1 A multi-stable isotope framework to understand eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems

2 Daren C Gooddy^{a*}, Dan J Lapworth^a, Sarah A Bennett^{bd}, Tim H E Heaton^b, Peter J Williams^a and Ben
3 WJ Surridge^c.

4 ^aBritish Geological Survey, Maclean Building, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK, Email:dcg@bgs.ac.uk

5 ^bNERC Isotope Geoscience Laboratory, British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, UK

6 ^cLancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK

7 ^dSchool of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

8 **Corresponding author*

9 KEYWORDS: Eutrophication; nitrogen isotopes; phosphate oxygen isotopes; agriculture; waste water

10 Abstract

Eutrophication is a globally significant challenge facing aquatic ecosystems, associated with human 11 12 induced enrichment of these ecosystems with nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). However, the limited availability of inherent labels for P and N has constrained understanding of the triggers for 13 14 eutrophication in natural ecosystems and appropriate targeting of management responses. This paper proposes and evaluates a new multi-stable isotope framework that offers inherent labels to track 15 biogeochemical reactions governing both P and N in natural ecosystems. The framework couples 16 highly novel analysis of the oxygen isotope composition of phosphate ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$) with dual isotope 17 analysis of oxygen and N within nitrate ($\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$, $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$) and with stable N isotope analysis in 18 ammonium ($\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$). The River Beult in England is used as an exemplar system for initial evaluation 19 20 of this framework. Our data demonstrate the potential to use stable isotope labels to track the input and downstream fate of nutrients from point sources, on the basis of isotopic differentiation for both P 21 22 and N between river water and waste water treatment work effluent (mean difference = +1.7% for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$; +15.5‰ for $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ (under high flow); +7.3‰ for $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ and +4.4‰ for $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$). Stable 23 isotope data reveal nutrient inputs to the river upstream of the waste water treatment works that are 24 consistent with partially denitrified sewage or livestock sources of nitrate ($\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ range = +11.5 to 25 +13.1‰) and with agricultural sources of phosphate ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ range = +16.6 to +19.0‰). The 26

27 importance of abiotic and metabolic processes for the in-river fate of N and P are also explored 28 through the stable isotope framework. Microbial uptake of ammonium to meet metabolic demand for N is suggested by substantial enrichment of $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ (by 10.2% over a 100m reach) under summer 29 30 low flow conditions. Whilst the concentration of both nitrate and phosphate decreased substantially 31 along the same reach, the stable isotope composition of these ions did not vary significantly, 32 indicating that concentration changes are likely driven by abiotic processes of dilution or sorption. The in-river stable isotope composition and the concentration of P and N were also largely constant 33 downstream of the waste water treatment works, indicating that effluent-derived nutrients were not 34 strongly coupled to metabolism along this in-river transect. Combined with in-situ and laboratory 35 hydrochemical data, we believe that a multi-stable isotope framework presents a powerful approach 36 37 for understanding and managing eutrophication in natural aquatic ecosystems.

38

39

1. Introduction

Perhaps the most significant challenge facing aquatic ecosystems globally is cultural eutrophication 40 (Schindler, 2012), the process of ecosystem change triggered by human induced enrichment of 41 42 ecosystems with phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N). Given the adverse ecological, social and economic 43 impacts associated with eutrophication (Dodds et al., 2009; Pretty et al., 2003), significant research efforts have been directed towards understanding the causes of this process and targeting mitigation 44 strategies. In the context of aquatic ecosystems, two long-standing paradigms suggest that primary 45 46 production is limited by an individual nutrient element which thereby represents the trigger for 47 eutrophication. In freshwaters the focus has been on limitation by the availability of P (e.g. Likens, 1972; Schindler, 1977), whilst within estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems the focus has been on 48 N limitation (e.g. Ryther and Dunstan, 1971; Howarth, 1988). However, these paradigms have been 49 50 subject to growing debate, stimulated by evidence of N limitation in freshwaters (e.g. Mischler et al., 2014; James et al., 2003), N/P co-limitation in freshwaters (e.g. Xu et al., 2010; Conley et al., 2009), 51 or P limitation in marine/estuarine waters (e.g. Blomqvist et al, 2004). 52

53 This debate reflects uncertainty regarding a number of the fundamental questions that surround 54 eutrophication and appropriate responses to eutrophication (Smith and Schindler, 2009). An important source of this uncertainty is reliance on bioassays and mesocosms as the experimental basis for 55 56 understanding nutrient limitation and eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems. These experimental 57 approaches may not accurately reflect the large-scale, long-term processes that govern eutrophication in natural ecosystems, resulting in a bias towards identification of proximate rather than ultimate 58 limiting nutrients (Vitousek et al., 2010) and data that do not scale successfully to natural ecosystems 59 (Schindler, 2012). Past reliance on bioassays and mesocosms partly reflects the lack of inherent 60 tracers that can be used to understand the sources and the reaction pathways which control P and N 61 biogeochemistry in natural ecosystems (Karl, 2000). In this paper, we propose and evaluate a new 62 63 multi-stable isotope framework that offers inherent tracers for N and P within aquatic ecosystems. 64 Whilst multi-stable isotope approaches are increasingly used in other research fields, for example 65 employing the stable isotopes of nitrate and sulphate in combination (e.g. Mayer, 2005; Kaown et al., 2009; Urresti-Estalaa et al., 2015) or combining stable isotope analyses in boron and nitrate (e.g. 66 Briand et al., 2013), similar frameworks are yet to be developed in the context of P and N 67 biogeochemistry within aquatic ecosystems. 68

Dual-isotope approaches for nitrate ($\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$) and stable ammonium isotope analyses 69 $(\delta^{15}N_{NH4})$ have been used to understand sources and reaction mechanisms for these ions in both 70 groundwater and surface water (e.g. Böttcher et al., 1990; Böhlke and Denver 1995; Wassenaar 1995; 71 Kendall 1998; Silva et al., 2000; Heaton et al., 2012; Gooddy et al., 2014). Biogeochemical cycling of 72 P in aquatic ecosystems has previously been examined using the radioactive isotopes 32 P and 33 P (e.g. 73 74 Benitez-Nelson, 2000; Benitez-Nelson and Karl, 2002). However, the use of radioisotopes is 75 constrained by short isotope half-lives, perturbation of experimental systems associated with labelling, 76 or the use of incubations which omit irregular events in natural ecosystems, such as seasonal algal blooms (Levine et al., 1986; Thingstad et al., 1993; Benitez-Nelson, 2000). Stable isotope analyses 77 cannot be conducted on the P atom in P-containing compounds, because ³¹P is the only stable P 78 isotope. However, because P is often bound strongly to oxygen (O) in the dissolved inorganic 79

80	phosphate ion (Blake et al., 1997), hereafter P _i , attention has recently focussed on whether the stable
81	isotope composition of O in P_i ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$) can provide new insights into sources and biogeochemical
82	cycling of P in the environment (e.g. Young et al., 2009; Tamburini et al., 2014; Gooddy et al., 2015).
83	The basis to the use of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ in aquatic ecosystems has recently been reviewed by Davies et al.
84	(2014). Briefly, because the P-O bonds in P _i are resistant to inorganic hydrolysis under typical
85	temperature and pressure conditions in the Earth's surface water and groundwater ecosystems (O'Neil
86	et al., 2003), negligible O isotope exchange occurs between P_i and water within these ecosystems
87	without biological mediation (Tudge, 1960; Blake et al., 1997). Under such abiotic conditions, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$
88	may therefore reflect the isotope composition of P sources to an ecosystem. In contrast, enzyme-
89	catalysed reactions cleave P-O bonds leading to fractionation between the isotopes of O in $P_{\rm i}\text{and}O$ in
90	a surrounding fluid, either within a cell or within the extracellular environment (Blake et al., 2005).
91	Intracellular metabolism of P involving the inorganic pyrophosphatase enzyme results in rapid,
92	temperature-dependent equilibrium fractionation between O in P _i and O within the intracellular fluid,
93	the latter is expected to be identical in O-isotope composition to water-O in the extracellular
94	environment. Given sufficient intracellular-extracellular exchange of P to maintain non-lethal
95	intracellular P concentrations, a temperature-dependent equilibrium will be established between
96	$\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and water-O in the extracellular environment. The equilibrium oxygen isotope fractionation
97	between dissolved inorganic phosphate and water ($\alpha_{PO4-H2O}$) at surface temperatures has recently been
98	determined (Chang and Blake, 2015), using laboratory solutions catalyzed by the inorganic
99	pyrophosphatase enzyme. These authors derived the equation:

101
$$10^3 ln \alpha_{P04-H20} = 14.43 \times (10^3/T) - 26.54$$
 (1)

103 where T is in degrees Kelvin. Since:

105
$$\alpha_{P04-H20} = (\delta^{18}O_{P04} + 1000)/(\delta^{18}O_{H20} + 1000)$$
 (2)

107 by combining 1 and 2 above, expected equilibrium $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values may be calculated from:

109
$$\delta^{18}O_{P04} = (\delta^{18}O_{H20} + 1000) \times e^{[14.43 \times (10^3/T) - 26.54]/1000} - 1000$$
 (3)

110

However, only limited research has explored the use of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ in aquatic ecosystems, particularly within freshwater ecosystems. We are not aware of any research to date that has evaluated whether a multi-stable isotope approach has the potential to provide new insights into the controls on P and N biogeochemistry within natural ecosystems. Therefore, the objectives of our research were to: i) develop and apply a multi-stable isotope approach for N and P in freshwater ecosystems; and ii) evaluate the insights into the sources and reaction mechanisms controlling P and N biogeochemistry in freshwater ecosystems that can be provided through a multi-stable isotope approach.

118

119 **2.** Materials and methods

120 **2.1 Study area**

121 The River Beult which rises near Ashford in Kent, UK was used as an exemplar system to evaluate the multi-stable isotope framework. The Beult is the largest tributary of the River Medway and the 122 123 only riverine Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) in the county. Landuse within the Beult catchment is predominantly rural, with scattered settlements and an urban land coverage of <1% of 124 the total catchment area. The catchment is predominantly underlain by a thick clay formation (Weald 125 Clay), largely excluding exchange between groundwater and river water. However, there is evidence 126 that some groundwater discharge to surface waters may occur in the catchment, either where small 127 areas of limestone outcrop or where the Weald Clay is discontinuous (Lapworth et al., 2009). 128 Elevated concentrations of P are found widely within the catchment. For example in a survey 129 130 conducted in 2008, 75% of surface waters were found to exceed 100 μ g PO₄-P/L (Lapworth et al., 2013). Elevated P concentrations place the SSSI in an "unfavourable condition" and exceed target 131 water quality standards under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD, 2000). Elevated 132

133 nitrate (NO₃) concentrations are also of concern, with many sites exceeding 30 mg NO₃/L and therefore exceeding the surface water drinking directive limit of 25 mg NO₃/L (Council Directive 134 75/440/EEC) and the mean annual concentration for the European Environment Agency's river basin 135 district (RBD) classification (Class 5 for the study RBD). Given the predominant landuse within the 136 137 catchment, agricultural sources coupled with effluent from rural waste water treatment works (WwTWs) are hypothesised to dominate N and P loads delivered to surface waters in the Beult 138 catchment (Lapworth et al. 2013). However, the roles of these nutrient sources in controlling 139 140 productivity and eutrophication risk in the catchment remain uncertain, as they do within many 141 aquatic ecosystems globally.

142 **2.2 Sites and sampling**

A c.200m reach along the River Beult to the south east of the town of Sutton Valence was sampled 143 during this research (Fig. 1). A total of seven sampling sites (SV1-SV7) were established along an in-144 river transect that ran both upstream and downstream of Sutton Valence WwTW (Table 1). Samples 145 146 were collected from these sites twice in a six month period, to provide a seasonal contrast between 147 low flow (September 2013) and high flow (January 2014) conditions. River water samples were collected from the centre of the flowing water course at each site using a submersible pump, ensuring 148 that the inlet of the pump did not disturb river bed sediments during sampling. On-site parameters 149 150 (dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, temperature and specific electrical conductance (SEC)) were measured and, where appropriate, were allowed to stabilise prior to sampling. DO, SEC and pH were measured 151 in a flow-through cell to obtain representative field values. Samples for analysis of chloride, N 152 species, soluble reactive P (SRP) and total dissolved P (TDP) were 0.45 µm filtered in the field and 153 collected in 30 mL plastic bottles. Samples for total P (TP) were not filtered and also collected in 30 154 mL plastic bottles. All samples for isotope analysis were also filtered in the field at 0.45 µm using 155 high capacity filters. Samples for $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$, $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ determination were filtered into 1 L 156 plastic bottles; the samples for $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ determination were acidified in the field with concentrated 157 HCl to pH 2-4. Samples for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ determination were filtered into 10 L plastic bottles. Samples for 158

159 water-oxygen isotope analysis ($\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$) were collected in 10 mL glass bottles with rubber sealing 160 caps.

161 [Fig. 1. Location of the Beult catchment in England, UK (a) and schematic map of sample

162 *locations along a section of the river (b)*]

163 2.3 Hydrochemical analyses

Soluble reactive P concentration, a measure of the inorganic monomeric and easily-hydrolysable P in
a sample, was determined colorimetrically using the method of Murphy and Riley (1962) as modified
by Neal et al. (2000). Total phosphorus concentration, the combination of TDP and particulate P
concentrations, was determined by the method of Eisenreich et al. (1975) on unfiltered samples,
whilst TDP concentration was determined using the same method but on filtered aliquots. Samples
were analysed for the concentrations of Cl, NO₃ and nitrite (NO₂) using ion chromatography (IC), and
for ammonium (NH₄) concentration by flow colorimetery.

171 2.4 Sample preparation for isotope analysis

Nitrate was separated from the sample matrix using anion exchange resins and prepared as silver
nitrate using a method based on Chang et al. (1999). Ammonium was converted to ammonium
sulphate on acidified quartz filter papers using a static ammonia diffusion technique (adapted from
Sigman et al., 1997).

We developed and applied a new method to isolate P_i from water samples and precipitate silver 176 phosphate (Ag₃PO₄) for isotope analysis, shown in Fig. 2 and described in detail in Lapworth et al. 177 178 (2014). Samples were processed within 24 h of collection and were stored in the dark at 4 °C prior to processing. In brief, the majority of dissolved organic matter in a sample is first removed using an 179 organic exchange resin and P_i was then isolated from the remaining matrix using an anion exchange 180 181 resin. Phosphate was eluted from the anion exchange resin and chromatographically separated from 182 competing anions using 0.3 M KCl. Eluted fractions containing phosphate are then processed using a modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) method to produce a final Ag₃PO₄ precipitate for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ analysis. 183

Any residual organic matter remaining on the Ag_3PO_4 precipitate is removed by treatment with 15% hydrogen peroxide. We believe that the method shown in Fig.2 represents an advance over alternative sample preparation protocols (e.g. repeated CePO₄ precipitation, Li et al. (2011)), in that it successfully prevents contamination of the final Ag_3PO_4 precipitate with organic compounds (see section 2.5 below) whilst also maintaining the final Ag_3PO_4 yield. The method reported in Fig. 2 is a multi-stage process (c. 14 days in total) and was carried out in batches of eight samples.

190 [Fig. 2. Schematic of the modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) protocol used to process water samples 191 for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ analysis].

192 2.5 Mass spectrometry

193 The ratio¹⁵N/¹⁴N in NH₄ and NO₃ was analysed by combustion in a Flash 1112 EA on-line to a Delta

194 Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany), with δ^{15} N values versus

195 atmospheric N₂ calculated by comparison with standards IAEA N-1 and N-2 assuming these had $\delta^{15}N$

values of +0.4‰ and +20.3‰, respectively. Analytical precision (1 SD) was typically <0.8‰, from

197 repeat analysis of a sample. ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ ratios of NO₃ were analysed by thermal conversion to CO gas at

198 1400 °C in a TC–EA on-line to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen,

199 Germany), with δ^{18} O values versus VSMOW calculated by comparison with standard IAEA-NO₃

assuming it had a δ^{18} O value of +25.6‰. Analytical precision (1 SD) was typically <1.2‰.

¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios of Ag₃PO₄ were analysed by thermal conversion to CO gas at 1400 °C in a TC–EA online to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany). The $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ value versus VSMOW was calculated by comparison with an internally run laboratory standard (Alfa Aesar silver phosphate, 99%). In the absence of an international Ag₃PO₄ reference material, we derived the $\delta^{18}O$ value of the laboratory standard by comparison with the Ag₃PO₄ standard 'B2207' (supplied by Elemental Microanalysis Ltd, Okehampton, England), which has a certified $\delta^{18}O$ value of +21.7‰ versus VSMOW. Any organic contamination of the Ag₃PO₄ produced using the protocol in Fig 2. was

deemed to be negligible, based on CO yields of the Ag_3PO_4 samples always being within $\pm 10\%$ of

those of a laboratory Ag_3PO_4 standard, coupled with Ag_3PO_4 samples containing <0.2% carbon (based

on separate elemental analysis). Full replicates were processed through each stage of the extraction protocol reported in Fig. 2 on three occasions and on each occasion gave δ^{18} O values within a range

of $\pm 0.1\%$ (see Table 2). Analytical precision (1sd) was consistently <0.2‰ and always less than

213 0.3% (Table 2). On this basis, we consider a difference in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ of $\ge 0.3\%$ to be a reasonable

indicator that two samples differ in isotopic composition for reasons other than analytical error.

215 **3. Results**

216 **3.1 Inorganic chemistry**

217 Table 1 reports on-site and laboratory hydrochemical data for low flow (September 2013) and high 218 flow (January 2014) sampling events. Temperature data reflect the climatic difference between seasons, with average water temperature >11 °C warmer in September 2013 compared to January 219 2014. Dissolved oxygen concentration at sites SV1 and SV2 was elevated under high flow compared 220 221 to low flow conditions, consistent with temperature-related control on oxygen solubility. However, 222 DO concentration was particularly low at SV1 under low flow conditions, suggesting either an input of strongly anoxic water to the River Beult at this site, or that there was significant consumption of 223 224 oxygen upstream of SV1. Sutton Valence WwTW (SV4) delivered effluent with elevated Cl 225 concentrations to the River Beult under both high and low flow conditions. Consistent with the very 226 low DO concentration, Cl concentrations at SV1 under low flow conditions were elevated compared to SV2 and compared to SV1 and SV2 under high flow conditions. 227

228 Concentrations of NO₃ and SRP at river sampling sites were generally elevated under low flow 229 compared to high flow conditions, likely driven by reduced dilution of point sources given lower 230 discharge (Jarvie et al., 2006). Under low flow conditions, the concentrations of SRP and other P 231 fractions were particularly high at SV1 and SV2, whilst the concentration of NO₃ at SV1 was elevated 232 compared to that at SV2. Ammonium and NO₂ concentrations were generally low at all sampling sites during both sampling events, apart from SV1 and SV2 where high NH₄ and NO₂ concentrations were 233 observed under low flow conditions and, to a much reduced extent and for NH₄ only, under high flow 234 conditions. Fig. 3 reports the relationship between NO₃ and Cl concentration for all sites, under both 235

low and high flow conditions (r=+1.00, p <0.01 and r=+0.929, p <0.01 respectively). For samples 236 collected under low flow, a clustering of sites with high NO₃ and high Cl concentrations is revealed, 237 associated with the WwTW effluent (SV3 and SV4) and river sites downstream of the WwTW (SV5-238 SV7). Sites SV1 and SV2 were characterised by relatively low NO₃ and Cl concentrations during this 239 240 sampling event. In contrast, three clusters of sites are revealed under high flow conditions, with SV5-SV7 occupying an intermediate position between upstream sites (SV1 and SV2) and sites associated 241 with the WwTW (SV3 and SV4). A similar clustering of sites and flow-dependency to the clustering 242 is revealed in the relationships between SRP and Cl concentrations (Fig. 4), where r=-0.901, p < 0.01243 under low flow and r=+0.901, p<0.01 under high flow. 244

245

[Fig. 3. Nitrate concentration against chloride concentration for low and high flow sampling
events.]

[Fig. 4. Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration against chloride concentration for low
and high flow sampling events (note change in scale of SRP concentration between low and
flows).]

251

252 **3.2 Stable isotope data**

Table 2 summarises the stable isotope dataset from the River Beult. The overall range for $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ was 253 +4.7 to +13.1‰, whilst for $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ the range was -0.8 to +8.6‰. Both $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ at SV1 254 255 and SV2 were enriched compared to all other sites and this pattern was consistent under both high and low flow conditions. Values of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ for SV3 and SV4 were reduced, by 3.2-3.9 ‰, during high 256 flow compared to low flow conditions. Upstream river site SV2 was isotopically enriched compared 257 to the final outflow from the WwTW (SV4), both for $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (by 2.9% under low flow conditions 258 and 5.9% under high flow conditions) and for $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ (by 7.6% under low flow conditions and 7.2% 259 under high flow conditions). Sites downstream of the WwTW (SV5-7) had $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ values 260

that were either dominated by those of the final effluent from the WwTW (low flow conditions), or were intermediate between values for SV4 and for SV1 and SV2 (high flow conditions). The relationship between $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and NO₃ concentration under low and high flow conditions is reported in Fig. 5 (r=-0.901, p <0.1 and r=-0.901, p <0.01 respectively). A trend of decreasing $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ with increasing NO₃ concentration was observed for both sampling events, with lowest NO₃ concentrations and highest $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ values occurring upstream of the WwTW. Similar trends exist for $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$,

although for brevity these data are not reported in a separate figure.

268 [Fig. 5. Nitrate-nitrogen isotope composition ($\delta^{I5}N_{NO3}$) composition against nitrate concentration 269 for high and low flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard deviation on $\delta^{I5}N_{NO3}$ (note 270 change in scale for nitrate concentration between high and low flow events).]

A range of +10.0 to +33.7‰ was observed for $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$. Under low flow conditions, substantial 271 enrichment of $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ was observed between SV1 and SV2, increasing by 12.2% over an in-river 272 length of approximately 100 m. Unfortunately, the concentration of NH₄ at all other sites during this 273 sampling event was too low to enable analysis of $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$. A smaller enrichment in $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ (3.1‰) 274 was observed between SV1 and SV2 under high flow conditions. Under high flow conditions, $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ 275 276 was enriched by 15.5% in the WwTW outflow (SV3) compared to upstream river site SV2, although insufficient $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ data were available to make this comparison under low flow conditions. At SV5-277 SV7 under high flow conditions, $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ was intermediate between that of sites SV2 and SV3, whilst 278 both the concentration of NH₄ and $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ remained relatively constant in the river downstream of 279 280 SV4 during this sampling event.

The stable isotope composition of P_i varied between +15.1 and +19.0‰ across the samples. Under low flow conditions, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ was relatively constant between SV1 and SV2. Although the absolute value of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ was constant between these sites, the departure from the theoretical equilibrium value changed from +0.1‰ to -1.5‰ as a result of shifts in water temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ between SV1 and SV2 and therefore in the theoretical equilibrium of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (Table 3). In contrast, under high flow conditions, the absolute value of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ increased by 0.4‰ from site SV1 to SV2, whilst the

theoretical equilibrium value remained unchanged. Values of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ at SV2 were 1.2‰ and 2.2‰ 287 enriched compared to the final effluent from the WwTW (SV4) under low and high flow conditions 288 respectively. Under high flow conditions, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ at sites downstream of the WwTW outflow (SV5-289 SV7) remained relatively constant at an average of $\pm 17.6\% \pm 0.1$. Under low flow conditions, there 290 was some evidence of decreasing $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ with distance downstream of the WwTW, although $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ 291 only decreased by 0.3% over the 35 m river reach and these samples remained between -0.9% and -292 1.2% depleted compared to the theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (Table 3). Marked differences in 293 $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ were observed across all sites between low and high flow conditions, with samples taken in 294 January 2014 an average of 2‰ higher than samples taken in September 2013. The relationship 295 between $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and SRP concentration under low and high flow conditions is shown in Fig. 6. Under 296 low flow conditions, there was a trend of increasing $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ with increasing SRP concentration 297 (r=+0.90, p <0.01), with the highest SRP concentration and $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ occurring at sites SV1 and SV2. 298 In contrast, under high flow conditions the trend was reversed (r=-0.811, p<0.05 – note lower 299 confidence interval), with lowest SRP concentration and highest $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values occurring in samples 300 301 from these same sites.

302

303 [Fig. 6. Stable phosphate oxygen composition ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$) composition against soluble reactive 304 phosphorus (SRP) concentration for low and high flow sampling events. Vertical bars show 305 standard deviation on $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (note change in scale for SRP concentration between low and high 306 flow)].

307

308 4. Discussion

309 4.1 Hydrochemical insights into controls on nutrient biogeochemistry

310 The temporal and spatial variation in N and P concentrations reported in Table 1 may be interpreted

- through a mixing relationship between effluent from the WwTW and water in the River Beult
- 312 upstream of the effluent discharge point. Under high flow conditions, WwTW effluent represents an

313 end-member with elevated NO₃ and SRP concentration and is diluted on entering the river. Dilution produces downstream concentrations of NO3 and SRP in the River Beult that are intermediate 314 between the composition of the two end-members (Figs 3 and 4). Under low flow conditions, this 315 mixing pattern is repeated for NO₃ although with reduced dilution of the WwTW effluent. However, 316 317 for both SRP and NH₄ under low flow conditions, the final WwTW effluent effectively dilutes enriched upstream river water, to such an extent that downstream river concentrations of NH₄ and 318 SRP predominantly reflect effluent quality (Jarvie et al., 2010; Macdonald et al., 1995). Dissolved 319 oxygen, Cl, NO₃, NH₄ and P concentration data suggest a particular source of nutrient-enriched water 320 influenced SV1 under low flow conditions, although these hydrochemical parameters suggest that the 321 322 impact of this water source appeared to be absent, or at least significantly reduced, under high flow 323 conditions.

Whilst the existence of the effluent and upstream end members, alongside flow-dependent variation in 324 325 the mixing relationship between these end-members, is revealed by on-site and laboratory hydrochemical data, these data do not offer direct insights into two key questions related to 326 327 understanding of the eutrophication process in aquatic ecosystems. Firstly, whilst the WwTW effluent appears to be an important source of N and P to the River Beult, the source of other nutrient inputs to 328 329 the river remain uncertain, particularly at SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions. This reflects the 330 broader challenge of identifying the original source of nutrients, alongside the relative importance of 331 different sources, in aquatic ecosystems (Jarvie et al., 2006). Secondly, concentration data alone do 332 not provide direct insight into the biogeochemical mechanisms that govern the fate of nutrient 333 elements during downstream transport within river ecosystems. Whilst indirect methods of source 334 assessment have been developed, including the use of boron as a chemically conservative marker for 335 WwTW effluent input to rivers (e.g. Neal et al., 2000) and microbial source tracking to identify 336 human versus agricultural sources of faecal contamination (e.g. Scott et al., 2002), these methods do 337 not offer an inherent label for either P or N. As a result, they lack a direct and specific means of tracing in-river transformations of these nutrients. For example, both upstream and downstream of the 338 WwTW, concentration changes may be driven by physical mixing of water sources, by abiotic 339

geochemical mechanisms, or by metabolic processes. Discriminating between these individual
processes is important if effective responses to eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems are to be
developed. For example, understanding whether nutrients derived from WwTWs are strongly coupled
to in-river metabolism is critical if capital and operating expenditure on nutrient removal technology
at WwTWs is to be prioritised. Therefore, the extent to which a multi-stable isotope approach can
provide insight into the key questions of source and in-river fate of nutrient elements is considered
below.

347

348 4.2 Differentiating sources of P and N on the basis of stable isotope composition

Stable isotope data can provide insight into the original sources of N and P that contribute to aquatic 349 ecosystems. Stable isotope analyses indicate that $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ at SV1 is enriched compared to the typical 350 composition of NO₃ derived from nitrification of NH₄ within soils (Kendall, 1998; Heaton et al., 351 2012). Instead, the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ composition is similar to that reported for NO₃ derived from sewage or 352 from livestock slurry or manure which has undergone partial denitrification, resulting in $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ 353 between +10 and +14‰ (Anisfield et al., 2007; Kendall et al., 2007). Denitrification of these sources 354 of N upstream of SV1 may have been responsible for the $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ composition at this site. However, 355 whilst the dual isotopes of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ provide a powerful basis for differentiating 356 atmospheric and inorganic fertiliser sources of NO₃ from each other, and from livestock or sewage 357 sources, this dual isotope approach cannot distinguish between sewage and livestock sources, which 358 359 requires the use of additional source markers such as boron isotopes (e.g. Briand et al., 2013). Enriched $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ at SV1 (>10‰) is also consistent with a livestock manure or slurry source that may 360 have become isotopically enriched following volatilisation of ammonia (e.g. Widory et al., 2004). 361 Although the existing global dataset remains relatively small, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ at SV1 is broadly consistent with 362 the stable isotope composition of P derived from inorganic fertiliser or livestock excreta, sources that 363 are characterised by $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ between approximately +16 and +20% (see Davies et al., 2014). 364

365 Under low flow conditions, Cl, DO, NO₃, NH₄ and SRP concentrations are consistent with isotopic evidence for a distinct source of N and P that influences the River Beult at SV1, likely associated with 366 agricultural activity or unsewered households in the upstream catchment. Under high flow conditions, 367 significant changes in these hydrochemical parameters were observed at SV1, although it is not clear 368 369 whether these changes in concentration are consistent with alternative sources of N and P influencing the river under high flow compared to low flow conditions. However, stable isotope data indicate that 370 371 SV1 was dominated by similar sources of N and P under both low and high flow conditions, despite substantial changes in nutrient concentration between the two sampling events. Enrichment of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ 372 and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$, depletion of $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ and enrichment of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ is observed at SV1 compared to 373 downstream river sites and compared to the effluent from the WwTW, under both high and low flow 374 conditions. This, coupled with relatively constant $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$, $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$, and $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ values at SV1 across 375 376 both sampling events, suggests that a common nutrient source influenced SV1 in September 2013 and January 2014. The 1.9% shift in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ at SV1 between low and high flow sampling events could 377 indicate changes in the dominant source of P to the River Beult across these events. However, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ 378 379 was consistent with the theoretical equilibrium value on both occasions, suggesting the 1.9% shift 380 resulted from changes in equilibrium fractionation driven by changes in water temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ between sampling events, rather than a change in P source. Fig. 7 shows this effect for all 381 382 samples, by considering theoretical equilibrium values for a range of water temperatures.

[Fig. 7. A comparison of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ for samples collected in low flow (filled symbols) and high flow (open symbols). Vertical and horizontal hashed areas represent range of measured $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ during low and high flows respectively. Diagonal dashed lines represent the $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ equilibrium values for ambient water for the range of temperatures at low and high flows calculated using the equation of Chang and Blake (2015).]

388 Under both high and low flow conditions, the stable isotope composition of NO₃, NH₄ and SRP in

final effluent samples differed substantially from that in river water upstream of the WwTW.

390 Differentiation of nutrient sources on the basis of their stable isotope composition is the fundamental

391 pre-requisite for using subsequent isotope fractionation to trace metabolism of nutrients derived from

392 individual sources during in-river transport. Whilst previous work has examined the stable isotope composition of NO₃ and NH₄ in river water and in final effluent samples from WwTWs (e.g. Sebilo et 393 al. 2006; Hood et al., 2014), our research represents some of the first data to demonstrate 394 differentiation between effluent and upstream river water samples in terms of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (although see 395 also Gruau et al., 2005; McLaughlin et al., 2006; Young et al., 2009) The 1.4% shift in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ in 396 WwTW effluent between low and high flow conditions may indicate differences in the composition of 397 waste water arriving at the WwTW, water residence time, or extent of metabolism within the works, 398 and emphasises the need for more intensive characterisation and explanation of variation in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ 399 within sources of P, such as WwTW effluent (see also Gruau et al., 2005). At SV3 under both high 400 and low flow conditions, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ remained -1.1% from theoretical equilibrium. This observation 401 suggests that P is in excess of metabolic requirements within the WwTW, and is consistent with either 402 403 an isotopically depleted source of SRP entering the works and passing conservatively through the 404 treatment processes, or with kinetic isotope fractionation during the hydrolysis of organic P compounds within the WwTW that shifts $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ towards isotopically depleted values (Blake et al., 405 406 2005).

407

408 4.3 Stable isotope evidence for the in-river fate of N and P

Under low flow conditions, the concentration of NH₄ decreased by two orders of magnitude between 409 SV1 and SV2, suggesting potential nitrification within the stream network. The decrease in the 410 concentration of NO₃ between these same sites could be interpreted as evidence for in-stream 411 412 denitrification or biological uptake of NO₃ occurring alongside nitrification. For example, stream bed sediments have been shown to be potentially important locations for denitrification in river 413 ecosystems (e.g. Seitzinger, 1988). Coupling stable isotope data for NH₄ and NO₃ enables the roles of 414 nitrification and denitrification to be explored. Substantial increases in $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ were observed 415 between SV1 and SV2, consistent with nitrification and a kinetic isotope effect in which isotopically 416 lighter NH₄ ions are preferentially nitrified, resulting in isotopic enrichment of the remaining NH₄ in 417

the extracellular environment (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 2001). However, $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ did not 418 decrease consistently between SV1 and SV2 across both sampling events, as would be expected 419 following generation of NO₃ through nitrification (Sebilo et al., 2006). In addition, substantial 420 decreases in NO₃ concentration were observed between SV1 and SV2 under both high and low flow 421 422 conditions, which is not consistent with nitrification. Whilst denitrification or biological uptake of NO₃ may have been responsible for decreases in NO₃ concentration, no clear evidence was observed 423 for enrichment in $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ that would be expected if denitrification or biological uptake 424 were responsible for the decrease in NO_3 concentration between SV1 and SV2 (Heaton et al., 2012). 425 426 Sebilo et al. (2003) demonstrated that denitrification in stream bed sediments was not associated with a large fractionation of $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$, because diffusion of NO₃ from the water column into reduced 427 sediments is the rate-limiting, but non-fractionating, step for denitrification in these environments. 428 429 However, given the three-fold decrease in NO₃ concentration between SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions, the fact that $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ actually decreased between these sites on the River Beult is not 430 431 consistent with denitrification exerting a significant control on the fate of N. There does not appear to 432 be strong isotopic evidence for denitrification within this upstream reach of the river.

433 Instead, stable isotope data suggest NH_4 uptake and incorporation into biomass may have been responsible for the decreases in NH₄ concentration observed between SV1 and SV2. Biological 434 435 uptake under eutrophic conditions is associated with a kinetic isotope effect in which isotopically 436 lighter ions are preferentially taken up and incorporated into biomass, resulting in isotopic enrichment 437 of the remaining extracellular NH₄ (Cifuentes et al., 1989). Whilst ammonia volatilisation may also increase $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ in any remaining NH₄, stream temperature and pH were relatively consistent 438 439 between SV1 and SV2 during both sampling events, meaning that volatilisation is unlikely to have 440 been responsible for the observed decrease in NH₄ concentration between these sites.

441 The lack of any substantial change in $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ or $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ between SV1 and SV2 suggests decreases in 442 NO₃ concentration were unlikely to be due to metabolism, but were instead caused by an abiotic 443 mechanism. Given the low affinity of NO₃ for sediment sorption sites, the decrease in NO₃ 444 concentration alongside relatively constant $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO3}$ suggests dilution may have been 445 responsible for the decreases in NO₃ concentration between SV1 and SV2. The existence of an additional input of water to the River Beult is also supported by an increase in $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ between SV1 446 and SV2, although this was only observed under low flow conditions suggesting that groundwater 447 discharge to the river may have been responsible for dilution of NO₃ between these sites. However, 448 $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ at SV2 under low flow conditions was outside the isotopic range for groundwater in this area 449 of the UK, which lies between +6 and +7 ‰ (Darling et al. 2003). Instead, $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ suggests a water 450 source characterised by partially evaporated surface water influenced the river at SV2, likely from 451 drainage systems associated with the farming activity between SV1 and SV2. Whilst dilution may 452 453 also have contributed to the decrease in NH₄ concentration between these sites under low flow conditions, our data indicate that changes in NH₄ concentration were also associated with substantial 454 increases in $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$. This is indicative of metabolic processes influencing the in-river fate of NH₄ but 455 456 not NO₃, offering insights into differences in the in-river fate of these ions as governed by their role 457 in meeting metabolic demand for N.

In contrast to $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$, the value of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ for SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions did not 458 change substantially, despite a decrease of almost 50% in the concentration of SRP between these two 459 sites. Consistent with NO₃, the lack of substantial changes in stable isotope composition, coupled with 460 461 a substantial decrease in concentration, suggests that an abiotic rather than metabolic mechanism controlled the concentration of SRP in this upstream reach of the river. Whilst dilution may also have 462 been responsible for the change in SRP concentration, adsorption of P_i to stream bed sediments can be 463 significant (Jarvie et al., 2012). Some research has suggested that the initial stages of some abiotic 464 465 reactions, such as sorption, are associated with kinetic isotope effects in which isotopically lighter P_i ions are preferentially removed from solution (e.g. Jaisi et al., 2010). However, we observed no 466 evidence for this in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ data at SV1 and SV2 under low flow conditions. Further, whilst the 467 absolute value of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ did not change between SV1 and SV2, the deviation from expected 468 equilibrium $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ changed from 0.1 to -1.5‰ as a result of differences in water temperature and 469 $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ between SV1 and SV2 and therefore the theoretical equilibrium value of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$. The 470 increased deviation between observed and equilibrium $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ values suggests a lack of intracellular 471

472 metabolism of SRP in this upstream reach of the River Beult. In turn, this is consistent with molar N:P and $\delta^{15}N_{NH4}$ in this reach that suggest N (and specifically NH₄) rather than P is likely to limit 473 metabolic activity. Under high flow conditions, SRP concentration and $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ increased from SV1 to 474 SV2. These data indicate that either an external source of P, enriched in $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$, entered the river 475 between SV1 and SV2, or that SRP was re-generated from organic P compounds with partial 476 inheritance of an isotopically enriched $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ composition from the source organic P compound 477 (Blake et al., 1997; Colman et al., 2005). Molar N:P between SV1 and SV2 suggest an increased 478 probability of P limitation or N/P co-limitation, meaning that regeneration of SRP from organic P 479 480 compounds between these sites may have been promoted.

Examination of stable isotope data from SV5-SV7 also enables the potential links between N and P 481 482 from WwTW effluent and in-stream metabolism to be examined. This is only possible because of the difference between the stable isotope composition of N and P in the effluent and in the river 483 immediately upstream of the WwTW. With respect to $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$, strong coupling between effluent-484 derived P and in-river metabolism would be expected to rapidly imprint an equilibrium fractionation 485 on SRP downstream of the WwTW, due to extensive uptake of SRP-intracellular equilibrium 486 487 fractionation-release of SRP (Blake et al., 2005). Given reduced molar N:P at SV5-SV7 under low 488 flow compared to high flow conditions, these samples are most likely to reveal isotopic evidence for in-stream metabolism of SRP. However, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ remained approximately 1‰ away from the 489 490 theoretical equilibrium along the 35 m transect downstream of the WwTW under low flow conditions, 491 indicating little evidence for significant in-stream metabolism of effluent derived SRP in this reach. Under high flow conditions, molar N:P suggests increased potential for N limitation or N/P co-492 limitation at SV5-SV7. Values of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ remained relatively constant across these sites and, on 493 average, 0.6‰ away from the theoretical equilibrium. However, there was also little isotopic evidence 494 for in-stream metabolism of NO₃ between SV5-SV7 under either high or low flow conditions. It is 495 496 likely that the constrained transect length and associated residence time provided only limited opportunity for intracellular cycling and release of SRP to imprint an equilibrium isotope fractionation 497 on $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$, or for metabolic processes to generate fractionation in the stable isotope composition of 498

either NH_4 or NO_3 . Longer downstream transects should be considered in order to fully evaluate the potential links between WwTW-derived nutreints and in-stream metabolism within streams and rivers using stable isotope approaches.

502

503 **5.** Conclusions

504 In-situ and laboratory hydrochemical data collected from the River Beult indicate that flow-dependent changes in mixing between upstream river water and final effluent from a WwTW strongly influence 505 downstream river nutrient concentrations. However, these hydrochemical data alone provide no 506 507 insight into the original sources of nutrients that influence eutrophication risk within the river, nor into the biogeochemical processes that govern the downstream fate of these nutrients. Our research 508 509 demonstrates how a multi-stable isotope framework can provide additional insights into such 510 questions that are fundamental to understanding the eutrophication process within freshwater 511 ecosystems.

512 Stable isotope data suggest that nutrient input to the upstream reaches of the River Beult is dominated 513 by sewage or agricultural sources under both high and low flow conditions, despite substantial 514 changes in nutrient concentration across these different flow conditions. Stable isotope data support 515 the need for measures to reduce diffuse water pollution from agriculture in order to address nutrient 516 enrichment and eutrophication risk in the upstream reaches of the River Beult. In-river changes in 517 stable isotope composition suggest an important role for microbial uptake of NH₄ to meet metabolic demands for N, particularly under low flow conditions. These data suggest that measures which target 518 519 reductions in NH₄ concentration within the River Beult should be prioritised in order to drive changes 520 in autotrophic production within upstream river reaches. In contrast, changes in the concentration of 521 NO₃ and SRP, interpreted through the stable isotope data, indicate that abiotic mechanisms control the fate of these ions in the upstream reach. Stable isotope data also suggest that N and P derived from a 522 WwTW are not strongly coupled to metabolism within the river immediately downstream of the 523 effluent discharge point, confirming the importance of addressing upstream sources of these nutrients. 524

However, further sampling along a more extensive downstream transect would be required to
determine the ultimate fate of WwTW-derived nutrients within rivers using changes in stable isotope
composition.

Three priorities for further research should be addressed in order to fully realise the potential of the 528 multi-stable isotope framework proposed here. Firstly, the degree to which individual sources of 529 nutrients can be reliably distinguished on the basis of their stable isotope composition requires further 530 evaluation, particularly for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$. Secondly, stable isotope data at higher temporal frequency are 531 required in order to evaluate short-term changes in the isotope composition of sources, for example 532 diurnal changes in final effluent from smaller WwTWs with short residence times. Finally, more 533 extensive and higher-intensity spatial sampling is required to assess the in-river fate of nutrients 534 derived from a range of sources, on the basis of changes in stable isotope composition. It is hoped that 535 the initial evaluation of a multi-stable isotope framework for P and N reported in this paper will help 536 537 stimulate future research to address these challenges.

538 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Flo Kent (Environment Agency) for assisting access during the sampling
campaigns, Marianne Stuart (British Geological Survey) for helpful comments on the draft
manuscript as well as the Associate Editor and two anonymous reviewers. This paper is
published with the permission of the Executive Director, British Geological Survey (NERC).

543

544 **References**

Anisfeld SC, Barnes RT, Altabet, MA and Wu T. 2007. Isotopic apportionment of atmospheric and
sewage nitrogen sources in two Connecticut rivers. *Environmental Science and Technology*41, 6363-6369.

548	Benitez-Nelson CR and Karl DM. 2002. Phosphorus cycling in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre
549	using cosmogenic ³² P and ³³ P. <i>Limnology and Oceanography</i> 47, 3, 762-770.

- Benitez-Nelson CR. 2000. The biogeochemical cycling of phosphorus in marine systems. *Earth- Science Reviews* 51, 1-4, 109-135.
- Böhlke JK and Denver JM. 1995. Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and isotopic
 analyses to resolve the history and fate of nitrate contamination in two agricultural water
 sheds. Atlantic coastal plain, Maryland. *Water Resources Research* 31, 2319-2339.
- Böttcher J, Strbel O, Voerkelius S and Schimidt HL 1990. Using isotope fractionation of nitratenitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer. *Journal of Hydrology* 114, 413-424.
- Blomqvist S, Gunnars A and Elmgren R. 2004. Why the limiting nutrient differs between temperate
 coastal seas and freshwater lakes: A matter of salt. *Limnology and Oceanography* 49, 6, 22362241.
- Blake RE, O'Neil JR and Garcia GA. 1997. Oxygen isotope systematics of biologically mediated
 reactions of phosphate: 1. Microbial degradation of organophosphorus compounds. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61, 4411-4422.
- Blake RE, O'Neil JR and Surkov AV. 2005. Biogeochemical cycling of phosphorus: Insights from
 oxygen isotope effects of phosphoenzymes. *American Journal of Science* 305, 6-8, 596-620.

Briand C, Plagnes V, Sebilo M, Louvat P, Chesnot T, Schneider M, Ribstein P and Marchet P. 2013.
Combination of nitrate (N, O) and boron isotopic ratios with microbiological indicators for
the determination of nitrate sources in karstic groundwater. *Environmental Chemistry* 10, 365.

569 Chang CCY, Langston J, Riggs M, Campbell DH, Silva SR and Kendall C. 1999. A method for
570 nitrate collection for δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O analysis from waters with low nitrate concentrations.
571 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 56, 1856-1864.

- 572 Chang SJ and Blake RE. 2015. Precise calibration of equilibrium oxygen isotope fractionations
 573 between dissolved phosphate and water from 3-37°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 150,
 574 314-329.
- 575 Cifuentes LA, Fogel ML, Pennock JR and Sharp JH. 1989. Biogeochemical factors that influence the
 576 stable isotope ratio of dissolved ammonium in the Delaware Estuary. *Geochimica et*577 *Cosmochimica Acta* 53, 2713-2721.
- 578 Conley DJ, Paerl HW, Howarth RW, Boesch DF, Seitzinger SP, Havens KE, Lancelot C and Likens
 579 GE. 2009. Controlling eutrophication: Nitrogen and phosphorus. *Science* 323, 5917, 1014580 1015.
- Colman AS, Blake RE, Karl DM, Fogel ML and Turekian KK. 2005. Marine phosphate oxygen
 isotopes and organic matter remineralization in the oceans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the USA* 102, 37, 13023-8.
- Darling WG, Bath AH and Talbot JC. 2003. The O and H stable isotopic composition of fresh waters
 in the British Isles. 2. Surface waters and groundwater. *Hydrology and Earth System Science*7, 2, 183-195.
- Council Directive 75/440/EEC. 1975. Concerning the quality required of surface water intended for
 the abstraction of drinking water in the Member States. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L194, 18, 26-31.
- Davies CL, Surridge BWJ and Gooddy DC. 2014. Phosphate oxygen isotopes within aquatic
 ecosystems: Global data synthesis and future research priorities. *Science of the Total Environment* 496, 563-575.
- Dodds WK, Bouska WW, Eitzmann JL, Pilger TJ, Pitts JL, Riley AJ, Schloesser JT and Thornbrugh
 DJ. 2009. Eutrophication of U.S. Freshwaters: Analysis of Potential Economic Damages.
 Environmental Science and Technology, 2009, 43, 1, 12–19.

- Eisenreich SJ, Bannerman RT and Armstrong DE. 1975. A simplified phosphorus analytical
 technique. *Environmental Letters*, 9, 45-53.
- Gooddy DC, Macdonald DMJ, Lapworth DJ, Bennett SA and Griffiths KJ. 2014. Nitrogen Sources,
 Transport and Processing in Peri-Urban Floodplains. *Science of the Total Environment* 494495, 28-38.
- Gooddy DC, Lapworth DJ, Ascott MJ, Bennett SA, Heaton THE and Surridge BWJ. 2015. Isotopic
 fingerprint for phosphorus in drinking water supplies. *Environmental Science and Technology*49, 15, 9020-9028.
- Gruau G, Legeas M, Riou C, Gallacier E, Martineau F and Henin O. 2005. The oxygen isotope
 composition of dissolved anthropogenic phosphates: a new tool for eutrophication research? *Water Research* 39, 232-238.
- Heaton THE, Stuart ME, Sapiano M and Sultana MM. 2012. An isotope study of the sources of nitrate
 in Malta's groundwater. *Journal of Hydrology* 414, 244-254.
- 609 Hood JLA, Taylor WD and Schiff SL. 2014. Examining the fate of WWTP effluent nitrogen using 610 δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺, δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{15} N of submersed macrophytes. *Aquatic Sciences* 76, 243-258.
- Howarth RW. 1988. Nutrient limitation of net primary production in marine ecosystems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 19, 89-110.
- Jaisi DP, Blake RE and Kukkadapu RK. 2010. Fractionation of oxygen isotopes in phosphate during
 its interaction with iron oxides. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 74, 1309-1319.
- James C, Fisher LJ and Moss B. 2003. Nitrogen driven lakes: the Shropshire and Cheshire meres?
 Archiv für Hydrobiologie 158: 249–266.
- Jarvie HP, Neal C and Withers PJA. 2006. Sewage-effluent phosphorus: A greater risk to river
 eutrophication than agricultural phosphorus. *Science of the Total Environment*, 360, 246-253.

- Jarvie HP, Sharpley AN, Scott JT, Haggard BE, Bowes MJ and Massey LB. 2012. Within-river
 phosphorus retention: Accounting for a missing piece in the watershed phosphorus puzzle.
 Environmental Science and Technology 46, 13284-13292.
- Jarvie HP, Withers PJA, Bowes MJ, Palmer-Felgate EJ, Harper DM, Wasiak K, Hodgkinson RA,
 Bates A, Stoate C, Neal M, Wickham HD, Harman SA and Armstrong LK. 2010.
 Streamwater phosphorus and nitrogen across a gradient in rural-agricultural land use
 intensity. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 135, 238-252.
- Kendall C. 1998 Tracing nitrogen sources and cycling in catchments. In: Isotope Tracers in
 Catchment Hydrology (Eds C. Kendall & J.J. McDonnell), pp. 519–576. Elsevier,
 Amsterdam.
- Kendall C, Elliott EM and Wankel SD. 2007. "Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to
 ecosystems", Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, 2nd edition (Michener R
 and Lajtha K Eds), Blackwell Publishing 375–449
- Kaown D, Koh D-C, Mayer B and Lee K-K. 2009. Identification of nitrate and sulphate sources in
 groundwater using dual stable isotope approached for an agricultural area with different land
 use (Chuncheon, mid-eastern Korea). *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 132, 223231.
- Karl DM. 2000. A new source of 'new' nitrogen in the sea. *Trends in Microbiology* 8, 7, 301-301.
- Lapworth DJ, Gooddy DC, Allen D, Williams PJ, Heaton THE, Kent F and Penn R. 2009. Phosphate
 sources in the Beult catchment, Kent a multi technique approach. British Geological
 Survey Internal Report IR/09/040.
- Lapworth DJ, Gooddy DC, Kent F, Heaton THE, Cole SJ and Allen D. 2013. A combined
 geochemical and hydrological approach to understanding macronutrient sources. *Journal of Hydrology* 500, 226-242.

- Lapworth DJ, Surridge BJW, Williams PJ, Heaton THE and Gooddy DC. 2014. Method for analysis
 of phosphate ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios in waters with high C:P ratios. British Geological Survey Open
 Report OR/14/67.
- Levine SN, Stainton MP and Schindler DW. 1986. A radiotracer study of phosphorus cycling in a
 eutrophic Canadian Shield lake, Lake 227, northwestern Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Fish and Aquatic Sciences* 43, 366–378
- Li X, Wang Y, Stern J and Gu B. 2011. Isotopic evidence for the source and fate of phosphorus in
 Evergaldes wetland ecosystems. *Applied Geochemistry* 26, 688-695.
- 651 Likens GE (Ed). 1972. Nutrients and eutrophication. American Society for Limnology and
 652 Oceanography Special Symposium. 1. 328 p
- Macdoanld AM, Edwards AC, Pugh KB and Balls PW. 1995. Soluble nitrogen and phosphorus in the
 River Ythan system, U.K.: Annual and seasonal trends. *Water Research* 39, 837-846.
- Mayer B. 2005. Assessing sources and transformations of sulphate and nitrate in the hydrosphere
 using isotopic techniques. In: Aggarwal PK, Gat JR, Froelich FO (Eds.). Isotopes in the Water
 Cycle: Past, Present and Future of a Developing Science pp67-90. Springer, Dordrecht,
 Netherlands.
- McLaughlin K, Silva S, Kendall C, Stuart-Williams H and Paytan A. 2004. A precise
 method for the analysis of δ¹⁸O of dissolved inorganic phosphate in seawater.
 Limnology and Oceanography Methods 2, 202-212.
- McLaughlin K, Kendall C, Silva S, Young M and Paytan A. 2006. Phosphate oxygen isotope ratios as
 a tracer for sources and cycling of phosphate in North San Francisco Bay, California. Journal
 of Geophysical Research, *Biogeosciences* 111, G03003, doi: 10.1029/2005JG000079
- 665 Middelburg JJ and Nieuwenhuize J. 2001. Nitrogen isotope tracing of dissolved nitrogen behaviour in

tidal estuaries. Estuary and Coast Shelf Science 53, 385-91.

- Mischler JA, Taylor PG, Townsend AR. 2014. Nitrogen Limitation of Pond Ecosystems on the Plains
 of Eastern Colorado. *PLoS ONE* 9, 5: e95757.
- Murphy J and Riley JP. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate
 in natural waters. *Analitica Chemica Acta* 27, 31-36.
- Neal C, Jarvie HP, Howarth SM, Whitehead PG, Williams RJ, Neal M, Harrow M and Wickham H.
 2000. The water quality of the River Kennet: initial observations on a lowland chalk stream
 impacted by sewage inputs and phosphorus remediation. *Science of the Total Environment*251/252, 477-496
- O'Neil JR, Vennemann TW and Mckenzie WF. 2003. Effects of speciation on equilibrium
 fractionations and rates of oxygen isotope exchange between (PO₄)(aq) and H₂O. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 67, 3135
- Pretty JN, Mason CF, Nedwell DB, Hine RE, Leaf S and Dils R. 2003. Environmental Costs of
 Freshwater Eutrophication in England and Wales. *Environmental Science and Technology* 37,
 2, 201-208
- Ryther JH and Dunstan WM. 1971. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Eutrophication in the Coastal Marine
 Environment. *Science* 171, 3975, 1008-1013.
- Scott T, Rose J, Jenkins T, Farrah S and Lukasik J. 2002. Microbial source tracking: current
 methodology and future directions. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 68, 12, 5796-5803.
- Sebilo M, Billen G, Grably M and Mariotti A. 2003. Isotopic composition of nitrate-nitrogen as a
 marker of riparian and benthic denitrification at the scale of the whole Seine River system. *Biogeochemistry* 63, 35-51.
- 688 Sebilo M, Billen G, Mayer B, Billiou D, Grably M, Garnier J and Mariotti A. 2006. Assessing

- 689 nitrification and denitrification in the Seine River and estuary using chemical and isotopic
 690 techniques. *Ecosystems* 9, 564-577.
- 691 Seitzinger SP. 1988. Denitrfication in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems: Ecological and
 692 geochemical significance. *Limnology and Oceanography* 33, 702-724.
- 693 Schindler DW. 1977. Evolution of Phosphorus Limitation in Lakes. *Science* 195, 4275, 260-262.
- 694 Schindler DW. 2012. The dilemma of controlling cultural eutrophication of lakes. *Proceedings of the* 695 *Royal Society B* 279, 1746, 4322-4333.
- Scott TM, Rose JB, Jenkins TM, Farrah SR and Lukasik J. 2002. Microbial source tracking: Current
 methodology and future directions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68, 5796-5803.
- Sigman DM, Altyabet MA, Michener R, McCorkle DC, Fry B, and Holmes RM. 1997. Natural
 abundance-level measurement of the nitrogen isotopic composition of oceanic nitrate: an
 adaption of the ammonia diffusion method. *Marine Chemistry*, 57, 227-242.
- Silva SR, Kendall C, Wilkison DH, Ziegler AC, Chang CCY and Avanzino RJ. 2000. A new method
 for collection of nitrate from fresh water and the analysis of nitrogen and oxygen isotope
 ratios. *Journal of Hydrology* 228, 22-36.
- Smith VH and Schindler DW. 2009. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24, 201-207.
- Tamburini F, Pfahler V, Von Sperber C, Frossard E and Bernasconi S. 2014. Oxygen isotopes for
 unravelling phosphorus transformations in the soil–plant system: a review. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 78, 38-46
- Thingstad TF, Skjoldal EF and Bohne RA. 1993. Phosphorus cycling and algal-bacterial competition
 in Sandsfjord, western Norway. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 99, 3, 239-259.
- 711 Tudge A P. 1960. A Method of Analysis of Oxygen Isotopes in Orthophosphate Its Use in the

Measurement of Paleotemperatures. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 18, 1, 81-93.

713 Urresti-Estalaa B, Vadillo-Péreza I, Jiménez-Gavilána P, Solerb A, Sánchez-Garcíaa D and Carrasco-714 Cantosa F. 2015. Application of stable isotopes (δ^{34} S-SO₄, δ^{18} O-SO₄, δ^{15} N-NO₃, δ^{18} O-NO₃) to

- determine natural background and contamination sources in the Guadalhorce River Basin
 (southern Spain). *Science of the Total Environment* 506-507, 46-57.
- Vitousek P, Porder S, Houlton BZ and Chadwick OA. 2010. Terrestrial phosphorus limitation:
 mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions. *Ecological Applications* 20,
 5–15
- Wassenaar LI. 1995. Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbortsford Aquifer using the
 isotopes of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O in NO₃⁻. *Applied Geochemistry*, 10, 391-405.
- Water Framework Directive (WFD), Council of European Communities. 2000. Establishing a
 framework for community action in the field of water policy (WFD;2000/60/EC). *Official Journal of The European Communities* L327, 43, 1-72.
- Widory D, Kloppmann W, Chery L, Bonnin J, Rochdi H and Guinamant, JL. 2004. Nitrate in
 groundwater: an isotopic multi-tracer approach. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 72,165–
 188
- Xu H, Paerl HW, Qin B, Zhu G and Gao G. 2010. Nitrogen and phosphorus inputs control
 phytoplankton growth in eutrophic Lake Taihu, China. *Limnology and Oceanography* 55,
 420–432
- Young MB, McLaughlin K, Kendal C, StringfellowW, Rollog M, Elsbury K, Donald E and Paytan A.
 2009, Characterizing the oxygen isotopic composition of phosphate sources to aquatic
 ecosystems. *Environmental Science and Technology* 43, 14, 5190-5196.

Table 1Click here to download Table: Table 1 final.docx

Site		Temp.	рН	DO	Cl	NO ₃	NO ₂	NH ₄	SRP	TDP	ТР	N:P
		°C	-	mg/L	mg/L	mg NO ₃ /L	mg NO ₂ /L	mg NH ₄ /L	μg P/L	μg P/L	μg P/L	molar
Low I	Flow (Sep 2013)											
SV1	DS Poultry and soft fruit farm	21.0	7.80	< 0.5	60.1	33.4	3.11	34.0	2090	2135	2440	30
SV2	50 m ds of Livestock Farm	17.2	7.77	3.2	38.8	10.6	0.251	0.324	1296	1322	1955	3.0
SV3	WWTW outflow	20.3	7.76	6.5	93.8	131	0.126	0.043	888	918	954	68
SV4	Reed bed outflow	18.6	7.54	5.5	93.3	130	0.064	0.008	948	942	952	68
SV5	5 m ds of outflow	18.3	7.80	5.4	86.9	119	0.056	0.012	964	974	978	61
SV6	10 m ds of outflow	18.4	7.62	5.5	92.8	126	0.063	0.010	956	938	1002	63
SV7	35 m ds of outflow	18.8	7.97	7.5	91.3	123	0.024	0.028	948	956	1016	60
High	Flow (Jan 2014)											
SV1	DS Poultry and soft fruit farm	7.4	7.71	4.5	37.7	34.5	< 0.005	0.352	181	195	297	60
SV2	50 m ds of Livestock Farm	7.1	7.85	10.1	32.7	23.8	< 0.005	0.332	301	322	512	24
SV3	WWTW outflow	9.6	7.60	7.9	84.2	75.1	0.232	0.105	1020	1096	1264	29
SV4	Reed bed outflow	9.8	7.20	7.4	86.1	72.1	0.014	0.025	1036	1060	1152	31
SV5	5 m ds of outflow	7.3	7.57	4.1	47.9	37.1	< 0.005	0.123	516	552	706	27
SV6	10 m ds of outflow	7.4	7.51	4.2	48.6	36.9	0.100	0.134	524	559	742	25
SV7	35 m ds of outflow	6.8	7.41	4.2	46.8	35.6	0.457	0.142	524	598	768	23

Table 1. On site parameters and concentration of selected nutrients and anions in the River Beult under low and high flow conditions.

and low flow conditions on the River Beult. Average value reported for duplicates (^a15.1 and 15.02; ^b16.6 and 16.65; ^e16.2 and 16.1). * indicates insufficient sample to calculate standard Table 2. Stable isotope data for nitrate, ammonium, phosphate and water sampled under high deviation.

Site	δ^{15} N-N	VO_3	$\delta^{18}O$ -	·NO ₃	δ^{15} N-	NH_4	$H_2O-\delta^{18}O$	δ^{18} O-I	204
	‱	₽	‱	₽	‰	₽		‱	₽
Low Flow	v (Sep 20)[]3)							
SV1	13.1	0.3	7.8	0.5	10.0	0.1	-6.08	16.7	0.2
SV2	12.2	0.4	8.6	0.4	20.2	0.7	-5.22	16.6 ^b	0.1
SV3	8.6	0.2	-0.2	0.2	ı		-6.84	14.7	0.1
SV4	9.3	0.2	1.0	0.2	ı		-6.83	15.4	0.1
SV5	9.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	ı		-6.77	15.4	0.2
SV6	9.4	0.2	-0.1	0.0	ı		-6.78	15.2	0.1
SV7	9.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	ı		-6.73	15.1^{a}	0.2
High Flo	w (Jan 2	014)							
SV1	11.5	0.1	7.0	0.6	15.1	0.1	-6.50	18.6	0.1
SV2	12.0	0.1	7.4	0.1	18.2	0.4	-6.60	19.0	0.2
SV3	4.7	0.1	-0.8	0.6	33.7	*	-7.32	16.2 ^e	0.2
SV4	6.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	ı		-7.34	16.8	0.1
SV5	8.9	0.1	2.1	0.2	20.2	*	-6.82	17.5	0.1
SV6	8.6	0.1	2.9	0.2	21.4	*	-6.90	17.7	0.2
SV7	8.6	0.1	3.2	0.3	19.2	*	-6.87	17.6	0.1

Table 3 Click here to download Table: Table 3 final.docx

events on the River Beult; and the theoretical equilibrium $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ calculated using Equation 3. Table 3. Measured temperature, $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ for water samples collected under low and high flow

	Measured δ ¹⁸ O _{PO4} (‰)	Measured $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ (%)	Measured temperature (°C)	Theoretical equilibrium δ ¹⁸ O _{PO4} (‰)	Difference (measured- theoretical) δ ¹⁸ Ο _{PO4} (‰)
Low flov	v (Sep 2013)				
SV1	16.7	-6.08	21.0	16.6	0.1
SV2	16.6	-5.22	17.2	18.1	-1.5
SV3	14.7	-6.84	20.3	15.8	-1.1
SV4	15.4	-6.83	18.6	16.2	-0.8
SV5	15.4	-6.77	18.3	16.3	-0.9
SV6	15.2	-6.78	18.4	16.3	-1.1
SV7	15.1	-6.73	18.8	16.3	-1.2
High flo	w (Jan 2014)				
SV1	18.6	-6.50	7.4	18.5	0.1
SV2	19.0	-6.60	7.1	18.5	0.5
SV3	16.2	-7.32	9.6	17.3	-1.1
SV4	16.8	-7.34	9.8	17.2	-0.4
SV5	17.5	-6.82	7.3	18.2	-0.7
SV6	17.7	-6.90	7.4	18.2	-0.5
SV7	17.6	-6.87	6.8	18.3	-0.7

Figure 1. Location of the Beult catchment in England, UK (a) and schematic map of sample locations along a section of the river (b).

for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ analysis. Figure 2. Schematic of the modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) protocol used to process water samples

Figure 3. Nitrate concentration against chloride concentration for low and high flow sampling events.

high flow sampling events (note change in scale of SRP concentration between low and flows) Figure 4. Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration against chloride concentration for low and

in scale for nitrate concentration between high and low flow events). for high and low flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard deviation on $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (note change Figure 5. Nitrate-nitrogen isotope composition ($\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$) composition against nitrate concentration

deviation on $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (note change in scale for SRP concentration between low and high flow). phosphorus (SRP) concentration for low and high flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard Figure 6. Stable phosphate oxygen composition ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$) composition against soluble reactive

during low and high flows respectively. Diagonal dashed lines represent the $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ equilibrium values for ambient water for the range of temperatures at low and high flows calculated using the high flow (open symbols). Vertical and horizontal hashed areas represent range of measured $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ equation of Chang and Blake (2015). Figure 7. A comparison of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ for samples collected in low flow (filled symbols) and



Figure 1. Location of the Beult catchment in England, UK (a) and schematic map of sample locations along a section of the river (b)



process water samples for $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ analysis. Figure 2. Schematic of the modified McLaughlin et al. (2004) protocol used to



Figure 3. Nitrate concentration against chloride concentration for low and high flow sampling events.



high flow sampling events (note change in scale of SRP concentration between low and flows). Figure 4. Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration against chloride concentration for low and



in scale for nitrate concentration between high and low flow events). for high and low flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard deviation on $\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$ (note change Figure 5. Nitrate-nitrogen isotope composition ($\delta^{15}N_{NO3}$) composition against nitrate concentration



deviation on $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ (note change in scale for SRP concentration between low and high flow). phosphorus (SRP) concentration for low and high flow sampling events. Vertical bars show standard Figure 6. Stable phosphate oxygen composition ($\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$) composition against soluble reactive



values for ambient water for the range of temperatures at low and high flows calculated using the during low and high flows respectively. Diagonal dashed lines represent the $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ equilibrium equation of Chang and Blake (2015). high flow (open symbols). Vertical and horizontal hashed areas represent range of measured $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ Figure 7. A comparison of $\delta^{18}O_{PO4}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{H2O}$ for samples collected in low flow (filled symbols) and