# <sup>1</sup> Cambrian cinctan echinoderms shed light on feeding in

<sup>2</sup> the ancestral deuterostome

| 2 |
|---|
| Э |

4 Imran A. Rahman<sup>1\*</sup>, Samuel Zamora<sup>2</sup>, Peter L. Falkingham<sup>3</sup> and Jeremy C.

5 Phillips<sup>1</sup>

6

- <sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queen's Road,
- 8 Bristol BS8 1RJ, UK
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, C/ Manuel Lasala, 44 9º B, 50006 Zaragoza,
- 10 Spain
- <sup>3</sup>School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom
- 12 Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

13

- 14 \*Author for correspondence:
- 15 Imran A. Rahman
- 16 e-mail: imran.rahman@bristol.ac.uk

18 Abstract

19

Reconstructing the feeding mode of the latest common ancestor of deuterostomes is key to 20 21 elucidating the early evolution of feeding in chordates and allied phyla; however, it is debated 22 whether the ancestral deuterostome was a tentaculate feeder or a pharyngeal filter feeder. To address this, we evaluated the hydrodynamics of feeding in a group of fossil stem-group 23 echinoderms (cinctans) using computational fluid dynamics. We simulated water flow past 24 three-dimensional digital models of a Cambrian fossil cinctan in a range of possible life 25 26 positions, adopting both passive tentacular feeding and active pharyngeal filter feeding. The results demonstrate that an orientation with the mouth facing downstream of the current was 27 optimal for drag and lift reduction. Moreover, they show that there was almost no flow to the 28 29 mouth and associated marginal groove under simulations of passive feeding, whereas considerable flow towards the animal was observed for active feeding, which would have 30 enhanced the transport of suspended particles to the mouth. This strongly suggests that 31 cinctans were active pharyngeal filter feeders, like modern enteropneust hemichordates and 32 urochordates, indicating that the ancestral deuterostome employed a similar feeding strategy. 33 34 **Keywords:** 35 echinoderms, deuterostomes, evolution, feeding, functional morphology, computational fluid 36 37 dynamics 38

- 40 1. Introduction
- 41

Deuterostomes are one of the three major clades of bilaterian animals. Molecular 42 phylogenetics has helped resolve the relationships of the main deuterostome phyla (chordates, 43 echinoderms and hemichordates) [1-3], but despite extensive study of their anatomy, 44 development and phylogeny for over a century, important aspects of the early evolutionary 45 history of deuterostomes remain unclear [4]. Feeding is one such outstanding issue; it was 46 long speculated that the ancestral deuterostome had tentacles for collecting food from the 47 48 water column, like modern crinoids and pterobranch hemichordates [5–7], but more recently it has been proposed that it had a pharynx with gill slits for actively generating feeding 49 currents, similar to enteropneust hemichordates, urochordates, cephalochordates and larval 50 lampreys [8–10]. Distinguishing between these competing hypotheses is problematic because 51 it is disputed whether the latest common ancestor of deuterostomes had a pterobranch-like 52 body plan (with tentacular feeding), or an enteropneust-like body plan (with pharyngeal filter 53 feeding) [4]. 54

55

56 The fossil record provides an alternative means of differentiating these two hypotheses through the inference of feeding modes in the earliest fossil forms, and could thus inform on 57 the ancestral feeding strategy of deuterostomes. Although the early record of most 58 59 deuterostome phyla is patchy and incomplete [4], echinoderms possess a rich record dating back to the Cambrian [11,12] because a mineralized skeleton was among their first derived 60 61 traits [13]. Several groups of pre-radiate fossil stem-group echinoderms (Ctenoimbricata, ctenocystoids and cinctans) are especially important, as they document the earliest steps in 62 63 the assembly of the echinoderm body plan and retain plesiomorphic characters of the ancestral deuterostome [14–16]. Cinctans are the best understood of these groups in terms of 64 their anatomy and functional morphology, and so have the greatest potential for elucidating 65 deuterostome evolution; however, their mode of feeding is controversial. It is widely 66

| 67 | accepted that cinctans were sessile epibenthic suspension feeders with an anterolateral mouth     |
|----|---|
| 68 | and one or a pair of marginal grooves [7,14,17–20], but it is debated whether they were           |
| 69 | passive suspension feeders with a system of tentacles, analogous to crinoids [19,20], or active   |
| 70 | pharyngeal filter feeders, similar to urochordates [14,21].                                       |
| 71 |   |
| 72 | In order to evaluate competing hypotheses of cinctan feeding mode, we quantitatively              |
| 73 | analysed the functional performance of a Cambrian fossil cinctan. Using three-dimensional         |
| 74 | computational fluid dynamics (CFD), we simulated flow past a digital reconstruction of the        |
| 75 | fossil in a range of different positions relative to the current direction and the sediment-water |
| 76 | interface, approximating both hypothesized feeding scenarios. The results provide new             |
| 77 | insights into the hydrodynamics of feeding in cinctans, with implications for the                 |
| 78 | plesiomorphic mode of feeding in deuterostomes.   |
| 79 |   |
| 80 |   |
| 81 | 2. Material and methods   |
| 82 |   |
| 83 | (a) Fossil specimen   |
| 84 | The holotype of the cinctan Protocinctus mansillaensis (MPZ 2004/170; Museo                       |
| 85 | Paleontológico de la Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain) was selected for use in CFD                  |
| 86 | simulations owing to its exceptional three-dimensional preservation as recrystallized calcite.    |
| 87 | This species comes from the Mansilla Formation of Purujosa, north-east Spain, which is early      |
| 88 | middle Cambrian (Cambrian Series 3, Stage 5) in age (~510 Ma) and is characterized by             |
| 89 | purple to reddish nodular limestones and shales, indicative of a shoreface to offshore            |
| 00 | depositional setting Like all cinctans. Protocinctus has a flattened asymmetrical body            |

91 (theca) and a rigid posterior appendage. A circular mouth is located on the anterior right side
92 of the theca; a larger exhalant aperture (the porta) is situated at the anterior midline of the
93 theca, covered by a movable plate (the operculum). *Protocinctus* is also characterized by an
94 elongate, oval-shaped theca, a single left marginal groove and a weakly-developed ventral
95 swelling at the anterior (figure 1*a*).

96

#### 97 (b) X-ray micro-tomography

The fossil was scanned with a Phoenix v|tome|x s system and digitally reconstructed using
the SPIERS software suite [22]. See Rahman and Zamora [23] for details. A ZIP archive
containing the digital reconstruction in VAXML format can be downloaded from Dryad
(doi:10.5061/dryad.g4n5m).

102

#### 103 (c) Digital restoration

In order to restore the poorly-preserved upper surface of the studied specimen, the dorsal 104 integument and the operculum were virtually extrapolated in SPIERS with a closed spline 105 (using other specimens in which the upper surface is better preserved as a reference). The 106 107 operculum was restored in two hypothetical life positions: (1) 'closed', with the porta entirely covered by the operculum (figure 1b) and (2) 'open', with the operculum raised above the 108 109 porta (figure 1c). These reconstructions were then optimized with a low smoothing value to 110 remove noise, and converted into NURBS surfaces using Geomagic Studio (www.geomagic.com) (models can be downloaded from Dryad: doi:10.5061/dryad.g4n5m). 111

112

### 113 (d) Computational fluid dynamics simulations

114 CFD simulations of water flow around *Protocinctus* were performed using COMSOL

115 Multiphysics (www.uk.comsol.com). The computational domain consisted of a three-

dimensional volume above a flat solid boundary (85 mm in length and 17.5 mm in diameter), 116 on which the Protocinctus reconstruction (23 mm in length and 10 mm in width) was 117 centrally fixed (electronic supplementary material, figure S1a). Flow was simulated through 118 119 this domain with an initially uniform inflow velocity at the upstream end and an outflow boundary condition (zero pressure gradient across the boundary) at the downstream end. Slip 120 conditions (zero stress across the boundary) were used for the domain sides and top, with no-121 122 slip conditions (zero velocity relative to the boundary) for the solid surfaces of the reconstruction and the underlying base. The flow domain was a semi-cylinder and was 123 sufficiently large that the boundary conditions did not influence the flow. The domain was 124 meshed using free tetrahedral elements (electronic supplementary material, figure S1b), with 125 mesh resolution fully tested to ensure grid scale independence for the simulation results 126 127 (electronic supplementary material, sensitivity analyses).

128

129 A total of 100 simulations were undertaken, using a range of input parameters (electronic 130 supplementary material, table S1). In all cases, three-dimensional, incompressible (constant 131 density) flow of water was simulated, with the *Protocinctus* reconstruction held stationary. Ambient flow velocities of 0.05, 0.1 or 0.2 m/s (Reynolds numbers of 525–925, 1050–1850 132 133 and 2100–3700, respectively; width of the specimen in the flow taken as the characteristic dimension) were simulated to approximate typical near-bottom currents in modern shoreface 134 135 to offshore environments [24]. A stationary solver was used to compute the steady-state flow patterns and a laminar flow model was used to solve the Navier-Stokes equations for 136 conservation of momentum and the continuity equation for conservation of mass. The effects 137 of varying the solver type and flow model were examined for the higher Reynolds number 138 flows (electronic supplementary material, sensitivity analyses). In addition, experimental 139 studies of flow around a 3-D printed model of *Protocinctus* were carried out in a flume tank 140

for comparison with the computer simulations (electronic supplementary material, flume tankexperiments, figure S2).

143

144 Three different feeding scenarios were simulated. (1) Passive tentacular feeding using the closed *Protocinctus* reconstruction with the mouth cross-section allowing flow to pass 145 through (outflow boundary). (2) The inhalant current of active pharyngeal filter feeding using 146 147 the closed *Protocinctus* reconstruction with flow velocity through the mouth cross-section given a normal outflow velocity of 0.015 m/s. (3) The exhalant current of active pharyngeal 148 filter feeding using the open *Protocinctus* reconstruction with flow velocity through the 149 operculum cross-section given a normal inflow velocity of 0.04 m/s. The inhalant and 150 exhalant velocities of pharyngeal filter feeding were based on analogy with the extant 151 152 urochordate Styela clava [25].

153

To explore the hydrodynamic consequences of different life positions, all of the above 154 simulations were performed with the *Protocinctus* reconstruction oriented at 0°, 45°, 90°, 155 135° and 180° to the current, and with the ventral swelling positioned either below 156 (equivalent to burial within the sediment) or on top of (equivalent to resting on the sediment) 157 158 the lower boundary of the computational domain. The results were visualized as twodimensional cross-sections of flow velocity magnitude with flow vectors (arrows) and 159 streamlines. Drag and lift forces and their coefficients (projected frontal area taken as the 160 reference area) were calculated to quantify flow around the digital reconstructions. 161

162

163

164 **3. Results** 

166 The results of the CFD simulations show that the overall characteristics of the flow around the Protocinctus reconstruction conformed to expectations for boundary layer and wake 167 development. In all cases, the velocity decreased rapidly immediately upstream of the 168 169 *Protocinctus* reconstruction (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figures S3–S8) and a distinctive wake (elongate, low-velocity flow region, typically with an asymmetrical 170 vortex) was formed immediately downstream. The size and shape of the wake varied 171 172 depending on the orientation of the reconstruction to the current, but were not significantly affected by the simulated feeding scenario, or the placement of the reconstruction in relation 173 to the lower boundary of the domain (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figures S3– 174 S8). A characteristic boundary layer, shown by a rapid drop in velocity as the flow 175 approached the bottom of the domain, was well developed in all the simulations. The 176 thickness of the boundary layer was roughly equal to the height of the Protocinctus 177 reconstruction in both positions relative to the underlying base (figure 2). 178

179

180 Distinctly different flow patterns were associated with different feeding scenarios. Flow 181 vectors and streamlines indicate that the velocity of the flow into the mouth was greatest in the simulations of the inhalant current generated by pharyngeal filter feeding (figure 2g-l; 182 183 electronic supplementary material, figures S5 and S6). This was most pronounced when the Protocinctus reconstruction was oriented at 180° to the current. Conversely, in the 184 simulations where there was no inhalant current, flow into the mouth was generally much 185 weaker (figure 2a-f, m-r; electronic supplementary material, figures S3, S4, S7 and S8). 186 Flow to the marginal groove was very low for all the simulated feeding modes (electronic 187 supplementary material, figure S9). 188

In the simulations of the exhalant current produced by pharyngeal filter feeding, a jet of highvelocity flow passed out of the porta, intruding into the ambient flow or the wake, depending on the orientation of the reconstruction (figure 2m-r; electronic supplementary material, figures S7 and S8). When the *Protocinctus* reconstruction was oriented at 0° to the current, this jet directly opposed the ambient flow direction (electronic supplementary material, figures S7*a*-*c* and S8*a*-*c*), whereas with the reconstruction oriented at 180° to the current, it flowed in the same direction as the ambient flow, contributing to the wake (figure 2m-r).

Consistent with theoretical expectations, the drag force exerted by the reconstruction on the 198 fluid flow increased as the ambient velocity increased, whereas the drag coefficient 199 decreased. The lift force also increased with increasing ambient velocity. The orientation of 200 the reconstruction strongly influenced both the drag and lift forces and the lift coefficient, 201 which were greatest when the reconstructions were oriented at 45°, 90° or 135° to the current. 202 The reconstruction position relative to the domain bottom was likewise important, with the 203 204 drag and lift forces and the drag coefficient higher when the ventral swelling was positioned 205 on top of the lower boundary of the domain (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, figures S10 and S11, tables S2 and S3). 206

207

The results of the simulations were not greatly influenced by varying the mesh size, solver or
flow type, with all these analyses producing very similar flow structures, drag and lift
(electronic supplementary material, figures S12–S14, table S4). Moreover, comparisons
between the experimental studies and the computer simulations showed that both approaches
obtained similar downstream current velocities (electronic supplementary material, figure
S15).

#### 216 **4. Discussion**

217

218 CFD simulations indicate that orientation had a marked effect on the amount of drag generated by *Protocinctus*, with the largest wake size and highest drag force occurring when 219 the reconstruction was oriented at 45°, 90° or 135° to the current (figure 3; electronic 220 supplementary material, figures S3–S8, table S2). The lift force and coefficient were also 221 greatest when the reconstruction was non-parallel to the current (figure 3; electronic 222 223 supplementary material, figure S11, table S3). Drag and lift can be detrimental to epibenthic organisms, making it harder to maintain posture and even dislodging or injuring animals 224 [26,27]. While some suspension feeders seek to increase drag to aid feeding [26], this was 225 226 almost certainly not the case for *Protocinctus*, which exhibits a streamlined profile (figure 1) that is clearly adapted to reduce drag parallel to the flow direction. Therefore, it seems most 227 probable (on functional grounds) that Protocinctus was preferentially oriented parallel to the 228 current in life, minimizing both drag and lift. Simulations with the reconstruction facing 229 upstream and downstream produced similar amounts of drag (figure 3; electronic 230 231 supplementary material, figure S10, table S2). However, the lift was substantially greater when the reconstruction faced upstream (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, figure 232 233 S11, table S3). Moreover, the simulations of the exhalant current clearly show that the jet of 234 exhalant flow out of the porta would have been transported to the mouth by the ambient flow 235 if the reconstruction faced into the current (electronic supplementary material, figures S7a-c236 and S8a-c). Because the porta is interpreted as an exhalant opening under both passive 237 [19,20] and active [14,21] feeding scenarios, an upstream orientation would have led to 238 fouling of the mouth and associated marginal groove in either mode of feeding. Consequently, it can be inferred that cinctans were oriented downstream in life, and this 239

agrees with previous interpretations of cinctan functional morphology [7,19,21] and a

qualitative flume study [18], which suggested that an orientation with the mouth facing away

- from the prevailing current would have enhanced feeding and/or stability.
- 243

The flow structure did not vary appreciably according to the position of *Protocinctus* relative 244 to the sediment-water interface, but the drag and lift forces were higher in the simulations of 245 246 the ventral swelling resting on top of the sediment surface (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, tables S2 and S3). This suggests that a position with the ventral swelling buried was 247 optimal for reducing drag and lift, and might also have been beneficial for anchoring the 248 animal to the seafloor [17,28]. Regardless of the placement of the ventral swelling, however, 249 Protocinctus would always have been situated in the low-velocity boundary layer, with the 250 mouth and marginal groove close to the sediment surface (figure 2). This position has 251 implications for the interpretation of the animal's mode of feeding. The simulations of 252 passive feeding with *Protocinctus* in a downstream orientation demonstrate that there was 253 254 almost no flow to the mouth and adjacent marginal groove (figure 2a-f; electronic 255 supplementary material, figure S9), indicating that the transport of suspended particles to the animal would have been extremely limited. Nutrient flux is known to be very low within the 256 257 boundary layer [29], and modern passive suspension feeders typically possess specialized food-capturing structures, such as fans, nets or tentacles, which are elevated above this zone, 258 where there are higher rates of flow and nutrient flux, to facilitate feeding [26,30]. There is 259 no evidence of such morphological adaptations in cinctans, which are characterized by a 260 flattened body with recumbent feeding structures (mouth and marginal groove). Thus, if 261 cinctans faced downstream (as argued above) and relied on external flows alone, they would 262 have had access to a very limited supply of nutrients, which was likely insufficient for 263 passive tentaculate feeding. 264

CFD simulations provide better support for an active pharyngeal filter feeding mode of life. 266 The inhalant current generated by *Protocinctus* channelled considerable flow towards the 267 268 animal (figure 2g-l), which would have enhanced the transport of suspended particles into the mouth. Furthermore, simulations of active feeding with *Protocinctus* facing downstream 269 show that the exhalant jet ejected from the porta travelled above any recirculating flow in the 270 271 wake close to the mouth and marginal groove, avoiding potential contamination of feeding currents (figure 2m-r). The same pattern is documented in extant pharyngeal filter feeders, 272 such as urochordates, which are capable of generating powerful exhalant flows that carry 273 wastewater beyond the mouth [25,26]. Consequently, simulations of both inhalant (figure 2g-274 *l*) and exhalant (figure 2m-r) currents are compatible with pharyngeal filter feeding, and this 275 agrees with studies of cinctans that suggested such a feeding mode based on the functional 276 morphology of the porta-operculum complex and detailed comparisons with urochordates 277 278 [14,18,21].

279

280 Our findings are broadly in agreement with previous interpretations of the earliest fossil stem-group echinoderms (Ctenoimbricata, ctenocystoids and cinctans) as pharyngeal filter 281 282 feeders [14–16], and argue against their interpretation as passive tentaculate feeders [19,20]. Among modern deuterostomes, active suspension feeding with pharyngeal gill slits is 283 284 documented in enteropneust hemichordates, urochordates, cephalochordates and larval lampreys, while suspension feeding with tentacles characterizes crinoids and pterobranch 285 hemichordates. Owing to their position close to the base of echinoderm phylogeny, the 286 inference of pharyngeal filter feeding in cinctans allows us to extend this feeding mode back 287 to the latest common ancestor of all deuterostomes (figure 4). This provides strong support 288 for the hypothesis that the ancestral deuterostome fed through pharyngeal filtering [8–10], 289

| 290 | indicating that a pharynx with gill slits is in all likelihood a deuterostome symplesiomorphy     |
|-----|---|
| 291 | and that the tentacular feeding systems of echinoderms and pterobranchs are most probably         |
| 292 | not homologous.   |
| 293 |   |
| 294 |   |
| 295 | Data accessibility. Digital models of <i>Protocinctus</i> and a video file can be downloaded from |
| 296 | Dryad (doi:10.5061/dryad.g4n5m).  |
| 297 |   |
| 298 | Competing interests. We have no competing interests.  |
| 299 |   |
| 300 | Authors' contributions. IAR and PLF conceived the study. IAR and JCP carried out CFD              |
| 301 | simulations. IAR wrote the paper and prepared figures/tables. All authors analysed the data,      |
| 302 | reviewed drafts of the paper and gave final approval for publication.                             |
| 303 |   |
| 304 | Acknowledgements. We thank Benedict Rogers (University of Manchester) for advice on               |
| 305 | modelling, Keith Adcock (Birmingham City University) for 3-D printing and Gareth Keevil           |
| 306 | (University of Leeds) for assistance with flume tank experiments. Phil Donoghue and               |
| 307 | Stephan Lautenschlager (University of Bristol) provided helpful comments on an earlier            |
| 308 | version of the text, and the final version benefited greatly from the comments of three           |
| 309 | anonymous referees.   |
| 310 |   |
| 311 | Funding. Imran Rahman was supported by an 1851 Royal Commission Research Fellowship               |
| 312 | Samuel Zamora acknowledges a Ramón y Cajal Grant (RYC-2012-10576) and project                     |
| 313 | CGL2013-48877 from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness.                           |
| 314 |   |

| 212 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
|-----|---|---|---|
|-----|---|---|---|

## **References**

| 318 | 1. | Bourlat SJ, Juliusdottir T, Lowe CJ, Freeman R, Aronowicz J, Kirschner M, Lander ES,    |
|-----|----|---|
| 319 |    | Thorndyke M, Nakano H, Kohn AB, et al. 2006 Deuterostome phylogeny reveals              |
| 320 |    | monophyletic chordates and the new phylum Xenoturbellida. Nature 444, 85-88.            |
| 321 |    | (doi:10.1038/nature05241)   |
| 322 | 2. | Philippe H, Brinkmann H, Copley RR, Moroz LL, Nakano H, Poustka AJ, Wallberg A,         |
| 323 |    | Peterson KJ, Telford MJ. 2011 Acoelomorph flatworms are deuterostomes related to        |
| 324 |    | Xenoturbella. Nature 470, 255–258. (doi:10.1038/nature09676)                            |
| 325 | 3. | Cannon JT, Kocot KM, Waits DS, Weese DA, Swalla BJ, Santos SR, Halanych KM.             |
| 326 |    | 2014 Phylogenomic resolution of the hemichordate and echinoderm clade. Curr. Biol. 24,  |
| 327 |    | 2827–2832. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.016)  |
| 328 | 4. | Swalla BJ, Smith AB. 2008 Deciphering deuterostome phylogeny: molecular,                |
| 329 |    | morphological and palaeontological perspectives. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 363, 1557–1568. |
| 330 |    | (doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2246)  |
| 331 | 5. | Romer AS. 1967 Major steps in vertebrate evolution. Science 158, 1629–1637.             |
| 332 |    | (doi:10.1126/science.158.3809.1629)   |
| 333 | 6. | Gee H. 1996 Before the backbone: views on the origin of vertebrates. London: Chapman    |
| 334 |    | and Hall.   |
| 335 | 7. | Jefferies RPS, Brown NA, Daley PEJ. 1996 The early phylogeny of chordates and           |
| 336 |    | echinoderms and the origin of chordate left-right asymmetry and bilateral symmetry.     |
| 337 |    | Acta Zool., Stockholm 77, 101–122. (doi:10.1111/j.1463-6395.1996.tb01256.x)             |

- 8. Cameron CB. 2002 Particle retention and flow in the pharynx of the enteropneust worm
- Harrimania planktophilus: the filter-feeding pharynx may have evolved before the
  chordates. *Biol. Bull.* 202, 192–200.
- 341 9. Cameron CB. 2005 A phylogeny of the hemichordates based on morphological
- 342 characters. *Can. J. Zool.* **83**, 196–215. (doi:10.1139/Z04-190)
- 10. Gonzalez P, Cameron CB. 2009 The gill slits and pre-oral ciliary organ of *Protoglossus*
- 344 (Hemichordata: Enteropneusta) are filter-feeding structures. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 98, 898–
- 345 906. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01332.x)
- 11. Zamora S, Lefebvre B, Álvaro JJ, Clausen S, Elicki O, Fatka O, Jell P, Kouchinski A, Lin
- 347 J-P, Nardin E, Parsley R, Rozhnov S, Sprinkle J, Sumrall CD, Vizcaïno D, Smith AB.
- 348 2013 Global Cambrian echinoderm diversity and palaeobiogeography. In *Early*
- 349 Palaeozoic biogeography and palaeogeography (eds Harper DAT, Servais T), pp. 151–
- 350 164. *Geological Society, London, Memoirs* **38**, 490 pp.
- 12. Zamora S, Rahman IA. 2014 Deciphering the early evolution of echinoderms with
- 352 Cambrian fossils. *Palaeontology* **57**, 1105–1119. (doi:10.1111/pala.12138)
- 13. Bottjer DJ, Davidson EH, Peterson KJ, Cameron RA. 2006 Paleogenomics of
- echinoderms. *Science* **314**, 956–960. (doi:10.1126/science.1132310)
- 14. Smith AB. 2005 The pre-radial history of echinoderms. *Geol. J.* 40, 255–280.
- 356 (doi:10.1002/gj.1018)
- 15. Rahman IA, Clausen S. 2009 Re-evaluating the palaeobiology and affinities of the
- 358 Ctenocystoidea (Echinodermata). J. Syst. Palaeontol. 7, 413–426.
- 359 (doi:10.1017/S1477201909990046)
- 16. Zamora S, Rahman IA, Smith AB. 2012 Plated Cambrian bilaterians reveal the earliest
- 361 stages of echinoderm evolution. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e38296.
- 362 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038296)

- 363 17. Ubaghs G. 1968 Homostelea. In *Treatise on invertebrate paleontology, part S,*
- *Echinodermata 1 (2)* (ed. Moore RC), pp. S565–S581. Boulder and Lawrence: Geological
  Society of America and University of Kansas Press.
- 18. Friedrich W-P. 1993 Systematik und Funktionsmorphologie mittelkambrischer Cincta
- 367 (Carpoidea, Echinodermata). *Beringeria* 7, 3–190.
- 368 19. Parsley RL. 1999 The Cincta (Homostelea) as blastozoans. In *Echinoderm research 1998*369 (eds Candia Carnevali MD, Bonasoro F), pp. 369–375. Rotterdam: Balkema.
- 20. David B, Lefebvre B, Mooi R, Parsley R. 2000 Are homalozoans echinoderms? An
- answer from the extraxial-axial theory. *Paleobiology* **26**, 529–555. (doi:10.1666/0094-
- 372 8373(2000)026<0529:AHEAAF>2.0.CO;2)
- 21. Zamora S, Smith AB. 2008 A new Middle Cambrian stem-group echinoderm from Spain:
- palaeobiological implications of a highly asymmetric cinctan. *Acta Palaeontol. Pol.* 53,
- 375 207–220. (doi:10.4202/app.2008.0204)
- 22. Sutton MD, Garwood RJ, Siveter DJ, Siveter DJ. 2012 SPIERS and VAXML; a software
- toolkit for tomographic visualisation and a format for virtual specimen interchange.
- 378 *Paleontol. Electron.* **15/5T**, 14 pp. (http://palaeo-electronica.org/content/issue-2-2012-
- 379 technical-articles/226-virtual-palaeontology-toolkit)
- 23. Rahman IA, Zamora S. 2009 The oldest cinctan carpoid (stem-group Echinodermata), and
- the evolution of the water vascular system. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **157**, 420–432.
- 382 (doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00517.x)
- 24. Emelyanov EM. 2005 *The barrier zones in the ocean*. New York: Springer.
- 25. Riisgård HU. 1988 The ascidian pump: properties and energy cost. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
- **47**, 129–134. (doi:10.3354/meps047129)
- 26. Vogel S. 1996 *Life in moving fluids*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

| 387 | 27. Koehl MAR. 1984 How do benthic organisms withstand moving water? Am. Zool. 24 |
|-----|---|
| 388 | 57-70. (doi:10.1093/icb/24.1.57)  |

- 28. Ubaghs G. 1975. Early Paleozoic echinoderms. Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc. 3, 79-98.
- (doi:10.1146/annurev.ea.03.050175.000455) 390
- 29. Jumars PA, Gallagher ED. 1982 Deep-sea community structure: three plays on the 391
- benthic proscenium. In The environment of the deep sea (eds Ernst WG, Morin JG), pp. 392
- 393 217–285. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- 30. LaBarbera M. 1984 Feeding currents and particle capture mechanisms in suspension 394
- feeding animals. Am. Zool. 24, 71-84. (doi:10.1093/icb/24.1.71) 395
- 396

397

#### **Figure captions** 398

399

Figure 1. Protocinctus mansillaensis. (a) Original fossil specimen (ventral view). (b) Digital 400 restoration with the operculum closed (anterolateral view). (c) Digital restoration with the 401 operculum open (anterolateral view). (d) Digital restoration with the operculum closed 402 (lateral view). 403

404

Figure 2. Results of the CFD simulations with *Protocinctus* oriented at 180° to the current, 405 visualized as two-dimensional plots (horizontal and vertical cross-sections) of flow velocity 406 407 magnitude (false-colour scale different for each ambient flow velocity) with flow vectors (arrows; length of arrows proportional to the natural logarithm of the flow velocity 408 409 magnitude) and streamlines. (a-f) Simulations of passive tentacular feeding. (g-l)Simulations of the inhalant current of pharyngeal filter feeding. (m-r) Simulations of the 410

| 411 | exhalant current of pharyngeal filter feeding. The mouth is indicated by a *, the porta is            |
|-----|---|
| 412 | indicated by a +. The ambient flow is from left to right.   |
| 413 |   |
| 414 | <b>Figure 3.</b> Drag and lift forces for the CFD simulations. $(a-c)$ Simulations of passive         |
| 415 | tentacular feeding. $(d-f)$ Simulations of the inhalant current of pharyngeal filter feeding. $(g-i)$ |
| 416 | Simulations of the exhalant current of pharyngeal filter feeding. Red symbols indicate drag           |
| 417 | force, blue symbols indicate lift force. Triangles indicate results of simulations of the ventral     |
| 418 | swelling resting on top of the sediment surface, circles indicate results of simulations of the       |
| 419 | ventral swelling buried in the sediment.  |
| 420 |   |
| 421 | Figure 4. Phylogeny showing feeding modes of extant and extinct deuterostomes (cinctans               |
| 422 | marked with a <sup>†</sup> ). Blue boxes indicate tentaculate suspension feeding, red boxes indicate  |
| 423 | pharyngeal filter feeding, green boxes indicate multiple feeding modes.                               |
| 424 |   |
| 425 |   |
| 426 | Electronic supplementary material   |
| 427 |   |
| 428 | Supplementary Information. Supplementary methods and figures.   |
| 429 |   |
| 430 | Table S1. Input parameters for the CFD simulations.   |
| 431 |   |
| 432 | Table S2. Drag force and coefficient for the CFD simulations.   |
| 433 |   |
| 434 | Table S3. Lift force and coefficient for the CFD simulations.   |
| 435 |   |

| 436 | Table S4. Drag and lift forces and their coefficients for the sensitivity analyses of mesh size,     |
|-----|--|
| 437 | solver and flow type.  |
| 438 |  |
| 439 |  |
| 440 | Data available on Dryad  |
| 441 |  |
| 442 | Model S1. Digital reconstruction of <i>Protocinctus</i> in VAXML format, compressed in a ZIP         |
| 443 | archive. To view, unzip the .zip file and open the unpacked .vaxml file with SPIERSview              |
| 444 | (program and documentation available from www.spiers-software.org).                                  |
| 445 |  |
| 446 | Model S2. Digitally restored model of <i>Protocinctus</i> (operculum in 'closed' position) in IGES   |
| 447 | format, compressed in a ZIP archive. To view, unzip the .zip file and open the unpacked .igs         |
| 448 | file with FreeCAD (program and documentation available from www.freecadweb.org).                     |
| 449 |  |
| 450 | Model S3. Digitally restored model of <i>Protocinctus</i> (operculum in 'open' position) in IGES     |
| 451 | format, compressed in a ZIP archive. To view, unzip the .zip file and open the unpacked .igs         |
| 452 | file with FreeCAD (program and documentation available from www.freecadweb.org).                     |
| 453 |  |
| 454 | Video S1. Results of a CFD simulation using a time-dependent solver to show flow time-               |
| 455 | evolution. Simulation of passive tentacular feeding with <i>Protocinctus</i> oriented at 180° to the |
| 456 | current (ambient velocity of 0.2 m/s) and with the ventral swelling buried within the                |
| 457 | sediment, visualized as two-dimensional plots (horizontal cross-sections) of flow velocity           |
| 458 | magnitude (false-colour scale different for each ambient flow velocity) with streamlines over        |
| 459 | the first 10 s with a time step size of 0.01 s.  |
| 460 |  |







464 Figure 2.







