolyte.³ 16 However, the potential range of the Cabot carbon black can only keep its capacitor-like 17 behaviour from 2.80 to 1.25 V vs. Li/Li⁺ in the electrolyte, which has limited the cell voltage. 18

Ionic liquids are specially featured by their zero or negligible volatility, but still able to offer the highly ionic environment, and wide temperature and potential windows.¹¹ They have brought about unique opportunities, including synthesis,¹² trace analysis,^{13,14} thermochromic/cryochromic materials,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and electrochemical energy storage.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Consequently, ionic liquid solutions can be chosen as competitive candidates for the electrolytes of supercapattery when the battery behaviour electrode is a lithium-ion or lithium battery negative electrode.

3 Here, we report the recent supercapattery work based on an activated carbon positive electrode and a Li/Li⁺ negative electrode using an ionic liquid electrolyte, 1-butyl-1-4 methylpyrrolidinium tri(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate (BMPyrrFAP) containing gamma-5 6 butyrolactone (γ -GBL) and LiClO₄. The characterization of the activated carbon has been done and presented here, including the data of cyclic voltammogram (CV), AC impedance, SEM and 7 BET. The demonstrated supercapattery cell shows a clear capacitor-like behaviour in the CV and 8 9 Galvanostatic charge and discharge (GCD) tests. This particular hybrid design ensures the full usage of the electrochemical window of the ionic liquid solution and the capacitance of the 10 activated carbon, and basically maintains the high power of the supercapacitor. 11

12

13 Experimental

In this work, a supercapacitor grade commercial product of activated carbon (Act-C, YP50F, 14 Kuraray Chemical Co.) was used. The other chemicals, 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium 15 tri(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphate (BMPyrrFAP, Merck), 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium 16 tetracyanoborate (EMIM[B(CN)₄], Merck), gamma-butyrolactone (γ -GBL, Sigma Aldrich) and 17 LiClO₄ (Sigma Aldrich), were commercially available and used without further purification. 18 Lithium metal (foil, Sigma Aldrich) was kept and handled in an argon filled glove box. The 19 20 homemade electrochemical cells (2-electrode/3-electrode cells and sandwich type cell) were fabricated in an argon-filled glove box ($O_2 < 10$ ppm, $H_2O < 10$ ppm) and transferred outside the 21 glove box for the electrochemical experiments by an AUTOLAB 302N potentiostat. Membrane 22 23 from Celgard was used as the separator in the sandwich type cell.

1	For the electrochemical tests, the Act-C powder was made into pellet type and casted
2	electrodes with PTFE and PVDF, respectively. The details of fabricating the Act-C/PTFE pellet
3	electrode can be found in previous publication from this laboratory. ²¹ The Act-C/PVDF electrode
4	was fabricated by the following process. 10 μ L of an Act-C suspension (0.950 g Kuraray Act-C
5	and 0.050 g PVDF powder in 20 mL DMSO suspension) was dropped on a 5 mm diameter
6	graphite disc electrode. The electrode loaded with 0.5 mg of Act-C composite (95 % w. Act-C
7	and 5 % w. PVDF) was dried in a vacuum oven at 75 $^\circ C$ overnight, and then was ready for the
8	electrochemical tests.
9	All the experiment is operated at room temperature. More experimental details are specified
10	in the following sections.
11	
12	Results and discussion
13	The pore size and volume distribution of an Act-C are the most important physical
14	characteristics. Fig. 1 presents the SEM images of an Act-C pellet containing 5 % w. PTFE. The
15	Act-C powder is non-uniform in particle size, and the PTFE is binding the Act-C particles like a
16	spider web to maintain the mechanical strength of the Act-C pellet. The surface area of the
17	Kuraray Act-C sample is 1724 $m^2 g^{-1}$ determined from the nitrogen absorption/desorption
18	isotherms at 77 K (ASAP 2420) by applying the density function theory (DFT). In previous
19	work, ²¹ the dominant range of pore widths of the same Kuraray Act-C sample is between 1.5 and
20	2.5 nm. For an aqueous electrolyte, its wetting ability with the Act-C pellet will affect the contact
21	between the electrolyte and Act-C particles, and vary the charge storage performance of the Act-
22	C sample. As to the organic electrolyte, the wetting is not a problem anymore, while the
23	interaction between electrolyte and carbon materials inside the pore will play an important role.

A theory was proposed for the traditional organic electrolyte, that the longer the pore inside the
particle is, the poorer performance of the capacitance of the porous carbon materials is.³ This is
mainly due to the ion block of the pores by the non-ionic solvent molecular. Similar phenomenon
was also observed in the case of aqueous electrolyte with organic additive.²¹



Fig. 1 SEM images of the activated carbon pellet (including 5 % w. PTFE). The insert is the
enlarge view of the back image.

8

5

Before the work on the supercapattery, we have investigated the Kuraray Act-C in a 9 commercial ionic liquid, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetracyanoborate (EMIM[B(CN)_4]). Fig. 2 10 shows the photo of electrochemical tube cell and test results on CV, GCD and AC impedance. A 11 small piece of Act-C pellet (about 1 mg, containing 5 % w. PTFE) was loaded on a 6 mm 12 diameter graphite disc electrode. Another graphite disc attaching 30 mg Act-C was used as the 13 counter electrode. Reference electrode is a Ag/Ag^+ electrode filled with 0.01 mol L⁻¹ AgNO₃ 14 acetonitrile solution, which is connected to the electrolyte via a salt bridge filled with 15 EMIM $[B(CN)_4]$. This reference can be prepared following the method described in reference.²² It 16

should be mentioned that the reference electrode is changed to Li/Li⁺ electrode in the latter
discussion except in Fig. 2. Any potential in this paper will be suffixed with the reference
electrode used in the experiment.



Fig. 2 (A): Photograph of the tube cell. 1.064 mg Act-C (including 5% w. PTFE) was loaded on
the graphite disc as working electrode. EMIM[B(CN)₄] was filled in the tube as electrolyte.
Reference electrode is Ag/Ag⁺ electrode in 0.01 mol L⁻¹ AgNO₃ acetonitrile solution connected
to the electrolyte via a salt bridge filled only with EMIM[B(CN)₄]. The results in (B), (C) and
(D) were collected from this tube cell.

11 (C): Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge plots of the tube cell. Current: 1 mA.

- 12 (D): Nyquist plots of the tube cell at potentials indicated in the figure.
- 13

4

^{10 (}**B**): CVs of the tube cell. Scan rate: 20 mV s^{-1} .

Both CVs and AC impedance results in Fig. 2 indicate that the Kuraray Act-C doesn't show 1 a pure EDLC performance in EMIM[B(CN)₄]. The Act-C can store more charge in a potential 2 range from -1.7 to 0 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺ than the range of 0 to 1.0 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺. If a symmetrical 3 4 supercapacitor was fabricated using this Act-C and ionic liquid, the maximum voltage could not go beyond 2.7 V. The calculated specific capacitance value of Kuraray Act-C in EMIM[B(CN)₄] 5 is about 100 F g⁻¹, which is comparable to the value of the same sample got in aqueous 6 solution.²¹ The voltage of this ionic liquid based symmetrical cell is not increased dramatically, 7 while the resistance of the cell will increased compared to the cell using an aqueous electrolyte. 8 In this case, changing electrolyte from an aqueous to a non-aqueous electrolyte is not economic. 9 10 A new strategy should be made to improve the energy capacity of the cell.

As aforementioned, the lithium battery or Li-ion battery processes very high energy capacity mainly caused by the very negative potential of Li/Li^+ or relevant redox couple. We chose an ionic liquid which was used as Li-ion battery electrolyte in the following work. The ionic liquid solution containing LiClO_4 was used as the electrolyte of the supercapattery. The lithium salt is the Li-ion source for the electrochemical deposition and dissolution of lithium metal on the negative electrode of the supercapattery.

Fig. 3A and 3B shows clearly the electrochemical deposition and dissolution of lithium in the ionic liquid electrolyte containing lithium salt on a 2 mm diameter Pt disc electrode and a 3 mm diameter Glass Carbon (GC) disc electrode, respectively. Fig 2C is the photo of the homemade electrochemical cell, in which a working electrode (Pt or GC) and a piece of lithium metal foil as both counter and reference electrodes are sealed in a vial. The electrolyte contains 0.01 mol L⁻¹ LiClO₄ in the mixture of BMPyrrFAP and γ -GBL (volume ratio 1:1). Lithium metal can be electrochemically deposited on both substrate materials, and the fresh deposited lithium is stable in the electrolyte unless a positive scan occurs showing a dissolution peak in the CVs. The over-potential on the GC electrode indicates an energy gap between condense carbon and lithium surface. Another key information from Fig. 3 is that the ionic liquid solution used in this work shows an electrochemical window more than 4 V using Pt and GC electrodes. The ionic liquid solution could be a potential candidate for the supercapattery electrolyte.



6

Fig. 3 CVs collected on (A) a 2 mm diameter Pt disc electrode and (B) a 3 mm diameter Glass
Carbon disc electrode, in the mixture of BMPyrrFAP and γ-GBL (v:v = 1:1), contains 0.01 mol
L⁻¹ LiClO₄. Scan rate 10 mV s⁻¹. Potential range: -0.25 ~ 4.00 V vs. Li/Li⁺. (C) Photo of the
homemade electrochemical cell.

1 In a demonstrative supercapattery cell with lithium metal negative electrode, the redox Li/Li^+ reaction should be occurring during the charging or discharging process. A 0.5 mol L^{-1} 2 LiClO₄ ionic liquid solution was prepared as the electrolyte to provide sufficient Li-ion in the 3 4 electrolyte. The solvent content is the same as the one in the previous lithium deposition experiment, BMPyrrFAP and γ -GBL (v:v = 1:1). The working electrode is a piece of 0.5 mg 5 Kuraray Act-C pellet coated on a 5 mm diameter graphite disc electrode with PVDF binder. The 6 counter and referenced electrodes are lithium metal foil. The cell was fabricated in an argon 7 filled glove box, sealed properly and then transferred outside the box for the further test. The CV 8 and AC impedance data of this cell is presented in Fig. 4. The blue dash lines on CV curve mark 9 the potential at which the AC impedance test was run. It should be mentioned again that the real 10 amount of lithium metal anticipating the charge storage process is negligible according the 11 previous calculation. The reason of placing a piece of lithium metal foil in the cell is to acquire 12 accurate potential result during the charging and discharging processes. From the CV in Fig. 4, 13 we found that the Kuraray Act-C can undergo a capacitor-like behaviour from the potential range 14 from 1.7 to 4.3 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at least. There is no obvious turning point to the clear oxidative or 15 reductive current on the CV curve. If we assumed this is capacitor behaviour, the calculated 16 specific capacitance value is 101 F g⁻¹. The deformed rectangular CV shape should be caused by 17 the resistance of the whole cell, which is clearly shown in the Nyquist plots of the cell at 18 different potentials. Although the resistance of the cell shows a value about 180 Ω , the 19 equivalent series resistance (ESR) is about 35 Ω cm² (area: 0.196 cm²), which is only 30 times 20 larger than the value got from a symmetrical aqueous AC supercapacitor using the same 21 electrode fabricating method.²¹ In this case, the resistance as shown in Fig. 4 still falls in the 22



1 acceptable range in practical devices. The optimization of this hybrid design is definitely needed



3

4 Fig. 4 (A) CV of 0.5 mg Kuraray Act-C composite (containing 5 % w. PVDF) on a 5 mm
5 diameter Graphite disc electrode in mixture of BMPyrrFAP and γ-GBL (v/v = 1/1) containing
6 0.5 mol L⁻¹ LiClO₄. Scan rate: 10 mV s⁻¹. Nyquist plots of the same cell at the potential of (B)
7 2.50 V, (C) 2.75 V, (D) 3.00 V, (E) 3.25 V, (F) 3.50 V vs Li/Li⁺. A Lithium foil was used as the
8 reference and counter electrodes. Frequent range: 10 kHz ~ 0.01 Hz.



Fig. 5 Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of a pellet of 0. 5 mg Kuraray Act-C composite (containing 5 % w. PVDF) on a 5 mm diameter graphite disc electrode in mixture of BMPyrrFAP and γ -GBL (v/v = 1/1) containing 0.5 mol L⁻¹ LiClO₄. Current density: 1 mA cm⁻².

6

The galvanostatic charge-discharge test was run under a current density of 1.02 mA cm⁻². Because the negative electrode is a lithium foil, the voltage of the cell decays smoothly and linearly during the discharging process from 4.3 to 1.7 V. Because the charging and discharging curve is almost symmetric, we still can calculate the specific capacitance and energy capacity by the method for supercapacitor. The Act-C specific capacitance value comes to 107 F g⁻¹ in the ionic liquid solution containing LiClO₄. Noted that only tiny amount of lithium metal takes part in the charge transfer during the charging and discharging process and the molecular weight of

1

Lithium is only 6.94 g mol⁻¹, we can ignore the mass of the negative electrode if the active
materials are counted only in the calculation of specific energy capacity. The value is 232 Wh
kg⁻¹.

4 If we only chose an ionic liquid as the electrolyte, we can hardly use the available redox couple resources to store charge in the energy storage devices. The Li-ion source ionic liquids 5 6 electrolyte can both supply sufficient charge carrier for the charge storage on the Act-C surface and the necessary Li-ion for the chemical reaction. We do notice that the CV of the Act-C in a 7 pure ionic liquid show partly pseudo-capacitance behaviour. This is a preliminary proof for the 8 9 charge transfer or charge isolation process on the carbon surface. The advantage of the organic electrolytes or ionic liquid electrolytes is that they can keep chemical and thermal stable in a 10 wider potential or temperature range than the one of aqueous electrolyte. Although recent studies 11 have revealed that some aqueous electrolyte can be superior to its analogous species on voltage⁸ 12 and temperature limit,²³ the variety and particularity of ionic liquids still make themselves 13 competitive in different application, especially in energy storage. This paper reveals a new idea 14 on using the Act-C materials as a positive electrode material in supercapattery. The following-up 15 work on further understanding the charge storage mechanism of Act-C in ionic liquids and 16 optimization of the hybrid design of the supercapattery in this paper is ongoing. 17

18

19 Conclusions

A hybrid supercapattery design comprising a lithium metal negative electrode and an activated carbon positive electrode is presented and demonstrated using an experimental cell in this paper. An ionic liquid was used as one component of the electrolyte containing LiClO₄. The Kuraray activated carbon shows both EDLC and pseudo-capacitor behaviour in a solo ionic

1 liquid electrolyte. In the ionic liquid solution, the sufficient Li-ion in the ionic liquid electrolyte provide additional charge carriers and enough charge storage species through the Li/Li⁺ redox 2 reaction. The energy capacity of the demonstrative supercapattery reaches to 232 Wh kg⁻¹, while 3 4 the device can present capacitor-like behaviour when the Galvanostatic charge-discharge current density is more than 1.0 mA cm⁻², maintaining its high power output character. In addition, the 5 partly pseudo-capacitor behaviour of the activated carbon in ionic liquid reveal a possibility to 6 study the interaction of redox species inside the micropore structure of the activated carbon 7 materials. 8

9

10 Acknowledgements

This work received funding from the University of Nottingham Ningbo China and Ningbo
Municipal Government (3315 Plan and IAMET Special Fund, 2014A35001-1). Responsibility
for the content of this paper lies with the authors.

14

15 Notes and references

- A. J. Stevenson, D. G. Gromadskyi, D. Hu, J. H. Chae, L. Guan, L. P. Yu and G. Z. Chen, in
 Nanocarbons for Advanced Energy Storage, Vol. 1, ed. X. Feng, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim,
 2015, 179-210.
- 19 2. S. W. Woo, K. Dokko, H. Nakano and K. Kanamura, *Electrochemistry*, 2007, **75**, 635-640.
- 3. A. Krause, P. Kossyrev, M. Oljaca, S. Passerini, M. Winter and A. Balducci, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 8836-8842.
- 4. K. C. Ng, S. W. Zhang, C. Peng and G. Z. Chen, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2009, 156, A846A853.

- 1 5. S. W. Zhang, C. Peng, K. C. Ng and G. Z. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, **55**, 7447-7453.
- 2 6. Z. Dai, C. Peng, J. H. Chae, K. C. Ng and G. Z. Chen, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 09854.
- 3 7. C. Peng, S. Zhang, X. Zhou and G. Z. Chen, *Chem. Comm.*, 2010, **3**, 1499-1502.
- 4 8. J. H. Chae and G. Z. Chen, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **86**, 248-254.
- 5 9. X. Zhou, C. Peng and G. Z. Chen, *AIChE J.*, 2012, **58**, 974-983.
- 6 10. S. Makino, Y. Shinohara, T. Ban, W. Shimizu, K. Takahashi, N. Imanishi and W. Sugimoto, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 12144-12147.
- 8 11. P. Wasserscheid and T. Welton (Eds.), *Ionic Liquids in Synthesis*, Wiley-VCH Verlag
 9 Weinheim/Germany, 2002.
- 10 12. M. Oromi-Farrus, J. Eras, G. Villorbina, M. Torres, V. Llopis-Mestre, T. Welton and R.
 11 Canela, *Anal. Sci.*, 2008, 24, 1341-1345.
- 12 13. L. Chen, Y. Chi, X. Zheng, Y. Zhang and G. Chen, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 2394-2398.
- 13 14. T. Li, B. Li, S. Dong and E. Wang, Chem. Eur. J., 2007, **13**, 8516-8521.
- 14 15. X. J. Wei, L. P. Yu, D. H. Wang, X. B. Jin and G. Z. Chen, *Green Chem.*, 2008, **10**, 296-305.
- 15 16. X. J. Wei, L. P. Yu, X. B. Jin, D. H. Wang and G. Z. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 776-780.
- 16 17. L. P. Yu and G. Z. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 40281-40285.
- 17 18. M. Anouti, E. Couadou, L. Timperman and H. Galiano, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, 64, 11018 117.
- 19 19. A. Orita, K. Kamijima and M. Yoshida, J. Power Sources, 2010, 195, 7471-7479.
- 20 20. M. Galinski and I. Stepniak, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2009, **39**, 1949-1953.
- 21 D. G. Gromadskyi, J. H. Chae, S. A. Norman and G. Z. Chen, *Appl. Energ.*, 2015, 159, 3950.

- 22. L. P. Yu, H.J. Sun, J. He, D. H. Wang, X. B. Jin, X. H. Hu and G.Z. Chen, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, 9, 1374-1381.
- 3 23. Y. Gao, Z. Qin, L. Guan, X. Wang and G. Z. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 10819-
- 4 10822.
- 5