1

Flocculation of Cyanobacterial Cells Using Coal Fly

2 Ash Modified Chitosan

- 3 Yuting Yuan, Honggang Zhang, Gang Pan*
- 4 Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing,
- 5 100085, China
- 6 *Corresponding author: Tel.: +86 10 62849686; Fax: +86 10 62849686;
- 7 E-mail address: gpan@rcees.ac.cn (GP)

Abstract

8

9 Harmful algal blooms (HABs) have increasingly occurred worldwide, which pose 10 serious threats to water environment safety. In this study, a compound flocculant 11 (CFAL-Chitosan) was developed for HABs mitigation where chitosan was modified 12 by coal fly ash leachate (CFAL). When using optimized dosage of CFAL-Chitosan 13 flocculant, the zeta potential of Microcystis aeruginosa (M.A.) flocs stayed close to 14 zero and the algal removal efficiency plateaued over 95 % in a wide dosage range 15 from 3 to 6 mg/L. For chitosan without CFAL, the removal efficiency peaked at 3 mg/L with a maximum removal efficiency of 81%, which quickly decreased as the 16 17 dosage increased (> 3 mg/L) due to the fast reversal of zeta potential. This indicated 18 that CFAL-chitosan could maintain better removal efficiency over a wide dosage 19 range due to improved property on charge neutralization than that of chitosan alone. 20 The flocs of CFAL-Chitosan were larger and denser than that of chitosan without CFAL. However, excessive CFAL beyond the optimized dose inhibited M.A. removal due to the hydrolysis and declining of molecular weight of chitosan that weakened the bridging-netting property, where the surface charge reversal happened within a narrow dosage range and the removal-dosage curve became parabola. The pH and environmentally sensitive metal residuals in the algal solution were not significantly affected by the adding of optimized dosage of CAFL-chitosan. The study provides a possible way for HABs control using the cheap material of CFA. Further studies are needed to check the potential influence of leachable metals and persistent organic pollutants (pops) in CFA under a wide range of environmental condition.

Key words

31 Harmful algal blooms; *Microcystis Aeruginosa*; Flocculation; Chitosan; Coal fly ash.

1. Introduction

Harmful algal blooms (HABs) and lake eutrophication have been intensively studied due to their threats to aquatic organisms, human health, costal aesthetics and aquacultures (Gan et al. 2010, Thornton et al. 2013). Many approaches have been tested to control the nutrient fluxes to the receiving water bodies including internal and external loading management (Huser 2012, Sondergaard et al. 2002, Spears et al. 2013). However, in cases where nutrient management is not economically feasible or the results obtained are unsatisfactory, additional strategies are needed to reinforce the

- 40 recovery such as algae harvesting (Chen et al. 2012), filtrations (Yadidia et al. 1977), 41 fish stocking (Jeppesen et al. 2012) and algicides (Garcia-Villada et al. 2004). 42 Aluminum and iron (Al/Fe) salts are widely used as geo-engineering materials for 43 P-sorption in eutrophic water. In addition, the aluminum and iron salts can be used as 44 flocculant because their hydrolysis products can overcome the electrostatic 45 stabilization of algal cells and promote flocs formation (Gonzalez-Torres et al. 2014). 46 Effective precipitation is generally obtained by Al/Fe salts when a ballast is included 47 (Pan et al. 2011a). Flocculation can be a welcome techniques combined with the 48 nutrient control methods for eutrophication restoration, which can improve the water clarity and trigger submerged macrophyte restoration in shallow waters (Pei et al. 49 50 2014, Sun et al. 2013). However, the possible accumulation of Al in aquatic food 51 chain may pose risks to human health such as Alzheimer's disease (Kawahara and 52 Kato-Negishi 2011). 53 In recent years, efforts have been made on utilization of natural polymers as 54 flocculants such as chitosan (Li and Pan 2013, Pan et al. 2011a, Zou et al., 2006) 55 which may be biodegradable and less accumulated in aquatic food chain (Wang et al. 2015). Chitosan enhances HABs removal for local soil materials via charge 56 57 neutralization and bridging-netting effect (Li et al. 2015, Zou et al. 2006), however, 58 the algal removal rate may decline due to the folding of chitosan molecular chain in 59 high ionic strength and alkalinity environment (Pan et al. 2011a).
- 60 Commercial inorganic flocculants have been tested to improve the flocculation

efficiency of chitosan. Chitosan combined with poly aluminum chloride (PAC) can turn local soils into effective flocculants. Over 90% of algal cells were removed using 10 mg/L PAC and 10 mg/L chitosan (Pan et al. 2011a). The PAC facilitates formation of small flocs which are linked by chitosan into flocs 40% larger than using PAC alone (Pan et al. 2011a). Coal fly ash (CFA) contains 25-30% Al₂O₃ and 6-15% Fe₂O₃ (Ahmaruzzaman 2010), which may potentially be a raw material for flocculation. Several studies report that CFA based flocculants prepared from acid or alkaline leachate of CFA are effective alternatives to commercial inorganic flocculants for water purification (Fan et al. 2005, Yan et al. 2012). The flocculants derived from CFA may have the potential to enhance the flocculation ability of chitosan. Besides, CFA is a fine textured material and easily accessible in many cities which can potentially accelerate flocs sedimentation by adding frame and weight to the flocs. So far, few studies are seen on HABs removal using CFA and little is known on the effects of using chitosan and Al/Fe in CFA on algae flocculation. In this study, hydrochloric acid was used to extract Al/Fe in CFA. Chitosan was modified by the leachate of CFA (CFAL) to prepare a compound flocculant (CFAL-Chitosan) for M.A. flocculation. It is hypothesized that the Al and Fe in CFAL can interacted with chitosan and form a compound flocculant which may enhance the algal removal ability of chitosan. We evaluated the flocculation efficiency of the compound flocculant via dosage effect on removal efficiency, surface charge, floc size and stability. The FT-IR and molecular weight analysis were conducted to elucidate

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

- 82 the flocculation mechanisms. The objective of the study is to find a new method for
- HABs control using chitosan and ways for CFA recycling.

2. Materials and methods

84

85

96

2.1 Algal species and culture

The Microcystis aeruginosa cell (M.A., FACHB-469) was obtained from the 86 87 Freshwater Algae Culture Collection at the Institute of Hydrobiology (FACHB) 88 Chinese Academy of Sciences, and cultured in BG11 medium under controlled 89 conditions. Before autoclaving, the BG11 growth medium was adjusted to pH 8.0 90 using 0.5 mol/L NaOH or 0.5 mol/L HCl. The algae batch culture with initial density of 1.23×10^8 cells/L was held in a 10 L glass vessel and kept at 25 ± 1 °C under 91 92 2000-3000 lx of white fluorescent light on a 12 h light and 12 h darkness regime in an 93 illuminating incubator (LRH-250-G, Guangdong Medical Appratus Co.Ltd., China). 94 Continuous aeration was supplied during the algae growth phase. The M. aeruginosa 95 cells under this condition were dispersed single cells (Li and Pan 2013).

2.2 CFA and CFAL-Chitosan

97 CFA was collected in a power plant in Datong City (Shanxi province, China). The
98 CFA was washed with deionized water three times, dried at 105°C, then sieved
99 through 180 mesh before use (<90μm, pre-treated CFA). The pre-treated CFA was
100 characterized by the X-ray fluorescence (XRF-1800, Shimadzu, Japan) and X-ray

Diffraction (X'Pert Pro MPD X-ray Diffractometer, Philips, Netherlands). The Toxicity Characterization Leaching Procedures (TCLP, see Supplementary materials) were carried out to determine the metal mobility of pre-treated CFA (USEPA 1994). Leachates from three different extraction fluids (pH 2.88, 4.93 and 7.50) were analyzed according to Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES; Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, USA). Pre-treated CFA was used in two ways in this study. The 100 mg/L of pre-treated CFA was utilized directly in the flocculation experiments and acted as ballast to assist sedimentation processes. Besides, the leachate of pre-treated CFA (CFAL) was obtained using hydrochloric acid and used for chitosan modification. The leaching protocol was optimized through a preliminary test and set as 0.55 mol/L of hydrochloric acid, solid/liquid ratio of 1 g:5 mL, leaching time of 24 h under 25°C at agitation rate of 180 rpm in an oscillation incubator (HZQ-F160, HDL Electronic Technology Development Co., LTD, China). The CFAL was separated from the insoluble particles by 0.45 µm filter membrane. The metal concentrations in the CFAL were measured by ICP-OES (Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, USA). The chitosan powder was purchased from Qingdao Yunzhou Biochemistry CO.,LTD which originates from crab shells. Four CFAL-Chitosan stock solutions were prepared as algae flocculants, denoted as F-0, F-12, F-20 and F-40. The F-0 was prepared by adding 0.5 g chitosan in 100 mL of 0.09 M acetic acid. Different volumes of CFAL (6, 10 and 20 mL) were diluted to 100 mL and 0.5 g chitosan was added to the dilutions

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

described above to prepare F-12, F-20 and F-40, respectively. The CFAL/Chitosan ratio for F-0, F-12, F-20 and F-40 was 0 mL:1 g, 12 mL:1 g, 20 mL:1 g and 40 mL:1 g, respectively. The CFAL-Chitosan stock solutions were freshly made and diluted ten times before use.

2.3 Molecular weight and component analysis

126

The molecular weight (M_v) of CFAL-Chitosan was obtained from the intrinsic 127 viscosity using Mark-Houwink-Sakurada equation reported before (Wang et al. 1991). 128 129 The intrinsic viscosity was determined using 0.2 M acetic acid/0.1 M sodium acetate with Ubbelohde viscometer (Supplementary Materials, Intrinsic viscosity). The 130 131 viscosity of CFAL-Chitosan stock solution was quantified by rotational viscometer 132 (NDJ-1, Shanghai Yueping Scientific Instrument co., LTD, China). 133 The CFAL-Chitosan were dried and mixed with KBr in ratio of 1 mg: 100 mg for 134 FT-IR test (Nicolet 8700, Thermo Fisher, USA). The total Al and Fe in the 135 CFAL-Chitosan (F-12, F-20, and F-40) were measured by ICP-OES (Optima 8300, 136 PerkinElmer, USA). The Al bonded with chitosan (chitosan-Al) was separated by Al 137 fraction procedure (Vanbenschoten and Edzwald, 1990) and quantified by ICP-OES (Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, USA). The free Fe was measured by polarograph (797 138 VA Computrace, Metrohm, Switzerland) and the Fe bonded with chitosan 139 140 (chitosan-Fe) was calculated as the subtraction of free Fe from the total Fe.

2.4 Algae flocculation

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

Flocculation experiments were set up in a jar test apparatus (ZR3-6, Zhongrun Water Industry Technology Development Co., Ltd., China). Algal cells in the mid- to late-exponential growth phase (Chen et al. 2004) were used and the cell concentration was 4.15-4.23×10⁹ cells/L in the flocculation experiments. The algal solution was adjusted to pH 8.0 either by 0.5 mol/L NaOH or HCl before flocculation and 200 mL of algal solution was transferred to 300 mL beaker for flocculation. In all flocculation experiments, pre-treated CFA of 100 mg/L was added to the algal solution to assist floc sedimentation. CFAL-Chitosan of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 5.0 and 6.0 mg/L (in terms of chitosan concentration) were added and the control was conducted without adding any flocculants. The stirring process was 200 rpm for 1 min, 120 rpm for 2 min, 40 rpm for 10 min. Samples (2 mL) from 2 cm below water surface were collected after sedimentation for 0, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min for cell counting. The removal rate was calculated as (initial cell concentration-sample cell concentration)/initial cell concentration ×100%. The cells were firstly fixed with Lugol solution (1% final conc.) and enumerated using a hemocytometer under microscope (Axioskop 2 mot plus, Carl ZEISS, Germany). The zeta potential was measured by Zetasizer 2000 (Malvern Co. UK). The floc growth during the flocculation process was monitored by a laser particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 2000, Malvern Co. UK). Samples were sent into the analyzer and back to the jar by a peristaltic pump (BT00-300M, Baoding Longer Percision Pump Co. Ltd., China) with

a flow rate of 35 mL/min. The metal residuals including Al, As, Cr, Cd, Ba and Mn
after flocculation were quantified with ICP-OES (Optima 8300, PerkinElmer, USA).

The pH values were recorded before and after flocculation. The flocculation tests
were operated in triplicate and the results were presented as mean values.

2.5 Floc stability

Different shear force was applied to the flocs following the slow stirring process by increasing the stirring speed to 75, 100, 150, 200 and 250 rpm for another 20 min. The corresponding velocity gradient (G) values were 28.1, 41.3, 71.3, 105.0 and 141.7 s⁻¹, respectively. The dynamic flocs size was recorded as $d_{0.5}$ during the stirring process. Referring to the empirical equation (Shi et al. 2015), the broken floc size was plotted against the average velocity gradient in a log-log scale and the slope of the curve (γ) is the main factor to quantify floc stability.

$$\log d = \log C - \gamma \log G$$

where d is the median floc diameter ($d_{0.5}$) after breakage, μ m; C is the floc strength co-efficient; γ is the stable floc exponent and G is the average velocity gradient, s^{-1} .

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of CFA and CFAL-Chitosan

The pre-treated CFA used in this study mainly consisted of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃

(Table S1). The XRD showed the presence of quartz (SiO₂), mullite (3Al₂O₃·2SiO₂),

hematite (Fe₂O₃) and corundum (Al₂O₃) in pre-treated CFA (Fig.S1). The metal ions leached from the pre-treated CFA were more evident under acid conditions (pH=2.88) but less concerned when pH was 7.5 (Table 1). The total Al and Fe in CFAL-Chitosan increased with the increeasing ratio of CFAL/Chitosan and the chitosan-Al and -Fe were detected in CFAL-Chitosan (Fig.1).

Table 1 is here.

Fig.1 is here.

The molecular weight (M_{ν}) of CFAL-Chitosan was calculated from the intrinsic viscosity. The M_{ν} of chitosan without CFAL (CFAL/Chitosan 0:1) was 682 kDa and similar to that of chitosan powder. Both M_{ν} and viscosity of CFAL-Chitosan decreased as CFAL/Chitosan ratio increased. When CFAL/Chitosan ratio was 40:1, the M_{ν} and viscosity decreased 21.3% and 63.5% respectively compared to chitosan without CFAL.

Fig.2 is here.

The chitosan powder and chitosan without CFAL (CFAL/Chitosan 0:1) exhibited similar FT-IR spectra (Fig.3). A broad adsorption band around 3417 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the overlap of OH and NH₂ stretching vibration and peak at 2900 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the stretching of CH (Ng et al. 2012). Band around 1650 cm⁻¹ referred to the amide I group, and peak at 1596 and 1561 cm⁻¹ was the band of amide II (Ng et al. 2012). The aliphatic OH band, acetal and glycosidic linkage were associated with peaks at 1423, 1154-1030 and 898 cm⁻¹, respectively (Ng et al. 2012,

Wang et al. 2011). The spectrum of chitosan with CFAL (F-12, F-20, and F-40 in Fig.3) showed different characteristics from chitosan without CFAL. Band at 3417 cm⁻¹ and amide I group shifted to lower wavenumber. The band of amide II and aliphatic OH extinguished, however, a new band emerged around 1500 cm⁻¹.

Fig.3 is here.

202

203

204

205

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

3.2 Dosage effect of CFAL-Chitosan

For chitosan without CFAL, the M.A. removal reached to the peak of 81.6±1.9% at 3 mg/L then decreased significantly when chitosan dosage exceeded 3 mg/L (F-0 in Fig.4). When the CFAL/Chitosan ratio was increased to 12:1, the maximum removal rate plateaued at 98.2±1.5% at 3 mg/L and remained stable until the dosage increased to 6 mg/L (F-12 in Fig.4). Removal rate of 95.0±1.5% was found at 3.5 mg/L for CFAL/Chitosan ratio of 20:1 (F-20 in Fig.4). When the CFAL/Chitosan ratio further increasing to 40:1 (F-40), the algae removal reached to the peak of 76.5±2.8% at 2 mg/L, which was quickly reduced beyond the optimal dosage of 2 mg/L (F-40 in Fig.4). The zeta potential of M.A. flocs increased as CFAL-Chitosan was added to the algal solution. For F-0 and F-40, the charge of M.A. flocs reversed at 5 mg/L and 3.5 mg/L, respectively. While the charge reversals were not observed for both F-12 and F-20 bellow the dosage of 6 mg/L. According to the dosage-efficiency curves, the CFAL-Chitosan dosage was set as 3 mg/L for the floc growth, flocculation kinetic and floc stability experiments.

Fig.4 is here.

3.3 Floc growth and flocculation kinetics

Using pre-treated CFA up to 100 mg/L did not promote M.A. aggregation and the removal efficiency was nearly zero (Fig.5 & 6). For chitosan without CFAL, the growth of flocs plateaued at 12 minutes with floc size of approx. 560 μm (F-0 in Fig.5). After sedimentation for 5 min, the removal rate of F-0 reached 79.7% and kept a stable trend as time increased (F-0 in Fig.6). When CFAL/Chitosan ratio increased to 12:1 and 20:1, the floc size increased to 750 μm (F-12 & F-20 in Fig.5), but F-12 exhibited a faster growth rate. The removal efficiency of F-12 reached 97.2% within 2 min and remained stable, while 87.5% of algal cells were removed for F-20 after sedimentation for 60 min (Fig.6). When CFAL/Chitosan ratio increased to 40:1, the floc size (380 μm) decreased compared to F-0, F-12 and F-20 and a lower removal rate of 72.8% was achieved at 60 min (Fig.5 & 6).

Fig.5 is here.

Fig.6 is here.

3.4 Floc stability

The stability of algae flocs at 3 mg/L CFAL-Chitosan was tested by measuring the floc size changes after applying a shear force (Shi et al. 2015). The stable floc exponent (γ) is a quantitative measurement of floc stability. When CFAL/Chitosan ratio was 12, the γ of flocs was 0.39, lower than chitosan without CFAL (0.49)

indicating that the floc stability was improved (Fig.7). However, when excessive CFAL was added (F40), the floc stability decreased compared to CFAL-Chitosan (F-12) (Fig.7).

Fig.7 is here.

4. Discussion

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

4.1 The M.A. removal by chitosan without CFAL

The zeta potential of M.A. flocs was -34.8 mv when pre-treated CFA alone (100 mg/L) was added and the algal cells were not removed due to the electrostatic repulsion (Fig.4 & 6). When chitosan without CFAL (CFAL/Chitosan ratio =0:1) was added at 3 mg/L, the removal rate reached to the peak of 81.4±1.9% and the zeta potential increased from -34.8 to -15.4 mv, indicating the electrostatic repulsion was reduced, which may due to the attraction between amine groups of chitosan and algal cells (F-0 in Fig.4). Chitosan is a linear biopolymer with high molecular weight (682 kDa, Fig.2) and has a long polymer chain structure (Li et al. 2013). The flocs of large size were formed (560 µm) through electrostatic attraction and bridging-netting function by the long polymer chain of chitosan (F-0 in Fig.5). However, 18.6% of algae cells were not removed since the algae flocs were not sufficiently neutralized with zeta potential far below zero (-15.4 mv) at the optimized dosage of chitosan without CFAL (3 mg/L) (Li et al. 2015). Besides, the M.A. flocculation was not stable and declined significantly at 5 mg/L due to the reversal of the zeta potential (+3.4 mv) and

re-stabalization of algal flocs (F-0 in Fig.4).

262

263

4.2 The M.A. removal by chitosan with CFAL

264 Although CFAL alone was not effective in M.A. removal (Table S2), it enhanced M.A. 265 flocculation of chitosan (F-12, F-20, Fig.4). The removal rate of F-12 and F-20 266 reached over 95% at 3 and 3.5 mg/L, respectively and was higher than chitosan 267 without CFAL (Fig.4). Moreover, the floc of F-12 were 34% larger and more stable and sunk faster together with a ballast than chitosan without CFAL (Fig.5, 6 & 7). 268 269 When the flocculant dosage was beyond the optimized dosage, the zeta potential of 270 algal flocs using chitosan with CFAL (F-12, F-20) stayed near zero and the algal removal efficiency plateaued over 90%. While for chitosan without CFAL (F-0), the 271 272 removal efficiency peaked at 3 mg/L with a lower removal efficiency than 273 CFAL-Chitosan (F-12, F-20) and significantly decreased due to the fast reversal of 274 zeta potential at higher dosage (5~6 mg/L, Fig.4). This indicated that CFAL-Chitosan 275 can maintain a better algal removal rate over wide dosage range due to improved 276 property on charge neutralization. The component analysis confirmed the formation of 277 chitosan-Al and -Fe in the prepared flocculants (Fig.1). Compared with the FT-IR 278 spectrum of chitosan without CFAL (CFAL/Chitosan ratio=0:1), the amide II and 279 aliphatic OH groups disappeared when chitosan was modified by CFAL (F-12, F-20, F-40 in Fig.3). A distinct band emerged at 1500 cm⁻¹ which could potentially be the 280 281 characteristic of Al-NH₂ or Fe-NH₂ (Himmel et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2011). It 282 indicated that the OH and NH2 of chitosan might chelate with Al and Fe in CFAL.

283 The free Al/Fe in CFAL-Chitosan may also contribute to enhancing the charge neutralization of chitosan and it requires further studies to explore the functions of 284 285 chitosan-Al and -Fe. 286 For F-12, when the dosage was higher than 3 mg/L, the electrostatic repulsion 287 between M.A. flocs kept low and the M.A. removal remained over 90% (Fig.4). 288 However, when the CFAL/Chitosan ratio increased to 40:1, sharp decline of algal 289 removal occurred again at 3.5 mg/L due to reversed charge (+2.6 mv). This indicated 290 that excessively increasing the CFAL/Chitosan ratio may result in faster reversal of 291 algal charge and narrow the dosage range for good algal removal. The flocs formed at 292 F-40 was less stable with higher γ value under the conditions tested compared to F-12. 293 Effective M.A. flocculation was generally obtained at the dosage where the zeta 294 potential of algal flocs was near zero. In this study, moderate amount of CFAL 295 (CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1) optimized the charge neutralization of chitosan and a 296 wide dosage range for effective M.A. removal was obtained. 297 The long chain structure of chitosan is largely responsible for the bridging-netting 298 property which is positively related to the molecular weight (Li et al. 2013). When 299 chitosan was modified by CFAL, the molecular weight (M_v) of CFAL-Chitosan 300 decreased (Fig.2), indicating that the long chain structure of chitosan was adversely 301 influenced and the bridging-netting ability was weakened by the over dosed CFAL. 302 The hydrochloride acid in CFAL may trigger the hydrolysis of chitosan molecules 303 (Vårum et al. 2001). The floc size and stability of chitosan modified by CFAL decreased and flocs sedimentation became slower under CFAL/Chitosan ratio of 40:1, which supported the weakening of bridging-netting effect (Fig.5 & 6).

4.3 Flocculation materials and methodology

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

Previous studies have revealed that particles with the right size can enhance the collision frequency and add frame to the flocs to accelerate sedimentation (Chen and Pan 2012, Li and Pan 2013, Pan et al. 2006, Park et al. 2013). In this study, when using CFAL-Chitosan (F-12) at 3 mg/L without pre-treated CFA, the removal efficiency of algal cells was 89.6±0.6%. This was about 8% lower than 3 mg/L CFAL-Chitosan (F-12) with 100 mg/L of pre-treated CFA. Pre-treated CFA, an alternative ballast material to local soil, facilitated algal removal when used with CFAL-Chitosan (F-12 in Fig.4). As a solid waste, the ecological safety of CFA including CFA particles and CFAL is the prerequisite for its application in natural waters. Since the heavy metal ions such as Mn and Ba (Table S3) were detected in CFAL, the dosage of CFAL used in chitosan modification should be carefully optimized which was closely related to the amount of heavy metals ions introduced to the algal solution. Although the metal mobility in pre-treated CFA under alkaline conditions was low (pH=7.50) and within the allowable limits of USEPA standard for hazardous materials (1994), it may be a concern under acid conditions (Table 1). CFA may also contain persistent organic pollutants such as PAH and dioxin. The availability of these pollutants in CFA under wide environmental conditions needs further investigation. Moreover, CFA composition varies from coal types and

combustion processes. CFA screening is essential before it can be used for HAB control. The pH and metal residuals in algal solution before and after flocculation were not significantly influenced (Table S4) at the conditions tested here. Hydrochloric acid is a frequently used extracting agent to prepare CFA based flocculants (Choo et al. 2014, Yan et al. 2012). In this study, hydrochloric acid can extract Al/Fe in CFA which improve the charge neutralization for chitosan. However, concentrated hydrochloric acid can result in the hydrolysis and decrease of molecular weight of chitosan, which inhibits the bridging-netting ability (Fig.2). For CFAL/Chitosan ratio of 40:1, the M.A. removal was 73.6±3.6% at 3 mg/L although the M.A. cells were neutralized with zeta potential near zero (-2.8 mv, Fig.4). During the preparation of CFAL-Chitosan, CFAL was diluted suggesting that the acid concentration used for CFA leaching can be reduced in practical application to alleviate the negative impacts on chitosan structure. There was a balance between the charge neutralization enhancement and structural influence of chitosan when modified by CFAL. It is likely that the M.A. removal can be potentially improved by screening mild extracting agents which not only extract Al/Fe but also maintain the chitosan structure.

4.4 Environmental implications

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

In the past decades, efforts have been made to reduce the external loading via improving environmental standards such as wastewater treatment and agriculture, and internal loading such as adding P-sorption materials and sediment dredging

(Drabkova and Marsalek 2007). However, many additional physical, chemical and biological methods have been developed to reinforce recovery when obtained results are unsatisfactory. Flocculation can quickly remove the suspended algal cells down to the sediments and improve water transparency which provides favorable conditions for photosynthesis and/or submerged macrophytes restoration in shallow waters (Bakker et al. 2013). The usage of CFAL-Chitosan as algal flocculant may have positive side-effects such as killing the settled algal cells since the breakdown products of chitosan are suspected to have antibacterial activities (Wisniewska-Wrona et al 2007) but the latter requires further studies. In addition, using pre-treated CFA as alternative ballast to replace local soil has several advantages in some cases. Firstly, CFA is produced in large quantity and convenient to access for places with thermal power plant. While local soils may be not easily available especially in developed urban areas (prohibited by urban planning/regulations). Secondly, the CFA is a fine textured material which easily collides with algal cells (Han & Kim 2001). The pre-treatment of CFA described in this study such as washing, drying and sieving may not be needed in practical application and CFA may be used directly without processing after careful check of heavy metal and persistent organic pollutants. While the handling cost of local soils could be substantial when using labor for digging, grinding, sieving, and washing. Thirdly, CFA is a solid waste of low value and the cost of CFA disposal may be a burden for the producing factories. While local soils are important resources for urban planning, landscape conservation and agriculture. In

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

cases where CFA is available and local soil is prohibited to be collected at large scale, CFAL-chitosan method may provide a possibility to utilize CFA for HAB control. Controlled lab stirring condition is essential for repeating and revealing the mechanisms of algal flocculation. However, in the field, the flocculation behavior could be influenced by many factors such as the type of algae (single or colonial cells), pH, salinity, vertical and horizontal mixing of water etc. Preliminary jar tests are required before field application. Moreover, the flocs were prone to break under turbulent conditions (Fig.S2) and this can be a problem in shallow lakes where wind-oriented turbulence is inevitable. The degradation of algae may damage the cell membrane integrity which might stimulate the release of microcystins and consume dissolved oxygen. In addition, accumulation of algal flocs on lake sediments could influence the redox condition of the sediment and thereby influence pollutant fluxes from sediment to overlying water such as nutrients fluxes. It was reported that capping materials may be helpful in solving these problems (Pan et al. 2012). The microorganism modified capping materials could be effective for decomposing microcystins released from the broken M. aeruginosa (Li and Pan 2015). Capping materials loaded with oxygen nanobubbles may improve the hypoxia condition near the sediment and alleviate pollutants released from sediments (Pan and Yang 2012). In some cases, it is possible to utilize the settled flocs as fertilizer for the restoration of submerged macrophytes (Pan et al. 2012, Pan et al. 2011b). The control of adverse effects after algal flocculation is a very complex issue and the possibility to

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

manipulate them using geo-engineering methods needs further studies.

389 The use of non-biodegradable chemicals such as FeCl₃ and PAC, or alum may pose risks to human health such as Alzheimer's disease through bio-accumulation 390 391 (Kawahara and Kato-Negishi 2011). In this study, at the optimized dosage of 392 CFAL-Chitosan, the calculated Al dosage was 0.02 mg/L (F-12, 3 mg/L) and 393 significantly lower compared to the effective dosage reported in other studies 394 (Gonzalez-Torres et al. 2014, Paul et al. 2008). Introducing small amount of CFAL 395 can improve the flocculation efficiency of chitosan and CFAL-Chitosan to some 396 extent decreased the use of bulk chemicals. Table S5 estimated the cost of several 397 methods for HABs control. To achieve removal rate over 90%, the cost of CFAL-Chitosan is 0.07 US\$/m³, which is lower than the PAC-Chitosan (0.23 US\$/m³) 398 399 and Moringa oleifera-Chitosan (MO-Chitosan, 5.19 US\$/m³) (Li and Pan 2013, Pan 400 et al. 2011a). In further studies, it is possible to reduce the cost by screening cheap 401 biopolymers as chitosan alternatives such as cationic starch and larch tannin (Shi et al. 402 2015, Wang et al. 2013).

5. Conclusion

403

404

405

406

407

408

388

In this study, we developed a compound flocculant using coal fly ash leachate (CFAL) modified chitosan for *Microcystis aeruginosa* (M.A.) flocculation. It was found that the CFAL enhanced flocculation ability of chitosan for M.A. removal at CFAL/Chitosan ratio of 12:1 and good algal removal rate remained in a wide dosage range due to the improvement of charge neutralization property. The algal flocs of

CFAL-Chitosan were larger and denser than chitosan without CFAL. However, when CFAL/Chitosan ratio was increased beyond the optimal, surplus of CFAL inhibited the M.A. removal due to the hydrolysis and declining of molecular weight of chitosan which impaired the bridging-netting property. New mild extracting methods should be studied in the future which not only extract Al/Fe in CFA but also maintain the chitosan structure at the same time. CFA combined with CFAL-Chitosan can be a possible economical way for HABs mitigation owing to its easy availability and pretreatment processes. Further studies are needed to check the potential influence of leachable metals and persistent organic pollutants (pops) in CFA under a wide range of environmental condition.

Acknowledgments

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

423

- 420 The research was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of CAS
- 421 (XDA09030203), the Science Promotion Program of RCEES, CAS (YSW2013B05),
- and National Natural Science Foundation of China (41401551).

References

- 424 Ahmaruzzaman, M. 2010. A review on the utilization of fly ash. Progress in Energy
- 425 and Combustion Science 36(3), 327-363.
- Bakker, E.S., Sarneel, J.M., Gulati, R.D., Liu, Z. and van Donk, E. 2013. Restoring
- 427 macrophyte diversity in shallow temperate lakes: biotic versus abiotic constraints.

- 428 Hydrobiologia 710(1), 23-37.
- 429 Chen, H., Pan, G., and Zhang, M.M. 2004. Effect of growth phaseon the
- flocculation of algal cells using clays. Environmental Science (06), 85-88 (in
- 431 Chinese).
- Chen, J. and Pan, G. 2012. Harmful algal blooms mitigation using clay/soil/sand
- 433 modified with xanthan and calcium hydroxide. Journal of applied phycology 24(5),
- 434 1183-1189.
- Chen, W., Jia, Y., Li, E., Zhao, S., Zhou, Q., Liu, L. and Song, L. 2012. Soil-Based
- 436 Treatments of Mechanically Collected Cyanobacterial Blooms from Lake Taihu:
- 437 Efficiencies and Potential Risks. Environmental Science & Technology 46(24),
- 438 13370-13376.
- Choo, T.K., Song, Y., Zhang, L., Selomulya, C. and Zhang, L. 2014. Mechanisms
- 440 Underpinning the Mobilization of Iron and Magnesium Cations from Victorian Brown
- 441 Coal Fly Ash. Energy & Fuels 28(6), 4051-4061.
- Drabkova M., Marsalek B.: A review of in-lake methods of cyanobacterial blooms
- control and management. CyanoData -The Glogal Database of Methods for
- 444 Cyanobacterial Blooms Management, Centre for Cyanobacteria and their Toxins.
- http://www.cyanodata.net/April, 2007.
- 446 Fan, M.H., Brown, R.C., Wheelock, T.D., Cooper, A.T., Nomura, M. and Zhuang, Y.H.
- 447 2005. Production of a complex coagulant from fly ash. Chemical Engineering Journal
- 448 106(3), 269-277.

- 449 Gan, N.Q., Sun, X.Y. and Song, L.R. 2010. Activation of Nrf2 by Microcystin-LR
- 450 Provides Advantages for Liver Cancer Cell Growth. Chemical Research in Toxicology
- 451 23(9), 1477-1484.
- 452 Garcia-Villada, L., Rico, M., Altamirano, M., Sanchez-Martin, L., Lopez-Rodas, V.
- and Costas, E. 2004. Occurrence of copper resistant mutants in the toxic
- 454 cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa: characterisation and future implications in the
- use of copper sulphate as algaecide. Water Research 38(8), 2207-2213.
- 456 Gonzalez-Torres, A., Putnam, J., Jefferson, B., Stuetz, R.M. and Henderson, R.K.
- 457 2014. Examination of the physical properties of Microcystis aeruginosa flocs
- 458 produced on coagulation with metal salts. Water Research 60, 197-209.
- 459 Han, M.Y. and Kim, W. 2001. A theoretical consideration of algae removal with clays.
- 460 Microchemical Journal 68(2-3), 157-161.
- 461 Himmel, H.J., Downs, A.J. and Greene, T.M. 2000. Thermal and photochemical
- reactions of aluminum, gallium, and indium atoms (M) in the presence of ammonia:
- Generation and characterization of the species M center dot NH3, HMNH2, MNH2,
- and H2MNH2. Journal of the American Chemical Society 122(40), 9793-9807.
- 465 Huser, B.J. 2012. Variability in phosphorus binding by aluminum in alum treated
- lakes explained by lake morphology and aluminum dose. Water Research 46(15),
- 467 4697-4704.
- Jeppesen, E., Sondergaard, M., Lauridsen, T.L., Davidson, T.A., Liu, Z., Mazzeo, N.,
- 469 Trochine, C., Ozkan, K., Jensen, H.S., Trolle, D., Starling, F., Lazzaro, X., Johansson,

- 470 L.S., Bjerring, R., Liboriussen, L., Larsen, S.E., Landkildehus, F., Egemose, S. and
- 471 Meerhoff, M. 2012. Advances in Ecological Research, Vol 47: Global Change in
- 472 Multispecies Systems, Pt 2. Woodward, G., Jacob, U. and Ogorman, E.J. (eds), pp.
- 473 411-488.
- 474 Kawahara, M. and Kato-Negishi, M. 2011. Link between Aluminum and the
- 475 Pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease: The Integration of the Aluminum and Amyloid
- 476 Cascade Hypotheses. International journal of Alzheimer's disease 2011,
- 477 276393-276393.
- 478 Li, H. and Pan, G. 2015. Simultaneous removal of harmful algal blooms and
- 479 microcystins using microorganism and chitosan modified local soil (in press).
- 480 Environmental Science & Technology Doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00840.
- 481 Li, J., Jiao, S., Zhong, L., Pan, J. and Ma, Q. 2013. Optimizing coagulation and
- 482 flocculation process for kaolinite suspension with chitosan. Colloids and Surfaces
- 483 a-Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 428, 100-110.
- 484 Li, L. and Pan, G. 2013. A Universal Method for Flocculating Harmful Algal Blooms
- 485 in Marine and Fresh Waters Using Modified Sand. Environmental Science &
- 486 Technology 47(9), 4555-4562.
- 487 Li, L., Zhang, H. and Pan, G. 2015. Influence of zeta potential on the flocculation of
- 488 cyanobacteria cells using chitosan modified soil. Journal of Environmental Sciences
- 489 28, 47-53.
- 490 Ng, M., Liana, A.E., Liu, S., Lim, M., Chow, C.W.K., Wang, D.S., Drikas, M. and

- 491 Amal, R. 2012. Preparation and characterisation of new-polyaluminum
- 492 chloride-chitosan composite coagulant. Water Research 46(15), 4614-4620.
- 493 Pan, G., Chen, J. and Anderson, D.M. 2011a. Modified local sands for the mitigation
- 494 of harmful algal blooms. Harmful Algae 10(4), 381-387.
- 495 Pan, G., Dai, L., Li, L., He, L., Li, H., Bi, L. and Gulati, R.D. 2012. Reducing the
- 496 Recruitment of Sedimented Algae and Nutrient Release into the Overlying Water
- 497 Using Modified Soil/Sand Flocculation-Capping in Eutrophic Lakes. Environmental
- 498 Science & Technology 46(9), 5077-5084.
- 499 Pan,G., Yang, B. 2012. Effect of surface hydrophobicity on the formation and stability
- of oxygen nanoscale gas state, ChemPhysChem, 13, 2205-2212.
- Pan, G., Yang, B., Wang, D., Chen, H., Tian, B.-h., Zhang, M.-l., Yuan, X.-z. and
- 502 Chen, J. 2011b. In-lake algal bloom removal and submerged vegetation restoration
- using modified local soils. Ecological Engineering 37(2), 302-308.
- 504 Pan, G., Zhang, M.M., Chen, H., Zou, H. and Yan, H. 2006. Removal of
- 505 cyanobacterial blooms in Taihu Lake using local soils. I. Equilibrium and kinetic
- screening on the flocculation of Microcystis aeruginosa using commercially available
- clays and minerals. Environmental Pollution 141(2), 195-200.
- Park, T.G., Lim, W.A., Park, Y.T., Lee, C.K. and Jeong, H.J. 2013. Economic impact,
- management and mitigation of red tides in Korea. Harmful Algae 30, S131-S143.
- Paul, W.J., Hamilton, D.P. and Gibbs, M.M. 2008. Low dose alum application
- 511 trialled as a management tool for internal nutrient loads in Lake Okaro, New Zealand.

- New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 42(2), 207-217.
- Pei, H.Y., Ma, C.X., Hu, W.R. and Sun, F. 2014. The behaviors of Microcystis
- aeruginosa cells and extracellular microcystins during chitosan flocculation and flocs
- storage processes. Bioresource Technology 151, 314-322.
- 516 Shi, W.Q., Tan, W.Q., Wang, L.J. and Pan, G. 2015. Removal of Microcystis
- 517 Aeruginosa using cationic starch modified soils (in press). Water Research
- 518 Doi:10.1016/j.watres.2015.06.029.
- 519 Sondergaard, M., Wolter, K.D. and Ripl, W. 2002. Chemical treatment of water and
- sediments with special reference to lakes. Handbook of Ecological Restoration, Vol 1,
- 521 184-205.
- 522 Spears, B.M., Lurling, M., Yasseri, S., Castro-Castellon, A.T., Gibbs, M., Meis, S.,
- McDonald, C., McIntosh, J., Sleep, D. and Van Oosterhout, F. 2013. Lake responses
- following lanthanum-modified bentonite clay (Phoslock (R)) application: An analysis
- of water column lanthanum data from 16 case study lakes. Water Research 47(15),
- 526 5930-5942.
- 527 Sun, F., Pei, H.Y., Hu, W.R., Li, X.Q., Ma, C.X. and Pei, R.T. 2013. The cell damage
- of Microcystis aeruginosa in PACl coagulation and floc storage processes. Separation
- and Purification Technology 115, 123-128.
- Thornton, J.A., Harding, W.R., Dent, M., Hart, R.C., Lin, H., Rast, C.L., Rast, W.,
- Ryding, S.-O. and Slawski, T.M. 2013. Eutrophication as a 'wicked' problem. Lakes &
- Reservoirs Research and Management 18(4), 298-316.

- 533 USEPA. 1994. Toxicity characteristic leaching procedure.
- Vårum, K.M., Ottøy, M.H. and Smidsrød, O. 2001. Acid hydrolysis of chitosans.
- 535 Carbohydrate Polymers 46(1), 89-98.
- 536 Vanbenschoten, J.E. and Edzwald, J.K. 1990. Measuring aluminum during
- 537 water-treatment methodology and application. Journal American Water Works
- 538 Association 82(5), 71-78.
- 539 Wang, L., Liang, W.Y., Yu, J., Liang, Z.X., Ruan, L.L. and Zhang, Y.C. 2013.
- 540 Flocculation of Microcystis aeruginosa Using Modified Larch Tannin. Environmental
- 541 Science & Technology 47(11), 5771-5777.
- Wang, W., Bo, S., Li, S. and Qin, W. 1991. Determination of the Mark-Houwink
- 543 equation for chitosans with different degrees of deacetylation. International Journal of
- 544 Biological Macromolecules 13(5), 281-285.
- Wang, Y.L., Li, B.Q., Zhou, Y., Jia, D.C. and Song, Y. 2011. CS-Fe(II,III) complex as
- 546 precursor for magnetite nanocrystal. Polymers for Advanced Technologies 22(12),
- 547 1681-1684.
- Wang, Z.B., Zhang, H.G. and Pan, G. 2015. Ecotoxicological assessment of modified
- soil flocculants for lake restoration using an integrated biotic toxicity index (in press).
- 550 Water Research Doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2015.08.033.
- 551 Wiśniewska-Wrona, M., Niekraszewicz, A., Ciechańska, D., Pospieszny, H. and
- Orlikowski, L.B. 2007. Biological properties of chitosan degradation products. Polish
- 553 Chitin Society, Monograph XII, 149-156.

554 Yadidia, R., Abeliovich, A. and Belfort, G. 1977. Algae removal by high gradient 555 magnetic filtration. Environmental Science & Technology 11(9), 913-916. 556 Yan, L., Wang, Y.F., Ma, H.Z., Han, Z.P., Zhang, Q. and Chen, Y.S. 2012. Feasibility 557 of fly ash-based composite coagulant for coal washing wastewater treatment. Journal 558 of Hazardous Materials 203, 221-228. 559 Zou, H., Pan, G., Chen, H. and Yuan, X.Z. 2006. Removal of cyanobacterial blooms 560 in Taihu Lake using local soils. II. Effective removal of Microcystis aeruginosa using local soils and sediments modified by chitosan. Environmental Pollution 141(2), 561

562

563

201-205.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 565 **Fig.1**-The Al and Fe in CFAL-Chitosan, F-12: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1, F-20:
- 566 CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 40:1.

567

564

- 568 Fig.2-The molecular weight (kDa) and viscosity (cps) of chitosan powder and
- 569 CFAL-Chitosan, F-0: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 0:1, F-12: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1, F-20:
- 570 CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 40:1.

571

- 572 Fig.3-The FT-IR spectra of chitosan powder and CFAL-Chitosan, a: F-12
- 573 CFAL/Chitosan 12:1, b: F-20 CFAL/Chitosan 20:1, c: F-40 CFAL/Chitosan 40:1, d:
- 574 F-0 CFAL/Chitosan 0:1, e: chitosan powder.

575

- 576 Fig.4-Algal removal efficiency and zeta potential of M.A. flocs as function of
- 577 CFAL-Chitosan dosage. F-0: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 0:1, F-12: CFAL/Chitosan ratio
- 578 12:1, F-20: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 40:1, initial pH 8.0,
- pre-treated CFA concentration 100 mg/L.

580

- Fig.5-The dynamic floc size of M.A. cells after addition of 3 mg/L CFAL-Chitosan,
- initial pH 8.0, pre-treated CFA concentration 100 mg/L, F-0: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 0:1,
- 583 F-12: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1, F-20: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40:
- 584 CFAL/Chitosan ratio 40:1.

585

- Fig.6-The flocculation kinetics of M.A. cells after addition of 3 mg/L CFAL-Chitosan,
- initial pH 8.0, and pre-treated CFA concentration 100 mg/L, F-0: CFAL/Chitosan ratio
- 588 0:1, F-12: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1, F-20: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40:
- 589 CFAL/Chitosan ratio 40:1.

590

- 591 **Fig.7**-Floc stability plots of CFAL-Chitosan at 3 mg/L (pre-treated CFA dosage, 100
- 592 mg/L, initial pH=8.0, Shear time, 16 min). F-0: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 0:1, F-12:
- 593 CFAL/Chitosan ratio 12:1, F-20: CFAL/Chitosan ratio 20:1, F-40: CFAL/Chitosan
- 594 ratio 40:1.