#### View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Prouty et al., in revision to EPSL

# Insights into methane dynamics from analysis of authigenic carbonates and chemosynthetic mussels at newly-discovered Atlantic Margin seeps

3 Prouty<sup>1\*</sup>, N.G., Sahy<sup>2</sup>, D., Ruppel<sup>3</sup>, C.D., Roark<sup>4</sup>, E.B., Condon<sup>2</sup>, D., Brooke<sup>5</sup>, S., Ross<sup>6</sup>, S.W.

4  $Demopoulos^7$ , A.W.J.

<sup>1</sup>US Geological Survey, Pacific Coastal and Marine Science Center, 400 Natural Bridges Drive Santa Cruz, CA
 95060 nprouty@usgs.gov

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geography, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

<sup>3</sup>NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory, British Geological Survey, Nicker Hill, Keyworth NG12 5GG
 UK

<sup>4</sup>US Geological Survey, Woods Hole Coastal and Marine Science Center, Woods Hole, MA 02543

- <sup>5</sup>Florida State University, Coastal and Marine Laboratory, 3618 Coastal Highway 98 St. Teresa, FL 32358
- <sup>6</sup>University of North Carolina-Wilmington, Center for Marine Science, 5600 Marvin Moss Ln, Wilmington, NC
   28409
- <sup>14</sup> <sup>7</sup>US Geological Survey, Wetland and Aquatic Research Center, 7920 NW 71<sup>st</sup> St., Gainesville, FL 32653

*\*corresponding author\*corresponding author* 

# 17 Abstract

The recent discovery of active methane venting along the US northern and mid-Atlantic margin represents a new source of global methane not previously accounted for in carbon budgets from

20 this region. However, uncertainty remains as to the origin and history of methane seepage along

- 21 the tectonically inactive passive margin. Here we present the first isotopic analyses of authigenic
- 22 carbonates and methanotrophic deep-sea mussels, Bathymodiolus sp., and the first direct
- 23 constraints on the timing of past methane emission, based on samples collected at the upper slope
- Baltimore Canyon (~385 m water depth) and deepwater Norfolk (~1600 m) seep fields within the area of newly-discovered venting. The authigenic carbonates at both sites were dominated by
- aragonite, with an average  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of -47‰, a value consistent with microbially driven

anaerobic oxidation of methane-rich fluids occurring at or near the sediment-water interface.
 Authigenic carbonate U and Sr isotope data further support the inference of carbonate

- 29 precipitation from seawater-derived fluids rather than from formation fluids from deep aquifers.
- 30 Carbonate stable and radiocarbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\Delta^{14}$ C) isotope values from living *Bathymodiolus* sp.
- 31 specimens are lighter than those of seawater dissolved inorganic carbon, highlighting the
- influence of fossil carbon from methane on carbonate precipitation. U-Th dates on authigenic carbonates suggest seepage at Baltimore Canyon between  $14.7\pm0.6$  ka to  $15.7\pm1.6$  ka, and at the

Norfolk seep field between  $1.0\pm0.7$  ka to  $3.3\pm1.3$  ka, providing constraint of the longevity of

35 methane efflux at these sites. The age of the brecciated authigenic carbonates and the occurrence 36 of pockmarks at the Baltimore Canyon upper slope could suggest a link between sediment

delivery during Pleistocene sea-level lowstand, accumulation of pore fluid overpressure from

38 sediment compaction, and release of overpressure through subsequent venting. Calculations 39 show that the Baltimore Canyon site probably has not been within the gas hydrate stability zone

40 (GHSZ) in the past 20 ka, meaning that in-situ release of methane from dissociating gas hydrate

cannot be sustaining the seep. We cannot rule out updip migration of dissociating methane
 hvdrate that occurs farther down the slope as a source of the venting at Baltimore Canyon, but

42 invertice that occurs faither down the slope as a source of the venting at Battimore Carlyon, but 43 consider that the history of rapid sediment accumulation and overpressure may play a more

44 important role in methane emissions at this site.

Key words: authigenic carbonate; cold seep; AOM; chemosynthesis; mid-Atlantic margin;
 isotope geochemistry

# 47 **1. Introduction**

48 The distribution of newly discovered seafloor methane seeps along the US Atlantic margin 49 (USAM) (Skarke et al., 2014) has important implications for ocean carbon dynamics (Boetius and Wenzhöfer 2013), continental slope stability and related hazards (Dugan and Flemings 2000; 50 51 ten Brink et al. 2014), and also the geographic extent of chemosynthetic communities (Ouattrini et al. 2015). Whereas seafloor methane venting typically occurs in major hydrocarbon basins 52 such as the Gulf of Mexico or on active margins such as Cascadia, the northern USAM passive 53 54 margin had long been considered relatively inactive (Skarke et al., 2014). Methane seeps have been documented on the southern USAM, at Cape Fear (L. Brothers et al. 2013) and Blake Ridge 55 (Paull et al. 1995; Van Dover et al. 2003), where they occur at a depth range of 2155 to 2600 m 56 above rising salt diapirs that perturb that gas hydrate stability field (Hornbach et al., 2005), but 57 no such features were known on the northern part of the margin. This assessment was revised 58 59 when geophysical surveys conducted between 2011 and 2013 identified ~570 gas plumes at water depths of 50-1700 m between Cape Hatteras and Georges Bank (Skarke et al. 2014). 60 61 Observations at a few of the sites from remotely operated vehicles (ROV) included bubble 62 streams, bacterial mats, chemosynthetic communities, authigenic carbonates, deep-sea corals, and gas hydrate (Skarke et al., 2014; Quattrini et al. 2015). Average contemporary methane 63 emissions from seeps along the entire northern USAM are estimated at ~15 to 90 Mg vr<sup>-1</sup> 64 (equivalent to 0.95 to 5.66 x 10<sup>6</sup> mol yr<sup>-1</sup>) based on analysis of ROV bubble observations (Skarke 65 et al. 2014), versus 2.15 to 8.65 x  $10^6$  mol yr<sup>-1</sup> in a seep field of Hudson Canvon based on the 66 water column methane concentrations (Weinstein et al., 2016). 67

69 The origin and characteristics of the methane seeps north of Cape Hatteras remain elusive. No 70 underlying salt diapirs have been documented in the seeping areas, and Skarke et al. (2014) postulate that dissociation of gas hydrate and possibly submarine groundwater discharge may 71 72 play a role in feeding seeps between the outer continental shelf and uppermost continental slope, while the deeper seeps represent leakage of methane through fractured Eocene rocks. 73 Distinguishing among these and other processes that may be responsible for the methane 74 emissions requires direct study of seep fluids, rocks, and organisms. To acquire samples for such 75 studies, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), the U.S. Geological Survey 76 77 (USGS), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and their academic partners initiated a 5-year multi-disciplinary "Atlantic Deepwater Canyons" study focusing on 78 79 ecologically significant habitats (canyons, cold seeps, hard-bottoms and shipwrecks) in Norfolk 80 Canyon (off Virginia) and overlooking Baltimore Canyon (off Maryland) (Fig. 1). Of the two sites, Baltimore Canyon had been previously investigated in the early 1980s with photographs of 81 82 a dense community of mussels at  $\sim 400$  m obtained using a towed camera sled (B. Hecker, pers. 83 comm.), but no further work was conducted in the area until recently. During the Atlantic Deepwater Canyons cruises, we used ROVs designed to support physical and biological 84 sampling to confirm the location of a shallow (~400 m) seep site overlooking Baltimore Canyon 85 in 2012 and, following the discovery of deep-sea gas plumes (NOAA, 2012), identified a new 86 87 chemosynthetic community at ~1600 m water depth south of Norfolk Canyon in 2013.

88

Authigenic carbonates are common at cold seeps and record a robust fingerprint of hydrocarbon seep activity, including local and regional controls on the source and flux of carbon, the conditions under which carbonates formed, and information regarding fluid-sediment and rock

interactions (see reviews in Campbell et al., 2006; Suess 2014). Additionally, authigenic 92 carbonates are amenable to uranium (U)-series dating techniques, and can provide key 93 information on the timing and duration of fluid venting at each seep (Teichert et al. 2003; Bayon 94 95 et al. 2009; Liebetrau et al., 2014). The isotopic composition of shells from chemosynthetic bivalves living close to fluid vents represents an important archive of the nature and variability 96 97 of the venting. While previous studies have investigated authigenic carbonate formation and cold 98 seeps in other settings (Han et al., 2014; Suess 2014; Bayon et al., 2015) and fluid flow in passive margins (Berndt 2005), this is the first study to examine the origin of the authigenic 99 carbonates, the source fluids, and the timing of methane emissions on the northern USAM. This 100 paper explores the geochemistry, mineralogy, and petrology of authigenic carbonates and bivalve 101 shells recovered by ROVs from both the Norfolk and the Baltimore Canyon seep fields with the 102 103 aim of tracing the origin and flow pathways of gas and fluids at both sites. Taken together, the 104 geochemical information derived from both authigenic carbonates and bivalve shells collected 105 from seeps in the Baltimore and Norfolk canyons expands our understanding of the origin and 106 occurrence of widespread methane seepage along the US Mid-Atlantic margin

107

#### 108 **2. Methods**

109 2.1 Study site

A shallow (~385 m; Fig 2) seep site seaward and south of the location where Baltimore Canyon (38° 03.086 N, 73° 49.379 W) crosses the shelf-break was surveyed and sampled during a 2012 cruise (17 Aug–14 Sep) aboard the NOAA ship *Nancy Foster* using the *Kraken II* ROV (University of Connecticut). This site was sampled again in 2013, along with the deeper (1455-1610 m; Fig. 3) Norfolk seep site (36° 51.921 N, 74° 29.574 W) during a cruise (2–18 May) 115 onboard the NOAA ship Ronald H. Brown using the Jason II ROV (Woods Hole Oceanographic 116 Institution). At the Norfolk seep site, gas bubbles can be traced at least  $\sim 600$  m above the seafloor (Fig. 3C), as confirmed by USGS surveys on the *R/V Endeavor* in April 2015 (Ruppel et 117 118 al., 2015a). At the Baltimore Canyon seep field, water column imaging carried out by the USGS in April and September 2015 (Ruppel et al., 2015b) showed that venting is more widespread and 119 diffuse (Fig. 2D). Dense colonies of chemosynthetic mussels, active gas bubbling, and extensive 120 121 bacterial mats were observed at both seep sites (Fig. 2C and 3D) during the 2012 and 2013 ROV 122 dives. Clams, common at the Blake Ridge seep site (Van Dover et al. 2003), were notably 123 absent, as were tubeworms, a finding that is consistent with a recent survey of chemosynthetic communities from seep sites along the northeastern US continental margin (Quattrini et al., 124 2015). Seep communities at the Norfolk and Baltimore Canyon seep fields were dominated by 125 126 the deep-sea mussels of the genus Bathymodiolus, which depends on chemosynthetic 127 endosymbiotic bacteria to oxidize sulfur and/or methane for nutrition (Duperron et al. 2011).

128

129 2.2 X-Ray diffraction and petrography

Sample mineralogy was determined microscopically in thin sections, and by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips XRD with graphite monochromator at 40 kV and 45 mA. Step scans were run from 5° to 65° 20 with  $0.02^{\circ}$  steps, using CuK $\alpha$  radiation and a count time of 2 s per step. XRD digital scan data were analyzed using the Philips X'Pert High Score search-and-match function to identify minerals. Mineral percentages were determined by multiplying unique peak intensities for each mineral in a sample by relative intensity factors. The products for all minerals in each sample were then summed to 100%. Carbonate content, reported as weight

percent (wt%), was determined using a coulometer at the USGS Pacific Coastal and Marine
Science Center, Santa Cruz, CA.

139

140 2.3 Stable isotopes

Stable carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and oxygen ( $\delta^{18}$ O) isotopes were analyzed at the Stable Isotope 141 Geosciences Facility at Texas A&M University. Authigenic carbonate samples were subsampled 142 143 to isolate the cement and groundmass components. For the mussel shells, carbonate and 144 periostracum (organic rich outer layer) were collected along transects from the umbo to the ventral margin of an individual specimen at discrete distances. 145 Prior to analysis, the 146 periostracum material was acidified to remove inorganic carbon. Data were generated from a 147 Thermo-Finnigan MAT 253 with a Kiel IV Automated Carbonate Prep Device and are reported in per mil (‰) relative to the international reference Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB). Analytical 148 uncertainties (2 $\sigma$ ) of 0.04‰ for  $\delta^{13}$ C and 0.06‰ for  $\delta^{18}$ O are reported based on the long term 149 daily measurements of the international carbonate standard, NBS-19. Seawater samples were 150 measured for  $\delta^{18}$ O using a Picarro L2120i cavity ringdown spectrometer at the Stable Isotope 151 Geoscience Facility at Texas A&M University, College Station. Isotope values were calibrated 152 to the VSMOW reference standard using internal reference standards JGULF and KONA. The 153  $\delta^{18}$ O value in VSMOW2 for JGULF is 1.22‰, and for Kona is -6.86‰. Average internal  $\delta^{18}$ O 154 155 precision is 0.12 ‰, and an external precision replicate of the same sample is 0.26‰.

156

Sulfur isotopes ( $\delta^{34}$ S) were determined at the Washington State University Stable Isotope Core Laboratory. Mussel gill and seep sediment were combusted with an elemental analyzer (ECS 4010, Costech Analytical) coupled to a Delta PlusXP Thermo-Finnigan continuous flow isotope

ratio mass spectrometer. Sulfur isotope ratios ( $\delta^{34}$ S) are reported in per mil (‰) relative to VCDT (Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite). Analytical accuracy (1 $\sigma$ ) of  $\delta^{34}$ S was determined by replicate analysis of internal lab standard referenced to IAEA standards, reported as 0.26‰ (n=36), bovine internal standard at 0.47‰ (n=18) and sample replicates 0.13‰ (n=9).

164

165 2.4 Strontium isotopes

The strontium isotope (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr) compositions of the authigenic carbonates, mussel shells, and 166 167 seawater samples were determined at the USGS facility at Menlo Park, California. Bottom water 168 samples were filtered using a 0.45 µm glass fiber filter. Authigenic carbonate samples were 169 subsampled to isolate the cement and groundmass components, and mussel shell material was homogenized using an agate mortar and pestle. The mussel shell and authigenic components 170 171 were leached to remove labile Sr and digested in sealed Teflon vessels. Sr was separated from 172 other ions using a Bio-Rad® AG-502-X8 cation exchange resin with HCl as the eluent. Purified 173 Sr was converted to nitrate form, taken up in 30 µL of 0.15 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and loaded onto a Ta ribbon for mass spectrometric measurement. The isotopic composition was measured on a 174 175 Finnigan MAT 261 multi-collector mass spectrometer using a static collection mode. All reported values of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr have been corrected for analytical fractionation to the standard 176  $^{88}$ Sr/ $^{86}$ Sr ratio of 8.37521, and measurements are precise to ±0.00002 at the 95% confidence 177 178 level.

179

180 2.5 Radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) analysis

181 Radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) analysis was performed on subsamples of authigenic carbonates and mussel
182 shells collected from dead and live mussel specimens. Samples were prepared for Accelerator

Mass Spectrometry (AMS) radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) dating at the Keck Carbon Cycle AMS laboratory at UC Irvine (KCCAMS). Authigenic carbonate samples were subsampled to isolate the cement and groundmass components. Carbonate from the mussel shells was analyzed both as a homogenized powder as well as shell fragments. To test for potential contamination by secondary aragonite or calcite, duplicates were performed on samples treated with 10% HCl.

188

189 The carbonate samples were hydrolyzed to  $CO_2$  in individual reaction chambers, evacuated, heated and acidified with orthophosphoric acid at 90°C. The resultant CO<sub>2</sub> was converted to 190 graphite using an iron catalyst and the hydrogen reduction method (Vogel et al. 1987). Sample 191 preparation backgrounds have been subtracted, based on measurements of <sup>14</sup>C-free calcite and 192 oxalic acid I. All <sup>14</sup>C results were corrected for isotopic fractionation according to the 193 conventions of Stuiver and Polach (1977) with  $\delta^{13}$ C values measured on prepared graphite using 194 the AMS spectrometer. Radiocarbon concentrations are given as  $\Delta^{14}$ C and conventional 195 radiocarbon age following Stuiver and Polach (1977). 196

197

# 198 2.6 U-Th age dating of authigenic carbonates

U-Th dating of authigenic carbonates was carried out at the NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory, British Geological Survey. Samples were processed via total dissolution techniques, with isotope ratios measured on a Thermo Neptune Plus multi-collector ICP-MS, relative to a mixed <sup>229</sup>Th-<sup>236</sup>U tracer calibrated against gravimetric solutions of CRM 112a U and Ames laboratory high purity Th. Details of the analytical protocol are provided in the Supplementary Material. U-Th age calculations were performed using an in-house Excel spreadsheet, and are calculated using the decay constants of Cheng et al. (2013).

206

# **3. Results**

208 3.1 X-Ray diffraction and petrography

209 Calcium carbonate ( $CaCO_3$ ) dominates the authigenic carbonate samples (48-97%) but not the surrounding sediment (3-14%) (Table 1). Aragonite accounts for more than 60% of the 210 groundmass and up to 99% of the carbonate cement, with secondary amounts (< 15%) of low 211 and high-Mg calcite present, creating an aragonite-cemented intraclast breccia at both sites (Fig. 212 S1). The detrital fraction consists of poorly sorted accessory minerals, such as quartz, feldspar, 213 plagioclase, and pyroxene that are supported in a matrix of clay to silt-size particles, consistent 214 215 with grain size from the surrounding sediment. The clasts are sub-rounded to very angular, particularly in the Baltimore Canyon specimen (Fig. 4). Voids between intraclasts and bivalve 216 217 shells are completely or partially filled with fibrous or bladed aragonite, showing multiple generations of mineral growth. Fractures intersect well-developed radiating crystals of aragonite 218 219 in the Baltimore Canyon sample. Bioclasts are observed in both samples, either as intact shells, 220 aragonite filled, or skeletal molds. The organic carbon  $(C_{org})$  content of the authigenic carbonate groundmass and cement was less than 0.4%, whereas the sediment, especially at the Norfolk 221 seep site, had higher %Corg (Table 1). The shell carbonate was dominated by both aragonite 222 and/or calcite with CaCO<sub>3</sub> ranging between 95 to 97%. 223

- 224
- 225 3.2 Strontium isotopes

The strontium isotope (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr) compositions of the authigenic carbonates and mussel shells were investigated to constrain the fluid source and flow pathway for carbonate precipitation (Sample et al., 1993). <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios for the authigenic carbonates, mussel shells, and water samples ranged from 0.70915 to 0.70924 (Tables 1 and 2). The average authigenic carbonate (n=4) and mussel shell (n=2)  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratios at both sites were equivalent, 0.70920 ± 3x10<sup>-5</sup> and 0.70920 ± 2x10<sup>-5</sup>, respectively. In comparison, the average seawater (n=6)  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratio was 0.70917 ± 2x10<sup>-5</sup> but was not statistically different (Student T-test; p>0.05) from the authigenic carbonate and shell samples.

234

235 3.3 Stable carbon, oxygen, and sulfur isotopes

At Norfolk, shells from both living and dead mussels yielded average  $\delta^{13}$ C values of -2.59 ± 236 1.68% (n=34) and -7.10  $\pm$  3.20% (n=16), respectively, and an average  $\delta^{18}$ O value of 3.71  $\pm$ 237 238 0.25‰ (n=34) and  $3.82 \pm 0.39\%$  (n=16), respectively (Table 2). No statistical difference (Student's t-test, P>0.05) exists between shell  $\delta^{18}$ O values from dead and living specimens; 239 however, shells from living mussels were significantly enriched in <sup>13</sup>C relative to shells from 240 dead specimens (Student's t-test, P<0.05). Only shells from live mussel specimens were 241 analyzed from the Baltimore Canyon seep site and yielded average shell  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O values of 242 and -6.84  $\pm$  1.97‰ and 2.57  $\pm$  0.28‰ (n=30), respectively. At both sites, the shell  $\delta^{13}$ C values 243 were lighter relative to bottom water dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC)  $\delta^{13}$ C values (0.90±0.05; 244 n=2), but heavier relative to the Baltimore Canvon methane  $\delta^{13}$ C value (-68%): Pohlman et al., 245 2015). Compared to shells from Norfolk, mussel shell isotope values from Baltimore Canyon 246 were heavier in  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O by ~4‰ and ~1‰, respectively. At both sites, shells  $\delta^{18}$ O were 247 enriched in <sup>18</sup>O relative to ambient seawater, where bottom water  $\delta^{18}$ O values from Norfolk and 248 Baltimore canyons were 0.34 and 0.53‰, respectively. 249

Shell isotopic variability over the lifespan of an individual specimen was calculated as the 251 standard deviation of 8 to 12 stable isotope values from material collected along a transect from 252 the umbo to the ventral margin (Fig. S2). Individual lifespan variability ranged from 0.11 to 253 0.56‰ and 0.69 to 3.57‰ for shell  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (n=13), respectively. On average, the 254  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C variability over the mussel lifespan was 0.24 and 1.49‰, respectively. Lifespan 255 variability represented less than 10% of the average shell  $\delta^{18}$ O signature at both sites, but up to 256 42% of the average shell  $\delta^{13}$ C signal at the Norfolk seep site and 21% at the Baltimore Canyon 257 seep site. The mussel periostracum  $\delta^{13}$ C signature from samples collected at Norfolk seep field 258 ranged from -70.66 to -29.92‰ (n=40), with an average value of -56.99±12.85‰ (Table 2). 259 Mussel periostracum from Baltimore Canyon was not analyzed since sample preservation in 260 ethyl alcohol precluded reliable  $\delta^{13}$ C results. 261

262

The average  $\delta^{13}$ C signature of the bulk authigenic carbonate from Norfolk and Baltimore canyons was -45.51±1.66‰ (n=5) and -48.43 ±1.02‰ (n=4), respectively. However, in comparison to the cement, the groundmass  $\delta^{13}$ C values were heavier by 1-3‰ (Table 1). The bulk  $\delta^{18}$ O values were similar, 3.80 ±0.05‰ (Norfolk, n=5) and 3.95±0.47‰ (Baltimore Canyon, n=4) with < 1‰ difference between the groundmass and cement at both sites (Table 1). The authigenic carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O values were heavier by ~3‰ relative to bottom water  $\delta^{18}$ O values.

269

Sulfur ( $\delta^{34}$ S) isotopes were analyzed from *Bathymodiolus* sp. gill tissues collected at each seep field. Gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values ranged from -4.07 to 21.55‰ (Table 3), with no statistical difference between the sites (Student's t-test, P>0.05); however, gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values at Baltimore Canyon displayed a larger range compared to the Norfolk seep, from -4.07 to 18.13‰ (n=20) versus 8.65 to 21.55‰ (n=23). Periostracum  $\delta^{34}$ S values from the Norfolk seep were similar to gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values, ranging from 8.82 to 22.65‰, averaging 16.63±4.01‰ (n=28). Seep sediment  $\delta^{34}$ S values averaged 5.53±2.16‰ (n=4) at Norfolk and 2.42±3.6‰ (n=5) at Baltimore Canyon (Table 3).

- 278
- 279 3.4 Radiocarbon (<sup>14</sup>C) analysis

The  $\Delta^{14}$ C signatures of the authigenic carbonates were significantly depleted in  $^{14}$ C, ranging from 280 -959‰ to -740‰ with corresponding  ${}^{14}$ C ages of 25,570±210 to 10,770±35  ${}^{14}$ C years (Table 4). 281 The <sup>14</sup>C ages of mussel shells on the seabed were younger relative to the authigenic carbonate 282 age. The <sup>14</sup>C age of the mussel shells derived from living specimens varied from 905±20 to 283  $1,935\pm20^{14}$ C years, and the average <sup>14</sup>C age of the mussel shells derived from dead specimens at 284 Norfolk was  $1.345\pm20^{14}$ C vears (Table 4). There was no statistical difference (Student's T-test, 285 P>0.05) between shell from dead specimens that were pretreated with 10% HCl and those left 286 untreated (Table 4), yielding an average <sup>14</sup>C age of 1,180 <sup>14</sup>C years, indicating that secondary 287 aragonite and calcite are negligible. 288

289

# 290 3.5 U-Th age calculation

Discrete subsamples of late-stage cavity-filling authigenic carbonate weighing between 4.1-17.2 mg were hand-drilled from two hand specimens collected from the Baltimore (n=5) and Norfolk Canyon (n=5) sites (Fig. 4). These were analysed along with 9 detritus samples (5 from Norfolk and 4 from Baltimore Canyon) consisting of material loosely adhering to the outside surfaces of the hand specimens, and material recovered from the base of push cores collected within 2 km of the authigenic carbonates. Tabulated results are reported in Table 5. Authigenic carbonate

cement samples contained 3.2 to 4.6 ppm U, and 0.06 to 0.59 ppm Th. <sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>232</sup>Th activity ratios 297 were between 2.2 and 8.6, clustering towards the lower end of the range reported from other 298 occurrences of methane-related authigenic carbonates (e.g., Teichert et al. 2003; Bayon et al. 299 300 2009; Fig. S3 A). The implication is that the analysed authigenic carbonates incorporate detrital material, such as clay minerals, which carry <sup>232</sup>Th, and an associated amount of initial <sup>230</sup>Th. 301 Since initial <sup>230</sup>Th is not related to the in-situ decay of <sup>234</sup>U, a correction is required in order to 302 calculate a robust carbonate precipitation age. The presence of initial <sup>230</sup>Th is typically 303 addressed via (i) leaching techniques aimed at separating the carbonate and detrital components 304 of a sample in order to calculate a two-point isochron age (e.g. Teichert et al., 2003; Berndt et al., 305 2014), or total dissolution of the samples, with detrital corrections based on either (ii) a 306 theoretical detritus composition which assumes secular equilibrium in the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain, and 307 a <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U ratio linked to average continental crust composition (e.g. Aharon et al., 1997; Feng 308 309 et al., 2010; Liebetrau et al., 2014), or (iii) a measured, site-specific detrital isotopic composition (e.g. Teichert et al., 2003; Bayon et al., 2009; 2015). Initial <sup>230</sup>Th in marine authigenic carbonates 310 is likely to be a mixture of <sup>230</sup>Th incorporated within the detrital material and hydrogenous <sup>230</sup>Th 311 scavenged onto grain surfaces from the decay of <sup>234</sup>U in the water column, with the impact of the 312 latter increasing with water depth (Henderson and Anderson, 2003). Consequently, site-specific 313 314 corrections are likely to be more appropriate, particularly for samples collected at depths greater than a few hundred meters. The impact of excess <sup>230</sup>Th scavenged from seawater is illustrated by 315 the measured  $(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})$  of the five detritus samples from the Norfolk Canyon site (depth of 316  $\sim$ 1600 m), which range from 1.7 to 2.1 (Table 5), and are significantly higher than the secular 317 equilibrium value of 1. Conversely (<sup>230</sup>Th/<sup>238</sup>U) values from the shallower Baltimore Canyon site 318 319 (depth  $\sim$ 385 m) are, as expected, lower (1.29-1.46) but still in excess of secular equilibrium.

320 Details of the average detrital U and Th compositions used to calculate corrected U-Th dates at

321 Baltimore Canyon and Norfolk Canyon are provided in the supplementary material.

The U-Th ages from the Norfolk seeps, corrected for initial detrital and hydrogenous <sup>230</sup>Th, range from  $1.0\pm0.7$  ka to  $3.3\pm1.3$  ka (Table 5). In comparison, the corrected U-Th ages of the Baltimore Canyon authigenic carbonate were older, ranging from  $14.7\pm0.6$  ka to  $15.7\pm1.6$  ka (Table 5). Modelled initial (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U)<sub>i</sub> values are statistically equivalent to the mean modern seawater (<sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U) of 1.1466 (Robinson et al., 2004; Fig. S3 B), meaning that U incorporated in the authigenic carbonates was sourced from seawater, rather than pore waters, which would be comparatively enriched in <sup>234</sup>U (Henderson et al., 1999).

#### 329 4. Discussion

### 330 4.1 Authigenic carbonate formation

331 The aragonite-dominated authigenic carbonates form pavements and/or irregular blocky buildups on the seafloor. The carbonates consist of bioclasts, organic matter, and angular clasts of 332 333 terrigenous origin. While the contemporary Baltimore Canyon does not connect with a river 334 system, rivers delivered a significant volume of sediment to the submarine canyons incising the shelf during Pleistocene sea-level lowstands (e.g., Forde et al. 1981). The fluvial influence on 335 336 the canyons is observed in both the geomorphic features and grain size, where coarse to medium 337 grained, shelly terrigenous sands are observed adjacent to the canyon heads (Obelcz et al. 2014). The similarity between neodymium isotope (<sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd) values from Baltimore Canyon surface 338 sediment (0.51208; Prouty et al., 2015) and Hudson River sediment (0.51206; Goldstein and 339 340 Jacobsen 1987) highlights past connectivity with proximal fluvial sources.

341

342 The authigenic carbonate texture may result from in-situ brecciation of weakly consolidated sediment, possibly triggered by seismic and venting-induced disturbances, such as rapid 343 sedimentation related to episodic and rapid release of trapped fluids or gases (Matsumoto 1990). 344 345 Fractures cross cutting multiple generations of aragonite precipitate in the Baltimore Canyon authigenic carbonate (Fig. 4) may signify past disturbance events. The dominance of aragonite 346 at both seep sites suggests precipitation at or close to the seafloor (see review in Suess 2014), 347 where sufficiently high sulfate concentrations inhibit high-Mg calcite crystallization (e.g., 348 Bohrmann et al. 1998). This interpretation is consistent with carbonate  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr and  ${\delta}^{234}$ U 349 isotope results that indicate precipitation from seawater-derived fluids (e.g., Naehr et al. 2007), 350 351 rather than deep-seated formation waters that are less radiogenic than modern seawater.

352

#### 353 4.2 Anaerobic oxidation of methane

354 The main driver of authigenic carbonate precipitation at or near the sediment interface is anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) via sulfate reduction ( $CH_4 + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow HCO_3^{-} + HS^{-} + H_2O$ ). 355 This reaction drives an increase in pore water alkalinity by the production of biocarbonate 356 (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and favors carbonate precipitation. Carbonate precipitation from methanogenesis can 357 also occur deeper in the sediment column (e.g., Orphan et al. 2004; Gieskes et al. 2005) and is 358 typically characterized by carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C values > -40‰ (Aharon et al. 1997). However. 359 authigenic carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C values from Norfolk and Baltimore canyons are lighter (-45 to -48‰), 360 in agreement with  $\delta^{13}C$  values at cold seep sites where microbial AOM is the dominant driver of 361 authigenic aragonite precipitation. The heavier  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the authigenic carbonates 362 compared to methane represent the incorporation of seawater DIC that is relatively enriched in 363 Results of a two end-member  $\delta^{13}C$  mixing model yield a contribution from methane- $^{13}C$ 364

derived carbon of ~70%, with the remaining ~30% attributed to seawater DIC-derived carbon precipitated near the sediment-water interface. Furthermore,  $\delta^{13}$ C values of AOM-related lipids extracted from the authigenic carbonates were strongly depleted in <sup>13</sup>C, (i.e., archaeol: -74.3‰; Campbell et al., 2015), confirming the dominance of microbial methane as the dominant carbon source for the Archaea. The authigenic carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O values are also consistent with Group I carbonates that typify carbonate precipitation of microbial origin within the uppermost few centimeters below the sediment-water interface (Joseph et al. 2013).

372

In addition to AOM, sulfate reduction is also a dominant process that occurs in methane-rich 373 374 sediments, resulting in sulfur fractionation in the pore water and sediment. Sulfate reduction may therefore explain the light surface sediment  $\delta^{34}$ S values (from -2.62 to 8.20%; Table 3) 375 relative to seawater sulfate (+20%; Heyl et al. 2007). The resulting hydrogen sulfide can then be 376 used to support the metabolic processes of surrounding chemosynthetic communities. For 377 example, Van Dover et al. (2003) reported that the mussel B. heckerae collected from Blake 378 379 Ridge derive up to 25% of their organic sulfur from sulfide. Using a two end-member mixing model with a H<sub>2</sub>S  $\delta^{34}$ S value of -10‰, and the average *Bathymodiolus* sp. gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values from 380 each seep site, the reliance on H<sub>2</sub>S as an energy source at Norfolk and Baltimore canyon seep 381 fields was estimated at 16% and 14%, respectively. However, variable gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values indicate 382 uptake of up to 80% of H<sub>2</sub>S (i.e., gill  $\delta^{34}$ S value of -4.07‰ at the Baltimore Canyon Seep site). 383 384 suggesting free-living and/or symbiotic thiotrophic bacteria may play a nutritional role at the base of the food web (Yamanaka et al. 2003). As an alternative to mussel tissue isotopic studies, 385 the mussel shell periostracum derived from both living and dead specimens represents a valuable 386 source of information about the seep environment. The similarity between the periostracum and 387

mussel gill  $\delta^{34}$ S values from Norfolk suggests a high degree of nutrient flow between the soft tissue, allowing for greater fidelity in using periostracum isotopic ratios in place of respirable tissues of living specimens. This is particularly essential when mussel tissue is not available, such as with archived specimens or those at quiescent vent sites.

392

#### 393 4.3 Estimation of fluid composition and age

The composition of the seeping fluids can also be characterized by the mussel shell  $\delta^{13}$ C values, 394 395 with differences between the living and dead specimens reflecting changes in the flux of methane in the past, or possibly a change in the composition of the seeping fluids. At both sites, the shell 396 carbonate and periostracum  $\delta^{13}$ C values were lighter relative to bottom water DIC  $\delta^{13}$ C values 397 398 (Table 2). This difference suggests an additional carbon source for shell calcification, and illustrates the decoupling between calcification and metabolic pathway (Aharon et al. 1997). The 399 light periostracum  $\delta^{13}$ C values (-57‰; Table 2) agree with previous work concluding that mussel 400 shell periostracum originates from dietary sources, and is an alternative to soft tissue for trophic 401 studies (Geist et al. 2005). Assuming a regional methane  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -68‰ (Pohlman et al., 402 2015), the average percent contribution of methane to shell calcification was estimated at 11% at 403 Baltimore Canyon and 5% at Norfolk. However, shell  $\delta^{13}$ C values from dead specimens 404 405 collected at Norfolk indicate a temporal shift in seepage activity and/or composition of seeping fluids. Specifically, a reduction in  $\delta^{13}$ C values of up to 17‰ observed in the dead specimens is 406 equivalent to a  $\sim 25\%$  increase in methane contribution to shell calcification. 407 Comparison of relative <sup>14</sup>C-derived ages from the dead and live specimens suggests this change could have 408 occurred within a few centuries (<500 years) (Table 4). 409

Carbonate shell  $\delta^{13}$ C variability also has the potential to record environmental changes such as 411 changes in fluid source, as well as fluid-venting activity over the lifespan of the mussels (e.g., 412 Lietard and Pierre 2008). Although the precise chronology of the variability is unknown because 413 of the difficulty in dating the specimens, estimates of ages of *B. brevior* and *B. thermophiles* 414 range from 18 to more than 25 years (Schöne and Giere 2005). Therefore, lifespan  $\delta^{13}$ C 415 variability reported above may be related to changes in methane flow within several decades. 416 417 The spatial distribution of living and dead mussels may also imply changing fluid composition or flux rates, as suggested by Van Dover et al. (2003) from HOV dives on the Blake Ridge Diapir. 418

419

The shell carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O isotopic signature at Norfolk overlap with both *Bathvmodiolus* and 420 421 Calvptogena shell samples from other cold seeps (Lietard and Pierre 2008). In comparison, Baltimore Canyon shell  $\delta^{18}$ O values are lighter, reflecting warmer in-situ temperatures (~9°C) 422 relative to the deeper, colder in-situ temperatures (~4°C) at Norfolk. Using bottom water  $\delta^{18}$ O 423 values from Baltimore and Norfolk canyons, the predicted carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O value precipitated at 424 equilibrium yields heavier carbonate  $\delta^{18}$ O values relative to measured shell values from 425 Baltimore and Norfolk by 0.68 and 0.42‰ respectively. Therefore, there is evidence of isotopic 426 disequilibrium, indicating the influence of <sup>18</sup>O-poor water and/or warmer seeping fluids (e.g. 427 Lietard and Pierre 2008). As a result, the predicted seawater temperatures using an aragonite 428 paleotemperature equation of Grossman and Ku (1986) are warmer by 1-3°C compared to 429 contemporary in-situ temperatures, a difference at least three times greater than the  $\delta^{18}$ O-derived 430 431 temperature analytical uncertainty of 0.28°C.

The influence of methane is also captured in the light carbonate  $\Delta^{14}$ C values and relatively old 433 <sup>14</sup>C-derived ages of mussel shells from living specimens. Seawater samples collected near the 434 Norfolk seep field yield an average  $DI^{14}C$  value of -24.17±0.6‰, consistent with seawater  $\Delta^{14}C$ 435 values from below the mixed layer depth in Norfolk Canyon. In contrast, the average mussel 436 shell  $\Delta^{14}$ C value from living specimens from the Norfolk seep field is -115±3‰. Assuming a 437 methane  $\Delta^{14}$ C signature of -880‰ (<0.12 pMC; Pohlman et al. 2009) and a DIC  $\Delta^{14}$ C signature 438 439 of -24‰ (this study), ~10% of the carbon signature for shell calcification is derived from fossil carbon. As a result, the contribution from fossil carbon can yield a false <sup>14</sup>C carbonate age (e.g., 440 Aharon et al. 1997). The dilution from fossil carbon may result in over prediction of <sup>14</sup>C-derived 441 ages by 5 to 11%. 442

443

Owing to this incorporation of fossil carbon, we expect a disparity between the <sup>14</sup>C-derived age 444 of the authigenic carbonates and the independently derived U-Th age. The average  $\Delta^{14}$ C 445 signatures of the authigenic carbonates at both sites are significantly depleted in <sup>14</sup>C, with values 446 ranging from -894‰ to -878‰ and corresponding <sup>14</sup>C ages ranging from 17.99 to 19.35 ka. In 447 comparison, average U-Th ages from the Norfolk and Baltimore canyon seep sites were 1.0-3.3 448 ka and 14.7-15.7 ka, respectively. Thus, the disparity between the  ${}^{14}$ C and U-Th derived ages is 449 450 a few thousand years at the Baltimore Canyon seep but at least 13 ka at the deepwater Norfolk seep. The differences between the <sup>14</sup>C and U-Th ages are probably a complex function of 451 absolute age of the authigenic carbonates, methane flux, and the <sup>14</sup>C signature of the source 452 methane, and the results may imply greater incorporation of fossil carbon in the authigenic 453 carbonates at Norfolk Seep. 454

### 456 4.4 Formation model and paleoenvironment

The U-Th ages from methane derived authigenic carbonates indicate that hydrocarbon seepage 457 related to the formation of those carbonates occurred at the Baltimore Canvon seep field toward 458 459 the end of the Late Pleistocene, and was more recent (Holocene) at the Norfolk seep field. These are the first absolute dates for the timing of hydrocarbon seepage along the US Atlantic margin, 460 and although the limited nature (single samples at two sites) these ages are supported by 461 additional U-Th dating conducted on more recently obtained authigenic carbonate samples along 462 the US Atlantic margin (Condon et al., 2015). Nonetheless, the origin of seeps and gas expulsion 463 geomorphic features (e.g., pockmarks and "gas blowouts") along the US Atlantic Margin 464 remains uncertain. 465

466

467 The occurrence of gas seeps and pockmarks associated with fluid expulsion at depths less than 500 m, which are outside the methane hydrate stability field, may have a microbial origin from 468 469 in-situ bacterial decay of organic matter or updip migration of gases produced by the same 470 process or released from methane hydrate dissociation at greater water depths on the upper slope (Skarke et al. 2014). Such expulsion may also have a thermogenic origin at depth (Hill et al. 471 2004; Newman et al. 2008; Brothers et al. 2014). However, the geochemical analyses presented 472 here vield carbonate  $\delta^{13}$ C values < -40‰. When coupled with observations by Pohlman et al. 473 (2015) of -68‰ in the bottom waters, a thermogenic origin for the methane is precluded. This 474 agrees with earlier work by Newman et al. (2008) that demonstrated the microbial origin of pore 475 fluid DIC  $\delta^{13}$ C values along the US mid-Atlantic shelf break. Hill et al. (2004) argued that 476 microbial gas flowing updip from dissociating gas hydrates is responsible for the distribution of 477 gas blowouts in the region, and Skarke et al (2014) make the same argument for the distribution 478

of hundreds of seeps on the continental slope updip of the present-day hydrate stability limit, particularly on the mid-Atlantic part of the margin. Recent multi-channel seismic profiles on the upper continental slope below the Baltimore Canyon seep field do not reveal clear evidence for strata that could be laterally channeling gas updip into the seeps (Ruppel et al., 2015b), but these observations are equivocal.

484

An alternate explanation for the presence of the Baltimore Canyon seeps at ~400 m water depth 485 is that overpressures have accumulated within thick, rapidly deposited Pleistocene sediments, 486 leading to vertical fluid and gas expulsion (Brothers et al. 2014). Studies on passive margins 487 488 offshore Europe and Asia have also advanced this explanation for focused fluid flow in areas of 489 high sedimentation rates under excess pore pressure (Berndt 2005). This interpretation is 490 consistent with the non-aquifer model of Dugan and Flemings (2002), where rapid sediment 491 loading during the Pleistocene sea-level lowstand created overpressure gradients, forcing fluids 492 to migrate upward and outward toward the seafloor. During the Pleistocene, significant volumes 493 of sediment were delivered to the outer shelf, with much of it transported directly into shelf-494 sourced canyons and then offshore to deep-sea fans (e.g., Poag 1992). The fluvial influence on the canyons is observed in both the geomorphic features and grain size, where coarse to medium 495 496 grained, shelly terrigenous sands are observed adjacent to the canyon heads (Obelcz et al. 2014). 497 The Mid-Atlantic region is also marked by a seaward thickening wedge of shelf edge delta deposits (200-400 m thick) (Hill et al., 2004; D. Brothers et al., 2013). Along the New Jersey 498 continental slope for example, sedimentation rates rose sharply during Pleistocene sea-level 499 lowstand (Dugan and Flemings, 2002), with rates as high as 353 cm kyr<sup>-1</sup> (McHugh and Olson, 500 501 2002). The age of the Baltimore Canyon authigenic carbonate further supports a link between

rapid loading by organic-rich sediment during the Pleistocene sea-level lowstand and subsequent
fluid flow from overpressure. Such overpressures may also contribute to pervasive slope
instability on this part of the Mid-Atlantic margin (ten Brink et al., 2014).

505

Our analysis (Fig. 5) shows that the Baltimore seep field would have been outside the methane 506 hydrate stability field even between 14.7±0.6 ka to 15.7±1.6 ka. The ensuing Late Pleistocene to 507 Holocene sea-level rise increased hydrostatic pressure, which could move the seep field closer to 508 the hydrate stability condition under isothermal conditions. However, dramatic bottom water 509 warming between the presumed cold Late Pleistocene temperature and the ~9°C observed in 510 511 2013 from in-situ temperature measurements overwhelms the impact of increased pressure. The 512 Baltimore Canyon seep field may now or in the past have emitted migrated methane that was 513 released by gas hydrate dissociation at greater water depths; however in-situ gas hydrate 514 dissociation is clearly ruled out as the source of methane emissions. This regime contrasts with 515 the hydrate-controlled methane venting off Svalbard, where seasonal fluctuations in bottom 516 water temperatures cause variable gas hydrate dissociation at a depth between 380 to 400 m (Berndt et al., 2014). 517

518

The driving mechanism for methane venting the Norfolk seep field (~1600 m) is more difficult to explain since the seep is well inside the gas hydrate stability zone and there is no underlying salt diapir. Skarke et al. (2014) postulated that the Norfolk seep field is fed by gas flowing through fractured Eocene rock, but a clear triggering mechanism that could explain seepage consistent mid-Holocene U-Th date of the authigenic carbonates is lacking. Recent highresolution seismic imaging by the USGS shows fractures channeling methane to the seep sites

from hundreds of meters below the seafloor (Ruppel et al., 2015a). Despite the inferred deep source of some of the methane, it is notable that the  $\delta^{13}$ C data still support an entirely microbial origin for the gas. There is evidence at both sites of upward flux of methane that is isotopically similar between the sites with respect to carbon, most likely linked to long-term accumulation, compaction, and over-pressuring of organic-rich sediment. This can occur when the intense methane fluxes move the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ) very close to the seafloor (Orcutt et al., 2011).

532

533 4.5 Relationship to Global Setting

534 A variety of driving mechanisms has been proposed to explain methane venting at cold seeps, including hydrological and tidal pumping, warming of bottom water (Suess 2014), excess pore 535 pressure in areas of high sedimentation along the passive margins of Europe and Africa (Berndt 536 2005), seismic activity (e.g., Fischer et al., 2013), and links to sea-level lowstands (e.g., Teichert 537 et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2010; Liebetrau et al., 2010). In many of these cases, a major mechanism 538 for methane release is a change in hydrostatic pressure and/or temperature, and subsequent 539 hydrate dissociation, as in the case of the South China Sea (Han et al., 2014) and Svalbard 540 (Westbrook et al., 2009; Berndt et al., 2014). Even with the assumption of very cold bottom 541 542 water temperatures, the Baltimore seep field would have been outside the methane hydrate 543 stability field at ~15ka (Fig. 5), meaning that in-situ gas hydrate dissociation cannot have ever contributed to methane emissions there since the Late Pleistocene. Despite observations of 544 545 numerous landslide scars in the Baltimore Canvon landslide zone (ten Brink et al. 2014), ages that could constrain the timing of slide events are not available close to the seep site. The 546 ages farther downslope at the base of hemipelagic sediment overlying the youngest mass 547 transport deposit range between  $5200 \pm 150$  and 10,080 yr BP (Embley, 1980). While these 548

dates are several thousand years younger than the age of the authigenic carbonate samples analyzed from the Baltimore Canyon seep field site, the slide material that was dated is probably not related to sediments originally at the top of the ridge where the seep field is located. For now, we can only postulate that other passive margins with similar depositional regimes similar to that of the northern US Atlantic margin may also be the loci of widespread and as-yet undiscovered seepage that can be traced to similar mechanisms of overpressure accumulation and eventual fluid expulsion, possibly with a slide-related connection.

556

#### 557 **5. Conclusion**

The geochemistry, mineralogy, and petrology of authigenic carbonates and mussel shells 558 559 collected from two seep sites along the mid-Atlantic portion of the USAM in a newly-discovered 560 seep province provide the first direct information about methane sources, the processes driving carbonate precipitation and chemosynthetic processes, and the nature of fluid-rock interaction. 561 Taken together, the  $\delta^{234}$ U,  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr,  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\Delta^{14}$ C values support shallow precipitation of 562 aragonite driven by AOM and at equilibrium with seawater. At the deepwater Norfolk seep, 563 comparison of shell  $\delta^{13}C$  values from dead and living specimens indicates a temporal shift in 564 seepage activity and/or composition of seeping fluids. Comparison between shell  $\delta^{13}$ C values of 565 living versus dead specimens from Norfolk suggests a ~25% increase in methane contribution 566 within several centuries. In addition, changes in shell  $\delta^{13}$ C values during growth may be related 567 to changes in methane flow throughout the organisms' lifespan (<25 years). The range of mussel 568 gill and periostracum  $\delta^{34}$ S values from both sites suggests an admixture of sulfur sources. 569 hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and seawater sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>), with the former sourced from sulfate 570 reduction during AOM. Lighter mussel shell  $\Delta^{14}$ C values highlight dilution of the <sup>14</sup>C pool with 571

572 fossil carbon. As a result, authigenic carbonate <sup>14</sup>C- and U-Th-derived ages are discordant.

According to U-Th ages, methane seepage is thought to have occurred at the uppermost slope 573 574 Baltimore Canyon seep field toward the end of the Pleistocene (14.7±0.6 ka to 15.7±1.6 ka) and 575 between  $1.0\pm0.7$  ka to  $3.3\pm0.1.3$  ka at the deepwater Norfolk seep field. Fluid flow from the thick pile of overpressured Pleistocene sediments deposited at the sea-level lowstand is the most 576 likely mechanism to explain sustained methane venting at Baltimore Canyon, whereas venting 577 578 fluids at the Norfolk seeps, which are located well within the GHSZ, can be explained by flow 579 through fractured strata (Skarke et al. 2014; Ruppel et al., 2015a). At neither seep field does the 580 carbonate geochemistry support deep-sourced fluid of thermogenic origin. Instead, the isotope 581 and mineralogy of the carbonates indicate that microbial degradation of sedimentary organic 582 matter is the common source of widespread methane both outside (Baltimore Canyon) and well 583 within (Norfolk seep) the GHSZ. Results from this geochemical study, coupled with the 584 geophysical data of Skarke et al (2014) showing the distribution of seeps along the USAM, 585 highlights the potential role of the sedimentary framework in widespread venting at upper slope locations updip of the current limit of gas hydrate stability. We postulate that high sedimentation 586 rate passive margins dominated by siliciclastic deposition due to glacial and fluvial processes 587 may have accumulated overpressures and produced episodes of fluid expulsion particularly 588 589 during Late Pleistocene lowstands. This implies that undiscovered methane seep provinces may 590 be widespread on upper continental slopes, which would have implications for carbon cycling 591 from the seafloor to overlying ocean-atmosphere system.

592 Acknowledgments Funding for this project (sponsored by the National Oceanographic 593 Partnership Program) included USGS Terrestrial, Freshwater, and Marine Environments 594 Program through the Outer Continental shelf study, Coastal and Marine Geology Program, and

595 the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) contract number M10PC00100 (contracted 596 to CSA Ocean Sciences, Inc.). C.R. was supported by USGS-DOE Interagency Agreements DE-FE000291 and 0023495. We thank Greg Boland (BOEM) and Stephen Viada (CSA) for support 597 598 during the development of the overall project. We thank the crews of the NOAA ships *Nancy* Foster and Ronald H. Brown, and Kraken II and Jason II ROVs, provided by the NOAA Office 599 of Ocean Exploration and Research. We thank D. Brothers, and J. Kluesner (USGS) for helpful 600 discussions, K. Davis (Texas A&M), B. Harlow (WSU), I. Aiello (MLML), S. Griffin (UCI), 601 and P. Campbell-Swarzenski, J. Fitzpatrick, and J. Hein (USGS) for analytical assistance, and J. 602 603 Bourque and J. McClain Counts (USGS) and M. Rhode (UNCW) for field and lab assistance. J. Hein (USGS) and two anonymous reviewers provide valuable input. Any use of trade, product, 604 or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. 605 606 Government.

607

608

#### 609 Figure Captions

610 **Figure 1** 

Location map showing the Norfolk and Baltimore Canyon seep fields (green circles) relative to the major shelf-break canyons (Norfolk, Washington, and Baltimore). The blue triangle outlines the study area for the multi-disciplinary "Atlantic Deepwater Canyons" study.

614

#### 615 **Figure 2**

(A) Baltimore Canyon, with the seep field located on the southern promontory overlooking thecanyon. The green circle denotes the seep that was sampled for mussel shells and authigenic

618 carbonates within the seep field. (B) Close-up view of shaded relief at the Baltimore Canvon 619 seep field, with bathymetric contours at 100 m spacing. The green circle denotes the seep that is 620 the focus of this paper. Red and orange circles are seeps from the Skarke et al. (2014) database 621 and from USGS water-column imaging surveys in April 2015, respectively. Some of the orange and red seeps may correspond to the same location within the resolution of the data. Yellow 622 623 symbols are pockmarks mapped by Brothers et al. (2014). (C) Left: In-situ photo of deep-sea mussel field of Bathymodiolus sp. Right: In-situ photo of deep-sea Cusk fish, Brosme brosme, 624 beneath authigenic pavement in soft substrate with low live mussel cover (D) Target strength 625 calculated from water column imagery collected with a Simrad EK60 using a 38 kHz transducer 626 during USGS surveys across the seep field in September 2015. The current deflects the plumes 627 slightly southeast in the water column. The analysis used the approach and software described in 628 Veloso et al. (2015). 629

630

#### 631 **Figure 3**.

(A) Norfolk deep seep field, showing the locations of seeps in the Skarke et al. (2014) database
(red circles) and the sampled seep for authigenic carbonate and mussels as a green circle. (B)
Close-up of the Norfolk seep field, using the same key as in (A). (C) NOAA image of the
plumes emanating from the seep field in 2013, with the seep sampled for this paper indicated in
yellow. (D) Left: In-situ photo of dense deep-sea mussel field of live *Bathymodiolus* sp. on
irregular blocky build-up on the seafloor. Right: In-situ photos of live *Bathymodiolus* sp. at
active seep site with visible bubble stream.

639

640 Figure 4

Photographic and petrographic thin section images (plane polarized light; 40x) of the authigenic carbonates sampled at the Norfolk seep site (dive NF-2012-14) and Baltimore Canyon seep site (dive RB-2013-682). Infilling of voids by acicular aragonite, detrital grains, organic matter, and bioclasts are noted in the matrix-supported clay to silt-size aragonite-dominated breccia. Locations of sampling sites for U-Th dates (red squares) and radiocarbon (yellow squares) are

shown for their respective locations and corresponding sample number from Tables 4 and 5.

647

# 648 **Figure 5**

649 The evolution of Baltimore Canyon (squares) and Norfolk (circles) seeps in depth-temperature

650 (P-T) space relative to the methane hydrate stability field calculated with seawater

salinity. Closed symbols are present-day depth and temperature, and open symbols denote

estimated conditions at  $15.1\pm1.6$  ka and  $3\pm1$  ka, respectively.

653

654

# 655 References

- Aharon P, Schwarcz HP, Roberts HH (1997) Radiometric dating of submarine hydrocarbon seeps in the Gulf of
   Mexico. Geol Soc Am Bull 109:568-579
- Bayon G, Henderson GM, Bohn M (2009) U–Th stratigraphy of a cold seep carbonate crust. Chem Geol 260:47-56
- Bayon G, Henderson GM, Etoubleau J, Caprais J-C, Ruffine L, Marsset T, Dennielou B, Cauquil E, Voisset M,
- Sultan N (2015) U-Th isotope constraints on gas hydrate and pockmark dynamics at the Niger delta margin. Mar
   Geol 370:87-98
- 662 Berndt C (2005) Focused fluid flow in passive continental margins. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A s 363:2855-2871
- Berndt C, Feseker T, Treude T, Krastel S, Liebetrau V, Niemann H, Bertics VJ, Dumke I, Dünnbier K, Ferré B,
   Graves C, Gross F, Hissmann K, Hühnerbach V, Krause S, Lieser K, Schauer J, Steinle L (2014) Temporal
- 665 Constraints on Hydrate-Controlled Methane Seepage off Svalbard. Science 343:284-287
- Boetius A, Wenzhöfer F (2013) Seafloor oxygen consumption fuelled by methane from cold seeps. Nature Geosci
   6:725-734
- Bohrmann G, Greinert J, Suess E, Torres M (1998) Authigenic carbonates from the Cascadia subduction zone and
   their relation to gas hydrate stability. Geology 26:647-650
- Brothers LL, Van Dover CL, German CR, Kaiser CL, Yoerger DR, Ruppel CD, Lobecker E, Skarke AD, Wagner
   JKS (2013) Evidence for extensive methane venting on the southeastern U.S. Atlantic margin. Geology 41:807 810
- Brothers DS, Luttrell KM, Chaytor JD (2013) Sea-level-induced seismicity and submarine landslide occurrence.
   Geology 41:979-982

- Brothers DS, Ruppel C, Kluesner JW, Brink US, Chaytor JD, Hill JC, Andrews BD, Flores C (2014) Seabed fluid
   expulsion along the upper slope and outer shelf of the US Atlantic continental margin. Geophys Res Lett 41:96 101
- 678 Campbell KA (2006) Hydrocarbon seep and hydrothermal vent paleoenvironments and paleontology: Past
   679 developments and future research directions. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 232:362-407
- Campbell PL, Prouty NG, Demopoulos, AWD, Roark, EB, Coykendall (2015) Lipid biomarkers and their specific
   carbon isotopic composition from cold seep community authigenic carbonates and sediments from the Mid-
- 682 Atlantic Norfolk and Baltimore Canyons. Fall Meeting Amer. Geophys. Union, OS33A-2015.
- Cheng H, Lawrence Edwards R, Shen C-C, Polyak VJ, Asmerom Y, Woodhead J, Hellstrom J, Wang Y, Kong X,
   Spötl C, Wang X, Calvin Alexander Jr E (2013) Improvements in <sup>230</sup>Th dating, <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>234</sup>U half-life values,
   and U–Th isotopic measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Earth Planet
   Sci Lett 371–372:82-91
- Condon D, Sahy D, Ruppel C, Prouty N, Noble S, Team SP (2015) Tempo and longevity of methane efflux along
   the US Atlantic margin. A Fall Meeting Amer. Geophys. Union, OS31B-07.
- Dugan B, Flemings PB (2000) Overpressure and fluid flow in the New Jersey continental slope: implications for
   slope failure and cold seeps. Science, 289, 288–9
- Dugan B, Flemings PB (2002) Fluid flow and stability of the US continental slope offshore New Jersey from the
   Pleistocene to the present. Geofluids 2:137-146
- Duperron S, Guezi H, Gaudron SM, Pop Ristova P, Wenzhöfer F, Boetius A (2011) Relative abundances of
   methane-and sulphur-oxidising symbionts in the gills of a cold seep mussel and link to their potential energy
   sources. Geobiology 9:481-491
- Embley RW (1980) The role of mass transport in the distribution and character of deep- ocean sediments with
   special reference to the North Atlantic. Marine Geology 38, 23–50.
- Feng D, Roberts HH, Cheng H, Peckmann J, Bohrmann G, Lawrence Edwards R, Chen D (2010) U/Th dating of
   cold-seep carbonates: An initial comparison. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography
   57:2055-2060
- Fischer D, Mogollón JM, Strasser M, Pape T, Bohrmann G, Fekete N, Spiess V, Kasten S. (2013) Subduction zone
   earthquake as potential trigger of submarine hydrocarbon seepage. Nature Geoscience. 1;6(8):647-51
- Forde EB, Stanley DJ, Sawyer WB, Slagle KJ (1981) Sediment transport in Washington and Norfolk submarine
   canyons. Appl Ocean Res 3:59-62
- Geist J, Auerswald K, Boom A (2005) Stable carbon isotopes in freshwater mussel shells: Environmental record or
   marker for metabolic activity? Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69:3545-3554
- Gieskes J, Mahn C, Day S, Martin JB, Greinert J, Rathburn T, McAdoo B (2005) A study of the chemistry of pore
   fluids and authigenic carbonates in methane seep environments: Kodiak Trench, Hydrate Ridge, Monterey Bay,
   and Eel River Basin. Chem Geol 220:329-345
- Goldstein SJ, Jacobsen SB (1987) The Nd and Sr isotopic systematics of river-water dissolved material: implications
   for the sources of Nd and Sr in seawater. Chem. Geol. (Isot. Geosci. Sect.) 66:245-272
- Grossman E, Ku TL (1986) Oxygen and carbon isotope fractionation in biogenic aragonite: Temperature effects.
   Isot Geosci 59:59-74
- Han X, Suess E, Liebetrau V, Eisenhauer A, Huang Y (2014) Past methane release events and environmental
  conditions at the upper continental slope of the South China Sea: constraints by seep carbonates. Int. J. Earth Sci.
  103:1873-1887
- Henderson GM, Anderson RF (2003) The U-series toolbox for paleoceanography. In Bourdon B, Henderson GM,
   Lundstrom CC, Turner SP (eds) Uranium Series Geochemistry, Rev. Mineral. Geochem, 52:493-531
- Henderson GM, Slowey NC, Haddad GA (1999) Fluid flow through carbonate platforms: constraints from
   234U/238U and Cl- in Bahamas pore waters. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 169:99-111
- Heyl TP, Gilhooly WP, Chambers RM, Gilchrist GW, Macko SA, Ruppel CD, Van Dover CL (2007)
   Characteristics of vesicomyid clams and their environment at the Blake Ridge cold seep, South Carolina, USA.
   Mar Ecol Prog Ser 339:169-184
- Hill JC, Driscoll NW, Weissel JK, Goff JA (2004) Large-scale elongated gas blowouts along the US Atlantic
   margin. J. Geophys. Res., 109, B09101, doi:10.1029/2004JB002969.
- Holden NE (1990) Total half-lives for selected nuclides. Pure Appl Chem 62(5):941-958
- Hornbach MJ, Ruppel C, Saffer DM, Van Dover CL, Holbrook WS (2005) Coupled geophysical constraints on heat
- flow and fluid flux at a salt diapir. Geophys Res Lett 32(24) doi: 10.1029/2005GL024862

- Jaffrey A, Flynn K, Glendenin L, Bentley W, Essling A (1971) Precision measurement of half-lives and specific activities of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U, Phys. Rev. C, 4(5), 1889–1906,<u>10.1103/PhysRevC.4.1889</u>.
- Joseph C, Campbell KA, Torres ME, Martin RA, Pohlman JW, Riedel M, Rose K (2013) Methane-derived
   authigenic carbonates from modern and paleoseeps on the Cascadia margin: Mechanisms of formation and
   diagenetic signals. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 390:52-67
- Lietard C, Pierre C (2008) High-resolution isotopic records ( $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C) and cathodoluminescence study of lucinid shells from methane seeps of the Eastern Mediterranean. Geo-Mar Lett:195-203
- Liebetrau V, Augustin N, Kutterolf S, Schmidt M, Eisenhauer A, Garbe-Schönberg D, Weinrebe W (2014) Cold seep-driven carbonate deposits at the Central American forearc: contrasting evolution and timing in escarpment
   and mound settings. Int. J. Earth Sci. 103:1845-1872
- Matsumoto R (1990) Vuggy carbonate crust formed by hydrocarbon seepage on the continental shelf of Baffin
   Island, northeast Canada. Geochem J 24:143-158
- McHugh CMG, Olson HC (2002) Pleistocene chronology of continental margin sedimentation:: New insights into
   traditional models, New Jersey. Mar Geol 186:389-411
- Naehr TH, Eichhubl P, Orphan VJ, Hovland M, Paull CK, Ussler Iii W, Lorenson TD, Greene HG (2007)
   Authigenic carbonate formation at hydrocarbon seeps in continental margin sediments: A comparative study.
   Deep Sea Res II 54:1268-1291
- Newman KR, Cormier M-H, Weissel JK, Driscoll NW, Kastner M, Solomon EA, Robertson G, Hill JC, Singh H,
   Camilli R (2008) Active methane venting observed at giant pockmarks along the US mid-Atlantic shelf break.
   Earth Planet Sci Lett 267:341-352
- NOAA (2012) NOAA explorers discover deepwater gas seeps off U.S. Atlantic coast. Press Release
   (http://www.noaanews.noaa.gov/stories2012/20121219\_gas\_seeps.html)
- Obelcz J, Brothers D, Chaytor J, Brink Ut, Ross SW, Brooke S (2014) Geomorphic characterization of four shelf sourced submarine canyons along the U.S. Mid-Atlantic continental margin. Deep Sea Res II 104:106-119
- Olsson, IU (1970) The use of Oxalic acid as a Standard. In I.U. Olsson, ed., Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute
   Chronology, *Nobel Symposium*, *12th Proc.*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, p. 17.
- Orcutt BN, Sylvan JB, Knab NJ, Edwards KJ (2011) Microbial ecology of the dark ocean above, at, and below the
   seafloor. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75:361-422
- Orphan VJ, Ussler W, Naehr TH, House CH, Hinrichs KU, Paull CK (2004) Geological, geochemical, and
   microbiological heterogeneity of the seafloor around methane vents in the Eel River Basin, offshore California.
   Chem Geol 205:265-289
- Paull CK, Ussler W, Borowski WS, Spiess FN (1995) Methane-rich plumes on the Carolina continental rise:
   Associations with gas hydrates. Geology 23:89-92
- Poag CW (1992) U.S. Middle Atlantic Continental Rise: Provenance, Dispersal, and Deposition of Jurassic to
   Quaternary Sediments. In: Poag CW, Graciansky PC (eds) Geologic Evolution of Atlantic Continental Rises.
   Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, pp100-156
- Pohlman JW, Kaneko M, Heuer VB, Coffin RB, Whiticar M (2009) Methane sources and production in the northern
   Cascadia margin gas hydrate system. Earth Planet Sci Lett 287:504-512
- Pohlman, J., C. Ruppel, R. Colwell, S. Krause, T. Treude, M. Graw, M. Casso, L.-G. Boze, B. Buczkowki, and D.
   Brankovits, 2015, Sediment and water column chemistry related to methane seepage along the northern US
   Atlantic margin, Fall Meeting Amer. Geophys. Union, OS33A-1992.
- Prouty, NG, Swarzenski, Mienis, F., Davies, A.J., Demopoulos, A., Condon, D. Ross, S, and Brooke, S. (2015)
   Sources of Organic Matter to Deep-sea Corals Living in Submarine Canyons of the Mid-Atlantic Bight Region,
   U.S. Aquatic Sciences ASLO Meeting, 27449
- Quattrini AM, Nizinski MS, Chaytor JD, Demopoulos AWD, Roark EB, France SC, Moore JA, Heyl TP, Auster PJ,
   Kinlan B, Ruppel C, Elliott KP, Kennedy BRC, Lobecker E, Skarke A, Shank T (2015) Exploration of the
   Canyon-Incised Continental Margin of the Northeastern United States Reveals Dynamic Habitats and Diverse
   Communities. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0139904. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139904
- Robinson FL, Belshaw NS, Henderson GM (2004) U and Th concentrations and isotope ratios in modern carbonates
   and waters from the Bahamas. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 68:1777-1789
- Ruppel C, Kluesner JW, Danforth W (2015a) Imaging Methane Seeps and Plumes on the U.S. Atlantic Margin
   Sound Waves March June 2015. U.S. Geological Survey http://soundwaves.usgs.gov/2015/06/fieldwork3.html
- Ruppel, C, Kluesner, JW, Pohlman J, Brothers D, Colwell F, Krause S. and Treude T. (2015b) Methane Hydrate
   Dynamics on the US Atlantic Margin. DOE Fire-in-the -Ice 15(2): 10-13.

- Sample JC, Reid MR, Tobin HJ, Moore JC (1993) Carbonate cements indicate channeled fluid flow along a zone of
   vertical faults at the deformation front of the Cascadia accretionary wedge (northwest U.S. coast). Geology
   21:507-510
- Schöne BR, Giere O (2005) Growth increment and stable isotope variation in shells of the deep-sea hydrothermal
   vent bivalve mollusk *Bathymodiolus brevior* from the North Fiji Basin Pacific Ocean. Deep Sea Res I 52:1896–
   1910
- Skarke A, Ruppel C, Kodis M, Brothers D, Lobecker E (2014) Widespread methane leakage from the seafloor on
   the northern US Atlantic margin. Nature Geosci 7:657-661
- 791 Stuiver M, Polach HA (1977) Discussion reporting of <sup>14</sup>C data. Radiocarbon 19:355-363
- Suess E (2014) Marine cold seeps and their manifestations: geological control, biogeochemical criteria and
   environmental conditions. Int. J. Earth Sci. 103:1889-1916
- Teichert BMA, Eisenhauer A, Bohrmann G, Haase-Schramm A, Bock B, Linke P (2003) U/Th systematics and ages
   of authigenic carbonates from Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia Margin: recorders of fluid flow variations. Geochim
   Cosmochim Acta 67:3845-3857
- ten Brink US, Chaytor JD, Geist EL, Brothers DS, Andrews BD (2014) Assessment of tsunami hazard to the U.S.
   Atlantic margin. Mar Geol 353:31-54
- Van Dover CL, Aharon P, Bernhard JM, Caylor E, Doerries M, Flickinger W, Gilhooly W, Goffredi SK, Knick KE,
   Macko SA, Rapoport S, Raulfs EC, Ruppel C, Salerno JL, Seitz RD, Sen Gupta BK, Shank T, Turnipseed M,
   Vrijenhoek R (2003) Blake Ridge methane seeps: characterization of a soft-sediment, chemosynthetically based
   ecosystem. Deep Sea Res I 50:281-300
- Veloso M, Greinert J, Mienert J, De Batist M (2015), A new methodology for quantifying bubble flow rates in deep
   water using splitbeam echosounders: Examples from the Arctic offshore NW-Svalbard. Limnol Oceanogr:
   Methods, 13: 267–287. doi: 10.1002/lom3.10024
- Vogel JS, Southon JR, Nelson DE (1987) Catalyst and binder effects in the use of filamentous graphite for AMS.
   Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. 29:50-56
- Weinstein A, Navarrete L, Leonte M, Weber T, Ruppel C, Kellermann M, Arrington E, Valentine DL, Chepigin A,
   Rosemore B, Green A, Du M., Scranton MI, Kessler JD (2016) Determining the flux of methane into the
- 810 Hudson Canyon at the edge of methane clathrate hydrate stability. Geophysical Research Letters, in review 811 Westbrook GK, Thatcher KE, Rohling EJ, Piotrowski AM, Pälike H, Osborne AH, Nisbet EG, Minshull TA,
- Lanoisellé M, James RH (2009) Escape of methane gas from the seabed along the West Spitsbergen continental
   margin. Geophys Res Lett 36
- Yamanaka T, Mizota C, Fujiwara Y, Chiba H, Hashimoto J, Gamo T, Okudaira T (2003) Sulphur-isotopic
   composition of the deep-sea mussel Bathymodiolus marisindicus from currently active hydrothermal vents in the
   Indian Ocean. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 83:841-848
- 817
- 818

Tables

	Sedi	iment	Cem	ent	Groundmass		
	Baltimore			Baltimore	Norfolk	Baltimore	
	Norfolk	Canyon	Norfolk	Canyon		Canyon	
Mineral	-	-	Aragonite	Aragonite	Aragonite	Aragonite	
%CaCO <sub>3</sub>	13.9±6.5	3.3	97.2	85.1	72.5	47.6	
%C <sub>org</sub>	3.7±1.4	$0.64 \pm 0.14$	0.16	0.28	0.27	0.39	
				-			
$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)	-31.9±9.0	$-23.4\pm3.0$	-47.3±0.16	49.2±0.21	-44.3±0.07	-47.7±0.92	
δ <sup>18</sup> O (‰)	-	-	$3.84 \pm 0.07$	4.35±0.06	3.78±0.03	$3.54 \pm 0.04$	
$^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$	-	-	0.70917	0.70918	0.70920	0.70924	

# Table 1

Mineralogy (dominant carbonate phase), stable isotope, and percent calcium carbonate and organic carbon of authigenic carbonate cement and groundmass and sediment collected at the Norfolk and Baltimore canyons seep sites. Average values reported ±1 standard deviation.

	Mussel		Mussel			
	Carbonate Shell		Periostracum	Seawater		
	Norfolk	Baltimore	Norfolk	Norfolk	Baltimore	
		Canyon			Canyon	
δ <sup>13</sup> C (‰)	-2.59±1.68 (-7.10±3.20)	-6.84±1.97	-56.99±12.8	$0.90 \pm 0.06$	-	
min	-6.53 (-16.74)	-10.91	-70.66	0.86		
max	0.19 (-3.34)	-3.39	-29.92	0.94		
$\delta^{18}O$ (‰)	3.71±0.25 (3.82±0.39)	2.57±0.28	-	0.34±0.1	0.53±0.1	
min	3.11 (3.46)	2.06				
max	4.19 (5.13)	3.59				
<sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr	0.70920	-	-	0.70917	-	
min	0.70918			0.70915		
max	0.70921			0.70920		

# Table 2

Geochemical composition of the *Bathymodiolus* sp. shell carbonate and periostracum material and seawater for carbon ( $\pm 0.04\%$ ), oxygen ( $\pm 0.06\%$ ), and strontium isotope ratios ( $\pm 0.00002$ ) for samples collected only at Norfolk. Average and standard deviations are reported. Ranges of values are also reported as minimum and maximum values; values in parenthesis are for dead specimens collected at Norfolk.

	Gill		Periostracum		Sediment	
			Norfolk			Baltimore Canyon
	Norfolk Seep	Baltimore Canyon	Seep	Norfolk Seep	Norfolk Seep	
Average (± $\sigma$ )	16.58±3.37	14.38±5.15	16.63±4.01	13.89±1.24	5.53±2.16	2.42±3.62
Range	8.65-21.55	-4.07-18.13	8.82-22.65	13.01-14.77	2.98-8.20	-2.62-6.30
n	23	20	28	2	4	5

Table 3 Sulfur isotope ( $\delta^{34}$ S; ‰) mussel gill and periostracum from *Bathymodiolus* sp. specimens collected at the Norfolk and Baltimore Canyon seep sites and adjacent seep sediment. The average, 1 standard deviation, and ranges of  $\delta^{34}$ S values are reported.

Station no.	Sample ID	Seep Field Site	Sample type	Fm	±Fm Err	Age	Age Err	$\Delta^{14}C$ (‰)	$\pm \Delta^{14}$ C Err
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-1	Norfolk Canyon	authigenic carbonate-groundmass	0.1136	0.0010	17480	80	-887.3	1.0
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-2	Norfolk Canyon	authigenic carbonate -cement	0.1046	0.0010	18140	80	-896.2	1.0
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-3	Norfolk Canyon	authigenic carbonate -cement	0.1175	0.0010	17200	80	-883.4	1.0
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-4	Norfolk Canyon	authigenic carbonate -cement	0.0925	0.0010	19120	90	-908.2	1.0
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-5	Norfolk Canyon	shell in authigenic carbonate	0.6094	0.0013	3980	20	-395.3	1.3
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-682-6	Norfolk Canyon	shell in authigenic carbonate	0.6112	0.0013	3955	20	-393.5	1.3
NF-12-ROV-14	NF-12-14-1	Baltimore Canyon	authigenic carbonate-groundmass	0.0670	0.0010	21710	130	-933.5	1.0
NF-12-ROV-14	NF-12-14-2	Baltimore Canyon	authigenic carbonate -cement	0.0414	0.0010	25570	210	-958.9	1.0
NF-12-ROV-14	NF-12-14-3	Baltimore Canyon	authigenic carbonate -cement	0.2617	0.0011	10770	35	-740.3	1.1
RB-13-ROV-689	RB-13-ROV-689-M6	Baltimore Canyon	mussel shell (alive)	0.8782	0.0017	1045	20	-128.6	1.7
RB-13-ROV-689	RB-13-ROV-689-M6 (rep)	Baltimore Canyon	mussel shell (alive)	0.8747	0.0017	1075	20	-132.0	1.7
RB-13-ROV-689	RB-13-ROV-689-M10	Baltimore Canyon	mussel shell (alive)	0.7859	0.0015	1935	20	-220.2	1.5
RB-13-ROV-687	RB-13-ROV-687	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8114	0.0016	1680	20	-194.9	1.6
RB-13-ROV-687	RB-13-ROV-687 (rep)	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8078	0.0017	1715	20	-198.5	1.7
RB-13-ROV-683	RB-13-ROV-683-Q16A	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.7802	0.0015	1995	20	-225.8	1.5
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682-MQ9	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8558	0.0021	1250	20	-150.8	2.1
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682- MQ8	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.9094	0.0017	765	15	-97.6	1.7
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8667	0.0018	1150	20	-140.0	1.8
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8632	0.0021	1180	20	-143.5	2.1
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682 10% HCl*	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8622	0.0018	1190	20	-144.5	1.8
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682 10% HCl*	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (dead)	0.8624	0.0018	1190	20	-144.2	1.8
RB-13-ROV-683	RB-13-ROV-683-M3	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (alive)	0.8937	0.0017	905	20	-113.2	1.7
RB-13-ROV-683	RB-13-ROV-683-M17	Norfolk Canyon	mussel shell (alive)	0.8898	0.0018	940	20	-117.1	1.8
RB-13-ROV-683	RB-13-ROV-683-49	Norfolk Canyon	seawater	0.9837	0.0037	130	30	-23.7	1.7
RB-13-ROV-682	RB-13-ROV-682-2	Norfolk Canyon	seawater	0.9829	0.0027	140	20	-24.6	1.8

# Table 4

Summary data results for radiocarbon analysis including ROV station number (Station no.), sample identification (Sample ID), laboratory identification number (Lab ID), seep field, sample type, fraction modern (Fm) relative to standard, fraction modern error, <sup>14</sup>C age, <sup>14</sup>C age error,  $\Delta^{14}$ C value as defined in Stuiver and Polach (1977), and  $\Delta^{14}$ C error. Fraction Modern (Fm) is a measurement

of the deviation of the  ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$  ratio of a sample from "Modern." Modern is defined as 95% of the radiocarbon concentration (in AD 1950) of NBS Oxalic Acid I normalized to  $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ =-19 per mil (Olsson 1970). \*Samples pretreated with 10% HCl

				MEASURED			CORRECTED					
Sample Name	Wt (mg)	U (ppm)	232Th (ppb)	$\frac{^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}}{\text{AR}_{(a)}}$	$^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U AR <sub>(a)</sub>	<sup>230</sup> Th/ <sup>238</sup> U AR <sub>(a)</sub>	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U AR <sub>(a)</sub>	<sup>230</sup> Th/ <sup>238</sup> U AR <sub>(b)</sub>	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U AR <sub>(b)</sub>	Rho 08-48	Age (ka)	<sup>234</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> Ui AR
Carbonate samples												
Norfolk Canyon												
RB-13-682 D	8.8	$3.223 \pm 0.037$	$271 \pm 3$	$2.413 \pm 0.015$	$0.02766 \pm 0.00002$	$0.0668 \pm 0.0004$	$1.143 \pm 0.001$	$0.027 \pm 0.015$	$1.146\pm0.005$	0.236	$2.6 \pm 1.4$	$1.147 \pm 0.006$
RB-13-682 E	16.6	$3.393 \pm 0.021$	$261 \pm 2$	$2.776\pm0.012$	$0.02524 \pm 0.00002$	$0.0701 \pm 0.0003$	$1.143 \pm 0.001$	$0.034 \pm 0.013$	$1.146\pm0.005$	0.238	$3.3 \pm 1.3$	$1.147 \pm 0.005$
RB-13-682 F	12.3	$3.427\pm0.028$	$65 \pm 1$	$3.844\pm0.028$	$0.00623 \pm 0.00001$	$0.0240 \pm 0.0002$	$1.145 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.003$	$1.145\pm0.002$	0.149	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.146\pm0.002$
RB-13-682 G	17.2	$4.209\pm0.025$	$209 \pm 1$	$2.218\pm0.012$	$0.01632 \pm 0.00001$	$0.0362 \pm 0.0002$	$1.143\pm0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.009$	$1.145\pm0.003$	0.223	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.145\pm0.003$
RB-13-682 H	14.2	$3.670{\pm}\ 0.026$	$160 \pm 1$	$2.231\pm0.014$	$0.01435 \pm 0.00001$	$0.0320 \pm 0.0002$	$1.143\pm0.001$	$0.011\pm0.008$	$1.145\pm0.003$	0.218	$1.0 \pm 0.7$	$1.145\pm0.003$
Baltimore Canyon												
NF12-14 D	13.0	$3.894\pm0.030$	$344 \pm 3$	$5.835\pm0.017$	$0.02899 \pm 0.00002$	$0.1692 \pm 0.0006$	$1.139\pm0.001$	$0.145\pm0.009$	$1.143\pm0.005$	0.301	$14.8\pm1.0$	$1.149\pm0.006$
NF12-14 E	4.7	$4.073\pm0.087$	$241 \pm 5$	$8.585\pm0.036$	$0.01941 \pm 0.00001$	$0.1667 \pm 0.0008$	$1.140\pm0.002$	$0.151\pm0.006$	$1.142\pm0.004$	0.283	$15.4\pm0.6$	$1.148\pm0.004$
NF12-14 F	6.4	$4.592\pm0.072$	$268 \pm 4$	$8.342\pm0.029$	$0.01916 \pm 0.00001$	$0.1598{\pm}\ 0.0006$	$1.141\pm0.001$	$0.144\pm0.006$	$1.143\pm0.004$	0.283	$14.7\pm0.6$	$1.149\pm0.004$
NF12-14 G	4.1	$4.588\pm0.112$	$433 \pm 11$	$5.682\pm0.023$	$0.03103 \pm 0.00002$	$0.1763 \pm 0.0008$	$1.139\pm0.002$	$0.151\pm0.010$	$1.142\pm0.006$	0.299	$15.4\pm1.0$	$1.148\pm0.006$
NF12-14 H	6.9	$4.110 \pm 0.060$	$586\pm9$	$4.087\pm0.013$	$0.04681 \pm 0.00002$	$0.1913 \pm 0.0007$	$1.136 \pm 0.002$	$0.153 \pm 0.015$	$1.141 \pm 0.009$	0.311	$15.7\pm1.6$	$1.147\pm0.009$
Detritus samples												
Norfolk Canyon												
RB13-D682-PC03 A	77.1	$1.959\pm0.003$	$8167 \pm 14$	$1.509\pm0.003$	$1.36986 \pm 0.00113$	$2.0674 \pm 0.0052$	$0.989\pm0.001$					
RB13-D682-PC03 B	142.9	$1.764\pm0.002$	$7403\pm12$	$1.492\pm0.003$	$1.37909 \pm 0.00172$	$2.0576 \pm 0.0057$	$0.989\pm0.001$					
RB13-D682-PC03 C	134.6	$1.858\pm0.002$	$7865 \pm 27$	$1.467\pm0.007$	$1.39116 \pm 0.00451$	$2.0403 \pm 0.0089$	$0.990\pm0.001$					
RB13-D682-PC04 A	75.6	$2.165\pm0.003$	$7166 \pm 12$	$1.588\pm0.003$	$1.08744 \pm 0.00082$	$1.7272 \pm 0.0042$	$1.012\pm0.001$					
RB13-D682-PC04 B	82.1	$2.486\pm0.003$	$8597 \pm 15$	$1.556\pm0.004$	$1.13645 \pm 0.00125$	$1.7682 \pm 0.0050$	$1.008\pm0.001$					
Baltimore Canyon												
NF12-14 1	11.1	$2.756\pm0.025$	$18081 \pm 164$	$0.680\pm0.001$	$2.15602 \pm 0.00178$	$1.4664 \pm 0.0037$	$0.993\pm0.001$					
NF12-14 2	15.0	$1.990\pm0.013$	$8551\pm57$	$0.936\pm0.002$	$1.41179 \pm 0.00069$	$1.3208 \pm 0.0034$	$0.995\pm0.001$					
NF12-071-185 B	126.5	$1.118\pm0.001$	$4119\pm9$	$1.118\pm0.005$	$1.21060 \pm 0.00220$	$1.3530 \pm 0.0058$	$1.000\pm0.001$					
NF12-071-185 C	107.6	$1.237 \pm 0.001$	$5799\pm8$	$0.843\pm0.002$	$1.54106 \pm 0.00123$	$1.2984 \pm 0.0035$	$0.994 \pm 0.001$					

# Table 5

Summary data for measured U-Th data for authigenic carbonate samples and activity ratios (AR) used for age calculation and U-Th ages. All activity ratios were calculated using  $\lambda^{230}$ =9.17050E-6,  $\lambda^{234}$ =2.82206E-6 (Cheng et al., 2013),  $\lambda^{232}$ =4.93343E-11 (Holden et al., 1990),  $\lambda^{238}$ =1.55125E-10 (Jaffey et al., 1971), (a) - Activity ratios corrected for hydride formation, tailing, fractionation, SEM-Faraday yield, and tracer isotopic composition, (b) Corrected using average measured detrital U and Th isotopic compositions for the Norfolk Canyon (( $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U) = 1.38, ( $^{230}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U) = 2.05 and ( $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U) = 0.99, n=3) and Baltimore Canyon (( $^{232}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U) = 1.39, ( $^{230}$ Th/ $^{238}$ U) = 1.32 and ( $^{234}$ U/ $^{238}$ U) = 1.00, n=3) sites with all uncertainties arbitrarily set at ± 25% (see main text section 3.5 for details)



Figure 1, Prouty et al.



Figure 2, Prouty et al.





# Figure 4, Prouty et al.

Baltimore Canyon (NF-2012-14)







Norfolk (RB-2013-682)

CM



Figure 5, Prouty et al.





Figure S3, Prouty et al.



Insights into methane dynamics from analysis of authigenic carbonates and chemosynthetic mussels at newly-discovered Atlantic Margin seeps

Prouty et al.,

## **Supplementary Material**

#### 1. U-Th analytical protocol

Analytical protocols for U-Th dating were aimed at ensuring: (i) complete dissolution of the detrital material incorporated into the authigenic carbonates, and (ii) oxidation of organic material liable to produce isobaric interferences during measurements of Th isotope ratios (Shen et al. 2002). Carbonate samples were dissolved in 8M HNO<sub>3</sub>, spiked with a mixed <sup>229</sup>Th-<sup>236</sup>U tracer, left to equilibrate overnight, and dried. All evaporation steps took place in a closed EvapoClean device, in order to minimise cross-contamination and reduce fall-in blanks. To ensure total dissolution of detrital mineral, samples were refluxed in a mixture of 11 M HClO<sub>4</sub>: 29 M HF : 16 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (1:2:2.5), for 1-7 days, using ~50 µl HF per mg of material. Following evaporation to dryness, samples went through two overnight oxidation steps in 2 ml 16M HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.2 ml 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Preconcentration of U and Th through Fe co-precipitation and initial separation of U and Th on 0.6 ml columns using AG-1 x 8 anion exchange resin followed (Edwards et al. 1987). Th fractions were further purified using a second pass through AG-1 x 8 resin, and were filtered using 0.22 µm syringe filters to remove resin particles. Both U and Th fractions were oxidised twice in 2 ml 16M HNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.2 ml 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and dissolved in 1 ml 0.1M HCl and 0.035 M HF. Prior to mass spectrometry, all samples were filtered to remove particles originating from the FEP beakers used for sample preparation.

Isotope ratio measurements were made on a Thermo Neptune Plus multi-collector ICP-MS, with samples introduced via an Aridus II desolvating nebuliser. U and Th were measured separately, using an X skimmer cone coupled with normal and Jet sample cones respectively. Measurements were made using static multicollector data collection protocols with <sup>234</sup>U and <sup>230</sup>Th measured on an axial

secondary electron multiplier (SEM) and the remaining isotopes (<sup>233</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>236</sup>U, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>229</sup>Th, <sup>232</sup>Th respectively) measured on Faraday cups equipped with 10<sup>11</sup>  $\Omega$  resistors. SEM/Faraday gain and exponential mass fractionation were monitored and corrected for via a sample-standard bracketing approach using CRM 112a U, and mixed CRM 112a U + IRMM 3636 spike for U, and an in-house <sup>229</sup>Th-<sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>232</sup>Th reference solution calibrated against CRM 112a for Th. Hydride formation and tailing were monitored at the beginning of each analytical session, with measurements made at mass 237 and 239 while aspirating an unspiked CRM 112a solution, and were corrected off-line. Laboratory blanks (2.3 x 10<sup>-11</sup>g <sup>238</sup>U, 6.3 x 10<sup>-12 232</sup>Th) were negligible compared to sample size for all isotopes except <sup>230</sup>Th, for which procedural blanks amounted to 2.6 ± 0.7 fg resulting in sample/blank ratios of 5-29 (with a mean of 20) for the analyzed authigenic carbonate samples. Consequently, a blank correction was applied by subtracting the mean <sup>230</sup>Th signal of three un-spiked total procedural blanks from each analyzed sample.

### 2. Calculated detritus U-Th ratios at Norfolk Canyon and Baltimore Canyon

At Norfolk Canyon, two of the five analysed detritus samples were considered unsuitable for use as the basis of a detrital correction, as they exhibited  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  values above 1, which indicates the presence of some proportion of authigenic and/or biogenic carbonate of unknown age (but too young to have reached secular equilibrium). The remaining three samples gave mean activity ratios of  $(^{232}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})=1.380$  ( $\pm 1.55\%$ ),  $(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})=2.055$  ( $\pm 1.33\%$ ) and  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})=0.99$  ( $\pm 0.32\%$ ). Given that mean values are based on only three analyses, they are unlikely to encompass the full spectrum of carbonate-free detrital U and Th compositions available at the Norfolk Canyon site. Consequently, in order to ensure that corrected age uncertainties remain at a realistic level, the detrital correction applied at Norfolk Canyon is based on the nominal mean values of detrital samples appear to be free of young carbonate material on the basis of their ( $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ) values. One sample exhibited an atomic Th/U ratio of 6, significantly higher than other detritus samples which clustered around 3-4 at both sites, possibly due to the presence of Th-rich minerals, such as monazite, or

detrital grains with Fe-oxide coating, which preferentially incorporates Th. The remaining three samples gave mean activity ratios of  $(^{232}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})=1.387 (\pm 24\%)$ ,  $(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U})=1.324 (\pm 4.14\%)$  and  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}) = 0.996 (\pm 0.61\%)$ . To ensure consistency with the approach used at Norfolk Canyon, the detrital correction used for the Baltimore Canyon carbonate samples was also based on mean nominal activity ratios, and associated uncertainties of  $\pm 25\%$ .

# References:

 Edwards RL, Chen JH, Wasserburg GJ (1987) <sup>238</sup>U-<sup>234</sup>U-<sup>230</sup>Th-<sup>232</sup>Th Systematics and the Precise Measurement of Time over the Past 500000 Years. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 81:175-192
 Shen C-C, Lawrence Edwards R, Cheng H, Dorale JA, Thomas RB, Bradley Moran S, Weinstein SE, Edmonds HN (2002) Uranium and thorium isotopic and concentration measurements by magnetic sector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Chem Geol 185:165-178

#### Figure S1

Mineralogical composition of the groundmass and carbonate from the authigenic carbonates collected from the Norfolk seep (dive NF-2012-14) and Baltimore Canyon seep (dive RB-2013-682) sites based on X-ray diffraction (XRD). Semi-quantitative mineral percentages were determined by multiplying unique peak intensities for each mineral in a sample by intensity factors relative to quartz as 1. The products for all minerals in each sample were then summed to 100%. Stable carbon isotope ( $\delta^{13}C$ ; ‰) and percent calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) are noted for the cement and groundmass.

## Figure S2

Lifespan carbonate stable carbon isotope ( $\delta^{13}$ C) variability of a mussel collected alive from the Virginia seep field at 1457 m. Subsampling of shell for two transects from the umbo to the ventral margin.

#### Figure S3

A – Rosholt type diagram showing a comparison between U-Th data from this study and other occurrences of methane-related authigenic carbonates. The offset between data from Norfolk Canyon and Baltimore Canyon indicates that initial Th incorporated in the carbonate samples had different

 $(^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th})$  signatures at the two localities, which is consistent with U-Th data from measured detritus samples. B –  $(^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}) - (^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  evolution diagram showing that carbonate samples crystallized from fluids with initial  $(^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U})$  similar to the 1.1466 mean moderns seawater value (Robinson et al., 2004).