

HUBBLE SPACE TELESCOPE OBSERVATIONS OF THE AFTERGLOW, SUPERNOVA AND HOST GALAXY ASSOCIATED WITH THE EXTREMELY BRIGHT GRB 130427A

A. J. LEVAN¹, N. R. TANVIR², A. S. FRUCHTER³, J. HJORTH⁴, E. PIAN^{5,6,7}, P. MAZZALI⁸, R. A. HOUNSELL³, D. A. PERLEY^{9,10}, Z. CANO¹¹, J. GRAHAM³, S. B. CENKO¹², J. P. U. FYNBO⁴, C. KOUVELIOTOU¹³, A. PE'ER¹⁴, K. MISRA¹⁵, K. WIERSEMA²

Draft version July 15, 2014

ABSTRACT

We present *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) observations of the exceptionally bright and luminous *Swift* gamma-ray burst, GRB 130427A. At $z = 0.34$ this burst affords an excellent opportunity to study the supernova and host galaxy associated with an intrinsically extremely luminous burst ($E_{iso} > 10^{54}$ erg): more luminous than any previous GRB with a spectroscopically associated supernova. We use the combination of the image quality, UV capability and invariant PSF of *HST* to provide the best possible separation of the afterglow, host and supernova contributions to the observed light ~ 17 rest-frame days after the burst utilising a host subtraction spectrum obtained 1 year later. ACS grism observations show that the associated supernova, SN 2013cq, has an overall spectral shape and luminosity similar to SN 1998bw (with a photospheric velocity, $v_{ph} \sim 15,000$ km s⁻¹). The positions of the bluer features are better matched by the higher velocity SN 2010bh ($v_{ph} \sim 30,000$ km s⁻¹), but this SN is significantly fainter, and fails to reproduce the overall spectral shape, perhaps indicative of velocity structure in the ejecta. We find that the burst originated ~ 4 kpc from the nucleus of a moderately star forming ($1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), possibly interacting disc galaxy. The absolute magnitude, physical size and morphology of this galaxy, as well as the location of the GRB within it are also strikingly similar to those of GRB980425/SN 1998bw. The similarity of supernovae and environment from both the most luminous and least luminous GRBs suggests broadly similar progenitor stars can create GRBs across six orders of magnitude in isotropic energy.

Subject headings: supernovae: general

1. INTRODUCTION

The connection between long duration gamma-ray bursts (LGRBs) and hydrogen poor type Ic supernovae has become well established based on the detection of

a.j.levan@warwick.ac.uk

¹Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

³Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

⁴Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Juliane Maries Vej 30, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵INAF, Trieste Astronomical Observatory, via G.B. Tiepolo 11, 34143 Trieste, Italy

⁶Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

⁷European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, 85748 Garching bei Muenchen, Germany

⁸Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, IC2 Liverpool Science Park 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, United Kingdom.

⁹Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, MC 249-17, 1200 East California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

¹⁰Hubble Fellow

¹¹Centre for Astrophysics and Cosmology, Science Institute, University of Iceland, Dunhagi 5, 107 Reykjavik, Iceland

¹²Astrophysics Science Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Mail Code 661, Greenbelt, MD, 20771, USA

¹³Science and Technology Office, ZP12, NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812, USA

¹⁴Department of Physics, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

¹⁵Aryabhata Research Institute of Observational Sciences, Manora Peak, Nainital - 263 002, India

spectroscopic signatures of these supernovae accompanying a handful of relatively local GRBs (e.g., Hjorth et al. 2003; Stanek et al. 2003; Soderberg et al. 2004; Pian et al. 2006; Bufano et al. 2012). The GRB-SNe sample increases when combined with a larger set of events which exhibit photometric signatures in their lightcurves, consistent with SNe Ic (see e.g., Cano 2013). Although, these light curve ‘‘humps’’ are not uniquely diagnostic of the supernova type, and are open to alternative interpretations, the emerging scenario is that at the majority of long GRBs are associated with type Ic supernovae (e.g. Cano 2013).

However, the picture painted by observations of such GRB-SNe pairs has remained unsatisfactory in some respects. On average, these local events differ substantially from the majority of the GRB population in terms of energy release, with isotropic energy releases (E_{iso}) a factor of $10^2 - 10^4$ lower than the bulk population (e.g. Kaneko et al. 2007). Of the bursts with the strongest evidence for SNe, only GRB 030329, with $E_{iso} \sim 10^{52}$ erg appears close to a being a classical cosmological long-GRB. Several local GRB/SNe pairs exhibit γ -ray emission of extremely long duration (Campana et al. 2006; Starling et al. 2011), while other very long events at larger redshift show little evidence for SNe (Levan et al. 2014). Indeed, these local, low luminosity bursts have been suggested to arise from a very different physical mechanism than the classical bursts, such as relativistic shock break-out from the supernova itself (e.g. Nakar & Sari 2012). Such emission is difficult to locate in more luminous GRBs due to a combination of distance and glare from the burst itself,

although evidence for possible shock break-out components has been found in some GRBs (Starling et al. 2012; Sparre & Starling 2012). However, the several order of magnitude difference in energy release between the local, low-luminosity and cosmological, high luminosity GRBs could also be indicative of rather different physical mechanisms at play. Given this, the nature of the connection between the most energetic GRBs and their supernovae remains in urgent need of further study.

Here we report observations of the brightest (highest fluence) GRB detected in the past ~ 20 years, GRB 130427A. The isotropic energy release of $E_{iso} \sim 10^{54}$ erg, places it in the most luminous 5% of GRBs observed to date by *Swift*, and a factor of 100 brighter than GRB 030329 (Hjorth et al. 2003) which was the most luminous GRB with a well studied supernova. At a redshift of $z = 0.340$ (Levan et al. 2013a; Xu et al. 2013; Perley et al. 2014) the burst is close enough that any supernova is open to spectroscopic study, and indeed the presence of a supernova, SN 2013cq, has been established (de Ugarte Postigo et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2013; Melandri et al. 2014). Here we use the resolution of the *Hubble Space Telescope* to resolve and dramatically reduce the galaxy contribution, and its UV capability to track the afterglow, hence enabling a view of the supernova as free as possible from the host, afterglow and atmospheric hinderance.

2. OBSERVATIONS

GRB 130427A was discovered by *Swift* at 07:47:57 UT on 27 April 2013 (Maselli et al. 2013). It was also detected as an exceptionally bright GRB by *Konus-WIND* and *Fermi* with GBM (von Kienlin 2013) and LAT (Zhu et al. 2013), and its prompt fluence of $S \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ ergs cm^{-2} in the 10-1000 keV band (von Kienlin 2013) makes it the most fluent GRB observed by *Swift*, *Fermi* or *BATSE*. It showed a bright X-ray and optical afterglow, peaking at $R=7.4$ before the *Swift* GRB trigger (Wren et al. 2013). Early spectroscopy of the afterglow yielded a redshift of $z = 0.340$ (Levan et al. 2013a), which was confirmed from later, more detailed spectroscopic observations (Xu et al. 2013). A full description of the afterglow is given in Perley et al. (2014); Maselli et al. (2014). Deep photometric and spectroscopic observations over the first 10 days post burst revealed a re-brightening, consistent with the presence of a type Ic supernova, SN 2013cq (de Ugarte Postigo et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2013).

2.1. Hubble Space Telescope Observations

We observed the location of GRB 130427A with *HST* on 20 May 2013, 23 days after the initial burst detection. A second epoch was obtained in April 2014, almost a year after the initial burst. A log of these observations is shown in Table 1. For more detailed study of the host galaxy we also utilize a longer (2228 s) WFC3/F606W observation obtained on 15 May 2014. The imaging data were reduced in the standard fashion; with on-the-fly processed data retrieved from the archive and subsequently re-drizzled using *astrodrizzle*, for UVIS observations we separately corrected for pixel based charge transfer inefficiency (CTE) (Anderson & ACS Team 2012). Photometry was performed in small apertures to minimize any contribution from underlying galaxy light, and maximise signal to noise, it was subsequently cor-

rected using standard aperture corrections¹⁶. We also use direct image subtraction to isolate the afterglow/SNe light at early epochs, this effectively removes the host contribution. These magnitudes may still contain some transient light, but since the second epoch magnitudes are a factor of ~ 15 -30 lower than observed at early times, this suggests that these epochs can be used for effective subtraction. The resulting photometry is shown in Table 1, while our *HST* images are shown in Figure 1.

We also obtained grism spectroscopy centered at $\sim 8000\text{\AA}$ with the G800L grism on ACS, with a position angle chosen to minimize the contribution from the underlying host galaxy (see Figure 1). Again we utilized the on-the-fly calibrated images, corrected for CTE and bias striping. We detected sources on a single F606W image, and extracted these via *aXe*, subsequently drizzling each of the four exposures to create master spectra, which was flux calibrated using published sensitivity curves. We extracted the light from the GRB counterpart in a relatively small aperture ($\sim 2 \times FWHM$). In principle a given pixel in the grism image may be exposed to light of multiple different wavelengths from different spatial locations on the chip. For the second epoch of observations we force an extraction of the same width at the position of the transient (as determined by a map between the first and second epoch of direct imaging). We then subtract this from the initial spectrum to obtain a host free spectrum. Since we have utilised a tight aperture around the SNe we subsequently scale this subtracted spectrum to the host subtracted magnitudes of the afterglow/SNe.

3. ISOLATING THE SUPERNOVA

3.1. Host contamination

The afterglow is offset $(0.83 \pm 0.03)''$ from the centroid of the host galaxy light in F606W, and so the latter is of little concern in our small ($0.1''$) apertures. However, regions underlying GRBs are frequently amongst the most luminous parts of their hosts (Fruchter et al. 2006), so some contamination may be expected. Our late time subtraction removes this from both our broad band photometry and grism spectroscopy. For the high resolution observations reported here this contamination is small (at most 6% in the UV and 3% in the optical) for our photometry. However, the contribution is somewhat larger in the grism observations. These observations disperse light not only from the region directly underlying the GRB, but also from other locations in the host (which represent contributions at different wavelengths, overlapping the transient light). In particular, the proximate, bright star forming region contaminates the SNe considerably ($>20\%$ at the red end of our spectrum beyond 9000\AA). This region is also likely to be the dominant host contaminant in ground based spectroscopy (since the host galaxy is resolved), and has quite different colours from the global host, implying potentially significant systematic errors when the broadband SDSS colours of the host are used to attempt a subtraction (e.g. Xu et al. 2013, Melandri et al. 2014). Here we can directly remove this contribution via the subtraction of the deep second

¹⁶ See Sirianni et al. 2005 for ACS aperture corrections and http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfc3/phot_zp_1bn for WFC3




FIG. 1.— Our *HST* observations of GRB 130427A. The left hand panel shows our UV-optical and IR imaging (the UV data taken on 20 May 2013, and the optical/IR on 10 July 2013). The afterglow, indicated by an arrow can be clearly seen offset $0.83''$ from the centre of its host galaxy. In the UV the host is weakly detected, with a strong star forming region seen to the east of the GRB location. The F606W image shows a disk galaxy with hints of a bar structure, along with some sign of distortion possibly due to ongoing interaction. The large central panel shows a colour image from the three-band *HST* imaging. The host is at the centre, while other galaxies, possibly part of a structure at the same redshift are visible. The top right hand panel shows our grism spectroscopy of the host galaxy with the counterpart dispersed away from the host galaxy.

TABLE 1
LOG OF *HST* OBSERVATIONS OF GRB 130427A

Date-obs	MJD-obs	ΔT (d)	Filter	exp. (s)	AB-magnitude
20-May-2013	56432.10521	22.78	F336W	1266	23.28 ± 0.02
20-May-2013	56432.12503	22.80	F160W	1048	21.60 ± 0.01
20-May-2013	56432.37207	23.05	F606W	180	21.76 ± 0.01
20-May-2013	56432.37603	23.05	G800L	1880	-
12-Apr-2014	56759.14866	349.82	F336W	2508	26.17 ± 0.09
18-Apr-2014	56765.18459	355.86	F606W	180	25.67 ± 0.11
18-Apr-2014	56765.18459	355.86	G800L	1880	-

NOTE. — A log of *HST* observations of GRB 130427A. Optical observations were obtained with ACS, while UV and IR imaging was taken with WFC3 in the UVIS and IR channels respectively. The magnitudes shown are for a point source at the GRB location, and not for the overall host galaxy + afterglow combination. The magnitudes are corrected for a foreground extinction of $A_{F336W} = 0.090$ mag, $A_{F606W} = 0.050$ mag and $A_{F160W} = 0.010$ mag. The late time magnitudes refer to the flux measured at the GRB position, but are likely dominated by host galaxy light. Errors in the magnitudes are statistical only.

epoch.

3.2. Afterglow

SNe Ib/c are generally weak UV emitters due to the strong metal line blanketing shortward of $\sim 3000\text{\AA}$, so to first order our F336W observations should be free of supernova contribution. This is consistent with the UV colours of GRB060218/SN2006aj (from Šimon et al. 2010) and of XRF100316D/SN2010bh (from Cano et al. 2011), which would predict a factor > 10 decrease in flux between F606W and F336W. There are few UV spectra of SN Ic, however if we graft the STIS UV observations of SN 2002ap onto the optical spectra of SN 1998bw following Levan et al. 2005 then we can obtain a first order approximation of the likely UV spectral shape (see Figure 2).

These colours and spectra suggest it is reasonable to assume that the F336W light is dominated by the afterglow component. To confirm this we utilize the UV to IR lightcurve from (Perley et al. 2014). This exhibits a spectral slope of $F_\nu \propto \nu^\beta$ with $\beta \approx -0.92$ and predicts $U(\text{AB})=23.41 \pm 0.10$ at the time of the first epoch of *HST* F336W observations. The corresponding *HST* UV

magnitude is $F336W(\text{AB})=23.28 \pm 0.02$. Corrected for foreground absorption this is consistent with the afterglow contributing $90 \pm 10\%$ of the measured F336W flux, confirming our assumptions above. This afterglow model predicts $F160W(\text{AB}) = 21.84$, somewhat fainter than the measured magnitude and suggesting that the supernova makes up $\sim 20\%$ of the light in this band, again in keeping with expectations of the few IR spectra of broad-lined SNe Ic obtained to-date (e.g. Bufano et al. 2012).

We estimate the supernova contribution by using the model above, with initial error bars accounting for the uncertainty in the F336W afterglow light, discussed above, and the intrinsic value of β , which we adopt to be 0.92 ± 0.1 . For this range of models we then subtract the afterglow spectrum from the measured grism data, and neglect any host galaxy contamination. The extremum of this model is set by the assumption that both F336W and F160W are entirely dominated by afterglow, and by subtracting the resulting power-law index.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Supernova properties




FIG. 2.— The spectral energy distribution of GRB130427A/SN 2013cq as measured with *HST*. The top panel shows the data (black) along with the different components that may contribute as indicated. The host galaxy spectrum is based on our extraction of the host directly under the GRB position, and not its global properties. The lower panel shows the smoothed SN spectrum after subtraction of the afterglow light, and in luminosity space, directly compared against spectra of other GRB/SNe pairs. The supernovae have been scaled as shown in the legend, but in general the spectra show a good match with SN 1998bw at a similar epoch.

Our grism spectrum, both before and after subtraction of the afterglow and host light, is shown in Figure 2 (top and bottom). Broad features, consistent with those seen in other high velocity SN Ic associated with GRBs are clearly visible in the spectrum, even before subtraction of the afterglow component. The absence of broad emission at $H\alpha$ or He absorption rules out type II or Ib events respectively. In the lower panel of Figure 2 we plot rest-frame wavelength versus luminosity comparisons of the afterglow subtracted and de-reddened¹⁷ spectra of

SN 2013cq with various GRB/SNe pairs.

The closest match for the overall spectral shape and luminosity is that of SN 1998bw (Galama et al. 1998; Iwamoto et al. 1998; Patat et al. 2001). The similarity in appearance of these SNe is primarily due to the overall spectral shape, with a drop in luminosity of a factor of ~ 3 over the 5000-7000Å range, substantially more than seen in other GRB/SNe pairs.

The broad colours of these SNe are similar, and if the kink at $\sim 6000\text{Å}$ is interpreted as the SiII (6355Å) blend then it would be indicative of a photospheric velocity similar to SN 1998bw at the same epoch ($v_{ph} \sim 15000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), although we note that this feature is apparently stronger in SN 1998bw, where there is marked upturn in flux redward of it. This may suggest a somewhat higher velocity for SN 2013cq. Taken at face value this would suggest that SN 2013cq is broadly similar in peak lumi-

¹⁷ We de-redden the spectra with a Fitzpatrick 1999 law, for a Galactic $E(B-V) = 0.02$ and intrinsic $E(B-V) = 0.05$, consistent with the Na I D Doublet (Xu et al. 2013) and afterglow modelling (Perley et al. 2014; Maselli et al. 2014). The comparison SNe have been de-reddened utilising $E(B-V) = 0.07$ for SN 1998bw, and a range of $E(B-V)$ for SN 2010bh. The spectrum of SN 2003dh is from a spectral model free from extinction.

nosity, ^{56}Ni production and kinetic energy to SN 1998bw.

While the similarity to SN 1998bw is very marked over the rest frame spectral range from 5100-7000Å it is much poorer around the central peak of an SN Ic at $\sim 5000\text{Å}$. In SN 2013cq this feature appears to be broader, and blueshifted relative to SN 1998bw, as observed by Xu et al. 2013. Our comparison suggests that this peak may fit better with the slightly higher velocity SN 2003dh (Hjorth et al. 2003; Mazzali et al. 2003), the only one of the comparison SNe to arise from a luminous cosmological GRB. In this case, the SN 2003dh model shown in Figure 2 remains a factor of 50% less luminous than SN 2013cq, and is systematically redder (i.e. a smaller decrement between the peak and $\sim 6000\text{Å}$). However, the positions of the broadened lines do then appear generally similar.

Alternatively, this could be suggestive of a much higher velocity supernova such as SN 2010bh (Bufano et al. 2012; Cano et al. 2011) as favoured by Xu et al. 2013, who infer $v_{ph} = 32,000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the FeII (5169Å) at an epoch of 12.5 rest-frame days. This does provide a good match to the location and width of the feature; however, the overall spectral shape and luminosity of SN 2010bh are also very different from SN 2013cq. At 17 days SN 2013cq appears to be much bluer, and a factor >3 times more luminous than SN 2010bh, suggesting it is not as good an analog as SN 1998bw. To obtain a match in both luminosity and general spectral shape would require that the reddening in the direction of SN 2010bh had been significantly underestimated. Our plotted spectrum assumes $E(B - V)_{gal} = 0.12$ and $E(B - V)_{int} = 0.14$ (Bufano et al. 2012). To obtain a match would require de-reddening the spectra with an additional $E(B - V) = 0.4 - 0.5$, well beyond the values allowed from the Na I D doublet observed in moderate resolution X-shooter spectroscopy (Bufano et al. 2012) and even the largest extinctions allowed by the afterglow spectrum (Olivares E. et al. 2012). Hence, we disfavour the suggestion that the underlying SNe is similar to SN 2010bh, due to the significant disparity in the luminosity. Instead we favour a SNe similar in ^{56}Ni yield and kinetic energy to SN 1998bw and SN 2003dh, in which possible velocity structure within the ejecta gives rise to a range of features within the spectra that do not provide a unique match to any previous GRB/SNe pair. Indeed, the recent study of Melandri et al. 2014 also identifies a source which is much brighter than SN 2010bh, but spectrally intermediate between SN 1998bw and SN 2010bh.

Despite these differences, it is clear that the features observed in the spectrum of SN 2013cq are broadly compatible to the range observed in SN/GRB pairs, although a full model description of the spectrum is beyond the scope of this paper. To obtain approximate bounds on the luminosity of the supernova we integrate our afterglow and host subtracted spectra (allowing for the errors in the afterglow parameters) through through a rest-frame V-band filter to obtain a luminosity relative to SN 1998bw. This suggests that SN 2013cq has a luminosity factor $k = L_V(2013cq)/L_V(1998bw)$ of 0.77 ± 0.10 . Given the suggestions that SN 2013cq is more rapidly evolving than SN 1998bw (Xu et al. 2013), these observations (at the V-band peak of SN 1998bw) may underestimate by true peak luminosity, which may be even

closer to that of SN 1998bw.

GRB 130427A is unusual as a luminous GRB at a redshift at which the supernovae are open to detailed study. Indeed, it the the highest luminosity burst for which there is spectroscopic evidence for a supernova. In this regard the similarity of the supernova to that seen in a burst (GRB 980425) that was six orders of magnitude less energetic is striking. As we show in Figure 3 there is no correlation between the GRB energetics and the inferred peak magnitudes of their SNe. These similarities in SNe peak luminosity, and in their spectra suggest similarities in the ejected ^{56}Ni masses and kinetic energies. Recent modelling (Mazzali et al. 2013) has used these diagnostics to suggest that most broad-lined SN Ic associated with low luminosity GRBs in turn arise from a relatively small range of ZAMS progenitor masses, perhaps between $30 - 50 M_{\odot}$. The detection of a similar SN in a highly luminous GRB extends this across a broader range of energy.

4.2. Host galaxy

Our *HST* observations clearly resolve the afterglow from the host galaxy, showing the GRB to lie at a spatial offset of $0.83''$, (4.0 kpc at $z = 0.34$) from the centre of its host. This is a relatively large offset for a GRB from its host galaxy ($> 75\%$ of those in Bloom et al. 2002) although by no means exceptional (see Figure 3). In the F606W observations the galaxy exhibits a bar-like structure with a face-on disk visible beyond this, a weak spiral structure is also apparent, making the host one of few to be classified as a spiral (Svensson et al. 2010). The F336W imaging shows weak star formation close to the centre of the galaxy, but the most striking feature is a strong star forming region at a similar radial offset to the GRB, but offset from the GRB position by $0.3''$ (1.5 kpc). This region the strongest region of star formation in the host galaxy. While the magnitude of star formation underlying the GRB position remains uncertain because of contamination with the late time afterglow, the offset region is at least a factor of ~ 2 brighter. It also shows readily detectable OIII emission in the late time grism spectrum. The weak spiral arm in the direction of the GRB also appears distorted, and so it may be that star formation has been triggered via a tidal interaction. In the larger field around GRB 130427A we note several galaxies of similar magnitude (see Figure 1). In our grism spectroscopy these galaxies do not exhibit strong spectral lines, but they may well imply that the host of GRB 130427A lies within an association.

The magnitude of the galaxy in a large aperture at late times after the afterglow/SNe has significantly faded is $F336W(AB) = 22.84 \pm 0.07$ at a rest-frame wavelength of approximately 2500Å . This corresponds to a UV star formation rate of $\sim 1.1 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (error statistical only), broadly in agreement with that inferred from the SDSS observed SED of the galaxy of $2_{-1}^{+5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Xu et al. 2013), and suggesting a relatively (but not extremely) low specific star formation rate by the standards of GRB hosts (Svensson et al. 2010). The compact star forming region close to the GRB contains $\sim 10\%$ of this star formation, making a highly luminous star forming region comparable to that seen in the host of GRB 980425/SN 1998bw (Hammer et al. 2006; Chris-

tensen et al. 2008) or in a handful of other local galaxies (Beck et al. 1996). At late times UV emission is visible close to the GRB position, indicating that lower level star formation is likely arising proximate to the GRB. This may still contain some afterglow light, but this region is at least a factor > 1.5 fainter than the brightest star forming region in the host.

Some previously extremely energetic bursts (e.g. GRB 080319B, Tanvir et al. 2010) have shown extremely faint and small host galaxies, while the hosts of GRBs 980425, 030329 and 060218 are also sub-luminous and LMC-like. However, as show in Figure 3 the overall population of GRB-SN host luminosities is shows no discernible correlation with the energy of the GRB (see also Levesque et al. 2010), and the host of GRB 130427A is in keeping with these expectations. It is intriguing to note that the GRB host galaxy with the closest properties to that of GRB 130427A/SN 2013cq is in fact the host of SN 1998bw. It is also a rare example of a spiral galaxy, in which the GRB occurs at a moderately large offset from the nucleus, and from the strongest region of star formation within the host galaxy. This is shown graphically in Figure 4. Given the similarities in supernova and environment GRB 130427A would seem like a close analog of GRB 980425A if it were not for the factor of 10^6 difference in their γ -ray energy releases.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented *HST* imaging and spectroscopic observations of the extremely bright GRB 130427A, which

show it was associated with a luminous broad line SN Ic (SN 2013cq). The red spectra offer good agreement with those of SN 1998bw, while the bluer spectra appear well matched in position if not shape with SN 2010bh. The host galaxy appears to be a disk galaxy of moderate luminosity and star formation rate, whose overall characteristics are consistent with those of the GRB host population at large. The similar properties of the SNe and hosts over six orders of magnitude in GRB isotropic equivalent energy would appear to suggest that the energy of the GRB is not a strong function of environment or the mass of the progenitor star, and that stars of similar mass and composition are responsible for the entire luminosity function of GRBs. More complex effects within the star (e.g. rotation) or geometric effects are therefore needed to explain much of the diversity in the GRB luminosity function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Matt Mountain and the STScI staff for rapidly scheduling our observations. AJL thanks the Leverhulme Trust. AJL, NRT and KW are supported by STFC. The Dark Cosmology Centre is funded by the DNRF. Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. These observations are associated with program # 13230, 13110 & 13117.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, J., & ACS Team. 2012, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 220, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #220, poster
- Beck, S. C., Turner, J. L., Ho, P. T. P., Lacy, J. H., & Kelly, D. M. 1996, *ApJ*, 457, 610
- Bloom, J. S., Kulkarni, S. R., & Djorgovski, S. G. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 1111
- Bufano, F., Pian, E., Sollerman, J., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 67
- Campana, S., Mangano, V., Blustin, A. J., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 442, 1008
- Cano, Z. 2013, ArXiv e-prints
- Cano, Z., Bersier, D., Guidorzi, C., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 740, 41
- Christensen, L., Vreeswijk, P. M., Sollerman, J., et al. 2008, *A&A*, 490, 45
- de Ugarte Postigo, A., Xu, D., Leloudas, G., et al. 2013, *Central Bureau Electronic Telegrams*, 3529, 1
- Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1999, *PASP*, 111, 63
- Frail, D. A., Kulkarni, S. R., Sari, R., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, L55
- Fruchter, A. S., Levan, A. J., Strolger, L., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 441, 463
- Galama, T. J., Vreeswijk, P. M., van Paradijs, J., et al. 1998, *Nature*, 395, 670
- Hammer, F., Flores, H., Schaerer, D., et al. 2006, *A&A*, 454, 103
- Hjorth, J., & Bloom, J. S. 2011, ArXiv e-prints
- Hjorth, J., Sollerman, J., Møller, P., et al. 2003, *Nature*, 423, 847
- Iwamoto, K., Mazzali, P. A., Nomoto, K., et al. 1998, *Nature*, 395, 672
- Kaneko, Y., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Granot, J., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 385
- Kocevski, D., & Butler, N. 2008, *ApJ*, 680, 531
- Levan, A., Patel, S., Kouveliotou, C., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 622, 977
- Levan, A. J., Cenko, S. B., Perley, D. A., & Tanvir, N. R. 2013a, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 14455, 1
- Levan, A. J., Tanvir, N. R., Starling, R. L. C., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 781, 13
- Levesque, E. M., Soderberg, A. M., Kewley, L. J., & Berger, E. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 1337
- Maselli, A., Beardmore, A. P., Lien, A. Y., et al. 2013, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 14448, 1
- Maselli, A., Melandri, A., Nava, L., et al. 2014, *Science*, 343, 48
- Mazzali, P. A., Walker, E. S., Pian, E., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 2463
- Mazzali, P. A., Deng, J., Tominaga, N., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 599, L95
- Melandri, A., Pian, E., D’Elia, V., et al. 2014, *A&A*, 567, A29
- Nakar, E., & Sari, R. 2012, *ApJ*, 747, 88
- Olivares E., F., Greiner, J., Schady, P., et al. 2012, *A&A*, 539, A76
- Patat, F., Cappellaro, E., Danziger, J., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 555, 900
- Perley, D. A., Cenko, S. B., Corsi, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 781, 37
- Pian, E., Mazzali, P. A., Masetti, N., et al. 2006, *Nature*, 442, 1011
- Sirianni, M., Jee, M. J., Benítez, N., et al. 2005, *PASP*, 117, 1049
- Soderberg, A. M., Kulkarni, S. R., Berger, E., et al. 2004, *Nature*, 430, 648
- Sparre, M., & Starling, R. L. C. 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 2965
- Stanek, K. Z., Matheson, T., Garnavich, P. M., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 591, L17
- Starling, R. L. C., Levan, A. J., Wiersema, K., et al. 2012, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 13911, 1
- Starling, R. L. C., Wiersema, K., Levan, A. J., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 2792
- Svensson, K. M., Levan, A. J., Tanvir, N. R., Fruchter, A. S., & Strolger, L.-G. 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 57
- Tanvir, N. R., Rol, E., Levan, A. J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 625
- Simon, V., Pizzichini, G., & Hudec, R. 2010, *A&A*, 523, A56
- von Kienlin, A. 2013, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 14473, 1
- Wren, J., Vestrand, W. T., Wozniak, P., & Davis, H. 2013, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 14476, 1
- Xu, D., de Ugarte Postigo, A., Leloudas, G., et al. 2013, ArXiv e-prints
- Zhu, S., Racusin, J., Chiang, J., & Vianello, G. 2013, *GRB Coordinates Network*, 14508, 1




FIG. 3.— Top: A histogram of E_{iso} for *Swift* GRBs (from Kocevski & Butler 2008), amended with the E_{iso} values for GRB/SN pairs when not from *Swift*. Bottom: The R-band luminosities of candidate GRB/SN (scaled to SN 1998bw, which has $k_R = 1$), against the isotropic luminosities of the GRBs. Points in red are those with strong spectroscopic evidence for associated supernovae (category A in Hjorth & Bloom 2011), blue points indicate cases where spectral features were seen at lower signal to noise (category B in Hjorth & Bloom 2011), and black points are those with weaker evidence for associated SNe. The two errors bars marked in the case of SN 2013cq represent the error associated with a simple afterglow subtraction and the extrema of possibilities (see text for details). The middle two panels show the offset from the GRB host centre and the GRB host B-band absolute magnitude as a function of isotropic energy release. The colour coding is the same as for the lower plot, while grey triangles indicate values from the literature for GRBs without claimed SNe associations (data from (Bloom et al. 2002; Frail et al. 2001)). These data show that the properties of GRB SNe and host galaxies are largely unaffected by the energies of the burst, and hence that progenitors in similar environments and with similar initial masses can likely create the entire GRB luminosity function.




FIG. 4.— The host galaxies of GRB 130427A (left) and GRB 980425 (right). The left hand image is taken from our *HST* observations in F606W (May 2014), while the right hand image is an archival image of the host obtained with VLT/FORS2 in 2004. The position of the GRB/SNe is marked with a red circle in each case (note that the GRB 130427A image may contain afterglow contribution at the GRB/SN position). The resemblance between the two host galaxies is striking, especially given the rarity of spiral hosts amongst GRB host galaxies. Interestingly unlike most bursts these do not lie on the brightest regions of the hosts, but in spiral arms where the metallicity may be lower (see Fruchter et al. 2006). In both GRB 130427A and GRB 980425 the GRB/SNe occurred in a relatively faint region within the spiral arm, and not in the strongest star forming complex.