1 Genetic markers of insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion are associated with spontaneous postnatal 2 growth and response to growth hormone treatment in short SGA children: the North European SGA 3 Study (NESGAS)

4

Rikke Beck Jensen^{1,2}, Ajay Thankamony², Felix Day³, Robert A Scott³, Claudia Langenberg³, Jeremy Kirk⁴, Malcolm Donaldson⁵, Sten-A. Ivarsson⁶, Olle Söder⁷, Edna Roche⁸, Hilary Hoey⁸, Anders Juul¹, Ken K. 5 6 7 Ong³, David B. Dunger²

8 9

- 1. Department of Growth and Reproduction, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Denmark
- 10 2. Department of Pediatrics, Addenbrooke's Hospital, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United 11 Kingdom
- 12 3. MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, University of 13 Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- 14 4. Department of Endocrinology, Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- 15 5. Department of Endocrinology, Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Glasgow, United Kingdom
- 6. Department of Clinical Sciences, Endocrine and Diabetes Unit, University of Lund, Malmø, Sweden 16
- 7. Pediatric Endocrinology Unit, Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet & 17 18 University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
- 19 8. Department of Pediatrics, The National Children's Hospital, University of Dublin, Trinity College, 20 Dublin, Ireland

21 22 23

24 25

26

27

Short title: Genetic markers associated with growth

Key words: Small for gestational age, Growth hormone therapy, Genetic markers, Insulin sensitivity, Insulin secretion

28 29 30

31 Word count: 1786 32 Abstract: 199 Tabels: 2

33 34

EudraCT 2005-001507-19

36 37 38

35

Funding: Research grant from the Danish Council for Independent Research and Novo Nordisk A/S

39

Disclosure statement: The authors have nothing to declare

40

- 41 **Correspondence to:**
- 42 Rikke Beck Jensen, MD Ph.D
- 43 Department of Growth and Reproduction
- 44 Copenhagen University
- 45 Rigshospitalet
- 46 Blegdamsvej 9
- 47 DK-2100 Copenhagen
- 48 Denmark
- 49 Email: rikke.beck@dadlnet.dk

50 51

54 55

Abbreviations:

56 SGA: Small for Gestational Age GH: Growth Hormone

57 58 59 60 GS-InSec: Gene Score Insulin Secretion GS-InSens: Gene Score Insulin Sensitivity

61 Abstract

63

66

67

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

78

79

80

Purpose: The wide heterogeneity in the early growth and metabolism of children born small for gestational

age (SGA), both before and during growth hormone (GH) therapy, may reflect common genetic variations

related to insulin secretion or sensitivity.

65 Method: Combined multi-allele single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) scores with known associations with

insulin sensitivity or insulin secretion were analysed for their relationships with spontaneous postnatal

growth and 1st year responses to GH therapy in 96 short SGA children.

Results: The insulin sensitivity allele score (GS-InSens) was positively associated with spontaneous

postnatal weight gain (B:0.12 SD scores per allele, 95% CI:0.01-0.23, p=0.03) and also in response to GH

therapy with 1st year height velocity (0.18 cm/year per allele, 0.02-0.35, p=0.03) and change in IGF-I (0.17

SD scores per allele, 0.00-0.32, p=0.03). The association with 1st year height velocity was independent of

reported predictors of response to GH therapy (adjusted p=0.04). The insulin secretion allele score (GS-

InSec) was positively associated with spontaneous postnatal height gain (0.15, 95% CI:0.01-0.30, p=0.03)

and disposition index both before (0.02, 0.00-0.04, p=0.04) and after 1-year of GH therapy (0.03, 0.01-0.05,

p=0.002), but not with growth and IGF-I responses to GH therapy. Neither allele scores were associated with

size at birth.

77 **Conclusion:** Genetic allele scores indicative of insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion were associated with

spontaneous postnatal growth and responses to GH therapy. Further pharmacogenetic studies may support

the rationale for adjuvant therapies by informing the mechanisms of treatment response.

INTRODUCTION

Small for gestational age (SGA) at birth indicates impaired fetal growth due to a heterogeneous range of intra-uterine conditions or in some infants by innate genetic defects. Around 10% of SGA children do not show spontaneous catch-up growth during the early postnatal years and they are also short as adults if not treated with growth hormone (GH). Most short SGA children have sufficient GH secretion and show generally good responses to GH treatment, although there is considerable variation between patients.

Prediction models of the response to GH therapy in short SGA children have been generated in order to individually tailor treatment, to improve efficacy and safety, and to improve the cost-benefit ratio(1). The prediction model described by Ranke et al.(1) explained 52% of the variance in the first year growth response, with GH dose alone accounting for 35% of the variance.

We and others reported that the growth response to GH therapy in short SGA children is associated with baseline insulin sensitivity and IGF-I levels(2, 3). Children with the highest baseline IGF-I levels had lower insulin sensitivity, lower height velocity and IGF-I responses after 1-year after GH therapy(3). Insulin secretion is diminished in SGA children and this has been proposed as a possible factor in the failure to catch-up in some infants(4). Furthermore, growth and IGF-I responses to first year GH treatment were related to insulin secretion in the NESGAS study(3). We hypothesised that genetic variation in insulin

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Population

SGA children.

NESGAS is a multicentre, randomised, parallel group trial (EudraCT 2005-001507-19) of GH treatment in short SGA-born pre-pubertal children, which has been described in detail(3). Data included in the current analyses are related to the first year of high dose GH treatment (67µg/kg/day) in 96 NESGAS participants.

sensitivity or insulin secretion would be associated with inter-individual variation in responses to GH in short

- The study was performed according to the Helsinki II declaration and approved by the ethics committees.
- Written informed consent was obtained from parents.

Study assessments:

108

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

Standing height was measured on a wall-mounted stadiometer and weight by electronic scales by staff. All

children underwent a fasting blood sample and a short intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT) at

baseline and at year 1(3).

Plasma insulin and C-peptide concentrations were measured centrally by a DELFIA-assay (Perkin Elmer

Life Sciences, Turku, Finland). Interassay coefficients of variation (CV) were below 4% for both insulin and

C-peptide. Serum IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations were determined centrally using an Immulite 2000-

assay (Diagnostic Products Corporation, LA, USA) with standards calibrated towards the WHO NIBSC IRR

87/518. Limit of detection (LOD) and CV was 20ng/ml and 5.93% respectively for IGF-I and 500ng/ml and

5.23 % respectively for IGFBP-3. IGF-I and IGFBP-3 SDS were calculated from our reference data (5, 6).

Plasma glucose and HbA1c were measured locally.

Genotyping information

120 The cohort was genotyped using the Metabochip, a custom Illumina iSelect genotyping array that assays

nearly 200,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) chosen based on GWAS meta-analyses (7).

In each individual, combined multi-allele scores were generated comprising SNPs for insulin sensitivity (GS-

InSens) or insulin secretion (GS-InSec), as recently described(8). The GS-InSens was calculated as a count

of the insulin sensitivity-increasing alleles at 10 variants (Supplementary Table 2a). The GS-InSec was

calculated as a count of the insulin secretion-increasing alleles at 18 of the 23 variants described by Scott et

al. (for the remaining 5 variants, there were no suitable proxies genotyped) (Supplementary Table 2b). Both

combined multi-allele scores were recently validated in large population-based studies (8).

Calculations:

Anthropometric measurements are presented as standard deviation scores (SDS) using normal reference

materials (9-11). Insulin sensitivity was estimated from the homeostatic model (HOMA)

(http://www.dtu.ox.ac.uk/homacalculator/index.php). Acute insulin response (AIR) was calculated as the

133	IVGTT area under the curve of the insulin response. Disposition index (DI) was calculated as the product of
134	insulin sensitivity and AIR.
135	
136	Statistics:
137	Outcome variables were natural-log transformed and standardised. Associations between genetic risk scores
138	and these outcomes were assessed by fitting linear regression models adjusted for age and sex and either
139	BMI or mid-parental height. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package IBM SPSS
140	statistics (version 21; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).
141	The genetic allele scores were also added to a reported model for 1st year predicted height velocity (PHV)
142	responses to GH therapy in short SGA children(1), which includes the variables: age (years) and weight SDS
143	at start of treatment, GH dose, and mid-parental height SDS.
144	
145	RESULTS
146	Associations with spontaneous growth
147	Clinical characteristics are presented in supplementary Table 1. Birth weight (mean -3.22 SDS), birth length
148	(mean -3.15 SDS) and gestational age (mean 35.6 weeks) were all unrelated to GS-InSens and GS-InSec (all
149	P>0.24, data not shown).
150	GS-InSens was unrelated to spontaneous growth (change in height (SDS) from birth to study baseline,
151	p=0.24), but positively associated with spontaneous weight gain (B:0.12 SDS per allele, 95% CI:0.01-0.23,
152	p=0.03). GS-InSec was positively associated with spontaneous growth (B: 0.15, 95% CI 0.01-0.30, p=0.03)
153	and showed a similar trend with spontaneous weight gain (p=0.06) (Table 1).
154	
155	Height velocity and IGF-I responses to GH therapy
156	GS-InSens was positively associated with height velocity (B:0.18 cm/year per allele, 0.02-0.35, p=0.03),
157	weight (SDS) (B:-0.10 SDS per allele, -0.20 to -0.003, p=0.04) and change in IGF-I levels (0.17 SDS/year
158	per allele, 0.00-0.32, p=0.03) in response to GH therapy.

The variance in 1st year height velocity in response to GH therapy predicted by the Ranke model (R² 0.17) was lower than that in the original report, but the SE (1.72 cm) was similar, likely reflecting the uniform GH dose used in our study. Addition of GS-InSens to this prediction model explained an additional 5% of the variance in the 1st year height velocity response (R² 0.22, SE 1.71 cm; p-value for R² change =0.04). Alternatively, addition of baseline IGF-I SDS to the model also increased the explained variance in the 1st year height velocity response (R2 0.26; SE 1.65 cm, p-value for R2 change=0.009) and addition of both baseline IGF-I and GS-Insens increased the explained variance, but this change in R² was not significant (R² 0.29; SE 1.63 cm, p-value for R^2 change=0.09).

Associations with insulin traits

Consistent with its expected functional role, GS-InSec was positively associated with disposition index, both before (B:0.02 per allele, 95% CI:0.00-0.04, p=0.04) and 1-year after GH therapy (0.03, 0.01-0.05, p=0.002). However, the GS-InSens was unrelated to HOMA-S or the disposition index at baseline and after 1 year of therapy (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study of short SGA-born children, validated genetic determinants of insulin sensitivity were associated with both height velocity and circulating IGF-I level responses to GH therapy. The findings provide insights into the mechanisms that contribute to GH responses and also insights into the pathophysiology of poor spontaneous postnatal growth in SGA infants.

Pharmacogenetics considers the possible contribution of genetic factors to the prediction of individual treatment efficacy and/or risks of treatment-related adverse events and forms the basis for many putative strategies for stratified medicine(12). Prediction of individual growth responses to GH therapy has been suggested to optimise treatment in a range of childhood disorders. However, the reported prediction model for short SGA children was largely reliant on historical heterogeneity in the GH dose(1), which in current clinical practice is standardised. In our fixed GH dose study, inclusion of the insulin sensitivity allele score

improved the explained variance by only 5%, from 17 to 22%, which is insufficient for such scores to have clinical utility in individual treatment prediction.

An alternative application of pharmacogenetics is to inform the mechanisms of treatment response, by considering informative genotypes or allele scores as indicators of the likely causal effects of their target traits. Such inference forms the basis of the so-called 'Mendelian randomisation' approach(13). The independent association between the insulin sensitivity allele score and 1st year height velocity responses supports observations in non-genetic studies of SGA infants, where insulin resistance has been associated with poor response to GH therapy. IGF-I resistance has also been implicated because of the close functional relationship between the insulin receptor and the type 1 IGF-I receptor (IGF-IR). We previously reported that children with relatively high baseline IGF-I levels had lower insulin sensitivity and impaired IGF-I generation in response to GH therapy(3). Our genetic associations support the possible causality of such associations and may allow a quantitative estimation of the relationship between insulin sensitivity and growth response. Such causal inference relies on various assumptions and therefore requires experimental validation, but it would support the rationale for the clinical testing of adjuvant insulin sensitisation in combination with GH therapy(14).

The insulin secretion allele scores were associated with spontaneous postnatal growth in height and weight, whereas the insulin sensitivity allele scores were associated with weight gain. In the population-based ALSPAC cohort, insulin secretion was positively related to size at birth, and to childhood height and IGF-I levels(4). Similarly, in an earlier study of short SGA children, insulin secretion was positively related to height velocity(15). Thus, beta-cell function appears to have a key role in spontaneous height growth, and this mechanism may underlie observed associations between shorter adult stature or lower IGF-I levels and higher risk for type 2 diabetes (T2D)(16, 17). Common genetic mechanisms between early growth patterns and later risk of metabolic disease have been proposed, however, there is inconsistent evidence linking SNPs related to T2D or obesity to risk of SGA at birth(18-20). Our findings support common genetic mechanisms linking spontaneous postnatal height growth to disposition index, a marker of insulin secretory capacity,

before and during GH treatment. The positive association between insulin sensitivity alleles and spontaneous postnatal weight gain is discordant with observed associations between rapid postnatal weight gain and insulin resistance(4), but is consistent with recent findings in adults(8) and likely indicates the positive anabolic effects of insulin signalling. Future studies should test the combination of the insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion allele scores for prediction of T2D in SGA-born or other high-risk groups.

A limitation of this study is the relatively small population, even though the cohort is well-characterised phenotypically. To increase statistical power, we examined combined allele scores rather than individual SNP genotypes. We are therefore unable to pinpoint individual variants or genes that regulate response to GH therapy, however, this approach allows broader support for a causal role of insulin sensitivity in general.

In conclusion, these novel data indicate causal influences of insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity on spontaneous postnatal height growth and growth responses to GH therapy, respectively in short SGA-born children. The findings also support the relationship between insulin resistance and putative IGF-I resistance, which may impair responses to GH therapy and potentially increase the risk of T2D. It will be interesting to examine whether similar mechanisms contribute to growth responses in patients with other conditions that warrant GH therapy, such as GH deficiency.

- 231 1. Ranke MB, Lindberg A, Cowell CT, Wikland KA, Reiter EO, Wilton P, Price DA 2003 Prediction of response to growth hormone treatment in short children born small for gestational age: analysis of data from KIGS (Pharmacia International Growth Database). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 88:125-131
- 234 2. Gies I, Thomas M, Tenoutasse S, De WK, Lebrethon MC, Beckers D, Francois I, Maes M, Rooman R, de BC, Massa G, De SJ 2012 Insulin sensitivity modulates the growth response during the first year of high-dose growth hormone treatment in short prepubertal children born small for gestational age. HormResPaediatr 78:24-30
- Jensen RB, Thankamony A, O'Connell SM, Salgin B, Kirk J, Donaldson M, Ivarsson SA, Soder O, Roche E, Hoey H, Dunger DB, Juul A 2013 Baseline IGF-I levels determine insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity during the first year on growth hormone therapy in children born small for gestational age. Results from a North European Multicentre Study (NESGAS). Hormone research in paediatrics 80:38-46
- 242 4. Ong KK, Petry CJ, Emmett PM, Sandhu MS, Kiess W, Hales CN, Ness AR, Dunger DB 2004 Insulin sensitivity and secretion in normal children related to size at birth, postnatal growth, and plasma insulin-like growth factor-I levels. Diabetologia 47:1064-1070
- 5. Sorensen K, Aksglaede L, Munch-Andersen T, Aachmann-Andersen NJ, Leffers H, Helge JW, Hilsted L, Juul A 2009 Impact of the growth hormone receptor exon 3 deletion gene polymorphism on glucose metabolism, lipids, and insulin-like growth factor-I levels during puberty. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94:2966-2969
- Sorensen K, Aksglaede L, Petersen JH, Andersson AM, Juul A 2012 Serum IGF1 and insulin levels in girls with normal and precocious puberty. EurJEndocrinol 166:903-910
 Voight BF, Kang HM, Ding J, Palmer CD, Sidore C, Chines PS, Burtt NP, Fuchsberger C, Li Y,
 - 7. Voight BF, Kang HM, Ding J, Palmer CD, Sidore C, Chines PS, Burtt NP, Fuchsberger C, Li Y, Erdmann J, Frayling TM, Heid IM, Jackson AU, Johnson T, Kilpelainen TO, Lindgren CM, Morris AP, Prokopenko I, Randall JC, Saxena R, Soranzo N, Speliotes EK, Teslovich TM, Wheeler E, Maguire J, Parkin M, Potter S, Rayner NW, Robertson N, Stirrups K, Winckler W, Sanna S, Mulas A, Nagaraja R, Cucca F, Barroso I, Deloukas P, Loos RJ, Kathiresan S, Munroe PB, Newton-Cheh C, Pfeufer A, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D, McCarthy MI, Abecasis GR, Boehnke M 2012 The metabochip, a custom genotyping array for genetic studies of metabolic, cardiovascular, and anthropometric traits. PLoS genetics 8:e1002793
 - 8. Scott RA, Fall T, Pasko D, Barker A, Sharp SJ, Arriola L, Balkau B, Barricarte A, Barroso I, Boeing H, Clavel-Chapelon F, Crowe FL, Dekker JM, Fagherazzi G, Ferrannini E, Forouhi NG, Franks PW, Gavrila D, Giedraitis V, Grioni S, Groop LC, Kaaks R, Key TJ, Kuhn T, Lotta LA, Nilsson PM, Overvad K, Palli D, Panico S, Quiros JR, Rolandsson O, Roswall N, Sacerdote C, Sala N, Sanchez MJ, Schulze MB, Siddiq A, Slimani N, Sluijs I, Spijkerman AM, Tjonneland A, Tumino R, van der AD, Yaghootkar H, McCarthy MI, Semple RK, Riboli E, Walker M, Ingelsson E, Frayling TM, Savage DB, Langenberg C, Wareham NJ 2014 Common genetic variants highlight the role of insulin resistance and body fat distribution in type 2 diabetes, independently of obesity. Diabetes
- 9. **Niklasson A, Albertsson-Wikland K** 2008 Continuous growth reference from 24th week of gestation to 24 months by gender. BMCPediatr 8:8
 - 10. **Wikland KA, Luo ZC, Niklasson A, Karlberg J** 2002 Swedish population-based longitudinal reference values from birth to 18 years of age for height, weight and head circumference. Acta Paediatr 91:739-754
- Nysom K, Molgaard C, Hutchings B, Michaelsen KF 2001 Body mass index of 0 to 45-y-old Danes: reference values and comparison with published European reference values. Int J ObesRelat Metab Disord 25:177-184
- 274 12. **Ma Q, Lu AY** 2011 Pharmacogenetics, pharmacogenomics, and individualized medicine. Pharmacological reviews 63:437-459
- Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S 2005 What can mendelian randomisation tell us about modifiable behavioural and environmental exposures? Bmj 330:1076-1079
- 14. **Ibanez L, Valls C, Ong K, Dunger DB, de Zegher F** 2006 Metformin therapy during puberty delays menarche, prolongs pubertal growth, and augments adult height: a randomized study in low-birth-weight girls with early-normal onset of puberty. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 91:2068-2073
- Woods KA, van Helvoirt M, Ong KK, Mohn A, Levy J, De Zegher F, Dunger DB 2002 The somatotropic axis in short children born small for gestational age: relation to insulin resistance. PediatrRes 51:76-80
- Brown DC, Byrne CD, Clark PM, Cox BD, Day NE, Hales CN, Shackleton JR, Wang TW, Williams DR 1991 Height and glucose tolerance in adult subjects. Diabetologia 34:531-533
- 285 17. **Sandhu MS, Gibson JM, Heald AH, Dunger DB, Wareham NJ** 2004 Association between insulin-like growth factor-I: insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 ratio and metabolic and anthropometric factors in men and women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 13:166-170

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

269

- 288 18. Freathy RM, Bennett AJ, Ring SM, Shields B, Groves CJ, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Lindgren CM, Lango H, Perry JR, Pouta A, Ruokonen A, Hypponen E, Power C, Elliott P, Strachan DP, Jarvelin MR, Smith GD, McCarthy MI, Frayling TM, Hattersley AT 2009 Type 2 diabetes risk alleles are associated with reduced size at birth. Diabetes 58:1428-1433
- Morgan AR, Thompson JM, Murphy R, Black PN, Lam WJ, Ferguson LR, Mitchell EA 2010 Obesity and diabetes genes are associated with being born small for gestational age: results from the Auckland Birthweight Collaborative study. BMC medical genetics 11:125
- Zhao J, Li M, Bradfield JP, Wang K, Zhang H, Sleiman P, Kim CE, Annaiah K, Glaberson W, Glessner JT, Otieno FG, Thomas KA, Garris M, Hou C, Frackelton EC, Chiavacci RM, Berkowitz RI, Hakonarson H, Grant SF 2009 Examination of type 2 diabetes loci implicates CDKAL1 as a birth weight gene. Diabetes 58:2414-2418

304	Acknowledgements:
305	We are extremely grateful to the study participants and their families. We thank the National Institute for
306	Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge BioResource and the Institute of Metabolic Science, Cambridge
307	Biomedical Campus for collaboration. We thank the group of local investigators in the NESGAS group
308	(listed below) for collection of data. This study was funded by a research grant from The Danish Council for
309	Independent Research/ Medical Sciences and a research grant from Novo Nordisk A/S.
310	
311	
312	The NESGAS group include the following investigators and study nurses (listed in alphabetical order):
313	Birmingham: Mandy Aspinall, Tracey Kirkwood, Ellen Stone
314	Cambridge: Catherine Fullah
315	Copenhagen/Denmark: Niels Birkebaek, Peter Christiansen, Anni Ellerman, Kirsten Holm, Elise Snitker
316	Jensen, Eva Mosfeldt-Jeppesen, Britta Kremke, Pawel Marcinski, Rune Naeraa, Claus Thøger Nielsen,
317	Carsten Pedersen, Nina Saurbrey, Birgitte Scherling, Ebbe Thisted
318	Dublin: Susan O'Connell, Elaine O'Mullane
319	Glasgow: Sheena McGowern
320	Malmoe: Helena Larsson, Carina Persson
321	Lund: Maria Elfving, Lena Rollof
322	Stockholm: Svante Norgren
323	
324	
325	

Table 1Clinical characteristics in 96 children (60 boys) at baseline and after 1 year of GH treatment

	Baseline	After 1 yr of treatment
Age (year)	6.25 (1.67)	7.31 (1.64)
Height (cm)	102.21 (9.38)	113.09 (8.96)
Height (SDS)	-3.41 (0.77)	-2.35 (0.84)
Weight (cm)	15.55 (5.00)	18.95 (4.25)
Weight (SDS)	-3.13 (1.05)	-2.13 (1.04)
BMI (SDS)	-1.21 (1.33)	-1.01 (1.27)
IGF-I (SDS)	-1.14 (1.20)	2.73 (1.50)
Glucose Metabolism		
Glucose (nmol/l)	4.36 (0.68)	4.74 (0.54)
Insulin (pmol/l)	15.63 (7.99-30.20)	39.8 (23.82-66.53)
C-peptide (pmol/l)	194.98 (110.15-334.97)	416.87 (249.46-696.63)
HOMA %	239.88 (134.90-424.62)	109.64 (74.47-169.04)
Acute Insulin Response (10 ² *pmol*min)	13.49 (7.76-23.44)	23.98 (13.18-43.65)
Disposition Index (10 ⁴ *pmol*min)	32.21 (18.11-57.28)	26.92 (15.14-47.86)

Data are presented as means (SD) or back transformed geometric means (1SD ranges)

Table 2

Associations to measures of growth and metabolism for Insulin secretion multi-allele score (GS-InSec)

Measure of growth and metabolism	Effect size per allele (B)	95%CI	P value
	(3)		
Insulin Secretion multi-allele score (GS-			
InSec)			
Height (SDS) baseline**	0.02	-0.04-0.08	0.49
Height (SDS) 1yr**	0.03	-0.04-0.09	0.41
Δ Height (SDS) (baseline to 1yr)**	0.004	-0.03-0.04	0.80
Δ Height (cm) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.008	-0.14-0.13	0.91
Weight (SDS) baseline**	0.06	-0.02-0.14	0.17
Weight (SDS) 1 yr**	0.04	-0.04-0.13	0.30
Δ Weight (SDS) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.02	-0.05-0.02	0.30
Δ Weight (kg) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.17	-0.49-0.15	0.30
IGF-I (SDS) baseline**	-0.03	-0.13-0.07	0.54
IGF-I (SDS) 1 yr**	0.005	-0.11-0.12	0.94
Δ IGF-I (SDS) (baseline to 1yr)**	0.04	-0.09-0.15	0.57
AUC insulin baseline*	0.02	-0.003-0.04	0.09
AUC insulin 1yr*	0.03	0.005-0.05	0.02
Δ AUC insulin (baseline to 1yr)*	62.36	-51.3-176.0	0.28
HOMA-S baseline*	0.01	-0.01-0.03	0.33
HOMA-S 1 yr*	0.006	-0.01-0.02	0.47
Δ HOMA-S (baseline to 1yr)*	-9.19	-27.9 to 8.9	0.32
Disposition index baseline*	0.02	0.001-0.04	0.04
Disposition index 1 yr*	0.03	0.01-0.05	0.002
Δ Disposition index (baseline to 1yr)*	2141.9	-20976-25260	0.85
Δ Height from birth to baseline**	0.15	0.01-0.30	0.03
Δ Weight from birth to baseline**	0.09	-0.003-0.17	0.06

^{*}corrected for age, sex and BMI, **corrected for age, sex and mid-parental height

Table 3

Associations to measures of growth and metabolism for Insulin Sensitivity multi-allele score (GS-InSens)

Measure of growth and metabolism	Effect size per allele (B)	95%CI	P value
Insulin Sensitivity multi-allele score (GS-			
InSens)			
Height (SDS) baseline**	-0.05	-0.13-0.02	0.17
Height (SDS) 1yr**	-0.08	-0.15 to -0.001	0.048
Δ Height (SDS) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.02	-0.06-0.02	0.24
Δ Height (cm) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.18	-0.35 to -0.02	0.03
Weight (SDS) baseline**	-0.10	-0.20 to -0.005	0.04
Weight (SDS) 1 yr**	-0.10	-0.20 to -0.003	0.04
Δ Weight (SDS) (baseline to 1 yr)**	-0.01	-0.05-0.03	0.63
Δ Weight (kg) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.16	-0.56 to 0.23	0.41
IGF-I (SDS) baseline**	0.04	-0.080-0.170	0.47
IGF-I (SDS) 1 yr**	-0.15	-0.30 to -0.002	0.047
Δ IGF-I (SDS) (baseline to 1yr)**	-0.17	-0.32 to -0.002	0.03
AUC insulin baseline*	-0.006	-0.03 to 0.02	0.63
AUC insulin 1yr*	-0.01	-0.04 to 0.01	0.47
Δ AUC insulin (baseline to 1yr)**	-60.2	-208 to 88	0.42
HOMA-S baseline*	-0.007	-0.03 to 0.02	0.59
HOMA-S 1 yr*	-0.004	-0.02 to 0.01	0.64
Δ HOMA-S (baseline to 1yr)*	2.16	-20.1 to 24.4	0.85
Disposition index baseline*	-0.01	-0.04 to 0.01	0.30
Disposition index 1 yr*	-0.01	-0.04 to 0.01	0.27
Δ Disposition index (baseline to 1yr)*	-4858	-34565 to 24939	0.75
Δ Height from birth to baseline**	-0.003	-0.19-0.18	0.95
Δ Weight from birth to baseline**	-0.12	-0.23 to -0.01	0.03

^{*}corrected for age, sex and BMI, **corrected for age, sex and mid-parental height

The regression coefficient (B) are the inverse of the Insulin resistance score (IR score) described by Scott et al. An increase in multi-allele score reflects a decrease in insulin sensitivity.

Table 4a Regression equation variables for predicting the first-year growth response (cm/yr) to GH therapy in the NESGAS cohort

	Parameter estimate (B)	95% CI	P value
Intercept (constant)	13.9		
Age at start (yr)	-0.37	-0.59 to -0.15	0.001
Weight (SDS) at start	0.17	-0.27-0.45	0.35
GH dose (µg/kg/day)	4.23	-101.7-96.8	0.93
MPH (SDS)	0.46	0.05-0.75	0.01
R^2	0.17		
Error SD (cm)	1.72		

Table 4b Regression equation variables for predicting the first-year growth response (cm/yr) to GH therapy in the NESGAS cohort including GS-InSens

	Parameter estimate (B)	95% CI	P value
Intercept (constant)	16.1		
Age at start (yr)	-0.37	-0.59 to -0.15	0.001
Weight (SDS) at start	0.09	-0.27-0.45	0.61
GH dose (µg/kg/day)	2.44	-101.7-96.8	0.96
MPH (SDS)	0.40	0.05-0.75	0.03
GS-IR	-0.17	-0.34 to -0.01	0.04
R^2	0.22*		
Error SD (cm)	1.71		

^{*}The change in R^2 between the two models was significant (p<0.05)