

2

Is there Progress? An Overview of Select Biomarker Candidates for

Major Depressive Disorder

Juan Joseph Young, MD1,2, Tim Silber1, Davide Bruno, PhD3, Isaac Galatzer-Levy, PhD4, Nunzio Pomara, MD1,4,5, Charles Marmar, MD4,5*

- ⁵ ¹Nathan Kline Institute, Orangeburg, NY, USA ² Nathan Kline Institute, Orangeburg, NY, USA
- ⁶ ²Case Western Reserve University MetroHealth Psychiatry Department, Cleveland, OH, USA
- 7 ³Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK
- ⁴New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA
- ⁵NYU Cohen Veterans Center, New York, NY, USA
- 10 * Correspondence: Charles R. Marmar, M.D, Department of Psychiatry, New York University
- 11 (NYU), Langone Medical Center. 1 Park Avenue, 8th Floor New York, NY 10016 United States.
- 12 Charles.Marmar@nyumc.org

13 Keywords: Major depression, biomarker, multiplex, assay, diagnosis, trait, state.

14 Abstract

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) contributes to a significant worldwide disease burden, expected 15 to be second only to heart disease by 2050. However, accurate diagnosis has been a historical 16 17 weakness in clinical psychiatry. As a result, there is a demand for diagnostic modalities with greater 18 objectivity that could improve on current psychiatric practice that relies mainly on self-reporting of 19 symptoms and clinical interviews. Over the past two decades, literature on a growing number of 20 putative biomarkers for MDD increasingly suggests that MDD patients have significantly different 21 biological profiles compared to healthy controls. However, difficulty in elucidating their exact relationships within depression pathology renders individual markers inconsistent diagnostic tools. 22 23 Consequently, further biomarker research could potentially improve our understanding of MDD 24 pathophysiology as well as aid in interpreting response to treatment, narrow differential diagnoses, 25 and help refine current MDD criteria. Representative of this, multiplex assays using multiple sources of biomarkers are reported to be more accurate options in comparison to individual markers that 26 27 exhibit lower specificity and sensitivity, and are more prone to confounding factors. In the future, more sophisticated multiplex assays may hold promise for use in screening and diagnosing 28 29 depression and determining clinical severity as an advance over relying solely on current subjective diagnostic criteria. A pervasive limitation in existing research is heterogeneity inherent in MDD 30 studies, which impacts the validity of biomarker data. Additionally, small sample sizes of most 31

32 studies limit statistical power. Yet, as the RDoC project evolves to decrease these limitations, and

33 stronger studies with more generalizable data are developed, significant advances in the next decade

34 are expected to yield important information in the development of MDD biomarkers for use in

35 clinical settings.

36 1 Introduction

37 Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is a highly prevalent illness in the United States that causes broad 38 functional impairments (1) with significant public health costs (2, 3) and evidence of increasing rates 39 over the past few decades (4). Together, this indicates that there is significant need to develop an 40 objective characterization of the disorder for screening and diagnostics. The diagnosis of MDD currently relies on the clinical judgment of individual clinicians with high levels of subjectivity and 41 potential variability. Following the publication of the 5th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical 42 Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM 5), concerns have been expressed with regards to the revised 43 44 definition of MDD (5). Although based on opinion, the response to the changes of diagnostic criteria 45 has highlighted how differing beliefs exist with regards to the MDD diagnosis, the subjectivity of 46 diagnosing depressed patients, and the perception of a decrease in the reliability of MDD criteria 47 under DSM 5 guidelines (5). Concerns about the validity of psychiatric diagnosis for depressive disorders is disconcerting and further emphasize the demand for more objective diagnostic modalities 48 49 to assess MDD, such as blood-based and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers. Although there has 50 been a significant amount of research in the development of fluid biomarkers for use in establishing 51 MDD diagnosis (6-10), a consensus on which biomarkers are sensitive and specific enough to be 52 used in a clinical setting has yet to be reached (11). In fact, studies of putative monaminergic 53 biomarkers such as peripheral and CSF levels of serotonin, dopamine, and noradrenaline often report 54 conflicting results (12). Fortunately, there has also been an increased interest in other potential 55 approaches by which MDD biomarkers may be discovered (13, 14). The objective of this article is to 56 provide a broad overview of several types of biomarkers for MDD currently being investigated and 57 to describe recent progress in identifying biomarkers that may potentially aid in the standardization 58 of MDD diagnosis. Due to the sizeable literature investigating candidate MDD biomarkers and the 59 limited space afforded to the authors, this overview will only focus on a select number of tissue-60 based biomarkers and recent multiplex studies published before December 1, 2015, while excluding 61 current literature from the burgeoning neuroimaging biomarker data of structural imaging that has 62 been previously reviewed (15-17).

63 2 Biomarker Candidates

64 2.1 Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis (DST, DEX/CRH, Cortisol Response, Hypocretin)

HPA-axis hyperactivity has been associated with a spectrum of neuropsychiatric disorders due to its deleterious effects on the nervous system including dendritic process atrophy, decreased neurogenesis and neuroplasticity, and neuronal losses (18, 19); consequently, a wide range of biomarkers may be disrupted by HPA-axis dysfunction, such as disturbed adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) regulation, dysfunctional corticosteroid receptor signaling, and glucocorticoid excess (18).

Furthermore, mutations in genetic regions involved in abnormal HPA-axis function (such as the FKBP5 allele) have also been associated with an increased risk for depression, and are similarly associated with abnormal plasma cortisol and ACTH concentrations (20-23).

However, beyond genetic factors, epidemiologic and clinical studies have determined that disturbances in HPA axis function have been consistently associated with biological changes in depression (24, 25). For example, one facet of depression history that is associated with HPA axis changes is early life stress. Early life stress (e.g. maltreatment or abuse) was found to result in HPA axis dysfunction during childhood and adolescence, and contributed to an increased risk of developing MDD later in life (26).

80

Moreover, diminished cortisol suppression following dexamethasone (DEX) administration was observed in MDD patients with metabolic abnormalities of prefrontal and hippocampal regions, areas often related to MDD pathology (27). Other studies found that antidepressant treatment often resulted in decreased cortisol levels and a return to normal HPA axis function (28, 29).

85

86 Originally, as corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) has been reported to be associated with increased depressive symptoms such as anhedonia and reduced appetite (30), a combined DEX/CRH 87 88 test was thought to be capable of increasing diagnostic power over the Dexamethasone Suppression Test (DST) (12, 31). However, abnormal DEX/CRH results also occur in other psychiatric disorders 89 90 resulting in lack of specificity as a diagnostic biomarker for major depression (12). Measuring 91 cortisol levels is a more direct and accurate method of assessing HPA axis activity in depressed 92 patients (32). Additionally, more recent studies focusing on cortisol measurements have 93 demonstrated a link between cortisol levels and depression severity or depressive subtypes.

94

A recent meta-analysis (33) reports a significant association between HPA-axis hyperactivity as measured by elevated cortisol levels and the presence of melancholic or psychotic depression while lower cortisol levels were characteristic of depression with atypical features. For example, a longitudinal study of adolescents with depressive symptoms found that male adolescents with high morning salivary cortisol levels and increased depressive symptoms were more susceptible to the development of MDD demonstrating a sex-linked differentiation (34).

101 Another study also reported that persistent increases in cortisol awakening response (CAR) in 102 adolescents more strongly correlated with higher levels of depressive symptoms than with anxiety 103 symptoms (35). Lastly, a large cohort study confirmed increased CAR and dynamic cortisol 104 secretion in depressed patients compared to controls in both current MDD and remitted MDD 105 subjects, indicating that both measurements reflect an inherent risk in the development of depression 106 These studies suggest the use of morning salivary cortisol as a trait-like biomarker for (29).107 developing preventative measures for high-risk populations, especially in asymptomatic individuals 108 with possible genetic risks (36). However, a recent study revealed that increased CAR in healthy female adolescents significantly correlated with higher magnitudes of Profile of Mood States (POMS) subscale scores for "Tension-Anxiety," "Depression-Dejection," "Fatigue," and 109 110 111 "Confusion" (37) suggesting that morning salivary cortisol levels may also be descriptive of mood 112 states and episodic depressive symptoms rather than characteristic of a purely trait marker for MDD. 113 Such findings suggest variability in the use of salivary cortisol as a depression biomarker. However,

114 it is important to consider how these contrasting conclusions may be affected by methodological 115 heterogeneity and differences in subject populations among these studies.

116 Another possible biomarker source includes hypocretin, a neuropeptide that plays a role in sleep and 117 arousal. Recently, it has been suggested that decreased numbers and size of hypocretin-containing 118 neurons may be associated with the development of depressive symptoms including eating/drinking 119 behaviors and disrupted sleep (38, 39). One study found that hypocretin levels in the CSF of MDD-120 diagnosed patients with high suicidal ideation were significantly lower than those of patients with 121 dysthymia and adjustment disorder (40). Additionally, hypocretin levels correlated significantly with 122 CSF levels of other peptides that affect sleep and appetite including delta sleep-inducing-peptide-like 123 immunoreactivity (DSIP-IL), corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF), and somatostatin. Not only are 124 these results indicative of the diagnostic utility of measuring hypocretin concentrations, but these 125 peptides may also be useful in discriminating affective disorders by associating differing biological 126 characteristics with signs and symptoms of depression. However, one study reported results that 127 counter the more common conception of lower hypocretin levels in depression (41). Bearing in mind 128 the relatively few studies and the dynamic character of HPA axis components in general concerning 129 hypocretin-based biomarkers for depression, future studies would be instrumental in further

130 elucidating hypocretin effects in depressed patients.

131 2.2 Thyroid Function and Thyroid Autoimmunity

A number of studies have related thyroid dysfunction with depressive symptoms and depressive 132 133 disorders (42-50). However, a direct correlation is indeterminate as evidenced by a number of 134 conflicting studies (51-56). More recent studies have shown a relationship between levels of antithyroid antibodies with depression (57-59) and poorer "psychosocial well-being" (60). However, 135 136 there also exists literature demonstrating equivocal data concerning this association (61). In fact, one 137 group found that thyroid function and thyroid autoantibody levels were not associated with depression severity despite an association with the presence of depressive symptoms (58). Supporting 138 139 these results, a general population study showed no significant difference in depressive symptoms 140 between euthyroid individuals and those characterized to have subclinical hypothyroidism (62). 141 Conversely, another general population study found an increase in prevalence of lifetime depression 142 diagnosis in subjects positive for thyroid peroxidase antibodies, suggesting its use as a trait marker 143 for depression despite finding no association between depression disorder diagnosis and TSH or free 144 T4 levels (63). Interestingly, one study (64) found T3 and T4 levels derived from hair were 145 significantly lower in patients concurrently having a depressed episode (P<0.001), which may 146 indicate the use of thyroid hormones as a state-like biomarker. In this sense, future studies should 147 focus on readily accessible markers of thyroid function that have some state-like diagnostic utility in 148 major depression diagnosis as studies researching their use as trait-like markers have demonstrated 149 mostly equivocal results.

150 **2.3** Cytokines and Inflammatory Markers

There also exists an abundance of evidence that elevated proinflammatory cytokine concentrations and an increased immune response are associated with depression diagnosis, symptomatology, and severity (65-69). Reflective of this significant proinflammatory response in depressive disorders, a recent proteomic study found elevated levels of acute phase reactants (e.g. ferritin, serotransferrin, Haptoglobin-related protein, ceruloplasmin) and proinflammatory markers (e.g. IL-16, MIF,

156 Tenascin-C, EN-RAGE) in drug-naïve MDD patients, indicating a disorder-related increase in 157 immune processes (70). These findings are supported by neuroimaging and animal studies, which have demonstrated that alterations in neuroplasticity promote manifestations of depressive 158 159 phenotypes as a result of cytokine-induced neural apoptosis and metabolic dysregulation (71). 160 Further, inflammatory cytokines have been described to alter basal ganglia processes leading to common depressive phenotypical characteristics including anhedonia, fatigue, and psychomotor 161 162 retardation (72-75). A recent review also reported increased neopterin levels in a number of studies 163 of depressed patients, specifically in melancholic subtypes of depression (76). Additionally. 164 inflammatory markers IL-6 and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM) have been 165 associated with sleep disturbances in depressed patients (77). IL-8 and TNF- α have also been 166 reported to remain elevated in certain subsets of depressed patients after antidepressant therapy, 167 indicating possible trait characteristics (78).

168 C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin (IL)-6, specifically, have been found to exhibit trait 169 characteristics (i.e. gender effects, impact of early life adverse events) as an inflammatory biomarker 170 for depressive pathology (79-82). In their meta-analysis, Valkanova and colleagues (83) found that 171 these two putative analytes had a small but significant association with the development of 172 depressive symptoms, indicating the presence of raised inflammatory markers preceding the 173 development of MDD. However, the authors cautioned that their results might have limited 174 significance due to heterogeneity (i.e. of depression, methodologies, populations, etc.) across studies.

175 For instance, one study (84) found both higher and lower levels of different inflammatory markers in 176 major depressed patients depending on the presence or absence of melancholic features, indicating 177 that that the overall characteristics of depressive symptoms were more associated with the 178 composition of inflammatory profiles and less so on concentrations of individual markers. 179 Moreover, a study of an elderly population found that when controlling for age-related chronic 180 diseases, CRP was not a statistically significant marker associated with the presence of MDD or sub-181 threshold depression (85). Lastly, one group reported significantly lower levels of IL-6 in subjects 182 with high self-reported depressive symptoms while showing no significant differences of IL-8, IL-10, 183 and TNF- α levels when compared to controls (86). As a whole, these studies demonstrate the 184 complexities of relying on individual inflammatory marker concentrations to characterize generalized 185 depression.

186 Yet, there is reasonable evidence that suggests inflammatory responses are more prominent in certain subsets of MDD than others. A study evaluating biomarker associations with depressive subtypes 187 found that increased inflammatory markers (i.e. CRP, IL-6, TNF- α) were significantly associated 188 189 with atypical depression as compared to typical or melancholic depression (87). Consistent with 190 these results, a more recent study (88) reported that elevated IL-6 levels were consistently higher in 191 patients with atypical depression. Similarly, a recent study has detected consistently increased CRP 192 levels in depressed patients with comorbid diabetes mellitus (89). Moreover, these studies reinforce 193 clinical evidence that both inflammatory diseases and depression are often associated with comorbid 194 illnesses like metabolic disorders (87, 90), especially in more elderly subjects (91-93). It is possible 195 that in many cases, the predisposition to depression in patients with elevated inflammatory biomarker 196 concentrations is affected by a number of outlying factors often present before the emergence of the first depressive symptoms. Therefore, in addition to their lack of specificity to MDD, the diagnostic 197 198 value of individual inflammatory biomarkers could be hindered by some inherent heterogeneity of 199 depression. Although they may be useful as MDD biomarkers in a research environment, their low sensitivity and specificity (6) prevent them from being utilized in the majority of clinical settings. 200

201 In contrast to the relatively limiting findings of individual inflammatory analyte concentrations as biomarkers for depression, inflammatory markers have potential use as state markers by 202 characterizing treatment response to antidepressants (94, 95). Significantly, antidepressant effects 203 204 are associated with a decrease in proinflammatory/anti-inflammatory protein ratios, especially in 205 patients that respond to treatment when compared to non-responders or healthy controls (96). 206 Specified inflammatory markers tend to correlate well with treatment efficacy in depressed patients. 207 For instance, TNF- α levels have been reported as a marker of treatment response and psychopathological improvement (94, 97). However, a recent meta-analysis (98) failed to detect 208 209 pharmacological effects on serum levels of TNF- α , although they reported that IL-1 β levels 210 decreased after antidepressant treatment. Another analyte, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-211 CRP), was found to be a highly specific baseline biomarker when evaluating patient response to 212 Infliximab in treatment-resistant depression (TRD) (99). Similarly, CRP levels have been used to 213 differentially evaluate treatment efficacy between escitalopram and nortriptyline (100). Considering 214 the high incidence of treatment resistance in MDD diagnosed patients, inflammatory markers capable 215 of determining antidepressant treatment response will have a significant impact in depression 216 management and allow practitioners the ability to modify treatment plans according to personalized 217 histories and peripheral biomarker results. For further review, please read the following articles: Dantzer et al. (101), Leonard & Maes (102), Miller et al. (9, 75), Müller & Schwarz (103) Raison & 218 219 Miller (104), and Young et al. (105).

220 2.4 Markers of Oxidative Stress

221 Oxidative stress has also been proposed to have an important role in depression pathology (102, 106-222 108). Consistent with preclinical studies that display increased antioxidant capacity with antidepressant therapy (109-111), human studies have demonstrated that increased oxidative activity 223 224 is reversible by SSRI action in severely depressed, medication-naïve patients (112) or melancholic 225 patients (113), implying the involvement of oxidative processes in depressive disorders and monoamine metabolism. However, one study (114) found that treatment with antidepressants did not 226 227 affect oxidative-antioxidative markers in MDD subjects while another found increased oxidative 228 stress after treatment (115). An explanation for these inconsistent results may be the varying 229 oxidative effects of different antidepressant formulations and duration of treatment that vary between 230 studies. Whatever the case, the extensive literature associating oxidative processes and depression 231 suggests markers of oxidative stress may be able to identify depressed patients and quantify severity.

232 Several studies have found significantly increased oxidative stress markers (e.g. 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), F2 isoprostane, peroxidase, malondialdehyde (MDA), superoxide 233 234 dismutase (SOD)) and decreased antioxidative capacity in MDD patients (112-118). Some studies 235 have also demonstrated specific correlations of depressive subtypes or features with oxidative stress, 236 vet results remain conflicting. Decreased GSH has also been found to correlate with severity of 237 anhedonia in depressed patients (119) while plasma GSH-R and erythrocyte glutathione peroxidase 238 (GPX) levels were elevated in MDD patients with melancholic features (113). A study determining 239 the relationship between psychological responses and 8-OHdG levels found a positive correlation 240 with depression-rejection scores of the POMS scale in females compared to a negative correlation in men, suggesting gender differences in depression-associated oxidative damage markers (120). 241 242 Additionally, increased expression and distribution of allele frequencies of enzymatic proteins 243 involved in the production of oxidative free radicals (e.g. inducible nitric oxide synthase and 244 myeloperoxidase) were characteristic of patients with recurrent depression (121). Recently, Smaga

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

and colleagues (122) completed a review of a number of clinical studies that demonstrated higher oxidant status in depressed patients including higher plasma peroxide levels, higher nitric oxide levels in serum, and higher xanthine oxidase levels. The authors also found that oxidative DNA damage and higher levels of lipid peroxidation markers were also prevalent in a number of depression studies.

250 In elderly populations, free radicals have been implicated in the pathophysiology of other 251 neurodegenerative disorders along with MDD (123, 124). A study by our group has shown increased 252 CSF F2-isoprostanes in geriatric patients diagnosed with MDD; further, an inverse relationship was 253 found between amyloid-B 42 and F2-isoprostane CSF levels, suggesting that increased oxidative 254 stress pathology may be associated with increased brain amyloid burden (125). These findings are corroborated by other published findings that imply similar pathological mechanisms (e.g. increased 255 256 levels of lipid peroxidation) between major depressive disorder and chronic, age-related diseases 257 (126). Due to the complex neurobiological complications that are present in late-life depression, 258 there is a need to identify specific markers in order to direct biology-based treatment.

259 **2.5** Neurotrophins

260 Neurotrophins (i.e. nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), 261 neurotrophin (NT)-3, 4, and 5) are homodimeric proteins that interact with the tropomyosin receptor 262 kinase (Trk) family of receptors through which they mediate the processes of neurogenesis and neural plasticity in both the peripheral and central nervous systems (127). Several connectomic 263 studies have increasingly indicated the disruption of integral whole-brain structural networks in 264 MDD, suggesting the presence of abnormal neuronal synapse formation within certain populations of 265 depressed patients (128, 129). In fact, there is evidence that disrupted neurogenesis may be a 266 characteristic of MDD pathophysiology; especially of the hippocampus (130, 131). Due to the role 267 268 of neurotrophins in neuroplastiticty, their use as potential biomarkers has often been reviewed. Of 269 these, the most researched is BDNF, with studies finding its downregulation in the limbic structures 270 of chronic-stress exposed rats and reports of decreased peripheral levels in MDD patients (132-136). Significantly, a recent study (137) has shown serum BDNF may also have significant potential as a 271 272 discriminatory diagnostic tool for first major depressive episode (MDE) patients, prompting the need 273 for more expansive studies concerning its use in clinical settings. While there have been conflicting 274 results concerning correlations of depression severity with BDNF levels (138), BDNF concentrations have been reported to increase after antidepressant therapy with more prominent elevations in 275 276 patients with higher baseline depression severity (139-145). Several studies have also reported elevated BDNF levels in responders to antidepressant treatment compared to non-responders that 277 278 continued to demonstrate lower BDNF concentrations after pharmacologic management (146, 147). 279 These findings suggest that BDNF may be utilized as a state marker to assess psychopharmacological 280 therapy and prognosis of individual MDD patients (148), although the effect on BDNF levels may vary between different classes of antidepressants (149). Ultimately, due to its intrinsic function in 281 influencing the development and maintenance of a patient's cognitive abilities, BDNF could have 282 283 potential for evaluating other therapy effects involving learning, memory, and executive functions 284 (134, 150).

Alternatively, de Azevedo Cardoso and colleagues (151) have suggested that BDNF may also have trait-like properties. For example, differences between male and female BDNF levels have been associated with contrasting antidepressant effects between the two genders (145). Additionally, there

is evidence that BDNF levels are more negatively affected in patients with chronic depression who
have experienced more adverse life events (152). Supporting this theory, several groups have
illustrated how BDNF genotypic variations were associated with risk for depression (151, 153-157).
BDNF DNA methylation patterns have also been associated with depression severity, and the
presence of suicidal ideation in MDD subjects (158-160). Consequently, the potential for BDNF to
be a trait and state-like marker makes it one of the more versatile biomarker candidates being
researched today.

In contrast, fewer studies have focused on other neurotrophins, with the notable exception of NGF, which has been found to be increased during circumstances that cause anxiety or anticipation of anxiety (161). Regarding NGF's relationship to depressive symptoms, reports of NGF concentrations have yielded conflicting results (151, 162, 163). Additionally, due to its association with other affective disorders such as bipolar disorder (BPD) (164), it is unlikely to be specific to MDD. These limitations, however, should not preclude it from further research.

301 2.6 Markers in Genetics and Genomics for MDD

302 Past studies have suggested that there is a complex genetic component to the development of MDD, 303 with evidence that heritability is a key factor in a significant number of depression cases (165-167). Additionally, several studies have revealed various polymorphisms and overexpression of certain 304 305 genes in patients presenting with depressive symptoms (168-170). One example is a blood-based 306 study that found increased serotonin type 1A receptor $(5-HT_{1A})$ expression within platelets of MDD patients compared to controls (171). The authors also reported decreased levels of serotonin (5-HT), 307 308 platelet poor plasma (PPP) 5-HT, and a decrease of the 5-HT metabolite, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid 309 (5-HIAA), suggesting that increased 5-HT_{1A} expression inversely correlated with 5-HT activity via a 310 negative feedback mechanism. Often, such genetic variants imply pathological mechanisms 311 associated with the dysfunction of different biological systems implicated in depression. Another 312 example is HPA axis hyperactivity, which is believed to influence the pathogenesis of MDD due to 313 findings of glucocorticoid (GR) and mineralocorticoid (MR) receptor dysfunction in depressed 314 patients (24). For instance, a longitudinal study focusing on neuropsychiatric disorders in an elderly 315 community found that several single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of angiotensin-converting 316 enzyme (ACE) were significantly associated with the risk of late-life depression (172). Additionally, 317 they reported that two SNPs (rs4291 and rs4295) were associated with the risk of incident depression 318 over the study's 10-year follow-up. More recent studies have determined that polymorphisms of the 319 FKBP5 gene (a gene that plays a role in immune regulation) also modulate GRs, and have been 320 associated with the development of depression (20-23). A meta-analysis of HPA axis dysfunction 321 associated with GR abnormalities found that glucocorticoid-induced leucine zipper (GILZ), a product 322 of GR-initiated gene transcription, has been suggested to be associated with biological pathways 323 relevant to depression (173). Though few studies have focused on GILZ concerning depressive 324 disorders, there is clinical evidence that a reduction in its expression is associated with reduced 325 hippocampal volumes found in MDD diagnosed subjects (174).

More comprehensive data concerning the heritability of depressive disorders will likely come from the increasingly complex genome-wide research being conducted today. For example, the GeneQol Consortium (175) gathered data from a substantial number of studies that undoubtedly demonstrate the involvement of genetic variables in quality of life (QOL) domains (e.g fatigue, pain, general functioning, social functioning, general health). Of the biomarker candidates they reviewed,

331 candidate genes and molecular markers that had the most evidence of association with OOL domains

332 were genes for inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α). Additionally, inflammatory 333 markers (e.g. CRP), and anti-inflammatory markers (e.g. IL-1RN, IL-1RA, IL-10) were also 334 associated with a smaller number of QOL domains. Other QOL associated markers include genes for 335 dopaminergic and serotonergic synapses (MAOA, 5-HTT (SLC6A4), TPH1), the glutathione 336 metabolic pathway (DPYD), and pain receptor pathways (OPRM1). However, the specificity and 337 accuracy of these markers for MDD may be limited by significant genetic heritability among 338 psychiatric disorders (176), and the fact that current MDD genomic data is limited by heterogeneity 339 and insufficient power (177). Yet, these findings still underlie the potential of genetic irregularities to 340 play a role in more accurately characterizing and diagnosing depressive disorders. Currently, better 341 powered studies are required to determine the etiologic and genetic variables involved in MDD 342 pathology, especially when conducting genome-wide research.

343 MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a popular genetic marker in researching MDD biomarkers due to their 344 role as small RNA regulators involved in neural stem cell proliferation, neurogenesis, and neural 345 plasticity (178). In addition, several miRNA alterations were associated with an increase in risk for 346 major depression and negatively regulate the expression of either serotonin receptors (SERT) or 5-347 HT1B receptors (179). Significantly, one study (180) has indicated that miRNA profiles are capable 348 of separating Major Depressive Episode (MDE) patients from controls while a second study (181) 349 found 30 miRNAs to be differentially expressed in MDD patients after escitalopram treatment. These findings are further corroborated by results from a study demonstrating gene variations in 350 351 Drosha RNase and Digeorge syndrome critical region 8 (DGCR8), a known cofactor in miRNA 352 processing, and AGO1, a component protein involved in the production of mature miRNAs, as being 353 capable of significantly differentiating MDD patients and healthy controls in relation to genotype and 354 allele frequencies (182). Another study demonstrated that dysregulation of circadian rhythms in 355 MDD patients was associated with the rs76481776 polymorphism of miR-182, suggesting that 356 symptoms of MDD may be inherently linked to genetic variations that affect miRNA function (183). 357 These distinctive miRNA profiles in depressive disorders predispose them to becoming a promising 358 source of biomarkers for MDD research and diagnostics. With more studies confirming their 359 involvement in depression and with advances in miRNA expression measurement techniques (184), 360 miRNA data may prove to be useful additions to MDD biomarker panels.

361 Several studies have demonstrated an association between telomere length and depressive disorders. Szebeni and colleagues' recent post-mortem study, previously described, found decreased expression 362 363 of telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), an enzyme whose function is to prevent telomere 364 shortening (TS), in oligodendrocytes derived from different parts of the brain (185). Another study 365 found over expression of certain genes involved in propagating TS in the leukocytes of female MDD subjects (186). Specifically, these genes have been associated directly or indirectly with telomere dysfunction (STMN1, P16^{ink4a}), oxidative stress (OGG1), and aging (OGG1) while others (FOS, 366 367 DUSP1) were linked to the stress-related p38MAPK pathway, although they are not specific to 368 369 depression and may be found in normal aging or anxiety disorders (186). In fact, at least one large-370 scale study has shown an association between symptoms of anxiety and TS in comparison to 371 depression-associated telomere dysfunction over a 2-year period of time (187). Considering that 372 telomere length is a biomarker of cellular aging, it is not surprising that it is more commonly 373 associated with chronic periods of life-long depression rather than acute episodes (188).

Yet, shorter telomere lengths have also been observed in children of lower socioeconomic status with coexisting dopaminergic/serotonergic genetic sensitivity to harsher social environments (189). This study suggests that significant stress at an early age may be associated with genetic and biological

377 changes that predispose children to depressive disorders. Therefore, TS may not be exclusively 378 valuable as a biomarker in older populations, but may also be useful in identifying children who are 379 more prone to TS as a result of immature protective mechanisms against inflammatory, oxidative, 380 and HPA-axis effects on cellular genetic coding. Furthermore, a recent study reported a negative correlation between telomere length and cortisol reactivity in female adolescent subjects with familial 381 382 risk for depression (190). This study implies how inherent HPA axis dysregulation, consistent with 383 biological changes in depression pathology, is associated with telomere shortening that typifies the 384 accelerated cellular aging in younger cohorts (190). Accordingly, such studies indicate TS could find 385 more use as a predictive or screening marker in younger and geriatric populations, respectively, than 386 a specific biomarker for MDD. However, a recent large-scale study found increased mitochondrial 387 DNA and shortened telomere length in subjects with major depression status, but did not find either 388 variable to correlate with increased risk of developing major depression, suggesting characteristics of 389 a state biomarker (191). Further research will be required to elucidate the basis for these contrasting 390 findings.

391 Lastly, significant consideration should be given to the difficulty of directly associating genetic 392 phenotypes with psychiatric disorders. In response to this challenge, Gottesman and Gould (192) 393 proposed criteria for developing endophenotypes, intermediary constructs that would act as tractable 394 traits that could more effectively characterize the heritability of psychiatric disorders. Hasler et al. 395 (193), and more recently Goldstein and Klein (194), have published detailed reviews about both 396 psychopathological (e.g. neuroticism, anhedonia, depressed mood, increased stress sensitivity) and 397 biological (e.g. morning cortisol, tryptophan depletion, DEX/CRH, CRH dysfunction, hippocampal 398 volume, reduced 5HT1A receptor expression) endophenotypes for depression. However, there 399 continues to be a relative lack of evidence for current putative endophenotypes, specifically due to a 400 deficiency of family and twin studies (194). It is therefore possible that future endophenotype studies 401 and analysis may contribute to the growing literature characterizing MDD as well as further the 402 development and understanding of MDD etiology and pathophysiology that remain the most 403 heterogeneous components of the disorder.

404 2.7 Epigenetics

405 Epigenetic mechanisms have been used to explain how early life exposures to toxic or stressful 406 stimuli may contribute to the predisposition or development of mental illness (195). For depressive 407 disorders, histone modification at the amino (N)-terminal tails and DNA methylation have been the 408 most studied in determining how epigenetic factors affect the progression, severity, symptomatology, 409 and treatment response of depression (196, 197). Significantly, these epigenetic modifications may affect expression of certain receptors (e.g. glucocorticoid receptors in the hippocampus), which leads 410 411 to either an increased or decreased risk for depression in the future (196). This is supported by animal 412 studies that show antidepressant-like effects of histone deacetylase inhibitors (195, 196, 198-200), 413 which are thought to induce histone acetylation in certain regions of the brain. Overexpression of 414 DNA methyltransferases also leads to an increase in DNA methylation and has been associated with 415 abnormal dendritic spine plasticity and alterations in behavioral responses. Supporting this, one 416 group found site-specific hypermethylation of TrkB-T1 to be increased in suicide completers (201), suggesting a pattern of methylation abnormalities in subjects with depressive phenotypes. Recently, 417 epigenome-wide association studies have demonstrated several genes with methylation associations 418 419 in depressed subjects compared to controls. As these studies are mostly array-based, they have had 420 the advantage of investigating the entire genome, but replication studies are currently lacking (202).

421 One recent genome-wide study (203) was able to separate medication naïve MDD subjects from 422 controls by observing differences at 363 CpG sites that differed from the pattern they observed in 423 their schizophrenia patients (204) indicating disease-specific patterns. Furthermore, several candidate 424 gene studies involving DNA methylation have been investigated and include genes that have been previously implicated in depression. Among these genes, SLC6A4, BDNF, and NR3C1 have been 425 426 the most studied, with BDNF methylation having the most consistent data concerning associations 427 between DNA methylation and depressive symptoms/antidepressant response (202). This study 428 demonstrated a significant association between depression and methylation levels of BDNF at 429 specific CpG sites. Notably, the authors have shown that such robust biomarkers may come from 430 easily obtainable specimens such as buccal samples. Although epigenetic research is still in its 431 infancy, these epigenetic mechanisms and resulting patterns in chromatin remodeling are becoming 432 established as a basis by which chronic social defeat, early life stress, variability of maternal care, 433 and antidepressant therapy may influence the progression or resolution of depressive symptoms (197, 434 202, 205, 206). Further studies and elaboration on these mechanisms will likely lead to significant 435 advances in the development of an epigenetic model from which MDD biomarkers may be retrieved. Please see Nestler et al. (195), Tsankova et al. (197), and Januar et al (202) for further review. 436

437 **3** Proteomics, Metabolomics, and the Utility of Multiplex Assays

438 **3.1 Proteomic and Metabolomics Research**

439 There have been recent technological advances that have allowed more in-depth characterization of 440 medical disorders on both the analytical and clinical level. Mass spectrometry (MS) proteomics has 441 allowed researchers to quantify expression levels of proteins for detecting changes after translation or protein interactions (207). High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been used to 442 443 separate and assess proteomes/metabolites in both schizophrenia (208) and BPD (209). With 444 depression, Martins-de-Souza's group was able to observe differing levels of various proteins 445 involved in metabolic pathways and molecule transport between MDD subjects and control subjects 446 (P<0.05) (210). Interestingly, they found that those with MDD who developed psychosis had 447 differentially expressed proteins that were different from MDD subjects who did not develop 448 psychosis. Thus, their report suggests that proteomes may aid in the characterization of MDD 449 subtypes and the varied symptomology of psychiatric patients. There has also been an increase in use 450 of high-resolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to evaluate biofluids to 451 document not only baseline levels of metabolites, but produce complete time-lines of metabolite 452 variability that may result from drug administration or medical disorders (211). Consequently, a 453 number of recent studies have taken advantage of these more complex analytical tools to search for 454 possible MDD biomarkers in different biological systems.

Using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) coupled with multivariate statistical 455 456 analysis, Ding and colleagues were able to produce distinct blood-based metabolic profiles that were able to separate MDD patients from healthy controls (212). Critically, their study found significant 457 separation between a subgroup of MDD patients with "early life stress" (ELS) versus those that did 458 459 not have ELS, indicating possible use for characterizing depressive subtypes. Their investigation 460 further supports the theory of separate pathophysiologic mechanisms that cause differing metabolite 461 concentrations between MDD subtypes. This is a significant finding given that ELS has been 462 considered a preventable risk factor for a number of pathological psychiatric disorders (213). 463 Similarly, Zheng and colleagues (214) used a GS/MS-based urinary metabolite signature to

464 demonstrate significant separation of MDD from controls in both training samples and an 465 independent test cohort that included medicated MDD subjects. Another group used HPLC to 466 evaluate plasma levels of glutamic acid, aspartic acid, glycine, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), 467 and nitric oxide (NO) of medication-naïve melancholic MDD patients, and differentiate them from matched controls (215). The resulting data indicated that plasma GABA levels were associated with 468 469 anhedonia and suicidal ideation in affected MDD subjects. The authors observed that the studied 470 analytes could be used as trait-like biomarkers since metabolite plasma concentrations continued to 471 be abnormal even after 2 months of fluoxetine treatment despite having no significant correlation 472 with Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) scores or severity of depression. The results of 473 this study may indicate how dysregulation of the metabolism of monamine neurotransmitters may 474 vary and predict the course of depression in certain individuals. Ditzen et al. (216) used 2D 475 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and time-of-flight mass spectrometry peptide profiling to 476 determine differences in CSF proteomes between depressed patients and controls finding 11 477 significantly differentially expressed proteins and 16 phosphorylated proteins that separated the two 478 groups. These proteins have been implicated in CNS diseases, nervous system development, and cell 479 death. Additionally, Stelzhammer and colleagues (70) have demonstrated a number of proteomic 480 changes in first onset, drug-naïve MDD patients including markers of inflammation (ferritin, EN-RAGE, ceruloplasmin, IL-16, serotransferrin, tenascine-C), oxidative stress (cortisol), RAS markers 481 (ACE), and changes in growth factors (BDNF and GH). Lastly, Wang and colleagues (217) have also 482 483 reported consistently high sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in discriminating between MDD 484 subjects and healthy controls by using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight MS to determine peptide profiles in first episode, drug-naïve MDD. The potential for a laboratory-based 485 486 analysis to aid in MDD patient identification validates future research using these developing 487 technologies along with further evaluating any candidate biomarkers found to be capable of discriminating affective disorders. 488

489 **3.2 Emerging Multiplex-Based Biomarkers**

490 Though there have been a number of studies analyzing the various neurobiological features 491 persistently found in depressed patients, no specific marker from a single biological system has been 492 capable of significantly improving upon the current diagnostic criteria set for MDD patients. As 493 several of the aforementioned biomarkers seem necessary but not individually sufficient, multiplex 494 assays are currently the most promising to contribute consistent results to aid in further standardizing MDD diagnosis and research. As past studies have demonstrated, depression pathology is influenced 495 496 by disruption from multiple systems including the HPA axis, oxidative pathways, inflammatory 497 processes, and neurotrophic homeostasis. Collectively measuring the putative analytes of each 498 system will likely increase the power of any diagnostic panel developed for MDD. This concept is 499 supported by studies that used multiple analytes of different origins and considered to be potential 500 biological markers of depressive disorders to increase specificity and sensitivity in diagnosing MDD. 501 One study worth noting achieved high sensitivity (above 90%) and specificity (above 80%) in 502 distinguishing MDD patients from healthy controls (218). The authors used nine biomarkers from 503 different biological sources such as inflammatory and oxidative indices (al antitrypsin, 504 apoplipoprotein CIII, myeloperoxidase, soluble TNFa receptor type II); the HPA axis (epidermal GF, 505 cortisol); neurogenesis (BDNF); and metabolism (prolactin, resistin) to develop an algorithm that 506 produces a score that could potentially be used for an objective diagnosis of MDD. In addition to 507 achieving high sensitivity and specificity in their pilot study, Papakostas et al. also produced a similar 508 performance in their replication study. This group further refined their model algorithm by factoring

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

509 in gender, BMI, and normalized cortisol levels (219). Another group used CSF concentrations of 510 multiple analytes including inflammatory biomarkers (IL-6), serotonin metabolites (5hydroxyindoleacetic acid), dopamine metabolites (homovanillic acid), and HPA axis biomarkers 511 512 (hypocretin) to detect severe suicidal behavior and increased risk of completing suicidal attempts in Likewise, CSF protein biosignatures were found to be capable of 513 MDD patients (220). 514 discriminating depressed, bipolar, and schizophrenic patients from healthy controls (221). These 515 markers included proteins involved in neurogenesis (e.g. neuronal growth regulator 1, neural 516 proliferation differentiation and control protein); neurotransmission (seizure related 6 homolog 517 protein); and oxidative damage (glutathione peroxidase 3). However, Maccarrone and colleagues 518 have indicated difficulties differentiating between individual psychiatric disorders and controls, as 519 only a few proteins of their CSF biosignatures were found competent enough to distinguish between 520 disease groups. They have reported high accuracy rates of distinguishing bipolar, depressed, and 521 schizophrenic patients (i.e. 83.3% for MDD). Other multiplex studies that have been discussed in 522 previous sections have also shown significant inflammatory/oxidative features (70) and epigenetic 523 variations (203) in MDD subjects. Due to their inherent sophistication and more comprehensive 524 analysis relative to individual markers, these multiplex assays have the potential to reduce 525 inconsistent data that develop due to differences in study populations and methods seen in past, 526 single biomarker studies (219). However, it is currently imperative to conduct future studies that 527 focus on replicating and confirming such findings that yield increased MDD diagnostic accuracy 528 using these methods.

529 4 Limitations of Current Research

530 The main variables that are consistently problematic in the development of a reliably viable MDD biomarker involves the heterogeneity of depressive disorder pathophysiology, etiology, and study 531 532 designs, which in turn may contribute to conflicting data. As a result, variations between studies 533 reviewed here limit the precision and generalizability of the findings. Additionally, although with 534 notable exceptions mentioned (e.g., the ADNI study and Vreeburg et al. study (29)), most studies we 535 reviewed collected data from small samples sizes often consisting of fewer than 100 subjects. 536 Another difficulty is how to consistently associate biomarkers with DSM criteria for MDD (e.g. low 537 mood, poor concentration, suicidal ideation), which are not always necessary in diagnosing 538 depression and could be present in other psychiatric disorders including schizophrenia. 539 Consequently, any biomarkers that are heavily associated with non-specific clinical symptoms of 540 depression may produce a high rate of false positives. This is significant as the majority of studies 541 focus on exploring biological differences between depressive disorders and control groups, but do not 542 extensively evaluate putative biomarkers' diagnostic specificity against other psychiatric disorders. Although current research has an increasing neuroscience focus advocated by the National Institute 543 544 of Mental Health through the novel Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) project (222), we are likely 545 decades away from discovering the basic underpinnings of neurobiological changes present in 546 psychiatric disorders and how they relate to behavioral shifts; discoveries that are necessary to determine the adequacy of developing biomarkers (223). Consequently, the only standards available 547 to compare the validity and specificity of diagnostic biomarkers are syndromic and descriptive 548 549 categories developed by expert consensus (224). Although the most recent research on MDD 550 biomarkers has suggested the possibility of finding more objective forms of diagnostics compared to 551 the aforementioned diagnostic criteria in clinical use today, it is still unclear how these discrete

552 markers would relate to the diverse clinical presentations and differing populations that continuously 553 confound research on MDD.

554 **5** Conclusions

555 Multiple biological pathways are robust sources of tissue-based MDD biomarkers with trait and state 556 characteristics. However, individual biomarkers currently impart limited clinical utility. In the future, multiplex assays comprised of putative depression biomarkers may improve upon the clinical 557 558 evaluation of MDD, assess treatment efficacy, and serve to standardize discharge criteria. However, 559 independent replication studies with large sample sizes are needed to fully substantiate the validity of 560 such panels. Furthermore, the use of these markers are limited by high costs and confounding factors associated with each component of prospective diagnostic constituents (225). If these markers 561 become reproducible and translate into readily available diagnostic tools with ease of access, low 562 563 cost, rapid formulation, and high sensitivity/specificity, the implications for clinical use would be tremendous. After decades of investigations and several promising markers falling into obscurity, it 564 is difficult to say whether we are getting closer or farther away from one of the holy grails of 565 566 diagnostic biomarkers for depression. Suffice it to say, every study that contributes to the development of such biomarkers will assuredly be needed if such a goal is to be achieved. As the 567 RDoC project and current technology evolve to lessen the limitations of past studies, future large 568 569 scale MDD biomarker studies will be necessary to yield advances that will hopefully have utility in 570 the clinical setting.

571 6 Acknowledgments

572 None

573 7 Conflicts of Interest and Funding

574 The authors report no conflicts of interest or funding

575 8 Author Contributions

576 JY, TM, DB, IG, NP, and CM contributed to the conception and design of this manuscript, revised

the manuscript after critically appraising all information contained in the manuscript, and approvedthe final version.

579 9 References

- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA. (2003) 289(23):3095-105.
- 583 2. Kessler RC. The costs of depression. Psychiatr Clin North Am. (2012) 35(1):1-14. doi:
 584 10.1016/j.psc.2011.11.005
- Mathers C, Stevens G, Mascarenhas M. Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to
 Selected Major Risks. *World Health Organization*. (2009) 68 p. Order Number 11500772.
- Ferrari AJ, Somerville AJ, Baxter AJ, Norman R, Patten SB, Vos T, et al. Global variation in the
 prevalence and incidence of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the

- 589 epidemiological literature. *Psychol Med.* (2013) 43(3):471-81. doi:
- 59010.1017/S0033291712001511
- 5. Uher R, Payne JL, Pavlova B, Perlis RH. Major depressive disorder in dsm-5: implications for
 clinical practice and research of changes from dsm-iv. *Depress Anxiety*. (2014) 31(6):459-71.
 doi: 10.1002/da.22217.
- Lichtblau N, Schmidt FM, Schumann R, Kirkby KC, Himmerich H. Cytokines as biomarkers in depressive disorder: current standing and prospects. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. (2013) 25(5):592-603. doi: 10.3109/09540261.2013.813442.
- 597 7. Lopresti AL, Maker GL, Hood SD, Drummond PD. A review of peripheral biomarkers in major
 598 depression: the potential of inflammatory and oxidative stress biomarkers. *Prog* 599 *Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. (2014) 48:102-11. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.09.017.
- 8. Mamdani F, Martin MV, Lencz T, Rollins B, Robinson DG, Moon EA, et al. Coding and
 noncoding gene expression biomarkers in mood disorders and schizophrenia. Dis Markers.
 (2013) 35(1):11-21. doi: 10.1155/2013/748095.
- Miller AH, Maletic V, Raison CL. Inflammation and its discontents: the role of cytokines in the
 pathophysiology of major depression. Biol Psychiatry. (2009) 65(9):732-41. doi:
 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.11.029
- 10. Uddin M. Blood-based biomarkers in depression: emerging themes in clinical research. Mol
 Diagn Ther. (2014) 18(5):469-82. doi: 10.1007/s40291-014-0108-1.
- Boksa P. A way forward for research on biomarkers for psychiatric disorders. J Psychiatry
 Neurosci. (2013)38(2):75-7. doi: 10.1503/jpn.130018.
- Kunugi H, Hori H, Ogawa S. Biochemical markers subtyping major depressive disorder.
 Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. (2015) 69(10):597-608. doi: 10.1111/pcn.12299.
- Enaw JO, Smith AK. Biomarker Development for Brain-Based Disorders: Recent Progress in
 Psychiatry. J Neurol Psychol. (2013) 1(2):7.
- Woods AG, Iosifescu DV, Darie CC. Biomarkers in major depressive disorder: the role of mass
 spectrometry. Adv Exp Med Biol. (2014) 806:545-60. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-06068-2_27.
- Lener MS, Iosifescu DV. In pursuit of neuroimaging biomarkers to guide treatment selection in
 major depressive disorder: a review of the literature. Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2015) 1344:50-65. doi:
 10.1111/nyas.12759.
- 619 16. Sundermann B, Olde Lütke Beverborg M, Pfleiderer B. Toward literature-based feature selection
 620 for diagnostic classification: a meta-analysis of resting-state fMRI in depression. Front Hum
 621 Neurosci. (2014) 8:692. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00692.
- Wolfers T, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF, Franke B, Marquand AF. From estimating activation
 locality to predicting disorder: A review of pattern recognition for neuroimaging-based
 psychiatric diagnostics. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. (2015) 57:328-49. doi:
 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.08.001.
- 18. Holsboer F. The corticosteroid receptor hypothesis of depression. Neuropsychopharmacology.
 (2000) 23(5):477-501.
- 628 19. Sapolsky RM. Glucocorticoids and hippocampal atrophy in neuropsychiatric disorders. Arch
 629 Gen Psychiatry. (2000) 57(10):925-35.
- 630 20. Lavebratt C, Aberg E, Sjöholm LK, Forsell Y. Variations in FKBP5 and BDNF genes are
 631 suggestively associated with depression in a Swedish population-based cohort. J Affect Disord.
 632 (2010) 125(1-3):249-55. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2010.02.113.
- Lekman M, Laje G, Charney D, Rush AJ, Wilson AF, Sorant AJ, et al. The FKBP5-gene in
 depression and treatment response--an association study in the Sequenced Treatment

- Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) Cohort. Biol Psychiatry. (2008) 63(12):1103-10.
 doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.10.026.
- 637 22. Menke A, Klengel T, Rubel J, Brückl T, Pfister H, Lucae S, et al. Genetic variation in FKBP5
 638 associated with the extent of stress hormone dysregulation in major depression. Genes Brain
 639 Behav. (2013) 12(3):289-96. doi: 10.1111/gbb.12026.
- 23. Zobel A, Schuhmacher A, Jessen F, Höfels S, von Widdern O, Metten M, et al. DNA sequence
 variants of the FKBP5 gene are associated with unipolar depression. Int J
- 642 Neuropsychopharmacol. (2010) 13(5):649-60. doi: 10.1017/S1461145709991155.
- Pariante CM, Lightman SL. The HPA axis in major depression: classical theories and new developments. Trends Neurosci. (2008) 31(9):464-8. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2008.06.006.
- 645 25. Varghese FP, Brown ES. The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis in Major Depressive
 646 Disorder: A Brief Primer for Primary Care Physicians. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry.
 647 (2001) 3(4):151-155.
- 648 26. Shea A, Walsh C, Macmillan H, Steiner M. Child maltreatment and HPA axis dysregulation:
 649 relationship to major depressive disorder and post traumatic stress disorder in females.
 650 Psychoneuroendocrinology. (2005) 30(2):162-78.
- Aihara M, Ida I, Yuuki N, Oshima A, Kumano H, Takahashi K, et al. HPA axis dysfunction in
 unmedicated major depressive disorder and its normalization by pharmacotherapy correlates
 with alteration of neural activity in prefrontal cortex and limbic/paralimbic regions. Psychiatry
 Res. (2007) 155(3):245-56.
- 28. Kunugi H, Ida I, Owashi T, Kimura M, Inoue Y, Nakagawa S, et al. Assessment of the
 dexamethasone/CRH test as a state-dependent marker for hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA)
 axis abnormalities in major depressive episode: a Multicenter Study.
 Neuropsychopharmacology. (2006) 31(1):212-20.
- Vreeburg SA, Hoogendijk WJ, van Pelt J, Derijk RH, Verhagen JC, van Dyck R, et al. Major
 depressive disorder and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity: results from a large cohort
 study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. (2009) 66(6):617-26. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.50.
- 30. Waters RP, Rivalan M, Bangasser DA, Deussing JM, Ising M, Wood SK, et al. Evidence for the
 role of corticotropin-releasing factor in major depressive disorder. Neurosci Biobehav Rev.
 (2015) 58:63-78. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.011.
- 31. Heuser I, Yassouridis A, Holsboer F. The combined dexamethasone/CRH test: a refined
 laboratory test for psychiatric disorders. J Psychiatr Res. (1994) 28(4):341-56.
- Baslakis G, Krumm B, Gilles M, Schweiger U, Heuser I, Richter I, et al. Discrimination between patients with melancholic depression and healthy controls: comparison between 24-h cortisol profiles, the DST and the Dex/CRH test. Psychoneuroendocrinology. (2011) 36(5):691-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.10.002.
- 33. Stetler C, Miller GE. Depression and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activation: a quantitative
 summary of four decades of research. Psychosom Med. (2011) 73(2):114-26. doi:
 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31820ad12b.
- 674 34. Owens M, Herbert J, Jones PB, Sahakian BJ, Wilkinson PO, Dunn VJ, et al. Elevated morning
 675 cortisol is a stratified population-level biomarker for major depression in boys only with high
 676 depressive symptoms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2014) 111(9):3638-43. doi:
 677 10.1073/pnas.1318786111.
- SA, Hale WW 3rd, Branje SJ, van Lier PA, Jansen LM, Platje E, et al. Persistent
 heightened cortisol awakening response and adolescent internalizing symptoms: a 3-year

- longitudinal community study. J Abnorm Child Psychol. (2014) 42(5):767-77. doi:
 10.1007/s10802-013-9820-2.
- Mannie ZN, Harmer CJ, Cowen PJ. Increased waking salivary cortisol levels in young people at
 familial risk of depression. Am J Psychiatry. (2007) 164(4):617-21.
- Shibuya I, Nagamitsu S, Okamura H, Ozono S, Chiba H, Ohya T, et al. High correlation between
 salivary cortisol awakening response and the psychometric profiles of healthy children.
 Biopsychosoc Med. (2014) 8(1):9. doi: 10.1186/1751-0759-8-9.
- 38. Allard JS, Tizabi Y, Shaffery JP, Trouth CO, Manaye K. Stereological analysis of the
 hypothalamic hypocretin/orexin neurons in an animal model of depression. Neuropeptides.
 (2004) 38(5):311-5.
- 690 39. Krishnan V, Nestler EJ. The molecular neurobiology of depression. Nature. (2008)
 691 455(7215):894-902. doi: 10.1038/nature07455.
- 40. Brundin L, Björkqvist M, Petersén A, Träskman-Bendz L. Reduced orexin levels in the
 cerebrospinal fluid of suicidal patients with major depressive disorder. Eur
 Neuropsychopharmacol. (2007) 17(9):573-9.
- 41. Salomon RM, Ripley B, Kennedy JS, Johnson B, Schmidt D, Zeitzer JM, et al. Diurnal variation
 of cerebrospinal fluid hypocretin-1 (Orexin-A) levels in control and depressed subjects. Biol
 Psychiatry. (2003) 54(2):96-104.
- 42. Berlin I, Payan C, Corruble E, Puech AJ. Serum thyroid-stimulating-hormone concentration as an index of severity of major depression. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. (1999) 2(2):105-110.
- 43. Brouwer JP, Appelhof BC, Hoogendijk WJ, Huyser J, Endert E, Zuketto C, et al. Thyroid and adrenal axis in major depression: a controlled study in outpatients. Eur J Endocrinol. (2005) 152(2):185-91.
- 44. Chueire VB, Romaldini JH, Ward LS. Subclinical hypothyroidism increases the risk for
 depression in the elderly. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. (2007) 44(1):21-8.
- 45. Demet MM, Ozmen B, Deveci A, Boyvada S, Adigüzel H, Aydemir O. Depression and anxiety
 in hyperthyroidism. Arch Med Res. (2002) 33(6):552-6.
- 46. Demet MM, Ozmen B, Deveci A, Boyvada S, Adiguzel H, Aydemir O. Depression and anxiety
 in hypothyroidism. West Indian Med J. (2003) 52(3):223-7.
- 47. Gold MS, Pottash AL, Extein I. "Symptomless" autoimmune thyroiditis in depression.
 Psychiatry Res. (1982) 6(3):261-9.
- 48. Haggerty JJ Jr, Garbutt JC, Evans DL, Golden RN, Pedersen C, Simon JS, et al. Subclinical
 hypothyroidism: a review of neuropsychiatric aspects. Int J Psychiatry Med. (1990) 20(2):193208.
- 49. Holtmann M, Duketis E, Goth K, Poustka L, Boelte S. Severe affective and behavioral
 dysregulation in youth is associated with increased serum TSH. J Affect Disord. (2010) 121(12):184-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2009.06.009.
- 50. Nemeroff CB, Simon JS, Haggerty JJ Jr, Evans DL. Antithyroid antibodies in depressed patients.
 Am J Psychiatry. (1985) 142(7):840-3.
- 51. Blum MR, Wijsman LW, Virgini VS, Bauer DC, den Elzen WP, Jukema JW, et al. Subclinical
 Thyroid Dysfunction and Depressive Symptoms among Elderly: A Prospective Cohort Study.
 Neuroendocrinology. (2015) [Epub ahead of print]
- 52. Engum A, Bjøro T, Mykletun A, Dahl AA. Thyroid autoimmunity, depression and anxiety; are
 there any connections? An epidemiological study of a large population. J Psychosom Res. (2005)
 59(5):263-8.

- 53. Park YJ, Lee EJ, Lee YJ, Choi SH, Park JH, Lee SB, et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism (SCH) is
 not associated with metabolic derangement, cognitive impairment, depression or poor quality of
 life (QoL) in elderly subjects. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. (2010) 50(3):e68-73. doi:
- 728 10.1016/j.archger.2009.05.015.
- 54. Kirkegaard C, Kørner A, Faber J. Increased production of thyroxine and inappropriately elevated
 serum thyrotropin in levels in endogenous depression. Biol Psychiatry. (1990) 27(5):472-6.
- 55. Premachandra BN, Kabir MA, Williams IK. Low T3 syndrome in psychiatric depression. J
 Endocrinol Invest. (2006) 29(6):568-72.
- 56. Williams MD, Harris R, Dayan CM, Evans J, Gallacher J, Ben-Shlomo Y. Thyroid function and
 the natural history of depression: findings from the Caerphilly Prospective Study (CaPS) and a
 meta-analysis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). (2009) 70(3):484-92. doi: 10.1111/j.13652265.2008.03352.x.
- 57. Carta MG, Loviselli A, Hardoy MC, Massa S, Cadeddu M, Sardu C, et al. The link between
 thyroid autoimmunity (antithyroid peroxidase autoantibodies) with anxiety and mood disorders
 in the community: a field of interest for public health in the future. BMC Psychiatry. (2004)
 4:25.
- 58. Fam J, Rush AJ, Burt T, Chan ES, Siddiqui FJ, Assam PN, et al. Thyroid Autoimmune
 Antibodies and Major Depressive Disorder in Women. Ann Acad Med Singapore. (2015)
 44(8):284-9.
- 59. Kuijpens JL, Vader HL, Drexhage HA, Wiersinga WM, van Son MJ, Pop VJ. Thyroid
 peroxidase antibodies during gestation are a marker for subsequent depression postpartum. Eur J
 Endocrinol. (2001) 145(5):579-84.
- Müssig K, Künle A, Säuberlich AL, Weinert C, Ethofer T, Saur R, et al. Thyroid peroxidase
 antibody positivity is associated with symptomatic distress in patients with Hashimoto's
 thyroiditis. Brain Behav Immun. (2012) 26(4):559-63. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2012.01.006.
- 61. Haggerty JJ Jr, Silva SG, Marquardt M, Mason GA, Chang HY, Evans DL, et al. Prevalence of
 antithyroid antibodies in mood disorders. Depress Anxiety. (1997) 5(2):91-6.
- Fjaellegaard K, Kvetny J, Allerup PN, Bech P, Ellervik C. Well-being and depression in
 individuals with subclinical hypothyroidism and thyroid autoimmunity a general population
 study. Nord J Psychiatry. (2015) 69(1):73-8. doi: 10.3109/08039488.2014.929741.
- van de Ven AC, Muntjewerff JW, Netea-Maier RT, de Vegt F, Ross HA, Sweep FC, et al.
 Association between thyroid function, thyroid autoimmunity, and state and trait factors of
 depression. Acta Psychiatr Scand. (2012) 126(5):377-84. doi: 10.1111/j.16000447.2012.01870.x.
- 64. Wei J, Sun G, Zhao L, Liu X, Lin D, Li T, et al. Hair thyroid hormones concentration in patients
 with depression changes with disease episodes in female Chinese. Psychiatry Res. (2014) 220(12):251-3. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.07.029.
- Lindqvist D, Janelidze S, Hagell P, Erhardt S, Samuelsson M, Minthon L, et al. Interleukin-6 is
 elevated in the cerebrospinal fluid of suicide attempters and related to symptom severity. Biol
 Psychiatry. (2009) 66(3):287-92. Doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.01.030.
- Maes M. Evidence for an immune response in major depression: a review and hypothesis. Prog
 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (1995) 19(1):11-38.
- 67. Maes M. The cytokine hypothesis of depression: inflammation, oxidative & nitrosative stress
- (IO&NS) and leaky gut as new targets for adjunctive treatments in depression. Neuro Endocrinol
 Lett. (2008) 29(3):287-91.

- Raison CL, Borisov AS, Majer M, Drake DF, Pagnoni G, Woolwine BJ, et al. Activation of
 central nervous system inflammatory pathways by interferon-alpha: relationship to monoamines
 and depression. Biol Psychiatry. (2009) 65(4):296-303. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.08.010.
- 69. Uddin M, Koenen KC, Aiello AE, Wildman DE, de los Santos R, Galea S. Epigenetic and
- inflammatory marker profiles associated with depression in a community-based epidemiologic
 sample. Psychol Med. (2011) 41(5):997-1007. doi: 10.1017/S0033291710001674.
- 776 70. Stelzhammer V, Haenisch F, Chan MK, Cooper JD, Steiner J, Steeb H, et al. Proteomic changes
 777 in serum of first onset, antidepressant drug-naïve major depression patients. Int J
 778 Neuropsychopharmacol. (2014) 17(10):1599-608. doi: 10.1017/S1461145714000819.
- 779 71. Hayley S, Poulter MO, Merali Z, Anisman H. The pathogenesis of clinical depression: stressor 780 and cytokine-induced alterations of neuroplasticity. Neuroscience. (2005) 135(3):659-78.
- 781 72. Brydon L, Harrison NA, Walker C, Steptoe A, Critchley HD. Peripheral inflammation is
 782 associated with altered substantia nigra activity and psychomotor slowing in humans. Biol
 783 Psychiatry. (2008) 63(11):1022-9. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.12.007.
- 784 73. Capuron L, Pagnoni G, Demetrashvili MF, Lawson DH, Fornwalt FB, Woolwine B, et al. Basal
 785 ganglia hypermetabolism and symptoms of fatigue during interferon-alpha therapy.
 786 Neuropsychopharmacology. (2007) 32(11):2384-92.
- 787 74. Eisenberger NI, Berkman ET, Inagaki TK, Rameson LT, Mashal NM, Irwin MR. Inflammation788 induced anhedonia: endotoxin reduces ventral striatum responses to reward. Biol Psychiatry.
 789 (2010) 68(8):748-54. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.010.
- 75. Miller AH, Haroon E, Raison CL, Felger JC. Cytokine targets in the brain: impact on neurotransmitters and neurocircuits. Depress Anxiety. (2013) 30(4):297-306. doi: 10.1002/da.22084.
- 76. Kalia M, Costa E Silva J. Biomarkers of psychiatric diseases: current status and future prospects.
 Metabolism. (2015) 64(3 Suppl 1):S11-5. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2014.10.026.
- 795 77. Motivala SJ, Sarfatti A, Olmos L, Irwin MR. Inflammatory markers and sleep disturbance in major depression. Psychosom Med. (2005) 67(2):187-94.
- 78. Mikova O, Yakimova R, Bosmans E, Kenis G, Maes M. Increased serum tumor necrosis factor
 alpha concentrations in major depression and multiple sclerosis. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.
 (2001) 11(3):203-8.
- 800
 79. Brummett BH, Boyle SH, Ortel TL, Becker RC, Siegler IC, Williams RB. Associations of 801 depressive symptoms, trait hostility, and gender with C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 802 response after emotion recall. Psychosom Med. (2010) 72(4):333-9. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181d2f104.
- 80. Miller GE, Cole SW. Clustering of depression and inflammation in adolescents previously
 805 exposed to childhood adversity. Biol Psychiatry. (2012) 72(1):34-40. doi:
 806 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.02.034.
- 807 81. Slopen N, Kubzansky LD, McLaughlin KA, Koenen KC. Childhood adversity and inflammatory
 808 processes in youth: a prospective study. Psychoneuroendocrinology. (2013) 38(2):188-200. doi:
 809 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.05.013.
- 810
 82. Vetter ML, Wadden TA, Vinnard C, Moore RH, Khan Z, Volger S, et al; POWER-UP Research
 811
 812
 813
 814
 814
 815
 815
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 819
 819
 810
 810
 810
 810
 810
 810
 811
 812
 812
 812
 812
 814
 815
 814
 815
 815
 815
 816
 817
 818
 818
 819
 819
 810
 810
 810
 810
 810
 810
 811
 812
 812
 812
 814
 814
 815
 814
 815
 814
 815
 814
 815
 814
 815
 814
 814
 815
 814
 815
 814
 814
 814
 815
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 814
 8
- 813 83. Valkanova V, Ebmeier KP, Allan CL. CRP, IL-6 and depression: a systematic review and meta814 analysis of longitudinal studies. J Affect Disord. (2013) 150(3):736-44. doi:
- 815 10.1016/j.jad.2013.06.004.

- 816 84. Rothermundt M, Arolt V, Peters M, Gutbrodt H, Fenker J, Kersting A, et al. Inflammatory 817 markers in major depression and melancholia. J Affect Disord. (2001) 63(1-3):93-102.
- 818
 85. Bremmer MA, Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, Penninx BW, Dik MG, Hack CE, et al. Inflammatory markers in late-life depression: results from a population-based study. J Affect Disord. (2008) 106(3):249-55.
- 86. Podlipný J, Hess Z, Vrzalová J, Rosolová H, Beran J, Petrlová B. Lower serum levels of
 interleukin-6 in a population sample with symptoms of depression than in a population sample
 without symptoms of depression. Physiol Res. (2010) 59(1):121-6.
- 87. Lamers F, Vogelzangs N, Merikangas KR, de Jonge P, Beekman AT, Penninx BW. Evidence for
 a differential role of HPA-axis function, inflammation and metabolic syndrome in melancholic
 versus atypical depression. Mol Psychiatry. (2013) 18(6):692-9. doi: 10.1038/mp.2012.144.
- 827 88. Rudolf S, Greggersen W, Kahl KG, Hüppe M, Schweiger U. Elevated IL-6 levels in patients
 828 with atypical depression but not in patients with typical depression. Psychiatry Res. (2014)
 829 217(1-2):34-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.02.016.
- 830 89. Alvarez A, Faccioli J, Guinzbourg M, Castex MM, Bayón C, Masson W, et al. Endocrine and
 831 inflammatory profiles in type 2 diabetic patients with and without major depressive disorder.
 832 BMC Res Notes. (2013) 6:61. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-6-61.
- 833 90. Zeugmann S, Quante A, Heuser I, Schwarzer R, Anghelescu I. Inflammatory biomarkers in 70
 834 depressed inpatients with and without the metabolic syndrome. J Clin Psychiatry. (2010)
 835 71(8):1007-16. doi: 10.4088/JCP.08m04767blu.
- 836 91. Au B, Smith KJ, Gariépy G, Schmitz N. C-reactive protein, depressive symptoms, and risk of
 837 diabetes: results from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA). J Psychosom Res.
 838 (2014) 77(3):180-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.07.012.
- 92. Doyle TA, de Groot M, Harris T, Schwartz F, Strotmeyer ES, Johnson KC, et al. Diabetes,
 depressive symptoms, and inflammation in older adults: results from the Health, Aging, and
 Body Composition Study. J Psychosom Res. (2013) 75(5):419-24. doi:
- 842 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.08.006.
- 843 93. Viscogliosi G, Andreozzi P, Chiriac IM, Cipriani E, Servello A, Marigliano B, et al. Depressive
 844 symptoms in older people with metabolic syndrome: is there a relationship with inflammation?
 845 Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. (2013) 28(3):242-7. doi: 10.1002/gps.3817.
- 846 94. Lanquillon S, Krieg JC, Bening-Abu-Shach U, Vedder H. Cytokine production and treatment response in major depressive disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. (2000) 22(4):370-9.
- 848 95. Munzer A, Sack U, Mergl R, Schönherr J, Petersein C, Bartsch S, et al. Impact of
 849 antidepressants on cytokine production of depressed patients in vitro. Toxins (Basel). (2013)
 850 5(11):2227-40. doi: 10.3390/toxins5112227.
- 96. Myint AM, Leonard BE, Steinbusch HW, Kim YK. Th1, Th2, and Th3 cytokine alterations in major depression. J Affect Disord. (2005) 88(2):167-73.
- 853 97. Tuglu C, Kara SH, Caliyurt O, Vardar E, Abay E. Increased serum tumor necrosis factor-alpha
 854 levels and treatment response in major depressive disorder. Psychopharmacology (Berl). (2003)
 855 170(4):429-33.
- 856 98. Hannestad J, DellaGioia N, Bloch M. The effect of antidepressant medication treatment on
 857 serum levels of inflammatory cytokines: a meta-analysis. Neuropsychopharmacology. (2011)
 858 36(12):2452-9. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.132.
- 859 99. Raison CL, Rutherford RE, Woolwine BJ, Shuo C, Schettler P, Drake DF, et al. A randomized
 860 controlled trial of the tumor necrosis factor antagonist infliximab for treatment-resistant

- depression: the role of baseline inflammatory biomarkers. JAMA Psychiatry. (2013) 70(1):3141. doi: 10.1001/2013.jamapsychiatry.4.
- 100.Uher R, Tansey KE, Dew T, Maier W, Mors O, Hauser J, et al. An inflammatory biomarker as a
 differential predictor of outcome of depression treatment with escitalopram and nortriptyline.
 Am J Psychiatry. (2014) 171(12):1278-86. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14010094.
- 101.Dantzer R, O'Connor JC, Freund GG, Johnson RW, Kelley KW. From inflammation to sickness
 and depression: when the immune system subjugates the brain. Nat Rev Neurosci. (2008)
 9(1):46-56.
- 102. Leonard B, Maes M. Mechanistic explanations how cell-mediated immune activation,
 inflammation and oxidative and nitrosative stress pathways and their sequels and concomitants
 play a role in the pathophysiology of unipolar depression. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. (2012)
 36(2):764-85. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.12.005.
- 103.Müller N, Schwarz MJ. The immune-mediated alteration of serotonin and glutamate: towards an
 integrated view of depression. Mol Psychiatry. (2007) 12(11):988-1000.
- 104.Raison CL, Miller AH. Role of inflammation in depression: implications for phenomenology,
 pathophysiology and treatment. Mod Trends Pharmacopsychiatri. (2013) 28:33-48. doi:
 10.1159/000343966.
- 878 105. Young JJ, Bruno D, Pomara N. A review of the relationship between proinflammatory cytokines
 879 and major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord. (2014) 169:15-20. doi:
 880 10.1016/j.jad.2014.07.032.
- 106. Maes M, Galecki P, Chang YS, Berk M. A review on the oxidative and nitrosative stress
 (O&NS) pathways in major depression and their possible contribution to the (neuro)degenerative
 processes in that illness. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (2011) 35(3):676-92. doi:
 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.05.004.
- 107.Michel TM, Frangou S, Thiemeyer D, Camara S, Jecel J, Nara K, et al. Evidence for oxidative
 stress in the frontal cortex in patients with recurrent depressive disorder--a postmortem study.
 Psychiatry Res. (2007) 151(1-2):145-50.
- 108.Ng F, Berk M, Dean O, Bush AI. Oxidative stress in psychiatric disorders: evidence base and
 therapeutic implications. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. (2008) 11(6):851-76. doi:
 10.1017/S1461145707008401.
- 109. Eren I, Naziroğlu M, Demirdaş A. Protective effects of lamotrigine, aripiprazole and
 escitalopram on depression-induced oxidative stress in rat brain. Neurochem Res. (2007)
 32(7):1188-95.
- 110. Moretti M, Colla A, de Oliveira Balen G, dos Santos DB, Budni J, de Freitas AE, et al. Ascorbic
 acid treatment, similarly to fluoxetine, reverses depressive-like behavior and brain oxidative
 damage induced by chronic unpredictable stress. J Psychiatr Res. (2012) 46(3):331-40. doi:
 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.11.009.
- 898 111.Pal SN, Dandiya PC. Glutathione as a cerebral substrate in depressive behavior. Pharmacol
 899 Biochem Behav. (1994) 48(4):845-51.
- 112.Khanzode SD, Dakhale GN, Khanzode SS, Saoji A, Palasodkar R. Oxidative damage and major
 depression: the potential antioxidant action of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors. Redox
 Rep. (2003) 8(6):365-70.
- 113.Bilici M, Efe H, Köroğlu MA, Uydu HA, Bekaroğlu M, Değer O. Antioxidative enzyme
 activities and lipid peroxidation in major depression: alterations by antidepressant treatments. J
 Affect Disord. (2001) 64(1):43-51.

- 114. Sarandol A, Sarandol E, Eker SS, Erdinc S, Vatansever E, Kirli S. Major depressive disorder is
 accompanied with oxidative stress: short-term antidepressant treatment does not alter oxidative antioxidative systems. Hum Psychopharmacol. (2007) 22(2):67-73.
- 115.Chung CP, Schmidt D, Stein CM, Morrow JD, Salomon RM. Increased oxidative stress in
 patients with depression and its relationship to treatment. Psychiatry Res. (2013) 206(2-3):213-6.
 doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2012.10.018.
- 912 116.Forlenza MJ, Miller GE. Increased serum levels of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine in clinical
 913 depression. Psychosom Med. (2006) 68(1):1-7.
- 117.Kodydková J, Vávrová L, Zeman M, Jirák R, Macásek J, Stanková B, et al. Antioxidative
 enzymes and increased oxidative stress in depressive women. Clin Biochem. (2009) (1314):1368-74. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2009.06.006.
- 917 118. Szuster-Ciesielska A, Słotwińska M, Stachura A, Marmurowska-Michałowska H, Dubas-Slemp
 918 H, Bojarska-Junak A, et al. Accelerated apoptosis of blood leukocytes and oxidative stress in
 919 blood of patients with major depression. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (2008)
- 920 32(3):686-94.
- 119.Lapidus KA, Gabbay V, Mao X, Johnson A, Murrough JW, Mathew SJ, et al. In vivo (1)H MRS
 study of potential associations between glutathione, oxidative stress and anhedonia in major
 depressive disorder. Neurosci Lett. (2014) 569:74-9. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.03.056.
- 120.Irie M, Asami S, Ikeda M, Kasai H. Depressive state relates to female oxidative DNA damage
 via neutrophil activation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. (2003) 311(4):1014-8.
- 121. Talarowska M, Bobińska K, Zajączkowska M, Su KP, Maes M, Gałecki P. Impact of
 oxidative/nitrosative stress and inflammation on cognitive functions in patients with recurrent
 depressive disorders. Med Sci Monit. (2014) 20:110-5. doi: 10.12659/MSM.889853.
- 122. Smaga I, Niedzielska E, Gawlik M, Moniczewski A, Krzek J, Przegaliński E, et al. Oxidative
 stress as an etiological factor and a potential treatment target of psychiatric disorders. Part 2.
 Depression, anxiety, schizophrenia and autism. Pharmacol Rep. (2015) (3):569-80. doi:
 10.1016/j.pharep.2014.12.015.
- 123.Luca M, Luca A, Calandra C. Accelerated aging in major depression: the role of nitro-oxidative
 stress. Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2013) 2013:230797. doi: 10.1155/2013/230797.
- 124.Rodrigues R, Petersen RB, Perry G. Parallels between major depressive disorder and
 Alzheimer's disease: role of oxidative stress and genetic vulnerability. Cell Mol Neurobiol.
 (2014) 34(7):925-49. doi: 10.1007/s10571-014-0074-5.
- 125.Pomara N, Bruno D, Sarreal AS, Hernando RT, Nierenberg J, Petkova E, et al. Lower CSF
 amyloid beta peptides and higher F2-isoprostanes in cognitively intact elderly individuals with
 major depressive disorder. Am J Psychiatry. (2012) 169(5):523-30.
- 126. Joshi YB, Praticò D. Lipid peroxidation in psychiatric illness: overview of clinical evidence.
 Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2014) 2014:828702. doi: 10.1155/2014/828702.
- 127.Hashimoto K. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a biomarker for mood disorders: an historical
 overview and future directions. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. (2010) 64(4):341-57. doi:
 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2010.02113.x.
- 128.Long Z, Duan X, Wang Y, Liu F, Zeng L, Zhao JP, et al. Disrupted structural connectivity
 network in treatment-naive depression. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (2015)
 56:18-26. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2014.07.007.
- 949 129.Korgaonkar MS, Fornito A, Williams LM, Grieve SM. Abnormal structural networks
- 950 characterize major depressive disorder: a connectome analysis. Biol Psychiatry. (2014)
 951 76(7):567-74. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.02.018.

- 130.Boldrini M, Hen R, Underwood MD, Rosoklija GB, Dwork AJ, Mann JJ, et al. Hippocampal angiogenesis and progenitor cell proliferation are increased with antidepressant use in major depression. Biol Psychiatry. (2012) 72(7):562-71. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.04.024.
- 131. Masi G, Brovedani P. The hippocampus, neurotrophic factors and depression: possible
 implications for the pharmacotherapy of depression. CNS Drugs. (2011) 25(11):913-31. doi:
 10.2165/11595900-00000000-00000.
- 132.Diniz BS, Teixeira AL, Talib LL, Mendonça VA, Gattaz WF, Forlenza OV. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor level is reduced in antidepressant-free patients with late-life depression.
 World J Biol Psychiatry. (2010) 11(3):550-5. doi: 10.3109/15622970903544620.
- 961 133.Lee BH, Kim YK. Reduced platelet BDNF level in patients with major depression. Prog
 962 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (2009) 33(5):849-53. doi:
 963 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2009.04.002.
- 134.Oral E, Canpolat S, Yildirim S, Gulec M, Aliyev E, Aydin N. Cognitive functions and serum
 levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in patients with major depressive disorder. Brain Res
 Bull. (2012) 88(5):454-9. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2012.03.005.
- 135. Satomura E, Baba H, Nakano Y, Maeshima H, Suzuki T, Arai H. Correlations between brainderived neurotrophic factor and clinical symptoms in medicated patients with major depression.
 J Affect Disord. (2011) 135(1-3):332-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.06.041.
- 970 136. Villanueva R. Neurobiology of major depressive disorder. Neural Plast. (2013) 2013:873278.
 971 doi: 10.1155/2013/873278.
- 137. Karlović D, Serretti A, Jevtović S, Vrkić N, Serić V, Peleš AM. Diagnostic accuracy of serum
 brain derived neurotrophic factor concentration in antidepressant naïve patients with first major
 depression episode. J Psychiatr Res. (2013) 47(2):162-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.09.017.
- 138. Jevtović S, Karlović D, Mihaljević-Peleš A, Šerić V, Vrkić N, Jakšić N. Serum Brain-derived
 neurotrophic factor (BDNF): the severity and symptomatic dimensions of depression. Psychiatr
 Danub. (2011) 23(4):363-9.
- 139.Aydemir O, Deveci A, Taneli F. The effect of chronic antidepressant treatment on serum brainderived neurotrophic factor levels in depressed patients: a preliminary study. Prog
 Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. (2005) 29(2):261-5.
- 140.Birkenhäger TK, Geldermans S, Van den Broek WW, van Beveren N, Fekkes D. Serum brainderived neurotrophic factor level in relation to illness severity and episode duration in patients
 with major depression. J Psychiatr Res. (2012) 46(3):285-9. doi:
- 984 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.12.006.
- 141.Dell'Osso L, Del Debbio A, Veltri A, Bianchi C, Roncaglia I, Carlini M, et al. Associations
 between brain-derived neurotrophic factor plasma levels and severity of the illness, recurrence
 and symptoms in depressed patients. Neuropsychobiology. (2010) 62(4):207-12. doi:
 10.1159/000319946.
- 142.Ninan PT, Shelton RC, Bao W, Guico-Pabia CJ. BDNF, interleukin-6, and salivary cortisol
 levels in depressed patients treated with desvenlafaxine. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol
 Psychiatry. (2014) 48:86-91. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.09.016.
- 143. Sen S, Duman R, Sanacora G. Serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor, depression, and
 antidepressant medications: meta-analyses and implications. Biol Psychiatry. (2008) 64(6):527 32. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.05.005.
- 144. Shimizu E, Hashimoto K, Okamura N, Koike K, Komatsu N, Kumakiri C, et al. Alterations of
 serum levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in depressed patients with or without
 antidepressants. Biol Psychiatry. (2003) 54(1):70-5.

- 998 145. Yasui-Furukori N, Tsuchimine S, Nakagami T, Fujii A, Sato Y, Tomita T, et al. Association
- 999between plasma paroxetine concentration and changes in plasma brain-derived neurotrophic1000factor levels in patients with major depressive disorder. Hum Psychopharmacol. (2011)
- 1001 26(3):194-200. doi: 10.1002/hup.1192.
- 1002 146.Lee HY, Kim YK. Plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a peripheral marker for the action
 1003 mechanism of antidepressants. Neuropsychobiology. (2008) 57(4):194-9. doi:
 10.1159/000149817.
- 1005 147.Kurita M, Nishino S, Kato M, Numata Y, Sato T. Plasma brain-derived neurotrophic factor
 1006 levels predict the clinical outcome of depression treatment in a naturalistic study. PLoS One.
 1007 (2012) 7(6):e39212. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039212.
- 1008 148. Molendijk ML, Bus BA, Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, Kenis G, Prickaerts J, et al. Serum levels of
 brain-derived neurotrophic factor in major depressive disorder: state-trait issues, clinical features
 and pharmacological treatment. Mol Psychiatry. (2011) 16(11):1088-95. doi:
 10.1038/mp.2010.98.
- 149.Hellweg R, Ziegenhorn A, Heuser I, Deuschle M. Serum concentrations of nerve growth factor
 and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in depressed patients before and after antidepressant
 treatment. Pharmacopsychiatry. (2008) 41(2):66-71. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1004594.
- 1015 150.Munno D, Sterpone S, Fania S, Cappellin F, Mengozzi G, Saroldi M, et al. Plasma brain derived
 1016 neurotrophic factor levels and neuropsychological aspects of depressed patients treated with
 1017 paroxetine. Panminerva Med. (2013) 55(4):377-84.
- 1018 151.de Azevedo Cardoso T, Mondin TC, Wiener CD, Marques MB, Fucolo Bde Á, Pinheiro RT, et
 1019 al. Neurotrophic factors, clinical features and gender differences in depression. Neurochem Res.
 1020 (2014) 39(8):1571-8. doi: 10.1007/s11064-014-1349-4.
- 1021 152.Bus BA, Molendijk ML, Tendolkar I, Penninx BW, Prickaerts J, Elzinga BM, et al. Chronic
 1022 depression is associated with a pronounced decrease in serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor
 1023 over time. Mol Psychiatry. (2015) 20(5):602-8. doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.83.
- 1024 153. Anttila S, Huuhka K, Huuhka M, Rontu R, Hurme M, Leinonen E, et al. Interaction between 51025 HT1A and BDNF genotypes increases the risk of treatment-resistant depression. J Neural
 1026 Transm (Vienna). (2007) 114(8):1065-8.
- 1027 154.Gatt JM, Nemeroff CB, Dobson-Stone C, Paul RH, Bryant RA, Schofield PR, et al. Interactions
 1028 between BDNF Val66Met polymorphism and early life stress predict brain and arousal pathways
 1029 to syndromal depression and anxiety. Mol Psychiatry. (2009) 14(7):681-95. doi:
 1030 10.1038/mp.2008.143.
- 1031 155.Hwang JP, Tsai SJ, Hong CJ, Yang CH, Lirng JF, Yang YM. The Val66Met polymorphism of
 1032 the brain-derived neurotrophic-factor gene is associated with geriatric depression. Neurobiol
 1033 Aging. (2006) 27(12):1834-7.
- 1034 156.Licinio J, Dong C, Wong ML. Novel sequence variations in the brain-derived neurotrophic
 1035 factor gene and association with major depression and antidepressant treatment response. Arch
 1036 Gen Psychiatry. (2009) 66(5):488-97. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.38.
- 1037 157.Ribeiro L, Busnello JV, Cantor RM, Whelan F, Whittaker P, Deloukas P, et al. The brain 1038 derived neurotrophic factor rs6265 (Val66Met) polymorphism and depression in Mexican 1039 Americans. Neuroreport. (2007) 18(12):1291-3.
- 1040 158.Fuchikami M, Morinobu S, Segawa M, Okamoto Y, Yamawaki S, Ozaki N, et al. DNA
 1041 methylation profiles of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) gene as a potent diagnostic
 1042 biomarker in major depression. PLoS One. (2011) 6(8):e23881. doi:
- 1043 10.1371/journal.pone.0023881.

- 1044 159.Kang HJ, Kim JM, Lee JY, Kim SY, Bae KY, Kim SW, et al. BDNF promoter methylation and
 1045 suicidal behavior in depressive patients. J Affect Disord. (2013) (2):679-85. doi:
 1046 1016/j.iod 2013 08 001
- 1046 10.1016/j.jad.2013.08.001.
- 1047 160.Song Y, Miyaki K, Suzuki T, Sasaki Y, Tsutsumi A, Kawakami N, et al. Altered DNA
 1048 methylation status of human brain derived neurotrophis factor gene could be useful as biomarker
 1049 of depression. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. (2014) 165B(4):357-64. doi:
 1050 10.1002/ajmg.b.32238.
- 1051 161.Cirulli F, Alleva E. The NGF saga: from animal models of psychosocial stress to stress-related
 1052 psychopathology. Front Neuroendocrinol. (2009) 30(3):379-95. doi:
 10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.05.002.
- 1054 162. Diniz BS, Teixeira AL, Machado-Vieira R, Talib LL, Gattaz WF, Forlenza OV. Reduced serum
 1055 nerve growth factor in patients with late-life depression. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. (2013)
 1056 21(5):493-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2013.01.014.
- 1057 163.Martino M, Rocchi G, Escelsior A, Contini P, Colicchio S, de Berardis D, et al. NGF serum
 1058 levels variations in major depressed patients receiving duloxetine. Psychoneuroendocrinology.
 1059 (2013) 38(9):1824-8. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.02.009.
- 1060 164.Barbosa IG, Huguet RB, Neves FS, Reis HJ, Bauer ME, Janka Z, et al. Impaired nerve growth
 1061 factor homeostasis in patients with bipolar disorder. World J Biol Psychiatry. (2011) 12(3):2281062 32. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2010.518629.
- 1063 165.Belmaker RH, Agam G. Major depressive disorder. N Engl J Med. (2008) 358(1):55-68. doi:
 1064 10.1056/NEJMra073096.
- 1065 166.López-León S, Janssens AC, González-Zuloeta Ladd AM, Del-Favero J, Claes SJ, Oostra BA,
 1066 van Duijn CM. Meta-analyses of genetic studies on major depressive disorder. Mol Psychiatry.
 1067 (2008) 13(8):772-85.
- 1068 167.Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and
 1069 meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry. (2000) 157(10):1552-62.
- 1070 168.Gadow KD, Smith RM, Pinsonneault JK. Serotonin 2A receptor gene (HTR2A) regulatory
 1071 variants: possible association with severity of depression symptoms in children with autism
 1072 spectrum disorder. Cogn Behav Neurol. (2014) 27(2):107-16. doi:
 10.1097/WNN.0000000000028.
- 1074 169.Schulze TG, Müller DJ, Krauss H, Scherk H, Ohlraun S, Syagailo YV, et al. Association
 1075 between a functional polymorphism in the monoamine oxidase A gene promoter and major
 1076 depressive disorder. Am J Med Genet. (2000) 96(6):801-3.
- 1077 170.Zhou Y, Wang J, He Y, Zhou J, Xi Q, Song X, et al. Association between dopamine beta1078 hydroxylase 19-bp insertion/deletion polymorphism and major depressive disorder. J Mol
 1079 Neurosci. (2015) (2):367-71. doi: 10.1007/s12031-014-0339-y.
- 1080 171.Zhang ZJ, Wang D, Man SC, Ng R, McAlonan GM, Wong HK, et al. Platelet 5-HT(1A) receptor
 1081 correlates with major depressive disorder in drug-free patients. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol
 1082 Biol Psychiatry. (2014) 53:74-9. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2014.03.004.
- 1083 172. Ancelin ML, Carrière I, Scali J, Ritchie K, Chaudieu I, Ryan J. Angiotensin-converting enzyme
 1084 gene variants are associated with both cortisol secretion and late-life depression. Transl
 1085 Psychiatry. (2013) 3:e322. doi: 10.1038/tp.2013.95.
- 1086 173. Thiagarajah AS, Eades LE, Thomas PR, Guymer EK, Morand EF, Clarke DM, et al. GILZ:
- 1087 Glitzing up our understanding of the glucocorticoid receptor in psychopathology. Brain Res.
- 1088 (2014) 1574:60-9. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2014.06.008.

- 1089 174.Frodl T, Carballedo A, Hughes MM, Saleh K, Fagan A, Skokauskas N, et al. Reduced 1090 expression of glucocorticoid-inducible genes GILZ and SGK-1: high IL-6 levels are associate
- expression of glucocorticoid-inducible genes GILZ and SGK-1: high IL-6 levels are associated
 with reduced hippocampal volumes in major depressive disorder. Transl Psychiatry. (2012)
 2:e88. doi: 10.1038/tp.2012.14.
- 1093 175. Sprangers MA, Thong MS, Bartels M, Barsevick A, Ordoñana J, Shi Q, et al.; GeneQol
 1094 Consortium. Biological pathways, candidate genes, and molecular markers associated with
 1095 quality-of-life domains: an update. Qual Life Res. (2014) 23(7):1997-2013. doi:
 1096 10.1007/s11136-014-0656-1.
- 1097 176.Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Lee SH, Ripke S, Neale BM,
 1098 Faraone SV, Purcell SM, et al.; International Inflammatory Bowel Disease Genetics Consortium
 1099 (IIBDGC). Genetic relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide
 1100 SNPs. Nat Genet. (2013) 45(9):984-94. doi: 10.1038/ng.2711.
- 1101 177.Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric GWAS Consortium, Ripke S,
 Wray NR, Lewis CM, Hamilton SP, Weissman MM, et al. A mega-analysis of genome-wide
 association studies for major depressive disorder. Mol Psychiatry. (2013) 18(4):497-511. doi:
 1104 10.1038/mp.2012.21.
- 1105 178.Shi Y, Zhao X, Hsieh J, Wichterle H, Impey S, Banerjee S, et al. MicroRNA regulation of neural
 1106 stem cells and neurogenesis. J Neurosci. (2010) 30(45):14931-6. doi:
 1107 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4280-10.2010.
- 1108 179.Im HI, Kenny PJ. MicroRNAs in neuronal function and dysfunction. Trends Neurosci. (2012)
 1109 35(5):325-34. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2012.01.004.
- 180.Belzeaux R, Bergon A, Jeanjean V, Loriod B, Formisano-Tréziny C, Verrier L, et al. Responder
 and nonresponder patients exhibit different peripheral transcriptional signatures during major
 depressive episode. Transl Psychiatry. (2012) 2:e185. doi: 10.1038/tp.2012.112.
- 1113 181.Bocchio-Chiavetto L, Maffioletti E, Bettinsoli P, Giovannini C, Bignotti S, Tardito D, et al.
 1114 Blood microRNA changes in depressed patients during antidepressant treatment. Eur
 1115 Neuropsychopharmacol. (2013) 23(7):602-11. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2012.06.013.
- 1116
 182.He Y, Zhou Y, Xi Q, Cui H, Luo T, Song H, et al. Genetic variations in microRNA processing
 genes are associated with susceptibility in depression. DNA Cell Biol. (2012) 31(9):1499-506.
 doi: 10.1089/dna.2012.1660.
- 1119 183.Saus E, Soria V, Escaramís G, Vivarelli F, Crespo JM, Kagerbauer B, et al. Genetic variants and
 abnormal processing of pre-miR-182, a circadian clock modulator, in major depression patients
 with late insomnia. Hum Mol Genet. (2010) 19(20):4017-25. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddq316.
- 1122 184.Liu X, Zhang L, Cheng K, Wang X, Ren G, Xie P. Identification of suitable plasma-based
 1123 reference genes for miRNAome analysis of major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord. (2014)
 1124 163:133-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.12.035.
- 1125 185.Szebeni A, Szebeni K, DiPeri T, Chandley MJ, Crawford JD, Stockmeier CA, et al. Shortened
 1126 telomere length in white matter oligodendrocytes in major depression: potential role of oxidative
 1127 stress. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. (2014) 17(10):1579-89. doi: 10.1017/S1461145714000698.
- 1128 186. Teyssier JR, Chauvet-Gelinier JC, Ragot S, Bonin B. Up-regulation of leucocytes genes
 implicated in telomere dysfunction and cellular senescence correlates with depression and
 anxiety severity scores. PLoS One. (2012) 7(11):e49677. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0049677.
- 1130 anxiety seventy secrets. (2012) 7(11):e49077. doi: 10.1371/journal.poinc.0049077
 1131 187.Hoen PW, Rosmalen JG, Schoevers RA, Huzen J, van der Harst P, de Jonge P. Association
 1132 between anxiety but not depressive disorders and leukocyte telomere length after 2 years of
- follow-up in a population-based sample. Psychol Med. (2013) 43(4):689-97. doi:
- 1134 10.1017/S0033291712001766.

- 1135 188. Wolkowitz OM, Mellon SH, Epel ES, Lin J, Dhabhar FS, Su Y, et al. Leukocyte telomere length
 in major depression: correlations with chronicity, inflammation and oxidative stress--preliminary
 findings. PLoS One. (2011) 6(3):e17837. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017837.
- 1138 189.Mitchell C, Hobcraft J, McLanahan SS, Siegel SR, Berg A, Brooks-Gunn J, et al. Social
 1139 disadvantage, genetic sensitivity, and children's telomere length. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
 1140 (2014) 111(16):5944-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1404293111.
- 1141 190.Gotlib IH, LeMoult J, Colich NL, Foland-Ross LC, Hallmayer J, Joormann J, et al. Telomere
 1142 length and cortisol reactivity in children of depressed mothers. Mol Psychiatry. (2015)
 1143 20(5):615-20. doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.119.
- 1144 191.Cai N, Chang S, Li Y, Li Q, Hu J, Liang J, et al. Molecular signatures of major depression. Curr
 1145 Biol. (2015) 25(9):1146-56. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.008.
- 1146 192.Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic
 1147 intentions. Am J Psychiatry. (2003) 160(4):636-45.
- 1148 193.Hasler G, Drevets WC, Manji HK, Charney DS. Discovering endophenotypes for major
 1149 depression. Neuropsychopharmacology. (2004) 29(10):1765-81.
- 1150 194.Goldstein BL, Klein DN. A review of selected candidate endophenotypes for depression. Clin
 1151 Psychol Rev. (2014) 34(5):417-27. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2014.06.003.
- 1152 195.Nestler EJ, Peña CJ, Kundakovic M, Mitchell A, Akbarian S. Epigenetic Basis of Mental Illness.
 1153 Neuroscientist. (2015) pii: 1073858415608147.
- 1154 196.Covington HE 3rd, Maze I, LaPlant QC, Vialou VF, Ohnishi YN, Berton O, et al.
 1155 Antidepressant actions of histone deacetylase inhibitors. J Neurosci. (2009) 29(37):11451-60.
 1156 doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1758-09.2009.
- 1157 197.Tsankova N, Renthal W, Kumar A, Nestler EJ. Epigenetic regulation in psychiatric disorders.
 1158 Nat Rev Neurosci. (2007) 8(5):355-67.
- 1159 198.Covington HE 3rd, Vialou VF, LaPlant Q, Ohnishi YN, Nestler EJ. Hippocampal-dependent
 antidepressant-like activity of histone deacetylase inhibition. Neurosci Lett. (2011) 493(3):122-6.
 doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2011.02.022.
- 1162 199.Covington HE 3rd, Maze I, Vialou V, Nestler EJ. Antidepressant action of HDAC inhibition in
 1163 the prefrontal cortex. Neuroscience. (2015) 298:329-35. doi:
 1164 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.04.030.
- 200. Tsankova NM, Berton O, Renthal W, Kumar A, Neve RL, Nestler EJ. Sustained hippocampal
 chromatin regulation in a mouse model of depression and antidepressant action. Nat Neurosci.
 (2006) 9(4):519-25.
- 201. Maussion G, Yang J, Suderman M, Diallo A, Nagy C, Arnovitz M, et al. Functional DNA
 methylation in a transcript specific 3'UTR region of TrkB associates with suicide. Epigenetics.
 (2014) 9(8):1061-70. doi: 10.4161/epi.29068.
- 202. Januar V, Saffery R, Ryan J. Epigenetics and depressive disorders: a review of current progress
 and future directions. Int J Epidemiol. (2015) 44(4):1364-87. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyu273.
- 203.Numata S, Ishii K, Tajima A, Iga J, Kinoshita M, Watanabe S, et al. Blood diagnostic
 biomarkers for major depressive disorder using multiplex DNA methylation profiles: discovery
 and validation. Epigenetics. (2015) 10(2):135-41. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2014.1003743.
- 204.Kinoshita M, Numata S, Tajima A, Shimodera S, Ono S, Imamura A, et al. DNA methylation
 signatures of peripheral leukocytes in schizophrenia. Neuromolecular Med. (2013) 15(1):95-101.
- doi: 10.1007/s12017-012-8198-6.

- 1179 205. Smart C, Strathdee G, Watson S, Murgatroyd C, McAllister-Williams RH. Early life trauma,
- depression and the glucocorticoid receptor gene--an epigenetic perspective. Psychol Med. (2015)
 45(16):3393-410. doi: 10.1017/S0033291715001555.
- 206. Weaver IC, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, et al. Epigenetic
 programming by maternal behavior. Nat Neurosci. (2004) 7(8):847-54.
- 207.Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature. 2003 Mar
 1185 13;422(6928):198-207.
- 208.Martins-de-Souza D, Maccarrone G, Wobrock T, Zerr I, Gormanns P, Reckow S, et al. Proteome
 analysis of the thalamus and cerebrospinal fluid reveals glycolysis dysfunction and potential
 biomarkers candidates for schizophrenia. J Psychiatr Res. (2010) 44(16):1176-89. doi:
 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.04.014.
- 209.Miller CL, Llenos IC, Cwik M, Walkup J, Weis S. Alterations in kynurenine precursor and
 product levels in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Neurochem Int. (2008) 52(6):1297-303.
 doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2008.01.013.
- 210. Martins-de-Souza D, Guest PC, Harris LW, Vanattou-Saifoudine N, Webster MJ, Rahmoune H,
 et al. Identification of proteomic signatures associated with depression and psychotic depression
 in post-mortem brains from major depression patients. Transl Psychiatry. (2012) 13;2:e87. doi:
 10.1038/tp.2012.13.
- 211.Lindon JC, Holmes E, Nicholson JK. So what's the deal with metabonomics? Anal Chem. (2003)
 75(17):384A-391A.
- 212.Ding X, Yang S, Li W, Liu Y, Li Z, Zhang Y, et al. The potential biomarker panels for
 identification of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) patients with and without early life stress
 (ELS) by metabonomic analysis. PLoS One. (2014) 9(5):e97479. doi:
 10.1371/journal.pone.0097479.
- 1203 213.Heim C, Nemeroff CB. The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety
 1204 disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. Biol Psychiatry. (2001) 49(12):1023-39.
- 1205 214.Zheng P, Chen JJ, Huang T, Wang MJ, Wang Y, Dong MX, et al. A novel urinary metabolite
 1206 signature for diagnosing major depressive disorder. J Proteome Res. (2013) 12(12):5904-11. doi:
 1207 10.1021/pr400939q.
- 1208 215.Lu YR, Fu XY, Shi LG, Jiang Y, Wu JL, Weng XJ, et al. Decreased plasma neuroactive amino
 1209 acids and increased nitric oxide levels in melancholic major depressive disorder. BMC
 1210 Psychiatry. (2014) 14:123. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-14-123.
- 1211 216.Ditzen C, Tang N, Jastorff AM, Teplytska L, Yassouridis A, Maccarrone G, et al. Cerebrospinal
 1212 fluid biomarkers for major depression confirm relevance of associated pathophysiology.
 1213 Neuropsychopharmacology. (2012) 37(4):1013-25. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.285.
- 1214 217. Wang Y, Chen J, Chen L, Zheng P, Xu HB, Lu J, et al. Urinary peptidomics identifies potential
 1215 biomarkers for major depressive disorder. Psychiatry Res. (2014) 217(1-2):25-33. doi:
 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.02.029.
- 1217 218.Papakostas GI, Shelton RC, Kinrys G, Henry ME, Bakow BR, Lipkin SH, et al. Assessment of a
 1218 multi-assay, serum-based biological diagnostic test for major depressive disorder: a pilot and
 1219 replication study. Mol Psychiatry. (2013) 18(3):332-9. doi: 10.1038/mp.2011.166.
- 1220 219.Bilello JA, Thurmond LM, Smith KM, Pi B, Rubin R, Wright SM, et al. MDDScore:
- 1221 confirmation of a blood test to aid in the diagnosis of major depressive disorder. J Clin
 1222 Psychiatry. (2015) 76(2):e199-206. doi: 10.4088/JCP.14m09029.

- 1223 220.Lindqvist D, Janelidze S, Erhardt S, Träskman-Bendz L, Engström G, Brundin L. CSF
- biomarkers in suicide attempters--a principal component analysis. Acta Psychiatr Scand. (2011)
 1225 124(1):52-61. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2010.01655.x.
- 1226 221. Maccarrone G, Ditzen C, Yassouridis A, Rewerts C, Uhr M, Uhlen M, et al. Psychiatric patient
 1227 stratification using biosignatures based on cerebrospinal fluid protein expression clusters. J
 1228 Psychiatr Res. (2013) 47(11):1572-80. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.07.021.
- 1229 222. Cuthbert BN. Research Domain Criteria: toward future psychiatric nosologies. Dialogues Clin
 1230 Neurosci. (2013) 17(1):89-97.
- 1231 223.Cuthbert BN, Insel TR. Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: the seven pillars of RDoC.
 1232 BMC Med. (2013) 11:126. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-126.
- 1233 224.Owen MJ. New approaches to psychiatric diagnostic classification. Neuron. (2014) 84(3):5641234 71. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.028.
- 1235 225.Lakhan SE, Vieira K, Hamlat E. Biomarkers in psychiatry: drawbacks and potential for misuse.
- 1236 Int Arch Med. (2010) 3:1. doi: 10.1186/1755-7682-3-1.