Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Zhang, Haomin and McLoughlin, Ian Vince and Song, Yan (2016) Robust sound event recognition using convolutional neural networks. In: Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2015 IEEE International Conference, 19-24 April 2015, Brisbane, Australia.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2015.7178031

Link to record in KAR

http://kar.kent.ac.uk/55020/

Document Version

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check http://kar.kent.ac.uk for the status of the paper. Users should always cite the published version of record.

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html





ROBUST SOUND EVENT RECOGNITION USING CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORKS

Haomin Zhang, Ian McLoughlin, Yan Song

National Engineering Laboratory of Speech and Language Information Processing The University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, PRC

ABSTRACT

Traditional sound event recognition methods based on informative front end features such as MFCC, with back end sequencing methods such as HMM, tend to perform poorly in the presence of interfering acoustic noise. Since noise corruption may be unavoidable in practical situations, it is important to develop more robust features and classifiers. Recent advances in this field use powerful machine learning techniques with high dimensional input features such as spectrograms or auditory image. These improve robustness largely thanks to the discriminative capabilities of the back end classifiers. We extend this further by proposing novel features derived from spectrogram energy triggering, allied with the powerful classification capabilities of a convolutional neural network (CNN). The proposed method demonstrates excellent performance under noise-corrupted conditions when compared against state-of-the-art approaches on standard evaluation tasks. To the author's knowledge this in the first application of CNN in this field.

Index Terms— Machine hearing, auditory event detection, convolutional neural networks

1. INTRODUCTION

Sound event classification is a developing research field which has traditionally benefitted from advances in more mature research in related areas, such as automatic speech recognition (ASR). Detecting sound events in noise is potentially very useful in daily life, such as in allowing a computer to hear and eventually understanding environmental sounds like a human, and from this to infer what is happening in the environment. This technology has implications for improving ASR in many noisy real world scenarios, in security and healthcare monitoring, in intelligent building or city management, and in environmental analysis [1].

Unlike in spoken language, sound events are more random, both periodic and aperiodic, with less well defined occurrence patterns. Sound events also exhibit much wider frequency and amplitude ranges, since they are not constrained by production from the human vocal apparatus [2]. These factors make the task of sound event detection and recognition inherently more difficult than ASR. In fact, ASR-inspired techniques such as MFCC, PLP, ZCR, LSPs [3] have featured prominently in the field [4, 5, 6]. However state-of-the-art robust performance has been achieved only when using higher dimensionality representations such as auditory images [7], spectrogram image features [8] and spectrogram-derived subband power distribution [9]. Feature vectors derived from these representations are used in conjunction with machine learning techniques including SVM [10], kNN [9], PAMIR [7] and so on. The objective of these systems is for powerful machine learning capabilities to infer discriminative relationships from less refined but higher dimensionality input features. A baseline comparison of many techniques on standard evaluation tasks, has been performed recently by Dennis [9].

It is notable that, for the robust task (i.e. recognition of sounds in noise), the best performing input features are in fact images [11]. This provides support for adopting machine learning algorithms from the image processing domain. This was the stated reason for adoption of PAMIR with stabilised auditory images (SAI) in [12]. Similarly, the current paper proposes the use of convolutional neural networks (CNN) with a novel spectrogram image feature (SIF), based upon the observation that CNN-based techniques have recently performed well in related image processing tasks [13, 14]. In particular, the fact that general sounds are not precisely localised in the time-frequency spectrogram, but may preserve strong local relationships, means that the global convolution and subsampling approach inherent to the CNN has advantages. Therefore, this paper develops and evaluates a novel CNN back-end classifier and SIF feature extraction front-end.

2. IMAGE FEATURE BASED ON SPECTROGRAM

This section will detail the formation of SIF vectors from a spectrogram of a sampled sound. Firstly, a spectrogram is generated by stacking fast Fourier transform (FFT) magnitudes from the original sound's highly overlapped analysis windows. Given length N analysis frame s(n) and Hamming window w(n), the short time spectral representation of the *l*th frame f(l,k) is obtained, for $k = 0 \dots |N/2|$ as follows:



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the image feature extraction process.

$$f(l,k) = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s(n) . w(n) . e^{-j2\pi nk/N} \right|$$
(1)

This yields spectrogram image f(l,k) which is then smoothed in frequency using a window of length W;

$$f(l,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{W-1} f(l,k+i)/W$$
 (2)

before being down sampled to a frequency resolution of B points by averaging. In fact, preliminary results indicate that further image smoothing, using a simple two element window in the frequency domain, improves results in noisy conditions by up to 1% (we will therefore report results both with and without this step). The resulting down sampled and smoothed spectrogram, $f_b(l, b)$, is then de-noised by subtracting the value of the minimum frequency component found occurring in any frame across the input array:

$$f_{dn}(l,b) = f_b(l,b) - \min_l \{f_b(l,b)\}$$
 for $b = 1...B$ (3)

Finally, per frame time-domain energy, e(l), is computed:

$$e(l) = \sum_{b=1}^{B} f_{dn}(l,b)$$
 (4)

The three maximum energy indices J_j (j = 1...3) are found and used to prune the entire image array $f_{dn}(l, b)$ by discarding all but the immediate context of the six frames around those energy peaks. This process will therefore yield 18 separate features, **SIF**, each of which is an $L \times B$ dimension down-sampled, de-noised image, irrespective of the length of the original sound array:

$$\mathbf{SIF} = f_{dn} \{ \kappa - \lfloor L/2 \rfloor : \kappa - 1 + \lfloor L/2 \rfloor, 1 : B \}$$
 (5)

where $\kappa = J_j - 2$: $J_j + 3$ for j = 1...3. The entire feature extraction process flow is illustrated in Fig. 1, from

top to bottom, showing an input sound waveform, forming the overlapped spectrogram, smoothing, down-sampling and denoising followed by computation of frame-by-frame energy and subsequent pruning. The spectrogram is shown here in colour purely for purposes of illustration.

Note that the authors have investigated a number of alternative pruning methods which are not detailed here for reasons of lack of space. The use of the entire un-pruned stack of down-sampled images for classification was found to be not viable since it takes much longer to train the CNN, which is then much more difficult to achieve convergence. It is not the intention of the authors to claim that the pruning method is optimal, but simply to demonstrate that it is effective. It constitutes the first published application of CNN classification to sound event recognition.

3. CNN FOR SOUND EVENT RECOGNITION

CNNs are a class of multi-layer neural networks which contain convolution layers, subsampling layers and fully connected layers. While the network complexity is high due to the large amount of connectivity, the use of shared weights within layers assists in reducing the number of parameters that need to be trained. However, CNNs share the need, with deep neural networks (DNN), for large amounts of training data. In general, for a convolutional layer l-1, we form layer output maps from

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{l}} = f(\sum_{i \in M_{j}} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathbf{l}-1} * \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{ij}}^{\mathbf{l}} + b_{j}^{l}),$$
(6)

where \mathbf{x}_{j}^{l} is the *j*th output map, \mathbf{x}_{i}^{l-1} is the *i*th input map, \mathbf{k}_{ij}^{l} denotes the kernel that is applied, and M_{j} represents a selection of input maps [15]. The subsampling layer is simpler, $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{l} = f(\beta_{j}^{l}down(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{l-1}) + b_{j}^{l})$ with down(.) representing sub-sampling and β and *b* are biases [15].

The fully connected output layer is effectively a dual layer multi-layer perceptron (MLP) network, with input layer size depending upon the total number of nodes in the final CNN subsampling layer, but otherwise formed as a typical MLP. Like an MLP, the CNN can be learned by gradient descent using the back-propagation algorithm. As mentioned above,



Fig. 2. CNN structure used for SIF classification.

units in the same feature map share the same parameters, so the gradient of a shared weight is simply computed as the sum of the shared parameter gradients.

The CNN is widely used in image processing [13, 14], where it has demonstrated good performance. Similarly, it has been applied to the ASR field [16, 17], and has been shown to achieve better results than traditional networks for many different tasks. A spectrogram is really a special image containing different patterns, many of which exhibit local relationships but only weak absolute locality, i.e. a recognisable sound event may appear at different times and in slightly different frequency ranges. They thus appear suitable for classification by CNN, particularly since the CNN is insensitive to patterns at different positions in a image (thanks to the convolution and subsampling steps). Furthermore, sounds events in daily life usually contain more random patterns than those of speech: they can appear more like random pictures, which means that they are potentially even more suitable for CNN classification than is the speech task.

4. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

4.1. The evaluation task

The sound and noise corpora used in this paper are chosen to match those used to evaluate current state-of-the-art SIFbased methods, as defined by Dennis et. al. [18, 9]. 50 sound classes and 80 sound files are selected randomly from the Real Word Computing Partnership (RWCP) Sound Scene Database in Real Acoustic Environments [19]. Four different environments of noise are chosen from the NOISEX-92 database, namely "Destroyer Control Room", "Speech Babble", "Factory Floor 1" and "Jet Cockpit 1".

50 of the 80 files in each class are designated to be a training set (total 2500 files), with the rest forming the testing set (total 1500 files). During testing, randomly-chosen noise is added from random starting points to the sounds at levels of 20, 10 and 0 dB SNR (plus one test with no noise added). However training uses only clean sounds. The mismatched noise conditions make the task more challenging, but are arguably more similar to the situation in reality.

At a 16kHz sample rate, we choose an FFT analysis window length of 1024, which means one frame lasts for 1024/16kHz= 64ms. While speech may be considered pseudo-stationary for around 20ms [2], general environmental sounds are more agile, so we use highly overlapped analysis windows spaced 64 samples apart. This time difference between two frames in the spectrogram array is therefore only 64/16kHz= 4ms, allowing important instantaneous information to be captured.

A typical CNN structure form is chosen to match those used by other authors in the ASR domain. This comprises two convolutional layers with outputmaps of size 6 and 12, a convolution kernel size of 5×5 and a subsampling kernel size

Table 1. Accuracy (%) against SIF time span.

L	clean	20dB	10dB	0dB	mean
16	87.40	87.13	85.33	75.67	83.88
20	90.93	90.80	89.13	76.73	86.90
24	93.87	93.93	92.07	79.67	89.89
28	93.60	93.53	91.53	77.40	89.02
32	93.33	93.40	91.67	75.60	88.50
36	93.93	94.27	93.00	77.47	89.67
40	94.40	94.27	92.67	75.13	89.12
44	93.80	93.80	91.00	70.33	87.23
48	64.20	64.00	62.87	49.73	60.20

of 2×2 . The CNN toolbox [20] is used for all experiments.

4.2. Results and discussion

While the CNN classifier and the input feature representation both involve many parameters which could be individually tuned to improve performance, the following subsections investigate only the effect of different frequency and time resolutions in the input SIF, the effect of smoothing, and use of Mel-filterbanks to form the CNN input feature. Each test required the creation of a custom-sized CNN which were, apart from the feature under test, identical in other aspects.

4.2.1. The effect of SIF time-span on performance

The number of frames in a feature defines how much time one SIF spans. Since the test data set includes a range of sounds from very short to very long duration, it is not immediately clear what is the optimal time span. We therefore investigate full performance (i.e. in both clean and noisy conditions) with the number of frames in the SIF (L) set from 16 to 48. Results are shown in Table 1, where the frequency resolution is maintained at 24. We can see that performance first rises with L, then drops as it becomes too big, and within the central region of the table, the performance is relatively flat. We will therefore set the baseline L = 40 for future experiments. This value appears to be long enough to contain the necessary timespan, but short enough to maintain sufficient time resolution. It yields highest accuracy in clean conditions.

4.2.2. The effect of frequency resolution on performance

The frequency solution defines how many frequency bands there are in an image feature. We begin by setting the number of frames in the SIF to 40. Then we compute performance as the frequency resolution, B, is swept from 48 to 68 in steps of 4. The results are shown in Table 2. It is clear that best overall performance – in both clean and noisy conditions – is achieved when B = 52.

Therefore, 52×40 seems to be a suitable SIF dimensionality for the given experimental conditions, dataset and clas-

 Table 2. Accuracy (%) against frequency resolution.

B	clean	20dB	10dB	0dB	mean
48	96.60	96.27	93.87	79.13	91.47
52	97.33	97.40	95.67	83.07	93.37
56	96.73	96.53	94.27	81.47	92.25
60	97.27	97.07	93.93	79.73	92.00
64	97.27	97.13	94.47	80.13	92.25
68	96.93	97.00	94.27	78.87	91.77

sifier method. All sizes and dimensions of the final CNN and feature extractor were labelled clearly in Figs. 1 & 2.

4.2.3. Comparison with other system

Since we adopt a standard sound recognition task, database and evaluation criteria, it is possible to compare the proposed approach directly with existing state-of-the-art methods. The top part of Table 3 therefore lists a number of results from Dennis [18], with "*Dennis SIF*" reporting the accuracy that he achieved with a simpler spectrogram image feature and an SVM classifier. The lower part of the table compares our own systems, described as follows:

SIF-CNN is the baseline CNN outlined above. Performance is extremely good overall, at 93% mean accuracy. While performance with clean sounds is slightly worse than some of the traditional approaches, this is more than compensated for by an extremely good 83% accuracy in 0dB SNR conditions. SIF-IS-CNN is identical to the baseline CNN except for a 2-bin frequency domain smoothing applied to the spectrogram prior to de-noising. It is the highest performing system overall, especially for noisy conditions. Further experiments are currently being undertaken to determine whether this improvement is due to the smoothing of the de-noising vector or to smoothing of the spectrogram image itself. SIF-IS-DNN implements a 4-layer DNN using the same input features and number of classes as the CNN system. While there is no guarantee that the optimal dimension of internal layers for the DNN should match that of the CNN, it is at least an indication of DNN performance using the given feature and similar computational load. In fact the performance of this is better than all results reported prior to this paper, apart from the final SIF in [18] and the DNN system in [11].

MelFb-CNN uses the same setup as the SIF-CNN, but instead of smoothing the spectrogram image over a window of size W, a standard Mel-filterbank analysis is applied with the motivation that this has shown benefit for similar ASR tasks. Clearly the spectral content of the sounds analysed in this paper differs from that of speech, resulting in a slight performance degradation overall. However it is interesting that the performance in clean and 20dB SNR conditions is actually slightly improved by the use of the Mel-filterbank.

The final results, particularly for SIF-IS-CNN, confirm

Table 3. Classification accuracy (%) for various sound event detection methods (*italicised* systems are from Dennis [18] and McLoughlin et. al. [11]).

System	clean	20dB	10dB	0dB	mean
MFCC-HMM	99.4	71.9	42.3	15.7	57.4
MFCC-SVM	98.5	28.1	7.0	2.7	34.1
ETSI-AFE	99.1	89.4	71.7	35.4	73.9
MPEG-7	97.9	25.4	8.5	2.8	33.6
Gabor	99.8	41.9	10.8	3.5	39.0
GTCC	99.5	46.6	13.4	3.8	40.8
MP+MFCC	99.4	78.4	45.4	10.5	58.4
Dennis SIF	91.1	91.1	90.7	80.0	88.5
DNN-SIF	96.0	94.4	93.5	85.1	92.3
SIF-CNN	97.33	97.40	95.67	83.07	93.37
SIF-IS-CNN	97.33	97.27	96.20	85.47	94.07
SIF-IS-DNN	86.67	86.40	85.33	73.53	82.98
MelFb-CNN	97.67	97.53	94.67	70.27	90.04

the benefits of a SIF representation, including smoothing and de-noising, on creating a noise-robust sound event detection method. An excellent 85% accuracy is achieved in 0dB SNR, and mean accuracy exceeding 94%.

5. CONCLUSION

The paper has proposed the use of a convolutional neural network (CNN) for robust sound event detection, motivated by the inherent image-like nature of the spectrogram representation - and encouraged by recently reported good CNN performance for similar ASR tasks. A dimension reduction process has been developed to convert the arbitrary length spectrogram obtained from a sound recording into smoothed and denoised spectrogram image feature (SIF) blocks of size 52×40 . Both the frequency domain resolution and the time span of these blocks have been investigated in terms of classification performance using appropriately sized CNNs. Use of a standard evaluation task adopted by other authors has allowed direct comparison with other sound event recognition systems, and has revealed that the proposed CNN formulation, using smoothed and de-noised SIF features, is capable of yielding excellent classification accuracy, especially for the challenging 0dB SNR noise condition. To the author's knowledge, this paper describes the first published application of CNN to this domain, and yields the best accuracy reported to date from spectrogram features.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge, the following for supporting this work: National Nature Science Foundation of China (grant 61172158), Chinese Universities Scientific Fund (grants no WK2100060008 and WK2100000002).

7. REFERENCES

- Richard F. Lyon, "Machine hearing: an emerging field," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 42, pp. 1414– 1416, 2010.
- [2] Ian Vince McLoughlin, *Applied Speech and Audio Processing*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [3] Ian Vince McLoughlin, "Review: Line spectral pairs," *Signal processing*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 448–467, 2008.
- [4] Axel Plinge, René Grzeszick, and Gernot A Fink, "A bag-of-features approach to acoustic event detection," in Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 2014. ICASSP 2014 Proceedings. 2014 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2014, pp. 3732–3736.
- [5] Michael Casey, "Mpeg-7 sound-recognition tools," *IEEE Transactions on circuits and Systems for video Technology*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 737–747, 2001.
- [6] Selina Chu, Shrikanth Narayanan, and C-CJ Kuo, "Environmental sound recognition with time–frequency audio features," *Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1142–1158, 2009.
- [7] Thomas C Walters, Auditory-based processing of communication sounds, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2011.
- [8] Jonathan Dennis, Huy Dat Tran, and Haizhou Li, "Spectrogram image feature for sound event classification in mismatched conditions," *Signal Processing Letters*, *IEEE*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 130–133, 2011.
- [9] Jonathan Dennis, Huy Dat Tran, and Eng Siong Chng, "Image feature representation of the subband power distribution for robust sound event classification," *Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 367–377, 2013.
- [10] Guodong Guo and Stan Z Li, "Content-based audio classification and retrieval by support vector machines," *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 209–215, 2003.
- [11] Ian McLoughlin, Zhang H.-M., Xie Z.-P., Song Y, and Xiao W, "Robust sound event classification using deep neural networks," *Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. PP, no. 99, 2015.
- [12] Richard F Lyon, Jay Ponte, and Gal Chechik, "Sparse coding of auditory features for machine hearing in interference," in *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2011 IEEE International Conference on.* IEEE, 2011, pp. 5876–5879.

- [13] Yann LeCun and Yoshua Bengio, "Convolutional networks for images, speech, and time series," *The handbook of brain theory and neural networks*, vol. 3361, 1995.
- [14] Yann LeCun, Léon Bottou, Yoshua Bengio, and Patrick Haffner, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, 1998.
- [15] Jake Bouvrie, "Notes on convolutional neural networks," 2006.
- [16] Ossama Abdel-Hamid, Abdel-rahman Mohamed, Hui Jiang, and Gerald Penn, "Applying convolutional neural networks concepts to hybrid nn-hmm model for speech recognition," in *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2012 IEEE International Conference on.* IEEE, 2012, pp. 4277–4280.
- [17] Tara N Sainath, Abdel-rahman Mohamed, Brian Kingsbury, and Bhuvana Ramabhadran, "Deep convolutional neural networks for lvcsr," in Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2013 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2013, pp. 8614–8618.
- [18] Jonathan William Dennis, Sound Event Recognition in Unstructured Environments using Spectrogram Image Processing, Ph.D. thesis, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 2014.
- [19] Satoshi Nakamura, Kazuo Hiyane, Futoshi Asano, Takeshi Yamada, and Takashi Endo, "Data collection in real acoustical environments for sound scene understanding and hands-free speech recognition," in *EU-ROSPEECH*, 1999, pp. 2255–2258.
- [20] Rasmus Berg Palm, "Prediction as a candidate for learning deep hierarchical models of data," *Technical University of Denmark, Palm*, 2012.