



LJMU Research Online

O'Regan, HJ, Wilkinson, DM and marston, CG

Hominin home ranges and habitat variability: exploring modern African analogues using remote sensing

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/3897/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

O'Regan, HJ, Wilkinson, DM and marston, CG (2016) Hominin home ranges and habitat variability: exploring modern African analogues using remote sensing. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 9. pp. 238-248. ISSN 2352-409X

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **Hominin home ranges and habitat variability: exploring modern African analogues using remote**
2 **sensing**

3

4 **Authors:** Hannah J. O'Regan¹, David M. Wilkinson², Christopher G. Marston³

5

6 ¹Department of Archaeology, School of Humanities, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7
7 2RD, UK.

8 ² School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 3AF,
9 UK.

10 ³ Department of Geography, Edge Hill University, Ormskirk, Lancashire, L39 4QP, UK

11

12

13

14 **Abstract**

15 The palaeoanthropological literature contains numerous examples of putative home range sizes
16 associated with various hominin species. However, the resolution of the palaeoenvironmental
17 record seldom allows the quantitative analysis of the effects of different range sizes on access to
18 different habitat types and resources. Here we develop a novel approach of using remote sensing
19 data of modern African vegetation as an analogue for past hominin habitats, and examine the
20 effects of different range sizes on the access to habitat types. We show that when the location of the
21 ranges are chosen randomly then the number of habitat types within a range is surprisingly scale
22 invariant – that is increasing range size makes only a very modest difference to the number of
23 habitat types within an estimated hominin home range. However, when transects are placed
24 perpendicular to a water body (such as a lake or river bank) it is apparent that the greatest number
25 of habitats are seen near water bodies, and decline with distance. This suggests additional
26 advantages to living by freshwater other than the obvious one associated with access to drinking
27 water, and may indicate that the finding of hominins in fluvial and lacustrine deposits is not simply a
28 taphonomic issue.

29

30 **Introduction**

31 In the nineteenth and early twentieth century relatively little emphasis was given to the
32 environmental context in studies of human evolution – this started to change in the 1930’s around
33 the time of the ‘evolutionary synthesis’ (Bowler, 1986). While there is now some consensus in the
34 literature that many early hominins in Africa lived in mosaic habitats (see Reynolds et al. (2015) for a
35 review and history of this terminology), little work has been undertaken on how variable habitats
36 might have been within hominin home ranges. While site-level analyses can produce highly detailed
37 results (e.g. Kroll and Isaac, 1984; Magill et al. 2016), and analyses integrating climate and
38 palaeoproxies have been undertaken at a continental scale (e.g. Blome et al., 2012), very little
39 detailed landscape reconstruction has been attempted at the level of individual hominins or their
40 social groups. Here we take a novel approach to hominin spatial ecology by using remote sensing to
41 quantify patterns in the vegetation of modern Africa at hominin-relevant scales, to examine the
42 distribution and habitat variability that may have been encountered in the past. Such an approach
43 has the obvious disadvantage of characterising the modern vegetation, rather than the vegetation at
44 the time of interest for any given past hominin species. However, it does allow variation to be
45 quantified at a far greater spatial and narrower temporal scale than is possible based on
46 palaeoenvironmental proxies such as pollen, pedogenic carbonate analysis or phytoliths (although
47 these can be incorporated, see below), or from traditional field-based approaches. We explore
48 these advantages here, using data from seven separate regions of sub-Saharan Africa to quantify
49 habitat variability at a variety of hominin-relevant scales.

50 We are particularly considering the range sizes and habitat variability associated with various species
51 of *Australopithecus* and early *Homo*, although the methods are equally applicable to earlier and later
52 hominin taxa. While it is obvious that hominins lived on and within the landscape, we have few tools
53 at our disposal to examine exactly how different habitat types may have influenced their
54 movements. Suggested key characteristics are the presence of water (Ashley et al. 2009; Finlayson,
55 2014; Quinn et al. 2013), trees for shade (Habermann et al., 2016), and river cobbles or outcrops for
56 tool making (Harmand, 2009). It is rare, however, to have stone tools or cutmarked bones directly
57 associated with palaeoenvironmental proxies that can be used to reconstruct that exact location
58 (although FLK *Zinj* may be a notable exception, Magill et al. 2016). Rather than seeking to
59 reconstruct a particular place at a specific point in time (which can usually only be achieved on a
60 scale of tens to hundreds of metres, rather than the kilometres that hominins are likely to have
61 ranged), we are examining vegetation at a larger scale to look for physical patterns – such as the
62 number of different habitat types a hominin may have encountered in a daily round, or even within

63 their lifetime. If the presence of a number of different habitat types such as trees, bushes, water,
64 swamp or bare rock was important to hominins, then we can examine how likely it is that such
65 variability is would have been encountered on a regular basis or at specific locations (such as
66 riversides) using vegetation classifications derived from modern remote sensing.

67 This new approach allows us to consider, for measures identified in the fossil record (e.g. % canopy
68 cover, Cerling et al. 2011; Quinn et al., 2013) and vegetation patchiness (i.e. 'mosaic' habitats), how
69 the land cover in modern Africa varies on a number of hominin-relevant scales. Questions such as
70 'how many vegetation types would typically be found within the putative range size of a given
71 hominin species and how does this number vary as range size increases (or decreases)?'. In this
72 paper we set out the basic ideas of this approach – which is intended to be complimentary to, rather
73 than replacing, existing ways of addressing these questions. We use our data to address two specific
74 points:

75 1) We look at randomly placed home ranges and calculate land cover within them. This
76 allows us to quantify the effect of increasing range size on access to different vegetation types.

77 2) We focus on water, and examine how land cover and patchiness change as one moves
78 away from water sources.

79 Note that we are not attempting to reconstruct past environments, rather quantifying the landscape
80 as it is today (with adjustment for anthropogenic change, see methods) and using this as a surrogate
81 for the unquantifiable spatial variation of the past. We also provide, as an illustrative example of the
82 ways in which this approach could be developed, a brief case study which compares data from our
83 analysis with data gained from pedogenic carbonates from East African hominin localities.

84 *Home ranges*

85 A home range may be defined as a circumscribed area in which an individual spends much of its life,
86 and contains the requisite resources (food, water, shelter and conspecifics to mate with) (Barnard,
87 1999). This is somewhat different to a territory, which is the section of a home range that is actively
88 defended (Manning and Dawkins, 2012). A territory may cover the entire home range or be
89 restricted to around a particular resource, such as nesting site. Barnard (1999) points out that home
90 range size is not always easy to quantify for extant animals, and it is even harder to infer for extinct
91 taxa. However, there are some general rules than can be applied; for example, home range size (or
92 feeding territory size) tends to be scaled with body mass (Clutton-Brock and Harvey, 1984). This is
93 unsurprising as not only do larger animals require more physical space but they also require more
94 food than smaller animals (McNab, 2012).

95 Following from these patterns established for extant species, for hominins an increase in range size
96 has been inferred from increasing carnivory (Foley, 2001), a direct result of increasing body size and
97 dietary quality (Leonard and Robertson, 2000, and see below). However, it has proved difficult to
98 gain accurate estimates of home range size, even using modern isotopic techniques (e.g. Copeland
99 et al. 2011), so we have used a variety of measures based on archaeological information and
100 estimates based on data from extant human groups or other animals.

101

102 **Methods**

103 *Calculating landcover*

104 To quantify land cover heterogeneity in a variety of modern African landscapes, we analysed seven
105 Landsat ETM+ satellite image pairs ranging in latitude from Ethiopia to South Africa, and in habitat
106 types from forest to semi-desert (Fig. 1). These were chosen from a larger study of sub-Saharan
107 Africa land cover, in which sites were selected randomly and from these we chose seven sites that we
108 considered representative of the main habitats and geographical locations most often discussed in
109 studies of early human evolution in Africa.

110 Due to the highly seasonal nature of many African landscapes as a result of climate and rainfall
111 patterns, both wet and dry season Landsat ETM+ satellite imagery was used in combination to
112 generate a single land cover classification for each study area. This enabled land cover classes
113 present, or only able to be discriminated, at certain times of the year, such as seasonal water to be
114 identified. A number of image pre-processing steps were performed on the images using ERDAS
115 IMAGINE 2010 to ensure data quality was maintained. These included: error detection and
116 recording; cloud and cloud shadow masking; image geometric accuracy checking; atmospheric
117 correction; and finally compositing the wet and dry season images into a single dual-date composite
118 image (Morton et al., 2011). For both the wet and dry season Landsat ETM+ images spectral bands 1
119 (0.45-0.52 μm wavelength), 2 (0.52-0.60 μm), 3 (0.63-0.69 μm), 4 (0.77-0.90 μm), 5 (1.55-1.75 μm)
120 and 7 (2.09-2.35 μm) were used to enable characterisation of the varying wavelength-dependent
121 spectral response of land surface features. Band 6 (thermal, 10.40-12.5 μm) was used only during
122 the cloud masking stage, and was not included in the final composited image. The composite images
123 were projected in the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) WGS84 coordinate system.

124 An unsupervised pixel-based classification technique with post-classification refinement was used to
125 generate land cover maps of the study areas. Unsupervised classification algorithms aggregate all
126 pixels within an image into groupings based on the spectral characteristics of those pixels, with the

127 clustering process controlled by predetermined parameters for numbers of iterations and classes
128 generated (Loveland and Belward, 1997). Unsupervised classification techniques are well established
129 for land cover mapping applications, and have been used in the production of regional and global
130 land cover maps (Loveland et al., 2000; McGwire et al, 1992; Fleischmann and Walsh, 1991). An
131 unsupervised classification generating 75 spectral classes was produced for the composite image
132 using the Iterative Self-Organising Data Analysis Technique (ISODATA) (Bezdek, 1973). This large
133 number of classes was used to minimise the problem of split land cover class spectral clusters
134 (Horner et al., 1997; Wayman et al., 2001).

135 High-resolution satellite imagery of the study areas available via public portals such as Google Earth
136 was used as a reference to enable the unsupervised spectral classes to be assigned specific land cover
137 class labels (Loveland et al., 2000; Juang, et al., 2004; Cihlar, 2000) corresponding to the project
138 classification nomenclature in Table 1. Additionally, field surveys conducted in the Kruger National
139 Park, South Africa (shown as area F in Fig. 1), in July 2014 involved further identification of ground
140 truthing locations of known land cover types for validation of the classification generated at this site.
141 This field data was combined with the high resolution imagery-derived validation data and showed
142 good congruence between methodologies (Marston et al. in prep.), however given the logistical
143 challenges of collecting ground truthing data over such broad geographical areas, high resolution
144 reference imagery provided the sole source of validation data for the other sites. The classification
145 nomenclature used was designed to be applied broadly across sub-Saharan Africa and was based on
146 a modified version of the Global Land Cover 2000 Land Cover Map of Africa classification system
147 (Mayaux et al., 2000). Our classification also pays special attention to the forest – grassland gradient,
148 and follows the approach of Torellos-Raventos et al., (2013) which stratified this gradient into five
149 forest to grassland categories at 25% intervals (100-75%, 75-50%, 50-25%, 25-5% and 5-0%). We
150 have amalgamated the latter two categories to form a 25-0% canopy grouping. The generated
151 classification maintained the 30 m spatial resolution of the input Landsat ETM+ imagery.

152 Although the unsupervised spectral classes generally corresponded well to specific land cover
153 classes, occasionally they contained groups of pixels that when inspected were found to relate to
154 more than one land cover class. For these areas of known misclassification, post-classification
155 refinement in the form of manual knowledge-based enhancement procedures was performed to
156 split these classes into single category sub-classes (Loveland et al., 2000), and also to re-label land
157 cover patches to resolve the spectral confusion between disparate land cover classes.

158 Multiple unsupervised spectral classes would frequently correspond to the same land cover class in
159 the nomenclature due to the inherent spectral variability of that class. For example, the agriculture

160 class comprised multiple crop types with different planting, growth cycle, harvesting and watering
161 characteristics which are spectrally distinct when present in the satellite imagery. This enabled the
162 spectral variability of each land cover class to be captured prior to the unsupervised spectral classes
163 being aggregated using well defined merging steps (Juang et al., 2004) until a single merged class for
164 each desired land cover class was achieved.

165 Accuracy assessment of the classification using ground truth locations was performed. Ground
166 truthing data (i.e. independent verification) of known land cover types was derived from high-
167 resolution imagery of the survey area (e.g. Google Earth), and also from field survey data for site F.
168 The use of higher resolution imagery as a source of validation data for testing the accuracy of
169 classifications derived from coarser resolution satellite products such as Landsat ETM+ imagery is an
170 established technique (Duro et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2008; Cihlar et al., 2003). Due to differences
171 between the Landsat ETM+ and high resolution reference data acquisition dates, all points exhibiting
172 suspected temporal change or human or natural disturbance between the two acquisition dates
173 were disregarded. Classification accuracy assessments are shown in Table 2, and confusion matrices
174 for all study areas are provided as Supplementary Information.

175 Topographical variability across the buffer extents was also examined using Shuttle Radar
176 Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (DEM) data. Slope data was derived from the
177 SRTM DEM data, with mean, minimum, maximum and standard deviation variables for both
178 elevation and slope extracted for each buffer using the Geospatial Modelling Environment software.
179 Patch richness for all buffers at each radius size was compared to mean elevation and mean slope
180 data across the dataset (data not shown), and no convincing relationships were found.

181 *Range-size estimates*

182 We have used a number of postulated hominin home range sizes from the literature, deliberately
183 sampling a wide size range, supplemented with estimates based on archaeological and
184 anthropological data. Milton and May (1976) proposed a method of estimating home range sizes for
185 individual primates based on body masses and known group-home range sizes. For group-living
186 primates the range size for an individual was estimated by dividing the group home range size by the
187 numbers of individuals within the group. While this necessarily creates an under-estimate of the
188 area any individual may actually roam, it has been widely used and cited in hominin studies (e.g.
189 Leonard and Robertson, 2000; Antón et al. 2002; Antón and Swisher, 2004). Leonard and Robertson
190 (2000) also included estimates of diet quality (whether ape-like or human-forager-like) to calculate
191 their estimated hominin home range sizes. Their equations were subsequently used in Antón et al.

192 (2002), but with slightly different results. Here we have used the figures from Antón et al. (2002),
193 taking the smallest estimated hominin range size (38 ha for *Australopithecus africanus* on an ape-like
194 diet) and largest pre-*sapiens* range size (452 ha for *Homo erectus* on a low quality human forager-
195 like diet) (see Table 3). We have also utilised published data on modern Hadza maximum foraging
196 distances (Raichlen et al. 2014). For this home range estimate we used the median distance (~1,100
197 m) based on the maximum distance travelled during 715 foraging bouts (Raichlen et al., 2014). The
198 largest range size is based on the 13 km distance estimated from the original sources of the raw
199 material found at the Oldowan site of Kanjera, Kenya (Braun et al. 2008). While this estimate is
200 necessarily approximate, it provides a useful larger range size and is one of the few approaches
201 available for estimating range size directly from the archaeological record. We also calculated an
202 intermediate home range size estimate of 2.5 km, covering an area of some 19.6km², as an
203 additional model.

204

205 *Data cleaning and sample sizes*

206 The calculated home range sizes from Table 3 were overlaid onto the classified images as circular
207 areas (buffers). Circular buffers are the simplest geometric shape with which to undertake these
208 types of analysis. While clearly not capturing the complexity of an animal's daily or yearly movement
209 patterns, they have a long history of use in archaeology as a heuristic device (e.g. Vita-Finzi and
210 Higgs, 1970; Flannery, 1976; Bird et al. 2008, Grove, 2009). Our buffers were fixed on a central point,
211 with increasing radii corresponding to the estimated home range sizes (Fig. 2). There were 300
212 randomly located central points per image. Once the buffers had been applied around these central
213 points, the data were quality checked to remove all buffers containing any cloud, >80% saltwater or
214 freshwater or >10% anthropogenic land cover classes (arable agriculture, built-up environments, and
215 coniferous plantations). Any point where buffers extended beyond the classified area at any buffer
216 size were also disregarded, and only the central points that remained across all five radii sizes
217 retained for further analysis, ensuring that the same buffers were being examined at each scale. This
218 left a variable number of buffers in the analysis for each study area (area A, n = 19; area B, n = 82;
219 area C, n = 48; area D, n = 31; area E, n = 78; area F, n = 174; area G, n = 164), totalling 596 buffers
220 for each estimated home range size.

221 *Analysis*

222 We calculated patch richness (PR) based on the land cover classifications shown in Table 1. PR is a
223 simple measure of how many land cover types (e.g. open woodland, closed woodland) there are

224 within each buffer. As we are looking to perform analogous studies of hominin landscapes, for the
225 PR results presented here we removed all land cover types that are clearly anthropogenic, leaving
226 only 'natural' vegetation types present (i.e. if a buffer had a PR of 5, but one of the land cover types
227 was 'built up' we removed it to give a 'natural' PR of 4).

228 We also calculated the percentage of canopy cover within each randomly placed buffer using four
229 categories. Closed woodland = >75% canopy cover, open woodland = 75% - 50% canopy cover,
230 discontinuous grassland = 50% - 25% canopy cover and continuous grassland = 25% - 0% canopy
231 cover. To make these buffer data directly comparable to the vegetation palaeoproxy data from
232 pedogenic carbonates, where other land covers were present, such as bare or swamp they were
233 disregarded and the four % canopy cover categories were scaled to cover 100% of the buffer.

234

235 *Transects*

236 Complementary to the randomly located buffers which examine the general PR and land cover
237 variability, targeted higher resolution analysis of the localised areas around rivers was performed.
238 This involved the selection of twenty-one transects in two areas (area B, Kenya, n = 9; area F, South
239 Africa, n=12). Each transect started in and then moved away from a water body or river channel with
240 data extracted every 10 m for 5 km along the transects, with this dense sampling providing highly
241 detailed information on localised landscape variability . Two types of data were extracted for each
242 point along the transect - PR data with the central point of the buffers located on the transect for 4
243 different buffer sizes (347 m, 1100 m, 1199 m and 2500 m), and the land cover class of each
244 individual transect point. The transect locations were selected by eye to cover areas without human
245 activity (such as tarmac roads, agriculture, etc.). The largest 13 km radii buffer size had the effect of
246 smoothing the PR values to a degree where variability in PR values was lost along the transect extent
247 when sampled at 10 m intervals. Therefore the 13 km radii size was disregarded from this element of
248 the analysis which then focussed on the smaller radii. This did not affect the randomly located
249 sample points due to the greater spacing between them. Note that the transect analyses reported
250 here are intended to be illustrative rather than representative of the full range of possible results.

251

252 **Results**

253 Accuracy assessment was performed on the land cover classifications for the seven study areas, with
254 high accuracies observed from a minimum of 81.6% (area E) to a maximum of 91.8% (area B)
255 recorded (Table 2). Individual site class error matrices are presented in supplementary information.

256 *Randomly placed buffers*

257 *Patch Richness*

258 The results for PR are shown in Table 4. Perhaps unsurprisingly it shows that as the buffer sizes get
259 larger, the number of different habitats within them increases, yet the difference in medians
260 between the smallest and largest buffers are quite modest. The least variation (increase in median
261 PR of 1) is seen in area G (South Africa), and the greatest (increase in median PR of 3) in area D
262 (Rwanda/Burundi) and area F (South Africa/Mozambique).

263 Table 4 also demonstrates that buffers containing uniform habitats are very rare – only 4 areas have
264 such buffers, and they are mostly present at the smallest size (347 m). So even at the scale of our
265 smallest putative range size habitat mosaics are almost ubiquitous. For area C, 10.42% of the
266 smallest buffers were uniform (n=5), for area B it was 7.32% (n = 6), and areas F and G have two
267 uniform buffers each (1.15% and 1.22% of the sample respectively). In total of the 596 buffers
268 analysed at this smallest size, 2.52% (n=15) were uniform (or non-mosaic) habitats. Of these, at 347
269 m one was continuous grassland (<25% canopy cover, area G), one was discontinuous grassland (25-
270 50% canopy cover, area F)), seven were closed woodland (>75% canopy cover, area C (n=5), area F
271 (n=1), area G (n=1)), and the remaining six were all semi-desert (area B). For the next largest buffer
272 size, there were only two uniform patches, one of closed woodland in area C and one of semi-desert
273 in area B, while one of closed woodland was still present in area C in the 1199 m buffer.

274 *Percentage of canopy cover*

275 Table 5 shows the median and range values for the closed woodland (>75% canopy cover) within the
276 different buffer sizes. While area A and area G show a >7% increase in median closed canopy cover
277 from the smallest to the largest buffers, there is relatively little variation in medians within the other
278 images and buffer sizes. Continuous grassland (<25% canopy cover) results are shown in Table 6.
279 Overall the continuous grassland category is less common across images (except area C and area G),
280 and again (with the exception of area G) the medians do not differ greatly across buffer sizes. Tables
281 7 and 8 give the same information for the open woodland (75%-50% canopy cover) and
282 discontinuous grassland (50-25% canopy cover). These also suggest that, with the exception of area
283 G and area A, the median amounts of cover within each category do not change as buffer sizes

284 increase. In essence, these data are surprisingly scale independent, suggesting that the medians of
285 these land cover types tend not change as the estimated home range sizes get larger.

286 We also compared the distribution of fraction of wooded canopy cover (%fwc) calculated from
287 pedogenic carbonates from the Nachukui Formation, Koobi Fora (Quinn et al., 2013) with % of
288 canopy cover observed for the central points of our randomly placed buffers in our seven different
289 study areas (Fig. 3). The Nachukui Formation (2.4-1.4Ma) was chosen as a representative dataset for
290 an East African hominin locality, for which the %fwc data had been published (Quinn et al. 2013).
291 The central point from each buffer yielded a result such as '>75% canopy cover' and those that were
292 not on the forest-grassland continuum (i.e. bare, semi-desert, seasonal water and agriculture) were
293 removed from the analysis. The data generated from these central points are directly analogous to
294 those obtained from pedogenic carbonate analyses, as they are both spatially constrained estimates
295 of canopy cover based on an individual point. However, this does not mean that the results of these
296 analyses are analogous. Our examination of areas from Ethiopia to South Africa (Fig. 1) show that
297 there is great variability in land cover at single points within each image (Fig. 3), and that the
298 expected land cover types are found in the appropriate landscapes. For example, 74 out of 82 points
299 are semi-desert or bare in area B (the Turkana region, data not shown), while area F (encompassing
300 the Kruger National Park) shows considerable heterogeneity with the majority of vegetation
301 recorded as discontinuous grassland or open woodland (25-75% canopy cover). Comparison of these
302 canopy cover distributions with the pedogenic carbonate data (Fig. 3) show that none of our modern
303 images with randomly selected buffers has a similar distribution of habitats to that calculated from
304 the pedogenic carbonates, although the pattern in the Nachukui Formation at Koobi Fora is most
305 similar to our results from the southern side of the Rift valley in modern Ethiopia (area A). However,
306 what is striking is the relative lack of closed habitat reconstructed from the pedogenic carbonates. In
307 the Nachukui formation only 1.45% (n=1) of the total sample are reconstructed with >75% canopy,
308 while in all of our study areas bar that of the Turkana region (area B, 0%), closed woodland
309 comprises 14-45% of the central points examined. We also calculated the %fwc for pedogenic
310 carbonates from three key hominin regions (Busidima Formation, Ethiopia, and the Koobi Fora and
311 Olorgesailie Formations, Kenya) using data from Levin (2013). For the 1475 datapoints only 19 (1.3%)
312 represent closed canopy woodland, suggesting considerable under-representation of these
313 environments in the palaeosol datasets.

314

315 *Transect results*

316 The results of our 21 transects in areas B and F are best viewed as illustrative of the possibilities in
317 modern Africa, rather than the more quantitative random sampling of buffers described above. They
318 were chosen by eye, to allow us to take a 2.5km transect from a channel, without interference from
319 roads, agriculture, etc. We here present results that illustrate the variety seen in these transects, and
320 the resulting potential for these types of analyses.

321 *Patch richness.* The greatest patch richness in the majority of transects were found by lakes and
322 rivers, with a decrease in PR as the buffers move away from the water source (Fig. 4a-d). In both
323 area B (Kenya) and area F (South Africa) the changes are seen most abruptly in the smallest
324 estimated hominin home range size (347 m), and vary least with the largest (2500 m). This is
325 expected, as the largest buffer size would still be including the channel and its environs until at least
326 2510 m away from the water source. Transects in area B have lower numbers of habitats in total
327 (Fig. 4a, b), in comparison with area F (Fig. 4c,d), and there is greater complexity in area F (i.e. PR
328 increases and decreases rather than declining as distance to the water source increases).

329 *Land cover.* Our analyses show the land cover at each 10 m point along a 2500 m transect (Fig. 5) in
330 a way that would approximate to a standard field survey, however with remote sensing the
331 transects can be extended for much greater distances than is often feasible in the field. Figure 5
332 shows two contrasting transects for area B (Turkana). Transect a starts at the edge of Lake Turkana
333 and is largely semi-desert with patches of bare, except for two small patches of open woodland at
334 approximately 2000 m and 2100 m. Transect b starts at the edge of the Turkwel River. The area of
335 semi-desert at the start is an island in the braided channel, and then the main area of riparian
336 woodland is reached and continues for ~620 m, until an abrupt transition to semi-desert and bare
337 patches, with smaller areas of open woodland between 1000-1500 m. The transects for area F
338 (Kruger National Park) show contrasting results (Fig. 5,c,d)– transect c is almost entirely
339 discontinuous grassland, with small patches of continuous grassland and a small area of closed
340 woodland at the channel edge. Transect d begins in seasonal freshwater and is largely open
341 woodland throughout its length, interspersed with small patches of closed woodland. Most notable
342 is that at approximately ~1900 m the transect crosses another stream, which is indicated by the
343 increase in closed woodland at this point. Fig. 5 also shows the calculated %fwc for a fossil
344 pedogenic carbonate transect taken lateral to the river in the Dana Aouli Formation, Ethiopia, dated
345 to ~2.7 Ma (Levin et al., 2004) and converted to our land cover classification. This is a much shorter
346 transect (240 m), but lacks any woodland, suggesting an open area, perhaps more similar to that of
347 transect c without the riparian woodland zone.

348

349 **Discussion**

350 The spatial resolution of palaeoenvironmental reconstructions seldom, if ever, allow an analysis of
351 the range of resources within a hominin's home range. Here we have developed an alternative
352 complementary approach to such questions in hominin behavioural ecology by using modern African
353 vegetation as an analogue to ask questions about the effect of different putative range sizes on
354 access to resources and the potential effects of water sources on such analyses. We have examined
355 what vegetation types the hominins could access at different home range sizes, and concluded that
356 range size does not greatly affect the number of available habitats at these scales of analysis. Even
357 the smallest (perhaps unrealistic) estimated home ranges have habitat variability similar to the
358 largest. In a palaeoecological context this is an encouraging result as it suggests that the fact that we
359 do not know what the range sizes were for early hominins is not crucial if just considering access to a
360 broad range of vegetation types. However, these results are for randomly placed sampling points
361 and range size does make more of a difference if there is a river present (or absent, see below).

362 Pedogenic carbonates are our current best method of reconstructing the woody cover of past
363 habitats. However, they may underestimate the densest cover (in part as a result of preferentially
364 forming in more open soils), and are limited to those regions with soils that are appropriate for their
365 formation (Quade and Levin, 2013). While our land cover results are a 1 year snapshot, the
366 carbonates are time-averaged over (possibly) 100s-1000s of years. Thus the pedogenic carbonates
367 give a less complex 'smoother' result with less woody cover than those landscapes that we see in the
368 modern day. We see analysis of satellite imagery as a complimentary method to that of the
369 pedogenic carbonates to examine the potentially 'missing' components within the landscapes. It can
370 be used to match the spatial heterogeneity picked up by fine-scale analysis within individual
371 palaeosols (e.g. at Gona, Quade & Levin (2013)), and allow us to quantify landscape characteristics
372 on continuous regional scales, rather than localised point samples. Remote sensing methodologies
373 can also be used to examine spatial heterogeneity in regions where pedogenic carbonates do not
374 form. While we have not attempted here to directly match modern landscapes to any reconstructed
375 palaeoenvironments, there is clearly the potential to do so. While we cannot match the same
376 vegetation in exactly the same locality as seen in the past, it is likely that a similar mixture of water,
377 grassland and trees can be identified in a number of locations, allowing quantifiable models to be
378 built in future.

379 Our buffers were randomly located in each image, and the results show that patchy ('mosaic')
380 habitats are very widespread. However, the transect data indicate that the greatest number of
381 habitats (PR) is found near water courses (Fig. 4), and that these are likely to exert an influence for

382 some distance from the channel (Fig. 5). The habitat variability that water sources introduce are not
383 just the obvious ones of water and riparian woodland, but also for example, seasonal water, swamp,
384 and bare (in gravel bars, etc.). This is important for two reasons – firstly, the highest habitat
385 variability appears to be around water courses, the places that hominins appear to have preferred,
386 but also the places with the appropriate sediments for fossilisation and recovery (see Kullmer, 2007).
387 This has led to suggestions that hominins may not have preferred these habitats, but that we are
388 finding them there as a result of recovery bias (White, 1988). Quinn et al. (2013) demonstrated that
389 lithic sites were significantly more wooded than localities sampled at random within the Koobi Fora
390 and Nachukui formation, and that this association was maintained through the 1.0 Ma time span of
391 deposition. However, the mean woodland density through time was 40 %fwc (Quinn et al. 2013),
392 which in our classification would equate with discontinuous grassland. Either thicker woodland was
393 very rare at the time, in comparison with the present day (Fig. 3), or hominins were seeking these
394 slightly more wooded sites within open areas. Quinn et al. (2013) examine four possible reasons for
395 the association of lithics sites with discontinuous grassland, including the need for shade, access to
396 drinking water, raw materials or specific foodstuffs, and explicitly link them to the presence of water
397 (and by association C3 vegetation, shade and cobbles for tool making). In agreement with Quinn et
398 al. (2013), our results suggest that if hominins preferred areas with high habitat heterogeneity (i.e.
399 ‘mosaic’), then the water courses of Africa are the places to find them, and while we have largely
400 focussed on rivers, the same may also be true of lakes and other water sources (Ashley et al., 2009).
401 This does not rule out the taphonomic explanation but does show that, as mentioned by Roe (1997)
402 and Plummer (2004), there are very many plausible non-taphonomic reasons (beyond just access to
403 drinking water) for utilizing sites near rivers.

404

405 **Conclusions**

406 Modern African land cover is highly complex, yet at the scales proposed for hominin range sizes, this
407 may be less important than we may have first thought. A key factor driving the variability in habitats
408 appears to be the presence of rivers (or other water bodies), and this result fits with other proxies
409 used to reconstruct hominin palaeoenvironments. Overall, remote-sensing will not and cannot
410 replace these proxies, but it does allow for examination of spatial complexity on a much large scale
411 than is usual, and may also highlight land cover types and patterns of distributions that many have
412 been present but are under-represented in the fossil record.

413

414 **Acknowledgements**

415 This work was undertaken as part of the *Quantifying the Mosaic* project, funded by the Leverhulme
416 Trust (grant number RPG-2012- 472), and we are very grateful for their support. We also thank Dr
417 Rhonda Quinn (Seton Hall University) for sharing the Nachukui pedogenic carbonate data, Dr Naomi
418 Levin (Johns Hopkins University) for publishing the East African pedogenic carbonate data in an open
419 access format, and Dr Sally Reynolds (University of Bournemouth) for remote sensing data collection
420 and comments on the manuscript. We also thank SANParks and the staff at the Kruger National Park
421 (especially our game guard Kumekani Masinga) for our research permit and facilitating our ground-
422 truthing data collection, and Prof. Paul Aplin (Edge Hill University) for assistance in the field.

423

424 **References**

425 Antón, S.C., Leonard, W.R., Robertson, M.L. (2002) An ecomorphological model of the initial hominid
426 dispersal from Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 43: 773-785.

427 Antón, S.C., Swisher III, C.C. (2004) Early dispersals of *Homo* from Africa. *Annual Review of*
428 *Anthropology* 33: 271-96.

429 Ashley, G.M., Tactikos, J.C., Owen, R.B. (2009) Hominin use of springs and wetlands: Paleoclimate
430 and archaeological records from Olduvai Gorge (~1.79-1.74Ma). *Palaeogeography,*
431 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 272: 1-16.

432 Barnard, C.C. (1999) Home range. In Carlow, P. (ed) *Blackwell's concise encyclopaedia of ecology.*
433 Blackwell Science, Oxford.

434 Bezdek, J. C. Fuzzy mathematics in pattern classification, Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University,
435 Ithaca, New York. 1973.

436 Bird RB, Bird DW, Coddling BF, Parker CH, Jones JH. 2008. The 'fire stick farming' hypothesis :
437 Australian Aboriginal foraging strategies, biodiversity, and anthropogenic fire mosaics. *Proc. Nat.*
438 *Acad. Sci.* 105 : 14796-14801.

439 Blome, M.W., Cohen, A.S., Tryon. C.A., Brooks, A.S. (2012) The environmental context for the origins
440 of modern human diversity: A synthesis of regional variability in African climate 150,000-30,000
441 years ago. *Journal of Human Evolution* 62: 563-592.

442 Bowler, P.J. (1986) *Theories of human evolution; a century of debate 1844-1944.* Basil Blackwell,
443 Oxford.

444 Braun, D.R., et al. (2008) Oldowan behavior and raw material transport: perspectives from the
445 Kanjera Formation. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35: 2329-2345.

446 Cerling, T.E., Wynn, J.G., Andanje, S.A., Bird, M.I., Kimutai Korir, D., Levin, N.E., Mace, M., Macharia,
447 A.N., Quade, J., Remien, C.H. (2011) Woody cover and hominin environments in the past 6 million
448 years. *Nature* 476, 51-56.

449 Cihlar, J. Land cover mapping of large areas from satellites: status and research priorities. *Internat. J.*
450 *Remote Sens.*, 2000, 21, 1093-1114.

451 Cihlar, J.; Latifovic, R.; Beaubien, J.; Guindon, B.; Palmer, M. Thematic mapper (TM) based accuracy
452 assessment of a land cover product for Canada derived from SPOT VEGETATION (VGT) data. *Can. J.*
453 *Remote Sens.* 2003, 29, 154–170.

454 Clutton-Brock, T.H. and Harvey, P.H. (1984) Comparative approaches to investigating adaptation. In
455 Krebs, J.R. and Davies N.B. (eds) *Behavioural ecology and evolutionary approach*. 2nd ed. Blackwell,
456 Oxford. Pp 7-29.

457 Copeland, S.R., Sponheimer, M., de Ruiter, D.J., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Codron, D., le Roux, P.J., Grimes, V.,
458 Richards, M.P. (2011) Strontium isotope evidence for landscape use by early hominins. *Nature* 474:
459 76-79.

460 Duro, D. C.; Franklin, S. E.; Dube, M. G. Multi-scale object-based image analysis and feature selection
461 of multi-sensor earth observation imagery using random forests, *Internat. J. Remote Sens.*, 2012, 33,
462 4502–4526.

463 Flannery, K.V. 1976. Chapter 5: The Village and its catchment area [and sections therein]. In:
464 Flannery, K.V. (ed) *The early Mesoamerican village*. New York: Academic Press. pp. 91-130.

465 Finlayson, C. (2014) *The improbable primate*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

466 Fleischmann, C. G.; Walsh, S. J. Multi-temporal AVHRR digital data: an approach for landcover
467 mapping of heterogeneous landscapes. *Geocarto International*, 1991, 4, 5–20.

468 Foley, R. (2001) The evolutionary consequences of increased carnivory in hominids. Pp. 305-331. In
469 Stanford, C.B. & Bunn, H.T. (eds) *Meat-eating and human evolution*. Oxford University Press, USA.

470 Grove, M. 2009. Hunter-gatherer movement patterns: causes and constraints. *Journal of*
471 *anthropological archaeology* 28: 222-233.

472 Habermann, J.M., Stanistreet, I.G., Stollhofen, H., Albert, R.M., Bamford, M.K., Pante, M.C., Njau,
473 J.K., Masao, F.T. (2016) In situ ~2.0 Ma trees discovered as fossil rooted stumps, lowermost Bed I,
474 Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Journal of Human Evolution* 90: 74-87.

475 Harmand, S. (2009) Variability in raw material selectivity at the Late Pliocene sites of Lokalalei, West
476 Turkana, Kenya. In: Hovers, E., Braun, D.R. (eds) *Interdisciplinary approaches to the Oldowan*.
477 Springer, Dordrecht. pp. 85-97.

478 Homer, C. G.; Ramsey, R. D.; Edwards, T. C. Jr; Falconer, A. Landscape cover- type modeling using a
479 multi-scene Thematic Mapper mosaic. *Photogramm. Eng. Rem. S.* 1997, 63, 59–67.

480 Juang, H.; Strittholt, J. R.; Frost, P. A.; Slosser, N. C. The classification of seral forest in the Pacific
481 Northwest USA using Landsat ETM+ imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2004, 91, 320-331.

482 Kroll, E.M., Isaac, G.LI. (1984) Configurations of artifacts and bones at early Pleistocene sites in East
483 Africa. In Hietala, H. (ed) *Intrasite spatial analysis in archaeology*. Cambridge University Press,
484 Cambridge. pp. 4-31.

485 Kullmer, O. (2007) Geological background of early hominid sites in Africa. In: *Handbook of*
486 *Palaeoanthropology Vol 1: Principles, Methods and Approaches*. Henke, W. & Tattersall, I. (Eds)
487 Springer, New York. pp. 339-356.

488 Leonard, W.R., Robertson, M.L. (2000) Ecological correlates of home range variation in Primates:
489 implications for hominid evolution. Pp. 628-648. In: Boinski, S. & Garber, P.A. (eds) *On the move:*
490 *how and why animals travel in groups*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

491 Levin, N.E., Quade, J., Simpson, S.W., Semaw, S., Rogers, M. (2004) Isotopic evidence for Plio-
492 Pleistocene environmental change at Gona, Ethiopia. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 219, 93-
493 110.

494 Levin, N. E. (2013), *Compilation of East Africa Soil Carbonate Stable Isotope Data*. Integrated Earth
495 Data Applications (IEDA). doi:10.1594/IEDA/100231

496 Loveland, T. R.; Belward, A. S. The IGBP-DIS global 1km land cover data set, DISCover: first results,
497 *Internat. J. Remote Sens*, 1997, 18, 3289-3295.

498 Loveland, T. R.; Reed, B. C.; Brown, J. F.; Ohlen, D. O.; Zhu Z.; Yang, L.; Merchant, J. W. Development
499 of a global land cover characteristics database and IGBP DISCover from 1km AVHRR data. *Internat. J.*
500 *Remote Sens.*, 2000, 21, 1303-1330.

501 McGwire, K. C.; Fairbanks, D. H. K.; Estes, J. E. Examining regional vegetation associations using
502 multi-temporal AVHRR imagery. Technical Papers of the ASPRS–ACSM Annual Convention,
503 Albuquerque, USA, March 3–5 1992, Vol. 1, pp. 304–313.

504 McNab, B.K. (2012) *Extreme measures; the ecological energetics of birds and mammals*. University of
505 Chicago Press, Chicago.

506 Magill, C.R., Ashley, G.M., Domínguez-Rodrigo, M., Freeman, K.H. (2016) Dietary options and
507 behaviour suggested by plant biomarker evidence in early human habitat. Proceedings of the
508 National Academy of Sciences 113: 2874-2879.

509 Manning, A and Dawkins, M.S. (2012) *An introduction to animal behaviour*. 6th ed. Cambridge
510 University Press, Cambridge.

511 Mayaux, P.; Bartholom, E.; Cabral, A.; Cherlet, M.; Defourny, P.; Di Gregorio, A.; Diallo, O.; Massart,
512 M., Nonguierma, A.; Pekel, J.F.; Pretorius, C.; Vancutsem, C.; Vasconcelos, M. The Land Cover Map
513 for Africa in the Year 2000. GLC2000 database, European Commission Joint Research Centre, 2003.
514 <http://www-gem.jrc.it/glc2000>.

515 Milton, K., May, M.L. (1976) Body weight, diet and home range area in primates. *Nature* 259, 459-
516 462.

517 Morton, D.; Rowland, C.; Wood, C.; Meek, L.; Marston, C.; Smith, G.; Wadsworth, R.; Simpson, I.C.
518 2011. Final Report for LCM2007 - the new UK land cover map. Countryside Survey Technical Report
519 No 11/07 NERC/Centre for Ecology & Hydrology 112pp. (CEH Project Number: C03259).

520 Plummer, T. (2004) Flaked stones and old bones: Biological and cultural evolution at the dawn of
521 technology. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology* 47: 118-164.

522 Quade, J., Levin, N. (2013) east African hominin palaeoecology: isotopic evidence from palaeosols.
523 In: Sponheimer, M., Lee-Thorp, J.A., Reed, K.E., Ungar, P.S. (eds) *Early hominin palaeoecology*.
524 University Press of Colorado, Boulder.

525 Quinn, R.L., Lepre, C.J., Feibel, C.S., Wright, J.D., Mortlock, R.A., Harmand, S., Brugal, J-P., Roche, H.
526 (2013) Pedogenic carbonate stable isotopic evidence for wooded habitat preference of early
527 Pleistocene tool makers in the Turkana Basin. *Journal of Human Evolution* 65: 65-78.

528 Raichlen, D.A., Wood, B.M., Gordon, A.D., Mbulla, A.Z.P., Marlowe, F.W., Pontzer, H. (2014) Evidence
529 of Levy walk foraging patterns in human hunter-gatherers. *PNAS* 111: 728-733.

530 Roe, D. (1997) Summary and overview. In: Issac, G.Ll. (ed) Koobi Fora research project Volume 5:
531 Plio-Pleistocene archaeology. Clarendon Press: Oxford. Pp. 544-567.

532 Reynolds, S.C., Wilkinson, D.M., Marston, C.G. & O'Regan, H.J. (2015) The "Mosaic habitat" concept
533 in human evolution: Past and present. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa 70: 57-69.

534 Torello-Raventos, M.,; Feldpausch, T.R.; Veenendaal ,E.; Schrodte, F.; Saiz, G.; Domingues ,T.F.;
535 Djagbletey, G.; Ford, A.; Kemp, J.; Marimon, B.S.; Marimon, Jr B.H.; Lenza, E.; Ratter, J.A.;
536 Maracahipes, L.; Quesada, C.A.; Ishida, F.Y.; Nardoto, G.B.; Affum-Baffoe, K.; Arroyo, L.; Bowman,
537 D.M.J.S.; Compaore, H.; Davies, K.; Diallo, A.,; Fyllas, N.M.; Gilpin, M.; Hein, F.; Johnson, M.; Killeen,
538 T. J.; Metcalfe, D.; Miranda, H.S.; Steininger, M.; Thomson, J.; Sykora, K.; Mougou, E.; Hieraux, P.;
539 Bird, M.I.; Grace, J.; Lewis, S.L.; Phillips, O.L. and Lloyd, J. 2013. On the delineation of tropical
540 vegetation types with an emphasis on forest/savannah transitions. Plant ecology and diversity,
541 6:101-137.

542 Vita-Finzi, C., Higgs, E.S. 1970. Prehistoric economy in the Mount Carmel area of Palestine: site
543 catchment analysis. Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society 36: 1-37.

544 Wayman, J. P.; Wynne, R. H.; Scriver, J. A.; Reams, G. A. Landsat TM-based forest area estimation
545 using iterative guided spectral class rejection. *Photogramm. Eng. Rem. S.*, 2001, 67, 1155–1166.

546 White, T.D. (1988) The comparative biology of "robust" Australopithecus: clues from context. In:
547 Grine, F.E. (ed.) The evolutionary history of the "robust" australopithecines. Aldine: New Brunswick.
548 Pp. 449-484.

549 Xie, Y.; Sha, Z. and Yu, M. Remote sensing imagery in vegetation mapping: a review. *J. Plant Ecol-UK*,
550 2008, 1, 9-23.

551

552 Table 1. Land cover map classification nomenclature.

General habitat	Land cover class and code	Description
Woodland	Closed woodland (CDW)	Closed woodland (75%-100% tree cover)
	Open woodland (ODW)	Open woodland (50%-75% tree cover)
Grassland	Continuous grassland (CG)	Continuous grassland (75%-100% grassland)
	Discontinuous grassland (DG)	Discontinuous grassland (50%-75% grassland)
Anthropogenic classes	Agriculture (AG)	Croplands (>50%) Irrigated croplands Tree crops
	Built-up (BU)	Urban areas and settlements Roads Quarry and open-cast mine
	Closed coniferous woodland (CCW)	Coniferous plantation Felled coniferous plantation
Bare	Bare (BA)	Bare soil
		Bare rock
		Bare gravel (braided rivers)
		Stony desert
		Sandy desert and dunes
		Salt hardpans
		Lava flows
Freshwater	Permanent freshwater (PF)	Permanent waterbodies
	Seasonal Freshwater (SF)	Seasonal waterbodies
	Swamp (SW)	Swamps and wetland areas
Coastal	Saltwater (ST)	Seas and oceans
	Mangrove (M)	Mangrove forests
	Littoral sediment (LS)	Littoral sediment Littoral rock
	Supra-littoral sediment (SLS)	Supra-littoral sediment Supra-littoral rock
	Saltmarsh (SM)	Saltmarsh
Semi-desert	Semi-desert (SD)	Semi-desert (bare ground with scattered bushes)
Ice and Snow	Ice and Snow (IS)	Permanent ice and snow
		Seasonal ice and snow
Sodic lake	Sodic lake (SLA)	Sodic lake

553

554 Table 2. Image locations, acquisition dates and classification accuracies. Note that the timings of dry
 555 and wet seasons varied each year, with images selected to best represent the variability in
 556 vegetation levels between wet and dry seasons.

Area	Location	Dry season image acquisition data	Wet season image acquisition data	Classification accuracy
A	Ethiopia	7 Mar 2002	24 Apr 2002	82.69%
B	Kenya	15 Oct 2002	5 Feb 2003	91.84%
C	DR Congo / Uganda	9 Jan 2001	25 Nov 2001	86.02%
D	Rwanda / Burundi	17 Aug 2002	13 May 2002	86.89%
E	Malawi / Mozambique	2 Oct 2000	28 Apr 2001	81.64%
F	South Africa / Mozambique	19 Dec 1999	9 Apr 2000	84.73%
G	South Africa	31 July 2001	19 Dec 2000	85.39%

557

558

559 Table 3. The five hominin-relevant buffer sizes used in this study.

Radius (m)	Diameter (m)	Area (ha)	Basis	Reference
347	694	38	<i>A. africanus</i>	Antón et al. (2002)
1100	2200	380	Hadza	Raichlen et al. (2014)
1199	2398	452	<i>H. erectus</i>	Antón et al. (2002)
2500	5000	1963.5		This study
13000	26000	53093	Kanjera	Braun et al. (2008)

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567 Table 4. Median patch richness (and range) results for the 7 study areas at 5 different buffer sizes.
 568 PR has been adjusted to remove all anthropogenically-related land covers (agriculture, coniferous
 569 plantations and built up areas).

Buffer size (m)	Area A (n=19)	Area B (n=82)	Area C (n=48)	Area D (n=31)	Area E (n=78)	Area F (n=174)	Area G (n=164)
347	4 (2,6)	2 (1,4)	4 (1,6)	4 (3,5)	4 (2,6)	4 (1,6)	4 (1,5)
1100	5 (4,6)	3 (1,5)	5 (1,7)	5 (3,6)	4 (3,7)	5 (3,8)	4 (3,6)
1199	5 (4,6)	3 (2,5)	5 (1,7)	5 (3,6)	4 (3,7)	5 (3,8)	4 (3,6)
2500	5 (4,7)	3 (3,7)	5 (4,7)	6 (4,7)	4 (4,7)	6 (4,8)	5 (4,8)
13000	6 (5,7)	4 (3,7)	6 (5,7)	7 (6,8)	6 (5,7)	7 (6,8)	5 (5,8)

570

571

572 Table 5. Median (and range) of % of closed woodland habitat for the 7 study areas at 5 different
 573 buffer sizes (scaled to be 100% across the 4 closed woodland – continuous grassland land cover
 574 categories).

Buffer size (m)	Area A (n=19)	Area B (n=82)	Area C (n=48)	Area D (n=31)	Area E (n=78)	Area F (n=174)	Area G (n=164)
347	2.5 (0, 80.5)	0 (0, 100)	16.8 (0, 100)	28.7 (0, 97.0)	42.5 (0, 98.8)	3.9 (0, 100)	7.2 (0, 100)
1100	9.0 (0.3, 59.9)	0 (0, 98.2)	17.2 (0.1, 100)	29.5 (1.6, 88.3)	46.4 (1.9, 94.6)	5.0 (0, 96.4)	10.8 (0, 89.0)
1199	9.4 (0.3, 61.1)	0 (0, 98.8)	17.9 (0.2, 100)	30.0 (1.9, 87.8)	46.3 (2.3, 94.3)	4.9 (0, 96.0)	11.7 (0, 88.4)
2500	6.4 (0.2, 65.0)	0 (0, 77.3)	15.8 (0.5, 99.9)	28.8 (4.7, 78.7)	46.5 (2.2, 91.8)	7.9 (0, 92.3)	15.3 (0, 79.3)
13000	10.2 (1.6, 38.9)	0.3 (0, 52.1)	12.7 (1.1, 99.5)	29.9 (15.1, 45.4)	42.3 (4.7, 86.1)	8.2 (0.6, 66.7)	19.5 (0.3, 47.7)

575

576

577

578 Table 6. Median (and range) of % continuous grassland habitat for the 7 study areas at 5 different
 579 buffer sizes (scaled to be 100% across the 4 closed woodland – continuous grassland land cover
 580 categories).

Buffer size (m)	Area A (n=19)	Area B (n=82)	Area C (n=48)	Area D (n=31)	Area E (n=78)	Area F (n=174)	Area G (n=164)
347	1.7 (0, 44.7)	0 (0,0)	36.3 (0, 99.1)	2.9 (0, 41.2)	3.7 (0, 83.0)	3.6 (0, 99.8)	36.5 (0, 100)
1100	3.1 (0, 32.1)	0 (0,0)	38.7 (0, 79.2)	4.4 (0, 29.8)	6.3 (0, 70.2)	4.3 (0, 96.4)	41.7 (2.3, 99.7)
1199	3.4 (0, 30.5)	0 (0,0)	38.7 (0, 80.1)	4.3 (0, 30.2)	7.3 (0, 69.8)	4.6 (0, 96.8)	41.5 (2.2, 99.6)
2500	7.6 (0.4, 35.6)	0 (0, 0.2)	38.3 (0, 77.7)	4.8 (0.6, 25.9)	7.6 (0.1, 67.0)	5.9 (0, 92.6)	42.6 (5.2, 96.1)
13000	9.2 (2.0, 27.7)	0 (0, 2.1)	42.6 (0, 69.4)	5.2 (2.4, 17.3)	8.6 (1.5, 53.6)	8.6 (0.3, 75.1)	45.9 (18.9, 92.4)

581

582

583 Table 7. Median (and range)of % of open woodland habitat for the 7 study areas at 5 different buffer
 584 sizes (scaled to be 100% across the 4 closed woodland – continuous grassland land cover
 585 categories).

Buffer size (m)	Area A (n=19)	Area B (n=82)	Area C (n=48)	Area D (n=31)	Area E (n=78)	Area F (n=174)	Area G (n=164)
347	3.3 (0, 39.7)	100 (0,100)	2.0 (0, 17.2)	30.8 (1.0, 63.5)	12.9 (0, 56.5)	34.1 (0, 88.8)	6.4 (0, 57.6)
1100	4.1 (0.5, 38.1)	100 (0, 100)	1.8 (0, 7.5)	32.8 (5.0, 61.4)	14.4 (1.7, 57.3)	35.3 (0.2, 74.9)	8.7 (0, 47.9)
1199	4.6 (0.6, 38.0)	100 (0, 100)	1.8 (0, 7.1)	33.5 (5.0, 62.6)	14.6 (1.5, 57.2)	36.0 (0.2, 74.6)	9.0 (0, 46.0)
2500	5.1 (0.5, 29.3)	100 (2.2, 100)	2.2 (0.04, 5.6)	37.0 (9.8, 57.9)	14.2 (2.9, 56.2)	35.2 (0.1, 70.4)	9.9 (0.01, 37.9)
13000	5.7 (2.5, 15.2)	99.7 (37.9, 100)	2.7 (0.2, 4.0)	37.1 (23.9, 43.9)	17.2 (5.5, 42.1)	34.7 (3.4, 55.8)	9.7 (0.6, 23.9)

586

587


588 Table 8. Median (and range) of % of discontinuous grassland habitat for the 7 images at 5 different
 589 buffer sizes (scaled to be 100% across the 4 closed woodland – continuous grassland land cover
 590 categories).

Buffer size (m)	Area A (n=19)	Area B (n=82)	Area C (n=48)	Area D (n=31)	Area E (n=78)	Area F (n=174)	Area G (n=164)
347	76.8 (13.2, 98.8)	0 (0, 63.9)	33.9 (0, 62.5)	28.9 (0, 93.1)	15.2 (0, 77.8)	30.7 (0, 100)	21.0 (0, 73.0)
1100	73.6 (15.3, 98.2)	0 (0, 69.2)	33.4 (0, 58.2)	25.7 (4.6, 67.2)	16.6 (0.6, 69.6)	29.5 (0.4, 96.6)	21.3 (0.3, 66.1)
1199	73.2 (14.3, 98.2)	0 (0, 71.5)	33.3 (0, 57.4)	26.6 (4.6, 66.4)	17.1 (0.6, 70.7)	28.9 (0.4, 96.0)	20.9 (0.4, 65.2)
2500	68.7 (11.9, 95.8)	0 (0, 68.8)	36.5 (0, 52.3)	23.8 (5.2, 63.0)	17.5 (1.6, 70.5)	29.8 (1.7, 92.6)	22.2 (3.5, 57.2)
13000	63.9 (44.9, 82.1)	0 (0, 13.4)	37.2 (0.4, 49.3)	27.6 (14.4, 47.8)	24.6 (5.0, 44.8)	34.7 (6.6, 79.7)	23.7 (6.8, 34.0)

591

592


593 Figure 1. Location of Landsat ETM+ images used as study areas in this analysis, see Table 2 for details
594 of site locations.



595

596

597 Figure 2. Nested buffers of different radii based on estimated hominin home range sizes, overlaid on
598 land cover classification for area F, the Kruger National Park, demonstrating the land cover variability
599 within different radii.




600

601

602

603

604 Figure 3. Land cover class frequency for the central points in each randomly placed buffer by study
 605 area (A-G) in comparison with % fraction of woody canopy cover (%fwc) from the Nachukui
 606 Formation, Koobi Fora calculated from pedogenic carbonates (%fwc methods and data from Quinn
 607 et al., 2013). Note: all central points that were not on the forest –grassland continuum (i.e. bare,
 608 semi-desert, seasonal water and agriculture) were removed.



609

610


611

612


613

614 Figure 4. Patch richness over 5000 m transects in Area B (southern Turkana Basin, Kenya) and Area F
 615 (Kruger National Park and environs, South Africa). A, b and c illustrate relatively simple transects
 616 showing PR largely declining with distance moved away from the water source. a) runs south-west
 617 from Lake Turkana, b) runs south-west from the Turkwel River, c) runs southward from the
 618 N’wanetzi River, and d) is an example of greater variability in a transect running southward from the
 619 N’waswitsontso River.


a (Turkana)




b (Turkana)



c (Kruger)



d (Kruger)




623

624

625

626 Figure 5. Land cover categories recorded every 10 m for 2500 m starting at a water source, for two
 627 transects from Area B (the Turkana region, Kenya), and two from Area F (the Kruger National Park,
 628 South Africa). The letters correspond to the PR data shown in Fig. 4 for the same transect locations.
 629 Also included for comparison is the fraction of woody cover (f_{wc}) calculated from pedogenic
 630 carbonate data from a palaeosol transect from the Dana Aouli Formation, Gona, Ethiopia (Levin et al.
 631 2004), and converted to our land cover classifications.

632



633