

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **“Decisions, decisions, decisions”**: Transfer and specificity of decision making
2 **skill between sports**

3

4

5 Joe Causer & Paul R. Ford

6

7 Brain and Behaviour Laboratory, Faculty of Science,

8 Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street,

9 Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

10

11 RUNNING HEAD: Decision making transfer

12

13

14

15

16 Please address correspondence to:

17 Dr Joe Causer

18 Brain and Behaviour Laboratory

19 Faculty of Science,

20 Tom Reilly Building

21 Byrom Street

22 Liverpool

23 L3 3AF

24 Tel - +44 (0) 151 9046242

25 Email – j.causer@ljmu.ac.uk

Abstract

The concept of transfer of learning holds that previous practice or experience in one task or domain will enable successful performance in another related task or domain. In contrast, specificity of learning holds that previous practice or experience in one task or domain does not transfer to other related tasks or domains. The aim of the current study is to examine whether decision making skill transfers between sports that share similar elements, or whether it is specific to a sport. Participants ($n = 205$) completed a video-based temporal occlusion decision making test in which they were required to decide on which action to execute across a series of 4 vs. 4 soccer game situations. A sport engagement questionnaire was used to identify 106 soccer players, 43 other invasion sport players, and 58 other sport players. Positive transfer of decision making skill occurred between soccer and other invasion sports, which are related and have similar elements, but not from volleyball, supporting the concept of transfer of learning.

Keywords: cognitive processes, knowledge, skill acquisition, perceptual-cognitive skill

1 A key part of expert performance in many fields, such as sport, law
2 enforcement or medicine, is successful *decision making* (for reviews, see Dhami
3 2003; Causer and Williams 2013; Tenenbaum 2003; Klein 1997; Williams and
4 Abernethy 2012; Dicks et al. 2009). Decision making is defined as the ability to use
5 information from the current situation and the knowledge possessed about it so as to
6 plan, select and execute an appropriate goal-directed action or set of actions
7 (Williams and Ford 2013). Decision making appears to be an acquired ability (e.g.,
8 Ford et al. 2010; Roca et al. 2011), but researchers are yet to investigate whether
9 decision making ability in one domain can transfer to successful decision making in
10 another related domain. The aim of the current study is to examine whether
11 successful decision making is specific to a sport or whether it transfers between
12 sports that are related and have similar elements.

13 The concept of transfer of learning holds that an individual who acquires
14 successful performance in one task or domain can transfer that successful
15 performance into another task or domain (Duncan 1953). Thorndike (1914) was one
16 of the first to consider the concept of transfer of learning through his notion of
17 *identical elements*. These elements can be motor, perceptual or conceptual variables,
18 and tasks with similar elements are expected to allow greater transfer between them.
19 For example, soccer and rugby contain similar elements, such as the perceptual
20 elements of tracking the ball in flight, suggesting transfer of these elements can
21 occur between the two sports. In contrast, elements or attributes acquired in one
22 domain that do not transfer to another domain suggests specificity of learning.

23 A few researchers have started to examine perceptual and motor transfer
24 (e.g., Rienhoff et al. 2013), but there is a lack of research investigating whether
25 decision making ability can transfer between related domains. Some researchers

1 have investigated whether pattern recognition and recall skills, which may be related
2 to decision making skill, transfer between related sports. For example, Smeeton,
3 Ward and Williams (2004) compared the pattern recognition skills of skilled and less-
4 skilled players from soccer, field hockey and volleyball in structured and unstructured
5 scenarios across each of the three sports ($n = 6$ players in each of 6 groups). Sports
6 with similar elements (soccer and field hockey) were expected to transfer pattern
7 recognition skill across their sports, whereas those with fewer shared elements
8 (volleyball) were not. Contrary to previous research (e.g., Chase and Simon 1973)
9 participants were faster and more accurate on *unstructured* compared to *structured*
10 pattern trials. There were no significant findings for response accuracy. However,
11 partial evidence for transfer of learning was found for response time as skilled soccer
12 and hockey players were faster at recognizing structured soccer and hockey clips
13 when compared to volleyball players, whereas volleyball players responded faster to
14 clips from their sport compared to the other sports. No other parts of the interaction
15 or study provided support for transfer of learning.

16 In a similar study, Abernethy Baker and Côté (2005) compared pattern recall
17 skill across 15 expert (3 netball, 8 field hockey, 4 basketball) and 10 intermediate
18 netball, basketball and field hockey players. Players viewed six video clips twice for
19 each sport and were required to recall, upon occlusion of the video on the second
20 viewing, the positions of the players on screen. The percentage of player positions
21 correctly recalled was the primary dependent variable but it did not differentiate
22 groups for any of the sports. The descriptive statistics and effect sizes were
23 forwarded to suggest that domain-specific experts were more accurate at recalling
24 player positions in their own sport when compared to the other participants, whilst in
25 some cases in partial support for transfer of learning the experts from other sports

1 were more accurate compared to intermediates in their own sport. It is possible that
2 the relatively long duration of video clips may have enabled all groups to recall player
3 positions to the same level of accuracy regardless of sports, especially since the
4 three sports share many similar elements.

5 The studies above provide, at best, partial support for the transfer of learning
6 hypothesis. However, the pattern recall skills examined by Abernethy et al. (2005)
7 are simply a test of memory and are not part of the decision making process during
8 dynamic goal-directed performance (for a review, see Ericsson et al. 2000).
9 Consequently, it is decision making that is central to expert performance in dynamic
10 goal-directed domains and it is on this variable that experts would be expected to
11 excel and, therefore, should be measured. Moreover, the studies of Abernethy et al.
12 (2005) and Smeeton et al. (2004) contain small sample sizes for groups, relatively
13 low numbers of analysed trials, and unexpected differences or lack of differences,
14 which all suggest a lack of statistical power. For example, in Smeeton et al. (2004)
15 the unstructured clips unexpectedly produced greater accuracy to structured clips,
16 whereas in Abernethy et al.(2005) there were no differences in accuracy between
17 skill groups. Additionally, it is debateable whether the between-group differences in
18 these studies are statistically meaningful (for a review, see Atkinson and Nevill 2001).
19 The percentage differences in group means amount to less than *one* recalled player
20 (Abernethy et al. 2005) or 1 second or less in response times that ranged from 6 to
21 7.5 seconds (Smeeton et al. 2004). Further research is required with larger sample
22 sizes in order to investigate whether decision making skill, as opposed to recognition
23 and recall skills, transfer between related domains.

24 The aim of this study is to examine whether decision making ability is specific
25 to a sport or whether it transfers between sports that have similar elements. Skilled

1 and less-skilled participants from three sporting groups (soccer, other invasion sports
2 and other sports) completed a soccer-specific decision making test. Invasion sports
3 are defined as those that require teams to score points in goals and lines positioned
4 at the end of the pitch behind the opposition team (e.g., soccer, basketball, gridiron),
5 whereas other sports include athletic, racket and target sports, such as tennis, golf,
6 athletics (Lauder 2001). In line with the concept of transfer of learning, it was
7 expected that expert participants from soccer would be better at decision making
8 compared to those from other unrelated sports, although not compared to those from
9 other invasion sports because those sports are related and have similar elements.

10 **Method**

11 **Participants**

12 Participants were 205 undergraduate sports science students (aged 20 ± 0.8
13 years; male = 155, female = 55) recruited from the School of Sport and Exercise
14 Sciences undergraduate body at Liverpool John Moores University. All procedures
15 were conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines of Liverpool John Moores
16 University, UK. A sport engagement questionnaire based on that used by Ford et al.
17 (2010) was used to identify 106 soccer players, 43 other invasion sport players (e.g.,
18 basketball, hockey, rugby union), and 58 other sport players (e.g., tennis, golf,
19 athletics). In each of the three sport classifications, participants were divided into
20 skilled (regional, national, international) and less-skilled (school, local club, college)
21 based on their highest level of performance.

22 **Procedure**

23 Participants completed a video-based temporal occlusion decision making
24 test in which they were required to decide on which action to execute in a series of 4
25 vs. 4 soccer game situations. The task was very similar to those used previously to

1 examine decision making in soccer (Helsen and Pauwels 1992; Williams and Davids
2 1998; Roca et al. 2011). The participants viewed soccer footage that was life-size on
3 a large video screen (1.5 m wide x 1.5 m high, 0.50 m from floor to bottom of screen).
4 Videos were viewed from the first person perspective of a back player of the team
5 who were in possession of the ball, who was not shown on the video. The participant
6 was required to play the role of the back player for the team in possession. Figure 1
7 shows an example of a video clip frame demonstrating the viewing perspective of the
8 participant. Each video clip started with a player in the participant's team in
9 possession of the ball. During the clip, the player in possession passed the ball
10 towards the participant as the other players moved around the pitch. Each video clip
11 ended when a white screen occluded the video on the frame in which the ball
12 reached the participant. The white screen remained for four seconds. During this
13 time, participants were required to select the option they would execute based on the
14 situation on screen prior to occlusion for one of five soccer actions (shoot, pass to
15 left, pass to centre, pass to right, dribble). The final situation on screen always
16 contained at least one of these options. Participants completed four warm-up trials
17 and 28 experimental trials.

18 **Data analysis**

19 A panel of three Union of European Football Associations (UEFA) qualified
20 soccer coaches watched all clips and selected the most appropriate decision/action
21 for a player to execute in the final situations on screen. There was 100% agreement
22 between the coaches as to the decision/action to be executed across trials. Each
23 participant was awarded a point for each correct answer in the decision making task
24 when their answer corresponded to that selected by the coaches. A total score was
25 calculated for each participant and expressed as a percentage for the primary

1 dependent variable of response accuracy. A two-way, between groups ANOVA was
2 used to analyse response accuracy score with sport type (soccer players, other
3 invasion sport players, other sport players) and expertise (skilled, less-skilled) as the
4 between groups factors. Significant effects were followed up using Tukey *post-hoc*
5 testing. The effect sizes were calculated using partial eta squared values (η_p^2) and
6 Cohen's *d* as appropriate. The alpha level for significance was set at 0.05. If the
7 sphericity assumption was violated, the Huynh-Feldt correction was used.

8 **Results**

9 There was a significant main effect of sport type on response accuracy
10 ($F_{1,196} = 100.43, P < 0.001, \eta_p^2 = 0.51$). Response accuracy for soccer players ($72 \pm$
11 10%) and other invasion sport players ($70 \pm 9 \%$) were significantly higher
12 compared to the other sports players ($53 \pm 8 \%$) ($d = 2.11$). There was no significant
13 difference in response accuracy between soccer players and other invasion sport
14 players ($d = 0.21$) (see Figure 1). There was a significant main effect of expertise on
15 response accuracy ($F_{1,196} = 9.27, P = 0.003, \eta_p^2 = 0.05$). Response accuracy for
16 skilled athletes ($68 \pm 14 \%$) was significantly higher compared to the less-skilled
17 athletes ($65 \pm 11 \%$) ($d = 0.24$). There was a significant interaction between sport
18 type and expertise for response accuracy ($F_{2,196} = 4.40, P = 0.01, \eta_p^2 = 0.04$). *Post*
19 *hoc* analysis revealed that response accuracy for soccer players was significantly
20 higher for skilled ($77 \pm 8 \%$) compared to less-skilled players ($69 \pm 10 \%$) ($d = 0.89$).
21 Response accuracy for other invasion sports players was also significantly higher for
22 skilled ($72 \pm 8 \%$) compared to less-skilled players ($67 \pm 8 \%$) ($d = 0.63$). There were
23 no significant differences for response accuracy between skilled ($53 \pm 12 \%$) and
24 less-skilled ($52 \pm 14 \%$) players in other sports ($d = 0.07$).

25 **Discussion**

1 The aim of this study is to examine whether transfer of decision making
2 accuracy occurs between sports or whether this ability is specific to a sport. In
3 support of the transfer of learning hypothesis, it was predicted that skilled
4 participants from soccer would not be more accurate at decision making compared
5 to those from other invasion sports, but will be compared to those from other sports,
6 which do not share similar elements.

7 As predicted by the transfer of learning hypothesis, the soccer group were *not*
8 more accurate at decision making compared to the other invasion sports group. Data
9 supports the transfer of learning theory because there was no difference in response
10 accuracy on the decision making test between groups from related sports with
11 similar elements. The response accuracy data support and extend the hypotheses of
12 Smeeton et al. (2004) and Abernethy et al. (2005) by showing positive transfer of
13 decision making skill between sports with similar elements. Moreover, as expected,
14 response accuracy was greater for the soccer and other invasion sports groups
15 compared to the other sports group. Data supports the specificity of learning theory
16 to some degree as decision making was more accurate for groups from the invasion
17 sports compared to unrelated other sports that have different elements. The
18 response accuracy data support the hypothesis of Smeeton et al. (2004) who
19 hypothesised that positive transfer of decision making skill would be impaired
20 between sports that have different elements.

21 Although findings illustrate the possibility that decision making accuracy may
22 transfer across sports with similar elements, at no point did athletes perform better
23 on a related sport when compared with their corresponding performance on the
24 primary sport. Therefore, we should not surmise that practice in a related sport (e.g.
25 rugby union) might be better for developing decision making compared to engaging

1 in the primary sport (e.g. soccer). Moreover, it might be that although some elements
2 of a sport may transfer across similar sports, there might be more idiosyncratic,
3 sport-specific elements that are only developed by training in the specific sport.

4 The specific mechanisms that enable transfer of learning to take place are not
5 well understood. Acquired visual search behaviors that are specific to the sport have
6 been shown to underpin successful decision making and different search behaviors
7 are observed between expert and less-skilled performers (Causer and Williams
8 2013). It is possible that acquired visual search behaviors may transfer between
9 sports that contain similar elements. Moreover, some mechanistic neural evidence
10 has started to emerge from the motor learning literature, demonstrating that previous
11 learning can expedite learning in a transfer condition (Seidler and Noll 2008).
12 Specifically, motor transfer has been associated with brain activation in areas such
13 as the right cingulate gyrus, left superior parietal lobule and bilaterally in the
14 cerebellum, which are thought to be involved in late learning and storage. Findings
15 suggest that transfer of learning requires the retrieval of previous acquired motor
16 representations, which expedites the early stages of learning in the transfer task
17 (Seidler 2010). It is possible that a similar mechanism is involved in the learning and
18 transfer of decision making skill. For example, it may be that engagement in a sport
19 enables the individual to develop well-refined representations in long-term working
20 memory (Ericsson and Kintsch 1995), which, when transferred into a sport with
21 similar elements, can be minimally adapted in order to allow the individual to respond
22 accurately. Future research should look to examine the mechanisms underpinning
23 transfer of decision making skill, and the potential methods to expedite skill
24 learning/transfer.

1 In summary, positive transfer of decision making skill occurred between
2 soccer and other invasion sports, supporting the concept of transfer of learning, but
3 not between invasion and other sports, providing some support for specificity of
4 learning.

5

6

References

7

8 Abernethy B, Baker J, Côté J (2005) Transfer of pattern recall skills may contribute
9 to the development of sport expertise. *Appl Cogn Psychol* 19:705-718

10 Atkinson G, Nevill AM (2001) Selected issues in the design and analysis of sport
11 performance research. *Journal of Sports Science* 19:811-827

12 Causer J, Williams AM (2013) Improving anticipation and decision making in sport. In:
13 O'Donoghue P, Sampaio J, McGarry T (eds) *The Routledge Handbook of*
14 *Sports Performance Analysis*. Routledge, London, pp 21-31

15 Chase WG, Simon HA (1973) Perception in Chess. *Cognit Psychol* 4:55-81

16 Dhami MK (2003) Psychological models of professional decision making. *Psychol*
17 *Sci* 14:175-180

18 Dicks M, Davids K, Button C (2009) Representative task design for the study of
19 perception and action in sport. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*
20 40:506-524

21 Duncan CP (1953) Transfer in motor learning as a function of degree of first-task
22 learning and inter-task similarity. *J Exp Psychol* 45 (1):1

23 Ericsson KA, Kintsch W (1995) Long-term working memory. *Psychol Rev* 102
24 (2):211-245

- 1 Ericsson KA, Patel V, Kintsch W (2000) How experts' adaptations to representative
2 task demands account for the expertise effect in memory recall: Comment on
3 Vicente and Wang (1998). *Psychol Rev* 107:578-592
- 4 Ford PR, Low J, McRobert AP, Williams AM (2010) Developmental activities that
5 contribute to high or low performance by elite cricket batters at recognizing
6 type of delivery from advanced postural cues. *J Sport Exerc Psychol* 32:638-
7 654
- 8 Helsen WF, Pauwels JM (1992) A cognitive approach to visual search in sport. In:
9 Brogan D, Carr K (eds) *Visual Search II*. Taylor & Francis, London, pp 177-
10 184
- 11 Klein G (1997) *The recognition-primed decision (RPD) model: Looking back, looking
12 forward*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc,
- 13 Launder AG (2001) *Play practice: The games based approach to teaching and
14 coaching sports*. Human Kinetics, Champaign, Ill
- 15 Rienhoff R, Hopwood MJ, Fischer L, Strauss B, Baker J, Schorer J (2013) Transfer
16 of motor and perceptual skills from basketball to darts. *Frontiers in
17 Psychology* 4:593
- 18 Roca A, Ford PR, McRobert AP, Williams AM (2011) Identifying the processes
19 underpinning anticipation and decision-making in a dynamic time-constrained
20 task. *Cognitive Processing* 12 (3):301-310
- 21 Seidler RD (2010) Neural correlates of motor learning, transfer of learning, and
22 learning to learn. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 38 (1):3
- 23 Seidler RD, Noll DC (2008) Neuroanatomical correlates of motor acquisition and
24 motor transfer. *J Neurophysiol* 99:1836-1845

- 1 Smeeton NJ, Ward P, Williams AM (2004) Do pattern recognition skills transfer
2 across sports? A preliminary analysis. *J Sports Sci* 22:205-213
- 3 Tenenbaum G (2003) Expert athletes: an integrated approach to decision making.
4 Expert performance in sports:191-218
- 5 Thorndike EL (1914) *Educational Psychology: Briefer Course*. Columbia University
6 Press, New York
- 7 Williams AM, Ford PR (2013) 'Game intelligence': Anticipation and decision making.
8 In: Williams AM (ed) *Science and Soccer III*. Routledge, London, pp 105-121
- 9 Williams AM, Abernethy B (2012) Anticipation and decision-making: skills, methods,
10 and measures. In: Tenenbaum G, Ecklund R (eds) *Handbook of*
11 *Measurement in Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Human Kinetics, Champaign,
12 IL, pp 191-202
- 13 Williams AM, Davids K (1998) Visual search strategy, selective attention and
14 expertise in soccer. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 69:111-128

15

16

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Figure Captions

Figure 1. An example of a frame from the video-based decision making test off 4 vs. 4 soccer game situations, which demonstrates the viewing perspective of the participant.

Figure 2. Response accuracy (%) in the soccer decision making task for skilled and less-skilled soccer players, other invasion sports players and other sports players

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

