Article (refereed) - postprint

Contact CEH NORA team at
noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

1   Title: Enhanced priming of old, not new soil carbon at elevated atmospheric CO_2

2

3   Authors: Mette Vestergård[1][*], Sabine Reinsch[2a], Per Bengtson[3], Per Ambus[2b], Søren Christensen[1]

4   [1]Terrestrial Ecology Section, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen,

5   Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

6   [2] Technical University of Denmark, Department for Chemical and Biochemical

7   Engineering, Frederiksborgvej 399, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

8   [3] Department of Biology, Microbial Ecology, Lund University, Sölvegatan 37, 223 62

9   Lund, Sweden

10

11 *Corresponding author: Mette Vestergård, Terrestrial Ecology Section, Department of Biology,

12   University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark.

13   Phone: +45 51827047. E-mail: mevmadsen@bio.ku.dk

14

15 Key-words: Carbon-13; Drought; FACE; Global change; Heathland; Warming

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

[a] Present address: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK
[b] Present address: Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, 1350, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Abstract

Rising atmospheric CO_2 concentrations accompanied by global warming and altered precipitation patterns calls for assessment of long-term effects of these global changes on carbon (C) dynamics in terrestrial ecosystems, as changes in net C exchange between soil and atmosphere will impact the atmospheric CO_2 concentration profoundly. In many ecosystems, including the heath/grassland system studied here, increased plant production at elevated CO_2 increase fresh C input from litter and root exudates to the soil and concurrently decrease soil N availability. Supply of labile C to the soil may accelerate the decomposition of soil organic C (SOC), a phenomenon termed 'the priming effect', and the priming effect is most pronounced at low soil N availability. Hence, we hypothesized that priming of SOC decomposition in response to labile C addition would increase in soil exposed to long-term elevated CO_2 exposure. Further, we hypothesized that long-term warming would enhance SOC priming rates, whereas drought would decrease the priming response.

We incubated soil from a long-term, full-factorial climate change field experiment, with the factors elevated atmospheric CO_2 concentration, warming and prolonged summer drought with either labile C (sucrose) or water to assess the impact of labile C on SOC dynamics. We used sucrose with a $^{13}C/^{12}C$ signature that is distinct from that of the native SOC, which allowed us to assess the contribution of these two C sources to the CO_2 evolved. Sucrose induced priming of SOC, and the priming response was higher in soil exposed to long-term elevated CO_2 treatment. Drought tended to decrease the priming response, whereas long-term warming did not affect the level of priming significantly.

We were also able to assess whether SOC-derived primed C in elevated CO_2 soil was assimilated before or after the initiation of the CO_2 treatment 8 years prior to sampling, because CO_2 concentrations were raised by fumigating the experimental plots with pure CO_2 that was ^{13}C-depleted compared to ambient CO_2. Surprisingly, we conclude that sucrose addition primed

decomposition of relatively old SOC fractions, i.e. SOC assimilated more than 8 years before

sampling.

1. Introduction

The global terrestrial soil organic carbon (SOC) pool is the largest terrestrial carbon (C) pool and

constitutes a C stock that is more than twice the size of the atmospheric CO_2-C pool (IPCC, 2013).

Therefore, even relatively moderate fluctuations in net C exchange between soil and atmosphere

will impact the CO_2 concentration in the atmosphere profoundly. Faced by rising atmospheric CO_2

levels and the anticipated climatic changes that will result from this rise, we need to better

understand how such changes will influence SOC decomposition and CO_2-release from terrestrial

organic C pools.

At least two factors that can potentially alter SOC decomposition, namely nitrogen (N) availability

and input of fresh plant C, are expected to change with rising CO_2 levels. Supply of fresh plant

derived C into the soil matrix may accelerate the decomposition of SOC and decrease soil C stocks

(Fontaine et al., 2004); a phenomenon termed 'the priming effect'. Priming effects induced by root

exudation and rhizodeposition can cause an up to 350 % increase in SOC decomposition compared

to the root free soil (Cheng et al., 2014). Even so, most C-cycling models do not consider the

influence of priming and living roots on SOC decomposition rates (Cheng et al., 2014), perhaps as a

result of limited knowledge about underlying mechanisms and factors influencing the magnitude of

priming. However, the few attempts that have been made to date to represent plant-induced priming

of SOC decomposition have resulted in improved model performance, also regarding global change

effects (Cheng et al., 2014, Perveen et al., 2014). It is therefore evident that models predicting

future climatic conditions and atmospheric CO_2 levels, as well as our means of mitigating the

effects of rising CO_2 levels, depend on more in-depth understanding of feedbacks between climate,

elevated atmospheric CO_2 levels, and SOC decomposition caused by priming.

Common plant physiological responses to elevated CO_2 comprise enhanced photoassimilation of C, increased root volume, and increased input of plant C to the soil in the form of root exudates and rhizodeposits (Hungate et al., 1997; Adair et al., 2009; Albert et al., 2011; Arndal et al., 2013, 2014). Since the extent of priming seems to depend on the concentration of labile C inputs, with no or low priming at low concentrations (Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov, 2008; Gude et al., 2012) and gradually increasing priming with increasing concentrations (Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov, 2008; Paterson & Sim, 2013) up to a point of saturation (Guenet et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2015), it can be expected that priming will increase at elevated atmospheric CO_2 concentration due to higher inputs of labile plant C to soils (Paterson et al., 2008). Accordingly, elevated atmospheric CO_2 has been shown to increase decomposition of SOC in grasslands (Xie et al., 2005; Niklaus & Falloon, 2006) as well as forests (Phillips et al., 2012). However, there are also examples where input of labile plant C resulted in negative priming (Sullivan & Hart, 2013; Cheng et al., 2014), i.e. inhibited the decomposition of SOC. A possible reason to the contradictory findings is that the magnitude and direction of priming is dependent on the nutrient status of the soil. In fact, it has been suggested that the decomposition of SOC in response to input of labile C is driven by enhanced microbial demand for nutrients retained in soil organic matter (SOM) (Paterson, 2009; Philips et al., 2012). Accordingly, Bengtson et al. (2012) demonstrated a strong link between rhizodeposition, SOM decomposition and gross N mineralization in a coniferous forest soil, while Fontaine et al. (2004, 2011) found that soil C losses caused by priming increased when soil microbes are nutrient limited. In line with these findings, a recent meta-analysis concluded that input of labile C enhanced decomposition of native SOM, but only in soils with low nitrogen (N) content (Zhang et al., 2013). Since it has been observed that increased plant N demand at elevated atmospheric CO_2 commonly leads to decreased soil N availability (Luo et al., 2004; Larsen et al., 2011), this can also explain why priming can be expected to increase under elevated atmospheric CO_2 conditions.

98   However, in order to fully appreciate how priming will influence the net ecosystem exchange of C

99   in a high CO_2 world we also need to consider climatic parameters, such as temperature and

100   precipitation patterns that are also undergoing changes that are expected to continue for the decades

101   to come (IPCC, 2013). In Northern Europe we expect an annual mean temperature increase between

102   0.75 and 0.1 °C over the coming 20 years and more extreme precipitation patterns, for instance

103   prolonged summer droughts according to the IPCC RCP4.5 scenario (IPCC, 2013). Hence,

104   evaluation of elevated CO_2 impacts on priming of SOC decomposition must consider projected

105   temperature and precipitation scenarios.

106   Low soil moisture generally reduces soil microbial activity (Moyano et al., 2013) and may also

107   reduce rhizosphere priming of SOC decomposition (Dijkstra & Cheng, 2007). It can, therefore, be

108   expected that prolonged summer droughts will decrease priming. However, as plants have better

109   water use efficiency at elevated CO_2 (Field et al., 1995; Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007), drought

110   impacts on microbial activity are in some cases less severe when combined with elevated CO_2

111   (Kassem et al., 2008). The combined effect of elevated CO_2 and drought on the magnitude of

112   priming is to our knowledge not known.

113   Likewise, little is known about temperature effects on priming. The only study to date that has

114   systematically addressed the temperature dependency of priming found the process to be non-

115   responsive to temperature variations (Ghee et al., 2013). In general, even moderate warming

116   enhances the activity of heterotrophic microbial SOM decomposers (Wang et al., 2014). Therefore,

117   in systems with low N availability temperature dependent stimulation of microbial activity could

118   enhance the need for microbial N acquisition through SOM decomposition and increase priming.

119   However, the priming response to warming may very well depend on soil moisture conditions, since

120   warming enhances evaporation. This could potentially exacerbate negative effects of drought on

121   soil microbial activity.

122

Previous studies demonstrated that elevated CO_2 changed C turnover dynamics of different fractions of SOM. Elevated CO_2 increased the content of recently assimilated C in both coarse and fine particulate fractions of SOM, but decreased the content of older C in more physically protected, fine particulate organic matter and mineral-associated organic matter (Hofmockel et al., 2011). This suggests that elevated CO_2 elicits priming of older relatively stable rather than recent SOC pools. In the current experiment we are able to test this hypothesis, as we raised the atmospheric CO_2 concentration by fumigating with CO_2 that was ^{13}C-depleted compared to the naturally occurring atmospheric CO_2. Therefore, C fixed in elevated CO_2 treatments was ^{13}C-depleted compared to the C assimilated before CO_2 treatment started and compared to the C pools of ambient CO_2 treatments (Reinsch & Ambus, 2013). A comparison of the isotopic composition of primed SOC-derived CO_2-C from elevated CO_2 and ambient CO_2 treatments can therefore reveal if primed C derives from C fixed before or after the initiation of CO_2 fumigation.

135

The aim of this study was to test the effects of long-term elevated CO_2 exposure, warming and annual extended drought events on potential priming in a nutrient-poor temperate heath/grassland, where long-term elevated CO_2 exposure has reduced the relative N content of organic inputs (Larsen et al., 2011; Arndal et al., 2013, 2014; Vestergård et al., 2015). Moderate warming has also prolonged the plant growth season with two weeks in the spring at the site (Kongstad et al., 2012). If an extended growth period also enhances plant N uptake over the season, this could potentially intensify microbial N demand. We hypothesize, in accordance with other reports (van Groenigen et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2005; Niklaus & Falloon, 2006), that potential priming of soil C is enhanced in soil exposed to elevated CO_2, where plant production and hence C input to the soil is enhanced and the relative N availability has declined. We further hypothesize that warming enhances priming in soil exposed to elevated CO_2, because we expect that an earlier onset of spring growth and

enhanced microbial activity under warming further reduced N availability. We hypothesize that summer drought, which is expected to reduce microbial activity, will reduce priming in soil exposed to elevated CO_2. Finally, we expect that warming augments this effect of drought. Further, we will clarify if primed soil C is recently fixed or of older origin.

Addition of labile carbohydrates is a common method to assess and compare potential priming activity between different soils and treatments (Wu et al., 1993; Zyakun & Dilly, 2005; Garcia-Pausas & Paterson, 2011; Paterson & Sim, 2013; Reinsch et al., 2013). If the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ signature of the added carbohydrate is distinct from that of native SOC it is possible to assess the contribution of these two C sources to the respiratory CO_2 evolved. In the present study we estimated potential priming by incubating soils with labile sucrose, a common constituent of root exudates (Grayston et al., 1998), with a $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio that is distinct from the isotopic ratio of the native SOC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Field site

Our field experiment was carried out in an unmanaged temperate heath/grassland in North Zealand c. 50 km northwest of Copenhagen, Denmark (55^o53'N, 11^o58' E). The soil is a Cambic Arenosol (FAO classification) developed on a nutrient-poor sandy deposit. The organic layer is 5-10 cm thick and the pH is around 5. From 1975-2005 the average annual precipitation was 610 mm and the mean annual temperature was 8 ^{o}C (Danish Meteorological Institute). From 2005 to 2013 the mean annual precipitation was 742 mm with a range between 648 and 894 mm and the mean annual temperature was 9.7 ^{o}C with a range between 7 and 10 ^{o}C. The prevailing species are the grass *Deschampsia flexuosa* (c. 70 % coverage) and the dwarf shrub *Calluna vulgaris* (c. 30 % coverage) intermixed with other grasses, herbs, mosses and lichens (Kongstad et al., 2012).

172

2.2 Climate manipulation treatments

Climate and CO_2 manipulations, aimed to simulate climatic conditions and atmospheric CO_2 levels

that are predicted for Denmark in 2075, were initiated in October 2005. The global change factors

drought (D), warming (T) and CO_2 concentration (CO_2) were manipulated individually and in all

possible combinations. The CO_2 concentration was increased with 120 ppm based on the average of

predicted concentrations in 2075 in five atmospheric CO_2 stabilization scenarios (SP450, SP550,

SP650, SP750 and SP1000) (IPCC 2007). The temperature increase chosen was the average of

predicted temperature responses for Northern Europe (IPCC 2007), and drought manipulations were

also based on the predictions in the IPCC 2007 report. The CO_2 concentration was increased *in-situ*

via the free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) technique in octagon shaped plots (octagons)

during daytime hours. Extended spring/summer droughts were imposed using moveable curtains to

exclude precipitation for a period of ~1 month during spring/early summer each year. Drought

curtains reduced precipitation by 7.6 ± 2.1 % (mean ± SD) annually. In 2013, the drought period

was conducted between 29th of April and 27th of May. From 27th of May to sampling, June 5th-6th,

the site only received a few mm precipitation, effectively extending the drought period until

sampling. Passive night time warming was achieved via moveable curtains that covered the

experimental plots during night time hours and prevented heat loss to the atmosphere. The warming

effect at 20 cm above ground surface ranged between 0.5 ^{o}C and 1.5 ^{o}C over the year (Scherber et

al., 2013). Warming curtains were withdrawn during rainfall.

The experiment is a full-factorial split plot design organized in 6 blocks. One block contains two

octagons each of 6.8 m diameter, one exposed to ambient CO_2 concentration and one exposed to

elevated CO_2 concentration, respectively. Each octagon is divided into four plots, which amounts to

a total of 48 plots. Within each octagon, one plot is subjected to the drought treatment, one is

subjected to warming, and a third plot is subjected to the combined drought and warming treatment.

197 The treatment, which is not subjected to any of the global change treatments, represents ambient

198 conditions (A). For further details regarding online measurements, treatments and experimental

199 setup, see Mikkelsen et al. (2008).

200 Characteristics of the soil in the treatments at sampling in June 2013 are shown in Table 1. SOC

201 content in 0-10 cm depth was higher at elevated CO_2 than at ambient CO_2, and at elevated CO_2

202 drought further increased the SOC content.

203

204 *2.3 Soil sampling and incubation*

205 Within each of the 48 plots, an undisturbed area of 0.5 m × 0.5 m was selected for this experiment.

206 Areas were chosen to contain an approximately equal amount of *C. vulgaris* and grasses (mainly

207 *D. flexuosa*) at initiation of the experimental setup in 2003. Unfortunately, an error in the

208 experimental procedures forced us to discard samples from one of the blocks, and we therefore

209 present data from five blocks (*n* = 5).

210 Soil cores were taken on the 5th-6th of June 2013 with an 8.7 cm diameter cylinder auger to 10 cm

211 depth. The soil was sieved (2 mm) in the field to separate roots from the soil and kept under cool

212 conditions (5 °C) until use. Two weeks after sampling, two 117 mL serum flasks per soil sample

213 were each added 4 g (fw) soil. We added 10 mL water or 10 mL of sucrose solution (4 g L^{-1}) to

214 each of the paired flasks, respectively. Assuming an average bulk density of 1.24 g cm^{-3} in the 10

215 top cm of the soil the sucrose added corresponds to an input of 572 g C m^{-2}. This is well above the

216 total annual C input to the soil, given that the annual net primary production roughly corresponds to

217 350 g C m^{-2} (Chapin III et al., 2002). Hence, during the incubation, the soil was fully water

218 saturated, and microorganisms were at no risk of experiencing C limitation. We used sugar cane-

219 derived sucrose with a $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio of $\delta^{13}C$ = -12 ‰, as it is distinct from the $\delta^{13}C$ value (-28.5 ‰)

220 of the C3 plants in the area (Reinsch & Ambus, 2013). This enables us to distinguish between CO_2

221 derived from the added sucrose and from SOC. To eliminate the initial CO_2-content of the flasks,

222 the flasks were sealed, evacuated (< 10% air remaining) and refilled to atmospheric pressure with

223 CO_2-free atmospheric air (Alphagaz Luft 1, Air Liquide, Denmark). Evacuation and refilling was

224 repeated twice and finally 20 mL extra CO_2-free air was added. We incubated the flasks on a shaker

225 (4 h, 20 °C). Following incubation, we sampled 19 mL headspace gas with a syringe and flushed an

226 evacuated 5.9 ml Exetainer vial (Labco Scientific, High Wycombe, UK) with the gas sample

227 leaving the vial at atmospheric pressure for subsequent analyses of CO_2 concentration and isotopic

228 $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio. Likewise, we sampled gas from four background control flasks, which only contained

229 10 mL water or 10 mL sucrose solution.

230

231 *2.4 Soil and sucrose analysis*

232 We dried 10 g soil at 103 °C to determine gravimetric soil water content. The total C content and

233 $^{13}C/^{12}C$ isotopic ratio of soil and sucrose were measured in dried samples by Dumas combustion

234 (1020 ºC) on an elemental analyser (CE 1110, Thermo Electron, Milan, Italy) coupled in continuous

235 flow mode to a Finnigan MAT Delta PLUS isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific,

236 Bremen, Germany). Homogenized portions of 2 mg (sucrose) or 15 mg (soil) were weighed out into

237 tin combustion cups for elemental analysis. Acetanilide (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and soil

238 standards (Elemental Microanalysis, Okehampton, UK) were used for elemental analyser mass

239 calibration. As working standard for isotope ratio analysis we used pure CO_2 gas calibrated against

240 certified reference ^{13}C-sucrose (IAEA, Vienna, Austria). Performance of analysis (Qa/Qc) was

241 assessed by the inclusion of reference samples of biological origin (Peach leaves (NIST 1547),

242 National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA).

243

244 *2.5 CO_2 concentration and $^{13}C/^{12}C$ isotopic ratio*

245 CO_2 concentrations and isotopic $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio were analysed on a DeltaV Advantage Isotope Ratio

246 Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled in continuous flow mode to a

247 GasBench II. Two calibration gas mixtures of CO_2 in synthetic air were included in the analytical

248 runs, *viz.* 362 ppm CO_2 at $\delta^{13}C = -2.7$ ‰ vs. Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) and 356 ppm CO_2

249 at $\delta^{13}C = -29.3$ ‰ (Messer Denmark, Padborg, Denmark). CO_2 concentrations and $\delta^{13}C$ were

250 corrected according to the values measured in the background control treatments.

251

252 *2.6 Data analysis*

253 Because we eliminated the initial CO_2 content of the flasks before the soil incubation, we assessed

254 respiration rates based on the CO_2 concentration measured after the incubation period. We

255 calculated the sucrose-induced respiration as the difference between CO_2-C evolved in sucrose-

256 amended and non-amended flasks.

257

258 We calculated the proportion of SOC-derived respiratory C (P_{SOC}) and sucrose-derived respiratory

259 C (P_{suc}) in sucrose-amended flasks using a two end-member-mixing-model:

260

261 [Equation 1] $P_{suc} = \dfrac{\delta^{13}C_{samp} - \delta^{13}C_{SOC}}{\delta^{13}C_{suc} - \delta^{13}C_{SOC}},$

262

263 [Equation 2] $P_{SOC} = 1 - P_{suc} = 1 - \dfrac{\delta^{13}C_{samp} - \delta^{13}C_{SOC}}{\delta^{13}C_{suc} - \delta^{13}C_{SOC}},$

264

265 where $\delta^{13}C_{samp}$ denotes the isotopic value of the CO_2 evolved in flasks amended with sucrose,

266 $\delta^{13}C_{SOC}$ denotes the isotopic value of soil C, and $\delta^{13}C_{suc}$ is the isotopic value of the added sucrose (-

267 12 ‰).

268

By calculating the total SOC-derived CO_2 evolved in sucrose-amended flasks and subtracting the basal respiratory CO_2 evolved, i.e. the CO_2 produced in non-amended flasks, we can calculate the amount of SOC primed in response to sucrose addition as follows:

[Equation 3] $\text{C primed} = P_{suc} \times [CO_{2suc}] - [CO_{2H2O}]$,

where $[CO_{2suc}]$ and $[CO_{2H2O}]$ denote the CO_2 evolved in flasks with and without sucrose, respectively. We present the priming effect as the increase in SOC-derived CO_2 with sucrose-amendment in relation to the SOC content of individual samples.

To assess whether primed C for elevated CO_2 octagons derived from C assimilated before or after the initiation of the FACE in 2003, we calculated the $\delta^{13}C$ of the primed SOC-derived CO_2, $\delta^{13}C_{primed}$. First, we calculated the $\delta^{13}C$ of the sucrose-induced respiratory CO_2, i.e. the additional CO_2 produced from sucrose and from SOC priming in response to sucrose addition, as the difference in ^{13}C respired with and without sucrose divided by the difference in CO_2 produced with and without sucrose:

[Equation 4] $\delta^{13}C$ of sucrose-induced CO_2-production $= \dfrac{[CO_{2suc}]\times\delta^{13}C_{suc}-[CO_{2H2O}]\times\delta^{13}C_{H2O}}{[CO_{2suc}]-[CO_{2H2O}]}$,

where subscripts "suc" and "H2O" denote CO_2 evolved in flasks with and without sucrose addition, respectively.

At the same time, $\delta^{13}C$ of sucrose-induced CO_2-production can also be expressed in relation to the proportional contribution of sucrose and SOC to the sucrose-induced CO_2-production:

293   [Equation 5] $\delta^{13}C$ of sucrose-induced CO_2-production $= P^*_{suc} \times \delta^{13}C_{suc} + P^*_{suc} \times \delta^{13}C_{SOC}$,

295   where P^*_{suc} and P^*_{SOC} denote the proportions of sucrose-derived and SOC-derived CO_2 in the

296   sucrose-induced respiration, respectively. We know all the variables of equation 4, and by

297   combining equations 4 and 5, we can express $\delta^{13}C_{primed}$ as follows:

299   [Equation 6]

300     $\delta^{13}C_{SOC} = \dfrac{\frac{[\ldots]\times\delta^{13}C_{\ldots}-[\ldots]\times\delta^{13}C_{\ldots}}{[\ldots]-[\ldots]}\ldots}{\ldots} = $

       $\dfrac{[\ldots]\times\delta^{13}C_{\ldots}-[\ldots]\times\delta^{13}C_{\ldots}\ldots}{\ldots}$.

302   We know that $\delta^{13}C_{suc}$ is -12 ‰, so we must calculate P^*_{suc}. We calculate P^*_{suc} based on the sucrose-

304   derived CO_2, $CO_{2\,sucrose\text{-}derived}$, and the total sucrose-induced respiration, i.e. the difference between

305   CO_2 evolved in sucrose-amended and non-amended flasks. This is possible, because we can

306   calculate $CO_{2\,sucrose\text{-}derived}$ as the product of the proportion of the sucrose-derived respiratory CO_2

307   (P_{suc}) in sucrose-amended flasks and the CO_2 evolved in sucrose-amended flasks:

309   [Equation 7] $P^*_{suc} = \dfrac{\left[CO_{2\,sucrose\text{-}derived}\right]}{[\ldots]\cdot[\ldots]} = P_{suc} \times \dfrac{[\ldots]}{[\ldots]\cdot[\ldots]}$.

311   We know P_{suc} from equation 1; hence:

313   [Equation 8] $P^*_{suc} = \dfrac{\ldots}{\ldots} \times \dfrac{[\ldots]}{[\ldots]\cdot[\ldots]}$,

and by inserting equation 8 in equation 6, we find that $\delta^{13}C$ of the primed SOC, $\delta^{13}C_{primed}$ can be

calculated accordingly:

[Equation 9] $\delta^{13}C_{primed} =$ (equation illegible in source)

For one of the soil samples from the ambient treatment the $\delta^{13}C$ value of CO_2 evolved in the flasks

was unexpectedly high, which suggests that the CO_2 partly originated from carbonate C. We

therefore omitted this sample from data analyses. We tested the effects of elevated CO_2, drought

and warming on all response variables with full factorial three-way ANOVAs. Homogeneity of

variance was assessed with the Brown-Forsythe test. Data for basal respiration rate were log

transformed prior to analysis to obtain homogeneity of variance. All statistical analyses were

executed in Sigma Plot version 13.0.

3. Results

The soil-weight-specific basal respiration and sucrose-induced respiration, i.e. the extra CO_2

produced in sucrose-amended flasks compared to non-amended flasks, was on average c. 50 %

higher in soil from elevated CO_2 plots, and this increase was more pronounced when drought and

elevated CO_2 were combined (Table 2 and 3). This is in line with the higher SOC content at

elevated CO_2, which was also highest when elevated CO_2 and drought were combined (Table 1). In

contrast, warming did not affect basal or sucrose-induced respiration. The basal decomposition of

SOC, expressed as the respiration activity per g SOC, was independent of treatments (Table 2 and

3). Likewise, the SOC-specific sucrose-induced respiration activity did not differ between

treatments (Table 2 and 3).

14

Sucrose addition enhanced decomposition of native SOC (Fig. 1), hence priming occurred. At ambient CO_2, sucrose enhanced the SOC decomposition rate with 35-49 µg C g SOC^{-1} h^{-1}. The priming effect was significantly higher at elevated CO_2 (Table 3), where sucrose addition enhanced SOC decomposition rate with 43-59 µg C g SOC^{-1} h^{-1}. There was a tendency towards reduced priming in soils exposed to drought (P=0.11), but warming did not affect the level of priming (Fig. 1, Table 3).

As a consequence of the eight years of FACE with pure ^{13}C-depleted CO_2, the δ^{13}C of the total CO_2 efflux from elevated CO_2 soil (Fig. 2a) was significantly 3.0-5.4 ‰ lower than from ambient CO_2 soil in flasks without sucrose (Table 3). Addition of sucrose with the higher δ^{13}C of -12 ‰ raised the δ^{13}C of the CO_2 evolved during the four-hour incubation. Nevertheless, CO_2 from elevated CO_2 octagons in the sucrose-amended flasks was still significantly 1.2-2.0 ‰ lower than CO_2 evolved from ambient CO_2 soil (Fig. 2b, Table 3).

In contrast to the total CO_2 efflux (Fig. 2), the δ^{13}C of primed SOC-derived CO_2-C from elevated CO_2 soil was not lower than the δ^{13}C of primed SOC-derived CO_2-C from ambient CO_2 soil (Fig. 3). At ambient CO_2, the δ^{13}C of primed CO_2-C released from soils that were not exposed to warming was significantly lower than the δ^{13}C of primed C in all other treatments (Fig. 3, Table 3).

4. Discussion

The soil C content in the upper 0-10 cm of the soil profile had increased with 12-22 % after eight years of elevated CO_2 exposure in treatments without experimental drought exposure, and drought further increased soil C content at elevated CO_2 (Table 1), which is consistent with the increased root production at elevated CO_2 recorded in 2009-2010 at the same field site (Arndal et al., 2013). This build-up of organic C resulted in larger basal and sucrose-induced respiration activities expressed per soil weight, whereas the SOC-specific respiration did not respond to any of the

treatments (Table 2). Stimulating effects of elevated CO_2 on soil respiration rates (Fig. 1a) have

been reported at the current site of this investigation (Selsted et al., 2012), and are also well

described from other studies (Zak et al., 2000, van Groenigen et al., 2014).

As we hypothesized, the priming effect was more pronounced in soils exposed to elevated CO_2

(Fig. 1). With the increased soil C content and C:N ratios of aboveground (Vestergård et al., 2015)

and belowground (Arndal et al., 2013, 2014) organic inputs at elevated CO_2, it is likely that the

microbial N demand increased. Consequently, the enhanced priming and mineralization of SOC

may be a result of increased microbial N mining (Dijkstra et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014). In line with

this, soils from elevated CO_2 plots at our field site exhibited higher activity of enzymes involved in

SOC degradation (Partavian et al., 2015). In a previous laboratory set-up with soil from the current

field site, Reinsch et al. (2013) assessed the temporal development of glucose-induced priming over

two weeks at 8°C and also found positive priming induced by labile C (glucose), with stronger

effects at elevated CO_2. The similar outcomes of the two studies demonstrate that the short-term (4

h) immediate priming response to labile C input, i.e. the priming capacity of the inherent microbial

community prior to microbial growth on the added labile substrate, is a relevant indicator also of

long-term priming effects. While Reinsch et al. (2013) only examined the occurrence of priming in

soils from a subset of the field treatments, i.e. the ambient (A), elevated CO_2 (CO_2) and the full

combination of all treatment factors ($TDCO_2$), we assessed the effects of all possible combinations

of the global change factors, i.e. elevated CO_2, warming and drought, on priming responses. In the

longer-term experiment, the priming effect diminished in soils exposed to elevated CO_2, drought

and warming in combination (Reinsch et al., 2013). In the present study we did not find a

comparable significant interaction between the three global change factors and potential priming of

SOC, although we note that the priming response in soils exposed to drought tended to be lower

than in soils that were not subjected to experimental drought.

In our investigation, we incubated soil samples from the different field treatments under standardized conditions with respect to moisture, temperature and CO_2. Hence, we address whether the long-term field manipulation of climate accommodated changes in the microbial decomposition of SOC, which could be caused by altered availability and quality of SOC and N and/or altered microbial community activity or composition. We sampled the soil immediately after the annual drought treatment, where the water content in drought plots was still significantly reduced (Table 1), and we hypothesized that reduced microbial activity after the drought would impair priming. However, contrary to our expectation, both basal respiration and sucrose-induced respiration per soil weight were enhanced by drought in combination with elevated CO_2, and drought tended to increase the SOC-specific respiration (Table 2). This probably reflects a high turnover of drought-decimated microorganisms upon re-wetting in the incubation experiment (Groffman & Tiedje, 1988). On the other hand, drought tended to reduce the sucrose-induced priming of SOC (Fig. 1). The stimulation of microbial activity upon re-wetting of soils after a severe drought event thus appears uncoupled from the microbial priming of SOC in response to labile C input.

Contrary to our hypothesis, long-term warming did not affect basal respiration, sucrose induced respiration (Table 2), or potential priming (Fig. 1). In the field, warming enhanced microbial abundance (Larsen et al., 2011, Haugwitz et al., 2014) and initiated earlier plant growth in the spring (Kongstad et al., 2012). We expected this to result in decreased N availability, which would be reflected in increased priming (Fontaine et al., 2004, 2011; Zhang et al., 2013), but we found no evidence for this hypothesis. A possible reason is that eight years of warming and earlier onset of spring growth of plants did not decrease soil N availability sufficiently to influence priming. Further, at the field site the warming treatment only raised mean soil temperatures at 5 cm depth by 0.1-0.2 °C over the 3 months preceding the soil sampling (Vestergård et al., 2015). This is hardly a temperature increase that would stimulate microbial activity considerably.

414

It is remarkable that the $\delta^{13}C$ of respiratory CO_2 derived from SOC priming in soils exposed to eight

years of elevated CO_2 with reduced ^{13}C was not lower than in ambient CO_2 soils (Fig. 3). In contrast,

the isotopic composition of total respired CO_2 from soils exposed to elevated CO_2 was ^{13}C-

depleted compared to CO_2 evolved from ambient CO_2 soils (Fig. 2). This shows that C assimilated

in the elevated CO_2 treatments was indeed decomposed in the soil basal respiration, whereas this

pool of newly assimilated C was not subject to primed decomposition; hence its decomposition was

apparently not energy limited. This implies that the primed C was assimilated more than eight years

before sampling. We can therefore add evidence to support previous statements that elevated CO_2

induces decomposition of older soil C (van Groenigen et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2005; Niklaus &

Falloon, 2006). Likewise, elevated CO_2 enhanced the formation of coarse particulate SOM (fresh

SOM) and decreased the fraction of physically protected SOM (old SOM) in forest soil (Hofmockel

et al., 2011) and in prairie soil (Procter et al., 2015). Given that old SOM pools contain significant,

yet (to a large extend) physically and chemically protected N stocks, this lends support to the

hypothesis that priming in response to labile C supply is a mechanism by which (some)

microorganisms gain access to a reservoir of N to meet their enhanced N demand under conditions

of ample C supply (Dijkstra et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014). If enhanced priming at elevated CO_2 is

caused by increased microbial N demand, because more SOM with lower relative N content enters

the system at elevated CO_2, it is reasonable that priming should be directed towards SOM pools

with a higher N content, i.e. SOM pools incorporated into the system before the elevated CO_2

treatment was initiated.

Bulk SOC encompasses different pools of SOC of varying age and particle size, and the $\delta^{13}C$ of

these different pools vary considerably (Gerzabek et al., 2001). As expected, the SOC $\delta^{13}C$ was

decreased from -27.8 ‰ in ambient CO_2 soil to -29.3 ‰ in elevated CO_2 soil, whereas drought and

warming did not affect the isotopic composition of SOC. The lower $\delta^{13}C$ of the C primed in the

439 ambient plots and plots subjected to drought as a single factor compared to the other treatments

440 (Fig. 3), therefore suggests that sucrose-amendment primed the decomposition of different SOC

441 pools in the different treatments.

442 It has been argued that short-term incubations as employed in the current study reflects 'apparent'

443 rather than 'real' priming effects. Theoretically, apparent priming is a state, where the initial

444 enhanced respiratory pulse induced by labile C addition, derives from turn-over of microbial

445 biomass C rather than decomposition of SOC, i.e. part of the inherent microbial biomass C pool is

446 substituted by the added labile C. 'Real priming', on the other hand, describes the enhanced

447 decomposition of SOC after prolonged incubation with labile C (Blagodatskaya & Kuzyakov, 2008;

448 Blagodatsky et al., 2010). We argue, though, that the finding that the primed C was at least eight

449 years old is strong indication that even in our short-term incubation study, the addition of labile C

450 resulted in real priming; i.e. the enhanced decomposition of SOC. If the sucrose-induced priming

451 did indeed represent apparent priming, it would imply that the pool-substituted microbial biomass C

452 was more than eight years old. Microbial biomass turnover is on average much faster than eight

453 years, and it is quite unlikely that microorganisms grow preferentially on older C pools. Therefore,

454 we find it most plausible that the enhanced soil-derived CO_2-C flux represents real priming of SOC

455 rather than pool substitution of microbial biomass C.

456 It has been suggested that increased primary production at elevated CO_2 will enhance C

457 sequestration in terrestrial ecosystems and thus counteract the rise in atmospheric CO_2

458 concentration (Oren et al., 2001; Jastrow et al., 2005; Houghton, 2007). However, this and other

459 studies (Carney et al., 2007; van Groenigen et al., 2014) demonstrate that ecosystems exposed to

460 elevated CO_2 concentrations will be more prone to SOC decomposition triggered by labile C input.

461 This will thus reduce the anticipated increase in C sequestration. In our heath/grassland system

462 elevated CO_2 did enhance the C input to the system and hence the SOC pool (Table 1). However,

463 we demonstrate that labile C inputs accelerate the turnover of older SOC pools and alter C

dynamics of the system under elevated CO_2. Therefore, in the longer term, the net C balance of this

and other systems in a high CO_2 world will depend on the extent to which the build up of new

organic C will compensate for the increased loss of older organic C pools.

References

Adair, E.C., Reich, P.B., Hobbie, S.E., Knops, J.M.H., 2009. Interactive effects of time, CO_2, N,

and diversity on total belowground carbon allocation and ecosystem carbon storage in a grassland

community. Ecosystems 6, 1037-1052.

Ainsworth, E.A., Rogers, A., 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to

rising [CO_2]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. Plant, Cell and Environment 30, 258-

270.

Albert, K.R., Ro-Poulsen, H., Mikkelsen, T.N., Michelsen, A., van der Linden, L., Beier, C., 2011.

Effects of elevated CO_2, warming and drought episodes on plant carbon uptake in a temperate heath

ecosystem are controlled by soil water status. Plant, Cell & Environment 34, 1207-1222.

489 Arndal, M.F., Merrild, M.P., Michelsen, A., Schmidt, I.K., Mikkelsen, T.N. & Beier, C., 2013. Net

490 root growth and nutrient acquisition in response to predicted climate change in two contrasting

491 heathland species. Plant and Soil 369, 615-629.

492

493 Arndal, M.F., Schmidt, I.K., Kongstad, J., Beier, C. & Michelsen, A., 2014. Root growth and N

494 dynamics in response to multi-year experimental warming, summer drought and elevated CO_2 in a

495 mixed heathland-grass ecosystem. Functional Plant Biology 41, 1-10.

496

497 Bengtson, P., Barker, J., Grayston, S.J., 2012. Evidence of a strong coupling between root

498 exudation, C and N availability, and stimulated SOM decomposition caused by rhizosphere priming

499 effects. Ecology and Evolution 2, 1843-1852.

500

501 Blagodatskaya, E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2008. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects and their

502 dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: critical review. Biology and

503 Fertility of Soils 45, 115-131.

504

505 Blagodatsky, S., Blagodatskaya, E., Yuyukina, T., Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Model of apparent and real

506 priming effects: Linking microbial activity with soil organic matter decomposition. Soil Biology &

507 Biochemistry 42, 1275-1283.

508

509 Carney, K.M., Hungate, B.A., Drake, B.G., Megonigal, J.P., 2007. Altered soil microbial

510 community at elevated CO_2 leads to loss of soil carbon. Proceedings of the National Academy of

511 Sciences 104, 4990–4995.

512

513   Chapin III, F.S., Matson, P.A., Mooney, H.A., 2002. Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology.

514   Springer, New York.

515

516   Chen, R.R., Senbayram, M., Blagodatsky, S., Myachina, O., Dittert, K., Lin, X.G., Blagodatskaya,

517   E., Kuzyakov, Y., 2014. Soil C and N availability determine the priming effect: microbial N mining

518   and stoichiometric decomposition theories. Global Change Biology 20, 2356-2367.

519

520   Cheng, W.X.,Parton, W.J.,Gonzalez-Meler, M.A.,Phillips, R., Asao, S.,McNickle, G.G., Brzostek,

521   E., Jastrow, J.D., 2014. Synthesis and modeling perspectives of rhizosphere priming. New

522   Phytologist 201, 31-44.

523

524   Dijkstra, F.A., Cheng, W., 2007. Moisture modulates rhizosphere effects on C decomposition in

525   two different soil types. Soil Biology & Bioschemistry 39, 2264-2274.

526

527   Dijkstra, F.A., Carrillo, Y., Pendall, E., Morgan, J.A., 2013. Rhizosphere priming: a nutrient

528   perspective. Frontiers in Microbiology 4,article 216.

529

530   Field, C.B., Jackson, J.A., Vilgalys, R., Jackson, R.B., 1995. Stomatal responses to increased CO_2:

531   implications from the plant to the global scale. Plant, Cell and Environment 18, 1214-1225.

532

533   Fontaine, S.,Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., Mariotti, A., 2004. Carbon input to soil may decrease soil

534   carbon content. Ecology Letters 7, 314-320.

535

536 Fontaine, S., Henault, C., Aamor, A.,Bdioui, N., Bloor, J.M.G., Maire, V., Mary, B., Revaillot, S.,

537 Maron, P.A., 2011. Fungi mediate long term sequestration of carbon and nitrogen in soil through

538 their priming effect. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43, 86-96.

539

540 Garcia-Pausas, J., Paterson, E., 2011. Microbial community abundance and structure are

541 determinants of soil organic matter mineralisation in the presence of labile carbon. Soil Biology &

542 Biochemistry 43, 1705-1713.

543

544 Gerzabek, M.H., Habernauer, G., 2001. Soil organic matter pools and carbon-13 natural abundances

545 in particle-size fraction of a long-term agricultural field experiment receiving organic amendments.

546 Soil Science Society of America Journal 65, 352-358.

547

548 Ghee, C., Neilson, R., Hallett, P.D., Robinson, D., Paterson, E., 2013. Priming of soil organic

549 matter mineralisation is intrinsically insensitive to temperature. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 66,

550 20-28.

551

552 Grayston, S.J., Wang, S., Campbell, C.D., Edwards, A.C., 1998. Selective influence of plant species

553 on microbial diversity in the rhizosphere. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 30, 369-378.

554

555 Groffman, P.M., Tiedje, J.M., 1988. Denitrification hysteresis during wetting and drying in soil.

556 Soil Science Society of America Journal 52, 1626-1629.

557

558 Gude, A., Kandeler, E., Gleixner, G., 2012. Input related microbial carbon dynamic of soil organic

559 matter in particle size fractions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 47, 209-219.

560

561   Guenet, B., Neill, C., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., 2010. Is there a linear relationship between priming

562   effect intensity and the amount of organic matter input? Applied Soil Ecology 46, 436-442.

563

564   Haugwitz, M.S., Bergmark, L., Priemé, A., Christensen, S., Beier, C., Michelsen, A., 2014. Soil

565   microorganisms respond to five years of climate change manipulations and elevated atmospheric

566   CO_2 in a temperate heath ecosystem. Plant and Soil 374, 211-222

567

568   Hofmockel, K.S., Zak, D.R., Moran, K.K., Jastrow, J.D., 2011. Changes in forest soil organic

569   matter pools after a decade of elevated CO_2 and O_3. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43, 1518-1527.

570

571   Houghton, R.A., 2007. Balancing the Global Carbon Budget. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary

572   Sciences 35, 313–347.

573

574   Hungate, B.A., Holland, E.A., Jackson, R.B., Chapin III, F.S., Mooney, H.A., Field, C.B., 1997.

575   The fate of carbon in grasslands under carbon dioxide enrichment. Nature 388, 576–579.

576

577   IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I

578   to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge

579   University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York.

580

581   IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I

582   to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge

583   University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York.

584

585   Jastrow, J.D., Miller, R.M., Matamala, R., Norby, R.J., Boutton, T.W., Rice, C.W., Owensby, C.E.,

586   2005. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases soil carbon. Global Change Biology 11,

587   2057–2064.

588

589   Kassem II, J.P., Sigler, V., Heckathorn, S., Wang, Q., 2008. Effect of elevated CO_2 and drought on

590   soil microbial communities associated with *Andropogon gerardii*. Journal of Integrative Plant

591   Biology 50, 1406-1415.

592

593   Kongstad, J., Schmidt, I.K., Riis-Nielsen, T., Arndal, M.F., Mikkelsen, T.N., Beier, C., 2012 High

594   resilience in heathland plants to changes in temperature, drought, and CO_2 in combination: Results

595   from the CLIMAITE experiment. Ecosystems 15, 269-283.

596

597   Larsen, K.S., Andresen, L.C., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Albert, K.R., Ambus, P., Arndal, M., Carter,

598   M.S., Christensen, S., Holmstrup, M., Ibrom, A., Kongstad, J., van der Linden, L., Maraldo, K.,

599   Michelsen, A., Mikkelsen, T.N., Pilegaard, K., Priemé, A., Ro-Poulsen, H., Schmidt, I.K., Selsted,

600   M.B., Stevnbak, K., 2011. Reduced N cycling in response to elevated CO_2, warming, and drought

601   in a Danish heathland: Synthesizing results of the CLIMAITE project after two years of treatments.

602   Global Change Biology 17, 1884-1899.

603

604   Luo, Y., Su, B., Currie, W.S., Zak, D.R., Dukes, J.S., Finzi, A., Hartwig, U., Hungate, B.,

605   McMurtrie, R.E., Oren, R., Parton, W.J., Pataki, D.E., Shaw, M.R., Field, C.B., 2004. Progressive

606   nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. BioScience 54,

607   731-739.

608

609   Mikkelsen, T.N., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Holmstrup, M., Schmidt, I.K., Ambus, P., Pilegaard, K.,

610   Michelsen, A., Albert, K., Andresen, L.C., Arndal, M.F., Bruun, N., Christensen, S., Danbæk, S.,

611   Gundersen, P., Jørgensen, P., Linden, J.G., Kongstad, J., Maraldo, K., Priemé, A., Riis-Nielsen, T., Ro-

612   Poulsen, H., Stevnbak, K., Selsted, M.B., Sørensen, P., Larsen, K.S., Carter, M.S., Ibrom, A.,

613   Martinussen, T., Miglietta, F., Sverdrup, H., 2008. Experimental design of multifactor climate change

614   experiments with elevated CO_2, warming and drought: the CLIMAITE project. Functional Ecology 22,

615   185-195.

616

617   Moyano, F.E., Manzoni, S., Chenu, C., 2013. Responses of soil heterotrophic respiration to

618   moisture availability: An exploration of processes and models. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 59, 72-

619   85.

620

621   Niklaus., P.A., Falloon, P., 2006. Estimating soil carbon sequestration under elevated CO_2 by

622   combining carbon isotope labelling with soil carbon cycle modelling. Global Change Biology 12,

623   1909-1921.

624

625   Oren, R., Ellsworth, D.S., Johnsen, K.H., Phillips, N., Ewers, B.E., Maier, C., Schäfer, K.V.R.,

626   McCarthy, H., Hendrey, G., McNulty, S.G. Katul, G.G., 2001. Soil fertility limits carbon

627   sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO_2-enriched atmosphere. Nature 411, 469–472.

628

629   Partavian, A., Mikkelsen, T.N., Vestergård, M., 2015. Plants increase laccase activity in soil with

630   long-term elevated CO_2 legacy. European Journal of Soil Biology 70, 97-103.

631

632   Paterson, E., Thornton, B., Midwood, A.J., Osborne, S.M., Sim, A., Millard, P., 2008. Atmospheric

633   CO_2 enrichment and nutrient additions to planted soil increase mineralisation of soil organic matter,

but do not alter microbial utilisation of plant- and soil C-sources. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 40, 2434-2440.

Paterson, E., 2009. Comments on the regulatory gate hypothesis and implications for C-cycling in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 41, 1352-1354.

Paterson, E., Sim, A., 2013. Soil-specific response functions of organic matter mineralization to the availability of labile carbon. Global Change Biology 19, 1562-1571.

Perveen, N., Barot, S., Alvarez, G., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Rapaport, A., Herfurth, D., Louault, F., Fontaine, S., 2014. Priming effect and microbial diversity in ecosystem functioning and response to global change: a modeling approach using the SYMPHONY model. Global Change Biology 20, 1174-1190.

Phillips, R.P., Meier, I.C., Bernhardt, E.S., Grandy, A.S., Wickings, K., Finzi, A.C., 2012. Roots and fungi accelerate carbon and nitrogen cycling in forests exposed to elevated CO_2. Ecology Letters 15, 1042-1049.

Procter, A.C., Gill, R.A., Fay, P.A., Polley, H.W., Jackson, R.B., 2015. Soil carbon responses to past and future CO_2 in three Texas prairie soils. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 83, 66-75.

Reinsch, S., Ambus, P., 2013. In situ $^{13}CO_2$ pulse-labeling in a temperate heathland - Development of a mobile multi-plot field setup. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 27, 1417-1428.

658 Reinsch, S., Ambus, P., Thornton, B., Paterson, E., 2013, Impact of future climatic conditions on

659 the potential for soil organic matter priming. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 133-140.

660

661 Scherber, C., Gladbach, D., Stevnbak, K., Karsten, R.J., Schmidt, I.K., Michelsen, A., Albert, K.R.,

662 Larsen, K.S., Mikkelsen, T.N., Beier, C., Christensen, S., 2013. Multi-factor climate change effects

663 on insect herbivore performance. Ecology and Evolution 3, 1449-1460.

664

665 Selsted, M.B., van der Linden, L., Ibrom, A., Michelsen, A., Larsen, K.S., Pedersen, J.K.,

666 Mikkelsen, T.N., Pilegaard, K., Beier, C., Ambus, P., 2012. Soil respiration is stimulated by

667 elevated CO_2 and reduced by summer drought: three years of measurements in a multifactor

668 ecosystem manipulation experiment in a temperate heathland (CLIMAITE). Global Change

669 Biology 18, 1216-1230.

670

671 Sullivan, B.W., Hart, S.C., 2013. Evaluation of mechanisms controlling the priming of soil carbon

672 along a substrate age gradient. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 58, 293-301.

673

674 van Groenigen, K.J., Gorissen, A., Six, J., Harris, D., Kuikman, P.J., van Groenigen, J.W., van

675 Kessel, C., 2005. Decomposition of C-14-labeled roots in a pasture soil exposed to 10 years of

676 elevated CO_2. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 37, 497-506.

677

678 van Groenigen, K.J., Qi, X., Osenberg, C.W., Luo, Y., Hungate, B.A., 2014. Faster decomposition

679 under increased atmospheric CO_2 limits soil carbon storage. Science 344, 508-509.

680

681 Vestergård, M., Dyrnum, K., Michelsen, A., Damgaard, C., Holmstrup, M., 2015. Long-term

682 multifactorial climate change impacts on mesofaunal biomass and nitrogen content. Applied Soil

683 Ecology 92, 54-63

684

685 Wang, X., Liu, L., Piao, S., Janssens, I.A., Tang, J., Liu, W., Chi, Y., Wang, J., Xu, S., 2014. Soil

686 respiration under climate warming: differential response of heterotrophic and autotrophic

687 respiration. Global Change Biology 20, 3229-3237.

688

689 Wu, J., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1993. Formation and destruction of microbial biomass

690 during the decomposition of glucose and ryegrass in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25, 1435-

691 1441.

692

693 Xiao, C., Guenet, B., Zhou, Y., Su, J., Janssens, I.A., 2015. Priming of soil organic matter

694 decomposition scales linearly with microbial biomass response to litter input in steppe vegetation.

695 Oikos 124, 649-657.

696

697 Xie, Z.B., Cadisch, G., Edwards, G., Baggs, E.M., Blum, H., 2005. Carbon dynamics in a temperate

698 grassland soil after 9 years exposure to elevated CO2 (Swiss FACE). Soil Biology & Biochemistry

699 37, 1387-1395.

700

701 Zak, D.R., Pregitzer, K.S., King, J.S., Holmes, W.E., 2000. Elevated atmospheric CO_2, fine roots

702 and the response of soil microorganisms: a review and hypothesis New Phytologist 147, 201-222.

703

704 Zhang, W.D., Wang, X.F., Wang, S.L., 2013. Addition of external organic carbon and native soil

705 organic carbon decomposition: a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 8, e54779.

706

707   Zyakun, A.M., Dilly, O., 2005. Use of carbon isotope composition for characterization of microbial

708   activity in arable soils. Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology5, 512-520.

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

Figure legends

Figure 1

Mean priming effect assessed as the increase in SOC decomposition rate in soils amended with

sucrose compared to soils incubated with water. Soils were collected from field plots in a Danish

grass/heathland exposed to 8 years of ambient conditions (A), annually repeated spring/early

summer drought (D), warming (T), elevated atmospheric CO_2 (CO2) and all possible combinations

of single factors. Error bars depict SE. *n*=4-5.

Figure 2

Mean δ^{13}C values of CO_2-C respired during incubation of soils collected from field plots in a

Danish grass/heathland exposed to 8 years of ambient conditions (A), annually repeated

spring/early summer drought (D), warming (T), elevated atmospheric CO_2 (CO2) and all possible

combinations of single factors. Soils were incubated with water (a) or with a sucrose solution (b).

Error bars depict SE. *n*=4-5.

Figure 3

Mean δ^{13}C values of SOC-derived CO_2-C primed by sucrose addition during incubation of soils

collected from field plots in a Danish grass/heathland exposed to 8 years of ambient conditions (A),

annually repeated spring/early summer drought (D), warming (T), elevated atmospheric CO_2 (CO2)

and all possible combinations of single factors. Treatments A and D, marked with asterisk, are

significantly different from the other treatments (Tukey *P*<0.05). Error bars depict SE. *n*=4-5.

Table 1. Mean water content and C content in soils collected from field plots in a Danish grass/heathland exposed to 8 years of ambient conditions (A), annually repeated spring/early summer drought (D), warming (T), elevated CO_2 (CO_2) and all possible combinations of single factors. SE depicted in parentheses. n=4-5.

	Soil water content (%)		Soil C content (%)	
A	10.30	(0.42)	3.15	(0.29)
D	8.04	(1.32)	3.08	(0.32)
T	10.69	(1.00)	3.00	(0.23)
TD	6.71	(0.53)	2.89	(0.23)
CO_2	11.86	(0.50)	3.52	(0.33)
DCO_2	9.27	(0.69)	4.80	(0.41)
TCO_2	11.68	(1.16)	3.65	(0.60)
$TDCO_2$	11.24	(2.50)	5.75	(1.28)
Treatment effects	P_{CO2}=0.024 P_D=0.012		P_{CO2}=0.002 P_{CO2xD}=0.038	

Table 2. Mean basal and sucrose-induced respiration in relation to soil dry weight and soil organic C (SOC) content during incubation of soils collected from field plots in a Danish grass/heathland exposed to 8 years of ambient conditions (A), annually repeated spring/early summer drought (D), warming (T), elevated atmospheric CO_2 (CO_2) and all possible combinations of single factors. Sucrose-induced respiration is the difference between respiration activity in sucrose-amended and control samples. SE depicted in parentheses. n=4-5.

|	Basal respiration ($\mu g\ CO_2$-C g soil^{-1} h^{-1})		Sucrose-induced respiration ($\mu g\ CO_2$-C g soil^{-1} h^{-1})		SOC-specific basal respiration ($\mu g\ CO_2$-C g SOC^{-1} h^{-1})		SOC-specific sucrose-induced respiration ($\mu g\ CO_2$-C g SOC^{-1} h^{-1})	
A	2.05	(0.38)	5.07	(0.51)	63.44	(6.45)	160.51	(3.57)
D	2.00	(0.31)	4.69	(0.78)	65.63	(10.02)	158.85	(30.94)
T	1.97	(0.24)	5.00	(0.53)	65.34	(4.99)	166.12	(9.85)
TD	1.84	(0.21)	3.98	(0.37)	64.05	(4.95)	138.67	(8.36)
CO_2	2.17	(0.16)	5.76	(0.73)	62.76	(4.45)	162.63	(9.50)
DCO_2	3.51	(0.47)	8.23	(1.04)	72.45	(5.20)	169.88	(11.04)
TCO_2	2.27	(0.49)	5.59	(1.03)	61.22	(5.32)	152.83	(13.68)
$TDCO_2$	4.39	(0.81)	8.49	(1.10)	80.18	(6.41)	162.39	(17.79)

Table 3. ANOVA table of effects of elevated CO_2 (CO_2), annually repeated spring/early summer drought (D), warming (T) and their interactions on respiration activity, sucrose-induced respiration activity, priming of soil organic C, isotopic composition of respiratory CO_2 evolved during 4 h incubation without or with sucrose and isotopic composition of SOC-derived primed C.

Source	df	Soil-weight specific basal respiration		Soil-weight specific sucrose-induced respiration		SOC-specific basal respiration		SOC-specific sucrose-induced respiration		Priming		$\delta^{13}C$‰ of CO_2 without sucrose		$\delta^{13}C$‰ of CO_2 with sucrose		$\delta^{13}C$‰ of primed SOC-derived CO_2	
		F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
CO_2	1	13.70	**<0.001**	16.15	**<0.001**	1.23	0.275	0.42	0.520	8.61	**0.006**	65.22	**<0.001**	47.14	**<0.001**	5.97	**0.020**
D	1	5.88	**0.021**	2.93	0.097	2.61	0.116	0.369	0.548	2.65	0.114	4.13	0.051	0.02	0.888	3.58	0.068
T	1	0.04	0.835	0.09	0.771	0.16	0.691	0.46	0.504	0.77	0.386	1.02	0.320	0.07	0.793	1.27	0.269
DxCO$_2$	1	7.75	**0.009**	8.47	**0.007**	2.80	0.105	1.65	0.209	0.72	0.402	0.15	0.698	0.29	0.594	0.51	0.481
TxCO$_2$	1	0.29	0.592	0.14	0.709	0.02	0.900	0.10	0.758	2.32	0.138	3.03	0.091	1.44	0.239	4.40	**0.044**
TxD	1	0.13	0.718	0.01	0.926	0.14	0.711	0.13	0.721	1.71	0.200	0.25	0.621	1.02	0.320	0.12	0.730
TxDxCO$_2$	1	0.32	0.576	0.21	0.650	0.41	0.528	0.18	0.678	0.12	0.735	0.18	0.675	0.32	0.578	0.53	0.472
Error	31																

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Plot of $\delta^{13}C$‰ of primed C (y-axis, ranging from -36 to -22) versus treatment (x-axis: A, D, T, TD, CO2, DCO2, TCO2, TDCO2).

CO_2 P=0.020
CO_2 x warming P=0.044