

2021

Evaluation of a Simulation-Based Training Program on Childhood Trauma with Occupational Therapy Students

Julie Miller-Cribbs

Anne and Henry Zarrow School of Social Work, University of Oklahoma

Jedediah E. Bragg

Anne and Henry Zarrow School of Social Work, University of Oklahoma

Mary Isaacson

University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center

Eden D. E. Nay

Oklahoma State University

Daniel Howell

Anne and Henry Zarrow School of Social Work, University of Oklahoma

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://encompass.eku.edu/jote>



Part of the [Occupational Therapy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Miller-Cribbs, J., Bragg, J. E., Isaacson, M., Nay, E. D., Howell, D., Rodriguez, K., Wen, F., Jelley, M., & Coon, K. (2021). Evaluation of a Simulation-Based Training Program on Childhood Trauma with Occupational Therapy Students. *Journal of Occupational Therapy Education*, 5 (2). Retrieved from <https://encompass.eku.edu/jote/vol5/iss2/9>

This Original Research is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Encompass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Occupational Therapy Education by an authorized editor of Encompass. For more information, please contact Linda.Sizemore@eku.edu.

Evaluation of a Simulation-Based Training Program on Childhood Trauma with Occupational Therapy Students

Abstract

An educational and simulation-based training model, the *Professional ACEs-Informed Training for Health Professionals* designed for allied health students was evaluated using a pre-and-post design. The training model emphasizes trauma-informed care and uses social simulation to educate and train students with skills to address adverse childhood experiences. This study evaluated occupational therapy students' (N=70) levels of self-efficacy and knowledge of trauma-informed care at both pre- and post-training. Analysis of variance results indicated a statistically significant improvement in students' levels of general self-efficacy and knowledge from pre- to post-assessment ($p < .01$). These results are suggestive of the usefulness of brief didactics combined with simulation to educate occupational therapy students on adverse childhood experiences and trauma-informed care.

Keywords

Childhood trauma, adverse childhood experiences, simulation, trauma-informed care

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Authors

Julie Miller-Cribbs, Jedediah E. Bragg, Mary Isaacson, Eden D. E. Nay, Daniel Howell, Kristin Rodriguez, Frances Wen, Martina Jelley, and Kim Coon

JOTE

Journal of Occupational
Therapy Education

Volume 5, Issue 2

Evaluation of a Simulation-Based Training Program on Childhood Trauma with Occupational Therapy Students

Julie Miller-Cribbs, PhD¹, Jedediah E. Bragg, PhD, LMSW, ACSW^{1, 2, 3},
Mary Isaacson, EdD, OTR/L, FAOTA, ATP⁴, Eden, D.E. Nay, MSW^{2, 3, 7},
Daniel Howell, MSW^{1, 2, 3}, Kristin Rodriguez, MPH⁵, Frances Wen, PhD⁶,
Martina Jelley, MD, MSPH⁶, and Kim Coon, EdD⁶

1) Anne and Henry Zarrow School of Social Work, University of Oklahoma; 2) Hope
Research Center, University of Oklahoma–Tulsa; 3) Center for Social Justice, University
of Oklahoma—Tulsa; 4) College of Allied Health, University of Oklahoma; 5) University
of Oklahoma-Tulsa Tandy Simulation Center; 6) OU-TU School of Community Medicine;
7) College of Arts and Sciences, Oklahoma State University

United States

ABSTRACT

An educational and simulation-based training model, the *Professional ACEs-Informed Training for Health Professionals* designed for allied health students was evaluated using a pre-and-post design. The training model emphasizes trauma-informed care and uses social simulation to educate and train students with skills to address adverse childhood experiences. This study evaluated occupational therapy students' (N=70) levels of self-efficacy and knowledge of trauma-informed care at both pre- and post-training. Analysis of variance results indicated a statistically significant improvement in students' levels of general self-efficacy and knowledge from pre- to post-assessment ($p < .01$). These results are suggestive of the usefulness of brief didactics combined with simulation to educate occupational therapy students on adverse childhood experiences and trauma-informed care.

Introduction

Extant literature has consistently demonstrated a significant and strong association between childhood exposure to trauma and adversity with the adoption of high-risk behaviors and negative health and mental health outcomes across the lifespan (Anda et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2004; Hertzman & Boyce, 2010; Hunt et al., 2017). Increased understanding of the links between human development, the developing brain, and the influence of adversity, trauma, and toxic stress on health and well-being provide possibilities for interventions across a wide variety of health professions, including occupational therapy (Cramm et al., 2013; Gronski et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2016; Waite et al., 2010). The necessity to educate and prepare health professionals to understand the complexities of the social determinants of health and to intervene in new ways to ameliorate health disparities and human suffering is fundamental to most allied health professions. It is not uncommon for occupational therapists to provide services to individuals and families, who have experienced functional limitations, disabilities, chronic pain, or mental health disorders—conditions that are significantly associated with trauma exposures and adverse childhood experiences (Cramm et al., 2013; Gronski et al., 2013).

Literature Review

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) have a significant dose-response relationship with health across the lifespan. Three categories of ACEs were included in the landmark ACEs study (Felitti et al., 1998) and these include: abuse (physical, emotional, sexual), neglect (emotional and physical), and household dysfunction (mental illness, incarcerated relative, mother treated violently, substance abuse by a caregiver, and divorce). Research documents that ACEs are common and that they intersect with other forms of vulnerability and disadvantaged circumstances such as poverty (Halfon et al., 2017; Wade et al., 2014; Yoshikawa et al., 2012).

Trauma exposures and ACEs are associated with a myriad of negative outcomes in children, adolescents, and adults. Maltreated children and adolescents are highly vulnerable to threats against their development and well-being into adulthood (Bethell et al., 2017; Hertzman & Boyce, 2010; Thompson et al., 2012). Parental divorce or separation, parental incarceration, and witnessing violence in the home have all been associated with delinquency and problematic or maladaptive behaviors, including offending behaviors (Baglivio & Epps, 2016). Having a parent with a substance abuse problem is associated with substance abuse in adolescence (Baglivio & Epps, 2016; Hamburger et al., 2008), and greater exposure to ACEs and trauma is associated with lower levels of mental health service use among adolescents and creates barriers to health care (Guterman et al., 2002; Miller-Cribbs et al., 2016).

The collective impact of exposure of trauma and ACEs is known as the *cumulative ACEs approach*, and research documents that these exposures are interrelated and contribute to the negative impacts of well-being across the lifespan (Anda et al., 2010; Dong et al., 2004; Hertzman & Boyce, 2010). Research indicates an association between higher ACEs and negative impacts on children and adolescents' mental, physical, and behavioral health (Appleyard et al., 2005; Baglivio & Epps, 2016; Balesteri

& Alvira-Hammond, 2016; Bethell et al., 2014; Boynton-Jarrett et al., 2008; Bright et al., 2015; Burke et al., 2011; Duke et al., 2010; Fagan & Novak, 2018; Flaherty et al., 2013; Flouri & Kallis, 2011; Hamburger et al., 2008; Hillis et al., 2004; Hunt et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2012). Ever-increasing evidence corroborates that individuals exposed to multiple ACEs carry these health burdens with them as they age, that both physical and mental health problems can continue or manifest into adulthood, and that these impacts include heightened risks of chronic and serious disease and shortened lifespans (Anda et al., 2010; Babiss, 2012; Chapman et al., 2004; Dube et al., 2003; Felitti et al., 1998; Cuijpers et al., 2011; Merrick et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2011).

ACEs in Occupational Therapy Education

Despite mounting evidence that education and training about ACEs, trauma-informed care (TIC), and the social determinants of health is warranted across many health disciplines, many professions have not kept pace with incorporating these concepts into educational programs (Babiss, 2012; Foster & Delitto, 2011; Goldstein et al., 2017). Occupational therapy is built on the foundation of understanding the value and healing effects of occupation along with its impact on health and well-being. Mind-body unity and the value of occupation in service provision for individuals with mental illness is central to the profession (Haller, 1981). The early founders of occupational therapy endeavored to create a new identity and role for occupation in the process of healing both the mind and body. The value of the mind-body interaction and the impact of occupation on health continues to be foundational in the profession today.

The American Occupational Therapy Association's (AOTA; 2020) *Occupational Therapy Practice Framework: Domain and Process*, also referred to as "the Framework," identifies and defines the central concepts that ground occupational therapy. A core concept advises occupational therapists to collaborate with clients to develop intervention plans aimed at engagement in occupation related to health, well-being, and participation (AOTA, 2020). The Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education (ACOTE), an Associated Advisory Council of the Executive Board of the AOTA, accredits all occupational therapy education programs in the United States. Section B of the ACOTE, 2018 standards outlines both mandated professional coursework and expected student outcomes. Educating occupational therapy students on the importance of ACEs addresses various accreditation standards, including those related to the understanding of the social determinants of health (B.1.3), the role of mental illness and trauma and occupational performance (B.3.5), and evaluating and discussing mechanisms for referring clients to specialists both internal and external to the profession (B.4.26).

One important element of TIC includes the avoidance of the re-traumatization of patients. Occupational therapists frequently see patients in their homes and sometimes must touch patients for diagnoses and intervention, introducing the possibility of re-traumatization if not conducted in a trauma-informed manner (Fraser et al., 2017; Havig, 2008; Monahan & Forgash, 2000; Oral et al., 2016). Many health care professionals express hesitance initiating conversations regarding trauma or childhood adversity for several reasons, including fear that it may worsen a tense situation; lack of

adequate intervention skills; and lack of time to address these concerns within a typical clinical encounter (Agar & Read, 2002; Alvarez et al., 2004; Chung et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2012; Goldstein et al., 2017; Read & Fraser, 1998; Russell et al., 2004). However, research suggests patients' trauma already manifests in the form of complex medical conditions, functional limitations, and poor health and mental health histories in ACE-impacted patients. Health professionals may confer relief to patients by simply asking about current and past trauma (Agar & Read, 2002; Chung et al., 2012; Goldstein et al., 2017; Read & Fraser, 1998). On a daily basis, occupational therapists assess and intervene with patients who experience a variety of maladaptive responses to trauma, including mental health or substance abuse disorders, impairments and functional limitations, disability, and poor physical health (Baum, 2011). Further, occupational therapists are well-suited to promote health and well-being and to assess both individuals and families and the environmental contexts in which they reside (Baum, 2011; Trentham et al., 2007; Babiss, 2012; Gronski et al., 2013).

Professional ACEs-Informed Training for Health

The Professional ACEs-Informed Training for Health (PATH) model is an educational, social simulation-based model, designed to train health care professionals about ACEs and TIC and the importance of both (Wen et al., 2017). As a training model, PATH focuses on developing health professionals' skills on assessing patients' history with ACEs, educating patients on the connection found between ACEs and health outcomes, evaluating patients' risks and outcomes, and collaborating with patients concerning treatment planning and next steps—all skills necessitating an established, long-term relationship with patients (Wen et al., 2017).

The PATH model utilizes didactics to provide an overview of ACEs, including relevant research and findings, experiences within a local context, and the effects of toxic stress on neurodevelopment. The presentation also provides health professionals with strategies for self-care and TIC practices. After the lecture, the students engage in a social simulation with a trained, simulated patient (SP). In any of three scenarios, which are adaptable to the context of the students' specific profession, the student must interview a SP who portrays an adult with the sequela of ACEs. All students both interview an SP and observe a peer's interview over live video feed. After each interview, the student receives feedback from the peer observer, faculty, and SP. The educational ACE's event concludes with a large-group debrief for discussion and reflection over the simulations. In addition, an interdisciplinary panel of faculty is utilized in educating the students- this includes those from psychology, psychiatry, and social work to be able to address any issues of triggering among student participants. In sum, incorporation of ACEs and TIC are important to the training of occupational therapists, yet there is a lack of related evidence. The present study seeks to fill this gap by examining the effects of a modification of the PATH model for occupational therapy.

Methods

The School of Social Work has been evaluating social simulation and its use as an educational tool. The school has ongoing institutional review board (IRB) approval for this evaluation project. Students may participate in the educational assessment by completing a two-measure evaluation: (1) knowledge importance of ACEs and TIC and (2) general self-efficacy. Participants completed these tests once before the beginning of the lecture and again after completion of the full training.

Participants

The sample included graduate occupational therapy students from three different cohorts (N = 70) that were in the second year of their education. Students participated in an ongoing training on ACE/TIC (outlined in the following section). Overall, participants consisted of 61 (87.14%) females and 9 (12.86%) males, with a mean age of 23.96 (SD = 2.83) years. A breakdown of sex and age by cohort is provided in Table 1.

Table 1

Demographics by Academic Year

Spring 2018		
	<u>Min -Max</u>	<u>M (SD)</u>
Age	22 - 38	25.00 (5.04)
Sex	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Male	-	-
Female	11	100
Fall 2018		
	<u>Min - Max</u>	<u>M (SD)</u>
Age	22 - 33	23.57 (2.39)
Sex	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Male	5	16.7
Female	25	83.3
Fall 2019		
	<u>Min - Max</u>	<u>M (SD)</u>
Age	21-31	23.97 (2.03)
Sex	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Male	4	13.8
Female	25	86.2

Measures

Knowledge and Importance

To assess gains in knowledge of ACEs/TIC and increases in importance to practice (knowledge and importance is hereafter referred to as KAI), content experts developed a series of self-assessment questions. All questions were on a 5-point Likert scale with responses ranging from 1 (not at all familiar/relevant to practice) to 5 (extremely familiar/relevant to practice). Past research has demonstrated these questions to have acceptable reliability ($\alpha = .805 - .850$; Randall et al., 2020.). Furthermore, the results of the current study illustrate the scale having acceptable reliability in both pre-administration ($\alpha = .826$) and post-administration ($\alpha = .885$).

General Self-Efficacy Scale

Comprised of ten 4-point Likert scale questions (1 = not at all true; 4 = exactly true) the General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES) measures self-efficacy from a minimum score of 4 to a maximum of 40 (Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1995). Within the confines of this scale, high self-efficacy is indicated by higher scores with lower self-efficacy represented by lower scores. Past research on the GSES has demonstrated that the scale has acceptable reliability (Leganger et al., 1995) and includes studies utilizing the scale with simulation participants (Bragg et al., 2017). In this study, the scale demonstrated acceptable reliability in both pre-administration ($\alpha = .797$) and post-administration ($\alpha = .846$). An important note regarding this scale is that previous research has suggested general self-efficacy as a greater predictor of increased academic success than GPA (Becker & Gable, 2009; Doménech-Betoret et al., 2017).

Data Analysis

Two repeated measures ANOVAs were conducted to determine if there were statistically significant differences in KAI and GSES scores within and between cohorts over a short course on ACEs and TIC utilizing a high-fidelity simulation. All data were entered into IBM SPSS® (version 24) for statistical analysis. There were no outliers and the data were normally distributed at each point in time, as assessed by boxplot and Shapiro-Wilk test ($p > .05$).

Results

The first analysis was that of KAI of ACEs and TIC to that of the profession of occupational therapy. Results indicated no statistically significant interaction ($p > .05$) between that of time (pre to post) and group (cohort). Next was an examination of main effect of time on KAI scores with results indicating a statistically significant increase from pre-assessment ($M=14.42$, $SE=.29$) to post-assessment ($M=20.55$, $SE=.31$; $p < .0005$). Furthermore, examination of the effect size demonstrated that the magnitude of this increase was large ($\eta^2=.853$). This was followed by an examination of the between subjects effects which illustrated there was a statistically significant difference between cohorts ($p < .05$). To ascertain where this difference occurred, one-way ANOVAs were conducted on both pre-assessment and post-assessment with cohort as the grouping variable. These results indicated that on pre-assessment, there was a statistically significant difference between the years ($p < .05$) with further examination

indicative of the fact that the students in the Fall of 2019 scored significantly higher ($M=15.59$, $SD=1.90$) than students in the Fall of 2018 ($M=13.77$, $SD=2.31$; $p<.05$). Results of the analysis on post-assessment illustrated there was not a statistically significant difference ($P>.05$) between any of the cohorts.

The next analysis was concerned with the participants' scores on the general self-efficacy scale. First, the results indicated that there was not a statistically significant interaction between that of time and group ($p>.05$). This was followed by an examination of the main effect of time. Results of the analysis of the main effect illustrated that over time there was a statistically significant improvement in GSES scores from pre-assessment ($M=30.37$, $SE=.48$) to post-assessment ($M=32.22$, $SE=.47$; $p<.0005$). Moreover, the results indicated that the magnitude of this improvement was medium to large ($\eta_p^2 = .201$). Finally, the results of the between subjects analysis indicated that there was no statistically significant differences between any of the groups ($p>.05$). Full descriptive statistics are provided in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

Table 2

Descriptive Statistics by Year

	Pre-Assessment		Post-Assessment	
	M	SD	M	SD
KAI				
Spring 2018	13.91	2.47	20.27	1.35
Fall 2018	13.77	2.31	20.17	2.52
Fall 2019	15.59	1.90	21.21	2.37
GSES				
Spring 2018	30.45	3.39	31.64	2.87
Fall 2018	30.73	3.04	32.37	3.42
Fall 2019	29.93	4.17	32.67	3.90

Table 3

ANOVA Results

	Df	F	Sig.	η_p^2
KAI				
Interaction	2, 67	.920	.403	.027
Main Effect	1, 67	389.192	<.0005	.853
Between Groups	2, 67	4.518	.014	.119
Pre-Assessment	2, 67	5.708	.005	.146
Post-Assessment	2, 67	1.631	.204	.046
GSES				
Interaction	2, 67	1.156	.321	.033
Main Effect	1, 67	16.812	<.0005	.201
Between Groups	2, 67	.116	.891	.003

Table 4*Estimated Marginal Means*

	M	SE	95% CI LB, UB
Main Effect of Time			
KAI			
Pre-Assessment	14.42	.289	13.844, 14.998
Post-Assessment	20.549	.307	19.935, 21.162
GSES			
Pre-Assessment	30.37	.478	29.419, 31.327
Post-Assessment	32.22	.472	31.276, 33.162
Between-Subjects			
KAI			
Spring 2018	17.09	.578	15.936, 18.245
Fall 2018	16.97	.350	16.268, 17.666
Fall 2019	18.40	.356	17.686, 19.108
GSES			
Spring 2018	31.05	.950	29.149, 32.942
Fall 2018	31.55	.575	30.401, 32.699
Fall 2019	31.29	.585	30.125, 32.461

Discussion

Results suggested that overall there were significantly large increases in KAI scores from pre-administration to post-administration, indicating an increase in students' knowledge and self-reported belief in the importance of ACEs and TIC. In addition, results revealed a significant medium to large increase in overall general self-efficacy from pre-administration to post-administration. Results also indicated no significant difference in changes between the cohorts regarding increases in scores on both KAI and GSES, suggesting the PATH model as a standard, replicable training for occupational therapy students. However, results did indicate a significant difference in pre-assessment scores on KAI for the students in the Fall of 2018 and Fall of 2019. These differences are explained by additional coursework offered through a grant that the students were engaged in, and curriculum changes. The first change may be the result of an educational grant that the Department received. In the Summer of 2019, six of the students in the 2019 fall cohort were engaged in a course that revolved around Systems Change. Through this course they learned about the effects of trauma and the affect that it can have into adulthood. All students were enrolled in a pediatrics course in fall 2019. Modifications were made to the course which included a new lab experience. During this lab, students discussed and analyzed how early life experiences affect brain and skill development. Students watched videos and discussed implication of child interactions with adults, the environment, response to stress, executive function and self-regulation, and resilience. Students participated in and debriefed in activities that demonstrate early life experiences in combination with a child's genetics and environment matter for cognitive, motor, social-emotional, self-identity, and self-determination development.

In conclusion, for the three sequential cohorts, evaluation results indicated that the PATH model provided occupational therapy students with the knowledge and skills to help them begin to address childhood trauma with patients. Of note, the geographic region where many of these learners will become practitioners faces high rates of trauma; thus, possessing the necessary understanding and tools to address exposure to trauma will prove useful in practice. As reported in literature, general self-efficacy predicts academic achievement (Doménech-Betoret et al., 2017; Schunk et al., 2008; Usher and Pajaras, 2008). Therefore, the results of this study support the structure of brief didactic sessions followed by simulations as useful and unique in educating occupational therapy students on the impacts of ACEs and the importance of TIC.

Implications for Occupational Therapy Education

The current study yields important primary considerations for the use of the PATH model in occupational therapy and practice. First, the PATH model had positive impacts on knowledge acquisition and skill building and is likely a feasible strategy for the development of occupational therapists' future practice. Additionally, the PATH training and simulation takes approximately one-half a day, making it a realistic addition to educational activities. The PATH training model allows occupational therapy students to practice these difficult or uncomfortable skills in a safe environment and to receive valuable feedback for improving their skills before encountering these challenges in the real world of practice.

Limitations

A potential limitation in this study exists around generalizability. In addition to the small sample size, most participants identified as female. However, this demographic is representative of the occupational therapy profession in general (Adams, 2010; Beagan & Fredericks, 2018). Males have typically dominated in the higher-paying occupations such as medicine and dentistry, while females in health care have been more notably numerous in the support professions such as nursing and allied health (Adams, 2010). Occupational therapy continues to be a highly sex-disproportionate profession, with most of the workforce being female, ranging from 91-92% according to a recent study (Adams, 2010; Beagan & Fredericks, 2018;).

Conclusion

With the increasing understanding of the importance on addressing ACEs through the use of trauma informed care, training in such should be implemented in occupational therapy programs. As supported by this research, brief didactics and simulation is an emerging way of educating future professionals on this subject. As such, future research should explore if these trauma-informed care skills maintain over time and if occupational therapists are able to integrate these skills into practice. If future research suggests a disruption between skills and practice, further research should investigate barriers to integration. Future modifications to the PATH model could include expanding the design to incorporate discussing ACEs and trauma exposures with younger patients and their families and in an interprofessional setting. Overall, occupational therapy is well positioned to promote well-being and ameliorate the impacts of toxic stress and trauma on patients and families (Cramm et al., 2013; Fitzgerald et al., 2012; Townsend & Wilcock, 2004; Trentham et al., 2007).

References

- Accreditation Council for Occupational Therapy Education. (2018). *2018 Accreditation council for occupational therapy education standards and interpretive guide*. American Occupational Therapy Association.
- Adams, T. L. (2010). Gender and feminization in health care professions. *Sociology Compass*, 4(7), 454–465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9020.2010.00294.x>
- American Occupational Therapy Association. (2020). *Occupational therapy practice framework: Domain and process*. (4th Ed.). Author.
- Agar, K., & Read, J. (2002). What happens when people disclose sexual or physical abuse to staff at a community mental health centre? *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 11(2), 70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-0979.2002.00230.x>
- Alvarez, K. M., Kenny, M. C., Donohue, B., & Carpin, K. M. (2004). Why are professionals failing to initiate mandated reports of child maltreatment, and are there any empirically based training programs to assist professionals in the reporting process? *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 9(5), 563–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2003.07.001>
- Anda, R. F., Butchart, A., Felitti, V. J., & Brown, D. W. (2010). Building a framework for global surveillance of the public health implications of adverse childhood experiences. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 39(1), 93–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2010.03.015>
- Appleyard, K., Egeland, B., Dulmen, M. H. M. van, & Sroufe, L. A. (2005). When more is not better: The role of cumulative risk in child behavior outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 46(3), 235–245. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2004.00351.x>
- Babiss, F. (2012). Mental health and adverse childhood experiences. *Occupational Therapy in Mental Health*, 28(2), 109–110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0164212X.2012.679510>
- Baglivio, M. T., & Epps, N. (2016). The interrelatedness of adverse childhood experiences among high-risk juvenile offenders. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, 14(3), 179–198. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541204014566286>
- Balesteri, K. S., & Alvira-Hammond, M. (2016). Adverse childhood experiences, family functioning and adolescent health and emotional well-being. *Public Health*, 1(32), 72–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.10.034>
- Baum, C. M. (2011). Fulfilling the promise: Supporting participation in daily life. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 92(2), 169–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.12.010>
- Beagan, B. L., & Fredericks, E. (2018). What about the men? Gender parity in occupational therapy. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 85(2), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008417417728524>
- Becker, S.P., Gable, R.K. (2009). The relationship of self-efficacy and GPA, attendance, and college student retention. In *NERA Conference Proceedings 2009* (pp. 1-23). University of Connecticut.

- Bethell, C. D., Carle, A., Hudziak, J., Gombojav, N., Powers, K., Wade, R., & Braveman, P. (2017). Methods to assess adverse childhood experiences of children and families: toward approaches to promote child well-being in policy and practice. *Academic Pediatrics, 17*(7, Supplement), S51–S69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2017.04.161>
- Bethell, C. D., Newacheck, P., Hawes, E., & Halfon, N. (2014). Adverse childhood experiences: assessing the impact on health and school engagement and the mitigating role of resilience. *Health Affairs, 33*(12), 2106–2115. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0914>
- Boynton-Jarrett, R., Ryan, L. M., Berkman, L. F., & Wright, R. J. (2008). Cumulative violence exposure and self-rated health: Longitudinal study of adolescents in the United States. *Pediatrics, 122*(5), 961–970. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-3063>
- Bragg, J. E., Miller-Cribbs, J., Gordon, J., Gaudet, J., Hellman, C., & Munoz, R. T. (2017). Increasing self-efficacy and building hope through simulation based education. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences; Cumberland, 10*(2), 549–557.
- Bright, M. A., Alford, S. M., Hinojosa, M. S., Knapp, C., & Fernandez-Baca, D. E. (2015). Adverse childhood experiences and dental health in children and adolescents. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology, 43*(3), 193–199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12137>
- Burke, N. J., Hellman, J. L., Scott, B. G., Weems, C. F., & Carrion, V. G. (2011). The impact of adverse childhood experiences on an urban pediatric population. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 35*(6), 408–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.02.006>
- Chapman, D. P., Whitfield, C. L., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Edwards, V. J., & Anda, R. F. (2004). Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 82*(2), 217–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2003.12.013>
- Chung, J. Y., Frank, L., Subramanian, A., Galen, S., Leonhard, S., & Green, B. L. (2012). A qualitative evaluation of barriers to care for trauma-related mental health problems among low-income minorities in primary care. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 200*(5), 438–443. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e31825322b3>
- Cramm, H., Krupa, T., Missiuna, C., Lysaght, R. M., & Parker, K. C. H. (2013). Broadening the occupational therapy toolkit: An executive functioning lens for occupational therapy with children and youth. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 67*(6), e139–e147. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2013.008607>
- Cuijpers, P., Smit, F., Unger, F., Stikkelbroek, Y., ten Have, M., & de Graaf, R. (2011). The disease burden of childhood adversities in adults: A population-based study. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 35*(11), 937–945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.06.005>
- Doménech-Betoret, F., Abellán-Roselló, L., & Gómez-Artiga, A. (2017). Self-efficacy, satisfaction, and academic achievement: The mediator role of students' expectancy-value beliefs. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01193>

- Dong, M., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Williamson, D. F., Thompson, T. J., Loo, C. M., & Giles, W. H. (2004). The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(7), 771–784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2004.01.008>
- Dube, S. R., Felitti, V. J., Dong, M., Giles, W. H., & Anda, R. F. (2003). The impact of adverse childhood experiences on health problems: Evidence from four birth cohorts dating back to 1900. *Preventive Medicine*, 37(3), 268–277. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-7435\(03\)00123-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-7435(03)00123-3)
- Duke, N. N., Pettingell, S. L., McMorris, B. J., & Borowsky, I. W. (2010). Adolescent violence perpetration: Associations with multiple types of adverse childhood experiences. *Pediatrics*, 125(4), e778–e786. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0597>
- Fagan, A. A., & Novak, A. (2018). Adverse childhood experiences and adolescent delinquency in a high-risk sample: A comparison of white and black youth. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, 16(4), 395–417. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541204017735568>
- Feng, J.-Y., Chen, Y.-W., Fetzer, S., Feng, M.-C., & Lin, C.-L. (2012). Ethical and legal challenges of mandated child abuse reporters. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 34(1), 276–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2011.10.026>
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- Fitzgerald, M., Ratcliffe, G., & Blythe, C. (2012). Family work in occupational therapy: A case study from a forensic service. *British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 75(3), 152–155. <https://doi.org/10.4276/030802212X13311219571864>
- Flaherty, E. G., Thompson, R., Dubowitz, H., Harvey, E. M., English, D. J., Proctor, L. J., & Runyan, D. K. (2013). Adverse childhood experiences and child health in early adolescence. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 167(7), 622–629. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.22>
- Flouri, E., & Kallis, C. (2011). Adverse life events and mental health in middle adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence*, 34(2), 371–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2010.04.001>
- Foster, N. E., & Delitto, A. (2011). Embedding psychosocial perspectives within clinical management of low back pain: Integration of psychosocially informed management principles into physical therapist practice—Challenges and opportunities. *Physical Therapy*, 91(5), 790–803. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20100326>
- Fraser, K., MacKenzie, D., & Versnel, J. (2017). Complex trauma in children and youth: A scoping review of sensory-based interventions. *Occupational Therapy in Mental Health*, 33(3), 199–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0164212X.2016.1265475>
- Goldstein, E., Athale, N., Sciolla, A. F., & Catz, S. L. (2017). Patient preferences for discussing childhood trauma in primary care. *Permanente Journal*, 21(2), 119–125. <https://doi.org/10.7812/TPP/16-055>

- Gronski, M. P., Bogan, K. E., Kloeckner, J., Russell-Thomas, D., Taff, S. D., Walker, K. A., & Berg, C. (2013). Childhood toxic stress: A community role in health promotion for occupational therapists. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(6), e148–e153. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2013.008755>
- Guterman, N. B., Hahm, H. C., & Cameron, M. (2002). Adolescent victimization and subsequent use of mental health counseling services. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 30(5), 336–345. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X\(01\)00406-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X(01)00406-2)
- Halfon, N., Larson, K., Son, J., Lu, M., & Bethell, C. (2017). Income inequality and the differential effect of adverse childhood experiences in US children. *Child Well-Being and Adverse Childhood Experiences in the US*, 17(7, Supplement), S70–S78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2016.11.007>
- Haller, J. S. (1981). *American medicine in transition: 1840-1910*. University of Illinois Press.
- Hamburger, M. E., Leeb, R. T., & Swahn, M. H. (2008). Childhood maltreatment and early alcohol use among high-risk adolescents. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 69(2), 291–295. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2008.69.291>
- Havig, K. (2008). The health care experiences of adult survivors of child sexual abuse: A systematic review of evidence on sensitive practice. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 9(1), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838007309805>
- Hertzman, C., & Boyce, T. (2010). How experience gets under the skin to create gradients in developmental health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 31(1), 329–347. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.012809.103538>
- Hillis, S. D., Anda, R. F., Dube, S. R., Felitti, V. J., Marchbanks, P. A., & Marks, J. S. (2004). The association between adverse childhood experiences and adolescent pregnancy, long-term psychosocial consequences, and fetal death. *Pediatrics*, 113(2), 320–327. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.113.2.320>
- Hunt, T.K.A., Slack, K. S., & Berger, L. M. (2017). Adverse childhood experiences and behavioral problems in middle childhood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 67, 391–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.11.005>
- Leganger, A., Kraft, P., & Røysamb, E. (2000). Perceived self-efficacy in health behaviour research: Conceptualisation, measurement and correlates. *Psychology & Health* 15(1), 51–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440008400288>
- Merrick, M. T., Ports, K. A., Ford, D. C., Afifi, T. O., Gershoff, E. T., & Grogan-Kaylor, A. (2017). Unpacking the impact of adverse childhood experiences on adult mental health. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 69, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2017.03.016>
- Miller-Cribbs, J. E., Wen, F., Coon, K. A., Jelley, M. J., Foulks-Rodriguez, K., & Stearns, J. (2016). Adverse childhood experiences and inequities in adult health care access. *International Public Health Journal*, 8(2), 257–270.
- Monahan, K., & Forgash, C. (2000). Enhancing the health care experiences of adult female survivors of childhood sexual abuse. *Women & Health*, 30(4), 27–41. https://doi.org/10.1300/J013v30n04_03
- Oral, R., Ramirez, M., Coohy, C., Nakada, S., Walz, A., Kuntz, A., Benoit, J., & Peek-Asa, C. (2016). Adverse childhood experiences and trauma informed care: the future of health care. *Pediatric Research*, 79(1–2), 227–233. <https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2015.197>

- Randall, K., Miller-Cribbs, J., Isaacson, M., Bragg, J., Nay, E. D. E., Coon, K., Jelley, M., Isaacson, M., Rodriguez, K., Bragg, J. E., & Wen, F. (2020). Using social simulation to teach rehabilitation science students about adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and trauma informed care (TIC). *Journal of Allied Health, 49*(1), 36-45.
- Read, J., & Fraser, A. (1998). Staff response to abuse histories of psychiatric inpatients. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 32*(2), 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00048679809062730>
- Russell, M., Lazenbatt, A., Freeman, R., & Marcenes, W. (2004). Child physical abuse: health professionals' perceptions, diagnosis and responses. *British Journal of Community Nursing, 9*(8), 332–338. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2004.9.8.15355>
- Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Self-efficacy measurement: Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale. In *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio causal and control beliefs* (pp. 35-37). NFER-Nelson.
- Scott, K. M., Von Korff, M., Angermeyer, M. C., Benjet, C., Bruffaerts, R., de Girolamo, G., Haro, J. M., Lepine, J., Ormel, J., Posada-Villa, J., Tachimori, H., & Kessler, R. C. (2011). Association of childhood adversities and early onset mental disorders with adult onset chronic physical conditions. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 68*(8), 838–844. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.77>
- Shah, R., Kennedy, S., Clark, M. D., Bauer, S. C., & Schwartz, A. (2016). Primary care-based interventions to promote positive parenting behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Pediatrics, 137*(5), e20153393–e20153393. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-3393>
- Thompson, R., Proctor, L. J., English, D. J., Dubowitz, H., Narasimhan, S., & Everson, M. D. (2012). Suicidal ideation in adolescence: Examining the role of recent adverse experiences. *Journal of Adolescence, 35*(1), 175–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2011.03.003>
- Townsend, E., & Wilcock, A. A. (2004). Occupational justice and client-centred practice: A dialogue in progress. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy, 71*(2), 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000841740407100203>
- Trentham, B., Cockburn, L., & Shin, J. (2007). Health promotion and community development: An application of occupational therapy in primary health care. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, 26*(2), 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.7870/cjcmh-2007-0028>
- Wade, R., Shea, J. A., Rubin, D., & Wood, J. (2014). Adverse childhood experiences of low-income urban youth. *Pediatrics, 134*(1), e13–e20. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2475>
- Waite, R., Gerrity, P., & Arango, R. (2010). Assessment for and response to adverse childhood experiences. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services, 48*(12), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.3928/02793695-20100930-03>
- Wen, F. K., Miller-Cribbs, J. E., Coon, K. A., Jelley, M. J., & Foulks-Rodriguez, K. A. (2017). A simulation and video-based training program to address adverse childhood experiences. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine, 52*(3), 255–264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091217417730289>
- Yoshikawa, H., Aber, J. L., & Beardslee, W. R. (2012). The effects of poverty on the mental, emotional, and behavioral health of children and youth: implications for prevention. *The American Psychologist, 67*(4), 272–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028015>