View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

brought to you by CORE

provided by Bangor University Research Portal

PRIFYSGOL BANGOR / BANGOR UNIVERSITY

PRIFYSGOL
BANGOR
UNIVERSITY

High resolution HPLC-MS confirms overestimation of urea in soil by the diacetyl monoxime (DAM) colorimetric method

Reay, Michaela K; Yates, Chris A.; Johnes, Penny J. ; Arthur, Christopher J.; Jones, Davey L.; Evershed, Richard P

Soil Biology and Biochemistry

DOI:
10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.04.015

Published: 01/08/2019

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):
Reay, M. K., Yates, C. A., Johnes, P. J., Arthur, C. J., Jones, D. L., & Evershed, R. P. (2019). High resolution HPLC-MS confirms overestimation of urea in soil by the diacetyl monoxime (DAM) colorimetric method. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *135*, 127-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.04.015

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

11. May. 2021

High resolution HPLC-MS confirms overestimation of urea in soil by the diacetyl monoxime (DAM) colorimetric method

Michaela K. Reay[a], Chris A. Yates[b], Penny J. Johnes[b], Christopher J. Arthur[a], Davey L. Jones[c,d], Richard P. Evershed[a,*]

[a] *School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK*

[b] *School of Geography, University of Bristol, University Road, Bristol, BS8 1SS, UK*

[c] *Environment Centre Wales, Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2UW, UK*

[d] *UWA School of Agriculture and Environment, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

[*]corresponding author: Tel: +44 (0)117 928 7671; Fax: +44 (0)117 925 1295

E-mail address: r.p.evershed@bristol.ac.uk (R. P. Evershed)

ABSTRACT

Urea represents a common form of organic nitrogen (N) which is added to agricultural soils in large quantities in both cropping (e.g. fertiliser) and livestock (e.g. urine) systems. In addition, there is a small, dynamic ambient pool of urea in soil associated with metabolic functioning in the microbial community. The diacetyl monoxime (DAM) colorimetric method is routinely used to quantify urea in soil, however, it lacks specificity due to the potential to react with the ureido group ($R_1NHCONHR_2$), a common structural moiety in soil organic matter. The aim of this study was therefore to critically evaluate the accuracy of this method for urea determination in soil. Using the DAM assay, we demonstrated significant cross-reactivity with a range of ureido compounds, many of which are ubiquitous in soil. We conclude therefore that the DAM assay is highly likely to overestimate urea concentrations in environmental materials. Such overestimation was confirmed using high resolution HPLC-Orbitrap MS to quantify urea in grassland soils using standard addition and the concentrations compared with those of the DAM assay. The results obtained show the DAM colorimetric method overestimated urea concentration by between 7.2 and 58 times for the sites studied. This significant overestimation of urea emphasises the need to validate the colorimetric method with reference to the LC-MS assay to ensure the robustness of measured urea concentrations. On this basis we recommend that reporting of the results from the DAM colorimetric method as “urea” concentration be curtailed and reported as “ureido-N” to recognise the contribution of unknown and variable contributions from other compounds. Indeed, given the problems with quantitatively assessing the latter contributions we would recommend the DAM method is now avoided in surveys of urea concentrations in soil and the wider environment.

Keywords: Analytical method, Dissolved organic nitrogen, Nitrogen cycling, Urea determination, Ureido-N

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Urea occurs ubiquitously in the environment, deriving from both natural and anthropogenic
41 sources. It is an important compound in key biological processes and metabolic pathways of
42 all living organisms (Berman and Bronk, 2003; Remsen, 1971). Due to the high abundance of
43 the microbial enzyme urease and plant uptake mechanisms, urea is rapidly turned over in soils
44 under ambient conditions, resulting in a small but dynamic urea pool, which is an important
45 part of the soil N-cycle (Harder Nielsen et al., 1998; Lloyd and Sheaffe, 1973; Solomon et al.,
46 2010). Elevated urea concentrations in the environment can indicate anthropogenic inputs,
47 predominantly from agriculture (as fertiliser or from livestock urine) and wastewater treatment
48 systems (Galloway and Cowling, 2002; Glibert et al., 2006). It is important to determine these
49 anthropogenic inputs into the environment to assess human impacts. High concentrations of
50 soluble low molecular weight nitrogen (N) compounds, such as urea, can have detrimental
51 impacts on the environment, such as eutrophication and denitrification, leading to the release
52 of the greenhouse gas, nitrous oxide (N_2O) (Galloway and Cowling, 2002). The latter also
53 catalyses the production of ozone in the troposphere, which damages agricultural crops and
54 natural ecosystems, alongside negative impacts on human and animal health (Galloway and
55 Cowling, 2002; Heil et al., 2016). Such negative impacts on ecosystems, and on human and
56 animal health, alongside the increasing importance of urea globally as a fertiliser, means it is
57 important to quantify N inputs, particularly the urea component, into the soil environment
58 (Gilbert et al., 2006).

59 There are two indirect methods commonly used to quantify urea in environmental
60 matrices: enzymatic and colorimetric. The enzymatic method uses the bacterial enzyme urease
61 to convert urea to ammonium, which is subsequently quantified using other enzymatic or
62 colorimetric methods (McCarthy, 1970). A drawback of this method is the considerable
63 background levels of ammonium in the environment (i.e. high blanks). Furthermore, the urease

64 inhibitors used in combination with urea fertilisers in agriculture reduce the accuracy of these
65 determinations (Lambert et al., 2004).

66 The diacetyl monoxime (DAM) colorimetric method provides an often-used alternative
67 for urea detection and quantification in both biological and environmental matrices (Douglas
68 and Bremner, 1970; Stepanauskas et al., 2000; Taylor and Vadgama, 1992). The latter method
69 is preferred for environmental matrices due to its sensitivity, with modified methods able to
70 detect urea down to 0.03 μM N concentrations (Alam et al., 2017; Goeyens et al., 1998). It has
71 been applied to a wide range of environmental matrices, including river water (Burford et al.,
72 2011; Revilla et al., 2005; Satoh and Katoh, 1989; Stepanauskas et al., 2000), seawater
73 (Cochlan and Bronk, 2001; Mulvenna and Savidge, 1992; Remsen, 1971), estuarine waters and
74 sediments (Alam et al., 2017; Nakas and Litchfield, 1977; Torres-Valdés and Purdie, 2006;
75 Twomey et al., 2005), rainwater (Cornell et al., 1998), and soil extracts (Daigh et al., 2014;
76 Greenan et al., 1995; Sullivan and Havlin, 1991).

77 Interestingly, the DAM assay was first developed for the determination of the
78 amino acid citrulline and subsequently adapted to detect urea, diacetyl. The assay relies
79 on the production of diacetyl from diacetyl monoxime under acidic conditions, which
80 reacts with urea to form an unknown chromogen, by an unknown mechanism, which is
81 detected at 520 nm (Archibald, 1945; Butler and Walsh, 1982; Fearon, 1939; Lugosi et
82 al., 1972; Veniamin and Vakirtzi-Lemonias, 1970). The reaction has been adapted for
83 automation using continuous flow analysis and flow injection analysis (FIA), enabling
84 high sample throughput and high reproducibility, at low reagent costs. However, such
85 colorimetric methods are known to be non-specific, and the non-specificity of this
86 method for urea detection has been noted previously in biological fluids (Archibald,
87 1945). However, the consequences of this have not yet been investigated in soil.
88 Critically, this method is commercially marketed as a method to detect urea in

89 environmental samples, with few caveats to acknowledge potential interference or
90 cross-reactivity in such settings. Other colorimetric methods, such as the molybdenum
91 blue method for orthophosphate have addressed the potential for interference, e.g.
92 known silicate interference prompted method development to address this (Murphy and
93 Riley, 1962; Neal et al., 2000). In the urea method, no such adaptation is currently
94 available, and the specificity/non-specificity of the method for determination of urea in
95 solution is undefined. Significantly, citrulline and alloxan are expected to cross-react
96 under the reaction conditions and adaptions of the DAM colorimetric method have been
97 used to quantify these compounds in biological fluids (Archibald, 1945; Fearon, 1939;
98 Satoh and Katoh, 1989). Citrulline interference has been evaluated in natural waters
99 with the chromogen produced with the DAM colorimetric reaction conditions separated
100 from urea by liquid chromatography (LC), however, the question of non-specificity with
101 other compounds in relation to determined urea concentrations was not addressed (Satoh
102 and Katoh, 1989). As far as we are aware, a thorough investigation of environmentally
103 relevant compound classes cross-reacting under the reaction conditions used to produce
104 a response at 520 nm has not been undertaken.

105 One approach to assessing the potential for cross-reactivity in the DAM assay would
106 be to submit known concentrations of candidate compounds to the assay and determine their
107 responses relative to known concentrations of urea determined under the same assay
108 conditions. An alternative approach would be to employ an independent reference assay for
109 urea and compare the results of this assay to the results of DAM based analyses. The reference
110 assay of choice is HLPC-MS, with the latest generation of high resolution (HR) MS
111 instruments, e.g. Orbitraps, offering the possibility for assessing urea concentrations in
112 complex biological and environmental matrices at previously unattainable selectivity and
113 specificity (Clark et al., 2007; Kind et al., 2007; Warren, 2014). Use of such an HPLC-HRMS

114 method for urea in the environment would allow unambiguous identification and
115 quantification, facilitating rigorous evaluation of the DAM colorimetric method.
116 Herein, we assess the potential for a range of potentially cross-reacting compounds to
117 interfere in the "off-the-shelf" DAM colorimetric method. The compounds chosen are likely to
118 be present in environmental matrices and represent a range of compound classes that will allow
119 a better understanding of the reasons underlying the non-specificity of this method. The results
120 obtained are assessed in relation to previous determinations undertaken for biological matrices,
121 but which up to now are lacking for environmental matrices likely to contain cross-reacting
122 compounds. A reference HPLC-HRMS assay is then described which allows direct
123 determination of urea in soil extracts. This allowed rigorous assessment of the degree of
124 overestimation by the DAM colorimetric method and demonstrated an effective reference
125 method is vital for cross-validation of the less specific and selective automated and low-cost
126 colorimetric method.
127
128 **2. Methods**
129 *2.1. Colorimetric detection of urea*
130 Urea concentrations were determined using the Skalar San $^{++}$ system (Skalar Analytical
131 B.V., The Netherlands), a continuous flow analyser with a series 1050 sampler and data
132 collected and analysed on the San^{++} FlowAcess^{TM} V3 data acquisition Windows® software
133 package. All reagents and standards were from Merck (Germany) and were prepared according
134 to Skalar method for the analysis of urea (Catnr 612-001 issue 080714/MH/99290607). A urea
135 stock standard solution was prepared monthly (100 mg N l^{-1}) and working standards prepared
136 daily (0-1.0 mg N l^{-1}). The colour reagent was prepared with diacetyl monoxime (DAM; 4.125
137 g l^{-1}), semi carbazide hydrochloride (0.05 g l^{-1}), manganese (II) chloride ($MnCl_2.4H_2O$, 13.075
138 g l^{-1}) and potassium nitrate (KNO_3, 0.25 g l^{-1}). A saturated sodium chloride solution was also

139 prepared with Brij 35 (3 ml l^{-1}). The acid reagent was prepared from sodium dihydrogen
140 phosphate ($NaH_2PO_4.H_2O$, 50g l^{-1}) and sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4, 97 % *v/v*, 588 ml l^{-1}). All analyses
141 were carried out in triplicate.

142

143 *2.2. Analysis of standards to identify cross-reactivity*

144 A range of commercially available compounds were used to test the specificity of the
145 DAM colorimetric method. Initial standard solutions were prepared to identify compounds
146 which showed a positive response. Nitrogenous compounds tested were: allantoin, xanthine,
147 hypoxanthine, biuret, alloxan monohydrate, glucosamine, galactosamine, mannosamine,
148 adenine, cytosine, guanine, thymine and uracil (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). Sugars, including ribose,
149 glucose, sucrose, fructose, rhamnose, lyxose, xylose, arabinose, galactose and fucose (Acros
150 Organics, UK) were also tested. Other compounds tested included myo-inositol and oxalic acid
151 (Sigma Aldrich, UK). Solutions at a concentration of 2 mg l^{-1} were prepared in purified water
152 (Millipore). Standard solutions were analysed using the described DAM colorimetric method
153 and compounds which showed a positive response (allantoin, alloxan, biuret, hydantoin), or
154 interference (xanthine, hypoxanthine, adenine, cytosine, guanine, thymine, uracil, fructose)
155 were analysed at a range of concentrations 0.01–10 mg N l^{-1} or 0.1-10 mg l^{-1}, prepared weekly
156 from stock solutions (100 mg N l^{-1} / 100 mg l^{-1}). Whilst this is higher than expected
157 concentrations of such compounds in environmental matrices, this concentration range was
158 selected to ensure compounds exhibiting cross-reactivity would be identified and the degree of
159 response over a range of concentrations detectable once cross-reactivity had been identified.

160

161 *2.3. UV-Vis absorbance*

162 UV-Vis absorption measurements of the standard solutions (concentrations shown in
163 table 1) were carried out on a Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies Inc.,

164 US) between 200-800 nm and recorded with Cary WinUV Software (Agilent Technologies
165 Inc., US). The absorption spectra were background corrected, and instrument wavelength
166 accuracy confirmed using a holmium perchlorate standard (Starna Scientific, USA).

167

168 *2.4. Sample collection and extraction*

169 Soil samples were collected from eleven grassland sites in the UK (see table S1 for
170 further site information). Three replicate soil samples from each site were collected at random,
171 bulked and stored at 4 °C until extraction. Prior to extraction, plant material, macrofauna and
172 stones were removed by sieving (2 mm). Triplicate soil samples (2 g) were treated with double
173 distilled water (soil: double distilled water (DDW) ratio of 1:10 *w/v*) and shaken at room
174 temperature (1 h at 400 rev min^{-1}). Following this, the soils were centrifuged (10 min, 1690 x
175 g), the supernatant was removed, and residues washed with a further 5 ml of DDW and the
176 supernatant combined to give an overall soil to extractant ratio of 1:12.5 *w/v*. Soil extracts were
177 stored at 4 °C for 24 h prior to analysis, and were diluted with purified water (Millipore) at a
178 ratio of 1:2 *w/v* soil extract to DDW for colorimetric urea determination.

179

180 *2.5. Reference LC-MS assay*

181 To directly determine urea concentration in soil extracts, a reference LC-MS assay was
182 used. The LC separation was performed using a 150 mm x 2.1 mm i.d., 1.7 µm Synchronis
183 HILIC column (Thermo Scientific) operated by a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC system
184 (Thermo Scientific). The mobile phase comprised of solvent A, 0.1 % (*v/v*) formic acid in water
185 and solvent B, 0.1 % (*v/v*) formic acid in acetonitrile (ACN). The LC mobile phase was held at
186 80 % B for 2 min, followed by a linear gradient to 20 % B (15 min), 1 min ramp to 80 % B and
187 a 15 min re-equilibration at 80 % B. The flow rate was 300 µl min^{-1}, column temperature was
188 30 °C and injection volumes were 20 µl. The column was directly interfaced to an Orbitrap

189 Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). The FTMS was operated in full scan mode (*m/z*
190 50 to 400; 60,000 mass resolution) and to improve sensitivity to urea, the ion trap was set to
191 scan a range of *m/z* 60 to 62. The ion source parameters were: sheath gas (N_2) flow 60 arbitrary
192 units (arb), the auxiliary gas (N_2) flow and the sweep gas (N_2) flow both set to 0 arb. The
193 capillary temperature was 275 $^{\circ}$C. The electrospray was in positive ionisation mode, with a
194 source voltage of 3.00 kV. The data was acquired and analysed using Thermo Xcalibur (version
195 3.0). To ensure instrument performance, urea standards (80 % ACN; 0.1 to 5 mg l^{-1}) were
196 analysed and subsequent analyses only accepted when the calibration graph had R^2 >0.99.
197 Between each triplicate analysis of samples, a urea standard (1 mg l^{-1}) was analysed to check
198 instrument performance.
199 The soil extracts were prepared as above, and 5 ml sub-samples concentrated 10-fold
200 using a stream of nitrogen, and brought to 80 % ACN. Quantification of urea was achieved
201 using standard addition as ion suppression interfered with quantification using an external
202 calibration curve. A urea standard in purified water (Millipore) was prepared (8 mg ml^{-1}) and
203 added to the concentrated extracts to give three spike concentrations (0.4, 2.0 and 4.0 mg l^{-1})
204 to allow quantification by standard addition and analysed in triplicate.
205
206 *2.6. Statistical analysis*
207 All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 13.0 (Systat Software Inc.).
208 For all statistical analyses and regressions, data was tested for normality (Shapiro-Wilk) and
209 homogeneity of variance (Brown-Forsythe). A one-way ANOVA was used to evaluate
210 differences between treatments and the significance level was set at $P \leq 0.05$ for all statistical
211 analyses.
212
213 **3. Results**

214 *3.1. Cross reactivity and interference of standards with the DAM colorimetric method*

215 The reference compounds (as shown in Table 1) were analysed at concentrations above 216 expected environmental concentrations to determine any cross-reactivity under the 217 experimental conditions for the DAM colorimetric determination of urea. Compounds which 218 showed a response at this concentration (allantoin, alloxan, biuret, fructose, hydantoin, sucrose) 219 were subsequently analysed at a range of concentrations (0.1 to 10 mg N l^{-1} or mg l^{-1} compounds 220 containing no N) to determine the linearity and degree of response under the experimental 221 conditions. The other compounds tested did not exhibit cross-reactivity or interference with the 222 detection of urea by this method, in agreement with Douglas and Bremner (1970).

223 The purine and pyrimidine derivatives (hypoxanthine, xanthine, adenine, uracil, 224 thymine, guanine and cytosine) were analysed separately at concentrations between 0.01 to 5 225 mg N l^{-1}. It was determined that this group of compounds did not exhibit a linear response 226 under the experimental conditions, however, they appeared to cause baseline instability. A 227 similar baseline instability was observed for the sugars fructose and sucrose (0.1 to 10 mg l^{-1}), 228 which had been previously observed (Prescott and Mangnall, 1976). It was not possible to 229 quantify the interference, as the baseline interference caused by these compounds was 230 irreproducible over triplicate determinations and separate analytical runs. Allantoin, alloxan, 231 biuret and hydantoin showed a linear response with increased concentration under the 232 conditions used in the DAM colorimetric determination of urea, as shown in Fig. 1. The 233 response of these compounds relative to urea at the same concentrations varies across the 234 concentration range tested as shown in Table 2.

235 To confirm there was no intrinsic absorbance at 520 nm for the selected standards which 236 would result in a response under the analysis conditions without cross-reaction with the 237 reagents, the reference compounds were analysed using UV-Vis at the concentrations shown 238 in Table 1. There was no absorbance observed at 520 nm for any of the compounds tested,

239 indicating no intrinsic interference at this wavelength. Therefore, any interference or cross-
240 reactivity observed with these compounds is solely due to a response generated by the
241 analytical reagent conditions.
242
243 *3.2. Stability of colour reagent*
244 During analysis of standards to determine cross-reactivity and interference, it was noted
245 that there was a decrease in determined concentration over the course of a week (as shown in
246 Fig. S1). Therefore, repeated determinations over the course of one week with the same colour
247 reagent and working reference compounds made up daily were undertaken to assess the change
248 in response with colour reagent age for urea and the cross-reacting compounds. For urea, the
249 decrease in response after 4 d was within analytical error (less than 0.01 mg N l^{-1}), however,
250 for allantoin and hydantoin, the decrease in response on day 4 was greater than the acceptable
251 analytical error (±0.05 mg N l^{-1} for allantoin and alloxan; ±0.01 mg N l^{-1} for hydantoin). For
252 allantoin, alloxan and hydantoin, an analysis of variance showed the effect of reagent age on
253 the determined concentration was significant (one-way ANOVA; $P < 0.05$), although the
254 determined concentrations for urea and biuret did not differ significantly with reagent age ($P >$
255 0.05). The analyses were repeated using colour reagent prepared daily and no decrease in
256 response was observed after 4 d, indicating the colour reagent degraded over time and must be
257 prepared daily to ensure there is no decrease in response due to reagent degradation.
258
259 *3.3. Urea concentration in soil extracts determined using the DAM colorimetric method and*
260 *LC-MS*
261 Urea was directly detected in soil extracts using LC-MS following HILIC separation,
262 eluting at 2.1 min, after the initial column break-through. Fig. 2 shows a typical extracted ion
263 chromatogram at *m/z* 61.08 (±0.1 Da), the $[M+H]^+$ ion for urea, in a soil extract. Urea was

264 added at three concentrations to enable urea quantification in the soil extracts by standard
265 addition (Fig. 2e). It was determined that the peak area for the $[M+H]^+$ ion was linear with
266 respect to urea concentration for both urea standards (concentration range from 0 to 12 mg l^{-1})
267 and in spiked soil extracts, therefore it was not necessary to use other ions (such as *m/z* 121.2
268 $[2M+H]^+$) for quantification.

269 The determined urea concentrations in soil extracts for the DAM colorimetric method
270 and the LC-MS assay are shown in Table 3. Determined urea concentrations for the DAM
271 colorimetric method were between 1.5 (S.D. 0.08) and 17.5 (S.D. 0.1) μg g^{-1} soil whilst the
272 urea concentration determined by the LC-MS method ranged between 0.067 (S.D. 0.0007) and
273 0.71 (S.D. 0.01) μg g^{-1} soil. To evaluate the degree of overestimation observed across the sites,
274 the fold overestimation was calculated (Table 3) for the eleven grassland soils studied. UV-Vis
275 determinations for soil extracts (soil-extract solution 1:12.5 *w/v*) showed no significant
276 absorbance at 520 nm, indicating no intrinsic absorbance at the wavelength at which the
277 chromogen is measured for the DAM colorimetric method. The limit of detection (LOD) for
278 the LC-MS method was determined to be 0.1 mg l^{-1}, in line with similar LC-MS methods for
279 urea (note soil extracts were concentrated 10 fold prior to analysis, thus the LOD accounting
280 for the concentration step is 0.01mg l^{-1}; Warren, 2014).

281

282 **4. Discussion**

283 *4.1. Cross-reactivity and interference with the DAM colorimetric method*

284 The compounds tested for cross-reactivity and interference with the DAM colorimetric
285 method are all compounds which might be expected in environmental matrices from a range of
286 natural and anthropogenic sources (Table S2 shows the variety of compound classes
287 investigated). Interference caused by purine and pyrimidine bases, found in the environment in
288 the form of DNA, RNA, ATP, ADP, etc. and purine degradation products reduce base-line

289 stability and therefore the reliability of urea concentrations determined by this method for
290 environmental matrices. It is not practically possible to quantify the interference in
291 environmental matrices, due to varying concentrations of the interfering compounds.
292 Interference at less than 10 times the concentration of urea N has not been previously reported
293 (such as for uracil) indicating different reaction conditions (e.g. colour reagent concentration,
294 stabilising agent and acid reagent composition) may offer an opportunity to reduce this baseline
295 instability using continuous flow and flow injection analyses (Price and Harrison, 1987).

296 Current protocols for the "off-the-shelf" method suggest the colour reagent is stable for
297 one week, however, we have demonstrated here that colour reagent degradation occurs within
298 3 days of preparation, and a decrease in response is observed for cross-reacting compounds.
299 The observed decrease in response for analyses of urea standards is within acceptable analytical
300 error for this compound. However, it should be noted, the observed drop in the determined
301 concentration for cross-reacting compounds is greater than the acceptable analytical error (0.05
302 mg N l^{-1}). The concentration of cross-reacting compounds in environmental matrices is
303 unknown, and variable, therefore the changing response of these compounds with colour
304 reagent age reduces the reproducibility of ureido-N concentrations determined by the
305 colorimetric method. Therefore, it is recommended that the colour reagent is made up daily
306 and used for a maximum of 24 h to prevent degradation of the reagent to an extent that results
307 in variation in the measured urea (or ureido) concentration in environmental matrices.

308 Cross-reactivity for this method has been demonstrated before in biological samples
309 (Archibald, 1945, 1944; Fearon, 1939), however, the degree of cross-reactivity for the "off-
310 the-shelf" DAM colorimetric method has not been assessed for these compounds and others of
311 the same compound class, which this work has undertaken. The key structural moiety present
312 in these compounds is the ureido group (highlighted in Fig. 3). Compounds with the general
313 structure $R_1NHCONHR_2$ will react with diacetyl monoxime under acidic conditions to yield a

314 chromogen which absorbs at 520 nm. The degree of absorption at this wavelength depends on
315 the substituents R_1 and R_2. It is important to note that whilst the chromogen structure has been
316 hypothesised (e.g. substituted 1,2,4-triazine (Beale and Croft, 1961; Lugosi et al., 1972),
317 imidazole ring (Lugosi et al., 1972; Veniamin and Vakirtzi-Lemonias, 1970), or a skipped
318 diene susceptible to oxidation to generate a carbonium ion under acidic reaction conditions
319 (Butler and Walsh, 1982)) it has not been confirmed. Elucidating the mechanism of chromogen
320 formation and structure in future research may help understanding the relative response of
321 cross-reacting ureido compounds compared to urea.

322 The ureido group is a common feature in biological compounds, such as citrulline and
323 allantoin, shown in Fig. 3b, accounting for between 4-8 % of ruminant urinary-N (Bristow et
324 al., 1992). Furthermore, compounds containing the ureido group are also used in agriculture,
325 with biuret an impurity in urea fertiliser and utilised as a non-protein nitrogen (NPN) in animal
326 feed and hydantoin derivatives applied as pesticides and fungicides (e.g. miprothin and
327 iprodione). Allantoin, biuret and hydantoin can all be expected in inputs from agricultural
328 settings, alongside urea, and therefore will contribute to urea concentrations determined by the
329 DAM colorimetric method due to cross-reactivity in such sites. They are also likely to be
330 available to the biota to support primary production, in much the same way as urea is. The size
331 and composition of the ureido-N pool will vary with different land uses due to different inputs
332 of ureido compounds (such as an arable crop, which may receive urea inputs as fertiliser,
333 compared to a grazed grassland, which would have urea and allantoin inputs from ruminant
334 urine). Subsequently, the different levels of response relative to urea of ureido compounds
335 (Table 2) means there will be varying degrees of overestimation of urea depending on the
336 concentration of individual compounds within the ureido-N pool, which extends beyond the
337 compounds identified in this study due to the ubiquity of this moiety in both natural and
338 anthropogenic compounds. Therefore, a direct method of determination for urea was required

339 to confirm the presence of cross-reactivity by comparison of urea concentrations determined
340 by the colorimetric method and a direct LC-MS assay. Such analyses are needed to ensure the
341 commercial "off-the-shelf" method has been sufficiently validated for the environmental
342 application (Glibert et al., 2006).
343
344 *4.2. LC-MS assay confirms overestimation of urea*
345 The LC-MS assay described enabled direct determination urea in environmental
346 matrices (using standard addition) with no interference as observed with the DAM colorimetric
347 method. This provides a specific determination for urea, enabling investigation into the degree
348 of overestimation of urea by the DAM colorimetric method. This is illustrated in Fig. 2, where
349 the extracted ion chromatogram (*m/z* 61.08) for urea at three spike concentrations was used to
350 construct the standard addition calibration curve and determine the urea concentration in the
351 soil extracts. It was necessary to quantify using standard addition due to the presence of ion
352 suppression in the area of elution in the chromatogram for urea. This was confirmed by spiking
353 a soil extract with urea at a concentration equivalent to 12 mg l^{-1} with a spike recovery of 18 %
354 (S.D. 3.2 %) indicating suppression of MS response. Ion suppression is widely recognised
355 phenomenon which arises in the analysis of complex mixtures due to the presence of non-
356 volatile analytes which reduce the efficiency of ionisation for the analyte of interest (in this
357 case urea), Quantification via standard addition is advantageous as it can correct for ion
358 suppression as the same sample matrix is present and a calibration is based on the known added
359 urea concentration. Since ion suppression affects the analyte and calibrant urea equally the
360 absolute ratio is maintained, hence, accurate quantification of urea in soil extracts is achievable.
361 Overestimation by the DAM colorimetric method is confirmed using the LC-MS
362 reference assay, which detects urea based on *m/z* 61.08, due to lower concentrations observed
363 by the direct method compared to the indirect method (Table 3). The degree of overestimation

364 varies across the grassland sites, with the urea concentration determined by the DAM
365 colorimetric method between 7.2 and 58.9 times higher than the directly determined urea
366 concentration using LC-MS. The degree of overestimation is not consistent with land cover (all
367 sites were grasslands), soil texture or geographical location, and it is likely this variation in
368 overestimation can be attributed to the varying composition of the ureido pool in soils, in both
369 concentration and compounds. This has implications for our understanding of the importance
370 of urea in soils as it is overestimated to different degrees in different settings and therefore urea
371 concentrations determined by this method are not comparable, unless reported as ureido-N.
372 Possible implications of this would be overestimation of the concentration of anthropogenic
373 urea, or underestimation of urea utilisation by soil microbes. Ideally, it would be useful to
374 characterise the nature of the of the ureido compounds present, however, this is impractical in
375 such a complex matrix. It was for this reason we undertook to use known natural and
376 anthropogenic compounds, representative of those likely to be present, to demonstrate that it is
377 the ureido group that is responsible for DAM cross-reactivity. Other compounds with the
378 ureido group include pharmaceuticals, mammalian metabolites, antibacterial and antifungal
379 agents in personal care products and plastics, insecticides, pesticides and food products
380 (Brausch and Rand, 2011; Lewis et al., 2016). Due to the widespread occurrence of the tested
381 compounds and related ureido compounds, it can be expected a number of ureido compounds
382 will be present in environmental matrices, contributing an unknown and variable degree to urea
383 concentration when analysed using the DAM colorimetric method.

384

385 *4.3. Recommendations for the DAM colorimetric method for urea determinations*

386 The results presented above highlight considerable concerns in the use of the DAM
387 colorimetric method for urea determinations in environmental matrices. Our findings using
388 model compounds unequivocally confirm the cross-reactivity of the ureido group, a common

moiety in natural and anthropogenic compounds, alongside interferences from sugars and purine and pyrimidine derivatives. The colorimetric method should be considered a useful technique to quantify ureido-N as it is an inexpensive and quick tool (extraction and analysis of 60 soil extracts per day) relative to the more intensive and time-consuming LC-MS method (extraction and analysis of *ca.* 16 soil extracts per day as 3 analytical runs are required per soil for standard addition). The type of studies where this would be relevant include studies of the fate of added urea on a temporal or spatial scale, where the cross-reactivity can be accounted for by proper use of controls. It is also a useful tool for comparison of the ureido-N pool between natural systems, which is an environmentally relevant and biologically available pool. This is also the case for existing studies comparing urea in soil extracts, which should be considered as reporting ureido-N due to the unknown contribution of this pool to the reported urea concentration.

Given the ubiquitous occurrence of these compounds containing the ureido group in the environment the DAM method is an inappropriate choice for quantitative analysis of urea in environmental matrices. Due to the ubiquity and unpredictability of ureido and interfering compounds in environmental samples it is unfeasible to identify and quantify their contributions to the urea concentrations determined by the DAM method, negating any ideas of applying "corrections". It is therefore suggested that:

(i) Results reported from the DAM colorimetric method should include a caveat recognising the presence of cross-reactivity and interferences in environmental matrices, reporting determined concentrations as "ureido-N".

(ii) It is vitally important to monitor the stability of reagents used in the DAM colorimetric method since deterioration results in changing responses affecting reproducibility of ureido-N concentrations.

413 (iii) If accurate determinations of concentrations of urea are required, then HPLC-HRMS
414 is the preferred approach.
415 (iv) It is important to properly validate the DAM method in all the environmental matrices
416 this method is currently applied to.

417 We will be discussing our findings with the marketers of the commercial assay to help them to
418 re-design their user guidelines to ensure reagent stability and sufficient method validation for
419 the DAM colorimetric method for the matrix under analysis.
420

421 **Acknowledgements**

422 This work was funded under the NERC DOMAINE (Characterisation of the
423 nature, origins and ecological significance of dissolved organic matter in freshwater
424 ecosystems) Large Grant programme which is supported by a Natural Environment
425 Research Council Large Grant (split award codes NE/K010689/1; NE/K010905/1;
426 NE/K01093X; NE/K010603/1; and NE/K010522/1). We thank the School of Chemistry
427 Mass Spectrometry Facility for access to the Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer funded
428 through an EPSRC capital award and Rothamsted Research North Wyke for providing
429 one of the soil samples for this study. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their
430 constructive comments to improve this manuscript.
431

432 **References**

433

434 Alam, M.S., Casareto, B.E., Suzuki, Y., Sultana, R., Suzuki, T., 2017. Optimization of
435 dissolved urea measurements in coastal waters with the combination of a single reagent
436 and high temperature. Journal of Oceanography 73, 249–258. doi:10.1007/s10872-016-
437 0400-2

438 Archibald, R.M., 1945. Colorimetric Determination of Urea. The Journal of Biological
439 Chemistry 157, 507–518.
440 Archibald, R.M., 1944. Determination of citrulline and allantoin and demonstration of
441 citrulline in blood plasma. Journal of Biological Chemistry 156, 121–142.
442 Beale, R.N., Croft, D., 1961. A sensitive method for the colorimetric determination of urea.
443 Journal of Clinical Pathology 14, 418–24. doi:10.1136/JCP.14.4.418
444 Berman, T., Bronk, D.A., 2003. Dissolved organic nitrogen: a dynamic participant in aquatic
445 ecosystems. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 31, 279–305.
446 Brausch, J.M., Rand, G.M., 2011. A review of personal care products in the aquatic
447 environment: Environmental concentrations and toxicity. Chemosphere 82, 1518–1532.
448 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.11.018
449 Bristow, A.W., Whitehead, D.C., Cockburn, J.E., 1992. Nitrogenous constituents in the urine
450 of cattle, sheep and goats. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 59, 387–394.
451 doi:10.1002/jsfa.2740590316
452 Burford, M.A., Revill, A.T., Palmer, D.W., Clementson, L., Robson, B.J., Webster, I.T.,
453 2011. River regulation alters drivers of primary productivity along a tropical river-
454 estuary system. Marine and Freshwater Research 62, 141–151. doi:10.1071/MF10224
455 Butler, A.R., Walsh, D., 1982. Colorimetric non-enzymic methods for the determination of
456 urea. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 1, 120–124.
457 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-9936(82)80011-3
458 Clark, S., Francis, P.S., Conlan, X.A., Barnett, N.W., 2007. Determination of urea using
459 high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection after automated
460 derivatisation with xanthydrol. Journal of Chromatography A 1161, 207–213.
461 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.05.085
462 Cochlan, W.P., Bronk, D.A., 2001. Nitrogen uptake kinetics in the Ross Sea, Antarctica.

463 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 48, 4127–4153.
464 doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(01)00083-2
465 Cornell, S.E., Jickells, T.D., Thornton, C.A., 1998. Urea in rainwater and atmospheric
466 aerosol. Atmospheric Environment 32, 1903–1910. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-
467 2310(97)00487-1
468 Daigh, A.L., Savin, M.C., Brye, K., Norman, R., Miller, D., 2014. Urea persistence in
469 floodwater and soil used for flooded rice production. Soil Use and Management 30,
470 463–470. doi:10.1111/sum.12142
471 Douglas, L.A., Bremner, J.M., 1970. Extraction and Colorimetric Determination of Urea in
472 Soils. Soil Science Society of America Journal 34, 859.
473 doi:10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400060015x
474 Fearon, W.R., 1939. The carbamido diacetyl reaction: a test for citrulline. Biochemistry
475 Journal 33, 902–907.
476 Galloway, J.N., Cowling, E.B., 2002. Reactive Nitrogen and The World: 200 Years of
477 Change. AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment 31, 64–71. doi:10.1579/0044-
478 7447-31.2.64
479 Glibert, P.M., Harrison, J., Heil, C., Seitzinger, S., 2006. Escalating Worldwide use of Urea –
480 A Global Change Contributing to Coastal Eutrophication. Biogeochemistry 77, 441–
481 463. doi:10.1007/s10533-005-3070-5
482 Goeyens, L., Kindermans, N., Abu Yusuf, M., Elskens, M., 1998. A Room Temperature
483 Procedure for the Manual Determination of Urea in Seawater. Estuarine, Coastal and
484 Shelf Science 47, 415–418. doi:10.1006/ecss.1998.0357
485 Greenan, N.S., Mulvaney, R.L., Sims, G.K., 1995. A microscale method for colorimetric
486 determination of urea in soil extracts. Communications in Soil Science and Plant
487 Analysis 26, 2519–2529. doi:10.1080/00103629509369465

488 Harder Nielsen, T., Bonde, T.A., Sørensen, J., SÃ¸rensen, J., 1998. Significance of microbial
489 urea turnover in N cycling of three Danish agricultural soils. FEMS Microbiology
490 Ecology 25, 147–157. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.1998.tb00468.x
491 Heil, J., Vereecken, H., Brüggemann, N., 2016. A review of chemical reactions of
492 nitrification intermediates and their role in nitrogen cycling and nitrogen trace gas
493 formation in soil. European Journal of Soil Science 67, 23–39. doi:10.1111/ejss.12306
494 Kind, T., Tolstikov, V., Fiehn, O., Weiss, R.H., 2007. A comprehensive urinary metabolomic
495 approach for identifying kidney cancer. Analytical Biochemistry 363, 185–195.
496 doi:10.1016/j.ab.2007.01.028
497 Lambert, D.F., Sherwood, J.E., Francis, P.S., 2004. The determination of urea in soil extracts
498 and related samples - A review. Australian Journal of Soil Research 42, 709–717.
499 doi:10.1071/SR04028
500 Lewis, K.A., Tzilivakis, J., Warner, D., Green, A., 2016. An international database for
501 pesticide risk assessments and managment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An
502 International Journal 22, 1050–1064.
503 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2015.1133242
504 Lloyd, A.B., Sheaffe, M.J., 1973. Urease activity in soils. Plant and Soil 39, 71–80.
505 doi:10.1007/BF00018046
506 Lugosi, R., Thibert, R.J., Holland, W.J., Lam, L.K., 1972. A study of the reaction of urea
507 with diacetyl monoxime and diacetyl. Clinical Biochemistry 5, 171–181.
508 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-9120(72)80028-6
509 McCarthy, J.J., 1970. A urease method for urea in seawater. Limnology and Oceanography
510 15, 309–313. doi:10.4319/lo.1970.15.2.0309
511 Mulvenna, P.F., Savidge, G., 1992. A modified manual method for the determination of urea
512 in seawater using diacetylmonoxime reagent. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 34,

513 429–438. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80115-5
514 Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of
515 phosphate in natural waters. Analytica Chimica Acta 27, 31–36. doi:10.1016/S0003-
516 2670(00)88444-5
517 Nakas, J.P., Litchfield, C.D., 1977. Application of the diacetyl-monoxime thiosemicarbazide
518 method to the analysis of urea in estuarine sediments. Estuarine and Coastal Marine
519 Science 5, 143–150. doi:10.1016/0302-3524(77)90079-2
520 Neal, C., Neal, M., Wickham, H., 2000. Phosphate measurement in natural waters: two
521 examples of analytical problems associated with silica interference using
522 phosphomolybdic acid methodologies. Science of The Total Environment 251–252,
523 511–522. doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00402-2
524 Prescott, A., Mangnall, D., 1976. Interference by sucrose in the chemical determination of
525 citrulline with diacetyl monoxime. Analytical Biochemistry 76, 551–555.
526 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90348-1
527 Price, N.M., Harrison, P.J., 1987. Comparison of methods for the analysis of dissolved urea
528 in seawater. Marine Biology 94, 307–317. doi:10.1007/bf00392945
529 Remsen, C.C., 1971. The distribution of urea in coastal and oceanic waters. Limnology and
530 Oceanography 16, 732–740. doi:10.4319/lo.1971.16.5.0732
531 Revilla, M., Alexander, J., Glibert, P.M., 2005. Urea analysis in coastal waters: comparison
532 of enzymatic and direct methods. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 3, 290–299.
533 doi:10.4319/lom.2005.3.290
534 Satoh, Y., Katoh, T., 1989. Evaluation of the degree of citrulline interference in the
535 spectrophotometry of urea with diacetyl monoxime in natural waters. Japanese Journal
536 of Limnology (Rikusuigaku Zasshi) 50, 39–43. doi:10.3739/rikusui.50.39
537 Solomon, C., Collier, J., Berg, G., Glibert, P., 2010. Role of urea in microbial metabolism in

538 aquatic systems: a biochemical and molecular review. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 59,
539 67–88. doi:10.3354/ame01390
540 Stepanauskas, R., Laudon, H., Jørgensen, N.O.G., 2000. High DON bioavailability in boreal
541 streams during a spring flood. Limnology and Oceanography 45, 1298–1307.
542 doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1298
543 Sullivan, D.M., Havlin, J.L., 1991. Flow injection analysis of urea nitrogen in soil extracts.
544 Soil Science Society of America Journal 55, 109–113.
545 Taylor, A.J., Vadgama, P., 1992. Analytical Reviews in Clinical Biochemistry: The
546 Estimation of Urea. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry: An International Journal of
547 Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine 29, 245–264.
548 doi:10.1177/000456329202900301
549 Torres-Valdés, S., Purdie, D.A., 2006. Nitrogen removal by phytoplankton uptake through a
550 temperate non-turbid estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 70, 473–486.
551 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2006.06.028
552 Twomey, L.J., Piehler, M.F., Paerl, H.W., 2005. Phytoplankton uptake of ammonium, nitrate
553 and urea in the Neuse River Estuary, NC, USA. Hydrobiologia 533, 123–134.
554 doi:10.1007/s10750-004-2403-z
555 Veniamin, M.P., Vakirtzi-Lemonias, C., 1970. Chemical Basis of the Carbamidodiacetyl
556 Micromethod for Estimation of Urea, Citrulline, and Carbamyl Derivatives. Clinical
557 Chemistry 16.
558 Warren, C.R., 2014. Development of liquid chromatography mass spectrometry method for
559 analysis of organic N monomers in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 78, 233–242.
560 doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.08.008
561

563 **Figure legends**

564

565 **Fig. 1** Calibration curves for (a) allantoin, (b) alloxan, (c) hydantoin, and (d) biuret under the

566 DAM colorimetric reaction conditions at concentrations between 0.1 to 10 mg N l^{-1}.

567

568 **Fig. 2** Extracted ion chromatograms for urea $[M+H]^+$ (*m/z* 61.08) for a soil extract from

569 Merddwr, Conwy with (a) no added urea spike, and (b) 0.4 mg l^{-1}, (c) 2.0 mg l^{-1} and (d) 4.0 mg

570 l^{-1} added urea spikes used for quantification by standard addition and (e) the standard addition

571 calibration curve used to determine the urea concentration in the soil extract (0.709 µg g^{-1}).

572

573 **Fig. 3** (a) Ureido group responsible for the cross-reactivity with the DAM colorimetric method,

574 and environmentally relevant compounds containing the ureido group which cross-react: (b)

575 allantoin; (c) hydantoin and (d) biuret.