



# Depth-resolved particle associated microbial respiration in the northeast Atlantic

A. Belcher<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Iversen<sup>3,4</sup>, S. Giering<sup>1</sup>, V. Riou<sup>5</sup>, S.A. Henson<sup>1</sup>, R. Sanders<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

5 <sup>2</sup> University of Southampton, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

<sup>3</sup> Helmholtz Young Investigator Group SEAPUMP, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>4</sup> MARUM, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography, Marseille, France

10 *Correspondence to:* A. Belcher (A.Belcher@noc.soton.ac.uk)

**Abstract.** The depth at which sinking particulate organic carbon (POC) is remineralized in the ocean is tightly linked to atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide. Rapid attenuation of downward POC flux typically occurs in the upper mesopelagic (top few hundred meters of the water column), with much slower loss rates deeper in the ocean. Currently we lack understanding of the processes that drive POC attenuation, resulting in large uncertainties in the mesopelagic carbon budget.

15 Attempts to balance POC supply to the mesopelagic in discrete depth layers with respiration by zooplankton and microbes in those layers rarely succeed, with respiration sometimes being 50% lower than apparent carbon loss in the upper mesopelagic. One term that is often poorly quantified in such budgets is particle associated bacterial respiration, which we hypothesize could serve as the 'missing sink' for carbon in the upper mesopelagic. Here we test this hypothesis by measuring particle associated microbial respiration, through direct measurements on individual marine snow aggregates collected in situ. We

20 find very low rates of both absolute and carbon specific particle associated microbial respiration ( $<3\% \text{ d}^{-1}$ ), suggesting that this term cannot close the upper mesopelagic carbon budget. The relative importance of particle associated microbial respiration increases with depth, accounting for up to 34% of POC loss in the lower mesopelagic (128-500 m). We suggest that POC attenuation in the upper mesopelagic is driven by the transformation of large, fast sinking particles to smaller, slowly sinking and suspended particles via processes such as zooplankton fragmentation, and that this shift to non-sinking

25 POC may help to explain imbalances in the mesopelagic carbon budget.

## 1 Introduction

The biological carbon pump plays a key role in regulating the partitioning of carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) between the ocean and atmosphere, and without it atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  would likely be 200 ppm higher than it is today (Parekh et al., 2006). Key to determining its effectiveness is the efficiency with which organic carbon sinks through the ocean interior (quantified as

30 the transfer efficiency), and thus the depth at which material is remineralized (quantified as the  $b$  parameter, Martin et al.,



1987) (Kwon et al., 2009). However, despite its importance, the processes governing the loss of organic carbon within the mesopelagic are poorly understood (Burd et al., 2010).

POC sinking out of the euphotic zone can be transformed within the mesopelagic in many ways including, zooplankton feeding, fragmentation via sloppy feeding, microbial solubilization to dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and physically driven aggregation and disaggregation processes (e.g. Azam and Malfatti, 2007; Burd and Jackson, 2009; Belcher et al., 2016). Ultimately carbon is lost from the organic carbon pool as CO<sub>2</sub> via respiration, and hence, in theory at steady state, the loss (attenuation) of POC should be balanced by community respiration (Buesseler and Boyd, 2009). However, settling organic matter is often found to be insufficient to meet the energy demands of microbes in the dark ocean, thus leading to an imbalanced mesopelagic carbon budget (Herndl and Reinthaler, 2013; Steinberg et al., 2008).

A recent study managed to close the mesopelagic carbon budget between 50-1000 m in the North Atlantic (Giering et al., 2014). However, this study contained large and compensating imbalances in upper and lower mesopelagic layers, with an excess of POC supply to the upper mesopelagic (50-150 m depth), and an excess of respiration in the lower mesopelagic (150-1000 m depth). Prokaryotes were found to be responsible for most of the respiration (92%) across both depth ranges, however the sampling techniques used may have underestimated the respiratory loss due to particle associated prokaryotes which have typically not been included in mesopelagic carbon budget studies (Giering et al., 2014; Steinberg et al., 2008). Data from the subtropical North Atlantic and west Antarctic Peninsula show that particle associated microbial respiration can contribute 32-93% of the total respiration measured in situ (McDonnell et al., 2015), suggesting that particle associated microbes could play an important role in the loss of POC in the mesopelagic. Alternatively, Iversen et al., (2010) found that rapid flux attenuation was best explained by flux feeding by zooplankton off Cape Blanc (Mauritania). We hypothesize that POC losses via particle associated respiration (a term not directly measured by Giering et al., 2014 or Steinberg et al., 2008) may help to close the upper mesopelagic carbon budget.

Marine snow particles (aggregates of detritus, living organisms and inorganic matter larger than 0.5 mm in diameter, Alldredge and Silver, 1988) can make up a large fraction of the sinking POC in the ocean and host microbial abundances 2-5 orders of magnitude higher than those found free-living in the surrounding water column (Silver and Alldredge, 1981; Thiele et al., 2014). The fragile nature of marine snow particles makes sampling and measurement difficult; many previous measures of particle associated respiration have been carried out on roller tank formed marine snow aggregates, either from lab cultures of phytoplankton or natural sea water samples (Grossart and Ploug, 2001; Iversen and Ploug, 2010, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010). A few experiments have utilized SCUBA or submersibles to collect in situ aggregates and estimated heterotrophic bacterial production by measuring leucine uptake (Alldredge and Youngbluth, 1985; Smith et al., 1992) with few measuring respiration directly on individual aggregates (Ploug et al., 1999). To the best of our knowledge only two studies have combined direct measures of respiration on aggregates collected in situ with measurements of POC flux (Collins et al., 2015; McDonnell et al., 2015), both of which lack sufficient vertical resolution in the upper mesopelagic to capture the region of most rapid change. Previous studies are therefore inconclusive as to the importance of particle associated microbes on the attenuation of POC, with some studies suggesting they play a minor role (Alldredge and



Youngbluth, 1985; Collins et al., 2015; Ducklow et al., 1982; Karl et al., 1988) and others suggesting a larger contribution (Iversen and Ploug, 2013; Ploug et al., 1999; Turley and Stutt, 2000).

Here we assess the role of particle associated microbial respiration in POC flux attenuation, presenting the first vertical profile of particle associated respiration rates measured on individual marine snow particles collected in situ. In an attempt to account for the ‘missing carbon sink’ in the upper mesopelagic, we make these measurements in the northeast Atlantic at the site of Giering et al., (2014) where we have the most complete knowledge of the mesopelagic carbon budget. In addition, we compare the natural particle sinking velocities and respiration rates with those of aggregates produced in roller tanks. We focus on the upper region of the mesopelagic (mixed layer depth-500 m) where the most rapid attenuation occurs, a region that is poorly understood and poorly represented in model studies (Henderson and Marchal, 2015).

## 10 2 Methods

### 2.1 Study site

Measurements were made during research cruise DY032 (20<sup>th</sup> June – 8<sup>th</sup> July 2015) to the Porcupine Abyssal Plain (PAP) observatory site (49 °N, 16.5 °W) in the northeast Atlantic aboard RRS *Discovery*. Vertical profiles of the water column at each site were made using a Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) unit (Seabird 9Plus with SBE32 carousel). The mixed layer depth (MLD) was determined as the depth where temperature was 0.5°C lower than surface temperature (Monterey and Levitus, 1997).

### 2.2 Chlorophyll-a

Depth profiles of chlorophyll-a were measured at a number of points during the cruise using water samples (200 mL) collected with the CTD rosette. Samples were filtered onto 0.8 µm MPF300 glass fibre filters, and frozen at -20 °C. Pigments were extracted in 90% acetone for 22-24 hours at 4 °C and fluorescence measured on a Trilogy Turner Designs 7200 lab fluorometer calibrated with a pure chlorophyll-a standard (Sigma, UK).

Aqua MODIS 9 km, 8 day satellite chlorophyll-a data (downloaded from the NASA Ocean Biology website; <http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cms/>) were used to assess mesoscale variability (e.g. passage of eddies) during the sampling period. The stage of the bloom was inferred by averaging chlorophyll data over the study region (48.5-49.5 °N, 16.0-17.0 °W) and examining temporal changes prior to and post sampling.

### 2.3 Particle flux and composition

Particle flux and composition were measured using Marine Snow Catchers (MSC), large (95 L) PVC closing water bottles designed to minimize turbulence (Riley et al., 2012). MSCs were deployed between 36-500 m during the course of the cruise. Deployment depths were chosen based on MLD defined from the most recent CTD profile. MSC deployments were carried out during the day with the exception of the two samples at 36 m and 128 m, which were deployed at night due



to logistical limitations. Particles were allowed to settle onto a particle collector tray at the base of the MSC for two hours (we deem these ‘fast sinking’ as in Riley et al., (2012)), after which those visible by eye (>0.15 mm diameter) were picked from three quadrants using a wide bore pipette, filtered onto pre-combusted (450 °C, 24 h) glass fibre filters (25 mm diameter GF/F, Whatman), and oven dried at 50 °C for replicate analysis of POC. Filters were subsequently fumed with 37% HCl in a vacuum desiccator for 24 hours, and dried for 24 hours at 50 °C. Filters and filter blanks were placed in pre-combusted (450 °C, 24 h) tin capsules as in Hilton et al., (1986), and POC measured by a CE-440 Elemental analyser (Exeter Analytical.285 Inc). Particles in the remaining quadrant were transferred to a temperature controlled laboratory (10 °C) and used for measurements of sinking and respiration rates (section 2.4).

The flux of POC ( $F$  in  $\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) associated with fast sinking particles was calculated as follows:

$$F = \frac{m}{A} \times \frac{w}{h}, \quad (1)$$

where  $m$  refers to the total mass (mg) of fast sinking POC collected from the MSC,  $A$  the area ( $\text{m}^2$ ) of the MSC based on inner MSC diameter,  $w$  the measured sinking velocity ( $\text{m d}^{-1}$ ) from laboratory measurements, and  $h$  the height of the snow catcher (1.53 m). Sinking velocities of marine aggregates were measured in a flow chamber (section 2.4), and the median value for each depth horizon used to avoid bias by rare fast sinking aggregates. The rate of particle flux attenuation was assessed by fitting a power-law function (Martin et al., 1987) to the flux data.

$$F_z = F_0 \times (z/z_0)^{-b}, \quad (2)$$

where  $z$  is the depth of the flux, and  $F_0$  is flux at the reference depth (in this case 26 m, i.e. the mixed layer depth). A high value of  $b$  corresponds to high attenuation (shallow remineralization) and vice versa. We note that as in situ particle production at depth is not considered, this represents a lower bound estimate of flux attenuation.

The composition of fast sinking particles at each depth was assessed under a microscope and photographs taken using a Leica DM-IRB inverted microscope and Canon EOS 1100D camera. Particles were classified into phytodetrital aggregates (aggregations >0.15 mm equivalent spherical diameter (ESD) containing phytoplankton cells and other phytodetrital material, herein referred to as PA), faecal pellets (FP), and unidentified phytodetritus. Individual particle dimensions were measured using ImageJ (version 1.49p) and volumes calculated using formulae for a sphere, prolate ellipsoid or cylinder depending on particle shape. Conversions from PA volume were based on measurements of POC content of in situ marine aggregates (section 2.4), and a carbon to volume ratio of  $0.08 \text{ mg mm}^{-3}$  used for FP based on literature estimates (range 0.01-0.15  $\text{mg mm}^{-3}$ ) (Wilson et al., 2008). FP carbon content can vary greatly even within species depending on factors such as food type and concentration (Urban-Rich, 2001), which introduces uncertainty into our estimates of their contribution to the total POC flux.

## 2.4 Oxygen gradients in marine snow aggregates

The rates at which sinking particles were degraded due to the respiration of particle associated microbes were calculated from direct measurements of oxygen gradients within PA. PA were transferred into a temperature controlled flow



chamber system (Ploug and Jorgensen, 1999) containing filtered sea water (0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ ), taken from the MSC deployed at 36 m and maintained at 10  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  (at the low end of temperatures measured during the study, Fig. 1). The salinity in the flow chamber was 35.5 PSU which, considering the low variation in salinity profiles (standard deviation of 0.008 PSU at 36 m depth) should represent conditions at all depths sampled. Within 24 hours of collection, PA were placed carefully in the flow chamber, their x, y, and z dimensions measured using a horizontal dissection microscope with a calibrated ocular, and three measurements of the sinking velocity made for each PA by suspending the PA with an upward flow (Ploug et al., 2010). PA volumes were calculated from x, y, z dimensions based on an ellipsoid, and equivalent spherical diameters (ESD) calculated.

A profile of oxygen was measured from the ambient water, through the diffusive boundary layer (DBL) and into the PA using a Clark-type oxygen microelectrode and guard cathode (Revsbech, 1989) mounted in a micromanipulator. Measurements were made in increments of (50-200  $\mu\text{m}$ ) on the downstream side of the particle and oxygen fluxes calculated using a diffusion-reaction model based on Fick's first law of diffusion (diffusion coefficients of  $1.4691 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for 10  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  and salinity 35 PSU, Broecker and Peng, 1974). Two to three replicate profiles were taken for each PA where possible. We used a solver routine to find the optimum solution minimizing the sum of the squares between measured and modelled oxygen concentrations (see Ploug et al., (1997) for full details). Total oxygen consumption within the PA was calculated using the equation for the surface area of an ellipsoid assuming that net oxygen fluxes do not vary significantly on the upstream and downstream sides (Ploug and Jorgensen, 1999). As oxygen consumption in the DBL is a measure of the respiration rate of the microbial community associated with the PA due to net exchange of oxygen via molecular diffusion, the carbon respiration ( $C_{\text{resp}}$  in  $\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) can be calculated based on a respiratory quotient (RQ) of 1 mol  $\text{O}_2$  to 1 mol  $\text{CO}_2$  (Ploug and Grossart, 2000; Ploug et al., 1997). This was chosen as a conservative value in the range of literature values typically applied (0.7-1.2 mol:mol) for respiration of carbohydrates and lipids (Berggren et al., 2012), but adds uncertainty to our estimates that cannot be better constrained without knowledge of the form of carbon within the PA utilized for microbial respiration.

Following respiration measurements, PA were stored in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes before pooling PA of known and similar ESD onto pre-combusted (450  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 24 h) glass fibre filters (25 mm diameter GF/F, Whatman) for measurement of POC as described in section 2.3. This enabled the carbon to volume relationships for each size class at each depth range to be calculated and hence POC content of individual PA to be estimated. Where possible we measured POC to volume ratios of two sizes classes (typically  $<0.6 \text{ mm ESD}$ ,  $>0.6 \text{ mm ESD}$ ) at each depth horizon, to take into account the fractal shape of aggregates and non-linear volume to POC ratio (Ploug and Grossart, 2000; Ploug et al., 1999). These PA POC contents ( $[\text{POC}]$  in  $\text{mg C mm}^{-3}$ ) were then used to calculate carbon specific respiration rates ( $C_{\text{spec}}$  in  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) as follows:

$$C_{\text{spec}} = C_{\text{resp}}/[\text{POC}]. \quad (3)$$

## 2.5 Roller tank experiments

Water was sampled on 24<sup>th</sup> June from 12 m depth (within the surface peak in chlorophyll) from the CTD rosette and transferred to 2 L acid-cleaned Nalgene polycarbonate bottles. The bottles (141 mm diameter, 249 mm height) were rotated



on a 120V Benchtop Roller Culture Apparatus (Wheaton) at 3 rotations per minute (rpm, Iversen and Ploug, 2010) at 10°C in the dark. The tanks were left to incubate in the dark and form aggregates for a period of 9 days before carefully removing aggregates and measuring respiration rates as described above.

## 2.6 Statistics

5 Attenuation of fast sinking POC flux with depth was best described by a power-law relationship fit ( $R^2=0.42$ ,  $p=0.06$ ,  $n=9$ ) (Martin et al., 1987) compared to an exponential fit ( $R^2=0.30$ ,  $p=0.128$ ,  $n=9$ ). We also tested for any statistical relationship between carbon specific respiration rates and depth. All statistics were carried out in RStudio (version 0.98.1091; R development core team, 2014).

## 2.7 Ancillary data

10 In order to assess the importance of particle associated microbial respiration we compare our measured rates to other previously measured mesopelagic sinks of fast sinking POC at the PAP site. We calculated non-migratory zooplankton respiration rates ( $\text{mg m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) over the depth horizons of interest using data from Giering et al. (2014) from the PAP site in August 2009. Non-migratory zooplankton respiration is based on measured abundances during two sets of day/night net deployments and the calculated respiration per individual ( $\mu\text{C individual}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ).

$$15 \text{ Zooplankton Respiration} = \exp(a_1 + a_2 \ln(DW) + a_3 T) \times RQ \times 12/22.4 \quad (4)$$

Here DW is dry weight ( $\text{mg C individual}^{-1}$ ), RQ is the respiratory quotient (0.8), T is temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), 12/22.4 is the molar conversion factor and parameters  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  were dependent on the type of zooplankton (see Giering et al. (2014) and references within for full details). For each depth we took the average non-migratory zooplankton respiration rate of the two deployments, before integrating over the required depth horizon for carbon budget comparisons.

## 20 3 Results

### 3.1 Hydrography and surface chlorophyll-a

The consistency of vertical temperature profiles suggests little variation in water mass structure during the cruise (Fig. 1b). Temperatures ranged from 15.2  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at the surface to 10.9  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at 500 m, with salinity remaining relatively constant with depth (average 35.34-35.56, Fig. 1b). The mixed layer shallowed from 32 m to 26 m, with peak chlorophyll just above the  
 25 MLD at 15-25 m, and decreasing from 1.9 to 1.4  $\text{mg m}^{-3}$  during the course of the cruise. Satellite chlorophyll data is consistent with in situ data, declining from 1.8 to 1.2  $\text{mg m}^{-3}$  in the PAP region, suggesting sampling was carried out in the 'post peak' phase (Fig.1c).



### 3.2 Particle composition

A total of 10 MSC deployments were made over an 11 day period with particle composition and respiration measurements carried out for 7 deployments (Table 1).

The dominant component of fast sinking particles was PA at all depths sampled (one MSC sample per depth, Fig. 2), accounting for 96 % of sinking POC at 36 m and decreasing to 66 % at 500 m associated with an increasing abundance of FP with depth. The lack of FP observed in our sample at 113 m may be due to the heterogeneous distribution of FP at a particular depth associated with patchy zooplankton distributions. The increase in FP numbers below 100 m could be due to an increase in zooplankton populations with depth, and/or increased FP loss in the upper mesopelagic due to processes such as fragmentation and grazing. Qualitative assessment of FP morphology shows that FP were longer, thinner and darker deeper in the water column, implying a change in zooplankton community composition with depth.

### 3.3 Particle sinking velocities

Sinking velocities of in situ PA ranged from 4-255 m d<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3), reflecting both the range in size of PA measured (0.14-1.09 mm ESD) and the heterogeneous composition of PA. Median sinking velocities showed less variability ranging from 11-34 m d<sup>-1</sup> (10-32 m d<sup>-1</sup> and 21-62 m d<sup>-1</sup> for in situ aggregates <0.6 mm (n=74) and >0.6 mm (n=24) ESD respectively), showing consistency in the composition of the bulk of sinking PA. There was no significant correlation between PA sinking velocity and depth for either size class ( $R^2=0.004$ ,  $p>0.1$ ,  $n=98$ ). PA sinking velocity was significantly ( $R^2=0.163$ ,  $p<0.0001$ ,  $n=98$ ) correlated with ESD (6 outliers, defined as being outside 2 standard deviations from the mean, were excluded in this relationship). PA formed in roller tanks, defined here as PA<sub>r</sub>, were much larger in size (0.54-3.2 mm ESD), but had lower sinking rates for their size (6-173 m d<sup>-1</sup>) as illustrated by the different power-law fits in Fig 3. We note here that sinking velocity measurements were limited to those particles visible by eye (ESD > 0.15 mm). However, measured velocities do agree with previous observations for the North Atlantic ocean which range from 0.2-181 m d<sup>-1</sup> (supplementary table S1 in Collins et al. (2015)). A study in the Southern Ocean by Laurenceau et al. (2015) also found natural aggregates to be smaller than roller tank formed aggregates, and sinking rates were similar to those measured here (13-149 m d<sup>-1</sup>) considering roller tank aggregates of comparable sizes (1.3-3.1 mm ESD).

### 3.4 Particle flux

Consistent with other studies we see a sharp decline in POC concentration (not shown) and POC flux with depth (Fig. 4). Rapid attenuation in the upper 128 m was followed by a slower decrease and possibly even an increase in POC flux below 128 m, suggesting that different processes may be controlling POC attenuation in the upper and lower mesopelagic, or that the rate of processes varies with depth. Interestingly we see an increase in flux between 203 and 500 m, which may reflect higher surface production in the days prior to sampling (Fig. 1c) and the time taken for material to reach this depth from the surface. Based on a median sinking rate of 34 m d<sup>-1</sup> measured at 500 m, material at this depth would have originated





at the surface on Julian day 164, 15 days prior to sampling, which corresponds to the peak in surface chlorophyll concentrations (Fig. 1c). This increase in flux is associated with twice as much FP POC at 500 m compared to 203 m, and a 29% increase in aggregate POC. Considering the decrease in resident zooplankton populations with depth (Giering et al., 2014), it seems unlikely that FP production was higher at this depth unless there is a large contribution by diel vertical migrators, and may instead reflect reduced FP loss. However, this scenario could also be due to non steady state conditions with high FP at 500 m reflecting high abundances of FP sinking out from shallower in the water column at a time of previously increased FP production. Excluding this potentially non steady state value at 500 m, we calculate a Martin's *b* value of 0.71 which is in line with previous studies at this study site (Giering et al., 2014; Riley et al., 2012), but note that this fit is just outside the 5% significance level ( $R^2=0.42$ ,  $p=0.06$ ,  $n=9$ ).

### 10 3.5 Microbial respiration in phytodetrital aggregates

Using the microelectrode approach we found that oxygen concentrations decreased from the ambient water towards the PA surface, reaching a minimum at the centre of the PA (but remaining well above anoxic conditions in all PA measured) (Fig. 5). Average oxygen fluxes to in situ PA did not vary significantly with the depth at which particles were collected, ranging from 11.7-19.1  $\text{nmol O}_2 \text{ mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Fig. 6), but variability between samples collected at each depth was large (3.1-43.8  $\text{nmol O}_2 \text{ mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  over the depth range measured, Table 2). Volumetric respiration rates on roller tank formed aggregates ( $\text{PA}_r$ ) were smaller (2.5-7.7  $\text{nmol O}_2 \text{ mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) than those of in situ PA, although the large range in rates for in situ PA (4.7-37.7  $\text{nmol O}_2 \text{ mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for PA >0.6mm ESD) makes direct comparison difficult.

Based on pooled measurements of in situ collected PA at each depth horizon we find POC contents ranging from 11.7-30.6  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  (average 15.4  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$ ) for PA <0.6 mm ESD, and 6.7-11  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  (average 9.0  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$ ) for PA >0.6 mm ESD. The POC content of both size classes peaked at 46 m depth, showing a general decline below this (supplementary material, table S1).  $\text{PA}_r$  had lower POC to volume ratios, 2.4  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  for  $\text{PA}_r$  <1 mm and 1-2 mm ESD. POC to volume ratios for  $\text{PA}_r$  are more comparable to phytoplankton culture aggregates formed in roller tanks, which were also similarly sized (Iversen and Ploug, 2010). Our POC measurements are based on filters containing a relatively low number of aggregates; 9-13 aggregates and 4-6 aggregates for aggregates <0.6mm ESD and >0.6mm ESD, respectively. However, despite the low concentrations of carbon measured, sample POC was significantly higher than POC filter blanks (Welch's *t*-test,  $p<0.001$ ).

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Rate of particle associated microbial respiration

Although rates of respiration per PA volume were found to be relatively uniform with depth, we observed variability within each depth range. This may reflect the heterogeneity of aggregate composition in terms of the availability of labile carbon and/or variation in microbial abundance, composition or activity. It may also simply be a result of the range in





aggregate sizes at each depth, with higher respiration per volume in smaller aggregates that have higher POC:volume ratios (due to their fractal nature, Logan and Wilkinson, 1990). Our measured 0.14-1.09 mm ESD natural aggregate POC contents are 1.2-10.1 times higher than defined by the size relationship of Alldredge (1998) based on in situ collected 1-5 mm ESD marine snow of mixed composition, and are at the high end of the range of values measured on roller tank formed phytoplankton culture aggregates (0.9-4.6 mm ESD) by Iversen and Ploug (2010). The POC contents of our >0.6mm ESD PA (6.7-11  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$ ) and 1-2 mm ESD PA<sub>r</sub> (2.4  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$ ) do however compare well with the study of Laurenceau-Cornec et al. (2015) on aggregates formed in roller tanks from in situ collected phytoplankton assemblages; we calculate aggregate POC of 7.4  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  and 2.7  $\mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  for aggregates of 0.6 mm and 1.0 mm ESD respectively based on their regression between aggregate volume and POC content ( $\text{POC}=0.58 \cdot \text{Volume}^{0.35}$ ). This indicates that the power-law defined by Laurenceau-Cornec et al. (2015) can be applied to our study site.

In order to assess whether size related changes in carbon content of PA is the main cause of variability in volume specific respiration rates, we have calculated the carbon specific respiration rate ( $C_{\text{spec}}$ ) (Fig. 7) based on the POC content of individual aggregates. There is a relatively small range in average  $C_{\text{spec}}$  (0.011-0.014  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) for in situ collected PA. Iversen and Ploug, (2010) measured higher rates of  $C_{\text{spec}}$  (0.13  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) in roller tank formed phytoplankton culture aggregates of lower POC contents, suggesting that POC content was not the limiting factor for respiration in our study. As suggested by standard error bars (Fig. 7), there is still variability in  $C_{\text{spec}}$  within each depth horizon (0.002-0.031  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ). The similarity in the range of values at each depth implies that the factors driving this variability are unchanging with depth, suggesting controls on degradation are already determined at shallow depths. Our study does not however account for any changes in respiration that may occur as a result of pressure changes with depth (see section 4.5). If microbes largely attach to particles in the surface ocean (Thiele et al., 2014), the starting abundance of microbes will be in part limited by the residence time of the particle in the surface ocean as dictated by sinking rate. The highest volume-specific abundances of microbes have been measured on the smallest aggregates (Grossart et al., 2003) which we would expect to have lower sinking velocities. Variable microbial densities, driven by differences in sinking velocity and colonization time, may therefore account for some of the variability in the rate of respiration per aggregate volume or POC content. However, large aggregates could also have high microbial densities following the aggregation of smaller aggregates. There are a number of factors which influence colonization, and grazing has been modelled to have a higher impact than sinking rate (Kjørboe, 2003).

We must consider that all respiration measurements in this study were carried out at 10 °C (which is just below the temperature measured at 500 m depth), and therefore may not reveal the true vertical structure of particle associated microbial respiration due to the influence of temperature on metabolic rates. To account for this we have applied a Q10 factor of 3.5 from a study on PA (Iversen and Ploug, 2013) and adjusted each  $C_{\text{spec}}$  to the in situ temperature (dashed line Fig. 7) with roller tank aggregates being adjusted to the temperature at 12 m (the depth that water was collected from for their formation). In this way we calculate the rate we would expect to be occurring at in situ temperature. This gives higher rates in the upper ocean where temperatures are higher, but the range with depth is still relatively narrow (average 0.013-0.023  $\text{d}^{-1}$ , full range 0.002-0.037  $\text{d}^{-1}$ ) and we observe no relationship between PA size and  $C_{\text{spec}}$  (Fig. 8). In comparison Ploug



and Grossart (2000) measured  $C_{\text{spec}}$  of  $0.083 \pm 0.034 \text{ d}^{-1}$  on aggregates formed from phytoplankton cultures at  $16 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  which is more comparable to rates of  $0.055 \pm 0.006 \text{ d}^{-1}$  measured on our roller tank formed aggregates. Iversen and Ploug (2010) measured average  $C_{\text{spec}}$  of  $0.13 \text{ d}^{-1}$  at  $15 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  but a range of  $0.005\text{-}0.422 \text{ d}^{-1}$  for lab formed aggregates of three different phytoplankton cultures. Similarly, rates of  $0.13 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (range  $0.02\text{-}0.36 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) were measured at  $18 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in aggregates formed in roller tanks from peak fluorescence waters off Cape Blanc, Africa (Iversen et al., 2010). These studies find a lack of size dependency in  $C_{\text{spec}}$ , consistent with our observations. Our measurements are towards the low end of these measurements which we cannot explain by differences in temperature alone based on a Q10 factor of 3.5 (Iversen and Ploug, 2013).

There have been limited measurements made on natural aggregates formed in situ. McDonnell et al. (2015) utilized in situ incubators to measure  $C_{\text{spec}}$  of  $0.4 \text{ d}^{-1}$  at the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series (BATS) station, and  $0.01 \text{ d}^{-1}$  off the Western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP). Collins et al. (2015) carried out incubations with and without sinking particles collected in the North Atlantic, revealing  $C_{\text{spec}}$  of  $0.007\text{-}0.084 \text{ d}^{-1}$  with one higher value at  $0.173 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . These rates are more in line with those measured here, yet there are still considerable differences between studies. We turn to a comparison of in situ and roller tank formed aggregates in an attempt to explain some of this variability.

#### 4.2 In situ versus roller tank formed aggregates

The difficulty of sampling intact PA due to their fragility has led to the use of roller tanks to create artificial aggregates (e.g. Grossart and Ploug, 2001; Iversen and Ploug, 2010, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010). However, even considering the variability in our respiration estimates for in situ PA, we find much lower respiration rates in  $\text{PA}_r$  (Fig. 6). This may be in part due to the lower POC to volume ratios ( $2.4 \mu\text{g mm}^{-3}$  for  $\text{PA}_r < 1 \text{ mm}$  and  $1\text{-}2 \text{ mm}$  ESD) which could be a result of POC loss via respiration during incubation in roller tanks. Considering a carbon respiration rate of  $\sim 1 \mu\text{g C mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Fig. 6) and a starting POC content of  $9 \mu\text{g C mm}^{-3} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (based on average values for in situ PA),  $\text{PA}_r$  POC contents could be reduced to  $2 \mu\text{g C mm}^{-3}$  over 7 days (time incubated after first signs of aggregate formation). However, the fractal nature of aggregates means that we would also expect large aggregates to have lower POC to volume ratios (Alldredge, 1998; Logan and Wilkinson, 1990). POC to volume ratios for  $\text{PA}_r$  are more comparable to those of Iversen and Ploug (2010) which were also similarly sized. To remove the influence of aggregate size, we now compare in situ and roller tank aggregates between  $0.7\text{-}1.1 \text{ mm}$  ESD as this is the size category encompassing both PA types. Volumetric respiration rates of  $\text{PA}_r$  are 45% lower than in situ PA, but as POC:volume ratios are 72% lower, this results in  $\text{PA}_r C_{\text{spec}}$  rates that are actually higher than those of in situ PA (Fig. 7). This could be due to greater abundances of microbes on individual  $\text{PA}_r$ , and/or higher quality POC that is more readily respired.

The lability of the carbon may differ between naturally formed and roller tank formed aggregates, with in situ collected PA containing more reworked material such as FP fragments. Higher rates of solubilization and respiration of labile POC in the euphotic zone would leave more refractory POC to be slowly respired through the mesopelagic and could explain the differences observed between  $\text{PA}_r$  and in situ PA. However, we could not distinguish any clear differences in aggregate composition between in situ and roller tank PA based on SEM or light microscope imagery (supplementary Fig.



S1). Future studies incorporating analysis of pigments, amino acids and neutral aldoses to determine aggregate age, source material and lability (Cowie and Hedges, 1994; Goutx et al., 2007; Skoog et al., 2008; Tamburini et al., 2009) would help to quantify these differences between  $PA_r$  and in situ PA.

If we consider the process of aggregate formation, microbial populations in roller tanks have a much longer time to attach to and colonize particles due to the infinite residence time created by the rotating bottles. This would allow much higher densities of microbes to colonize the  $PA_r$  compared to in situ formed aggregates, which, assuming microbial respiration is not limited by any other factor, would drive higher  $C_{spec}$ . This hypothesis is also consistent with reduced variability in  $C_{spec}$  of  $PA_r$  compared to in situ aggregates where microbial colonization would be influenced by heterogeneity in particle sinking speed and residence time in the surface ocean. We believe artificially high microbial densities on roller tank formed PA is the most likely cause of differences between our respiration measurements on in situ collected PA and previous measurements on roller tank formed PA. Unfortunately it was beyond the scope of this study to measure bacterial abundance but we suggest comparison between in situ and lab formed aggregate bacterial abundance as a key area of future work.

#### 4.3 Role of particle associated microbes in mesopelagic POC flux attenuation

15 Despite the uncertainties in the mechanisms governing rates of particle associated microbial respiration, we are still able to assess the importance of particle associated microbial respiration on the attenuation of POC in the mesopelagic. We calculate the flux of POC ( $F_z$ ) at each depth ( $z$ ) that would result if the only loss was via particle associated microbial respiration. Calculations were based on the relationship between the remineralization length scale ( $L$  in  $m^{-1}$ ) (see Iversen and Ploug, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010), carbon specific respiration rate ( $C_{spec}$  in  $d^{-1}$ ) and sinking velocity ( $w$  in  $m d^{-1}$ ).

$$20 \quad L = \frac{C_{spec}}{w} = (-\ln(F_z/F_0))/(z - z_0) \quad (5)$$

We compare observed POC flux attenuation and predicted losses via particle associated microbes over two discrete depth horizons; a region of rapid attenuation of 36-128 m and slow attenuation zone of 128-500 m. Note that we exclude the non steady state value of POC flux at 500 m and instead use the value predicted from our power-law fit (Fig. 4). Our data suggest that particle associated microbial respiration plays only a minor role in POC attenuation in the upper water column (8%; range: 3-16%), but becomes more important below this (34%; range: 10-65%) as the rate of POC attenuation decreases (Fig. 9a).

Low rates of respiration result in only a very small loss of POC with depth below the euphotic zone. Thus our data agree with a recent study (Collins et al., 2015), suggesting that only a small fraction of sinking POC is removed by particle associated bacteria. Particle associated microbial respiration may represent a slow background process which only becomes the dominant control on POC flux attenuation when other processes such as zooplankton grazing and fragmentation become less important. This hypothesis is supported by measurements made in the mesopelagic of the Scotia Sea on faecal pellets



(Belcher et al., 2016) and on PA off Cape Blanc, Africa (Iversen et al., 2010), as well as model studies (Gehlen et al., 2006; Stemmann et al., 2004).

#### 4.4 Mesopelagic carbon budget

Recent assessments of the mesopelagic carbon budget at the PAP site, although balanced over 50-1000 m, revealed an imbalance when the upper and lower mesopelagic were examined separately (Giering et al., 2014). Particle associated respiration was not directly measured in the aforementioned study, however low rates of both absolute and carbon specific PA microbial respiration ( $<3\% \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) measured here reveal this term cannot close the upper mesopelagic carbon budget. However, the importance of particle associated microbes may be underestimated if solubilization of POC to DOC by ectoenzymatic hydrolysis is significant (Alldredge, 2000; Grossart and Simon, 1998; Smith et al., 1992). This solubilization to DOC is likely to fuel the respiration of free-living microbes. Smith et al. (1992) estimated that 97% of the hydrolysates produced by bacteria in marine snow were released, with the remaining 3% being utilized by bacteria in the aggregate. However, this value was based on nitrogen-rich amino acids and hydrolysis for carbon is likely lower as it is lost more slowly than nitrogen from sinking particles. To calculate potential hydrolysis of carbon from particles, we conservatively assume a value of 75% (i.e. assuming our measured loss via respiration is 25% of the total POC loss via particle associated microbes), which sits between Smith et al.'s (1992) value and carbon solubilization losses of  $<30\%$  measured in copepod faecal pellets which are much less porous (Møller et al., 2003). With additional POC loss via solubilization we find particle associated microbes can explain 32% (26.3-40.3%) and 124% (100.5-154.8%) of POC losses in the upper and lower mesopelagic (36-128 m, and 128-500 m) respectively (Fig. 9b). In spite of the limitations in our estimations of solubilization it is clear that a large discrepancy still remains in terms of an excess POC supply of  $139.3 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  over the upper 36-128 m.

The other direct loss of POC in the mesopelagic is via zooplankton respiration and 'sloppy feeding' (cell breakage during feeding and subsequent release of DOC) (Jumars et al., 1989). Although we did not measure rates of zooplankton respiration here, data previously collected at the same site in July/August 2009, gives values of  $11.3\text{-}13.7 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  over our depth range (36-500 m) (Giering et al., 2014), implying that zooplankton respiration is only a small POC sink in the upper mesopelagic (Fig. 9b). We are not able to account for losses of POC to DOC or suspended POC via sloppy feeding.

We do not directly consider free-living microbial respiration as a loss process here as our analysis is focussed on the loss of large fast sinking POC only which is likely to be less accessible to free-living microbes. Free-living prokaryotic respiration may account for the ultimate loss of organic carbon from the organic carbon pool but we believe this is reliant on mechanical breakdown of large, fast sinking POC by zooplankton and protozoa (Lampitt et al., 1990; Poulsen and Iversen, 2008; Poulsen et al., 2011) and enzymatic hydrolysis (Smith et al., 1992). Previous measurements at the PAP site suggest that prokaryotic respiration results in loss rates of  $42 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  between 36-203 m which greatly exceed estimated DOC input to the upper 1000 m ( $15 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) (Giering et al., 2014), supporting this hypothesis.



POC loss via zooplankton respiration, particle associated microbial respiration and solubilization, as typically invoked in model studies (e.g. Anderson and Tang, 2010) can therefore not account for observed losses of POC in the upper mesopelagic, suggesting we are still missing a piece of the puzzle.

#### 4.5 The missing piece of the mesopelagic carbon budget

5 Before we begin to search for the missing piece of the upper mesopelagic carbon budget puzzle we must acknowledge the limitations of our estimates thus far which may in themselves complete the puzzle. Our calculations rely on zooplankton abundances and respiration rates measured by Giering et al., (2014) in summer 2009 being representative of the conditions observed in our study. Although at a similar stage of the seasonal cycle, we measured POC fluxes that were 2-3 times higher than Giering et al. (2014) which could support higher losses if zooplankton respiration is limited by substrate concentration. 10 Zooplankton populations can be patchy, resulting in different estimates of abundance even on short time scales. However, zooplankton respiration would need to be 25 times greater between 36-128 m to balance the budget, and is therefore unlikely to be able to close the carbon budget.

Large uncertainties surround our estimates of solubilization by particle associated microbes. We would require solubilization of 94% and 70% in the upper and lower mesopelagic respectively to balance our budget. We believe 94% 15 solubilization to be high considering estimates of 97% for nitrogen (Smith et al., 1992) which is preferentially remineralized over carbon. We are also not aware of any studies suggesting that rates of solubilization would vary with depth. Increased solubilization would present itself in the form of increased DOC and/or increased rates of microbial respiration, however these terms are included in the estimate by Giering et al. (2014) and a large imbalance in the upper mesopelagic is still apparent. We are not able to rule out increased solubilization in the upper mesopelagic as an additional sink term, but 20 consider it unlikely to solve the imbalance.

Although our method of measuring particle associated microbial respiration attempts to avoid bottle effects and accurately simulate the environment of a sinking particle, we were not able to simulate pressure changes. Colonization of particles by pressure adapted microbial communities at depth may lead to an underestimation of in situ microbial activity using decompressed samples (Tamburini et al., 2013). Recent work suggests that the attached microbial community on 25 sinking particles is 'inherited' from the fluorescence maximum (Thiele et al., 2014); these organisms are not adapted to changes in pressure (Tamburini et al., 2006, 2009) and temperature, and therefore exhibit lower prokaryotic growth efficiencies (PGE) and overall metabolic rates. Similarly, our experiments were carried out at constant temperatures whereas particles sinking through the water column will experience the range of water column temperatures, likely impacting all metabolic processes. We are also limited in our study by the lack of replicate MSC deployments at each depth. Although 30 numerous aggregate respiration rates were measured from each sample, high patchiness in the type and source location of sinking particles could result in greater variability in respiration rates.

In order to balance the sources and sinks of carbon to the upper mesopelagic we require an additional loss process of POC. One key term missing from the budget is that of free-living protozoans which would not be collected in zooplankton



5 nets. Laboratory experiments on copepod FP reveals that dinoflagellates degraded FP over three times faster than bacteria (0.18 d<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.04 d<sup>-1</sup>), and the combined effects of bacteria, dinoflagellates and copepods led to FP degradation rates of 1.12 d<sup>-1</sup> (Svensen et al., 2014). Dinoflagellates and ciliates have been shown to feed on fecal pellets (Poulsen and Iversen, 2008; Poulsen et al., 2011) and PA (Tiselius and Kiørboe, 1998). Therefore POC loss via protozoan respiration may account for at least some of the additional POC loss we require to balance our upper mesopelagic carbon budget.

Loss of sinking POC via fragmentation of large sinking particles into small (<0.15 mm ESD) and non-sinking particles by both abiotic and biotic means may also explain some of our observed imbalance in the upper mesopelagic as the POC fluxes measured here are for ‘fast sinking’ particles only (see methods). We hypothesize, in line with a growing number of other studies (Cavan et al., 2015; Collins et al., 2015), that zooplankton living in the upper mesopelagic may stimulate this loss in POC via fragmentation from sloppy feeding, swimming activities and/or microbial gardening (Iversen and Poulsen, 2007; Mayor et al., 2014). Fast sinking particles can reach the deep ocean with minimal degradation due to slow rates of particle associated respiration. Conversely, once fragmented, the increased residence times (in terms of their sinking rate) of slow and non-sinking POC could allow a sustained loss of POC over the season by microbial respiration. In theory, this seasonal balance should present itself in the form of an increase in slow and non-sinking POC following the seasonal peak in fast sinking POC and a more gradual decline over the season. This less rapid seasonal decline in slowly sinking material is apparent in the results of a biogeochemical model study for subpolar regions (Henson et al., 2015). Seasonal cycles in POC (0.8-200 µm via GF/F filtering) have been detected following analysis of long term time series data at station ALOHA in the Pacific (Hebel and Karl, 2001). They suggest that the build up and removal of standing stocks of POC do not require a large degree of decoupling between production and loss processes and can exist due to small but sustained differences. Considering the highly dynamic nature of the typical bloom-bust scenario of the North Atlantic it seems unlikely that a balance in source and sink processes would be found by ‘snapshot’ measurements such as made here.

Additional inputs/losses of organic carbon could be driven via physical processes such as advection or changes in mixed layer depth (e.g. Dall’Olmo and Mork, 2014). Although the mixed layer was relatively stable during our study period, the winter deepening in MLD to 250 m (Hartman et al., 2015) could provide a seasonal balance to the budget if concentrations of slow and non-sinking particles are sufficiently high (Bochdansky et al., 2016). Similarly, advective processes are an unaccounted for source/sink of carbon in this study and could result in closer agreement between sources and sinks.

## 5 Conclusions

We present here a unique vertical profile of particle associated microbial respiration measured directly on sinking marine aggregates collected in situ. Rates of carbon specific respiration were relatively constant with depth, and particle associated microbial respiration amounts to a small loss term in the mesopelagic carbon balance. We suggest that it may be possible to explain the loss of sinking particles (>0.15 mm ESD) in the mesopelagic through a combination of particle





associated microbial respiration, hydrolysis, and the conversion into small (<0.15 mm ESD) and non-sinking POC via zooplankton and protozoan mediated processes. In the lower mesopelagic (128-500 m depth), respiration and hydrolysis by particle associated microbes appear to explain ~100% of the POC loss, whereas in the upper mesopelagic, fragmentation process appear to dominate (68%). Material lost through fragmentation would be retained in the upper mesopelagic allowing it to be slowly respired over time and enabling a balance of the mesopelagic carbon budget only over seasonal timescales. However, detailed information about fragmentation processes are lacking and are needed to better constrain the upper mesopelagic carbon flows. Moreover, there is a need for seasonally resolved studies (of fast and slow sinking pools of carbon) to get a better appreciation of how changing primary production in a non-steady state system can influence seasonal fluxes of POC in the mesopelagic.

10

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the officers, crew and science party aboard RRS Discovery for cruise DY032. In particular we would like to thank Emma Cavan and Chelsey Baker for their invaluable help with snow catcher deployments. In addition we would like to thank Christian Tamburini for his advice with at early stages of manuscript preparation. This work was supported through Natural Environment Research Council National Capability funding to Stephanie Henson and Richard Sanders, National Oceanography Centre, as well as a studentship to Anna Belcher from the University of Southampton.

15

## References

- 20 Alldredge, A. L.: The carbon, nitrogen and mass content of marine snow as a function of aggregate size, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 45, 529–541, doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(97)00048-4, 1998.
- Allredge, A. L.: Interstitial dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations within sinking marine aggregates and their potential contribution to carbon flux, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45(6), 1245–1253, doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1245, 2000.
- Allredge, A. L. and Silver, M. W.: Characteristics, dynamics and significance of marine snow, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 20, 41–82, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(88)90053-5, 1988.
- 25 Allredge, A. L. and Youngbluth, M. J.: The significance of macroscopic aggregates (marine snow) as sites for heterotrophic bacterial production in the mesopelagic zone of the subtropical Atlantic, *Deep Sea Res.*, 32(12), 1445–1456, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(85)90096-2, 1985.
- Anderson, T. R. and Tang, K. W.: Carbon cycling and POC turnover in the mesopelagic zone of the ocean : Insights from a simple model, *Deep. Res. Part II*, 57(16), 1581–1592, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.02.024, 2010.
- 30 Azam, F. and Malfatti, F.: Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems., *Nat. Rev Microbiol*, 5, 782–91,





- doi:10.1038/nrmicro1747, 2007.
- Belcher, A., Iversen, M. H., Manno, C., Henson, S. A., Tarling, G. A. and Sanders, R.: The role of particle associated microbes in remineralisation of faecal pellets in the upper mesopelagic of the Scotia Sea, Antarctica, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, doi:10.1002/lno.10269, 2016.
- 5 Berggren, M., Lapierre, J.-F. and del Giorgio, P. A.: Magnitude and regulation of bacterioplankton respiratory quotient across freshwater environmental gradients., *ISME J.*, 6(5), 984–93, doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.157, 2012.
- Bochdansky, A. B., Clouse, M. A. and Herndl, G. J.: Dragon kings of the deep sea : marine particles deviate markedly from the common number-size spectrum, *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 22633, doi:10.1038/srep22633, 2016.
- Broecker, W. S. and Peng, T. H.: Gas exchange rates between air and sea, *Tellus*, 26, 21–35, doi:10.1111/j.2153-10 3490.1974.tb01948.x, 1974.
- Buesseler, K. O. and Boyd, P. W.: Shedding light on processes that control particle export and flux attenuation in the twilight zone of the open ocean, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 54(4), 1210–1232, doi:10.4319/lno.2009.54.4.1210, 2009.
- Burd, A. B. and Jackson, G. A.: Particle aggregation, *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 1, 65–90, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163904, 2009.
- 15 Burd, A. B., Hansell, D. A., Steinberg, D. K., Anderson, T. R., Arístegui, J., Baltar, F., Beaufré, S. R., Buesseler, K. O., DeHairs, F., Jackson, G. A., Kadko, D. C., Koppelman, R., Lampitt, R. S., Nagata, T., Reinthaler, T., Robinson, C., Robison, B. H., Tamburini, C. and Tanaka, T.: Assessing the apparent imbalance between geochemical and biochemical indicators of meso- and bathypelagic biological activity: What the @\$#! is wrong with present calculations of carbon budgets?, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 57(16), 1557–1571, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.02.022, 2010.
- 20 Cavan, E. L., Le Moigne, F., Poulton, A. J., Tarling, G. A., Ward, P., Daniels, C. J., G. F. and Sanders, R. J.: Attenuation of particulate organic carbon flux in the Scotia Sea, Southern Ocean, controlled by zooplankton fecal pellets, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 42, doi:10.1002/2014GL062744, 2015.
- Collins, J. R., Edwards, B. R., Thamtrakoln, K., Ossolinski, J. E., Ditullio, G. R., Bidle, K. D., Doney, S. C. and Mooy, B. A. S. Van: The multiple fates of sinking particles in the North Atlantic Ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 1471–1494, 25 doi:10.1002/2014GB005037.Received, 2015.
- Cowie, G. L. and Hedges, J. I.: Biochemical indicators of diagenetic alteration in natural organic matter mixtures, *Nature*, 369, 304–307, doi:10.1038/369304a0, 1994.
- Dall’Olmo, G. and Mork, K. A.: Carbon export by small particles in the Norwegian Sea, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 1–6, doi:10.1002/2014GL059244.1., 2014.
- 30 Ducklow, H. W., Kirchman, D. L. and Rowe, G. T.: Production and vertical flux of attached bacteria in the Hudson river plume of the New York Bight as studied with floating sediment traps, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 43(4), 769–776, 1982.
- Gehlen, M., Bopp, L., Emprin, N., Aumont, O., Heinze, C. and Ragueneau, O.: Reconciling surface ocean productivity, export fluxes and sediment composition in a global biogeochemical ocean model, *Biogeosciences*, 3(4), 521–537, doi:10.5194/bg-3-521-2006, 2006.



- Giering, S. L. C., Sanders, R., Lampitt, R. S., Anderson, T. R., Tamburini, C., Boutrif, M., Zubkov, M. V., Marsay, C. M., Henson, S. A., Saw, K., Cook, K. and Mayor, D. J.: Reconciliation of the carbon budget in the ocean's twilight zone, *Nature*, 507(7493), 480–483, doi:10.1038/nature13123, 2014.
- Goutx, M., Wakeham, S. G., Lee, C., Duflos, M., Guigue, C., Liu, Z., Moriceau, B., Sempéré, R., Tedetti, M. and Xue, J.:  
5 Composition and degradation of marine particles with different settling velocities in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 52(4), 1645–1664, doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.4.1645, 2007.
- Grossart, H. and Ploug, H.: Microbial degradation of organic carbon and nitrogen on diatom aggregates, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46(2), 267–277, doi:10.4319/lo.2001.46.2.0267, 2001.
- Grossart, H. and Simon, M.: Bacterial colonization and microbial decomposition of limnetic organic aggregates (lake  
10 snow), *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 15, 127–140, doi:10.3354/ame015127, 1998.
- Grossart, H. P., Hietanen, S. and Ploug, H.: Microbial dynamics on diatom aggregates in Oresund, Denmark, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 249, 69–78, doi:10.3354/meps249069, 2003.
- Hartman, S. E., Jiang, Z.-P., Turk, D., Lampitt, R. S., Frigstad, H., Ostle, C. and Schuster, U.: Biogeochemical variations at  
15 the Porcupine Abyssal Plain sustained Observatory in the northeast Atlantic Ocean, from weekly to inter-annual timescales, *Biogeosciences*, 12(3), 845–853, doi:10.5194/bg-12-845-2015, 2015.
- Hebel, D. V. and Karl, D. M.: Seasonal, interannual and decadal variations in particulate matter concentrations and composition in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean, *Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 48(8-9), 1669–1695, doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00155-7, 2001.
- Henderson, G. M. and Marchal, O.: Recommendations for future measurement and modelling of particles in GEOTRACES  
20 and other ocean biogeochemistry programmes, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 133(November 2011), 73–78, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.01.015, 2015.
- Henson, S. A., Yool, A. and Sanders, R.: Variability in efficiency of particulate organic carbon export: A model study, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 29, 33–45, doi:10.1002/2014GB004965. Received, 2015.
- Herndl, G. J. and Reinthaler, T.: Microbial control of the dark end of the biological pump, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 718–724,  
25 doi:10.1038/ngeo1921, 2013.
- Hilton, J., Lishman, J. P., Mackness, S. and Heaney, S. I.: An automated method for the analysis of “particulate” carbon and nitrogen in natural waters, *Hydrobiologia*, 141, 269–271, doi:10.1007/BF00014221, 1986.
- Iversen, M. H. and Ploug, H.: Ballast minerals and the sinking carbon flux in the ocean: carbon-specific respiration rates and sinking velocity of marine snow aggregates, *Biogeosciences*, 7(9), 2613–2624, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2613-2010, 2010.
- 30 Iversen, M. H. and Ploug, H.: Temperature effects on carbon-specific respiration rate and sinking velocity of diatom aggregates - potential implications for deep ocean export processes, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 4073–4085, doi:10.5194/bg-10-4073-2013, 2013.
- Iversen, M. H. and Poulsen, L.: Coprorhexy, coprophagy, and coprochaly in the copepods *Calanus helgolandicus*, *Pseudocalanus elongatus*, and *Oithona similis*, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 350(1990), 79–89, doi:10.3354/meps07095, 2007.



- Iversen, M. H., Nowald, N., Ploug, H., Jackson, G. A. and Fischer, G.: High resolution profiles of vertical particulate organic matter export off Cape Blanc, Mauritania: Degradation processes and ballasting effects, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 57(6), 771–784, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2010.03.007, 2010.
- Jumars, P. A., Penry, D. L., Baross, J. A., Perry, M. J. and Frost, B. W.: Closing the microbial loop: dissolved carbon pathways to heterotrophic bacteria from incomplete ingestion, digestion and absorption in animals, *Deep. Res.*, 36(4), 483–495, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(89)90001-0, 1989.
- Karl, D. M., Knauer, G. A. and Martin, J. H.: Downward flux of particulate organic matter in the ocean: a particle decomposition paradox, *Nature*, 332, 438–441, doi:10.1038/332438a0, 1988.
- Kjørboe, T.: Marine snow microbial communities : scaling of abundances with aggregate size, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 33, 67–75, doi:10.3354/ame033067, 2003.
- Kwon, E. Y., Primeau, F. and Sarmiento, J. L.: The impact of remineralization depth on the air–sea carbon balance, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2(9), 630–635, doi:10.1038/ngeo612, 2009.
- Lampitt, R. S., Noji, T. and Bodungen, B. Von: What happens to zooplankton faecal pellets? Implications for material flux, *Mar. Biol.*, 104, 15–23, doi:10.1007/BF01313152, 1990.
- Laurenceau-Cornec, E. C., Trull, T. W., Davies, D. M., De La Rocha, C. L. and Blain, S.: Phytoplankton morphology controls on marine snow sinking velocity, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 520(Buesseler 1998), 35–56, doi:10.3354/meps11116, 2015.
- Logan, B. E. and Wilkinson, D. B.: Fractal geometry of marine snow and other biological aggregates, *Limnol. Ocean.*, 35(1), 130–136, doi:10.4319/lo.1990.35.1.0130, 1990.
- Martin, J. H., Knauer, G. A., Karl, D. M. and Broenkow, W. W.: VERTEX: carbon cycling in the northeast Pacific, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 34(2), 267–285, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(87)90086-0, 1987.
- Mayor, D. J., Sanders, R., Giering, S. L. C. and Anderson, T. R.: Microbial gardening in the ocean’s twilight zone: Detritivorous metazoans benefit from fragmenting, rather than ingesting, sinking detritus, *BioEssays*, 36(12), 1132–7, doi:10.1002/bies.201400100, 2014.
- McDonnell, A. M. P., Boyd, P. W. and Buesseler, K. O.: Effects of sinking velocities and microbial respiration rates on the attenuation of particulate carbon fluxes through the mesopelagic zone, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 29(2), 175–193, doi:10.1002/2014GB004935, 2015.
- Møller, E., Thor, P. and Nielsen, T.: Production of DOC by *Calanus finmarchicus*, *C. glacialis* and *C. hyperboreus* through sloppy feeding and leakage from fecal pellets, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 262, 185–191, doi:10.3354/meps262185, 2003.
- Monterey, G. I. and Levitus, S.: *Seasonal Variability of Mixed Layer Depth for the World Ocean*, U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington, DC., 1997.
- Parekh, P., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M. J. and Ito, T.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide in a less dusty world, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(3), L03610, doi:10.1029/2005GL025098, 2006.
- Ploug, H. and Grossart, H.-P.: Bacterial growth and grazing on diatom aggregates: Respiratory carbon turnover as a function




- of aggregate size and sinking velocity, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45(7), 1467–1475, doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.7.1467, 2000.
- Ploug, H. and Jorgensen, B. B.: A net-jet flow system for mass transfer and microsensor studies of sinking aggregates, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 176(1987), 279–290, doi:10.3354/meps176279, 1999.
- Ploug, H., Kühl, M., Buchholz-Cleven, B. and Jergensen, B. B.: Anoxic aggregates - an ephemeral phenomenon in the pelagic environment?, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 13, 285–294, doi:10.3354/ame013285, 1997.
- Ploug, H., Grossart, H.-P., Azam, F. and Jorgensen, B. B.: Photosynthesis, respiration, and carbon turnover in sinking marine snow from surface waters of Southern California Bight: implications for the carbon cycle in the ocean, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 179, 1–11, doi:10.3354/meps179001, 1999.
- Ploug, H., Terbruggen, A., Kaufmann, A., Wolf-gladrow, D. and Passow, U.: A novel method to measure particle sinking velocity in vitro, and its comparison to three other in vitro methods, *Limnol. Ocean. Methods*, 8, 386–393, doi:10.4319/lom.2010.8.386, 2010.
- Poulsen, L. and Iversen, M. H.: Degradation of copepod fecal pellets: key role of protozooplankton, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 367, 1–13, doi:10.3354/meps07611, 2008.
- Poulsen, L., Moldrup, M., Berge, T. and Hansen, P.: Feeding on copepod fecal pellets: a new trophic role of dinoflagellates as detritivores, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 441, 65–78, doi:10.3354/meps09357, 2011.
- Revsbech, N. P.: An oxygen microsensor with a guard cathode, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 34, 474–478, doi:10.4319/lo.1989.34.2.0474, 1989.
- Riley, J. S., Sanders, R., Marsay, C., Le Moigne, F., Achterberg, E. P. and Poulton, A. J.: The relative contribution of fast and slow sinking particles to ocean carbon export, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26, doi:10.1029/2011GB004085, 2012.
- Silver, M. W. and Alldredge, A. L.: Bathypelagic marine snow: deep sea algal and detrital community, *J. Mar. Res.*, 39, 501–530, 1981.
- Skoog, A., Alldredge, A., Passow, U., Dunne, J. and Murray, J.: Neutral aldoses as source indicators for marine snow, *Mar. Chem.*, 108(3-4), 195–206, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.11.008, 2008.
- Smith, D. D., Simon, M., Alldredge, A. L. and Azam, F.: Intense hydrolytic enzyme activity on marine aggregates and implications for rapid particle dissolution, *Nature*, 359, 139–142, doi:10.1038/359139a0, 1992.
- Steinberg, D. K., Mooy, B. A. S. Van, Buesseler, K. O., Boyd, P. W. and Karl, D. M.: Bacterial vs. zooplankton control of sinking particle flux in the ocean's twilight zone, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53(4), 1327–1338, 2008.
- Stemmann, L., Jackson, G. A. and Gorsky, G.: A vertical model of particle size distributions and fluxes in the midwater column that includes biological and physical processes — Part II: application to a three year survey in the NW Mediterranean Sea, *Deep Sea Res.*, 51, 885–908, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.03.002, 2004.
- Svensen, C., Morata, N. and Reigstad, M.: Increased degradation of copepod faecal pellets by co-acting dinoflagellates and *Centropages hamatus*, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 516, 61–70, doi:10.3354/meps10976, 2014.
- Tamburini, C., Garcin, J., Grégori, G., Leblanc, K., Rimmelin, P. and Kirchman, D. L.: Pressure effects on surface Mediterranean prokaryotes and biogenic silica dissolution during a diatom sinking experiment, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*,




- 43(1999), 267–276, doi:10.3354/ame043267, 2006.
- Tamburini, C., Goutx, M., Guigue, C., Garel, M., Lefe, D., Peterson, M. L., Sempe, R., Wakeham, S. and Lee, C.: Effects of hydrostatic pressure on microbial alteration of sinking fecal pellets, *Deep. Res. Part II*, 56, 1533–1546, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.12.035, 2009.
- 5 Tamburini, C., Boutrif, M., Garel, M., Colwell, R. R. and Deming, J. W.: Prokaryotic responses to hydrostatic pressure in the ocean - a review, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 15(5), 1262–1274, doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12084, 2013.
- Thiele, S., Fuchs, B. M., Amann, R. and Iversen, M. H.: Colonization in the photic zone and subsequent changes during sinking determines bacterial community composition in marine snow., *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 81(4), 1–34, doi:10.1128/AEM.02570-14, 2014.
- 10 Tiselius, P. and Kjørboe, T.: Colonization of diatom aggregates by the dinoflagellate *Noctiluca scintillans*, *Limnol. Ocean.*, 43, 154–159, doi:10.4319/lo.1998.43.1.0154, 1998.
- Turley, C. M. and Stutt, E. D.: Depth-related cell-specific bacterial leucine incorporation rates on particles and its biogeochemical significance in the Northwest Mediterranean, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45(2), 419–425, doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.2.0419, 2000.
- 15 Urban-Rich, J.: Seston effects on faecal pellet carbon concentrations from a mixed community of copepods in Balsfjord, Norway, and the Antarctic Polar Front, *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 58, 700–710, doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.1055, 2001.
- Wilson, S. E., Steinberg, D. K. and Buesseler, K. O.: Changes in fecal pellet characteristics with depth as indicators of zooplankton repackaging of particles in the mesopelagic zone of the subtropical and subarctic North Pacific Ocean, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 55(14-15), 1636–1647, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.04.019, 2008.



## Figures and Figure Legends




5 **Figure 1: Surface chlorophyll concentration ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) at the PAP site, (a) PAP study region (black box) overlain on 9 km Aqua MODIS satellite chlorophyll for 18/06/2015-25/06/2015 (week prior to sampling). (b) Average vertical temperature and salinity profiles measured at the PAP site (red and blue lines respectively) and the standard deviation (light shading) of CTD deployments coinciding with MSC deployments. (c) Temporal change in surface chlorophyll ( $\text{mg m}^{-3}$ ) over the PAP study region based on 8 day, 9 km Aqua MODIS satellite data. Gaps in data are due to cloud cover. Vertical red lines indicate start and end of sampling period.**



**Figure 2: Composition of fast sinking POC at each measured depth horizon. The percent (%) contribution of faecal pellets (black), phytodetrital aggregates (hatched), and unidentified phytodetritus (grey) to the total mass of fast sinking POC collected in Marine Snow Catchers at each depth horizon. See Table 1 for numbers of particles in each category.**





5 **Figure 3: Relationship between sinking velocity ( $\text{m d}^{-1}$ ) and equivalent spherical diameter (ESD, mm) of phytodetrital aggregates. Roller tank formed aggregates are shown by triangles (black) and in situ aggregates by circles coloured by depth (36 m=yellow, 46 m=orange, 73 m=red, 113 m, light blue, 128 m=dark blue, 203 m=light green, 500 m=dark green). We apply a power-law fit for roller tank (solid line  $Y = 22.7X^{1.5}$ ) and in situ (dotted line  $Y = 85.8X^{1.4}$ ) aggregates. Inset displays roller tank particle data only showing full size range.**




Figure 4: Flux of POC ( $\text{mg C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ) with depth at the PAP site. POC fluxes of fast sinking particles measured in June 2015 at the PAP site via deployment of Marine Snow Catchers. Error bars relate to duplicate filters per sample. A power-law curve was fitted to the data (black line),  $Y=194.9 \cdot (X/\text{MLD})^{-0.71}$  ( $R^2=0.42$ ,  $p=0.060$ ,  $n=9$ ), excluding the point at 500 m (triangle) which is likely due to non steady state conditions. The grey shaded area indicates the mixed layer depth over the study period.

5





Figure 5: Example oxygen profile ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) through a phytodetrital aggregate collected at 46 m depth. Measurements were made with microsensors in steps of 50-100  $\mu\text{m}$ , with negative values reflecting the distance into the aggregate from the surface. The solid black line shows the model fit used to calculate the oxygen flux in the diffusive boundary layer.



5 **Figure 6: Respiration rates of phytodetrital aggregates with depth. Oxygen fluxes to aggregates (nmol O<sub>2</sub> mm<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) for in situ collected aggregates (black circles, solid black line) and roller tank formed aggregates (black triangle). For reference aggregate respiration rates are also shown in terms of carbon per aggregate volume (µg C mm<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Data are for experiments carried out at 10 °C. Error bars represent +/- 1 standard error.**




Figure 7: Carbon specific respiration rates ( $d^{-1}$ ) for in situ collected (black circles, solid line) and roller tank (triangles) formed aggregates. Rates adjusted to the in situ temperature (T) are shown by the dashed black line (open circles and open triangle for roller tank). Grey shading shows the range in mixed layer depth over the study period, and error bars represent standard errors.





Figure 8: Carbon specific respiration rates (d<sup>-1</sup>) of in situ collected phytodetrital aggregates. Data have been adjusted for in situ temperatures (see text).



5 **Figure 9: Balance of processes controlling POC flux attenuation. (a) Comparison of observed POC loss (black bars) and estimated POC loss based on particle associated microbial respiration only (grey bars) over two depth horizons (36-128 m, and 128-500 m). (b) Additional estimated losses via solubilization by particle associated microbes (calculated assuming respiration accounts for 25% of the total loss by particle associated microbes and solubilization the remaining 75%, see section 4.4), and zooplankton respiration (from Giering *et al.*, (2014)). Error bars represent upper and lower estimates (see text) but do not take into account uncertainties in solubilisation.**





## Tables

**Table 1: Deployment table for cruise DY032 to the PAP site.**

Depth (m)	Date	Time (GMT)	POC Flux (mg C m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	# PA (in 95 L sample)**	# FP (in 95 L sample)**	Average PA ESD (mm)	Median PA sinking rate (m d <sup>-1</sup> )
36	24/06/2015	02:10	281.4	785	23	0.60	33.2
128	24/06/2015	02:35	76.2	259	61	0.53	11.7
73	26/06/2015	14:45	122.8	252	15	0.65	34.0
113	28/06/2015	11:50	66.0	198	0	0.49	30.1
500	28/06/2015	17:50	99.4	282	92	0.44	30.6
46	30/06/2015	18:45	63.7	702	61	0.41	18.3
204	02/07/2015	10:00	51.8	275	76	0.44	34.4
30	04/07/2015	13:00	266.8				
60	04/07/2015	13:20	38.7				
100	04/07/2015	13:30	85.5				
12*	24/06/2015	00:38				1.39	29.4

PA: Phytodetrital aggregate; FP: Faecal Pellet; ESD: Equivalent spherical diameter

\* Roller tank data: Deployment date, time and depth refer to CTD cast from which water for roller tank experiments were obtained

\*\*Refers to counts of fast sinking material collected from deployment of 95 L snow catcher bottle. Counts have been scaled up from smaller sample split (1/4).



**Table 2: Rates of particle associated microbial respiration rates in phytodetrital aggregates. Averages are given for each depth with full range in brackets. Results are for experiments carried out at 10 °C.**

Depth (m)	Total O <sub>2</sub> consumption (nmol O <sub>2</sub> agg <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	Volumetric O <sub>2</sub> consumption (nmol O <sub>2</sub> mm <sup>-3</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	C <sub>resp</sub> (ng C mm <sup>-3</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )*	C <sub>spec</sub> (d <sup>-1</sup> ) **	# aggregates measured
12 ^	12.62 (1.23-62.80)	4.96 (2.48-7.65)	0.059 (0.030-0.092)	0.030 (0.012 -0.054)	12
36	1.25 (0.56-2.81)	13.18 (8.03-17.77)	0.158 (0.096-0.213)	0.014 (0.006-0.030)	6
46	2.04 (0.65-3.89)	19.12 (9.49-43.76)	0.230 (0.114-0.525)	0.012 (0.004-0.021)	10
73	2.46 (0.22-6.92)	13.47 (4.69-37.67)	0.162 (0.056-0.452)	0.012 (0.004-0.030)	15
113	0.98 (0.07-2.80)	11.66 (3.10-32.39)	0.140 (0.037-0.390)	0.011 (0.002-0.024)	10
128	0.93 (0.20-1.88)	13.40 (3.22-19.73)	0.161 (0.039-0.237)	0.014 (0.003-0.020)	8
204	0.46 (0.14-0.87)	15.25 (4.05-36.77)	0.183 (0.049-0.441)	0.013 (0.003-0.031)	7
500	0.74 (0.17-1.24)	13.95 (4.86-24.71)	0.167 (0.058-0.297)	0.012 (0.004-0.021)	5

^ Roller tank

\* Volume specific respiration rate (C<sub>resp</sub>)

5 \*\*Carbon specific respiration rate (C<sub>spec</sub>)