

1	Dissociation between exercise-induced reduction in liver fat and changes in hepatic and						
2	peripheral glucose homeostasis in obese patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease						
3	Running title: Exercise, liver fat and insulin sensitivity in obese patients with NAFLD						
4							
5	Daniel J. Cuthbertson ^{1,2*} , Fariba Shojaee-Moradie ^{3*} , Victoria S. Sprung ^{1,2} , Helen Jones ⁴ , Christopher						
6	J.A. Pugh ⁴ , Paul Richardson ⁵ , Graham J. Kemp ^{2,6} , Mark Barrett ³ , Nicola C. Jackson ³ , E. Louise						
7	Thomas ⁷ , Jimmy D. Bell ⁷ , A. Margot Umpleby ³						
8	¹ Obesity and Endocrinology Research Group, University Hospital Aintree, UK,						
9	² Department of Musculoskeletal Biology and MRC – Arthritis Research UK Centre for Integrated						
10	research into Musculoskeletal Ageing (CIMA), University of Liverpool, UK,						
11	³ Diabetes and Metabolic Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey,						
12	UK,						
13	⁴ Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Science, Liverpool John Moores University						
14	⁵ Department of Hepatology, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, UK,						
15	⁶ Magnetic Resonance and Image Analysis Research Centre (MARIARC), University of Liverpool,						
16	UK,						
17	⁷ Metabolic and Molecular Imaging Group, MRC Clinical Sciences Centre, Imperial College London,						
18	London, UK.						
19	*Both authors contributed equally to this work						
20							
21	Corresponding author and address for reprints: Dr Daniel Cuthbertson,						
22	² Department of Musculoskeletal Biology, Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease,						
23	University of Liverpool, Liverpool L9 7AL						
24	E-mail: daniel.cuthbertson@liv.ac.uk						
25	Tel: +44 (0) 151 529 5911, Fax: +44 (0) 151 529 5888						
26							
27	Key words: NAFLD, insulin resistance, exercise, liver fat and magnetic resonance spectroscopy.						
28	Funding: Funding was provided by the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes, Rheindorfer						
29	Weg 3, 40591 Dusseldorf, Germany						
30							
31							
32	Word count: 3910(not including title page, abstract, references, tables or figures)						
33							
34							

35 Abstract

Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is associated with multi-organ (hepatic, skeletal muscle,
 adipose tissue) insulin resistance (IR). Exercise is an effective treatment for lowering liver fat but its
 effect on insulin resistance in NAFLD is unknown.

39 We aimed to determine whether supervised exercise in NAFLD would reduce liver fat and improve 40 hepatic and peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin sensitivity. Sixty nine NAFLD 41 patients were randomised to 16 weeks exercise supervision (n=38) or counselling (n=31) without 42 dietary modification. All participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging/spectroscopy to assess 43 changes in body fat, and in liver and skeletal muscle triglyceride, before and following 44 exercise/counselling. To quantify changes in hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity, a pre-45 determined subset (n=12 per group) underwent a two-stage hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp 46 pre- and post-intervention. Results are shown as mean (95% CI).

47 Fifty participants (30 exercise, 20 counselling), 51 y (40, 56), BMI 31 kg/m² (29, 35) with baseline

48 liver fat/water % of 18.8 % (10.7, 34.6) completed the study (12/12 exercise and 7/12 counselling
49 completed the clamp studies). Supervised exercise mediated a greater reduction in liver fat/water %

50 than counselling [Δ mean change 4.7% (0.01, 9.4); P<0.05], which correlated with the change in

51 cardiorespiratory fitness (r = -0.34, P = 0.0173).

52 With exercise, peripheral insulin sensitivity significant increased (following high-dose insulin) despite 53 no significant change in hepatic glucose production (following low-dose insulin); no changes were 54 observed in the control group.

- 55 Although supervised exercise effectively reduced liver fat, improving peripheral IR in NAFLD, the 56 reduction in liver fat was insufficient to improve hepatic IR.
- 57

58 Keywords: NAFLD, insulin resistance, exercise, liver fat and magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

59

60 Summary statement

In NAFLD, 16 weeks of supervised exercise effectively reduces liver fat and improve peripheral
 insulin resistance and cardiorespiratory fitness. Greater reductions in liver fat are needed to improve
 hepatic insulin resistance, requiring higher intensity or longer duration of exercise.

64

65 Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of histopathological abnormalities which increase the risk of chronic liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma and cardiovascular disease (1). NAFLD arises from accumulation of liver fat, frequently complicating obesity and other insulinresistant states, co-existing with the metabolic syndrome (2, 3). NAFLD is associated with multiorgan (hepatic, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin resistance (IR) (4, 5).

71 Although certain anti-diabetes agents reduce liver fat (6, 7), the cornerstone of therapy is lifestyle 72 modification through dietary intervention and/or physical activity (8, 9). Weight loss through dietary 73 intervention has been shown to normalise moderate hepatic steatosis (12-13%) and hepatic IR (10, 74 11). Considering that NAFLD patients tend to engage in less habitual leisure-time physical activity 75 and be more sedentary, physical activity is also recommended (12, 13). Various modalities of exercise 76 have been shown to be beneficial in reducing liver fat in NAFLD including aerobic (5, 14, 15) and 77 resistance exercise (13), even without weight loss. A recent study addressing the dose-response 78 relationship between aerobic exercise and reduction in liver fat suggests that even low volume, low 79 intensity aerobic exercise can reduce liver fat without clinically significant weight loss (16). It is 80 unclear to what extent reduction in liver fat following exercise is associated with improvements in 81 hepatic and peripheral IR. This is of particular importance considering the high rates of incident type 82 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in NAFLD patients.

We set out to determine the efficacy of supervised exercise training in reducing liver fat, and the relationship between reduction in liver fat and improvements in hepatic and peripheral IR using the gold standard method for measuring insulin resistance, a 2-step euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp.

87 Experimental materials and Methods

88 Design

A 16-week randomised controlled trial of NAFLD patients, randomised to supervised moderateintensity aerobic exercise or conventional counselling (control group) (Clinical Trials.gov
NCT01834300).

92 Participants

Patients were recruited through hepatology clinics where they were undergoing routine clinical care from 4 teaching hospitals, and studied in 2 centres, in Guildford and Liverpool. NAFLD was diagnosed clinically by a hepatologist after exclusion of (steatogenic) drug causes, viral or autoimmune hepatitis (negative hepatitis B and C serology and auto-antibody screen), primary biliary cirrhosis and metabolic disorders (α_1 -antitrypin deficiency, Wilson's disease).

- 98 Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of NAFLD, being sedentary (<2 h/week low-intensity physical 99 activity, no moderate- or high-intensity activity), non-smokers, with alcohol consumption <14 100 (females) and <21 (males) units/week. Exclusion criteria were T2DM, ischaemic heart disease or 101 contraindications to exercise. Participants were excluded from follow-up assessment if they deviated 102 from their habitual diet and lost excessive weight.
- 103 The study conformed to the *Declaration of Helsinki* and was approved by the local research ethics 104 committees. All participants provided fully informed written consent.
- 105 Protocol
- 106 69 patients were randomly assigned on a 1:1 basis using a computer-generated sequence to 16 weeks
- 107 supervised exercise or conventional counselling (control group) using SAS v 9.1, PROC PLAN
- 108 software (Statistical Analysis System Institute, NC, USA). Figure 1 shows the CONSORT diagram.
- 109 Supervised Exercise. After a familiarisation session, participants attended the university gymnasium
- 110 weekly, wearing a heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Finland) and supervised by a trained exercise
- 111 physiologist. Training intensity was based on individual heart rate reserve (HRR) ([Maximal HR
- 112 during cardiorespiratory fitness testing] [Resting HR]). Participants performed 3/week 30 min
- 113 moderate (30% HRR) aerobic exercise (treadmill, cross-trainer, bike ergometer, rower) progressing
- 114 weekly based on HR responses (5/week 45 min at 60% HRR by week 12). Throughout, participants
- 115 were monitored via the Wellness SystemTM (Technogym U.K. Ltd., Bracknell, UK), which tracks
- 116 exercise activity within designated fitness facilities or by repeated telephone or e-mail contact.
- 117 No dietary modifications were made, confirmed by standard 3-day food diaries collected immediately118 before and after the intervention and analysed for macronutrient intake.
- *Control Group.* Participants were provided with advice about the health benefits of exercise in
 NAFLD but had no further contact with the research team. To minimise disturbance to behaviour, diet
 and physical activity were not monitored.
- 122 Measurements
- Measurements were performed before and immediately after the intervention period. After overnight fast, venous blood was taken for measurement of glucose, liver function, lipid profile, adiponectin and leptin.
- After full medical history and physical examination, a single person at each centre measured body
 weight, blood pressure, height, waist (umbilical) and hip (greater trochanter) circumference and
 performed bioimpedance analysis (Tanita BC-420MA, Tokyo, Japan).
- Magnetic resonance methods were as previously described (17). Volumetric analysis of abdominal
 subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and abdominal visceral adipose tissue (VAT) used whole-body
- 131 axial T1-weighted fast spin echo scans (10 mm slice, 10 mm gap), the abdominal region being defined

132 from the slices between the femoral heads, top of liver and lung bases. Proton magnetic resonance 133 spectroscopy (¹H MRS) quantified intrahepatocellular lipid (IHCL) and intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) 134 (17). In liver 3 voxels of interest were identified at standardised sites avoiding ducts and vasculature. 135 In skeletal muscle a single voxel was identified in each of the tibialis anterior and soleus muscles, 136 avoiding bone, fascia and neurovascular bundle. Single voxel spectroscopy was conducted at each of 137 these five sites: voxel size was $20 \times 20 \times 20$ mm, TE (echo time) 135 msec, TR (repetition time) 1500 138 msec, with 64 acquisitions. ¹H-MR spectra were quantified using the AMARES algorithm in the 139 software package jMRUI-3.0 (18). Data were processed blind. Liver fat is expressed as the percentage 140 of CH₂ lipid signal amplitude relative to water signal amplitude after correcting for T1 and T2 (19), 141 and intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) is expressed as CH₂ lipid amplitude relative to total creatine 142 amplitude after correcting for T1 and T2 (20). NAFLD was defined as mean IHCL > 5.3%, which 143 corresponds in the present units (CH_2/H_20) to the cut off of 5.5% by weight advocated on the basis of 144 a large healthy-population ¹H MRS study (21) which took account of tissue density, water content and 145 the relative proton densities of triglyceride and water to express IHCL as % by weight in terms more 146 directly comparable with biochemical measurements. This cutoff is also in accordance with traditional 147 definitions of fatty liver based on biochemical analysis (21). (Any IHCL value expressed here as x% 148 CH_2/H_2O can be converted to y% by weight (i.e. $10 \times y \text{ mg/g}$) by using y% = 97.1/[1 + (89.1/x%)], 149 based on assumptions and data detailed in (21, 22))

150 Clamp. Participants were instructed to avoid strenuous physical activity for 48 h. Upon arrival 151 intravenous cannulae were inserted into both antecubital fossae for blood sampling and infusion of 152 stable isotopes, insulin and glucose. After unenriched blood samples, a primed infusion of $[6,6-^{2}H_{2}]$ 153 glucose (170 mg; 1.7 mg.min⁻¹) was started. 5 baseline samples were taken from 100-120 min, when a 154 2-step hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp commenced: insulin infusion at 0.3 mU.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ (low-155 dose) for 120 min to measure insulin sensitivity of hepatic glucose production (HGP), then at 1.5 156 mU.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ (high-dose) for 180 min to measure insulin sensitivity of peripheral glucose uptake. 157 Euglycaemia was maintained by adjusting a 20% glucose infusion, spiked with [6,6-²H₂] glucose (7 158 mg.g⁻¹ glucose for low-dose, 10 mg.g⁻¹ high dose) according to 5 min plasma glucose measurements 159 using a glucose oxidase method (Yellow Springs Analyser). Blood samples were taken every 30 min, 160 except for every 5 min from 210-240 min (low-dose steady-state) and 390-420 min (high-dose steady-161 state).

Plasma glucose concentration and enrichment time-courses were smoothed using optimal segments analysis (23). HGP and glucose uptake (rate of disappearance, Rd) (µmol.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) were calculated using non-steady-state equations (24), assuming a volume of distribution of 22% body weight. HGP was calculated at steady-state basally (90-120 min) and following low-dose insulin (210-240 min), corrected for fat-free mass and (since HGP is inversely related to [insulin]) multiplied by mean steady-state [insulin] (pmol.ml⁻¹) at low-dose. Glucose Rd was calculated at steady-state following high-dose insulin (390-420 min) and metabolic clearance rate (MCR) (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) was calculated at
basal and high-dose insulin steady-state (390-420 min) as (glucose Rd)/[glucose]. Glucose MCR and
Rd were corrected for fat-free mass and (since they are directly related to [insulin]) divided by mean
steady-state [insulin] (pmol.l⁻¹) at basal and high-dose.

172 Cardiorespiratory fitness assessment In Liverpool, cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed on a 173 treadmill ergometer following the Bruce protocol (25). Following 2 min warm up at 2.2 km/h on the 174 flat, initial workload was set at 2.7 km/h at 5° grade, then speed and grade increased step-wise every minute. Heart rate and rate of perceived exertion were monitored throughout. VO_{2peak} was calculated 175 176 from expired gas fractions (Oxycon Pro, Jaegar, Hochberg, Germany) as the highest consecutive 15 s 177 rate in the last minute before volitional exhaustion, or when heart rate and/or VO₂ reached a plateau 178 (21). In Guildford, VO_{2peak} was performed on an electronically-braked bicycle ergometer (Lode; 179 Excaliber Sport, Groningen, the Netherlands) with breath analyser (Medical Graphics, St Paul, MN, 180 USA). Heart rate was measured throughout. After 2 min warm up at 50 W, resistance increased step-181 wise at 20 W/min until volitional exhaustion (26). Cardiorespiratory fitness was defined as VO_{2peak}

182 identically at each facility (despite the different exercise modalities), expressed per kg body weight.

183 *Biochemistry*. Baseline plasma samples were analysed using an Olympus AU2700 (Beckman Coulter, 184 High Wycombe, UK) in Liverpool and an Advia 1800 Chemistry System (Siemens Healthcare 185 Diagnostics, Frimley UK) in Guildford, with standard proprietary reagents and methods: glucose with 186 hexokinase, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) with cholesterol esterase/oxidase, 187 triglyceride with glycerol kinase and liver enzymes including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), 188 aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) with International 189 Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) kinetic UV (without pyridoxal phosphate activation). Intra-190 and inter- assay coefficients of variation were $\leq 10\%$. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was calculated 191 using the Friedwald formula. At a single centre, serum insulin, plasma adiponectin and leptin were 192 measured by RIA using commercial kits (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA; intra-assay CV 6%, 193 5%, 5% respectively), irisin by ELISA (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Burlingame, CA; intra-assay 194 CV 4.1%), fetuin-A by ELISA (Epitope Diagnostics, Inc. San Diego; intra-assay CV 4.8%) and serum 195 NEFA (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany; inter- assay CV 3.0%). Glucose isotopic enrichment was 196 measured by GC-MS on a HP 5971A MSD (Agilent Technologies, Wokingham, Berks, UK)(27). IR 197 was quantified using HOMA2-IR (28). Indices of hepatic insulin resistance (Hepatic-IR) and adipose 198 tissue insulin resistance (Adipose-IR) were calculated (29, 30).

Diagnosis of *metabolic syndrome* was based on the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult
 Treatment Panel III criteria (31). Ten-year cardiovascular risk was calculated using the 10 year
 Framingham Risk Score (32).

202 Statistical Analysis

203 Power calculation. The primary outcome variable was IHCL (% fat/water). Based on mean IHCL of 204 20%, we considered 30% relative difference between groups to be clinically significant, implying 205 mean IHCL of 20% and 14% in the control and exercise groups respectively. Based on a 2-sample *t*-206 test, 5% 2-sided significance and standard deviation (SD) of 7.75% from previous studies, 56 patients 207 (28 in each arm) were required to detect this 6% absolute IHCL difference with 80% power (27).

208 Statistical methods. For the primary comparison of supervised exercise vs. control, delta (Δ) change 209 from pre-intervention was calculated and analysed using linear regression (ANCOVA), with pre data 210 as a covariate (33). Linear regression assumptions were assessed using Q-Q plots and scatter plots of 211 studentised residuals versus fitted values. Where linear regression assumptions were not met these 212 were resolved using the natural logarithm transformation. For exploratory and comparison purposes 213 any continuous demographic variable within each group was also estimated using a paired *t*-test. 214 Correlations were quantified using Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient (r_s) . Data for continuous 215 demographic variables are presented as median and inter-quartile range (IQR) and changes between 216 supervised exercise compared to control are presented as mean (95% CI). Statistical analyses used 217 Stata 13 (StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). 218 Unless otherwise stated, exact P-values are cited (values of "0.000" are reported as "<0.001"). Results 219 are shown as mean (95% CI).

220 **Results**

Baseline characteristics Fifty patients completed the study [n=30 exercise (23 males, 7 female) and n=20 control (16 males, 4 female)] (Figure 1). The age of the participants was similar in the exercise [50y (46, 58), BMI 30.7 kg/m² (29.2,32.9)] vs. control groups [52y (46, 59), BMI 29.7kg/m² (28.0,33.8)]. An equal number (n=15) completed the exercise in each centre (total exercise=30); 8 controls completed in Liverpool and 12 controls completed in Guildford, Surrey (total controls n=20). Pre-intervention characteristics of the groups were similar with respect to age, VO_{2peak}, biochemical and metabolic characteristics, and body composition (Tables 1 and 2).

- Changes in dietary intake In the exercise group after 16 weeks, total energy intake and macronutrient composition remained unchanged compared with baseline: energy [0.4 MJ (-0.4, 1.2), P=0.40)], protein [0.4 g (-11.6, 12.0), P=0.97], carbohydrate [6.4 g (-24.2, 37.0), P=0.34], sugars [-9.2 g (-27.2, 30.0), P=0.41] and fat [9.8 g (8.5, 22.0), P=0.44].
- 232 Changes in body composition and biochemistry The primary outcome measure of IHCL in the
- exercise group was significantly reduced after 16 weeks: 19.4% (14.6, 36.1) vs. 10.1% (6.5, 27.1), but not in the control group: 16.0% (9.6, 32.5) % vs. 14.6 (8.8, 27.3). Supervised exercise mediated a
- greater IHCL reduction than in the controls [-4.7 % (-9.4, -0.01); *P*<0.05] (Table 2). Changes in ALT,
- AST and in GGT were not significant.

- SAT reduction with exercise was significantly greater than with control [-1.8L (= -3.0, -0.7); P=0.003], but changes in VAT were not [-0.7L (-1.6, 0.2); P<0.109], and nor were changes in IMCL in soleus and tibialis anterior (Table 1).
- The changes in fasting plasma insulin and HOMA2-IR [-0.5 (-1.0, 0.02; P=0.06] with exercise were not significantly different compared with control, nor were those in adiponectin, leptin, irisin or fetuin (Table 2).
- Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness Cardiorespiratory fitness (expressed as ml/kg/min) significantly
 improved in the exercise group after 16 weeks: 23.7 ml/kg/min (21.7, 27.8) vs. 32.3 ml/kg/min (27.6,
 38.0); there was no significant increase in the control group: 23.2 ml/kg/min (20.9, 25.6) vs. 23.1
 ml/kg/min (20.9, 26.9). Exercise mediated a greater improvement compared to control [7.3 ml/kg/min
 (5.0, 9.7); P<0.001].
- Cardiorespiratory fitness (expressed as absolute values in l/min) significantly improved in the exercise group after 16 weeks: 2.45 l/min (2.22, 2.69) vs. 3.05 l/min (2.77, 3.34); there was no significant increase in the control group: 2.31 l/min (2.05, 2.63) vs. 2.30 l/min (2.04, 2.57). Exercise mediated a greater improvement compared to control [0.72 l/min (0.42, 1.02); *P*<0.001].
- The greater fitness improvement was accompanied by greater reductions in total body weight [-2.5 kg (-3.9, -1.1); P<0.001)], waist circumference [-3.0 cm (-5, -1); P<0.05] and percentage fat mass [-1.9% (-3.0, -0.7]; P<0.01) compared to control (Table 1). Changes in IHCL were significantly correlated with improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness (absolute and relative), total body weight and with reductions in visceral and subcutaneous fat (Figure 2).
- *Changes in peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity* In the subset of 24 patients that underwent the 2stage hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp, 12 patients in the exercise group and 7 patients in the
 controls completed the full clamp measurements. The changes in this exercise and control subset were
 similar to those seen in the whole group: [Liver fat, -9.3% (-18.1, 0.5) vs. 3.5% (-11.1, 3.9)] and
 VO_{2peak} [7.7ml/kg/min (4.0, 11.1) vs. -1.4ml/kg/min (-4.4, 1.6)].
- Plasma glucose concentration at basal and during the clamp did not differ between interventions (data not shown). In the exercise group glucose infusion rate, corrected for [insulin], during the high-dose insulin infusion was higher post-exercise (P=0.009) (Figure 3a) but did not change in the control group. Following high-dose insulin infusion there was a significant increase in glucose Rd and MCR, corrected for [insulin] in the exercise group (P=0.02, P=0.004 respectively) with no significant change in the control group (Figure 3b and c). The change in glucose MCR was significantly different between groups (P=0.03).
- There was no significant difference with either intervention in HGP corrected for [insulin] at baseline or after low-dose insulin, (Figure 3d) or in the percentage decrease in HGP following low-dose insulin

- in either the exercise group (pre-exercise 50.9±5.3 %; post-exercise 55.3±6.4 %) or the control group
- 272 (pre 46.5±10.3 %; post 56.0±8.5 %).
- 273 Changes in glucose MCR, corrected for insulin, under basal conditions were significantly correlated
- with changes in fitness ($r_s=0.48$, P=0.04) but not in IHCL ($r_s=0.26$, P=0.28). After high-dose insulin,
- 275 the correlation with IHCL did not reach statistical significance ($r_s=0.43$; P=0.18).

276 Discussion

- We have demonstrated in a randomised controlled study that 16 weeks of supervised moderateintensity aerobic exercise in NAFLD reduces liver fat and that this was correlated with an improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness. Using a 2-step euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp in conjunction with quantification of liver fat, we showed, for the first time in patients with NAFLD, that the exercise-induced reduction in liver fat was accompanied by enhanced skeletal muscle and adipose tissue insulin sensitivity, with no improvement in hepatic glucose production.
- Various factors modulate liver fat, particularly regular physical activity (34, 35). Numerous studies have highlighted the therapeutic effects of endurance or resistance exercise in lowering liver fat in NAFLD, even without weight loss (15). However modest weight loss also has clinically significant effects on IHCL. In a study by Coker *et al.*, measuring multi-organ insulin sensitivity in caloric restriction and exercise training (with and without weight loss), exercise with weight loss had the greatest effect both on visceral fat and hepatic glucose output suppression (36). However, liver fat was not measured, precluding direct comparison with the current study.
- In the current study, exercising participants lost ~3% of body weight and this will have contributed to the reduction in IHCL. In a 2-week dietary intervention in NAFLD, ~4% weight reduction was associated with 42% reduction in liver fat (37) while in the LOOK-AHEAD study, lifestyle intervention in T2DM resulting in 1-5% weight change produced 33% reduction in hepatic steatosis (14). While there are clearly weight-dependent effects, the correlation between a reduction in liver fat and improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness in the supervised exercise group suggests that the latter also is a major driver of IHCL levels.
- 297 A significant improvement in *peripheral* (skeletal muscle and adipose) insulin sensitivity 298 accompanied the reduction in liver fat following exercise. It is well documented that chronic exercise 299 improves peripheral insulin sensitivity (38, 39). The improvement in peripheral insulin sensitivity 300 following exercise training occurred without any change in intramyocellular lipid as has been shown 301 in a previous study of overweight men (23). Petersen et al. (40), proposed that skeletal muscle IR 302 promotes hepatic steatosis and metabolic syndrome, by altering post-prandial energy distribution, 303 diverting glucose to the liver for *de novo* lipogenesis (DNL) and triglyceride synthesis. Furthermore, 304 acute exercise through reversal of muscle IR, has been shown to reduce hepatic DNL by 30% and

305 hepatic triglyceride synthesis by 40% (41). In myostatin-null mice, increased muscle insulin 306 sensitivity also protects against hepatic steatosis during high-fat feeding (42). Thus, skeletal muscle 307 metabolism may influence hepatic triglyceride content and metabolism, with inter-organ 'cross-talk' 308 between skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and liver (43). Although not measured here, myokines 309 secreted by skeletal muscle after contraction appear to mediate this cross talk. Thus a plausible 310 mechanism in our study for the reduction in liver fat is enhanced peripheral insulin sensitivity and 311 increased skeletal muscle glucose uptake reducing the flux of plasma glucose to the liver for 312 triglyceride synthesis. The critical role of adipose IR in the metabolic and histological changes in 313 NAFLD, as well as its reversal using thiazolidinediones, has also been demonstrated (29, 44). In this 314 study, we showed that adipose-IR could also be improved with exercise training.

315 The lack of effect of the exercise programme on hepatic insulin resistance was surprising given the 316 assumed links between liver fat accumulation and defective insulin suppression of glucose production 317 (4, 45). Other studies have reported reduced hepatic steatosis and improved hepatic insulin resistance 318 with weight loss following low calorie diets in NAFLD (10,11). However, in these studies liver fat 319 was lower than in the current study and was reduced to normal by weight loss, from 12 to 2.5% (10) 320 and from 12.8 to 2.9% (11). Although in our study there was a comparable loss of liver fat in the 321 exercise group (9.3%) because the group had much higher liver fat levels at baseline (median 19.4%) 322 many patients remained above the normal range after 16 weeks exercise. This suggests that greater 323 reductions in liver fat are needed to improve hepatic insulin resistance, possibly to within the normal 324 range. It is likely that this could be achieved by increasing the period of exercise supervision or the 325 intensity of the exercise, or by caloric restriction (46). Sullivan et al. noted a similar dissociation 326 between (reduced) liver fat and (unchanged) VLDL triglyceride synthesis rate, a metabolic pathway 327 that also exhibits resistance to insulin, after exercise training in patients with NAFLD. Interestingly in 328 the latter study, % liver fat was similar at baseline to the current study (5). Recent animal data may 329 help provide a mechanistic explanation for the phenomenon of improved peripheral insulin 330 sensitivity, reduced liver fat but impaired hepatic insulin sensitivity of glucose metabolism. This data 331 suggests that within the liver glucose production and *de novo* lipogenesis have different insulin 332 sensitivities: the gluconeogenic pathway is insulin-resistant (thus insulin cannot inhibit hepatic 333 glucose production through gluconeogenesis) while the lipogenic pathway remains insulin-sensitive 334 (thus insulin retains its ability to stimulate fatty acid synthesis) (47). This selective insulin resistance 335 is explained by a bifurcation of the hepatic insulin signalling pathway: control of the repression of 336 gluconeogenesis occurs through FoxO1, while a separate pathway controlling lipogenesis involves 337 SREBP-1c(48). Although this cannot be tested in the current study, this mechanism would provide a 338 plausible explanation for the dissociation of the effects of exercise on hepatic liver fat and hepatic 339 glucose production.

340 We acknowledge limitations to the study. We used a *per protocol* analysis. The drop-out rate (19/69, 341 28%) was higher than the anticipated 15-20%, 15 controls and 4 in the exercise group, apparently 342 mainly for practical reasons (e.g. time constraints, excessive research burden) but we believe the 343 disproportionately higher dropout rate in the control group reflects many participants' underlying 344 desire to be randomised to the exercise program. The higher dropout rate in the control group is, we 345 cautiously argue, unlikely to bias our conclusion, and will of course not affect assessment of the effect 346 of the exercise intervention per se. A further imitation is that cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed at 347 study sites using two different modalities, treadmill vs. cycle ergometer. Whilst cardiorespiratory 348 fitness may be lower using cycle ergometry, the primary comparison was the change in fitness with 349 intervention, thus this is unlikely to bias our findings. This is likely due to the greater spread of 350 VO_{2peak} results given the improvements post exercise training. While we believe our cohort is 351 representative of the general NAFLD population, there may be a selection bias with only the most 352 motivated patients consenting to participate in an exercise intervention study: this may underlie the 353 high dropout rate of controls. Accepting these limitations, the noteworthy strengths are the application 354 of whole body MRI and ¹H-MRS, the most sensitive, non-invasive method to quantitate liver fat, and 355 measurement of corresponding changes in organ-specific insulin sensitivity. Using these gold 356 standard techniques we provide important insight into the mechanism by which exercise mediates 357 reduction in liver fat by enhanced peripheral (skeletal muscle) insulin sensitivity, without this 358 reduction in liver fat being paralleled by improved hepatic insulin sensitivity.

359

In summary, in patients with NAFLD exercise-induced reduction in liver fat is related to the improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness and accompanied by an improvement of *peripheral* (muscle and adipose) but not *hepatic* IR. The greatest benefit in normalising liver fat, improving both peripheral and hepatic IR and potentially providing the greatest protection against incident T2DM, may require increasing the duration and/or intensity of the exercise supervision, in conjunction with caloric restriction.

366

367 Acknowledgements

The *European Federation for the Study of Diabetes (EFSD)* funded this research (Clinical Research
Grant) to investigate the effects of supervised exercise on hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity
and lipoprotein metabolism in patients with NAFLD.

371

Declaration of interest

- The authors have nothing to declare.
- 374
- 375

Funding information

- 377 This research work was funded by the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes (EFSD).
- 378

379 Author contribution statement

380 DC, FSM, AMU and GJK conceived and designed the study protocol, obtained funding, were 381 involved in collection and analysis of data and wrote the manuscript. VSS, CJP, HJ, MB, PR, MB, 382 NCJ, ELT and JDB were involved in collection and analysis of data and contributed to the editing of 383 the manuscript.

384

385 Clinical Perspectives

- NAFLD represents a common obesity-related complication, increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes
 mellitus, cardiovascular disease and chronic liver disease. Exercise interventions are effective in
 reducing liver fat, even without significant weight loss.
- We demonstrate exercise supervision is effective at reducing liver fat and this was related to an
 improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness. As expected exercise was associated with significant
 improvements in peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin resistance.
- Surprisingly, despite significant reductions in liver fat with exercise, we did not observe an improvement in hepatic insulin resistance. We speculate that persisting elevated liver fat even after exercise training, means undiminished hepatic insulin resistance. Exercise training needs to be more prolonged or more intense to achieve a greater reduction in liver fat. These results have potential public health implications considering the associated long-term metabolic, hepatic and cardiovascular complications.
- 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
- 410

411 **References**

- Ludwig J, Viggiano TR, McGill DB, Oh BJ. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic
 experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 1980;55(7):434-8.
- 414 2. Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, Tomassetti S, Bugianesi E, Lenzi M, et al. Nonalcoholic
 415 fatty liver disease: a feature of the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes. 2001;50(8):1844-50.
- 416 3. Targher G, Day CP, Bonora E. Risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with nonalcoholic
 417 fatty liver disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(14):1341-50.
- 4. Seppala-Lindroos A, Vehkavaara S, Hakkinen AM, Goto T, Westerbacka J, Sovijarvi A, et al.
 Fat accumulation in the liver is associated with defects in insulin suppression of glucose
 production and serum free fatty acids independent of obesity in normal men. Journal of Clinical
 Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2002;87(7):3023-8.
- Sullivan S, Kirk EP, Mittendorfer B, Patterson BW, Klein S. Randomized trial of exercise
 effect on intrahepatic triglyceride content and lipid kinetics in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
 Hepatol. 2012;55(6):1738-45.
- 425 6. Belfort R, Harrison SA, Brown K, Darland C, Finch J, Hardies J, et al. A placebo-controlled
 426 trial of pioglitazone in subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med.
 427 2006;355(22):2297-307.
- Cuthbertson DJ, Irwin A, Gardner CJ, Daousi C, Purewal T, Furlong N, et al. Improved
 glycaemia correlates with liver fat reduction in obese, type 2 diabetes, patients given GlucagonLike Peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists. PloS One. 2012;7(12).
- 431 8. Thoma C, Day CP, Trenell MI. Lifestyle interventions for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty
 432 liver disease in adults: A systematic review. J Hepatol. 2012;56(1):255-66.
- 433 9. Harrison SA, Day CP. Benefits of lifestyle modification in NAFLD. Gut. 2007;56(12):1760-9.
- 434 10. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Befroy D, Lehrke M, Hendler RE, Shulman GI. Reversal of
 435 nonalcoholic hepatic steatosis, hepatic insulin resistance, and hyperglycemia by moderate
 436 weight reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2005;54(3):603-8.
- Lim EL, Hollingsworth KG, Aribisala BS, Chen MJ, Mathers JC, Taylor R. Reversal of type 2
 diabetes: normalisation of beta cell function in association with decreased pancreas and liver
 triacylglycerol. Diabetologia. 2011;54(10):2506-14.
- Zelber-Sagi S, Nitzan-Kaluski D, Goldsmith R, Webb M, Zvibel I, Goldiner I, et al. Role of
 leisure-time physical activity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based study.
 Hepatol. 2008;48(6):1791-8.
- Hallsworth K, Fattakhova G, Hollingsworth KG, Thoma C, Moore S, Taylor R, et al.
 Resistance exercise reduces liver fat and its mediators in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
 independent of weight loss. Gut. 2011;60(9):1278-83.

- Lazo M, Solga SF, Horska A, Bonekamp S, Diehl AM, Brancati FL, et al. Effects of a 12month intensive lifestyle intervention on hepatic steatosis in adults with type 2 diabetes.
 Diabetes Care. 2010;33(10):2156-63.
- Johnson NA, Sachinwalla T, Walton DW, Smith K, Armstrong A, Thompson MW, et al.
 Aerobic exercise training reduces hepatic and visceral lipids in obese individuals without
 weight loss. Hepatol. 2009;50(4):1105-12.
- 452 16. Keating SE, Hackett DA, Parker HM, O'Connor HT, Gerofi JA, Sainsbury A, et al. Effect of
 453 aerobic exercise training dose on liver fat and visceral adiposity. J Hepatol. 2015.
- Jones H, Sprung VS, Pugh CJ, Daousi C, Irwin A, Aziz N, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome
 with hyperandrogenism is characterized by an increased risk of hepatic steatosis compared to
 nonhyperandrogenic PCOS phenotypes and healthy controls, independent of obesity and insulin
 resistance. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2012;97(10):3709-16.
- 458 18. Vanhamme L, van den Boogaart A, Van Huffel S. Improved method for accurate and efficient
 459 quantification of MRS data with use of prior knowledge. Journal of Magnetic Resonance.
 460 1997;129(1):35-43.
- 461 19. Thomas EL, Hamilton G, Patel N, O'Dwyer R, Dore CJ, Goldin RD, et al. Hepatic triglyceride
 462 content and its relation to body adiposity: a magnetic resonance imaging and proton magnetic
 463 resonance spectroscopy study. Gut. 2005;54(1):122-7.
- 464 20. Rico-Sanz J, Thomas EL, Jenkinson G, Mierisova S, Iles R, Bell JD. Diversity in levels of
 465 intracellular total creatine and triglycerides in human skeletal muscles observed by ¹H-MRS.
 466 Journal of Applied Physiology. 1999;87(6):2068-72.
- 467 21. Szczepaniak LS, Nurenberg P, Leonard D, Browning JD, Reingold JS, Grundy S, et al.
 468 Magnetic resonance spectroscopy to measure hepatic triglyceride content: prevalence of hepatic
 469 steatosis in the general population. American Journal of Physiology (Endocrinolology and
 470 Metabolism). 2005;288(2):E462-8.
- 471 22. Szczepaniak LS, Babcock EE, Schick F, Dobbins RL, Garg A, Burns DK, et al. Measurement
 472 of intracellular triglyceride stores by H spectroscopy: validation in vivo. American Journal of
 473 Physiology. 1999;276(5 Pt 1):E977-89.
- 474 23. Finegood DT, Bergman RN. Optimal segments a method for smoothing tracer data to
 475 calculate metabolic fluxes. American Journal of Physiology. 1983;244(5):E472-E9.
- 476 24. Steele R, Bishop JS, Dunn A, Altszule.N, Rathgeb I, Debodo RC. Inhibition by insulin of
 477 hepatic glucose production in normal dog. American Journal of Physiology. 1965;208(2):301478 &.
- 479 25. Bruce RA, Kusumi F, Hosmer D. Maximal oxygen intake and nomographic assessment of
 480 functional aerobic impairment in cardiovascular disease. Am Heart J. 1973;85(4):546-62.
- 481 26. Borg G, Linderholm H. Perceived exertion and pulse rate during graded exercise in various age
 482 groups. Acta Medica Scandinavica. 1967;S472:194-206.

- 483 27. Shojaee-Moradie F, Baynes KC, Pentecost C, Bell JD, Thomas EL, Jackson NC, et al. Exercise
 484 training reduces fatty acid availability and improves the insulin sensitivity of glucose
 485 metabolism. Diabetologia. 2007;50(2):404-13.
- 486 28. Levy JC, Matthews DR, Hermans MP. Correct homeostasis model assessment (HOMA)
 487 evaluation uses the computer program. Diabetes Care. 1998;21(12):2191-2.
- 488 29. Gastaldelli A, Harrison SA, Belfort-Aguilar R, Hardies LJ, Balas B, Schenker S, et al.
 489 Importance of changes in adipose tissue insulin resistance to histological response during
 490 thiazolidinedione treatment of patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatol.
 491 2009;50(4):1087-93.
- 492 30. Gastaldelli A, Cusi K, Pettiti M, Hardies J, Miyazaki Y, Berria R, et al. Relationship between
 493 hepatic/visceral fat and hepatic insulin resistance in nondiabetic and type 2 diabetic subjects.
 494 Gastroenterology. 2007;133(2):496-506.
- 495 31. Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults.
 496 Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP)
 497 expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult
 498 treatment panel III). JAMA. 2001;285(19):2486-97.
- 499 32. D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, et al. General
 500 cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation.
 501 2008;117(6):743-53.
- 502 33. Vickers AJ, Altman DG. Analysing controlled trials with baseline and follow up measurements.
 503 BMJ. 2001;323(7321):1123-4.
- 504 34. Perseghin G, Lattuada G, De Cobelli F, Ragogna F, Ntali G, Esposito A, et al. Habitual
 505 physical activity is associated with intrahepatic fat content in humans. Diabetes Care.
 506 2007;30(3):683-8.
- 507 35. Bae JC, Suh S, Park SE, Rhee EJ, Park CY, Oh KW, et al. Regular exercise Is associated with a
 508 reduction in the risk of NAFLD and decreased liver enzymes in individuals with NAFLD
 509 independent of obesity in Korean adults. PloS One. 2012;7(10).
- 510 36. Coker RH, Williams RH, Yeo SE, Kortebein PM, Bodenner DL, Kern PA, et al. The impact of
 511 exercise training compared to caloric restriction on hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance in
 512 obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009;94(11):4258-66.
- 513 37. Browning JD, Baker JA, Rogers T, Davis J, Satapati S, Burgess SC. Short-term weight loss and
 514 hepatic triglyceride reduction: evidence of a metabolic advantage with dietary carbohydrate
 515 restriction. Ame J ClinNutr. 2011;93(5):1048-52.
- 38. Bojsen-Moller KN, Dirksen C, Jorgensen NB, Jacobsen SH, Serup AK, Albers PH, et al. Early
 enhancements of hepatic and later of peripheral insulin sensitivity combined with increased
 postprandial insulin secretion contribute to improved glycemic control after Roux-en-Y gastric
 bypass. Diabetes. 2014;63(5):1725-37.

- 520 39. Thankamony A, Tossavainen PH, Sleigh A, Acerini C, Elleri D, Dalton RN, et al. Short-term
 521 administration of pegvisomant improves hepatic insulin sensitivity and reduces soleus muscle
 522 intramyocellular lipid content in young adults with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Clinical
 523 Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2014;99(2):639-47.
- 40. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Savage DB, Bilz S, Solomon G, Yonemitsu S, et al. The role of skeletal
 muscle insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 USA. 2007;104(31):12587-94.
- 41. Rabol R, Petersen KF, Dufour S, Flannery C, Shulman GI. Reversal of muscle insulin
 resistance with exercise reduces postprandial hepatic de novo lipogenesis in insulin resistant
 individuals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(33):13705-9.
- 530 42. Guo T, Jou W, Chanturiya T, Portas J, Gavrilova O, McPherron AC. Myostatin inhibition in
 531 muscle, but not adipose tissue, decreases fat mass and improves insulin sensitivity. PLoS One.
 532 2009;4(3):e4937.
- 43. Pedersen BK, Febbraio MA. Muscles, exercise and obesity: skeletal muscle as a secretory
 organ. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2012;8(8):457-65.
- Lomonaco R, Ortiz-Lopez C, Orsak B, Webb A, Hardies J, Darland C, et al. Effect of adipose
 tissue insulin resistance on metabolic parameters and liver histology in obese patients with
 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol. 2012;55(5):1389-97.
- 538 45. Fabbrini E, Magkos F, Mohammed BS, Pietka T, Abumrad NA, Patterson BW, et al.
 539 Intrahepatic fat, not visceral fat, is linked with metabolic complications of obesity. Proc Natl
 540 Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(36):15430-5.
- Lim EL, Hollingsworth KG, Aribisala BS, Chen MJ, Mathers JC, Taylor R. Reversal of type 2
 diabetes: normalisation of beta cell function in association with decreased pancreas and liver
 triacylglycerol. Diabetologia. 2011;54(10):2506-14.
- 544 47. Cook JR, Langlet F, Kido Y, Accili D. On the pathogenesis of selective insulin resistance in
 545 isolated hepatocytes. J Biol Chem. 2015.
- 546 48. Li S, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Bifurcation of insulin signaling pathway in rat liver: mTORC1
 547 required for stimulation of lipogenesis, but not inhibition of gluconeogenesis. Proc Natl Acad
- 548 Sci USA. 2010;107(8):3441-6.
- 549

- 550 Figure legends
- 551

552 **Figure 1.** CONSORT diagram showing flow of participants through the study.

553 Figure 2. Black circles indicate individuals in the exercise group; open circles indicate individuals in554 the control group.

- 555 **A)** Relationship between reduction in liver fat (IHCL) and improvement in cardiorespiratory 556 fitness (VO_{2peak} ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) (r= -0.34; P=0.02)
- **B**) Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in body weight (*r*=0.48; *P*<0.001)
- 558 C) Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in visceral adipose tissue volume 559 (VAT) (r=0.37; P=0.008).
- 560 **D**) Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in subcutaneous adipose tissue 561 volume (SAT) (r=0.61; P<0.001).
- 562 **Figure 3.** Rates of a) glucose infusion (GINF) during high dose insulin, b) glucose uptake (Rd) during
- 563 high dose insulin, c) glucose metabolic clearance (MCR) during high dose insulin and d) hepatic
- 564 glucose production (HGP) during low dose insulin expressed relative to insulin, before (grey bars)
- and after (black bars) exercise or controls.

566

Table 1. Clinical, biochemical and MRI-measured body composition in 50 patients before and after supervised exercise intervention (Ex; n=30) and control (Con; n=20) (reported as *median* and *interquartile range* as within group comparison). *Mean delta changes* with 95% confidence intervals (with significance values) are shown for each intervention and the delta changes are compared (between group comparison). *P<0.05; **P<0.001

		Within-grou	p comparison		Between-group comparison			
	Pre Ex Median (IQR)	Post Ex Median (IQR)	Pre Con Median (IQR)	Post Con Median (IQR)	Ex Δ Change Mean (95 % CI)	Con ∆ Change Mean (95% CI)	Δ Mean (95% CI)	Р
Weight (kg)	95.6 (83.8-104)	90.7 (80.1-101.5)	90.4 (86.5-107.5)	90.7 (86.4-108.5)	-2.5 (-3.5, -1.4)**	0.2 (-0.8, 1.1)	-2.5 (-3.9, -1.1)	0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	30.6 (29.0-32.9)	30.0 (27.9-32.0)	29.7 (28.0-33.8)	29.9 (28.0-33.0)	-0.9 (-1.4, -0.5)**	0.02 (-0.5, 0.6)	-1 (-1.3, -0.3)	0.007
Waist (cm)	106 (101-112)	103 (95-109)	102 (99-114)	101 (98-114)	-4.1 (-5.8, -2.4)**	-1.01 (-2.45, 0.34)	-3 (-5, -1)	0.013
% fat mass	30.4 (25.9-32.1)	28.0 (24.3-29.8)	31.0 (26.5-37.7)	30.7 (25.8-37.0)	-1.6 (-2.4, -0.7)**	0.2 (-0.6, 1.1)	-1.9 (-3.0, -0.7)	0.002
Systolic BP (mmHg)	135 (125-142)	129 (121-137)	125 (118-142)	132 (123-143)	-5 (-9, -1)*	1 (-5, 7)	-4. (-10, 1.0)	0.111
Diastolic BP	83 (75-87)	78 (74-82)	82 (72-92)	83 (72-90)	-4 (-7, -0.3)*	-3 (-9, 3)	-2 (-5, 3)	0.456
VO2peak(ml/kg/min)^	23.7 (21.7-27.8)	32.3 (27.6-38.0)	23.2 (20.9-25.6)	23.1 (20.9-26.9)	7.2 (5.3, 9.1)**	-0.2 (-1.7, 1.3)	7.3 (5.0,9.7)	<0.001
ALT^ (U/l)	45 (36-66)	32 (25-44)	47 (29-63)	34 (24-51)	-14 (-23, 5)**	-12(-19, -4)**	0.99 (0.78, 1.20)	0.760
AST^ (U/l)	33 (25-47)	29 (22-35)	31 (23-41)	27 (23-36)	-8 (-12, -3)**	-4 (-8,1)	0.92 (0.79, 1.07)	0.268
GGT^ (U/l)	47 (35-62)	34 (22-48)	42 (28-66)	41 (26-68)	-18 (-29, -7)**	-8(-18, 2)	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.089
Cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.1 (4.7-5.7)	4.8 (4.4-5.3)	5.2 (4.60-5.49)	5.1 (4.53)	-0.19 (-0.38, 0.01)	0.02 (-0.18, 0.22)	-0.20 (-0.49, 0.09)	0.169
Triglycerides	1.9 (1.4-2.63)	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	1.5 (1.2-2.7)	1.6 (1.4-2.7)	-0.16 (-0.37, 0.04)	0.05 (-0.40, 0.50)	-0.24 (-0.54, 0.07)	0.123
(mmol/l)								
HDL (mmol/l)	1.2 (0.9-1.4)	1.2 (0.9-1.4)	1.2 (0.9-1.3)	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)	0.03 (-0.04, 0.09)	0.443
LDL (mmol/l)	3.5 (3.0-3.9)	3.2 (2.8-3.5)	3.4 (2.6-3.7)	3.1 (2.5-3.5)	-0.29 (-0.5, -0.1)*	-0.26 (-0.56, 0.03)	0.06 (-0.29, 0.40)	0.745
Chol:HDL ratio	4.6 (4.0-5.1)	4.0 (3.3-5.0)	4.7 (4.0-5.6)	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	0.3 (-0.0-0.5)*	-0.09 (-0.44, 0.27)	-0.21 (-0.61, 0.18)	0.279
Liver fat (%	19.4 (14.6-36.1)	10.1 (6.5-27.1)	16.0 (9.6-32.5)	14.6 (8.8-27.3)	-9.3 (-13.1, -5.3)*	-2.5 (-6.2, 1.2)	-4.7 (-9.4, 0.01)	0.05
CH ₂ /water)								
VAT (l)	9.8 (8.0-11.7)	8.6 (7.8-9.6)	7.8 (6.9-9.2)	8.0 (6.9-9.1)	-1.0 (-1.6, -0.4)*	-0.2 (-0.8, 0.5)	-0.7 (-1.6, 0.2)	0.109
SAT (1)	23.1 (19.4-32.0)	20.7 (17.5-28.3)	21.7 (19.6-29.1)	23.1 (19.1-29.3)	-1.4 (-2.6, -1.0)*	0.01 (-0.8, 0.9)	-1.8 (-3.0, -0.7)	0 .003
Abdominal fat (l)	33.2 (29.1-41.0)	29.9 (26.7-37.2)	30.0 (27.5-38.2)	31.9 (27.1-37.5)	-2.8 (-4.0, -1.6)*	-0.15 (-1.6, 1.3)	-2.7 (-4.6, -0.8)	0.006
VAT:SAT ratio	0.4 (0.3-0.6)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.00)	-0.01 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.03, 0.02)	0.853
IMCL Soleus	12.3 (9.0-16.8)	12.8 (9.2-15.6)	15.5 (11.7-21.8)	15.0 (12.9-21.4)	-0.8 (-2.7, 1.2)	-1.1 (-1.8, 4.1)	-1.9 (-5.0, 1.3)	0.237
(CH ₂ /creatine)								
IMCL Tibialis Ant.	9.0 (5.6-11.2)	8.6 (6.8-11.6)	7.3 (5.3-9.5)	8.7 (7.1-11.7)	0.2 (-2.3, 2.8)	-0.9 (-9.3, 7.6)	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.848

Within-group comparisons use paired t-tests, p < 0.05 being taken as evidence of a significant change pre- to post-intervention: a negative change indicates reduction pre- to post. Between-group comparisons (final two columns) use linear regression (ANCOVA) comparing post-scores between groups correcting for pre-scores, Δ therefore indicates

the difference between post-intervention means after correcting for pre-intervention scores: a negative difference indicates a lower mean for the exercise group compared with control. $^{\circ}$ indicates that a log transformation was necessary to meet the assumptions of linear regression; here, Δ is the ratio of geometric means post-intervention after correcting for pre-intervention scores, a ratio <1 indicating a lower mean in exercise group relative to control.

Table 2. Metabolic measurements in 50 patients before and after supervised exercise intervention (Ex; n=30) and control (Con; n=20) (reported as *median* and *interquartile range* as within group comparison). *Mean delta changes* with 95% confidence intervals (with significance values) are shown for each intervention and the delta changes are compared (between group comparison). *P<0.05.

		Within-group	comparison	Between-group comparison			
	Pre Ex Median (IQR)	Post Ex Median (IQR)	Pre Con Median (IQR)	Post Con Median (IQR)	Ex Δ Change Mean (95 % CI)	Con Δ Change Mean (95% CI)	Δ Mean (95% CI)
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.4 (4.8-6.1)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)*	5.6 (4.8-6.1)	5.5 (5.0-5.8)*	-0.15 (-0.30, 0.00)	-0.2 (-0.3, 0.0)	0.0 (-0.2, 0.2)
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)	131 (96-162)	115 (72-158)*	119(96-193)	130 (95-195)	-22 (-43, -1)	2 (-19, 23)	-26 (-55, 2)
HOMA2-IR	2.5 (1.8-3.0)	2.1 (1.3-2.9)*	2.2 (1.8-3.6)	2.5 (1.8-3.7)	-0.43 (-0.81, -0.05)	0.03 (-0.3, 0.4)	-0.5 (-0.1.0, 0.02)
Fasting FFA (mmol/l)	0.52 (0.45-0.60)	0.42 (0.35-0.59)	0.56 (0.39-0.71)	0.54 (0.42-0.65)	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.03)	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.03)	-0.03 (-0.1, 0.1)
Adipose-IR (mmol/l.pmol/l)	61 (48-88)	50 (30-86)*	55. (47-87)	60 (44-84)	-15 (-27, -2)	-0.5 (-17, 16)	-18 (-36, 0.5)*
Adiponectin (ng/ml)	5950 (3700-8100)	5450 (3550-7650)	6300 (5200-7950)	6650 (4950-9750)	-260 (-790, 269)	259(-543, 1060)	-630(-1497, 238)
Leptin (ng/ml)	9.2 (6.5-12.6)	7.1 (4.3-11.9)*	11.8 (7.0-18.5)	11.8 (6.9-19.0)	-1.7 (-3.0, -0.4)*	-0.3 (-1.5, 1.0)	-1.7 (-3.5, 0.1)
Irisin (ng/ml)	140 (128-171)	129 (121-173)*	140 (128-179)	145 (123-156)	-10.5 (-18.9, -2.1)	-5.4 (-16, 5.1)	-4.7 (-17, 8)
Fetuin-A *(µg/ml)	483 (412-518)	470(397-506)	424 (393.8 - 4780.0)	428 (394-477)	-1.9 (-15.5, 11.6)	-4.0 (27, 19)	-2. (-28, 24)

Within-group comparisons use paired t-tests, P < 0.05 being taken as evidence of a change pre- to post-intervention: a negative change indicates reduction pre- to post. Between-group comparisons use linear regression (ANCOVA) comparing post scores between groups whilst correcting for pre-scores, therefore indicates the difference between post intervention means after correcting for pre-intervention scores: a negative difference indicates a lower mean for the exercise group compared with control group.