





Attaining full professor

Varpio, Lara; Harvey, Emily; Jaarsma, Debbie; Dudek, Nancy; Hay, Margaret; Day, Kathy; Bader Larsen, Karlen; Cleland, Jennifer

Published in: Medical Education

DOI: 10.1111/medu.14392

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Varpio, L., Harvey, E., Jaarsma, D., Dudek, N., Hay, M., Day, K., Bader Larsen, K., & Cleland, J. (2021). Attaining full professor: Women's and men's experiences in medical education. *Medical Education*, *55*(5), 582-594. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.14392

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

FACULTY PROMOTION

Attaining full professor: Women's and men's experiences in medical education

Lara Varpio¹ | Emily Harvey² | Debbie Jaarsma³ | Nancy Dudek⁴ | | Margaret Hay⁵ | Kathy Day⁴ | Karlen Bader Larsen² | Jennifer Cleland⁶

¹Faculty of Medicine, Center for Health Professions Education, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA

²Department of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA

³University Medical Center, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁴Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

⁵Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Vic., Australia

⁶LKC School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

Correspondence

Karlen Bader Larsen, Department of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA. Email: karlen.bader.ctr@usuhs.edu

Funding information

University of Ottawa, Department of Medicine - Medical Education Research Grant.

Abstract

Introduction: The underrepresentation of women among senior faculty members in medical education is a longstanding problem. The purpose of this international qualitative investigation was to explore women and men's experiences of attaining full professorship and to investigate why women remain underrepresented among the senior faculty ranks.

Methods: Conducted within a social constructionist orientation, our qualitative study employed narrative analysis. Two female and two male participants working in medical education were recruited from five nations: Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, United Kingdom and United States. All participants held an MD or PhD. During telephone interviews, participants narrated the story of their careers. The five faculty members on the research team were also interviewed. Their narratives were included in analysis, rendering their experiences equal to those of the participants.

Results: A total of 24 full professors working in medical education were interviewed (n = 15 females and n = 9 males). While some aspects were present across all narratives (ie personal events, career milestones and facilitating and/or impeding factors), participants' experience of those aspects differed by gender. Men did not narrate fatherhood as a role navigated professionally, but women narrated motherhood as intimately connected to their professional roles. Both men and women narrated career success in terms of hard work and overcoming obstacles; however, male participants described promotion as inevitable, whereas women narrated promotion as a tenuous navigation of social structures towards uncertain outcomes. Female and male participants encountered facilitators and inhibitors throughout their careers but described acting on those experiences differently within the cultural contexts they faced.

Discussion: Our data suggest that female and male participants had different experiences of the work involved in achieving full professor status. Understanding these gendered experiences and their impact on career progression is an important advancement for better understanding what leads to the underrepresentation of women among senior faculty members in medical education.

© 2020 John Wiley & Sons Ltd and The Association for the Study of Medical Education

1 | INTRODUCTION

The number of women in academic medicine has been steadily rising for years; in many countries, women now account for nearly-and sometimes over-half of all academic medicine faculty members.¹⁻⁶ Despite this trend, gender disparities in senior faculty member ranks persist.⁷⁻¹⁰ For example, in the United States, the American Association of Medical Colleges (AAMC) reports that, in 2019, women accounted for more than 50% of medical school matriculants¹¹ and for 42% of full-time faculty members in American medical schools; however, women represented a mere 25.6% of the full professor faculty members therein.¹² Research suggests that these disparities remain even after adjusting for age, experience, specialty and measures of research productivity.¹³⁻¹⁶ Quantitative data from around the world confirm that this gender inequity persists in the highest ranks of the field.^{1,13,17} Unfortunately, this underrepresentation of women is longstanding and slow to change. In 1995, Tesch et al reported that female physicians in medical schools were promoted more slowly than men, a difference not explained by variation in productivity or differential attrition.¹³ Some 24 years later, in 2019, Khan et al¹⁸ reported that clear gender disparities remain, with the representation of women declining between middle and senior academic levels. Limiting the gender diversity in the upper echelons of academic medicine-including medical practice, medical research, and medical education communities therein-is a serious issue because it poses grave dangers to health care.¹⁹ Not only does it threaten our ability to provide gender-competent care, but it also risks promoting research agendas, clinical guidelines and curricula that are gender biased.¹⁹⁻²⁵

While there is a growing body of research investigating women's experiences across the broad field of academic medicine, only a small portion of that literature focuses on women's experiences in medical education. The smaller body of work addressing women's underrepresentation in medical education is replete with commentaries,²⁶⁻³⁰ evaluations of diversity interventions,^{31,32} analyses of cross-institutional quantitative data,³³⁻³⁵ national-level faculty members survey studies³⁶⁻³⁹ and literature reviews.^{35,40-42} However, it is not sufficient to identify and quantify the underrepresentation of women in the field's highest professorial ranks; understanding why this disparity persists is necessary to redress gender inequality.

And yet, few qualitative studies have investigated the reasons underpinning women's underrepresentation in medical education. One qualitative study, an investigation of female full professors' experiences at an American medical centre, described women's challenges of being ignored, treated with silent bias and being perceived as 'other'.^{43,44} Another recent publication highlights how academic medical centres function as gendered organisations wherein formal expectations, which were intended to be gender neutral, were in fact enabling informal inequitable interactions.⁴⁴ Such investigations that focus on women's experiences are the exception, not the rule. Studies looking at the experiences of women in medical education tend to be limited to a single-site⁴⁵⁻⁵⁷ or to a single national context,⁵⁸⁻⁷³ albeit with some notable exceptions.^{72,74-79} Given that the underrepresentation of women in the highest professorial ranks is a global phenomenon, understanding could be enhanced through multinational investigations. Moreover, research in this area has largely been conducted with female participants.^{46-49,51,54,56,57,67,73,76,77,79-85} While these findings provide valuable insights, they shed no light on how women's and men's experiences might align or contrast.

An international qualitative investigation of the experiences of women and men is needed to help us understand why women remain underrepresented in the highest professorial ranks of medical education. This can in turn help us generate better informed solutions for addressing that inequity. To achieve this objective, our study explored women and men's experiences of the pathway to full professorship in medical education.

1.1 | Theoretical foundation

Feminist theory served as the orienting foundation of this research. While feminist theory takes many forms, it can be defined as a body of philosophies, writings and methodologies that attempt to describe, analyse and explain the conditions and experiences of women.⁸⁶ Each feminist theory reflects the contexts that supported its emergence. For instance, psychoanalytic feminist theories often study gender asymmetry through the familial and psychosocial processes that shape individuals' psyches. Alternatively, materialist feminist theories generally concentrate on the concrete economic and social conditions that contribute to gender inequality. In contrast, black feminist theories tend to highlight how women's lives are influenced by the multiple forces of power and privilege—for example race, ethnicity—that shape their experiences.

Furthermore, feminist theories have evolved over time, generating many different intellectual traditions. For instance, enlightenment liberal feminists, writing in the late eighteenth-century, upheld several basic tenets: (a) rationality is of primary importance; (b) women's and men's rational faculties are the same; (c) education, especially the training of rational critical thinking, is the most effective means to effect social change; (d) all women and men have the same natural rights (especially the right to vote).⁸⁷ Nineteenth-century and early twentieth-century feminist theories are often clustered under the label of cultural feminism. Cultural feminist theories sought broad cultural change by stressing the importance of the non-rational, the intuitive and collective aspects of life. Cultural feminist theories stressed the differences between men and women, asserted the value and power of the feminine and encouraged women to join the public sphere to bring harmony where men had constructed corruption and violence.87 By the 1960s and through to the 1990s, radical feminist theories developed that drew attention to the subjugation of women by men via an array of means-for example political policies, social expectations, language and symbol systems-as a root cause to many inequalities in society, including racial oppression and the tyranny of heterosexuality.⁸⁷ Today, some scholars argue that feminism is growing into its fourth wave, where Crenshaw's concept of

VARPIO ET AL.

intersectionality⁸⁸ is the overriding principle of feminist theory.⁸⁹ Intersectionality considers how class, race, age, ability, sexuality and gender are intersecting loci of discrimination and privilege; intersectionality addresses the dynamic nature of inequality and oppression.⁸⁸

This study's design was not informed by a single feminist theory because, until data collection and analysis were underway, we did not know which specific theory would most usefully support our understanding the data. However, we did design the study in keeping with the overarching philosophies and principles that underpin feminist research. Specifically, we constructed the study to: collect the full exploration of participants' experiences to avoid assuming that their professional career trajectories were only informed by professional experiences; encourage participants' reflections on rational and structured aspects of their experiences as well as the emotional and intuitive aspects; and enable participants to describe the full breadth of their personal identity (eg race, culture and gender) to voice all facets of the privileges or inequalities they may have experienced.

2 | METHODS

This qualitative study was conducted from within a social constructionist orientation⁹⁰ using narrative analysis.⁹¹ Ethical approval was obtained through the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (# 20160687-01H).

2.1 | Narrative analysis

In narrative analysis, personal stories are collected to understand the life experiences recounted by participants.⁹¹ Narrative analysis develops from the premise that meaning-making through stories is fundamental to being human and to understanding experiences.⁹² Thus, to understand human experience requires exploring the meanings that constitute the realities that each individual narrates into being.⁹³ Narrative analysis is unlike many other qualitative research approaches where researcher-participant dialogue often consists of question-and-answer exchanges. Narrative analysis investigates each participant's story as a whole, rather than thematically, to examine how the meaning of experiences is constructed, organised and expressed.⁹⁴

2.2 | Participants

Participants were female and male full professors with careers focused on medical education recruited from five different countries: Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, United Kingdom and United States. We focused on these countries because they represented five of the top six nations with the highest engagement in medical education research.⁹⁵ We ensured that participants held either an MD (n = 1 male and n = 1 female from each country) or a PhD (n = 1 male and n = 1 female from each country) and that they were actively working in the field of medical education at the time of data collection. We developed two lists of potential participants—one of women and one of men—for each country in two ways. First, we drew on our personal networks to identify participants. Second, we reviewed the authors of publications in *Academic Medicine, Medical Teacher* and *Medical Education* in 2018, adding names to the lists when authors met our inclusion criteria.

Since the goal of narrative analysis is not to identify typical cases but to explore the qualities of each participant's story, the completion of this study was not reached when saturation was obtained. Instead, in keeping with the narrative tradition, the number of participant narratives that would be included in the study was decided prior to data collection. We recruited two women and two men from each of the five countries (n = 10 women and n = 10 men), balancing recruitment to include equal numbers of individuals with MD and PhD training. We randomly selected individuals from the lists of potential participants, recruiting them via email.

2.3 | Data collection

Participants engaged in one-on-one telephone interviews⁹⁶ where they were asked to narrate the story of their career development, describing their own experiences complete with the nuances and highlights that they deemed significant to their story. After asking demographic questions (eg What year did you become a full professor?), the research assistant (RA) asked the participant to 'tell me the story of how you became a full professor?' Only after the participant had shared their full narrative did the RA ask probing questions encouraging descriptions of (a) the professional (eg mentoring), structural or institutional (eg local systems) or personal (eg familial responsibilities) factors that might have impacted the participant's ability to achieve full professor status; and (b) their definition of career success. The interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed by a professional transcription service and rendered anonymous in the transcription process.

2.4 | Data analysis

This study was conducted in three phases.

In phase 1 (late-2017 to mid-2018), we conducted interviews with women participants (n = 10, one PhD and one MD each, from Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, United Kingdom and United States). Once transcribed, two members of the research team (KD & LV) independently read the interview transcripts and developed descriptions of the narratives, noting the elements the participants emphasised. These researchers then met, constructed chronologies of each participants' narrative, and compared and collated their descriptions. Next, the research team (KD, LV, JC, DJ, MH, ND) was sent a subset of transcripts to review. The team

met, discussed the stories that had been collected, examining how cultural contexts shaped aspects of the narratives and our descriptions thereof, and reflecting on their own academic career experiences.

From the outset of this study, we were keenly aware of our status as research insiders given our (JC, LV, DJ, MH, ND) personal experiences as women who were full professors working in medical education.^{97,98} Being insiders did not make us better or worse researchers of this topic; instead, it afforded us a unique research perspective.⁹⁹ For example, the literature highlights that insiders can understand social phenomena with more nuance than outsiders.⁹⁹ However, insider status can risk researchers' analysis being significantly influenced by personal experiences.⁹⁹ Our challenge was, therefore, to ensure that we were engaging in rigorous and ethical research that harnessed the advantages of our insider status while also mitigating the weaknesses thereof. To do this, we launched phase 2 of data collection: interviews with each female faculty member of the research team (n = 5, ie LV, JC, DJ, ND, MH). Including the narratives of researchers in the data set is a practice that can be used in narrative research.¹⁰⁰ Following this tradition, we incorporated our narratives as part of the data set for analysis, thereby making our experiences explicit and equal to those of the participants so no-one's personal experiences would have more prominence or influence than any other narrative in the data. With these additional transcripts in the data set, the team met again to discuss all the data to date, noting the elements the participants emphasised in their narratives.

Next, in phase 3 (early-2019), the RA conducted interviews with male participants (n = 9, one PhD and one MD each, from Australia, Canada, the Netherlands, United Kingdom and United States; note: we were unable to secure participation from a PhDtrained male full professor in one national context). Two researchers (KD & EH) read the transcripts, created descriptions of these narratives and then highlighted similarities and differences with female participants. A subset of this data was sent to LV who read and reread the transcripts, considered the descriptions and identified similarities or differences, and offered additional considerations. LV, KD and EH met to discuss the evolving analysis, to compare the findings with those from the women participants and to develop a meta-story of the participants' narratives. This analysis was shared with the research team who commented on the meta-story. One researcher (JC) also reviewed a subset of the entire data set to confirm interpretations.

Each participant whose narratives were cited in the manuscript via data excerpts was sent the section of the final manuscript where their narrative was included to ensure that all identifying aspects of the data were removed. Each participant helped revise her or his data excerpt(s) if the participant's anonymity was threatened. This included, for example: removing gendered pronouns, correcting grammar errors made by participants who did not speak English as their native language and removing some details from narrated stories.

585

3 | RESULTS

Demographics for the 24 full professors working in medical education interviewed for this study (n = 15 females and n = 9 males) are presented in Table 1. Included in the 15 female participants are the five female full professors who are researchers authoring this study. All participants self-identified as being of the dominant ethnic group in their respective countries. Of the fifteen female participants, four were MD-trained, nine were PhD-trained, and two were both MD- and PhD-trained. Of the nine male participants, five were MDtrained and four were PhD-trained.

3.1 | Alignment across genders

When we examined the personal events and the career milestones participants described as occurring during their trajectory to full professor, we noted several similarities across men and women, and across all nations represented in the study. From the perspective of personal events, 23 of the 24 study participants were married while working towards becoming full professors, and 21 participants had children during that time. In terms of professional milestones, 75% of the full participant pool (18/24) obtained full professor status in their forties.

In terms of facilitating factors, all participants expressed gratitude for mentors who supported their professional development:

> I do think that the mentorship helped tremendously. I feel like being able to identify people that did take an interest in my career development was tremendously important.

> > (P2-Female)

I leaned heavily on those mentors. Initially, it wasn't an active thing. It was more sort of seeing how they approached things and taking on board their, sort of, characteristics and what not. After that it was picking their brain and asking for advice.

(P23-Male)

With respect to obstructing factors, both male and female participants acknowledged the difficulty of balancing the many demands placed on them in their professional and personal contexts. In the professional sphere, participants' careers typically involved commitments to research, teaching, administrative responsibilities and clinical responsibilities (for health care professional participants). And, given the life events occurring as they sought full professor status, demands of childcare, elder care and sharing responsibilities with a spouse also weighed on participants. Determining how to balance all these competing responsibilities was a thorny issue, one narrated by both women and men:

TABLE 1Participant demographics

	Female participants	Male participants	All participants
Age when full professor status was obtained			
Average age	45	44	45
Earliest age	36	39	36
Oldest age	58	49	58
Retaining clinical practice responsibilities			
Participants who had clinical responsibilities	n = 6	n = 5	n = 11
Number who relinquished them while pursuing professor status	n = 4	n = 0	n = 4
Children and childcare (Note: participants often relied on several forms of support and shared responsibilities with others)			
Number of children participants had prior to receiving full professor status	None = 3 1 child = 3 2 children = 6 3 children = 3 4 children = 0	None = 0 1 child = 0 2 children = 6 3 children = 1 4 children = 2	None = 3 1 child = 3 2 children = 12 3 children = 4 4 children = 2
Childcare support was used	No: n = 0 Yes: n = 12	No: n = 0 Yes: n = 9	No: n = 0 Yes: n = 21
Form of childcare support used (note: several participants relied on a community of individuals and organisations)	Self: n = 3 Spouse: n = 4 Family: n = 3 Paid childcare (eg nanny, daycare centre): n = 12	Self: n = 2 Spouse: n = 6 Family: n = 1 Paid childcare (eg nanny, daycare centre): n = 4	Self: n = 5 Spouse: n = 10 Family: n = 4 Paid childcare (eg daycare centre): n = 16
Who was responsible in unexpected situations (eg if child left school due to illness, who attended to child)	Self: $n = 8$ Spouse: $n = 8$ Nanny: $n = 2$ Family: $n = 1$	Self: $n = 5$ Spouse: $n = 9$ Nanny: $n = 0$ Family: $n = 0$	Self: n = 13 Spouse: n = 17 Nanny: n = 2 Family: n = 1
Care for other family members (eg elderly parents) (Note: participants often relied on several forms of support and shared responsibilities with others)			
Number of elders cared for while obtaining full professor status	No: n = 7 Yes: n = 8	No: n = 2 Yes: n = 7	No: n = 9 Yes: n = 15
Support was obtained	No: n = 7 Yes: n = 1	No: n = 4 Yes: n = 2	No: n = 11 Yes: n = 3
Form of support obtained (note: several participants relied on a community of individuals and organisations)	Lived with participant: n = 0 Paid support in an institutional or at-home context: n = 1 Lived independently with participants' support: n = 7	Lived with participant: n = 1 Paid support in an institutional or at-home context: n = 1 Lived independently with participants' support: n = 4	Lived with participant: n = 1 Paid support in an institutional or at-home context: n = 2 Lived independently with participants' support: n = 11

There are so many demands on your time. Even when you have protected [research] time, at a really substantive level that I was fortunate to have, you can fritter that away because there are so many demands for your time. There's a demand that you make yourself useful. That demand is always there whether it's explicit or not. Make yourself useful to the educational mission and that can pull you in directions other than your research pathway.

(P7-Female)

How do you balance what you're meant to be doing? So there's your work-life balance, but there's also your work-work balance. So (pause) I was going to say obviously research is the way you get ahead, but that's not strictly true. It's obviously important, but it's not the only thing. So yeah, getting those balances right.

(P19-Male)

3.2 | Differences between genders

While several of the personal events, career milestones and facilitating or impeding factors identified by participants were similar, the experience of those elements differed significantly by gender. These differences across gender lines were common across all nationalities represented in the study. Three areas clearly illustrate how common events, milestones and factors are experienced differently across gender lines.

The personal event of having children was narrated in dissimilar ways by participants of different genders. Male participants described having children in matter-of-fact terms. Having children placed demands on male participants, requiring that they strive to succeed in both their personal lives and professional careers. Having children was a fact of their personal lives that was taxing and needed to be managed within their personal sphere:

> And along that early first 5-10 years of career, I had my first 2 children. I had a wife who stayed at home, who is unbelievably supportive when I needed to travel. I've always been the type of person who, you know, when I'm not working, I'm at home, and so I have pretty good work-life balance.

> > (P16-Male)

As this data excerpt illustrates, status as a father was expressed as factual; it was a role they cherished and managed in their personal lives. This role was an important, valuable part of their experiences. That said, they did not narrate fatherhood as a role that significantly altered and shaped their professional experiences.

In contrast, female participants shared their stories of motherhood as foundational aspect of their professional reality. Like their male counterparts, negotiating childcare and other parental responsibilities was often a challenge faced in collaboration with a spouse:

> My husband, he's a [career name], and he had a lot of flexibility in his work, so that allowed me to travel, which was the biggest challenge, I think, but also to, you know, if the kids were sick. One of them was sick, he was more flexible than I was.

(P1-Female)

The narratives of being a working mother did not stay within the private sphere. Motherhood was a role that needed to be negotiated in their professional experiences; motherhood was a potential obstacle to promotion because children were seen as impeding women's ability to be professionally successful. The expectation that women would be primarily responsible for childcare was powerfully felt. The women knew that the personal event of having children was not a *simple* fact; it was professionally complicating. It would change how they were professionally perceived. Therefore, the female participants narrated strategies that negotiated their role as mother in their professional contexts—a negotiation they did not perceive as required of their male peers:

What I did—and maybe I did it unintentionally, or maybe intentionally, I don't know—always be a little careful about, as a woman, how to talk about your kids. "I have to go back to school or leave a little early because of my kids are ill"—I always tell other ladies: "Just tell them, 'I have to leave. I have another meeting." Don't tell them all the ideas behind it....Now it's on my CV that I have [#] kids; but, in the beginning, I did not mention this. As one of my colleagues said: "Now you are a professor so now I want [you] to have a picture in the room of your [#] kids. Now you should explain and be proud about it".... [A] man says: "I'm a professor. I have five kids. I can pay for it." It might be seen as prestigious and their advantage. Whereas, as women, it might be seen as risky.

(P4-Female)

Similarly, the experience of achieving career milestones was not narrated in similar ways by men and women. The male participants narrated processes of achieving career success by working hard, overcoming personal challenges and pushing back against those who doubted their strategies:

> I always have worked hard. I think that is also an ingredient and a constant. I never stop working. I've had a lot of illness problems with [omitted] but even in hospital, I always had my computer with me and worked. Or when my [parent] died, and that took a long time, I did reading, reading, reading. I'm taking care of my [parent], and if my [parent] was sleeping, I read. And worked late. More work. Always working. It's continual working. And, also combining. From the start, I have combined teaching with research, and with services. I've had a lot of comments—always—that it was not good for my career. I never went abroad for a time to do sabbatical and things like that—typical things you need to become a full professor.

> > (P18-Male) [redacted to protect anonymity]

Career success for male participants was narrated as requiring sacrifice and struggle. Their stories reflected those efforts. But they also reflected a sense of inevitability. The male participants expected to be promoted:

> In terms of my promotion path, that was never really a big anxiety for me. I had annual meetings with my department head, and he assured me that I was gathering successes at a rate that shouldn't make it problematic to get promoted, so it was never something that I really worried all that much about.

(P17-Male)

Female participants also described having to work arduously, surmount personal trials and resist pressures to adopt others' strategies or career paths. However, in addition to this, the female participants narrated struggles with the promotion systems they needed to work within. For women, promotion was not an inevitability. Sometimes, promotion was sought via a new position at a new institution, and other times, it was sought within the same institution. Regardless of which path was I was on maternity leave actually and I applied for a professorial job... In my cover letter, I stated that I'd just had a baby and I was on maternity leave... But I would be available for an interview if I was to get through the selection process... And then a colleague of mine also went for the same job and I was actually a reference for [their] application and I know [their] CV really well. [They were] probably about [x] years behind me academically... [They] got the interview for the job and I didn't. [They were] gob smacked: "My God! You've not got an interview?" And I was like: "No I haven't." And I asked [the chair of the hiring committee] for feedback—really politely, super politely. I was like: "Thanks for letting me know. It would be really helpful to my personal and professional development if you give me some feedback on my CV." The person who was leading the search committee didn't get back to me and never responded to my email. Well, if I had doubts before that I had been discriminated against because I had just had a baby and was on maternity leave, if I didn't think that then, I certainly think that now. (P12-Female) [redacted to protect anonymity]

I started looking at the full professors, so the people who outranked me in my local institution. What was just staggering to me was the extent to which I was academically running circles around them. We had full professors—almost all male I should point out—and I had more grant money and more publications and more service activity. I was on international organizations and all those sorts of things and these guys were doing nothing of the sort. They were coasting. Big-time. I got really annoyed because they put me up for full professor the first time in [year x] and I got turned down. And I got turned down because I had not spent sufficient time at the associate rank. That's what the official story was, but I'm quite convinced it has nothing to do with that because when you read the fine print of the guidelines, there's nothing in there that says how long you have to spend in rank. There's no number....Then they put me up for promotion again and they turned me down in [year x+1] and they turned me down in [year x+2]. I was turned down for promotion three times. By the time they turned me down the last time I had ## papers and ## million in grant money. And there was no way anybody at that rank, nobody in the associate ranking here at this institution, was pulling in that kind of recognition....When I finally did get promoted, it was cause for major celebration, agreed. But it was also kind of bittersweet. It was sort of like: It took you that long? Shame on *you.* (*P14-Female*) [redacted to protect anonymity]

travelled, as the narrative excerpts in Figure 1 illustrate, promotion for the female participants was shrouded in social- and system-level complexities that enveloped their promotion with uncertainty.

While all participants needed to work hard, conquer personal challenges and resolve to resist naysayers, female participants faced additional barriers: traditions that could not be overturned; expectations based on track records and timelines that did not reflect women's experiences; and cultures that aligned with specific social expectations. There was nothing inevitable about the female participants' stories of obtaining full professor status.

Finally, male and female participants both had to steer through the numerous personal and professional demands imposed on them and the multitude of opportunities presented to them. For all participants, these navigations required taking action; however, the action narratives men and women developed about their actions were dissimilar. Male participants developed strategies to hold onto the many demands placed on them and to accept the many opportunities presented to them. They also narrated learning new skills and engaging others to help to meet these demands and to harness opportunities. For instance, one male participant shared his story of moving from being primarily a physician who was skilled in biomedical research, to becoming a full professor in medical education who was savvy in social science research (see Figure 2 for his narrative). As this participant's narrative illustrates, contending with multiple personal and professional demands and work opportunities generated narratives of action oriented towards meeting current demands, seizing new opportunities, learning skills to better address those

FIGURE 1 Narrative excerpts from two female participants illustrating how career success was not perceived as an inevitable outcome

demands and securing support from others to help meet the demands.

For female participants, similar situations created different kinds of action narratives. This is not to say that female participants only engaged in dissimilar action-oriented activities than their male peers; there were some alignments. For instance, like male colleagues, some female participants faced professional demands and opportunities by engaging in additional training:

> I did a Master's of Education Research and basically got involved in lots of different things, took on different lead roles.... There were loads of opportunities for people who were enthusiastic, even if they had no experience. If you got involved in something and made it work, then there were more opportunities.

(P3-Female)

Aligning again with male participants, some female participants crafted narratives where others helped them find ways of managing demands and encouraged them to harness opportunities. However, for female participants, relying on others was not about recruiting people to help with the work. Instead, the recruitment was more subtle and involved asking for permission and guidance:

When I was an associate professor I once discussed with, the Chair—my boss—we had our annual meeting.

FIGURE 2 A male participant's story of shifting his career away from primarily a physician doing biomedical research, to a professor in medical education doing social science research I was doing all kinds of projects, and that surprised [the hospital administration] because usually people do their clinical job and that's it. But I liked to do projects too. Then [the hospital administration] was asked by the academic hospital if they had people interested in medical education, or that could be moved to a tenured role to become a professor in medical education. They had to look through their hospital, and well, there are a lot of consultants in such a hospital, but they chose to talk to me. I thought: "Well, they asked me!?" They said: "We wanted to professionalize medical education in our teaching hospital." I didn't really know what they meant but I said: "Yes, it sounds good. It sounds interesting."

[in speaking to people who could be mentors, I'd explain:] "I'm taking all kind of jobs. You know about it, and have expertise. Do you want to mentor me?" And they did! So I started to do all kind of research I didn't know how to do. They tried to help me by showing me their way of looking at things. I had to change from a biomedical researcher, to a researcher in medical education. And in their eyes that's social constructivist and, yeah, I was busy – busy for years – trying to understand social constructivism, and to value it. I was really lucky to find them [mentors].

I was very lucky in always having people around like my wife, who was supportive. I had, and still have people, in this hospital doing all kind of things I can't do. During the years it got formalized—so people that did a lot of work from me are now the head of medical training or whatever. So, yeah, a big part of it is also making other people work for you and for the good cause, the mission, medical education. Delivering high-quality education is always the mission. (P20-Male) [redacted and edited to protect anonymity]

I felt a little like, you know: "I'm supervising PhD candidates. We're doing it together, but I also feel that I could do it as well on my own given how much I was doing in the project." I had been growing and I asked: "Well, do you think that I would be able to supervise PhD candidates myself, or more or less become an independent or professor who could do that with other team members?" And then they said: "Yes. We definitely think that you could do that and we're going to work on getting you to full professor." And then that still took several years because that's not easy but, yeah. I marked that phase.

(P4-Female)

A starker difference in action between male and female participants was evident among MD-trained participants. Unlike male participants, four of the six MD-trained female participants narrated handling competing demands and opportunities by relinquishing clinical work. These participants created narratives of how abandoning their clinical responsibilities was the right choice for them:

> I'm a [specialty name] by training and when I began working in the Dean's office in [year], I cut my practice way back and then actually stopped practicing in [year+6] when my Dean's office responsibilities grew and I didn't feel like I was doing a service to my patients anymore. So my husband has remained basically a full-time practitioner. He's actually on that clinical practice track and so it was harder for him to cancel patients than it was for me to cancel meetings. It was really always kind of hard for me to say "well my meetings are more important than the patients you see." He's a [specialty name] and takes care of a lot of

chronically ill people and so most of the time, if I was in town and something came up, it was really up to me to shuffle my schedule around. And I will have to say, I never really felt resentful about it.

(P2–Female) [redacted to protect anonymity]

So I was appointed in [year x] and, at that stage I was doing a full clinical load in addition to being a professor of medical education, leading medical education and curriculum review and things like that and doing teaching any my own scholarship. As that side of my career has got busier, I stopped doing ward work and things and went purely to ambulatory care. And then [in year x+12], I became [title] of [organization]. And because I'm now (pause) I need to travel quite a bit with that, I've suspended clinical work at the moment....(describing history of different roles prior to full professor) I had a big role in education and that's really what caused me to look for a change in career because I wasn't doing any of those things terribly well, I didn't feel myself. Because I was just so busy. And so I think I wanted to make a decision about where my future would lie. And so this opportunity came up in [city] and I applied for it as professor of medical education. And so, from having those big three areas, I stopped doing the [clinical specialty name] and really concentrated on the learning and teaching for scholarship and the academic side.

(P5-Female) [redacted to protect anonymity]

To contend with competing demands, both male and female participants took action. While some of those actions were identical (ie

VARPIO ET AL.

engaging in additional education), some actions were different in degree (eg one male participant found others to help do work, while a female participant sought others for advice, guidance and permission to take certain actions). One kind of action was only seen among female MD-trained participants: ending their clinical work.

4 | DISCUSSION

By studying the narratives of both female and male faculty members from five different countries who have achieved full professor status in medical education, we found that the personal events, career milestones and facilitating or impeding factors impacting their ability to obtain full professor status were similar for all participants. However, our participants' narratives revealed that the *experience* of those elements differed significantly by gender, but not by national context.

Such variation between women's and men's experiences of life is an important aspect of feminist theory as it evolved in the second half of the twentieth century.¹⁰¹ Feminist scholars of this era, including perhaps most notably Kate Millett, asserted that society was organised around male-dominant practices and principles that generated specific power-structured relationships and arrangements that disempower women (ie patriarchy).¹⁰² Millett's theory of patriarchy highlights the many ways-ideological, social, biological, sexual, economic, educational, cultural, psychological-that men's domination of women is ever-present and inescapable.¹⁰² Given the pervasiveness of the patriarchy, Millett asserted that bias- or power-free experiences-or observations of experience-cannot exist because the patriarchy is an ideology that permeates every aspect of human experience.¹⁰² Millett argued that everyday experiences are gendered.¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ From this perspective, the gendered experiences of striving to achieve full professor status highlights how the dominant ideology influences women's and men's lives, including their careers, in different ways.

If we take Millett's insights about gendered experience seriously, then we need to attend to how medical education is upholding specific practices and principles that impact women and men differently. Specifically, if we attend to women's and men's narratives of obtaining full professor status, Millett would have us acknowledge that medical education is underpinned with specific ideological practices and principles that are saturated with patriarchal power. Recent research into gender discrimination in organisations¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ reports that biases ingrained in ideology become increasingly pronounced at the higher levels of organisations. As individuals move up the ranks in an organisation, ideological norms are more stringently upheld and fiercely defended.¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ The ideology of medical education is, therefore, keenly felt when individuals move up the professorial ranks towards full professor status. When individuals vie for higher positions-and therefore power-in an organisation, the dominant group's ideology is working in full force, thereby setting 'the stage for bias in promotion decisions-making processes'.^{108(p181)}

It is important to note that the upholding and defending of ideological practices and principles is not necessarily an intentional, explicit effort for those in power. Instead, as Millett explains, the dominant ideology is so deeply embedded in each person and each organisation that it passes as accepted policies, norms and traditions.¹⁰² If the organisational structures of medical education (ie medical schools and teaching hospitals) want to address gender inequities in the professorial ranks, then the ideologies that pass unnoticed therein must be called out and changed.

The narratives of our participants give evidence that individuals of different genders feel the pressures of the dominant ideology in different ways, making their experiences of seeking full professor status very different. A man's personal life fact (eg having children) is a woman's personal dilemma that must be carefully navigated in her professional life. A man's professional inevitability (eg being promoted) is a woman's tenuous negotiation through social and system-level labyrinths. A man's recruiting of others (eg to take on work for him) is a woman's request for permission and guidance. A man's unquestioned professional path (eg medical work) is a woman's relinquishing of clinical activities.

So, why do women remain underrepresented at the full professor ranking in medical education? Our research suggests that the ways of thinking about and the processes for achieving promotion have hindered women's career progress. We contend that two important actions that can change this imbalance are as follows: (a) acknowledging where and how patriarchal ways of thinking are shaping promotion policies and practices; and (b) actively working to change those ways of thinking. The findings from our research suggest places where these actions can start as follows: recognise parenting as a challenge that both men and women face personally and professionally, and support all parents in navigating that challenge in ways that support gendered differences in expectations; recognise that promotion criteria (eg specific time durations in rank) are detrimental to women's advancement, and abolish them for everyone; recognise that recruiting support from others is a different kind of request for men and women, and provide ample opportunities for all kinds of requests from all people; and recognise that women have sacrificed clinical careers to achieve full professor status in medical education, and refuse to accept that loss as inevitable.

Our findings highlight the benefit of and need for in-depth qualitative data into women's and men's experiences of advancement in medical education. Qualitative research methods aimed at describing themes that cut across experiences are valuable; however, theme-focused inquiry would have led us to primarily highlight similarities. By delving deeply into participants' stories, we saw that beneath the common themes lay very different experiences of those elements irrespective of structural differences such as different promotional processes and approaches to addressing inequality (eg sex and gender equality policies). That said, our data have limitations. Our participants were drawn from five countries, but those countries do not represent the global diversity of nations, being predominantly white and sharing broadly similar cultural underpinnings. Furthermore, our participant sample represents a small selection of men and women who have achieved success within the dominant ideology. It would be of interest to repeat this study with mid-career researchers from different national contexts who have not yet succeeded in achieving promotion to full professor. This is likely to be a larger group than full professors and would likely represent a greater diversity of individuals, thereby facilitating the exploration of sexuality, race and other intersectional⁸⁸ considerations.

We did not focus on specific concerns that have been shown to impact academic promotion such as mentorship,¹⁰⁹ research productivity¹¹⁰ or career-pathways¹¹¹ (eg researcher vs clinician educator). Additional in-depth exploration of these factors would be worthwhile. It may also be important to consider developing diverse research teams to engage in these studies. As an all-female team of investigators, with five researchers who have obtained full professor status, we have unique insider perspectives on this topic. While this point of view surely enabled us to note specific important data elements, a research team with different composition might have gleaned different insights.¹¹² Finally, we focused on what participants said, not how they said it. Notwithstanding that focus, we were struck by the language and metaphors used by our participants in their narratives. A useful secondary analysis of the data might explore the particular linguistic methods used by women and men when describing their experiences of seeking full professor status.¹¹³

Our data confirm our initial premise that to understand women's experiences of career progression in medical education requires studying how the topics represented on quantitative surveys are navigated in the messy and contextually complex realities of individuals. Relying on data that categorises and counts the events, milestones and factors influencing women's engagement in academic medicine risks not only misunderstanding the impediments that limit women's success, but also erroneously assuming that solutions should target individuals and not the organisation's ideologically shaped practices.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dr Lara Varpio has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work (as first author) and revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Ms Emily Harvey has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Dr Debbie Jaarsma has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Dr Nancy Dudek has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Dr Margaret Hay has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Dr Kathy Day has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Mrs Karlen Bader Larsen has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Dr Jennifer Cleland has contributed to this paper by giving substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND by drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was obtained through the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (# 20160687-01H).

ORCID

Lara Varpio D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1412-4341 Nancy Dudek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6178-406X Karlen Bader Larsen https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3233-8703 Jennifer Cleland https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1433-9323

REFERENCES

- Lautenberger DM, Dandar VM, Raezer CL, Sloane RA. The State of Women in Academic Medicine: The Pipeline and Pathways to Leadership. Washington, DC: Association of American Medical Colleges; 2014.
- Association of American Medical Colleges. US Medical School Faculty Trends: Percentages. https://www.aamc.org/data-reports/ faculty-institutions/interactive-data/us-medical-school-facultytrends-percentages. Published December 2019. Accessed April 7, 2020
- Connolly S, Holdcroft A. The Pay Gap for Women in Medicine and Academic Medicine. An Analysis of the WAM Database. London: British Medical Association; 2006.
- Fitzpatrick S. A Survey of Staffing Levels of Medical Clinical Academics in UK Medical Schools as at 31 July 2011. London: Medical Schools Council; 2012.
- The Association of Faculties of Medicine of Canada. Canadian Medical Education Statistics. https://afmc.ca/sites/default/files/ pdf/CMES/CMES2018-Complete_EN.pdf. Published 2018. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Dutch Network of Women Professors. Women Professors Monitor 2019. https://www.lnvh.nl. Published 2019. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Association of American Medical Colleges. Advancing Women in Academic Medicine. https://www.aamc.org/news-insights/ advancing-women-academic-medicine. Published May 28, 2019. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- 8. Jena AB, Dhruv K, Ho O, et al. Sex differences in Academic Rank in US Medical Schools in 2014. JAMA. 2015;314(11):1149-1158.
- The Medical Schools Council. Survey of Medical Clinical Academic Staffing Levels 2017. http://allcatsrgrey.org.uk/wp/download/ education/medical_education/Survey-Medical-Clinical-Acade mic-Staffing-Levels-2017.pdf. Published July 2017. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Ginnivan L, Fitzgerald G, Seebacher N, et al. Gender Segregation in the Workplace and Its Impact on Women's Economic Equality. Canberra, ACT: Level Medicine Inc; 2017.
- Association of American Medical Colleges. The Majority of U.S. Medical Students Are Women, New Data Show. https://www.aamc. org/news-insights/press-releases/majority-us-medical-stude nts-are-women-new-data-show. Published December 9, 2019. Accessed September 8, 2020.
- Association of American Medical Colleges. Table 9: U.S. Medical School Faculty by Sex and Rank. https://www.aamc.org/system/ files/2020-01/2019Table9.pdf. Published December 31, 2019. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Jollif L, Leadley J, Coakley E, Sloane RA. Women in US Academic Medicine and Science: Statistics and Benchmarking Report 2011– 12. Washington, DC: Association of American Medical Colleges; 2012.
- Tesch BJ, Wood HM, Helwig AL, Nattinger AB. Promotion of women physicians in academic medicine: glass ceiling or sticky floor? JAMA. 1995;273:1022-1125.
- Blumenthal D, Bergmark R, Raol N, Bohnen J, Eloy J, Gray S. Sex differences in faculty rank among academic surgeons in the United States in 2014. Ann Surg. 2018;268(2):193-200.
- Blumenthal D, Olenski A, Yeh R, et al. Sex differences in faculty rank among academic cardiologists in the United States. *Circulation*. 2017;135(6):506-517.
- 17. Kuhlmann E, Ovseiko PV, Kurmeyer C, et al. Closing the gender leadership gap: a multi-centre cross-country comparison of women in management and leadership in academic health centres in the European Union. *Hum Resour Health*. 2017;15(1):2.

- Khan MS, Lakha F, Tan M, et al. More talk than action: gender and ethnic diversity in leading public health universities. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10171):594-600.
- 19. Cohen J, Gabriel BA, Terrell C. The case for diversity in the health care workforce. *Health Aff*. 2002;21(5):90-102.
- Penny M, Jeffries R, Grant J, Davies SC. Women and academic medicine: a review of the evidence on female representation. J R Soc Med. 2014;107(7):259-263.
- Rothman PB.Diversity in medicine has measurable benefits. https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/articles/diversity-inmedicine-has-measurable-benefits. Published May 27, 2019. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Carnes M, Morrissey C, Geller SE. Women's health and women's leadership in academic medicine: hitting the same glass ceiling? J Womens Health. 2008;17(9):1453-1462.
- 23. Ibrahim SA. Physician workforce diversity and health equity: it is time for synergy in missions!. *Health Equity*. 2019;3(1):601-603.
- Tsugawa Y, Jena AB, Figuero JF. Comparison of hospital mortality and readmission rates for Medicare patients treated by male vs female physicians. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(2):206-213.
- Tsugawa Y, Jena AB, Figueroa JF, Oray EJ, Blumenthal DM, Jha AK. Comparison of hospital mortality and readmission rates for Medicare patients treated by male vs female physicians. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(2):206-221.
- Bates C, Gordon L, Travis E, et al. Striving for gender equity in academic medicine careers: a call to action. Acad Med. 2016;91(8):1050-1052.
- Silver JK. Medical journals must tackle gender bias. BMJ. 2019;367:15888.
- Lewiss RE, Silver JK, Bernstein CA, et al. Is academic medicine making mid-career women physicians invisible? J Womens Health. 2020;29(2):2020, 187–192.
- Valantine H, Sandborg CI. Changing the culture of academic medicine to eliminate gender leadership gap: 50/50 by 2020. Acad Med. 2013;88(10):1411-1413.
- Rochon PA, Davidoff F, Levinson W. Women in academic medicine leadership: has anything changed in 25 years? Acad Med. 2016;91(8):1053-1056.
- Valantine HA, Grewal D, Ku MC, et al. The gender gap in academic medicine: comparing results from a multifaceted intervention for Stanford faculty to peer and national cohorts. *Acad Med*. 2014;89:904-911.
- Carr PL, Gunn C, Raj A, et al. Recruitment, promotion, and retention of women in academic medicine: how institutions are addressing gender disparities. Womens Health Issues. 2017;27(3):374-381.
- White FS, McDade S, Yamagata H, Morahan PS. Gender-related differences in the pathway to and characteristics of US medical school deanships. *Acad Med.* 2012;87:1015-1023.
- Mayer AP, Blair JE, Ko MG, et al. Gender distribution of U.S. medical school faculty by academic track type. Acad Med. 2014;89:312-317.
- Edmunds LD, Ovseiko PV, Shepperd S, et al. Why do women choose to reject careers in academic medicine? A narrative review of empirical evidence. *Lancet*. 2016;388:2948-2958.
- Pololi LH, Jones SJ. Women faculty: an analysis of their experiences in academic medicine and their coping strategies. *Gend Med.* 2010;7:438-450.
- Conrad P, Carr P, Knight S, et al. Hierarchy as a barrier to advancement for women in academic medicine. J Women's Health. 2010;19(4):799-805.
- Carr P, Pololi L, Knight S, Conrad P. Collaboration in academic medicine: reflections on gender and advancement. Acad Med. 2009;84(10):1447-1453.

- Pololi LH, Civian JT, Brennan RT, et al. Experiencing the culture of academic medicine: gender matters, a national study. J Gen Intern Med. 2012;28(2):201-207.
- Jefferson L, Bloor K, Maynard A. Women in medicine: historical perspectives and recent trends. Br Med Bull. 2015;114(1):5-15.
- Penny M, Jeffries R, Grant J, et al. Women and academic medicine: a review of the evidence on female representation. J R Soc Med. 2014;107(7):259-263.
- Laver KE, Prichard IJ, Cations M, et al. A systematic review of interventions to support the careers of women in academic medicine and other disciplines. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8:e020380.
- Pingleton S, Jones EVM, Rosolowski TA, Zimmerman MK. Silent bias: challenges, obstacles, and strategies for leadership development in academic medicine – lessons from oral histories of women professors at the University of Kansas. Acad Med. 2016;91(8):1151-1157.
- Balmer D, Courts KA, Dougherty B, Tuton LW, Abbuhl S, Hirshfield LE. Applying the theory of gendered organizations to the lived experience of women with established careers in academic medicine. *Teach Learn Med.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1080/10401 334.2020.1767106
- Burgoyne LN, O'Flynn S, Boylan GB. Undergraduate medical research: the student perspective. *Med Educ Online*. 2010;15(1):5212.
- Dorsey ER, Raphael BA, Balcer LJ, Galetta SL. Predictors of future publication record and academic rank in a cohort of neurology residents. *Neurology*. 2006;67:1335-1337.
- Galletly C, Chur-Hansen A, Air T, Chapman I. Academics of the future? A survey of final year medical students. *Austral Psychiatry*. 2009;17:502-505.
- Gordon MB, Osganian SK, Emans SJ, Lovejoy FH Jr. Gender differences in research grant applications for pediatric residents. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124:e355-e361.
- 49. Komaromy M, Bindman AB, Haber RJ, Sande MA. Sexual harassment in medical training. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;328:322-326.
- Larsson C, Hensing G, Allebeck P. Sexual and gender-related harassment in medical education and research training: results from a Swedish survey. *Med Educ.* 2003;37:39-50.
- Leonard JC, Ellsbury KE. Gender and interest in academic careers among first- and third-year residents. Acad Med. 1996;71:502-504.
- Nikkar-Esfahani A, Jamjoom AAB, Fitzgerald JEF. Extracurricular participation in research and audit by medical students: opportunities, obstacles, motivation and outcomes. *Med Teach*. 2012;34:e317-e324.
- Osborn EHS, Ernster VL, Martin JB. Women's attitudes toward careers in academic medicine at the University of California, San Francisco. Acad Med. 1992;67:59-62.
- 54. Primack BA, Dilmore TC, Switzer GE, et al. Burnout among early career clinical investigators. *Clin Transl Sci.* 2010;3:186-188.
- Salgueira A, Costa P, Goncalves M, Magalhaes E, Costa MJ. Individual characteristics and student's engagement in scientific research: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2012;12:95.
- Watt CD, Greeley SAW, Shea JA, Ahn J. Educational views and attitudes, and career goals of MD-PhD students at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Acad Med. 2005;80:193-198.
- 57. Yamazaki Y, Uka T, Shimizu H, Miyahira A, Sakai T, Marui E. Characteristics of physicians engaged in basic science: a questionnaire survey of physicians in basic science departments of a medical school in Japan. *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 2012;228:75-82.
- Andriole DA, Whelan AJ, Jeffe DB. Characteristics and career intentions of the emerging MD/PhD workforce. JAMA. 2008;300(10):1165-1173.
- Andriole DA, Jeffe DB, Hageman HL, et al. Variables associated with full-time faculty appointment among contemporary US Medical School graduates: implications for academic medicine workforce diversity. *Acad Med.* 2010;85(7):1250-1257.

- Andriole DA, Jeffe DB. The road to an academic medicine career: a national cohort study of male and female US medical graduated. *Acad Med.* 2012;87(12):1722-1733.
- Brass LF, Akabas MH, Burnley LD, et al. Are MD-PhD programs meeting their goals? An analysis of career choices made by graduates of 24 MD-PhD programs. *Acad Med.* 2010;85(4):692-701.
- Cain JM, Schulkin J, Parisi V, Power ML, Holzman GB, Williams S. Effects of perceptions and mentorship on pursuing a career in academic medicine in obstetrics and gynecology. *Acad Med.* 2001;76:628-634.
- Danczyk RC, Sevdalis N, Woo K, et al. Factors affecting career choice among the next generation of academic vascular surgeons. *J Vasc Surg.* 2012;55:1509-1514.e7.
- 64. Fang D, Meyer RE. Effect of two Howard Hughes Medical Institute Research Training Programs for medical students on the likelihood of pursuing research careers. Acad Med. 2003;78:1271-1280.
- Gerson LB, Twomey K, Hecht G, et al. Does gender affect career satisfaction and advancement in gastroenterology? Results of an AGA institute-sponsored survey. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;132:1598-1606.
- Guelich JM, Singer BH, Castro MC, Rosenberg LE. A gender gap in the next generation of physician-scientists: medical student interest and participation in research. J Investig Med. 2002;50:412-418.
- Heathcote J, Cauch-Dudek K, Rhyne D. The professional lives of women in gastroenterology: a Canadian comparison study with men. *Gastroenterology*. 1997;113:669-674.
- Kong X.Trajectory of medical students' research interest by gender, race/ethnicity, research experience, and program: a longitudinal analysis. http://libra.virginia.edu/catalog/libra-oa:6454 Published 2014. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Ley TJ, Rosenberg LE. The physician-scientist career pipeline in 2005: build it, and they will come. JAMA. 2005;294:1343-1351.
- Nomura K, Yano E, Fukui T. Gender differences in clinical confidence: a nationwide survey of resident physicians in Japan. Acad Med. 2010;85:647-653.
- Pincus S. Women in academic dermatology. Results of survey from the professors of dermatology. Arch Dermatol. 1994;130:1131-1135.
- Smith WH, Rogers JG, Hansen TN, Smith CV. Early career development in academic pediatrics of participants in the APS-SPR medical student research program. *Pediatr Res.* 2009;65:474-477.
- Yang G, Villalta JD, Weiss DA, Carroll PR, Breyer BN. Gender differences in academic productivity and academic career choice among urology residents. *J Urol.* 2012;188:1286-1290.
- Borges NJ, Grover AC, Navarro AM, Raque-Bogdan TL, Elton C. International women physicians' on choosing an academic medicine career. *Perspect Med Edu*. 2013;2:156-161.
- Coleman VH, Power ML, Williams S, Carpentieri A, Schulkin J. Continuing professional development: racial and gender differences in obstetrics and gynecology residents' perceptions of mentoring. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2005;25:268-277.
- Corrigan MA, Shields CJ, Redmond HP. Factors influencing surgical career choices and advancement in Ireland and Britain. World J Surg. 2007;31:1921-1929.
- Donovan A. Views of radiology program directors on the role of mentorship in the training of radiology residents. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2010;194:704-708.
- Haviland MG, Yamagata H, Werner LS, Zhang K, Dial TH, Sonne JL. Student mistreatment in medical school and planning a career in academic medicine. *Teach Learn Med.* 2011;23:231-237.
- Silberman EK, Belitsky R, Bernstein CA, et al. Recruiting researchers in psychiatry: the influence of residency vs. early motivation. *Acad Psychiatry*. 2012;36:85-90.

- Benson MC, Linn L, Ward N, Wells KB, Brook RH, Leake B. Career orientations of medical and pediatric residents. *Med Care*. 1985;23:1256-1264.
- Cochran A, Hauschild T, Elder WB, Neumayer LA, Brasel KJ, Crandall ML. Perceived gender-based barriers to careers in academic surgery. *Am J Surg.* 2013;206:263-268.
- Freiman A, Barzilai DA, Barankin B, Natsheh A, Shear NH. National appraisal of dermatology residency training: a Canadian study. *Arch Dermatol.* 2005;141:1100-1104.
- Lanzon J, Edwards SP, Inglehart MR. Choosing academia versus private practice: factors affecting oral maxillofacial surgery residents' career choices. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012;70:1751-1761.
- McDonald A, Paterson H, Herbison P. Registrar interest in academic obstetrics and gynaecology: a cross-sectional survey. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2012;52:476-482.
- 85. McGinty KL, Martin CA, Demoss KL, Hill KK. Future career choices of women psychiatric residents: is research included? *Acad Psychiatry*. 1994;18:95-102.
- 86. Kolmar WK, Bartkowski F. *Feminist Theory: A Reader*, 4th edn. New York, NY: McGraw Hill; 2013.
- Donovan J. Feminist Theory: The Intellectual Traditions, 4th edn. New York, NY: Continuum International Publishing Group; 2012.
- Crenshaw KW. Mapping the margins: intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*. 1991;43(6):1241-1299.
- 89. Zimmerman T. Intersectionality: the fourth wave feminist Twitter community. *Atlantis*. 2017;38(1):54-70.
- Gergen KJ, Wortham S. Social construction and pedagogical practice. In: Gergen KJ, ed. Social Construction in Context. London: Sage Publications; 2001:115-136.
- Kohler Riessman C.Narrative analysis. In: Huberman AM, Miles MB, eds. *The Qualitative Researcher's Companion*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 2002:217-270.
- 92. Savin-Baden M, Van Niekerk I. Narrative inquiry: theory and practice. J Geogr High Educ. 2007;31(3):459-472.
- Smith B, Sparkes AC. Narrative and its potential contribution to disability studies. *Disabil Soc.* 2008;23:(1)17–28.
- 94. Bruner J. *Making Stories*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2002.
- Doja A, Horsley T, Sampson M. Productivity in medical education research: an examination of countries of origin. *BMC Med Educ*. 2014;14:243.
- Collins P. Negotiating selves: reflections on unstructured interviewing. Social Res Online. 1998;3(3):70-83.
- 97. Kanuha VK. "Being" native versus "going native": conducting social work research as an insider. *Soc Work*. 2000;45(5):439-447.
- Asselin ME. Insider research: issues to consider when doing qualitative research in your own setting. J Nurses Staff Develop. 2003;19(2):99-103.

- Corbin-Dwyer S, Buckle JL. The space between: on being an insider-outsider in qualitative research. Int J Qual Methods. 2009;8(1):54-63.
- 100. Kirkman M. I didn't interview myself: the researcher as participant in narrative research. *Annu Rev Health Soc Sci.* 1999;9:32-41.
- Grant J.Chapter 11: Experience. In: Disch L, Hawkesworth M, eds. The Oxford Handbook of Feminist Theory. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016:227-246.
- 102. Millett K. Sexual Politics. New York, NY: Avon Books; 1969.
- 103. Crow B. Radical Feminism: A Documentary Reader. New York, NY: New York University Press; 2000.
- Mitchell J. Psychoanalysis and Feminism: A Radical Reassessment for Freudian Psychoanalysis. New York, NY: Basic Books; 2000.
- 105. Auster E. Demystifying the glass ceiling: the organizational and interpersonal dynamics of gender bias. *Business Contemporary World*. 1994;5(3):47-68.
- 106. Cortina LM. Unseen injustice: incivility as modern discrimination in organizations. Acad Manag Rev. 2008;33(1):55-75.
- 107. Ely R, Padavic I. A feminist analysis of organizational research on sex differences. *Acad Manag Rev.* 2007;32(4):1121-1143.
- Auster ER, Prasad A. Why do women still not make it to the top? Dominant organizational ideologies and biases by promotion committees limit opportunities to destination positions. *Sex Roles*. 2016;75:177-196.
- 109. Morrison LJ, Lorens E, Bandiera GW, et al. Impact of a formal mentoring program on academic promotion of Department of Medicine faculty: a comparative study. *Med Teach*. 2014;36(7):608-614.
- Smith KM, Crookes E, Crookes PA. Measuring research 'impact' for academic promotion: issues from the literature. J High Educ Policy Manage. 2013;35(4):410-420.
- 111. Thomas PA, Diener-West M, Canto MI, et al. Results of an academic promotion and career path survey of Faculty at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. Acad Med. 2004;79(3): 258-264.
- 112. Finlay L. "Outing" the Researcher: the provenance, process and practice of reflexivity. *Qual Health Res.* 2002;12:531.
- 113. Lakoff G, Johnson M. *Metaphors We Live By*, 6th edn. Chicago, IL: University Of Chicago Press; 2003.

How to cite this article: Varpio L, Harvey E, Jaarsma D, et al. Attaining full professor: Women's and men's experiences in medical education. *Med Educ.* 2021;55:582–594. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1111/medu.14392