Environments of interacting transients: impostors and Type IIn supernovae

S. M. Habergham,¹* J. P. Anderson,^{2,3} P. A. James¹ and J. D. Lyman^{1,4}

¹Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK

²Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile

³European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile

⁴Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

Accepted 2014 April 4. Received 2014 April 4; in original form 2013 December 20

ABSTRACT

This paper presents one of the first environmental analyses of the locations of the class of 'interacting transients', namely Type IIn supernovae (SNe) and SN impostors. We discuss the association of these transients with star formation, host galaxy type, metallicity and the locations of each event within the respective host. Given the frequent assumption of very high mass progenitors for these explosions from various studies, most notably a direct progenitor detection, it is interesting to note the weak association of these subtypes with star formation as traced by H α emission, particularly in comparison with Type Ic SNe, which trace the H α emission and are thought to arise from high-mass progenitors. The radial distributions of these transients compared to Type Ic SNe are also very different. This provides evidence for the growing hypothesis that these 'interacting transients' are in fact comprised of a variety of progenitor systems. The events contained within this sample are discussed in detail, where information in the literature exists, and compared to the environmental data provided. Impostors are found to split into two main classes, in terms of environment: SN 2008S-like impostors fall on regions of zero H α emission, whereas η Carina-like impostors all fall on regions with positive H α emission. We also find indications that the impostor class originate from lower metallicity environments than Type IIn, Ic and IIP SNe.

Key words: supernovae: general-supernovae: individual: 1954J, 1961V, 1987B, 1987F, 1993N, 1994W, 1994ak, 1995N, 1996bu, 1996cr, 1997bs, 1997eg, 1999bw, 1999el, 1999gb, 2000P, 2000cl, 2001ac, 2001fa, 2002A, 2002bu, 2002fj, 2002kg, 2003G, 2003dv, 2003gm, 2003lo, 2005db, 2005gl, 2005ip, 2006am, 2006bv, 2006fp, 2008J, 2008S, 2010dn, 2010jl, NGC2366-V1 – galaxies: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

Studies of the host galaxies and local environments of supernova (SN) explosions have been integral in our understanding of the progenitors of these explosions. Initially, host galaxy studies found the almost exclusive presence of core-collapse SNe (CCSNe) in star-forming galaxies (e.g. Van den Bergh, Li & Filippenko 2005), implying young stellar progenitors. The more central locations of Type Ibc SNe (SNeIbc) compared to SNeII also led to the suggestion that these events arise from higher metallicity environments (e.g. Anderson & James 2009; Boissier & Prantzos 2009). These examples demonstrate the power of using host galaxy anal-

ysis, and studying the local environments of SNe, in constraining the progenitors of these explosions. These type of analyses will be utilized throughout this paper to concentrate on a sample of transients generally accepted to be interacting with a dense circumstellar medium (CSM), namely SNIIn and SN impostors. The progenitor systems of these 'interacting transients', and the cause of the dense CSM, may arise through a variety of routes. In this Introduction, we will discuss both types of transients in this sample, SNIIn and impostors, the features which appear common to both groups, and the possible subgroups within the classes.

1.1 SNIIn

The IIn class is rare within the CCSN group (\sim 7 per cent according to Li et al. 2011), and the nature of the explosions remains very

^{*}E-mail: s.m.habergham@ljmu.ac.uk

uncertain, with the class being characterized principally by narrow lines in the spectrum (e.g. Kiewe et al. 2012). The class was originally defined by Schlegel (1990), though he also stressed that a fundamental progenitor characteristic was required for the objects to be a truly distinct class, something which remains elusive. This initial paper not only characterized the objects as having narrow spectral features, but also having a blue continuum and slow evolution (at least spectroscopically), characteristics of the group which remain prominent (Kiewe et al. 2012).

The source of the narrow emission lines is generally accepted to be the result of interaction between the SN ejecta and a dense CSM immediately surrounding the explosion. This interaction creates hard emission which photoionizes the surrounding unshocked material, resulting in an H α excess (e.g. Chugai 1991). As SNIIn have been explored in more detail, the narrow spectral lines have been found to have multiple components; a 'narrow' line (typically a few hundred km s⁻¹) caused by the photoionization of the unshocked wind surrounding the progenitor, and an 'intermediate-width' line (a few thousand km s⁻¹) from dense post-shock gas (e.g. Smith et al. 2008; Kiewe et al. 2012). These compare to typical broad lines in SN ejecta with widths of 10 000–20 000 km s⁻¹ (Smith et al. 2008).

1.1.1 SN 2005gl

The only robust direct detection of an SNIIn progenitor was made for SN 2005gl (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009). The detection of a star at its location, and the subsequent disappearance, indicated that the progenitor was a luminous blue variable (LBV) star with a mass likely to be in excess of $50 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009; Smartt 2009). The calculated mass-loss rates and wind speeds of well-studied SNIIn seem to reflect this progenitor channel with only LBV stars predicted to be able to reach high enough mass-loss rates, yet with smaller wind speeds in general than Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars (e.g. Kiewe et al. 2012; Taddia et al. 2013). The lack of information available about the variability of the star pre-explosion does lead to some debate as to whether the pre-explosion observations of SN 2005gl, which give rise to the high-mass estimates of the progenitor, were taken during a quiescent period. Little is known about the longterm variability of LBVs, though recent work by Ofek et al. (2014b) has studied SNeIIn detected by the Palomar Transient Survey (Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009) and found that half of IIn show at least one outburst up to \sim 120 d prior to explosion, with multiple outbursts common over the preceding year. An example of this is the Type IIn SN 2010mc which experienced a huge mass-loss event 40 d prior to explosion (Ofek et al. 2013). Studies have also shown LBVs to have major outbursts on time-scales of years (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2010; Szczygieł et al. 2010) which suggest that there is a chance that the progenitor of SN 2005gl was serendipitously in outburst on the single pre-explosion image. Should the LBV have been in outburst when the one pre-explosion image was taken, it is possible that the quiescent star had an actual intrinsic brightness 3 mag lower (Humphreys & Davidson 1994) and consequently the mass would lie in the range of 20–25 M_{\odot} according to the models of Groh et al. (2013b).

There is more controversy over the assumption of LBV progenitors for SNe, not least because stellar evolution models have until recently been unable to end a star's lifetime in the LBV phase (e.g. Maeder & Meynet 2008, though see Hirschi et al. 2010 for some possible scenarios). Stellar evolution models instead require the LBV star to lose the hydrogen envelope before becoming a WR star and then exploding (Dwarkadas 2011). However, Groh, Meynet & Ekström (2013a) found from their rotational stellar evolution models coupled with atmospheric models that stars in the mass range 20–25 M_{\odot} exploded in the LBV phase. Although no post-explosion spectra were computed, Groh et al. (2013a) speculated from the surface abundance measurements pre-explosion and position of the star on the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram that explosions of stars of 20 M_{\odot} are likely to be classified as Type IIb rather than SNeIIn. However, the increased mass-loss of the 25 M_{\odot} stars is likely to produce a H-rich, dense CSM, and the interaction of the SN with this CSM could produce an SNIIn.

Aside from the case of SN 2005gl, Dwarkadas (2011) argues that the assumptions of LBV progenitors may be flawed. The mass-loss equations assume wind mass-loss rates and velocities to be constant with time (Dwarkadas 2011). An LBV phase, with numerous eruptions prior to explosion (required in order to have the dense medium for the ejecta to interact with), does not have a constant wind mass-loss rate. The one certainty regarding the progenitors is the need for a dense CSM (see Ofek et al. 2014a for a discussion). The assumption has traditionally been that this must be due to LBV-type eruptions, but Dwarkadas (2011) argue that it is possible that the dense CSM was produced over a longer time-scale prior to the eventual eruption of the progenitor star, meaning an LBV could have progressed into its WR phase before explosion. Alternatively, a clumpy CSM could produce a similar result, where interaction with a dense clump could cause narrow lines in the spectrum, but not represent an overall high-density CSM (see Dwarkadas 2011 for a detailed discussion). The general consensus in the literature, however, appears to be that the most likely candidate progenitors of SNIIn are LBV stars, though there are likely to be several different progenitor types even within this small but diverse class.

The SNIIn class has therefore proved mysterious. Aside from the defining narrow emission lines, the objects within the class show diverse features, particularly light curves (LCs), and over time it has been discovered that many of the objects are not true CCSNe at all and have hence been reclassified.

1.1.2 Type Ibn SNe

A rare (<1 per cent of all CCSNe; Pastorello et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2012) subclass of transients have been termed Type Ibn (Pastorello et al. 2007); these appear to be Type Ib/c CC explosions embedded within an He-rich envelope (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2008). SN 2006jc is often referred to as the prototype for this group (e.g. Mattila et al. 2008), but several others have been observed (e.g. SN 1999cq, Modjaz & Li 1999; SN 2000er, Chassagne 2000; SN 2002ao, Martin et al. 2002). These events have been suggested to be a distinct class of CCSNe (Foley et al. 2007), but more recent analysis has suggested that they are more likely SNIb/c explosions occurring in a high-density CSM, formed either by pre-explosion mass-loss of a very massive ($<100 M_{\odot}$) single star or an LBV and WR binary system (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2007, 2008). They have distinctive spectroscopic characteristics showing strong signs of CSM interaction in the form of narrow lines, but these tend to be dominated by He rather than H (e.g. Pastorello et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2012). More recent events found to exhibit these characteristics (e.g. SN 2011hw; Smith et al. 2012) indicate that the class may span a large range, with some events showing more H emission, which could occur if the progenitors exploded at different points along the transition from an LBV to a WR (Smith et al. 2012). The recent work of Gorbikov et al. (2013) obtained the earliest ever observations of a SNIbn, iPTF13beo, whose LC showed a double-peak structure. Gorbikov et al. (2013) interpret this as a massive star exploding in a dense CSM with the initial peak powered by SN shock breakout in the CSM, followed by the second peak representing the SN radioactive decay. However, this massive star origin has been complicated by recent observations of the SNIbn Pan-STARRS1-12sk which was found in an elliptical brightest cluster galaxy containing no star formation (SF; Sanders et al. 2013), suggesting that some of these events may have older stellar progenitors.

1.1.3 Thermonuclear IIn

A group within the IIn class has been identified as thermonuclear explosions within a dense hydrogen-rich environment (e.g. Deng et al. 2004; Dilday et al. 2012), a hybrid of the SNIa thermonuclear class, yet showing SNIIn features (notably the presence of hydrogen), often referred to in the literature as Ia-CSM (e.g. Silverman et al. 2013). The WD within the system explodes as an SNIa when it reaches the carbon ignition point and then interacts with a dense CSM producing Type IIn-like emission lines (e.g. SN 2002ic; Hamuy et al. 2003, although see Benetti et al. 2006 for a possible massive star origin for these systems). The origin of this dense CSM is still unclear as is the percentage of the currently classified SNIIn which may actually belong to this group. Silverman et al. (2013) studied SNIIn detected by the Palomar Transient Factory (PTF; Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009) and found that \sim 10 per cent of explosions classified as SNIIn were more likely to be thermonuclear SNe, interacting with a dense CSM. They also find the SNIa-CSM in their sample to have a range of magnitudes in the *R* band of -21.3 to -19, i.e. brighter than most CCSN events. Several SNIIn events have been reclassified as SNIa-CSM due to their similarity to previous explosions, most notably SN 1997cy (Germany et al. 2000; Turatto et al. 2000). However, this has been questioned by Inserra et al. (2014) who intensively followed one of these events, SN 2012ca, and found its nebular spectrum to be consistent with a CC explosion.

1.2 Impostors

Some explosions showing narrow emission lines, originally classified as SNIIn, have later been re-classed as SN 'impostors' when it has become clear that the progenitor stars have survived (e.g. SN 1954J, Smith, Humphreys & Gehrz 2001; SN 2009ip, Berger, Foley & Ivans 2009; Miller et al. 2009). SN impostors are thought to originate from the eruption of an LBV star, such as η Carina, however, the eruption is non-terminal and hence not a true SN (e.g. Van Dyk, Filippenko & Li 2002; Maund et al. 2006). Usually these events are much fainter than true SN explosions and so are distinct from the SNIIn group; however, the range in photometric properties of both the SNIIn and impostor classes are so diverse that this may not always be the case (see Kiewe et al. 2012; Taddia et al. 2013 for the wide range of absolute magnitudes and decline rates of the SNIIn class).

1.2.1 20085

When SN 2008S was discovered (Arbour & Boles 2008), it was classified as an SNIIn due to the narrow Balmer emission lines (Stanishev, Pastorello & Pursimo 2008) though some of the spectral features seen and the faint peak magnitude of the explosion led to some speculation that the event was actually an SN impostor (Steele et al. 2008). Given its proximity, it was hoped that the progenitor star might have been directly detectable, but pre-explosion images obtained on the Large Binocular Telescope found nothing (upper limits $M_U > -4.8$, $M_B > -4.3$, $M_V > -3.8$; Prieto et al. 2008). A

point source was detected at the location of SN 2008S in archival infrared *Spitzer* observations (Prieto et al. 2008). The detection implied that the progenitor was a dust-enshrouded $\sim 10 M_{\odot}$ star, much lower than assumed SNIIn progenitor masses. The literature now agrees that this event was an SN impostor rather than a true SN eruption (e.g. Bond et al. 2009; Smith et al. 2009b). It has come to define a group of SN impostors which have lower masses than generally expected from LBV eruptions (Thompson et al. 2009), which are usually accepted to be the progenitors of SN impostors, and are often heavily dust enshrouded (Smith et al. 2011b).

1.2.2 2009ip

SN 2009ip was incorrectly classified as an SN during its 2009 outburst; the progenitor star was known to have had previous S Dor-like outbursts (see Smith et al. 2013 for an account of the star's pre-discovery variability), and was detected on pre-explosion images, taken 10 yr prior to the 2009 discovery, as a massive LBV star $(50-80 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}})$; Smith et al. 2010a). The star had another outburst in 2010 (Drake et al. 2010), and in 2012 had an outburst followed by re-brightening (Margutti et al. 2012). It is still debated whether this most recent explosion is the transition into a true CCSN explosion. Mauerhan et al. (2013a) presented photometric and spectroscopic follow-up of the event, which showed an SNII-like broad P Cygni profile in the Balmer lines, spectral lines with velocities typical of SN explosions and a peak magnitude of ~ -18 . However, Fraser et al. (2013) find no evidence for nucleosynthesized material in late-time spectra and the extensive multiwavelength photometric and spectroscopic follow-up of the event presented in Margutti et al. (2014) shows the latest explosion to be consistent with another outburst of the LBV progenitor. Smith et al. (2013), however, interpret the near-infrared excess seen in the 2012 outburst of SN 2009ip as the recent outburst propagating through previous episodic LBV outbursts which have deposited a dense CSM, and they conclude that the 2012 outburst was a true SN explosion. The work of Mauerhan et al. (2014) also argues that the latest explosion was a true SN with the ejecta having $\gtrsim 10^{51}$ erg of kinetic energy, which is hard to reconcile with a progenitor having survived the explosion. The environment of SN 2009ip is very unusual, as the star is located outside the main disc of the galaxy.

1.3 This paper

The well-studied SNIIn explosions to date tend to be biased towards high-luminosity or 'unusual' events (Kiewe et al. 2012), which also means that any implications drawn from these events on the progenitors of the whole class could be flawed. Recently, Taddia et al. (2013) presented the results of the follow-up of five SNeIIn from the Carnegie Supernova Project. Most of these were from targeted surveys and hence from bright, nearby spiral galaxies, with high extinction in only two cases, a highly inclined galaxy and an SN close to the central regions of its host. Taddia et al. (2013) conclude that from their mass-loss equations, LBVs are still the most likely progenitor of this group.

In this paper, we conduct a study of the environments of CSMinteracting transients, in the form of SNeIIn and SN impostors. These events were selected by their presence in the Asiago SN catalogue with an SNIIn classification, or through a literature search for impostors. Events were not selected according to the amount of interaction observed, or by the types of galaxies in which they are present. We only require the host galaxies to have recession velocities less than 6000 km s⁻¹ and to have major-to-minor axis ratios less than 4:1, in order that our host galaxy analysis techniques are robust (see Habergham, James & Anderson 2012, for more details). We present a new analysis of the radial distribution within their host galaxies of the resulting sample of interacting transients, and updated results for their respective association with H α emission. The total sample of events studied is 26 probable IIn and 13 probable impostors, although we can only analyse the detailed environment of 37 of these as explained below. We also carry out a robust analysis of the selection effects involved in both SNIIn and impostor studies.

In Section 2, we present an analysis of the host galaxies within this sample, along with the positional information of the interacting transients in terms of the *R*-band and H α emission. Section 3 will analyse the association of the transients with SF, as traced by H α emission. In Section 4, the selection effects within the samples of SNIIn and SN impostors are explored. Section 5 discusses the individual events contained within these samples and conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

Throughout this paper, comparisons will be made between the SNIIn and impostor, or interacting transient class, and the SNIIP and SNIc classes (all CCSN subtype samples are presented in Habergham et al. 2012; Anderson et al. 2012 and SNIa samples in Anderson et al., in preparation). These comparison samples include SNe in all star-forming host galaxies (i.e. not just in undisturbed hosts; see Habergham et al. 2012); however, it is emphasized that no events have been selected due to the interacting nature of their hosts, with all host galaxy classifications carried out after the SN analysis. The SNIIP and SNIc subtypes fall at the extremes of the currently understood mass sequence of CCSNe progenitors. The masses of SNIIP progenitors have been well established through direct detection methods (see Smartt 2009 for a review) to lie within $8-20 M_{\odot}$. Although the true mass range for SNeIc is unknown, the class as a whole are thought to result from the explosions of progenitors with much higher zero-age main-sequence masses, even if these progenitors are within binary systems, both from their association with SF (e.g. Anderson et al. 2012; Kuncarayakti et al. 2013), and through high-mass stellar evolution models (e.g. Georgy et al. 2012).

2 HOST GALAXY ANALYSIS

The sample of interacting transients that will be analysed within this paper are presented in Table 1, including the reference from which the classification of the transient has been taken. The host galaxies are presented in Table 2, including the Hubble type and recession velocity,¹ the distance, absolute magnitude and inferred global and local (to the SN) metallicity. In total, there are 39 events, and for each host galaxy we have *R*-band and H α images, taken over a series of observing runs between 2001 and 2012. The details of the data reduction processes used are presented in Anderson et al. (2012) for all of the observations taken prior to 2012. For the four host galaxies observed in 2012 by the Liverpool Telescope (LT), initial CCD reduction was done by the automated pipeline and an image subtraction pipeline built around the ISIS package (Alard 2000) was used to obtain continuum-subtracted H α images.

The H α maps for each of the interacting-transient host galaxies can be seen in Fig. 1, with the transients' positions are marked with red circles.

¹Both taken from the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED); ned.ipac.caltech.edu.

The host galaxy classifications of the interacting transient group encompass Hubble types from Sab to Im, but no ellipticals, lenticulars or very early type spirals (S0a or Sa) are present in the group. Fig. 2 shows the cumulative distribution of SNIIn, impostor, SNIIP and SNIc host galaxy T-types, compared with the contributions of each host type to the SF density in the local Universe, taken from James et al. (2008). The distribution of interacting transient hosts follows the SF density in the local Universe. This analysis is in disagreement with the small number of SNIIn host galaxies presented in Li et al. (2011), where the authors claim that SNIIn occur preferentially in less massive, late-type spirals, compared to SNIIP. In our analysis, the two distributions are statistically indistinguishable. The only outlier is the SNIc host distribution, which is offset to earlier type hosts compared to SNIIP, SNIIn and impostor hosts. No such offset is found in Li et al. (2011). This result is not, however, statistically significant, which may reflect the small sample sizes in some of the groups (i.e. only 12 impostors). If real, this offset may indicate that SNIc preferentially occur in higher metallicity environments as claimed previously (e.g. Arcavi et al. 2010; Modjaz et al. 2011), although this was not found by other recent analyses (e.g. Anderson et al. 2010; Habergham et al. 2012).

The average host galaxy *B*-band absolute magnitudes for the various SN subtypes are presented in Table 3, and calculated using the apparent magnitudes given in the Third Reference Catalogue of bright galaxies (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). These were then converted into absolute magnitudes using the distance modulus, where the distance was taken from redshift-independent methods, given in NED, for hosts within 2000 km s⁻¹, or using the recession velocity for hosts beyond 2000 km s⁻¹, and taking $H_0 = 68 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (e.g. Planck Collaboration: Ade et al. 2013).

The Lick Observatory Supernova Search (LOSS; Li et al. 2011) found marginal evidence that SNIIn were from less luminous hosts than SNIIP, while this study finds the samples to be consistent using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. This test establishes the probability that the two populations are statistically similar, and produces two values which will be used throughout this analysis, P and D. P is the probability that the two populations are drawn from the same parent distribution, and D is the maximum distance between the populations on a normalized cumulative distribution plot. Here, the results indicate that the probability, P, of the two samples being drawn from the same parent population is 0.592 (D = 0.180). Both studies find that the SNIIP host galaxy absolute magnitudes are consistent with those of the host galaxies of SNIc (here P = 0.361, D = 0.184). We also find that the SNIIn host galaxies are completely consistent with the SNIc host population (P = 0.933, D = 0.128). However, it is interesting to note that the host galaxies of the SN impostor class are less luminous than any of the comparison samples; this is statistically significant in terms of the SNIc (P = 0.016, D = 0.513) and SNIIn (P = 0.021, D = 0.467)samples, and even compared to the SNIIP host sample, there is only an ~ 6 per cent chance of the distributions being drawn from the same parent population.

2.1 Metallicity

Using the host galaxy absolute magnitudes, it is possible to estimate the global metallicity of the host using equation 2 in Tremonti et al. (2004, hereafter T04) as

$$12 + \log(O/H) = -0.185(\pm 0.001)M_b + 5.238(\pm 0.018).$$
(1)

The host metallicities for each of the SNe in our interacting transient sample are presented in Table 2, based upon T04, and the

Table 1.	Transient sample,	where host,	RA an	d Dec.	are t	taken fi	om the	Asiago	SN	catalogue.	The	reference	for	the	transient
classificat	tion is given in the f	final column.													

Event	Туре	Host	RA _{SN} (J2000)	Dec. _{SN} (J2000)	Classification reference
1954J	IMP	NGC 2403	07:36:55.20	+65:37:54.0	Smith et al. (2001)
1961V	IMP	NGC 1058	02:43:36.42	+37:20:43.58	Filippenko et al. (1995)
1987B	IIn	NGC 5850	15:07:02.97	+01:30:13.19	Schlegel et al. (1996)
1987F	IIn	NGC 4615	12:41:38.99	+26:04:22.40	Schlegel (1990)
1993N	IIn	UGC 5695	10:29:46.20	+13:01:14.00	Filippenko & Matheson (1993)
V1	IMP	NGC 2366	07:28:43.37	+69:11:23.9	Petit, Drissen & Crowther (2006), Smith et al. (2011a)
1994W	IIn	NGC 4041	12:02:10.89	+62:08:32.35	Cumming et al. (1994)
1994Y	IIn	NGC 5371	13:55:36.86	+40:27:53.17	Clocchiatti et al. (1994)
1994ak	IIn	NGC 2782	09:14:01.47	+40:06:21.50	Garnavich et al. (1995)
1995N	IIn	MCG-02-38-17	14:49:28.27	-10:10:15.40	Pollas et al. (1995)
1996bu	IIn	NGC 3631	11:20:59.30	+53:12:08.40	Nakano et al. (1996)
1996cr	IIn	ESO097-G13	14:13:10.01	-65:20:44.40	Bauer & Mattila (2007)
1997bs	IMP	NGC 3627	11:20:14.25	+12:58:19.6	Van Dyk et al. (1999)
1997eg	IIn	NGC 5012	13:11:36.73	+22:55:29.40	Filippenko & Barth (1997)
1999bw	IMP	NGC 3198	10:19:46.81	+45:31:35.0	Filippenko, Li & Modjaz (1999)
1999el	IIn	NGC 6951	20:37:17.72	+66:06:11.50	Cao et al. (1999)
1999gb	IIn	NGC 2532	08:10:13.70	+33:57:29.80	Jha et al. (1999)
2000P	IIn	NGC 4965	13:07:10.53	-28:14:02.50	Jha et al. (2000b)
2000cl	IIn	NGC 3318	10:37:16.07	-41:37:47.80	Stathakis & Stevenson (2001)
2001ac	IMP	NGC 3504	11:03:15.37	+27:58:29.5	Matheson et al. (2001)
2001fa	IIn	NGC 673	01:48:22.22	+11:31:34.4	Filippenko & Chornock (2001)
2002A	IIn	UGC 3804	07:22:36.14	+71:35:41.50	Benetti et al. (2002)
2002bu	IMP	NGC 4242	12:17:37.18	+45:38:47.4	Smith et al. (2011a)
2002fj	IIn	NGC 2642	08:40:45.10	-04:07:38.50	Hamuy (2002)
2002kg	IMP	NGC 2403	07:37:01.83	+65:34:29.3	Schwartz et al. (2003)
2003G	IIn	IC 208	02:08:28.13	+06:23:51.9	Hamuy (2003)
2003dv	IIn	UGC 9638	14:58:04.92	+58:52:49.90	Kotak et al. (2003)
2003gm	IMP	NGC 5334	13:52:51.72	-01:06:39.2	Patat, Pastorello & Aceituno (2003)
2003lo	IIn	NGC 1376	03:37:05.12	-05:02:17.30	Matheson et al. (2004)
2005db	IIn	NGC 214	00:41:26.79	+25:29:51.6	Blanc et al. (2005a)
2005gl	IIn	NGC 266	00:49:50.02	+32:16:56.8	Blanc et al. (2005b)
2005ip	IIn	NGC 2906	09:32:06.42	+08:26:44.40	Smith et al. (2009a)
2006am	IIn	NGC 5630	14:27:37.24	+41:15:35.40	Quimby (2006)
2006bv	IMP	UGC 7848	12:41:01.55	+63:31:11.6	Smith et al. (2011a)
2006fp	IMP	UGC 12182	22:45:41.13	+73:09:47.8	Blondin et al. (2006)
2008J	IIn	MCG-02-07-33	02:34:24.20	-10:50:38.5	Stritzinger, Folatelli & Morrell (2008)
2008S	IMP	NGC 6946	20:34:45.35	+60:05:57.8	Smith et al. (2011a)
2010dn	IMP	NGC 3184	10:18:19.89	+41:26:28.8	Smith et al. (2011a)
2010jl	IIn	UGC 5189A	09:42:53.33	+09:29:41.8	Benetti et al. (2010)

average host metallicity for the various SN subtypes is shown in Table 4. Where possible, the T04 metallicities have been converted into the equivalent O3N2 diagnostic presented in Pettini & Pagel (2004), in order to compare to local SN metallicities presented in the literature (e.g. Anderson et al. 2010; Modjaz et al. 2011). These conversions have been carried out using the equations presented in Kewley & Ellison (2008) which are only valid for metallicities between 8.05 and 8.90; therefore, only ~75 per cent of the local metallicities could be converted using this technique. The average O3N2 metallicity given in Table 4 is therefore converted directly from the average T04 value.

We are able to compare these estimates of host galaxy metallicities with the measured bulge metallicities taken using the Intermediate Dispersion Spectrograph (IDS) on the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) during an observing run in 2010 November for six of the IIn host galaxies in this sample.

Table 5 presents the measured metallicities in O3N2 (O3N2_{meas.}) for SNe host galaxies in this sample, converted into T04 (T04_{con.}) for direct comparison to the estimated T04 metallicities (T04_{est.}). This analysis has shown that the host galaxies of SNIIn are con-

sistent with the distribution of SF between galaxy types in the Universe, indicating that there is no preference for less luminous, lower metallicity hosts. There are however indications that the host galaxies of SN impostors may be less luminous and therefore of lower metallicities than true SN host galaxies.

We do not currently have metallicity measurements taken locally to the SNe for most of these events; therefore, in order to assess whether mean metallicities in the local regions of SNe vary substantially between subtypes, we must make some assumptions. Taking the global metallicities presented in Table 2, we can assume that these represent the nuclear metallicity in the host galaxy, and we can apply a typical metallicity gradient (e.g. those presented for the various Hubble T-types in Henry & Worthey 1999, which are adopted in this paper) out to a defined radius (such as the R_{25} isophotal radius). Such assumptions have been applied by several authors (e.g. Boissier & Prantzos 2009). R_{25} is the standard isophotal radius where the *B*-band surface brightness is 25 mag arcsec⁻² (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). By correcting the SN offset from the centre for galaxy inclination and converting this distance to a fraction of the R_{25} , we can estimate a local metallicity for the site of the SN.

Event	Туре	Host	Hubble type	V_r	Distance	Abs <i>B</i> -band mag ^{<i>a</i>}	Galaxy metallicity ^b	Local metallicity
1954J	IMP	NGC 2403	SABcd	130	3.58	-18.47	8.65	_
1961V	IMP	NGC 1058	SAc	518	7.67	-17.62	8.50	8.30
1987B	IIn	NGC 5850	SBb	2556	37.58	-19.28	8.80	8.02
1987F	IIn	NGC 4615	Scd	4716	69.35	-20.41	9.01	8.32
1993N	IIn	UGC 5695	S?	2940	43.24	-18.28	8.62	8.31
V1	IMP	NGC 2366	IBm	80	3.57	-16.16	8.23	_
1994W	IIn	NGC 4041	SAbc	1234	22.70	-20.18	8.97	8.61
1994Y	IIn	NGC 5371	SABbc	2558	37.62	-21.38	9.19	8.94
1994ak	IIn	NGC 2782	SABa	2543	37.40	-20.56	9.04	8.61
1995N	IIn	MCG-02-38-17	IBm pec	1856	27.29	-17.68	8.51	_
1996bu	IIn	NGC 3631	SAc	1156	13.10	-19.59	8.86	8.28
1996cr	IIn	ESO097-G13	SAb	434	4.21	-16.02	8.20	-
1997bs	IMP	NGC 3627	SABb	727	10.01	-21.10	9.14	9.03
1997eg	IIn	NGC 5012	SABc	2619	38.51	-19.33	8.81	8.53
1999bw	IMP	NGC 3198	SBc	663	13.99	-20.03	8.94	8.56
1999el	IIn	NGC 6951	SABbc	1424	22.56	-19.47	8.84	8.17
1999gb	IIn	NGC 2532	SABc	5252	77.24	-21.54	9.22	8.95
2000P	IIn	NGC 4965	SABd	2261	33.25	-19.86	8.91	8.64
2000cl	IIn	NGC 3318	SABb	2775	40.81	-20.86	9.10	9.03
2001ac	IMP	NGC 3504	SABab	1534	20.05	-20.01	8.94	8.54
2001fa	IIn	NGC 673	SABc	5182	76.21	-21.11	9.14	8.94
2002A	IIn	UGC 3804	Scd	2887	42.46	-20.14	8.96	8.48
2002bu	IMP	NGC 4242	SABdm	506	7.90	-17.59	8.49	7.49
2002fj	IIn	NGC 2642	SBbc	4345	63.90	-20.68	9.06	8.73
2002kg	IMP	NGC 2403	SABcd	130	3.58	-18.47	8.65	8.51
2003G	IIn	IC 208	SAbc	3524	51.82	-19.37	8.82	8.61
2003dv	IIn	UGC 9638	Im	2771	33.40	-17.12	8.40	-
2003gm	IMP	NGC 5334	SBc	1386	32.62	-18.87	8.73	8.42
2003lo	IIn	NGC 1376	SAcd	4153	61.07	-21.04	9.13	8.88
2005db	IIn	NGC 214	SABc	4537	66.72	-21.12	9.15	8.92
2005gl	IIn	NGC 266	SBab	4661	68.54	-21.58	9.23	9.09
2005ip	IIn	NGC 2906	Scd	2140	31.47	-19.39	8.83	8.33
2006am	IIn	NGC 5630	Sdm	2655	39.04	-19.36	8.82	8.70
2006bv	IMP	UGC 7848	SABcd	2513	36.96	-18.04	8.58	8.35
2006fp	IMP	UGC 12182	S	1534	21.91	-16.20	8.24	7.64
2008J	IIn	MCG-02-07-33	SBbc	4759	69.99	-20.16	8.97	8.89
2008S	IMP	NGC 6946	SABcd	48	5.96	-18.38	8.64	8.06
2010dn	IMP	NGC 3184	SABcd	592	11.95	-19.99	8.94	-
2010jl	IIn	UGC 5189A	Irr	3207	47.16	-19.57	8.86	_

Table 2. The host galaxy information for each interacting transient in this sample. The recession velocity is given in units of km s⁻¹ and the distance in Mpc. The methods for calculating the inferred global and local metallicities are described in the text.

^aFrom the Uppsala General Catalogue of Galaxies (UGC; Nilson 1973) where possible, otherwise from the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1995).

^bMetallicities are given in terms of 12+log(O/H) and calculated using Tremonti et al. (2004).

We were only able to do this for spiral hosts with known R_{25} values, and as a result not all of the sample have an estimated local metallicity value, as can be seen in Table 2.

This technique yielded estimates of the average local metallicities for the various SN subtypes, where again the conversions to O3N2 are limited to those SNe with local values within the range 8.05–8.90, and therefore the O3N2 values presented in Table 6 are converted directly from the average T04 value.

These estimates appear higher than true, local metallicity measurements when compared to values in the literature which give an average SNII (dominated by SNIIP) value of 8.62 ± 0.04 in Anderson et al. (2010), an average for PTF-detected SNII of 8.65 ± 0.09 in Stoll et al. (2013), and an average SNIc value of 8.63 ± 0.06 (averaged from the data presented in Anderson et al. 2010, Modjaz et al. 2011, Leloudas et al. 2011 and Sanders et al. 2012). It is therefore interesting to compare the estimates to local SNIIn metallicity measurements, for which we have three. These were again obtained using the IDS on the INT, La Palma, in 2010 November, and are presented in Table 7.

While the absolute values of each estimated local metallicity measurement are possibly unreliable, we may still use the comparative values and address any difference between them. Whilst the samples of SNIIn and SNIc have similar estimated local metallicity values, we see a slightly lower value for the SNIIP hosts compared to SNIIn, though both are consistent within the large errors.

The large discrepancies between the converted T04 values presented here and the estimated T04 values are not unexpected. For any given host galaxy magnitude, the T04 data show a spread of ~0.3–0.4 dex in metallicity (fig. 4 of T04). Combined with this the metallicity gradients presented in Henry & Worthey (1999) show a spread of ~1.0 dex in metallicity at any given radius (lower left panel of fig. 4 a in Henry & Worthey 1999). The conversions presented in Kewley & Ellison (2008) also contain errors of \lesssim 0.07 dex. We have attempted to combine all of these errors in



1.13 NGC 5012: SN1997eg

1.14 NGC 3198: SN1999bw

1.15 NGC 6951: SN1999el

Figure 1. H α observations of a sample of the SNIIn and impostor host galaxies, with the SN positions marked with red circles. Each galaxy is displayed with north to the top, and east to the left.

our calculations which reflect the large uncertainties in such an analysis.

When looking at the comparisons of the impostor group with the other subtypes, with the errors taken into account, all are formally consistent. However, the mean local metallicity value of the impostors appears to be smaller and may indicate that these events prefer low-metallicity environments. This result should be followed up by measuring the true host environments of this class of tran-



1.29 NGC 266: SN2005gl

Figure 1 – continued

1.30 NGC 2906: SN2005ip

sient, which is beyond the scope of this paper. The relative difference between the bulge and estimated local metallicity for the impostor sample is interesting, although the errors involved in these estimates are large. It is therefore worthwhile to analyse in more detail the radial location of these events to see if they are really

1.28 NGC 214: SN2005db

located in the outer regions of the host galaxies. We are able to use here a well-tested statistic, known as Fr(R) which has been developed to analyse the distribution of events within their host galaxies (Anderson & James 2009; Habergham, Anderson & James 2010) and is described in detail in the next section.



Figure 1 – continued



Figure 2. Distribution of the Hubble types of SNeIIn (blue) and impostor (red) host galaxies compared with the contributions of each host type to the SF density in the local Universe (black dots) and the host galaxies of SNIIP (orange) and SNIc (green).

2.2 Radial analysis of interacting transients

Our previous work has shown that useful information on the progenitor stars of different SN types is revealed by the distributions of their radial positions, relative to the underlying stellar populations,

Downloaded from http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Liverpool John Moores University on April 23, 2015

Table 3. Average *B*-band host galaxyabsolute magnitudes.

Туре	M_B
SNIIn	-19.81 ± 0.27
Impostor	-18.73 ± 0.39
Interacting	-19.47 ± 0.27
SNIIP	-19.58 ± 0.18
SNIc	-19.54 ± 0.30

Table 4. Average estimated host metallicity.

Туре	Number	Average host metallicity						
		T04	O3N2	Error				
SNIIn	26	8.90	8.97	0.17				
Impostor	13	8.67	8.76	0.23				
Interacting	38	8.84	8.90	0.14				
SNIIP	50	8.86	9.01	0.13				
SNIc	45	8.85	9.06	0.13				

within their host galaxies. We compare the interacting transients presented in this paper with the distribution of both SNIIP and SNIc presented in Habergham et al. (2012), and have thus conducted a radial analysis for the present sample. For each SNIIn within the sample, the radial position was calculated using the technique de-

Table 5. Measured SN host galaxy metallicities.

SN	Host	O3N2 _{meas.}	T04 _{con.}	T04 _{est.}
1994ak	NGC 2782	8.59	8.39	9.04
1999gb	NGC 2532	8.79	8.54	9.22
2002A	UGC 3804	9.14	8.83	8.96
2003lo	NGC 1376	8.66	8.44	9.13
2005db	NGC 214	8.85	8.59	9.15
2005ip	NGC 2906	9.14	8.83	8.83

 Table 6. Average estimated local metallicities for subtypes.

Туре	Number	Averag	ge SN met	allicity
		T04	O3N2	Error
SNIIn	22	8.636	8.894	0.201
Impostor	10	8.290	8.476	0.288
Interacting	32	8.528	8.772	0.170
SNIIP	47	8.526	8.770	0.135
SNIc	44	8.662	8.923	0.140

Table 7. Measured local SN sitemetallicities.

SN	O3N2 _{meas.}	T04 _{con.}	T04 _{est}
1994ak	8.83	8.58	8.61
1999gb	8.72	8.49	8.95
2003lo	8.66	8.44	8.88

scribed in Anderson & James (2009) in terms of the fraction of the total galaxy *R*-band and H α emission within the position of the SN (defined as Fr(*R*) and Fr(H α), respectively). This gives each SN a value between 0 and 1, where 0 would indicate that the SN was in the centre of the host galaxy and 1 indicates an extreme outlying SN. Table 8 presents the results of the radial analysis which can also be seen in histogram format in Figs 3 and 4, showing the fractional *R*-band and H α values, respectively.

An interesting feature of Fig. 3 is the lack of SNIIn in the central regions of host galaxies, with only one of the 26 occurring in the central 20 per cent of the host galaxy light. We also find a lack of SN impostor detections in these central locations.

Tables 9 and 10 show the probabilities of the distributions of SNIIn and impostors being drawn from the same parent population as SNIIP and SNIc in terms of the Fr(R) and $Fr(H\alpha)$ values, respectively, in the form of a KS test. Comparisons to other individual SN subgroups are also shown where possible (sample size >10). The radial analysis of these various subtypes can be found in Anderson & James (2009) and Habergham et al. (2010, 2012). The comparison to a sample of SNIa (Anderson at al., in preparation) is also given for completeness.

The results of the KS test on the distributions of SNe with respect to the *R*-band light show that the SNIIn population are statistically more similar to the SNIIP population than to the SNIc. However, there is only \sim 8 per cent probability of SNIIn and SNIIP being drawn from the same parent distribution. The difference between the SNIIn and SNIc populations is the most significant, with the probability of them being drawn from the same parent population being only \sim 0.5 per cent. This result is driven by the lack of SNIIn in the central regions of their host galaxies, whereas the SNIC distribution peaks towards the nucleus, as seen in various papers (e.g. Bartunov, Makarove & Tsvetkov 1992; Anderson & James 2009; Habergham et al. 2010, 2012). Given the evidence for high-mass progenitors of SNIc (Anderson et al. 2012; Kuncarayakti et al. 2013), this stark contrast in galactic host environments between SNIc and SNIIn is hard to reconcile if both classes have similarly high-mass progenitors.

As with the *R*-band light distribution, SNIIn are also statistically more similar to SNIIP than SNIc with respect to the radial distribution of H α emission, but only marginally. The probability of SNIIn and SNIc being drawn from the same parent distribution when analysing the H α emission is ~5 per cent, and so we consider these to be formally inconsistent distributions. The comparison between IIn and IIP is marginal, with a 7 per cent chance of their being drawn from the same distribution, an apparent difference but not one that is confirmed at the 95 per cent level. In this test, the IIn are completely consistent with the radial H α distributions for all other types (SNIa, IIL, IIb and Ib).

In terms of SN impostors, the distribution with respect to the *R*band light is consistent with all SN subtypes, with the exception of SNIc, where the probability of them being drawn from the same parent population is ~1 per cent. In contrast to the SNIIn distribution, impostors closely trace the SNIIP population, with a probability of ~83 per cent of being drawn from the same parent sample. The H α distributions are very similar, with only the comparison to the SNIc population showing any significant probability of being drawn from a different overall distribution, with a *P* value of ~1 per cent.

Interestingly, a KS test shows that the probability of the SNIIn and SN impostor fractional R-band distributions being drawn from the same parent population is only ~ 4 per cent (D = 0.470 and P = 0.037). In terms of the fractional H α distributions, the values are more consistent, with a P value of ~ 16 per cent (D = 0.375 and P = 0.162). However, due to the uncertainty when classifying these events, and previous instances of events being moved from one class to the other, we can combine the classes into a general 'interacting transient' class. This allows us to improve the statistics, the results of which are shown in the last two columns of Tables 9 and 10. This reflects the difficulty in distinguishing between the two classes, events of both types often lacking follow-up observations, leading to few impostors having confirmation of progenitor survival. The distribution of interacting transients in Fr(R) is consistent with being drawn from the SNIIP population with a P value of 0.323 (D = 0.194), and has only an ~ 0.1 per cent probability (D = 0.426) of being drawn from the same parent population as SNIc. These results are reflected in the $Fr(H\alpha)$ distribution, with an ~ 2 per cent probability of being drawn from the same parent population as SNIc, and consistent with the distribution of all other SN subtypes.

The radial distributions of SNIIn, impostors and the combined class are statistically very different from the distribution of SNIc, both in terms of *R*-band and H α light. This is driven by a lack of central 'interacting transients' (SNIIn and impostors) in the sample, which is where the SNIc population peaks. The possible impact of selection effects on this result will be explored in Section 4.

3 PIXEL STATISTICS

This section will use $H\alpha$ emission as a tracer of ongoing SF, and near UV emission as a tracer of recent SF, to present constraints of the impostor and SNIIn populations analysed in this paper through the locations of each event, and their association with star-forming regions. We will study 37 of the events here as two of the explosions **Table 8.** Fractions of *R*-band and H α light within the locations of interacting transients in this sample, followed by the NCR index for each interacting transient within the sample. Also included are the dates of detection and of our observation, along with the telescope used.

Event	Туре	$\operatorname{Fr}(R)$	$Fr(H\alpha)$	$NCR_{H\alpha}$	NCR _{UV}	Discovery	Discovery Mag _R	Observation	Telescope
1987B	IIn	0.951	1.000	0.000	0.000	24-02-1987	-18.7	07-02-2008	INT
1987F	IIn	0.489	0.333	0.352	0.541	23-04-1987	-19.7	07-02-2008	INT
1993N	IIn	0.512	0.261	0.000	0.000	15-04-1993	-15.7	09-02-2010	ESO 2.2
1994W	IIn	0.491	0.541	0.795	0.679	30-07-1994	-18.8	09-11-2008	LT
1994Y	IIn	0.355	0.212	0.000	0.331	12-08-1994	-19.1	07-02-2008	INT
1994ak	IIn	0.725	0.977	0.000	0.311	24-12-1994	-16.6	05-02-2008	INT
1995N	IIn	0.612	0.822	0.001	0.000	05-05-1995	-15.0	15-03-2008	LT
1996bu	IIn	0.923	0.993	0.000	0.000	14-11-1996	-13.3	06-02-2008	INT
1996cr	IIn	0.881	0.909	0.575	_	16-03-2007	-10.2	07-02-2010	ESO 2.2
1997eg	IIn	0.503	0.449	0.338	0.418	04-12-1997	-17.3	07-02-2008	INT
1999el	IIn	0.320	0.259	0.048	0.232	20-10-1999	-17.2	10-08-2009	LT
1999gb	IIn	0.485	0.443	0.676	0.489	22-11-1999	-18.3	06-02-2008	INT
2000P	IIn	0.375	0.336	0.000	0.620	08-03-2000	-18.5	05-02-2010	ESO 2.2
2000cl	IIn	0.226	0.157	0.312	0.613	26-05-2000	-18.3	04-02-2010	ESO 2.2
2001fa	IIn	0.302	0.311	0.147	0.376	18-10-2001	-17.5	22-10-2012	LT
2002A	IIn	0.419	0.253	0.401	0.803	01-01-2002	-15.7	22-01-2001	JKT
2002fi	IIn	0.488	0.372	0.558	0.841	12-09-2002	-18.3	06-02-2008	INT
2003G	IIn	0.209	0.102	0.000	0.000	08-01-2003	-17.4	22-10-2012	LT
2003dv	IIn	0.926	0.780	0.000	0.301	22-04-2003	-16.4	04-04-2009	INT
200310	IIn	0.398	0.384	0.000	0.293	31-12-2003	-16.7	08-02-2010	ESO 2.2
2005db	IIn	0.531	0.533	0.398	0.657	19-07-2005	-16.8	20-10-2012	LT
2005gl	IIn	0.519	_	0.000	0.995	05-10-2005	-17.2	21-10-2012	LT
2005in	IIn	0.399	0.528	_	_	05-11-2005	-17.0	17-01-2008	INT
2006am	IIn	0.604	0.617	0.000	0.445	22-02-2006	-14.5	11-02-2007	LT
2008J	IIn	0.087	0.069	0.807	0.770	15-01-2008	-18.8	22-10-2012	LT
Mean		0.508	0.485	0.225	0.422		-16.9		
σ		0.045	0.059	0.058	0.062		0.41		
1954J	IMP	0.680	0.681	0.187	0.738	?-10-1954	-12.3	20-03-2005	INT
1961V	IMP	0.968	0.931	0.363	0.000	11-12-1961	-16.9	19-11-2000	JKT
1997bs	IMP	0.362	0.348	0.023	0.328	15-04-1997	-13.2	06-02-2008	INT
1999bw	IMP	0.745	0.755	0.000	0.466	20-04-1999	-12.9	06-02-2008	INT
2001ac	IMP	0.826	0.992	0.000	0.000	12-03-2001	-13.3	29-03-2005	INT
2002bu	IMP	0.896	0.930	0.000	0.000	28-03-2002	-14.0	08-05-2000	JKT
2002kg	IMP	0.378	0.146	0.055	0.654	26-10-2002	-8.77	20-03-2005	INT
2003gm	IMP	0.536	0.352	0.000	0.468	06-07-2003	-15.6	15-05-2009	LT
2006bv	IMP	0.579	1.000	0.000	_	28-04-2006	-15.0	05-03-2009	LT
2006fp	IMP	1.000	1.000	0.965	0.000	17-09-2006	-14.1	04-08-2008	LT
2008S	IMP	0.632	0.563	0.000	0.031	01-02-2008	-11.9	30-03-2005	INT
2010dn	IMP	0.224	0.198	0.000	0.762	31-05-2010	-12.9	06-02-2008	INT
NGC 2366-V1	IMP	_	_	_	_	1993	-6.9	12-03-2003	JKT
Mean		0.652	0.658	0.133	0.313		-13.4		
σ		0.072	0.094	0.082	0.096		0.59		
Transient mean		0.555	0.543	0.194	0.387		-15.8		
Transient σ		0.039	0.052	0.047	0.052		0.43		

are still in outburst in our observations, thus excluding them from the pixel statistic analysis presented.

3.1 Local Ha emission

If the progenitors of SNIIn are LBV-like as suggested in the literature, one would expect to find them near SF regions. Higher mass stars have shorter lifetimes and hence are less likely to move away from their place of birth, and the SF region is also more likely to still be active. Therefore, numerous studies have analysed the distribution of massive stars (e.g. Bibby & Crowther 2012) and CCSNe (e.g. Anderson & James 2008; Anderson et al. 2012; Crowther 2013) with respect to H α emission. Anderson et al. (2012) obtained large enough samples of the various subtypes of CCSNe to analyse the separate distributions of each with respect to the underlying SF in the host galaxy. The results seem contrary to the assumption of LBV progenitors for SNIIn. The SNeIIn are less associated with the H α emission than most other SNII types and have a closer association with recent SF indicated by archival *GALEX* near-UV emission data (Anderson et al. 2012). This result suggests





Figure 3. Distributions of Fr(R) values for SNIIn and impostors, compared with SNIIP and SNIC.

that the progenitors of SNIIn are not the most massive stars predicted elsewhere in the literature. This analysis will be expanded upon and discussed here.

In previous papers (James & Anderson 2006; Anderson & James 2008; Anderson et al. 2012), we have developed a statistic, termed the NCR value (for normalized cumulative rank), which quantifies the strength of association between samples of SNe and ongoing SF as traced by the strength of H α emission at the location of each SN within its host galaxy. Unlike other studies (e.g. Van Dyk 1992; Bartunov, Tsvetkov & Filimonova 1994; Van Dyk, Hamuy & Filippenko 1996; Crowther 2013) which have used the distance of each SN from the centre of what was defined to be the nearest H II region, the NCR method is based on fluxes in individual pixels, and specifically on where the SN-containing pixel is placed within a cumulative distribution of all pixels from the H α image. This effectively incorporates both positional and intensity information, and is normalized to a value between 0 and 1, which represents the fraction of the total SF in the galaxy resulting from regions of lower $H\alpha$ surface brightness than the pixel containing the SN. Given the likely correlation between H II region age and H α emission strength, shown for example by Kuncarayakti et al. (2013), based on the starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) and GALEV (Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben 2003) population synthesis models, high-NCR values indicate recent SF at the SN location, and hence give evidence for



Ha FRACTION WITHIN SN

Figure 4. Distributions of $Fr(H\alpha)$ values for SNIIn and impostors, compared with SNIIP and SNIC.

Table 9. KS test results for the Fr(R) distributions, where the sample sizes are shown in parentheses.

	SN	IIn	Imp	ostor	Interacting transients		
	D_R	P_R	D_R	P_R	D_R	P_R	
SNIa (106)	0.248	0.140	0.222	0.607	0.123	0.775	
SNIIP (59)	0.313	0.050	0.188	0.833	0.194	0.323	
SNIIL (10)	0.420	0.118	0.317	0.556	0.295	0.430	
SNIIb (16)	0.238	0.579	0.313	0.437	0.211	0.647	
SNIb (41)	0.215	0.424	0.311	0.274	0.193	0.426	
SNIc (51)	0.409	0.005	0.505	0.009	0.426	0.001	

Table 10. KS test results for the $Fr(H\alpha)$ distributions, where the sample sizes are shown in parentheses.

	SN	IIn	Imp	ostor	Interacti	ng transients
	$D_{ m Hlpha}$	$P_{\mathrm{H}lpha}$	$D_{\mathrm{H}lpha}$	$P_{\mathrm{H}lpha}$	$D_{\mathrm{H}lpha}$	$P_{\mathrm{H}lpha}$
SNIa (106)	0.256	0.131	0.285	0.294	0.131	0.720
SNIIP (59)	0.302	0.072	0.315	0.226	0.204	0.275
SNIIL (10)	0.308	0.437	0.400	0.269	0.244	0.673
SNIIb (16)	0.167	0.930	0.354	0.287	0.222	0.588
SNIb (41)	0.172	0.722	0.295	0.335	0.128	0.891
SNIc (51)	0.328	0.046	0.490	0.012	0.328	0.016

short-lived, high-mass SN progenitors. Thus, an NCR value of 1.000 requires not only that an SN lies directly on top of an H $_{\rm II}$ region, but that region be the most intense (e.g. Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben 2003) within the host galaxy.

Of the 26 SNIIn contained within our sample, we can calculate the NCR value for 24. SN 2010jl does not have a valid NCR value as the event is still bright in H α showing signs of long-lived emission more than 2 yr post-explosion. This is also the case with SN 2005ip which has well-observed long-lived H α emission (Stritzinger et al. 2012) still observable more than 7 yr post-explosion. These longlived events are obvious in the H α observations as they still appear as point sources. NCR calculations are therefore only applied to host galaxy images which were taken pre-explosion, or when the H α profile at the site of the transient no longer appears as point source. We are therefore confident that the NCR values presented in this paper reflect the true environment of the events rather than the event itself.

Of all of the CCSN subtypes, SNIIn are least associated with the $H\alpha$ emission and SNIc the most associated according to Anderson et al. (2012). These results have been updated by using the SNIIn and impostor samples discussed here, and can be seen in Fig. 5. Fig. 5 clearly shows the differences in the distributions of each subtype within the host galaxies found in this analysis. The SNIc population almost directly traces the H α emission, represented by the dashed black line, whilst the SNIIn population most closely resembles that of the SNIIPs. The impostor population is even less associated with H α emission than SNeIa. The SNIIn population is the least associated with on-going SF of all CCSN subtypes, whereas SNIc are the most associated. The close association of SNIc with H α emission has been found independently by Kangas et al. (2013). If one follows the general consensus that both SNIc and SNIIn have very high mass stellar progenitors, then these results are puzzling. Anderson et al. (2012) do however find that the SNIIn population traces recent SF in the form of near-UV emission.

We are able to place constraints on the mass of the stars tracing both H α and near-UV using the data given in Gogarten et al. (2009),



Figure 5. NCR cumulative distributions in terms of H α emission tracing on-going SF, of interacting transients compared to SNIIP and SNIc, as likely extremes of the CCSN progenitor mass range and SNIa for reference.



Figure 6. NCR cumulative distributions based on archival *GALEX* near-UV images tracing recent SF, of interacting transients compared to SNIIP and SNIc, as likely extremes of the CCSN progenitor mass range and SNIa for reference.

who find that the age of an H II region is generally less than 16 myr, compared to SF giving rise to near-UV emission which is between 16 and 100 Myr. Gogarten et al. (2009) use these ages and apply the isochrones of Marigo et al. (2008) to find the turnoff mass related to these ages. They find that an age of 16 myr corresponds to a turnoff mass of $\sim 12 \, M_{\odot}$ and therefore H α emission traces stars more massive than $12 \, M_{\odot}$ and near-UV stars below this limit. This implies that the progenitors of SNIc, which accurately trace the H α emission, are more massive than SNIIP and SNIIn which trace the near-UV. The comparison to the impostors, SNIIP and SNIc can be seen in Fig. 6.

The NCR values for the SNIIn and SN impostor samples are given in Table 8, where the following SNIIn are being presented for the first time: SN 1996cr, SN 2001fa, SN 2003G, SN 2005db, SN 2005gl and SN 2008J. The average NCR values for the classes are: $NCR_{SNIIn} = 0.225 \pm 0.058$ and $NCR_{Impostor} = 0.133 \pm 0.082$, with the interacting transient class combined to give an average NCR value of 0.194 ± 0.047 . These are consistent with the samples presented in Anderson et al. (2012) and are compared to their average NCR value for SNeIIP of 0.264 ± 0.039 and 0.469 ± 0.040 for SNeIc. The sample of Kangas et al. (2013) contains seven SNIIn, of which six are within the sample presented here; however, two of these events have been placed within our impostor group (SN 1997bs and SN 2001ac). The H α pixel statistic technique used in Kangas et al. (2013) is identical to that used here. For the six events we have in common, our average NCR value is 0.144 ± 0.130 , compared to 0.086 ± 0.054 in Kangas et al. (2013), which are in close agreement.

Table 11 presents the KS test results on the distributions of the various NCR values for the subtypes presented in Figs 5 and 6. This shows that the distribution of SNIIn, impostors and the classes combined have a probability of less than 0.1 per cent of tracing the H α (or flat) distribution (represented by the black dashed line in Fig. 5). Unsurprisingly, this means that the impostor, and interacting transient, progenitor populations have a probability of less than

Table 11. KS test results for the distributions of $H\alpha$ and near-UV NCR values.

	Н	ά	near-UV			
	$D_{\mathrm{H}\alpha}$	$P_{\mathrm{H}\alpha}$	$D_{\text{near-UV}}$	P _{near-UV}		
Impostors-SNIIP	0.373	0.100	0.327	0.235		
SNIIn-SNIIP	0.179	0.619	0.189	0.582		
Interacting-SNIIP	0.234	0.166	0.227	0.216		
Impostors-SNIc	0.633	0.000	0.390	0.102		
SNIIn-SNIc	0.442	0.002	0.301	0.103		
Interacting-SNIc	0.491	0.000	0.322	0.027		
Impostors-flat	0.695	0.000	0.423	0.028		
SNIIn-flat	0.498	0.000	0.216	0.214		
Interacting-flat	0.556	0.000	0.264	0.016		
SNIIP-flat	0.392	0.000	0.139	0.294		
SNIc-flat	0.107	0.622	0.202	0.051		

0.1 per cent of being drawn from the same population as SNIc progenitors, which follow the H α emission. The probability of the SNIIn population and the SNIc population having the same parent distribution is \sim 0.2 per cent.

The association of SNIIn and SN impostors with host galaxy SF (in terms of the NCR statistic) is the least of all the CCSNe subtypes, and more consistent with tracing UV emission, suggesting lower mass progenitors.

3.2 Dust-embedded SF

It is possible for SF regions to be deeply dust embedded, and therefore any H α emission to be absorbed, which could lead to underestimated NCR values, but such H II regions will have strong IR emission. The *Spitzer* 24 µm images are ideal for detecting this emission and as such we have conducted a search on the *Spitzer* archive for any host galaxies contained within our interacting transient sample. Of the 36 transient sites for which we have a measured NCR value, 17 are contained in the *Spitzer* archive. Of these events, we find that there are no observable SF regions present which are not also detected in the H α observations.

4 SELECTION EFFECTS

The results presented in Sections 2 and 3 must be analysed in terms of any selection effects present in the samples. The samples were taken from the Asiago (Barbon et al. 2010) and IAU² SN catalogues, and hence contain SN detected in both targeted and untargeted surveys, each with their own inherent biases. The selection effects involved in detecting the impostor sample are very different to those for the SNIIn subtype as the impostor explosions are intrinsically much less luminous. As a result, the two classes will be analysed individually in this section.

The absolute magnitudes of transient events have been calculated using the discovery apparent magnitudes (taken from the Asiago catalogue and Lennarz, Altmann & Wiebusch 2012), and converted using the applicable distance given in Table 2.

4.1 Host galaxy analysis

Although SNIIn events can span a wide range of absolute magnitudes, many of the most luminous SN events known are of this class. The average V-band absolute magnitude (at discovery) of the SNIIn within this sample is -16.96 (standard deviation = 2.11), compared to the average (discovery) absolute magnitudes for SNIIP of -16.17 (standard deviation = 1.38) and SNIc of -16.46 (standard deviation = 1.07). The SNIIn average discovery magnitude is therefore comparable to other SN types, and not sufficiently 'faint' to suggest loss due to the increased luminosity towards the galaxy nuclei, and indeed it is slightly brighter than the SNIIP and SNIc samples. The SN impostor sample does however have a significantly fainter discovery magnitude with an average of -13.82 mag (standard deviation = 1.51) indicating that a significant fraction of these events could be missed within dusty, high surface brightness regions, and at increased distance. This is reflected by the average distance to the host galaxies in this sample being only 14.7 Mpc, compared to the comparison sample values: SNIc - 44.7 Mpc and SNIIP - 25.4 Mpc. The SNIIn population occupies an almost identical redshift range to the SNIc sample, with the average host galaxy lying at a distance of 44.5 Mpc.

In Table 12, the proportion of each CCSN subtype within the faint class is presented. The probability of an SNIIn event being classed as faint (M > -16) is not significantly higher than for other subtypes. The fraction of faint SNIIn (~37 per cent) is very similar to that of SNIc (~39 per cent). The class is about half as common as the SNIc subtype, comprising ~7 per cent of all CCSNe, compared to SNIc which make up ~13.5 per cent (Li et al. 2011). However, with the same fraction of the subtype falling within the faint classification, one would not expect to lose SNIIn detections in the central regions where the fraction of detected SNIc remains high in this sample.

The diversity in LC evolution within the SNIIn class means that any single event may have a short decay time equivalent to that of an SNIIb (Li et al. 2011 find that SNIbc have even shorter decay times, though Arcavi et al. 2012 find the IIb and Ibc populations to have similarly fast decays). However, on average, the SNIIn display longer decay times than other CCSNe (Kiewe et al. 2012). Therefore, this allows for detection over a longer time frame than other CCSNe explosions, and so these events should be easier to detect.

Fig. 7 displays the distribution of absolute discovery magnitudes of each SN within this sample against their Fr(R) value. In terms of both apparent and absolute magnitude, SNe that are fainter (at discovery) than both the average SNIIn magnitudes, and the SNe corresponding to the faintest SNIIn at discovery within this sample, are detected within the central regions of their hosts. Therefore, there is no reason to suspect that faint SNIIn at these magnitudes would not be detected when all other CCSNe events are. impostors are generally fainter than all other SN types (both at peak and at discovery), although on the whole equivalently faint Type IIP and IIn are also detected. It is likely that the lack of impostor detections within the central regions of the host galaxies is due to the class's fainter average absolute magnitude. The increased extinction towards the central regions of hosts may be sufficient to lose the ability to detect these intrinsically faint events.

It has been suggested that central SNeIIn could be mistaken for active galactic nuclei (AGN) of type 1 Seyfert (e.g. Terlevich & Melnick 1988; Terlevich et al. 1992), and this could lead to many being rejected as AGN in SN surveys. The similarity of some AGN spectra and SNIIn, for example QSO 3C 48 and SN 1987F (Filippenko 1989), are remarkable, and there have been several examples of suspected AGN emission actually being driven by SNIIn explosions (e.g. NGC 4151; Aretxaga & Terlevich 1994 and NGC 7582; Aretxaga et al. 1999). However, the consensus in the literature seems to suggest that this could only apply to low-luminosity AGN

² http://www.cbat.eps.harvard.edu/lists/Supernovae.html

Table 12. Probability of a 'faint' (M>-16) CCSN detection being of a particular subtype, based on LOSS SN luminosity functions and rates.

CCSN subtype	Per cent of all CCSNe	Per cent of subtype with $M > -16$	Per cent CCSNe with $M > -16$	Per cent M > -16 CCSNe
IIP	52.0	~52	~27.0	64.1
IIL	7.5	0	0	0
IIn	\sim 7.0	~ 37	~ 2.6	6.1
IIb	9.0	~ 24	~ 2.2	5.1
Ib	~ 5.0	0	0	0
Ic	~ 13.5	~ 39	~5.3	12.5
pec Ibc	~ 6.0	~ 86	~5.2	12.5



Figure 7. Fractional *R*-band light distribution of each SNIIP, SNIIn, SNIc and impostor in this analysis, against its absolute magnitude at discovery.

with low-resolution spectra (e.g Filippenko 1989; Ulrich, Maraschi & Urry 1997). SNIIn do not replicate many other AGN features such as the UV excess and X-ray variability; however, during the course of an SN survey, this detail may not be studied before rejection. There is therefore a chance that some central SNIIn have been detected but incorrectly categorized as AGN. The number of events for which this occurs should be small as most SNIIn do not mimic the characteristics of AGN sufficiently (only long-lived, SN 1988Z-like events have been found to reflect AGN emission), and most AGN do not look like SNIIn explosions.

Fig. 8 shows the Fr(*R*) distribution of the SN impostors only, along with a fit to the data shown by the dashed line and the average absolute discovery magnitude shown by the solid line. The fit to this data has a gradient of -3.8 ± 2.3 , consistent with random scatter; however, it is interesting to note that the correlation is counter-intuitive, with the fainter events lying closer to the host galaxy nucleus, given by smaller fractional light values. There are however, no events seen within the central 20 per cent of host galaxy light.

The distribution of discovery magnitudes as a function of Fr(R) values for the SNIIn sample is presented in Fig. 9, where again the average value is represented by the solid line, and the dashed line shows the fit to the data. The gradient of this fit is statistically significant at 4.9 ± 1.5 , indicating that intrinsically fainter SNIIn are not seen within the central regions of their hosts. Though this could be interpreted as evidence for a selection bias against finding faint SNIIn in the central regions, it is interesting to note that the distribution shows that only one bright SNIIn is found outside



Figure 8. Fr(R) distribution of impostors with respect to their absolute magnitude at discovery. The solid line represents the average of the group and the dashed line the fit to the data.



Figure 9. Fr(R) distribution of SNeIIn with respect to their absolute magnitude at discovery. The solid line represents the average of the group and the dashed line the fit to the data.

 $Fr(R) \simeq 0.5$, i.e. outside the effective radius of the host galaxy, defined as the radius within which half of the galaxy's light is emitted (de Vaucouleurs 1948). The outer regions of galaxies appear not to

selection bias. Faint SNIIn should not be lost within the central regions of their hosts when equally faint SNIIP and SNIc events are detected (Habergham et al. 2012). Supporting this conclusion, the average recession velocity of the SNIIn host galaxies is ~2600 km s⁻¹ (range 434–5260 km s⁻¹) and at this redshift one would not expect to lose a substantial fraction of SNe. The findings of Mannucci, Della Valle & Panagia (2007) suggest that for this redshift range we would expect to lose $\lesssim 10$ per cent of all CCSNe, while Mattila et al. (2012) suggest a slightly higher value of ~19 per cent.

Therefore, it does not seem likely that the absence of SNIIn within the central regions of galaxies and the lack of association with H α emission can be driven by selection effects. The small sample size, however, must instill caution when interpreting these data.

4.2 NCR analysis

Selection effects may affect the NCR analysis presented in the last section for two main reasons; the particular subtype of the explosion could be harder to detect against a bright HII region, and at increasing distances it could become harder to detect all explosions against bright H II regions, leading to lower NCR values. A comprehensive analysis of these selection biases is presented in Anderson et al. (2012). In this paper, we concentrate on comparing the interacting transient population with SNIIP and SNIc which should represent the most extreme ends of the CCSNe progenitor mass distribution. The luminosity distributions of the SNIIP, SNIIn and SNIc classes have been discussed and indicate that incompleteness levels and selection effects should be similar for all three types. To specifically test whether any selection bias is present in the NCR analysis presented here with respect to redshift, the sample was split into two bins, a low- and high-redshift bin. For the SNIIn sample, the cutoff between these bins was taken as 40 Mpc, dividing the sample into equal halves. The mean NCR value for the low-redshift half is 0.146 ± 0.079 , and for the high-redshift half it is 0.304 ± 0.081 , and therefore no statistically significant difference is present as function of recession velocity. However, it is interesting to note that the higher redshift bin shows slightly more association with H α emission than the low-redshift bin.

The selection effects are much more complicated for the impostor sample, where the peak luminosities are much fainter and hence the transients are less likely to be detected against a bright H II region. For this reason, they also represent a much more local sample of events, with the cutoff between the equally populated high- and low-redshift bins much lower at ~9 Mpc. Here, the low-redshift sample has a mean NCR of 0.101 ± 0.060 , the high-redshift mean is 0.165 ± 0.160 . Again, there is no significant redshift dependence here but this is limited by the very small sample in terms of numbers and redshift range. Hence, it is much more difficult to draw conclusions for this particular class of object.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Type IIn

The sample of SNIIn studied here appears to have very different host environments to SNIc, which literature would suggest have the most massive progenitors (e.g. Anderson et al. 2012). If the progenitors of the SNIIn class are all LBV-like, such as that of SN 2005gl (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009), we would expect to see a similar distribution within the host galaxies, and a higher degree of association with $H\alpha$ emission. In fact, Stritzinger et al. (2012) describe at least three main groups within the SNIIn class defined by their peak absolute magnitudes and LC evolution. These are: an ultra-luminous group with $M_V \sim -22$; the SN 1988Z-like group with slow LC evolution (several years) and SN 1994W-like events which reach peak magnitudes of \sim -18 and whose LCs display a long (\sim 100 d) plateau followed by a sharp decline. It is not possible to classify all of the SNIIn within our sample into these main groups due to a lack of information in the literature, but where possible the events have been grouped and are discussed below. For those individual SN events which have relevant information in the literature, we will discuss this to find any indications of the potential progenitors of these explosions.

5.1.1 1988Z-like events

SN 1988Z was one of the initial SNII events to be separated out into a new class of transient, which became known as Type IIn, by Schlegel (1990). This object had a slow LC evolution, remaining bright for over 3 yr (Turatto et al. 1993). These long-lived events can have a range of peak magnitudes, which have been estimated to fall in the region between -17 and -19 (Stritzinger et al. 2012). Within our SNIIn sample, the following events have been grouped into this class.

SN 1987F: this SN was part of the initial subtype classification by Schlegel (1990) and was discussed extensively in Turatto et al. (1993) as being the only event at that time to have an LC comparable to SN 1988Z. Filippenko (1989) quotes an *R*-band LC decay of ~0.005 mag d⁻¹ for SN 1987F. Kiewe et al. (2012) also find the event to be comparable in both spectroscopic and photometric evolution with SN 2005cp, another slow declining event (~0.014 mag d⁻¹ in the *V* band; Kiewe et al. 2012) with a peak *V*-band magnitude of -18.2. The peak *V*-band magnitude of SN 1987F was -18.3 (Filippenko 1989), making these events remarkably similar.

SN 1995N: this SN was so long lived that even its initial discovery (Pollas et al. 1995) was made approximately 10 months after the explosion, based upon comparison of the initial observed spectrum with that of the well-observed SN 1993N (Benetti, Bouchet & Schwarz 1995). The event was followed up spectroscopically by Fransson et al. (2002) who found emission from the explosion almost 2000 d post-explosion. The LC information presented in Li et al. (2002) shows the slow decline of this object (~0.0008 mag d⁻¹ in the *V* band; Li et al. 2002) and the longevity of the emission. This explosion has been well studied in the infrared regime and much analysis conducted into the dust properties of the explosion. A full discussion of this is beyond the scope of this paper but Gerardy et al. (2002) and Fox et al. (2009) give detailed discussion and analysis.

SN 1996cr: this event was discovered in archival data more than 10 yr after the initial explosion (Bauer & Mattila 2007) and the subsequent follow-up spectroscopy confirmed the SN to be of Type IIn (Bauer et al. 2008), the source remaining bright for over 10 yr. Hydrodynamic modelling of the LCs and multi-epoch spectra for the event, presented in Dwarkadas, Dewey & Bauer (2010), match the observations, and these authors conclude that it is unlikely, though not impossible, that the progenitor of the explosion was an LBV star, more likely having a blue supergiant, or WR origin. The late epoch of the classification spectrum for this event leaves uncertainty over this classification.

SN 2005ip is one of the most long-lived SNIIn known, remaining bright more than 6.5 yr post-explosion (Stritzinger et al. 2012). It was first classified as a Type IIn by Smith et al. (2009a) who described the event as a Type IIL, following its initial steep decline

over the first 160 d, followed by interaction with a clumpy CSM, providing the IIn-like spectra. Smith et al. (2009a) concluded that the progenitor was an extreme red supergiant with a mass between 20 and 40 M_{\odot}. Contrary to this, Fox et al. (2009, 2010) looked at the IR fluxes up to 900 d post-explosion to place constraints on the dust formation and surroundings of the SN. They concluded that the high IR fluxes were equivalent to those expected from the interaction of the SN with a pre-existing shell formed by an LBV outburst prior to the eventual SN explosion. Most recently, Stritzinger et al. (2012) conducted a comprehensive analysis of the UV, optical and near-IR evolution of SN 2005ip, both spectroscopically and photometrically, concluding that the late-time emission from the event was most likely caused by interaction with a clumpy CSM as described originally in Smith et al. (2009a). As for the SNIIn class as a whole, Stritzinger et al. (2012) also pointed out the diversity within the SN 1988Z-like group, depending upon the mass-loss history of the progenitor stars.

SN 2010jl: this was a luminous SNIIn event that reached a peak magnitude of $M_V \sim -20$ (Smith et al. 2011c). Initial spectra classified the event as a Type IIn (Benetti et al. 2010) with a slowly declining LC (~0.009 mag d⁻¹ in the V band over the first 90 d; Zhang et al. 2012). Smith et al. (2011c) reported an analysis of archival pre-explosion *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) imaging and concluded that the progenitor star must have a mass of at least 30 M_☉, and was likely to have been an LBV. SN 2010jl showed an infrared excess soon after explosion and the follow-up IR observations presented by Andrews et al. (2011) indicated that a large mass-loss event must have taken place prior to explosion, also consistent with an LBV progenitor. The event remains bright, and observations more than 500 d post-explosion indicate that the surrounding CSM is massive and possibly made by an enormous mass-loss event (30–50 M_☉) just decades prior to explosion (Zhang et al. 2012).

No information is available in the literature, outside of the initial classification circulars, for *SN 2000cl* which Stathakis & Stevenson (2001) designated as a Type IIn, noting that the spectrum resembled SN 1988Z at a similar epoch.

The event *SN 2003dv* was classified as a Type IIn by Kotak et al. (2003) who described the spectrum as similar to that of SN 1998S. However, from photometric follow-up, Li et al. (2011) described the event as a slow-declining Type IIn, similar to SN 1988Z. It is in fact used as their template slow-declining Type IIn.

The SN 1988Z-like events described above have an average NCR index of 0.248 ± 0.111 (excluding SN 2005ip and SN 2010jl which are still bright in our observations) and an average Fr(*R*) value of 0.589 ± 0.112 .

5.1.2 1994W-like events

SN 1994W is the prototype of a group of SNIIn which have a decline of months rather than years (Sollerman, Cumming & Lundqvist 1998) and often exhibit LC plateaus, followed by a drop in luminosity (e.g. Kankare et al. 2012). Although Taddia et al. (2013) claim that only SN 2009kn (Kankare et al. 2012) and SN 2011ht (Mauerhan et al. 2013b) are confirmed to belong to this group, we use information available in the literature to supplement it with our events which most closely resemble SN 1994W. These are discussed below.

SN 1987B: this SN comprised part of the initial SNIIn classification proposed by Schlegel (1990) but Schlegel et al. (1996), in a later discussion paper, commented that the spectrum was more similar to SN 1994W. The peak V-band magnitude of this event was ~ -18.4 (converted from Schlegel et al. 1996) and the authors also

found that the event decayed much more quickly than SN 1988Z. SN 1987B also only exhibited an ${\sim}30\,d$ plateau.

SN 1994W: this event is the prototype of the group but remains the subject of much controversy. After its detection in 1994 July (Cortini & Villi 1994), the SN was classified as a Type IIn when narrow lines became visible in the spectrum. The classification was changed to a Type IIP when the plateau in the LC was observed, followed by a steep drop in both the *B* and *V* bands (Tsvetkov 1995). More recently, Dessart et al. (2009) have questioned whether this was a CC event at all, citing the lack of broad lines in timesampled spectra, and the low ⁵⁶Ni yields, as evidence that the event may actually have been the result of an interaction between two ejected shells of material, without a CC. Interestingly, we find the environment of this event to have a high association with both H α and near-UV emission. For the purpose of discussion, we refer to this event within its name-sake group.

SN 1994Y: the spectrum of this event is described as having Balmer emission lines without P-Cygni profiles, along with Na features, over a blue continuum (Clocchiatti et al. 1994). Based on this description, Schlegel et al. (1996) described the event as behaving similarly to SN 1987B. Ho et al. (2001) presented multicolour LCs for this event which reveal a short plateau phase (\sim 30 d in *V* band) around maximum, indicating a low ejecta mass in the explosion, similar to SN 1994W.

SN 2005db had little real-time follow-up, with the spectral classification being made by Blanc et al. (2005a) who describe the spectrum as blue and featureless save for some narrow Balmer emission lines. Kiewe et al. (2012) describe the photometric evolution of the event as having a rapid rise and a broad peak, followed by a 30–40 d plateau. It is for this reason we tentatively group the event into the SN 1994W-like class of events.

The four events described above have an average NCR index of 0.298 ± 0.190 and an average Fr(*R*) of 0.582 ± 0.129 .

5.1.3 Linearly declining events

Several of the events within our sample show more linear LC decays, with no long-lived emission like that seen in the SN 1988Z-like events, and no plateaus, as are seen in the SN 1994W events.

SN 1999el has a relatively fast LC decay ($\sim 0.05 \text{ mag d}^{-1}$ in the *V* band), and there is no plateau visible in the data presented in Di Carlo et al. (2002). These authors speculate that the explosion is more reminiscent of an SNIIL which has undergone mass-loss just prior to explosion. Based on these observations, it is not clear which group described above that event would slot into, if any of them.

SN 2000P also shows a rapid decline (\sim 7 mag in 250 d) but with no plateau features, which is described in Li et al. (2002). The initial spectra, which define the event as a Type IIn, showed the blue continuum and narrow emission lines (Cappellaro et al. 2000; Jha et al. 2000a) although Jha et al. (2000b) attributed the narrow lines in their initial spectrum to the host galaxy and not the SN.

These two events have an average NCR value of 0.024 ± 0.024 and an average Fr(*R*) value of 0.348 ± 0.028 .

5.1.4 Fast-declining events

These events are also known as SN 1998S-like, with the prototype being one of the most well-studied SNIIn (see Kiewe et al. 2012 for a full discussion). SN 1998S had a fast rise time (<20 d) and one of the fastest decays ever observed (\sim 0.05 mag d⁻¹; Kiewe et al. 2012). Analysis of the pre-explosion mass-loss rates yields a value around 10^{-3} – 10^{-4} M_{\odot}y⁻¹ (Lentz et al. 2001; Pooley et al. 2002).

SN 2003G was classified as a Type IIn by Hamuy (2003) as it had a blue continuum and strong H α emission. It was described as spectroscopically similar to the event SN 1994W; however, from their photometric follow-up, Li et al. (2011) describe the event as a Type IIn fast decliner, similar to SN 1998S.

The only information available for $SN \ 2001 fa$ is contained in one circular (Filippenko & Chornock 2001) which describes the spectrum as a Type IIn, reminiscent of SN 1998S at early times (Leonard et al. 2000).

SN 2005gl is so far the only SNIIn to have had a progenitor detection using archival HST imaging (Gal-Yam et al. 2007), confirmed by late-time observations showing the disappearance of the candidate progenitor (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009). Although this is the only progenitor to have been confirmed in the group, the 'class' of SNIIn it falls into is unclear (Stritzinger et al. 2012). The event peaked at a magnitude of ~ -17 (Gal-Yam et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2010b) and the photometric evolution showed a steep rise followed by a fast decline ($\sim 0.045 \text{ mag d}^{-1}$; Gal-Yam et al. 2007), giving a possible similarity with SN 1998S (Smith et al. 2010b). The spectral evolution for the event remains confusing, with the initial spectrum clearly showing it to be a Type IIn (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009), but with the defining narrow lines quickly disappearing. Li et al. (2007) liken the evolution to that of a Type IIL, and Smith et al. (2010b) describe the spectrum at 2 months as similar to that of a Type IIP. Regardless of this uncertainty, several independent analyses (Smith et al. 2010b; Dwarkadas 2011) agree that the inferred mass-loss rates prior to the SN explosion indicate an LBV progenitor, as described in Gal-Yam & Leonard (2009), having a mass at least as great as $50 M_{\odot}$. However, only one pre-explosion image exists for this event and if the progenitor is an LBV star, there is no guarantee that it was not in outburst when this observation was taken. Should the star have been in outburst, Groh et al. (2013b) estimate the progenitor mass to be closer to $20-25 M_{\odot}$. Although we find an NCR value of 0.000 for this event, it should be noted that the H α observation from which this value was obtained has a low signal-to-noise ratio, and therefore the error on this value may be large. Despite this the position of SN 2005gl is between, and not upon, bright H II regions.

These three events have an average NCR value of 0.049 ± 0.049 and an average Fr(*R*) value of 0.343 ± 0.092 .

5.1.5 Thermonuclear 'IIn'

The first event to be defined as a thermonuclear IIn, or an SNIa-CSM, was SN 2002ic (Hamuy et al. 2003), which subsequently led to the re-classification of several other events, notably SN 1997cy and SN 1999E. These events all have a spectrum which seems to have two components, the underlying spectrum of an SN 1991T-like SNIa, though diluted in nature, with a smoothly varying continuum thought to be caused by the interaction of the shock wave with a hydrogen-rich CSM (e.g. Taddia et al. 2012; Silverman et al. 2013). This also produces narrow hydrogen emission lines which are seen in the spectra. These events often also show silicon features, which are not seen strongly in CC events (Hamuy et al. 2003).

The initial classification spectrum of *SN 2008J* indicated that it was reminiscent of an SNIIn with strong hydrogen lines, complete with narrow components. However, it also noted that the event was similar in nature to the SNIa-CSM event SN 2005gj according to the SN identification code used (Blondin & Tonry 2007). Extensive analysis presented in Taddia et al. (2012) and Silverman et al. (2013) confirm this SN to be part of the Ia-CSM group, showing the spectroscopic and photometric evolution to resemble SN 2005gj and SN

2002ic. The V-band magnitude of the event at peak is also much brighter than most SNIIn events, at -20.3 mag (Taddia et al. 2012). This is the only thermonuclear event in our sample and has an NCR index of 0.807 and an Fr(R) value of 0.087. The NCR value for this event seems high, with only \sim 4 per cent of the 'normal' SNIa population falling above 0.800 (Anderson et al. 2012). It may be that this is an intrinsically normal SNIa which has passed into an H II region prior to exploding, or that this is an intrinsically unusual SNIa event. It should also be noted that the observation used throughout this analysis was taken within five years of the explosion. Given the longevity of some SNIIn interactions (e.g. Stritzinger et al. 2012), this may be too close to the explosion epoch, and hence the H α fluxes and NCR values may be boosted by emission from the SN itself. We are confident that our sample does not contain a significant fraction of these events, which are described as having magnitudes in the range -19.5 > M > -21.6 (Leloudas et al. 2013; Silverman et al. 2013), and hence are much brighter than most of the events in this study.

5.1.6 Other IIn events

For 16 of the SNIIn in this sample, there is no significant information in the literature other than some notes in the circulars. These SNe are: SN 1993N, which was noted to have a spectrum similar to SN 1988Z (Filippenko & Matheson 1994) at the stage when the IIn class was still largely unclear, but with no known long-lasting emission. SN 1994ak has an initial classifying spectrum showing it clearly as a Type IIn (Garnavich et al. 1995). SN 1996bu only has initial discovery circulars where the spectrum was clearly that of an SNIIn, with a blue continuum and narrow Balmer emission lines (Nakano et al. 1996). SN 1997eg had spectroscopic follow-up, presented in Salamanca, Terlevich & Tenorio-Tagle (2002), which clearly showed the features of a Type IIn explosion; however, photometric follow-up of the event is lacking in the literature and therefore we are unable to define the exact subclass of SNIIn in which this event lies. The initial circulars of SN 1999gb give the event a IIn designation after a spectrum revealed its blue continuum and narrow hydrogen features (Jha et al. 1999). The classification of SN 2002A was described by Benetti et al. (2002) as having a spectrum dominated by narrow Balmer emission lines, with broader wings. The spectrum of SN 2002fj was classified as a Type IIn, and described in Hamuy (2002) as being dominated by strong H α emission. SN 2003lo was designated a Type IIn by Matheson et al. (2004) who describe a spectrum with blue continuum emission and both broad and narrow hydrogen features. Finally, the only information available for the event SN 2006am is the spectrum, described in Quimby (2006), which shows both a blue continuum and Balmer lines with narrow components.

5.1.7 SNIIn summary

This sample contains no ultraluminous events, which are often the basis of papers on the SNIIn class, many of which focus on extreme events (e.g. Smith et al. 2008). The properties of the sample of SNIIn analysed here are so diverse that it seems unlikely they can all result from a single type of progenitor system. It is likely that the class of objects known as SNIIn is in fact composed of several different progenitor channels. The lack of a fundamental characteristic defining the group, first required by Schlegel (1990), may indicate that the class is not in fact distinct but merely the result of the quality of data and frequency of observations, or another progenitor channel in an environment with high-density CSM. The environmental

Table 13. Average NCR and Fr(R) values for SNIIn classes.

Type (Number)	$NCR_{H\alpha}$	Fr(R)
1988Z-like (7)	0.248 ± 0.111 (5)	0.589 ± 0.112 (6)
1994W-like (4)	0.298 ± 0.190	0.582 ± 0.129
Ia-CSM (1)	0.807	0.087
IIn-L (2)	0.024 ± 0.024	0.348 ± 0.028
1998S-like (3)	0.049 ± 0.049	0.343 ± 0.092

study conducted here sheds doubt on the LBV progenitor channel for the majority of SNIIn events, with the class overall showing little association with SF as traced by H α emission. A comparison between the average NCR_{H α} and average Fr(*R*) values for the different SNIIn types can be seen in Table 13 (number of events in parentheses); for comparison, the average NCR value for SNIIP is 0.250 \pm 0.038, and for SNIc, 0.466 \pm 0.042.

5.2 Impostors

SN impostors can only truly be defined as such if the progenitor star is shown to survive the explosion; however, many of the events are not followed up in sufficient detail to confirm whether the progenitor star has disappeared. Many events are designated as impostors when the peak magnitude is fainter than expected from a true SN explosion. However, the diversity within the impostor and SNIIn groups mean that this somewhat arbitrary cutoff is not reliable. SN impostors clearly require more detailed study; a current literature search of the events contained within our sample is given below.

5.2.1 2008S-like events

SN~2008S may define a class with much lower mass progenitors $(\sim 10 \, M_{\odot})$ than are expected from LBV eruptions (Prieto et al. 2008). These events are often enshrouded in high-extinction regions, leading to an IR excess during the eruption. Aside from the prototype of this group, SN 2008S, which was discussed in the Introduction, here we will discuss other impostor events which are likely to fall into this group.

Although *SN 1999bw* has a possible detection in the infrared taken 5 yr post-explosion (Sugerman et al. 2004), little information is known about the progenitor star. The literature shows a consensus that the event falls into the class of SN 2008S-like (Thompson et al. 2009; Kochanek, Szczygiel & Stanek 2012). Thompson et al. (2009) argue that the low optical peak luminosity, the narrow Balmer-line-dominated spectrum and the IR detection are all consistent with an SN 2008S-like, highly extinguished event.

Little information is available in the literature for *SN 2001ac* but there seems to be a consensus that the event is most likely to be an SN 2008S-like transient, with a spectrum which resembles that of SN 1999bw, and a detection in the mid-IR indicative of the presence of dust (Thompson et al. 2009; Smith et al. 2011b; Kochanek et al. 2012).

SN 2002bu is also argued to be an SN 2008S-like transient with a mid-IR detection (Thompson et al. 2009). The event is, however, unusual, with the early spectral evolution resembling an LBVlike eruption, but the late-time evolution mimicking the cooler SN 2008S-like events, and photometrically the decline rate of the LC is also similar to that of the LBV-like impostor SN 1997bs, but with a colour evolution matching that of SN 2008S (Smith et al. 2011b). The low mass range generally adopted for the progenitors for these events (e.g. $\sim 10 M_{\odot}$; Prieto et al. 2008) is extended for this object with Szczygieł, Kochanek & Dai (2012) claiming that the local environment of SN 2002bu favours stars closer to ${\sim}5\,M_{\odot}$ than $10\,M_{\odot}$.

Smith et al. (2011b) suggest that *SN 2010dn* closely resembles SN 2008S, with identical spectroscopic features including narrow Ca II emission along with the usual Balmer emission lines. The extensive analysis carried out in Smith et al. (2011b), and the high-resolution spectra presented in that paper, strongly suggest that the event is heavily dust enshrouded and one of the strongest candidates for inclusion in the SN 2008S-like group.

5.2.2 η Carina-type impostors

There are several SN impostors contained within our sample which do not fall under the umbrella of SN 2008S-like events, and are usually assumed (or confirmed) to be associated with LBV progenitors with initial masses of at least $\sim 20 \, M_{\odot}$. These events will be discussed in this section.

SN 1954J, also known as NGC 2403-V12, is one of the few impostor events to have had confirmation that the star still survives (Smith et al. 2001). Optical and IR images were taken of the event almost 50 yr post-explosion and the remaining star is faint and red, probably due to the extinction by the dust formed in the initial eruption (Smith et al. 2001). The star has spectral characteristics similar to η Carina, and high-resolution images reveal the star to be very massive, with an estimate of >25 M_☉ (Van Dyk et al. 2005). A detailed discussion of this SN impostor, and the mass constraints placed on it by Smith et al. (2001) and Van Dyk et al. (2005), is presented in Kochanek et al. (2012).

SN 1961V was a controversial event which has divided the SN community as to whether it was an SN impostor (Van Dyk & Matheson 2012) or a true SN event (e.g. Kochanek, Szczygiel & Stanek 2011; Smith et al. 2011b). The event was luminous, reaching a peak magnitude of almost -18 mag and appears to be an outlier amongst the SN impostor group (Smith et al. 2011b). Van Dyk & Matheson (2012) found a source coincident with the SN impostor which may be the surviving progenitor of the event. If so, this appears to be an LBV with a high mass (>60 M_{\odot}). For the purpose of this study, we have kept SN 1961V in the impostor group; however, even if the event is a true SN, it is thought to have a very high mass progenitor (>80 M_{\odot}; Kochanek et al. 2011).

V1-NGC 2366 is located within an H II region in the host NGC 2366. It first erupted in 1994 and has remained near maximum light ever since (Drissen et al. 2001; Smith et al. 2011b). Detailed modelling of the UV spectrum (Petit et al. 2006) implies that the event is being powered by a supergiant wind rather than an explosion, consistent with a progenitor star of ~20 M_☉ (Smith et al. 2011b).

SN 1997bs was first classified as a true SNIIn by LOSS (Treffers et al. 1997), but the data presented in Van Dyk et al. (2000) question this, instead favouring an impostor event due to the faint peak magnitude of the event ($M_V \leq -13.8 \text{ mag}$) and a tentative detection on pre- and post-explosion *HST* images, which the authors claim is consistent with a luminous supergiant star (Van Dyk et al. 1999). The post-explosion observations of Li et al. (2002) question the impostor hypothesis for this event, finding only one marginal detection in three post-explosion images taken approximately 3 yr post-explosion. Despite this, the consensus in the literature seems to be that SN 1997bs was an SN impostor, with a very luminous LBV progenitor (Van Dyk et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2011b).

 $SN \ 2002 \ kg$ is one of the few SN impostors with a bona fide connection to an LBV through its presence in archival data (Weis & Bomans 2005). The event remained near maximum brightness for

 \sim 2 yr and originated in a region where the most recent epoch of SF is consistent with the expectations for a progenitor mass >20 M $_{\odot}$ (Maund et al. 2006). The eruption seems to have very little dust associated with it, and the changes in luminosity of the progenitor LBV over time suggest that the outburst was a normal LBV eruption with little mass-loss (Kochanek et al. 2012).

5.2.3 Unclear impostor events

The SN impostor SN 2003 gm is not easily placed in the η Carina-like or SN 2008S-like groups. Maund et al. (2006) discovered a source at the location of SN 2003gm using pre-explosion HST imaging, and post-eruption follow-up images, consistent with a yellow supergiant. The age of the region in which the source sits was similar to that of the 'LBV' impostor SN 1997bs, and corresponded to a mass for the progenitor of ${\sim}25\,M_{\odot}$ (Maund et al. 2006). These authors also point out that the LC decay is similar to that of 'LBV' impostor SN 2002 kg. However, Smith et al. (2011b) present HST imaging taken more than 5 yr post-explosion and discover the source to be reddened by dust formation. Claims that the source is unobscured (Thompson et al. 2009), thus excluding the event from the SN 2008S-like class, are also questioned by Kochanek et al. (2012) who find mid-IR emission at the site, indicative of dust. We find an $NCR_{H\alpha}$ index for this event of 0.000, showing no association with ongoing SF, but a high association with recent SF traced by near UV emission, with an NCR_{UV} of 0.468 (Anderson et al. 2012).

SN 2006bv was designated SN 2008S-like by Thompson et al. (2009) due to its apparent similar spectral characteristics and the lack of a strong LBV progenitor detection; however, information in the literature for this event is sparse and the evidence for classing the event as SN 2008S-like is speculative. Smith et al. (2011b) estimate a peak *R*-band magnitude of the event of -15.2, making it one of the most luminous SN impostors. We find the event to have no association with ongoing SF with an NCR_{H α} index of 0.000; there are no available near-UV images to define the NCR_{UV} index.

Again the SN impostor *SN 2006fp* was designated as SN 2008Slike by Thompson et al. (2009) due to the similar spectral characteristics and the lack of a convincing LBV detection. However, the peak magnitude presented by Smith et al. (2011b) of -15.47 implies that this event is a luminous, giant LBV eruption. We find the NCR_{H α} index to be 0.965, but find that the event is not associated with the recent SF traced by near-UV, with an NCR_{UV} of 0.000 (Anderson et al. 2012). This may imply that the event is still bright as a point source in our H α imaging, taken almost 2 yr after the initial detection of SN 2006fp.

5.2.4 Impostor summary

Every one of the SN 2008S-like transients listed in Section 5.2.1 has an NCR_{H α} index of 0.000 which, despite the low number of events, suggests strongly that the group is not associated with ongoing SF. The average NCR_{UV} index for the group is 0.252 ± 0.155; therefore this group is more associated with recent SF. For comparison, our calculated average NCR_{UV} values for the SNIc and SNIIP samples are 0.576 ± 0.042 and 0.504 ± 0.045, respectively. In comparison, each of the η Carina-like impostors has a positive NCR_{H α} index, with the average of the group being 0.157 ± 0.077 (still lower than that for SNIIP, and much lower than that for SNIc). The group also shows a stronger degree of association with recent SF with an average NCR_{UV} index of 0.430 ± 0.168. Although these differences are derived from low number statistics, the implication that

Table 14. Average NCR and Fr(R) values for impostor classes.

Type (No.)	NCR _{Hα}	NCR _{UV}	Fr(R)
2008S-like (5) η Car-like (5)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \pm 0.000 \\ 0.157 \pm 0.077 \ (4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.252 \pm 0.155 \\ 0.430 \pm 0.168 \ (4) \end{array}$	0.665 ± 0.119 0.597 ± 0.144

the NCR index may provide a distinguishing feature between different types of SN impostors is encouraging and when sufficiently well-studied samples are available such environmental diagnostics may provide crucial information in constraining the progenitor systems. A comparison between the average NCR_{H α}, NCR_{UV} and Fr(*R*) values for the different SN impostor types can be seen directly in Table 14 (number of events in parentheses). For comparison, the average NCR_{H α} value for SNIIP is 0.250 ± 0.038, and for SNIc, 0.466 ± 0.042.

5.3 Overall results

The SNIIn class is diverse in nature, and probably does not encompass a single progenitor channel, but rather a combination of systems each showing one common feature. The identification of an event as a true SN or an impostor is still unclear, and only with confirmation of the disappearance of a progenitor will a thorough investigation of the two groups as separate classes be possible.

The various subtypes of the interacting transient class, discussed in detail within this section, are summarized in Table 15. We indicate potential characteristics of the classes as defined by the analysis and literature searches carried out during the course of this paper, and described throughout. Any characteristics drawn for a class should therefore be taken with some degree of caution as this is still a very active area of research.

It is clear from this analysis that the locations of interacting transients as a whole are different from the locations of SNIc, both in terms of their radial positions within the hosts and in terms of their association with H α emission. Taken together with the results of Anderson et al. (2012), this indicates that most of the interacting events do not have high-mass progenitors.

The NCR value analysis has produced a clear distinction between the SN 2008S-like transients and η Carina-type impostors, with all SN 2008S-like impostors lying on regions of zero SF as traced by H α emission, and η Carina-like events, thought to arise from LBV eruptions, showing positive NCR values.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented the most comprehensive host galaxy environment study of interacting transients (SNIIn and SN impostors) to date. Following a discussion of the selection effects involved in this study, which are more likely to affect the SN impostor sample than that of the SNIIn sample, we draw the the following conclusions:

(i) The host galaxies of interacting transients trace the normal SF in the local Universe, whereas SNIc appear to have more massive hosts.

(ii) The host galaxies of SN impostors appear slightly less luminous than CCSN hosts, and correspondingly have lower metallicities.

(iii) Inferred local metallicities at the sites of SN impostors are lower than SNIc, SNIIP and SNIIn sites. SNIIn appear to have slightly more metal-rich sites than SNIIP.

Class	Characteristics	Examples from this study	
SNIIn: ultraluminous Peak V-band magnitude < -22		-	
SNIIn: 1988Z-like	Slow LC evolution (several years); -17 < Peak mag < -19	1987F, 1995N, 1996cr, 2000cl, 20036dv, 2005ip, 2010jl	
SNIIn: 1994W-like	LC decay period of months, with plateau followed by drop in luminosity	1987B, 1994W, 1994Y, 2005db	
SNIIn: Ia-CSM	Underlying thermonuclear spectrum similar to SN 1991T	2008J	
SNIIn: linear	No long-lived emission, linear LC decay with no plateau	1999el, 2000P	
SNIIn: 1998S-like	Fast LC rise ($<20 \text{ d}$) and decay ($\sim 0.05 \text{ mag d}^{-1}$)	2003G, 2001fa, 2005gl	
IMP: 2008S-like	Probable progenitor mass $\sim 10 \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$, in high-extinction region giving an IR-excess	2008S, 1999bw, 2001ac, 2002bu, 2010dn	
IMP: η -Car-likeLBV progenitor with probable initial mass > 20 M $_{\odot}$		1954J, 1961V, NGC 2366-V1, 1997bs, 2002 kg	

Table 15. Description of interacting transient classes.

(iv) There is a lack of interacting transients in the central regions of host galaxies. The sole event which falls in the central 20 per cent of host galaxy *R*-band light is known to be an SNIa-CSM event; therefore, no massive progenitors of interacting transients are found in the central regions of their host galaxies.

(v) The radial distributions of interacting transients and SNIc (with the highest mass progenitors) are very different (KS; P < 0.1 per cent), with the former tending to lie in the outer regions of their hosts, while the latter are strongly centrally concentrated.

(vi) A pronounced difference between interacting transients and SNIc is also seen in the association with ongoing SF. Unlike the SNIc, the interacting transients do not trace H α emission, and therefore ongoing SF (KS; P<0.1 per cent).

(vii) All SN impostors designated SN 2008S-like within this sample fall on regions with zero H α emission, whereas those classed as η Carina-like fall on positive values, with an average NCR_{H α} of 0.157. Impostors also show higher association with lower mass progenitors, traced by near-UV emission.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the referee for their detailed discussion on this paper which has undoubtedly led to improvements. This research has made use of the NED which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The LT is operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council. The INT (and Jacobus Kapteyn Telescope) is (was) operated on the island of La Palma by the Isaac Newton Group in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Also based on observations made by the ESO 2.2 m telescope at the La Silla Observatory (programme ID 084.D.0195). SMH and PAJ acknowledge the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council for PDRA support and research grant support, respectively, and JDL for research studentship support. JPA acknowledges fellowship funding from FONDECYT, project number 3110142, and partial support from Iniciativa Cientifica Milenio through the Millennium Centre for Supernova Science (P10-064-F).

REFERENCES

- Ade P. A. R. et al. (Planck Collaboration), 2013, preprint (arXiv:1303.5062) Alard C., 2000, A&AS, 144, 363
- Anders P., Fritze v., Alvensleben U., 2003, A&A, 401, 1063
- Anders P., Fritze V., Alvensieben U., 2005, A&A, 401, 1065 Anderson J. P., James P. A., 2008, MNRAS, 390, 1527
- Anderson J. P., James P. A., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 559
- Anderson J. P., Covarrubias R. A., James P. A., Hamuy M., Habergham S. M., 2010, MNRAS, 407, 2660
- Anderson J. P., Habergham S. M., James P. A., Hamuy M., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1372
- Andrews J. E. et al., 2011, AJ, 142, 45
- Arbour R., Boles T., 2008, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 1234, 1
- Arcavi I. et al., 2010, ApJ, 721, 777
- Arcavi I. et al., 2012, ApJ, 756, 30
- Aretxaga I., Terlevich R., 1994, MNRAS, 269, 462
- Aretxaga I., Joguet B., Kunth D., Melnick J., Terlevich R., 1999, ApJ, 519, 123
- Barbon R., Buondi V., Cappellaro E., Turatto M., 2010, VizieR Online Data Catalog, 1, 2024
- Bartunov O. S., Makarova I. N., Tsevtkov D. Yu., 1992, A&A, 264, 428
- Bartunov O. S., Tsvetkov D. Yu., Filimomova I. V., 1994, PASP, 106, 1276
- Bauer F. E., Mattila S., 2007, IAU Circ., 8823, 2
- Bauer F. E., Dwarkadas V. V., Brandt W. N., Immler S., Smartt S., Bartel N., Bietenholz M. F., 2008, ApJ, 688, 1210
- Benetti S., Bouchet P., Schwarz H., 1995, IAU Circ., 6170, 1
- Benetti S. et al., 2002, IAU Circ., 7789, 2
- Benetti S., Cappellaro E., Turatto M., Taubenberger S., Harutyunyan A., Valenti S., 2006, ApJ, 653, L129
- Benetti S., Bufano F., Vinko J., Marion G. H., Pritchard T., Wheeler J. C., Chatzopoulos E., Shetrone M., 2010, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 2536, 1
- Berger E., Foley R., Ivans I., 2009, Astron. Telegram, 2184, 1B
- Bibby J. L., Crowther P. A., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 3091
- Blanc N. et al., 2005a, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 183, 1
- Blanc N. et al., 2005b, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 256, 1
- Blondin S., Tonry J. L., 2007, ApJ, 666, 1024
- Blondin S., Masters K., Modjaz M., Kirshner R., Challis P., Matheson T., Berlind P., 2006, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 636, 1
- Boissier S., Prantzos N., 2009, A&A, 503, 137
- Bond H. E., Bedin L. R., Bonanos A. Z., Humphreys R. M., Monard L. A. G. B., Prieto J. L., Walter F. M., 2009, ApJ, 695, 154
- Cao L., Qiu Y. L., Qiao Q. Y., Hu J. Y., Li W., Filippenko A., 1999, IAU Circ., 7288, 1
- Cappellaro E., Benetti S., Turatto M., Pastorello A., 2000, IAU Circ., 7380, 2
- Chassagne R., 2000, IAU Circ., 7528, 1

Downloaded from http://nnras.oxfordjournals.org/ at Liverpool John Moores University on April 23, 2015

- Chugai N. N., 1991, MNRAS, 250, 513
- Clocchiatti A. et al., 1994, IAU Circ., 6065, 1
- Cortini G., Villi M., 1994, IAU Circ., 6042 1
- Crowther P. A., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 1927
- Cumming R. J., Lundqvist P., Meikle W. P. S., Breare M., Azzaro M., Zuiderwijk E. J., 1994, IAU Circ., 6057, 1
- de Vaucouleurs G., 1948, Ann. Astrophys., 11, 247
- de Vaucouleurs G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin H. G., Jr., Buta R. J., Paturel G., Fouqué P., 1991, Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (Volume 1-3, XII, 2069). Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- de Vaucouleurs G., de Vaucouleurs A., Corwin, H. G., Buta R. J., Paturel G., Fouque P., 1995, VizieR On-line Data Catalog, 7155, 0
- Deng J. et al., 2004, ApJ, 605, L37
- Dessart L., Hillier D. J., Gezari S., Basa S., Matheson T., 2009, MNRAS, 394, 21
- Di Carlo E. et al., 2002, ApJ, 573, 144
- Dilday B. et al., 2012, Science, 337, 942
- Drake A. J. et al., 2010, Astron. Telegram, 2897, 1
- Drissen L., Crowther P. A., Smith L. J., Robert C., Roy J. R., Hillier D. J., 2001, ApJ, 546, 484
- Dwarkadas V. V., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1639
- Dwarkadas V. V., Dewey D., Bauer F., 2010, MNRAS, 407, 812
- Filippenko A. V., 1989, AJ, 97, 726
- Filippenko A. V., Matheson T., 1993, IAU Circ., 5788, 1
- Filippenko A. V., Matheson T., 1994, IAU Circ., 5924, 1
- Filippenko A. V., Barth A. J., Bower G. C., Ho L. C., Stringfellow G. S., Goodrich R. W., Porter A. C., 1995, AJ, 110, 2261
- Filippenko A. V., Barth A. J., 1997, IAU Circ., 6794, 1
- Filippenko A. V., Li W. D., Modjaz M., 1999, IAU Circ., 7152, 2
- Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., 2001, IAU Circ., 7737, 2
- Foley R., Smith N., Ganeshalingam M., Li W., Chornock R., Filippenko A. V., 2007, ApJ, 657, 105
- Fox O. et al., 2009, ApJ, 691, 650
- Fox O. D., Chevalier R. A., Dwek E., Skrutskie M. F., Sugerman B. E. K., Leisenring J. M., 2010, ApJ, 725, 1768
- Fransson C. et al., 2002, ApJ, 572, 350
- Fraser M. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1312
- Gal-Yam A., Leonard D. C., 2009, Nature, 458, 865
- Gal-Yam A. et al., 2007, ApJ, 656, 372
- Garnavich P., Challis P., Riess A., Kirshner R., Berlind P., 1995, IAU Circ., 6124, 3
- Georgy C., Ekstróm S., Meynet G., Massey P., Levesque E. M., Hirschi R., Eggenberger P., Maeder A., 2012, A&A, 542, 29
- Gerardy C. L. et al., 2002, ApJ, 575, 1007
- Germany L. M., Reiss D. J., Sadler E. M., Schmidt B. P., Stubbs C. W., 2000, ApJ, 533, 320
- Gogarten S. M. et al., 2009, ApJ, 691, 115
- Gorbikov E. et al., 2013, MNRAS, preprint (arXiv:1312.0012)
- Groh J. H., Meynet G., Ekström S., 2013a, A&A, 550, 7
- Groh J. H., Meynet G., Georgy C., Ekström S., 2013b, A&A, 558, 131
- Habergham S. M., Anderson J. P., James P. A., 2010, ApJ, 717, 342
- Habergham S. M., James P. A., Anderson J. P., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2841
- Hamuy M., 2002, IAU Circ., 7979, 2
- Hamuy M., 2003, IAU Circ., 8045, 3
- Hamuy M. et al., 2003, Nature, 424, 651
- Henry R. B. C., Worthey G., 1999, PASP, 111
- Hirschi R., Meynet G., Maeder A., Ekström S., Georgy C., 2010, in Lietherer C., Bennett P., Morris P., van Loon J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 425, Hot and Cool: Bridging Gaps in Massive Star Evolution. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 13
- Ho W. C. G., Van Dyk S. D., Peng C. Y., Filippenko A. V., Leonard D. C., Matheson T., Treffers R. R., Richmond M. W., 2001, PASP, 113, 1349
- Humphreys R. M., Davidson K., 1994, PASP, 106, 1025
- Inserra C. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 437, 51
- James P. A., Anderson J. P., 2006, A&A, 453, 57
- James P. A., Knapen J. H., Shane N. S., Baldry I. K., de Jong R. S., 2008, A&A, 482, 507

- *Environments of interacting transients* 2251
- Jha S., Challis P., Kirshner R., Falco E., 1999, IAU Circ., 7326, 3
- Jha S., Challis P., Kirshner R., Calkins M., 2000a, IAU Circ., 7379, 1
- Jha S., Challis P., Kirshner R., Berlind P., 2000b, IAU Circ., 7381, 2
- Kangas T., Mattila S., Kankare E., Kotilainen J. K., Väisänen P., Greimel R., Takalo A., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3464
- Kankare E. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 855
- Kewley L. J., Ellison S. L., 2008, ApJ, 681, 1183
- Kiewe M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 744, 10
- Kochanek C. S., Szczygiel D. M., Stanek K. Z., 2011, ApJ, 737, 76
- Kochanek C. S., Szczygiel D. M., Stanek K. Z., 2012, ApJ, 758, 142
- Kotak R. et al., 2003, IAU Circ., 8124, 1
- Kuncarayakti H. et al., 2013, AJ, 146, 30
- Law N. M. et al., 2009, PASP, 121, 1395
- Leitherer C. et al., 1999, ApJS, 123, 3
- Leloudas G. et al., 2011, A&A, 530, 95
- Leloudas G. et al., 2013, A&A, preprint (arXiv:1306.1549)
- Lennarz D., Altmann D., Wiebusch C., 2012, A&A, 538, 120
- Lentz E. J. et al., 2001, ApJ, 547, 406
- Leonard D. C., Filippenko A. V., Barth A. J., Matheson T., 2000, ApJ, 536, 239
- Li W., Filippenko A. V., Van Dyk S. D., Hu J., Qiu Y., Modjaz M., Leonard D. C., 2002, PASP, 114, 403
- Li W., Wang X., Van Dyk S. D., Cuillandre J. C., Foley R. J., Filippenko A. V., 2007, ApJ, 661, 1013
- Li W. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1441
- Maeder A., Meynet G., 2008, in de Koter A., Smith L. J., Waters L. B. F. M., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 388, Mass Loss from Stars and the Evolution of Stellar Clusters. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 3
- Mannucci F., Della Valle M., Panagia N., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1229
- Margutti R., Soderberg A., Martin J., Hambsch F. J., Tan T. G., Curtis I., 2012, Astron. Telegram, 4539, 1
- Margutti R. et al., 2014, ApJ, 780, 21
- Marigo P., Girardi L., Bressan A., Groenewegen M. A. T., Silva L., Granato G. L., 2008, A&A, 482, 883
- Martin P., Li W. D., Qiu Y. L., West D., 2002, IAU Circ., 7809, 3
- Matheson T., Jha S., Challis P., Kirshner R., 2001, IAU Circ., 7597, 3
- Matheson T., Challis P., Kirshner R., Calkins M., 2004, IAU Circ., 8268, 2
- Mattila S. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 141
- Mattila S. et al., 2012, ApJ, 756, 111
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2013a, MNRAS, 430, 1801
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2013b, MNRAS, 431, 2599
- Mauerhan J. C. et al., 2014, MNRAS, preprint (arXiv:1403.4240)
- Maund J. R. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 369, 390
- Miller A. A., Li W., Nugent P. E., Bloom J. S., Filippenko A. V., Merritt A. T., 2009, Astron. Telegram, 2183, 1
- Modjaz M., Li W. D., 1999, IAU Circ., 7209, 1
- Modjaz M. et al., 2008, AJ, 135, 1136
- Modjaz M., Kewley L., Bloom J. S., Filippenko A. V., Perley D., Silverman J. M., 2011, ApJ, 731, L4

Pollas C., Albanese D., Benetti S., Bouchet P., Schwarz H., 1995, IAU Circ.,

Salamanca I., Terlevich R. J., Tenorio-Tagle G., 2002, MNRAS, 330, 844

MNRAS 441, 2230–2252 (2014)

- Nakano S. et al., 1996, IAU Circ., 6505, 1
- Nilson P., 1995, VizieR On-line Data Catalog, 7026, 0
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2013, Nature, 494, 65
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2014a, ApJ, 781, 42

Pooley D. et al., 2002, ApJ, 572, 932

Prieto J. L. et al., 2008, ApJ, 681, 9

Quimby R., 2006, IAU Circ., 8680, 3

Rau A. et al., 2009, PASP, 121, 1334

Sanders N. E. et al., 2012, ApJ, 758, 132

6170, 1

- Ofek E. O. et al., 2014b, ApJ, preprint (arXiv:1401.5468)
- Pastorello A. et al., 2007, Nature, 447, 829
- Pastorello A. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 113
- Pastorello A. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 181

Pettini M., Pagel B. E. J., 2004, MNRAS, 348, 59

Patat F., Pastorello A., Aceituno J., 2003, IAU Circ., 8167, 3 Petit V., Drissen L., Crowther P. A., 2006, AJ, 132, 1756

- Sanders N. E. et al., 2013, ApJ, 769, 39
- Schlegel E. M., 1990, MNRAS, 244, 269
- Schlegel E. M., Kirshner R. P., Huchra J. P., Schild R. E., 1996, AJ, 111, 2038
- Schwartz M., Li W., Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., 2003, IAU Circ., 8051, 1
- Silverman J. M. et al., 2013, ApJS, 207, 3
- Smartt S. J., 2009, ARA&A, 47, 63
- Smith N., Humphreys R. M., Gehrz R. D., 2001, PASP, 113, 692
- Smith N., Chornock R., Li W., Ganeshalingam M., Silverman J. M., Foley R. J., Filippenko A. V., Barth A. J., 2008, ApJ, 686, 467
- Smith N. et al., 2009a, ApJ, 695, 1334
- Smith N. et al., 2009b, ApJ, 697, 49
- Smith N. et al., 2010a, AJ, 139, 1451
- Smith N., Chornock R., Silverman J., Filippenko A. V., Foley R. J., 2010b, ApJ, 709, 856
- Smith N., Li W., Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., 2011a, MNRAS, 412, 1522
- Smith N., Li W., Silverman J. M., Ganeshalingam M., Filippenko A. V., 2011b, MNRAS, 415, 773
- Smith N. et al., 2011c, ApJ, 732, 63
- Smith N., Mauerhan J. C., Silverman J. M., Ganeshalingam M., Filippenko A. V., Cenko S. B., Clubb K. I., Kandrashoff M. T., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 1905
- Smith N., Mauerhan J. C., Kasliwal M. M., Burgasser A. J., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 2721
- Sollerman J., Cumming R. J., Lundqvist P., 1998, ApJ, 493, 933
- Stanishev V., Pastorello A., Pursimo T., 2008, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 1235, 1
- Stathakis R. A., Stevenson J., 2001, IAU Circ., 7782, 3
- Steele T. N., Silverman J. M., Ganeshalingam M., Lee N., Li W., Filippenko A. V., 2008, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 1275, 1
- Stoll R., Prieto J. L., Stanek K. Z., Pogge R. W., 2013, ApJ, 773, 12
- Stritzinger M., Folatelli G., Morrell N., 2008, Cent. Bur. Electron. Telegrams, 1218, 1
- Stritzinger M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 756, 173
- Sugerman B., Meixner M., Fabbri J., Barlow M., 2004, IAU Circ., 8442, 2

- Szczygieł D. M., Stanek K. Z., Bonanos A. Z., Pojmański G., Pilecki B., Prieto J. L., 2010, AJ, 140, 14
- Szczygieł D. M., Kochanek C. S., Dai X., 2012, ApJ, 760, 20
- Taddia F. et al., 2012, A&A, 545, 7
- Taddia F. et al., 2013, A&A, 555, 10
- Terlevich R., Melnick J., 1988, Nature, 333, 239
- Terlevich R., Tenario-Tagle G., Franco J., Melnick J., 1992, MNRAS, 255, 713
- Thompson T. A., Prieto J. L., Stanek K. Z., Kistler M. D., Beacom J. F., Kochanek C. S., 2009, ApJ, 705, 1364
- Treffers R. R., Peng C. Y., Filippenko A. V., Richmond M. W., Barth A. J., Gilbert A. M., 1997, IAU Circ., 6627, 1
- Tremonti C. A. et al., 2004, ApJ, 613, 898 (T04)
- Tsvetkov D. Yu., 1995, Inf. Bull. Var. Stars, 4253, 1
- Turatto M., Cappellaro E., Danziger I. J., Benetti S., Gouiffes C., della Valle M., 1993, MNRAS, 262, 128
- Turatto M. et al., 2000, ApJ, 534, 57
- Ulrich M.-H., Maraschi L., Urry C. M., 1997, ARA&A, 35, 445
- Van den Bergh S., Li W., Filippenko A. V., 2005, PASP, 117, 773
- Van Dyk S. D., 1992, AJ, 103, 1788
- Van Dyk S. D., Matheson T., 2012, ApJ, 746, 179
- Van Dyk S. D., Hamuy M., Filippenko A. V., 1996, AJ, 111, 2017
- Van Dyk S. D., Peng C. Y., Barth A. J., Filippenko A. V., 1999, AJ, 118, 2331
- Van Dyk S. D., Peng C. Y., King J. Y., Filippenko A. V., Treffers R. R., Li W., Richmond M. W., 2000, PASP, 112, 1532
- Van Dyk S. D., Filippenko A. V., Li W., 2002, PASP, 114, 700
- Van Dyk S. D., Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., Li W., Challis P. M., 2005, PASP, 117, 553
- Weis K., Bomans D. J., 2005, A&A, 429, 13
- Zhang T. et al., 2012, AJ, 144, 131

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/LATFX file prepared by the author.