Public Health Nutrition: 24(3), 467-475

doi:10.1017/S1368980020004322

Body shape trajectories and risk of breast cancer: results from the SUN ('Seguimiento Universidad De Navarra') Project

Rodrigo Sanchez-Bayona^{1,2}, Carmen Sayon-Orea¹, Itziar Gardeazabal^{1,3}, Javier Llorca^{4,5}, Alfredo Gea^{1,6,7}, Marta Santisteban^{7,8}, Jose M Martín-Moreno⁹ and Estefania Toledo^{1,6,7,*}

¹Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Navarra, C/Irunlarrea 1, Pamplona 31008, Spain: ²Department of Clinical Oncology, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain: ³Department of Clinical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain: ⁴University of Cantabria, Santander, Spain: ⁵Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red Área de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain: ⁶Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red Area de Fisiologia de la Obesidad y la Nutricion (CIBEROBN), Madrid, Spain: ⁷IdiSNA, Navarra Institute for Health Research, Pamplona, Spain: ⁸Department of Clinical Oncology, Clínica Universidad de Navarra, Pamplona, Spain: ⁹Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Medical School & INCLIVA, University of Valencia, Spain

Submitted 15 July 2020: Final revision received 6 October 2020: Accepted 22 October 2020: First published online 10 November 2020

Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess body shape trajectories in childhood and midlife in relation to subsequent risk of breast cancer (BC) in a Mediterranean cohort.

Design: The 'Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra' (SUN) Project is a dynamic prospective cohort study of university graduates initiated in 1999. With a group-based modelling approach, we assessed body shape trajectories from age 5 to 40 years. Multivariable Cox regression models were used to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) for BC after the age of 40 years according to the body shape trajectory.

Setting: City of Pamplona, in the North of Spain.

Participants: 6498 women with a mean age of 40 years (SD 9).

Results: We identified four distinct body shape trajectories ('childhood lean-midlife increase' (19·9%), 'childhood medium-midlife stable' (53%), 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' (21%) and 'childhood heavy-midlife increase' (6·1%)). Among 54 978 women-years of follow-up, we confirmed eighty-two incident cases of BC. Women in the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' group showed a higher risk of BC (HR = 1·84, 95% CI 1·11, 3·04) compared with women in the 'childhood medium-midlife stable' category. This association was stronger for postmeno-pausal BC (HR = 2·42, 95% CI 1·07, 5·48).

Conclusions: Our results suggest a role for lifetime adiposity in breast carcinogenesis.

Keywords Breast cancer Trajectories Body shape Obesity BMI Cohort

Worldwide, breast cancer (BC) is the leading type of cancer in women with more than 1.7 million incident cases and more than 535 000 deaths in 2016⁽¹⁾. Despite efforts made in the fields of BC treatment and prevention, it still causes more than 200 000 deaths a year in developed countries⁽¹⁾.

Obesity is a risk factor specifically related to postmenopausal BC⁽²⁾, due to the increased production of oestrogens derived from adipose tissue⁽³⁾. Peripheral adipose tissue produces oestrogens which act as growth factors in breast cells and may stimulate tumour progression⁽⁴⁾. In addition, obese people usually have reduced levels of sex-hormone binding globulin, which increases the biologically active free-fraction of oestrogens⁽⁵⁾. In contrast to postmenopausal BC, available evidence suggests an inverse association between body fatness in early life and premenopausal BC⁽⁶⁾. However, the association between obesity and BC is complex and not fully understood. More specifically, the influence of changes in lifespan adiposity on BC risk is still controversial, and it is necessary to explore new perspectives that consider the patient's vital trajectory in order to better understand the relation between adiposity and cancer⁽⁷⁾.

^{*}Corresponding author: Email etoledo@unav.es

[©] The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Weed Science Society of America

468

New strategies have been designed based on the study of body shape in different stages of life, so they allow to correlate the body shape trajectories with the risk of developing chronic diseases⁽⁸⁾. These different trajectories have been associated with all-cause and specific-cause mortality⁽⁹⁾. Previous studies have found that patients with a heavy body shape during childhood that was maintained or even increased during midlife have a higher risk of overall mortality and a higher risk of obesity-related cancers (oesophagus adenocarcinoma, colorectal cancer, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, postmenopausal BC, endometrial adenocarcinoma, ovarian cancer, advanced prostate adenocarcinoma, kidney, liver and gallbladder carcinoma)⁽¹⁰⁾. When this trajectory-based approach was used in a European cohort, the authors found no significant association between body shape trajectories and BC risk⁽¹¹⁾. However, the available evidence is still limited, and previous studies are based on populations with a high baseline BMI. Evidence from a population with lower BMI levels is needed. Thus, we aimed to assess the association between trajectories of body shape and incident BC in a middle-aged Mediterranean cohort of university graduates.

Methods

Study population

The 'Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra' (University of Navarra Follow-up) (SUN) Project is a dynamic (recruitment permanently open) and multi-purpose cohort study entirely composed of university graduates⁽¹²⁾. Participants completed a 556-item baseline questionnaire and are contacted biennially thereafter to inquire about changes in lifestyles and incident diseases.

Up to 2018, 22 790 participants answered the baseline questionnaire. For the present analysis, 8791 men were excluded (Fig. 1). We also excluded 229 women who



Fig. 1 (colour online) Flow chart of participants in the SUN Project, 1999-2015

answered the baseline questionnaire after 1 October 2015 to ensure a follow-up period of at least 2 years, and 1295 participants due to lack of information on follow-up (overall retention 91%). We further excluded 142 women who reported a previous BC in the baseline questionnaire or had developed BC before the age of 40 years during the follow-up. Also, we excluded 1350 participants with total daily energy intake lower than 500 kcal/d or higher than 3500 kcal/d⁽¹³⁾. We also excluded 204 participants because of age of menopause younger than 35 years due to an eventual special hormonal status. Participants with <3 registered body shape images were excluded (583 participants). Finally, women who did not reach the age of 41 years during the follow-up were also excluded (3698 participants), since the assessed exposure was body shape trajectories from age 5 to 40 years. Thus, 6498 women were included in our analyses. The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Navarra.

Assessment of body shape

In the baseline questionnaire, participants were asked to report which one of the nine pictorial body diagrams (Fig. 2) developed by Stunkard et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ best described their body shape at ages 5, 20, 30, and 40, and currently. Participants reported their height and weight at baseline and every other year during the follow-up. We converted BMI to the same scale as body shapes in those who still had missing information on body shape at ages 20, 30 and 40. This methodology was successfully used in previous studies⁽⁹⁾. In order to rescale the BMI at ages 20, 30 and 40 into nine categories consistently with the nine somatotypes, we built a prediction model for body shape on BMI at the age between 17 and 23 years among the subjects with available information at these ages for the body shapes at age 20. We proceeded similarly with the BMI at the age of 27-33 years and 37-43 years for the body shapes at 30 and 40 years, respectively. In our model, we found a strong correlation between BMI and body shape at baseline (Spearman's rho = 0.755, P < 0.001).

Trajectory modelling

Among participants who had at least three different body shape data at different ages, we used group-based trajectory models (GBTM) to identify distinct body shape trajectories over age or time – from age 5 up to 40 years. The



Fig. 2 Stunkard body diagram in women⁽¹⁴⁾

Public Health Nutrition

Body shape trajectories and risk of breast cancer

GBTM method fits longitudinal data as a discrete mixture of two or more trajectories via maximum likelihood⁽¹⁵⁾. To fit the models, we used the Stata traj plug-in, with body shape figures modelled as censored normal.

We used a censored normal model as a polynomial function of age (as time scale). Model selection was pursued in a two-stage approach assessed by change in the Bayesian Information Criterion⁽¹⁶⁾. In the first stage, we aimed to determine the number of groups using a quadratic form for all the trajectory groups. We stepwise decreased the number of groups in the model specification. We selected the model with the largest Bayesian Information Criterion as recommended⁽¹⁶⁾. Given our sample size, we compared the Bayesian Information Criterion of four groups with three, two and one groups. After identifying that the model with four groups best fitted our data, in the second stage, we determined the order of the polynomial functions specifying the shape of each trajectory. Different shapes for trajectories were tested, and we compared the Bayesian Information Criterion of the four-group models with different shapes (linear, quadratic and cubic). The model with the first and the fourth groups with up to cubic order, and the second and the third groups with up to quadratic order terms showed the best fit to the data. After obtaining the final model, we named the trajectory groups to describe their visual patterns (Fig. 3) as 'childhood leanmidlife increase', 'childhood medium-midlife stable', 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' and 'childhood heavy-midlife increase'.

Once the number and shape of groups were identified, we tested the model adequacy using the average posterior probability (APP) of group membership. The APP of group membership measured the likelihood for each participant to belong to its assigned group. Using the cut-off point of ≥ 0.70 as recommended by Nagin⁽¹⁶⁾, our final models demonstrated good discrimination in classifying individuals into distinctive trajectory groups: the APP for each group was 0.88, 0.91, 0.84 and 0.88. Finally, to assess the assignment accuracy, we calculated the odds of correct classification (OCC); the OCC is a ratio where the



Fig, 3 (colour online) Body shape trajectories among women in the SUN Project, 1999-2015. —, Lean-increase 19.9 %; —, medium-stable 53 %; —, heavy-stable 21 %; —, heavy-increase 6.1 %

numerator is the OCC into the group on the basis of the maximum probability classification rule, and the denominator is the OCC based on random assignment, with the probability of assignment to the group equal to its estimated population base rate. Considering that an OCC >5.0 indicates that the model has high assignment accuracy⁽¹⁶⁾, our model suggested high assignment accuracy: the OCC for each trajectory group was 28.7, 9.3, 19.9 and 109.7.

Breast cancer assessment

The diagnosis of BC was initially self-reported. Patients who had reported a diagnosis of BC were asked to provide a copy of medical reports that were then confirmed as invasive carcinomas by an expert who was blinded to the exposure. Hereby, <10% of the self-reported cases were not confirmed due to carcinomas '*in situ*' or benign lesions of the breast. We also included as confirmed BC cases, deaths due to BC that had been identified through consultation of the National Death Index. Alternatively, we included both confirmed and self-reported BC cases as 'probable BC cases'.

Covariate assessment

Information about sociodemographic, lifestyle and medical variables was obtained from the baseline questionnaire. Smoking habit was assessed as a categorical variable (never, current, former) and in a quantitative manner (number of cigarettes smoked at different ages to obtain the cumulative exposure to smoking as pack-years). In the baseline questionnaire, participants were asked to complete a previously validated physical activity questionnaire collecting information about seventeen activities⁽¹⁷⁾. Participants also completed a previously validated 136-item FFO at baseline that included, among others, information about alcoholic beverage consumption⁽¹⁸⁾. The questionnaire included information on age of menopause, age of menarche, use of hormone replacement therapy - current or past use, and if ever, the duration of its consumption pregnancies, time of breast-feeding, medication use and baseline chronic diseases. Assessment of family history of BC included mother, sister and grandmothers. Age at their BC diagnosis was recorded as <25, 25-44, 45-64 or \geq 65 years. Imputation was applied for all missing values at baseline.

Menopause was assessed by asking participants at what age menstruations ceased and what caused this cessation – natural, surgical, chemotherapy/radiotherapy or other. Menopausal status was recorded, and for women being postmenopausal at baseline, we registered the age at menopause. It was updated in the questionnaire after 16 years of follow-up. For those women with no available information on age at menopause, we used as cut-off point the 75th percentile of the age of menopause (52 years in our sample)⁽¹⁹⁾. Adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern was evaluated using the score proposed by

470

NS Public Health Nutrition

Trichopoulou et al.⁽²⁰⁾ but excluding the alcohol item (range 0-8).

Statistical analysis

Quantitative baseline characteristics of participants were summarised with means and standard deviations, and qualitative traits with proportions across different body shape trajectories. To assess the relationship between body shape trajectories and the risk of BC, we fitted Cox proportional hazards regression models. We estimated hazard ratios (HR) and their 95 % CI for the groups of 'childhood lean-midlife increase', 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' and 'childhood heavy-midlife increase', compared with the 'childhood medium-midlife stable' (reference category). This was only possible when we analysed all probable BC cases. For the other analyses, we excluded women in the 'childhood heavy-midlife increase' category because of the small number of incident BC cases in this category (2 confirmed BC cases). We used age as underlying time variable and stratified our analyses by recruitment period 2004-2009 and 2009-2015) and age (1999 - 2003)(decades).

We first fitted models for overall BC incidence and then separate analyses according to menopausal status. Inception date was considered as the date of the baseline questionnaire for women older than 40 years at baseline or the day they turned 40 years during follow-up if they had been recruited at younger ages. Exit time was defined as the date of BC diagnosis for both confirmed and probable cases, date of death or last contact, whichever occurred first. We adjusted a first multivariable model including as potential confounders height, family history of BC (3 categories), smoking habit (3 categories), lifetime tobacco exposure (pack-years), age of menarche (3 categories), age at menopause (3 categories), obstetric history (5 categories), lifetime breast-feeding, current use of oral contraceptives at baseline, hormone replacement therapy and years of university studies. In a second multivariable model, we additionally adjusted for physical activity (metabolic equivalent-hours (MET-h)/week), alcohol consumption (g/d),adherence to the traditional Mediterranean diet (0-8), total energy intake (kcal/d) and consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks (servings/ d). Proportional hazards assumption was tested with Schoenfeld residuals test and time-dependent covariates. As sensitivity analysis, we repeated our analyses additionally adjusting for BMI and including only participants with an APP > 80 %. The included BMI was BMI at inception, considered as the BMI of the baseline questionnaire for women older than 40 years at baseline or the BMI at the age of 40 years during follow-up if they had been recruited at younger ages.

Analyses were performed using STATA/SE version 15.0 (StataCorp), we used two-sided *P*-values and the statistical significance threshold was set a priori at 0.05.

Results

In Table 1, the main baseline characteristics are described according to the different body shape trajectories. For the final analysis, 6498 women were included. The overall mean BMI at inception was 22.6 (sp 3.1) kg/m². The most frequent body shape trajectory was the category named as 'childhood medium-midlife stable' (53%) followed by 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' (21%). Participants included in the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' category were older, had a similar number of pregnancies (with a higher proportion of parous women having had the first pregnancy before the age of 30 years), also a longer lifetime breast-feeding, and they showed a higher proportion of postmenopausal status at baseline, compared with the other three categories. Women included in the 'childhood heavy-midlife increase' category presented higher BMI values and tended to be less physically active.

During a total follow-up of 54 978 women-years, 150 probable incident cases of BC were identified (eightytwo of these cases were confirmed). When we considered only confirmed BC cases (Table 2), the results showed a statistically significant higher risk for the women included in the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' trajectory (HR 1.84, 95 % CI 1.11, 3.04), after adjustment for potential confounders. Women in the 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' category showed no significant association with the risk of developing BC for confirmed cases (HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.54, 1.79) when compared with the reference group.

Table 3 shows the HR (95% CI) for the risk of BC probable cases - during follow-up according to body shape trajectories. After adjustment for potential confounders, compared with women who maintained medium during their midlife ('childhood medium-midlife stable' category (reference)), the HR (95 % CI) for BC was 1.79 (95 % CI 1.24, 2.58) in the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' group. The 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' and the 'childhood heavy-midlife increase' groups did not show a significant association with incident BC. These results barely changed when we additionally adjusted for BMI or when we restricted our analyses to participants with an APP > 80 %.

When stratifying according to menopausal status, we separately estimated the risk for BC development for both confirmed and probable cases in the pre- and postmenopausal groups (Tables 2 and 3). The association between premenopausal BC risk and the analysed body shape trajectories was not statistically significant, neither for women in the 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' category (HR 1.26, 95 % CI 0.57, 2.80), nor for the participants in the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' group (HR 1.71, 95 % CI 0.80, 3.63) as compared with the reference category.

On the other hand, we found a significant higher risk of postmenopausal BC for both probable (HR 2.73; 95% CI 1.49, 4.99) and confirmed cases (HR 2.42; 95% CI 1.07, 5.48) when comparing the 'childhood lean-midlife increase' category with the reference 'medium-stable'. No S Public Health Nutrition

 Table 1
 Baseline characteristics of female participants in the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) Project, according to body shape trajectories, n 6498

	Body shape trajectories							
Variable	Lean-increase 1293.0 19.9		Medium-stable 3443.0 53.0		Heavy-stable 1364-0 21-0		Heavy-increase 398-0 6-1	
n* %								
Age (years)	46.0	7.0	43.0	5.0	43.0	5.0	42.0	4.0
BMI (kg/m ²)†	22.2	2.6	21.9	2.6	23.3	2.7	27.8	4.2
Height (cm)	163.0	6.0	163.0	6.0	162.0	6.0	162.0	6.0
Physical activity (MET-h/week)	19.4	18·0	20.0	18·0	19.5	17.0	15·2	13.0
Total energy intake (kcal/d)	2324.0	577·0	2300.0	570.0	2234.0	576.0	2196.0	600.0
Alcohol intake (g/d)	4.6	6.4	4.2	6.4	4.3	6.3	3.7	6.0
Sugar-sweetened beverages (servings/d)	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3
Age of menarche (%)								
<10 years	0.8		1.1		1.8		2.0	
10–16 years	96.3		96.5		96.5		97.0	
>16 years	2.9		2.4		1.7		1.0	
Pregnancy history (including age at first pregnancy) (%)								
Age < 25 years & nulliparous	0.2		0.5		0.3		0.8	
Age \geq 25 years & nulliparous	45.5		48.7		53.9		60.3	
First pregnancy < 25 years	9.2		7.2		5.8		6.5	
First pregnancy 25–30 years	24.3		21.6		20.0		15.3	
First pregnancy \geq 30 years	20.8		22		20.0		17.1	
Lifetime breast-feeding (months)	4.0	6.0	3.7	6.0	3.2	5.0	2.2	4.0
Adherence to Mediterranean diet score	4.1	1.7	4.0	1.7	4.0	1.7	3.9	1.6
Time of university education (years)	4.9	1.4	5.0	1.4	5.0	1.4	4.8	1.1
Post-menopause (%)	28.4		16.4		14.0		10.3	
Oral contraceptives (%)	0.9		1.1		1.5		1.3	
Time of hormone replacement therapy (months)‡	1.4	2.4	1.2	2.4	1.1	2.3	1.1	2.3
Smoking (%)								
Never smoker	44.3		48.4		45.4		47.7	
Current smoker	24.1		25.1		27.1		29.7	
Former smoker	31.6		26.5		27.5		22.6	
Lifetime tobacco exposure (pack-years)	5.4	9.0	4.7	8.0	5.8	9.0	5.7	9.0
Family history of BC (%)								
None	88.6		88.9		90.7		88.4	
Before the age of 45 years	9.1		8.7		7.8		9.8	
After the age of 45 years	2.3		2.4		1.5		1⋅8	

BC, breast cancer; MET, metabolic equivalent.

*Values represent means (standard deviations) unless otherwise stated.

†BMI at inception, considered as the BMI of the baseline questionnaire for women older than 40 years at baseline or the BMI at the age of 40 years during follow-up if they had been recruited at younger ages.

‡Only among postmenopausal women.

differences in the risk of postmenopausal BC were observed in the 'childhood heavy-midlife stable' category for both confirmed (HR 1.12, 95 % CI 0.37, 3.40) and probable cases (HR 1.09, 95 % CI 0.46, 2.58).

The results for both overall BC or pre-or postmenopausal BC barely changed when we additionally adjusted for BMI or when we restricted our analyses to participants with an APP > 80 %.

Discussion

In this Mediterranean cohort, four different trajectories were identified according to body shape evolution over life course. By comparing the risk of developing BC, we found a higher risk in those women who were lean during their childhood and experienced a marked increase during their midlife compared with those women who had a medium body shape during their lifespan.

The GBTM represents another way for studying the variation of the exposure over a life course. The main advantage of this new methodology is to facilitate causal inference by mapping long-term patterns. Song et al.⁽¹⁰⁾ performed a GBTM in two large ongoing US cohort studies: the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Followup Study^(21,22). A higher risk of postmenopausal BC was observed for women in the lean-moderate (RR = 1.30, 95 % CI 1.17, 1.45) and lean-marked increase (RR = 1.41, 95% CI 1.26, 1.58) groups compared with women in the lean-stable category⁽¹⁰⁾. In Europe, the GBTM was used in the French E3N cohort⁽¹¹⁾. The authors estimated six lifetime body shape trajectories according to this approach, from ages 8 to 40 years. In this cohort, the investigators found no association between body shape trajectories and BC risk in the overall population and in the stratified 472

Table 2 Hazard ratio (95 % CI) of breast cancer – confirmed cases – according to body shape trajectory in the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra (SUN) Project

	Body shape trajectories						
Confirmed breast cancer cases	Lean-increase		Medium-stable	Heavy-stable			
Overall							
Cases/women-years	27/11 904		37/29 218	16/11 377			
Age-adjusted	1.82	1.10, 3.01	1 Ref.	1.08	0.60, 1.95		
Multivariable-adjusted*	1.80	1.09, 2.98	1 Ref.	1.06	0.59, 1.92		
Multivariable-adjusted+	1.84	1.11, 3.04	1 Ref.	0.98	0.54, 1.79		
Premenopausal							
Cases/women-years	11/5372		19/17 617	10/7295			
Age-adjusted	1.78	0.84, 3.76	1 Ref.	1.27	0.59, 2.73		
Multivariable-adjusted*	1.74	0.82, 3.69	1 Ref.	1.20	0.55, 2.61		
Multivariable-adjusted+	1.71	0.80, 3.63	1 Ref.	1.26	0.57, 2.80		
Postmenopausal		,			,		
Cases/women-years	14/5680		11/9813	5/3354			
Age-adjusted	2.39	1.08, 5.31	1 Ref.	1.25	0.43, 3.59		
Multivariable-adjusted*	2.15	0.95, 4.87	1 Ref.	1.20	0.41, 3.51		
Multivariable-adjusted+	2.42	1.07, 5.48	1 Ref.	1.12	0.37, 3.40		

*Adjusted for height (cm), family history of breast cancer (no history, before 45 years, after 45 years), smoking habit (never, former or current smoker), lifetime tobacco exposure (pack-years), age at menarche (<10 years, 10–16 years or >16 years), obstetric history (5 categories), lifetime breast-feeding (months), use of oral contraceptives (yes/no) and years of university studies (years).

†Additionally, adjusted for Mediterranean diet adherence score (0–8), alcohol consumption (g/d), total daily energy intake (tertiles of kcal/d), physical activity (MET-h/week), consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (servings/d). Only for postmenopausal women: hormone replacement therapy (yes/no), duration of hormone replacement therapy (months) and age at menopause (<50 years, 50–55 years or >55 years). Age as underlying time variable. Stratified analyses by recruitment period and age (decades).

Table 3 Hazard ratio (95 % CI) of breast cancer - probable cases - according to body shape trajectory in the SUN Project

Probable breast cancer cases	Body shape trajectories								
	Lean-increase		Medium-stable	Heavy	-stable	Heavy-increase			
Overall									
Cases/women-years	50/11 803		70/29 062	26/11 336		4/2777			
Age-adjusted	1.77	1.23, 2.56	1 Ref.	0.92	0.59, 1.45	0.62	0.23, 1.70		
Multivariable-adjusted*	1.78	1.23, 2.57	1 Ref.	0.92	0.58, 1.44	0.63	0.23, 1.73		
Multivariable-adjusted+	1.79	1.24, 2.58	1 Ref.	0.90	0.57, 1.42	0.61	0.22, 1.69		
Premenopausal		,			,		,		
Cases/women-years	20/5341		42/17 542	15/7276					
Age-adjusted	1.52	0.89, 2.60	1 Ref.	0.86	0.48, 1.56				
Multivariable-adjusted*	1.46	0.86, 2.51	1 Ref.	0.85	0.47, 1.55				
Multivariable-adjusted+	1.43	0.83, 2.44	1 Ref.	0.91	0.49, 1.67				
Postmenopausal		,			,				
Cases/women-vears	27/5614		19/9745	8/3340					
Age-adjusted	2.59	1.43. 2.68	1 Ref.	1.13	0.49.2.58				
Multivariable-adjusted*	2.55	1.40, 4.65	1 Ref.	1.15	0.50, 2.66				
Multivariable-adjusted†	2.73	1.49, 4.99	1 Ref.	1.09	0.46, 2.58				

*Adjusted for height (cm), family history of breast cancer (no history, before 45 years, after 45 years), smoking habit (never, former or current smoker), lifetime tobacco exposure (pack-years), age at menarche (<10 years, 10–16 years or >16 years), obstetric history (5 categories), lifetime breast-feeding (months), use of oral contraceptives (yes/no) and years of university studies (years).

†Additionally, adjusted for Mediterranean diet adherence score (0–8), alcohol consumption (g/d), total daily energy intake (tertiles of kcal/d), physical activity (MET-h/week), consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (servings/d). Only for postmenopausal women: hormone replacement therapy (yes/no), duration of hormone replacement therapy (months) and age at menopause (<50 years, 50–55 years). Age as underlying time variable. Stratified analyses by recruitment period and age (decades).

analyses by menopausal status. Authors found an inverse association between adiposity at menarche and the risk for postmenopausal BC, independent of body fatness at other ages⁽¹¹⁾.

Our findings are consistent with the Nurses' Health Study and Health Professionals Follow-up Study^(21,22) and represent the first Mediterranean cohort to find an association between changes in body shape and the risk of developing BC. Furthermore, our cohort was younger (mean age 41 (sp 9·1) years), and our participants had a lower baseline BMI (mean BMI 22·6 (sp 3·1) kg/m²). These findings suggest that the risk of BC associated with changes in body shape of at least 2 points in body shape level – subsequently referred to as 'heavy' changes – is consistent across populations with different ages or BMI.

Body fatness can alter concentrations of many circulating hormones such as insulin, insulin-like growth factors, oestrogens, multiple adipokines and growth factors,

Body shape trajectories and risk of breast cancer

creating an environment that may encourage breast carcinogenesis⁽²³⁾. More recent evidence has implicated obesity as associated with a low-grade chronic inflammatory state⁽²⁴⁾. Also, weight gain may lead to a relatively greater gain of fat mass compared with lean mass, especially with weight cycling⁽²⁵⁾. Both low muscle mass and increased adiposity may be metabolically linked to cancer via endocrine and inflammatory pathways mentioned above.

Early life (childhood and adolescence) has been hypothesised as a critical window for breast tumorigenesis⁽²⁶⁾. At these ages, high rates of mammary gland tissue proliferation take place. Therefore, risk factors which act at this point may especially lead to molecular damage and, thus, increase BC risk later in life. When analysing separately pre- and postmenopausal BC, in our cohort, women who experienced a heavy change in their body shape in their early life and mid-adulthood were at the highest risk of developing specifically postmenopausal BC. Also, these results are in line with the available evidence showing a significantly increased risk of postmenopausal BC with increasing weight gain in adulthood^(27,28), maybe due to a major influence of adipose tissue-derived oestrogen in breast carcinogenesis⁽²⁹⁾.

On the other hand, for premenopausal BC, an inverse association between body fatness in childhood and adolescence and cancer risk has been described⁽³⁰⁾. In our cohort, women in the 'heavy-stable' trajectory showed a non-significant lower risk of premenopausal probable BC (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.49, 1.67). The lack of statistical significance may be due to limited statistical power.

The most important strength of this study is the prospective nature of the SUN Project ensuring temporal sequence between exposure and outcome. As far as we know, this is the first Mediterranean cohort to analyse the relationship between body shape trajectories and the risk of BC. Another important aspect in this study is the lifespan perspective that makes possible to compare body shape trajectories rather than BMI at a single measurement as an estimator of body fatness. The use of the BMI as a surrogate of adiposity might overestimate the amount of visceral adipose tissue in people with high BMI. This might be due to high lean mass. Therefore, BMI seems to have a limited ability in capturing the variation in body fat distribution. In addition, participants may not accurately recall their weight and height at different ages, but they may be more likely to better recall their body shape, an approach which allowed to use repeated measures of body shape throughout their lifespan. It is well known that cancer risk is a dynamic process where risk factors can impact in different ways according to life periods, making a life course perspective appealing. Another strength is that only incident BC cases were included in the final analysis. Last, selfreported cancer cases were confirmed via medical reports to ensure that the final diagnosis was an invasive breast carcinoma and not a benign tumour.

There are also some limitations that have to be acknowledged. First, the small number of incident BC cases in the cohort may limit the statistical power. Second, women in our study were relatively young, which may partially explain the low incidence of BC. Despite this, the identified age-adjusted BC incidence in the SUN Cohort was consistent with the reported incidence of BC in the Spanish population⁽³¹⁾. Third, although a higher socio-economic status has also been associated with a higher incidence of BC, we had no available information on socio-economic status apart from years of university studies that were included in the multivariable analysis. Our sample was restricted to women with a high educational level since all were university graduates. Therefore, our sample is fairly homogeneous in this aspect, a fact that reduces the potential confounding effect by educational and socio-economic status. Fourth, a potential limitation of our study is the selfreported exposure that could be subject to recall bias and measurement error. Nevertheless, this tool has been previously validated⁽³²⁾, and since information on the exposure was collected before the BC diagnosis, any error could likely have attenuated the observed associations. Fifth, a misclassification in trajectory assignment might have happened. Nevertheless, we conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding participants whose trajectory assignment probability was <0.80, and this sensitivity analysis showed that our results were robust to modest trajectory misclassification. It must be acknowledged that the changes in body shape during the period comprised between the age of 5 and 20 years are not specifically assessed in the Stunkard's pictograms. Given this, it is not possible to elucidate if these changes took place during childhood, early/ late adolescence or if they have developed gradually. Sixth, the self-reported nature of the outcome assessment might have led to an underreporting of incident cases and thus to a lower sensitivity. Nevertheless, when we repeated our analyses considering only BC cases which had been blindly confirmed - with high specificity - by an oncologist, our results barely changed. With perfect specificity, the nondifferential sensitivity of disease misclassification would not bias the measure of association⁽³³⁾. Finally, information on potential confounders, such as physical activity, adherence to Mediterranean diet and alcohol consumption, was available only at study inception. If these variables are considered as surrogate markers of their values during childhood, they should be included as potential confounders in the multivariable-adjusted model. On the contrary, if these were posterior to body shape trajectories, adjustment for these variables could lead to over-adjustment. In any case, results barely changed when we adjusted for them.

In summary, women who were lean in their early life and experienced a marked increase in their body shape level had a significantly higher risk of developing breast carcinoma, especially for postmenopausal BC, when compared with women who remained stable in a medium body



Ŷ

474

shape level. Together, these results emphasise the importance of a lifetime perspective when studying the impact of body fatness on cancer risk. Although further research should be fostered with the aim of better understanding the relationship between body shape changes and the precise impact on pre- and postmenopausal BC incidence, the existing knowledge can eventually be applied to health education and promotion interventions, through updating integrated instruments for cancer prevention (such as the European Code against Cancer)⁽³⁴⁾ or even through the application of the operational findings to public health practice within the framework provided by National Cancer Control Programmes⁽³⁵⁾.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: We thank other members of the SUN Group: Alonso A, Balaguer A, Barbagallo M, Barrientos I, Barrio-López MT, Basterra-Gortari FJ, Battezzati A, Bazal P, Benito S, Bertoli S, Bes-Rastrollo M, Beulen Y, Beunza JJ, Buil-Cosiales P, Canales M, Carlos S, Carmona L, Cervantes S, Cristobo C, de Irala J, de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, de la OV, de la Rosa PA, Delgado-Rodríguez M, Díaz-Gutiérrez J, Díez Espino J, Domínguez L, Donat-Vargas C, Donazar M, Eguaras S, Fernández-Montero A, Fresán U, Galbete C, García-Arellano A, García López M, GutiérrezBedmar M, Gomes-Domingos AL, Gómez-Donoso C, Gómez-Gracia E, Goñi E, Goñi L, Guillén F, Henríquez P, Hernández A, Hershey MS, Hidalgo-Santamaría M, Hu E, Lahortiga F, Leone A, López del Burgo C, Marí A, Marques I, Martí A, Martín Calvo N, Martínez JA, Martínez-Lapiscina EH, Mendonça R, Menéndez C, Molendijk M, Molero P, Murphy K, Muñoz M, NúñezCórdoba JM, Pajares R, Papadaki A, Parletta N, Pérez de Ciriza P, Pérez Cornago A, Pérez de Rojas J, Pimenta AM, Pons J, Ramallal R, Razquin C, Rico-Campà A, Ruano C, Ruiz L, Ruiz Zambrana A, Salgado E, San Julián B, Sánchez D, Sánchez-Tainta A, Sánchez-Villegas A, Santiago S, Schlatter J, Serrano-Martinez M, Toledo J, Tortosa A, Valencia F, Vázquez Z, Zarnowiecki D and Zazpe I. We thank very specially all participants in the SUN Cohort for their long-standing and enthusiastic collaboration and our advisors from Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health Walter Willett, Alberto Ascherio, Frank B. Hu and Meir J. Stampfer who helped us to design the SUN Project, the PREDIMED study and the PREDIMED-PLUS ongoing trial. Financial support: The SUN Project has received funding from the Spanish Government-Instituto de Salud Carlos III, and the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER) (RD 06/0045, CIBER-OBN, grants PI10/02658, PI10/02293, PI13/00615, PI14/01668, PI14/01798, PI14/01764, PI17/01795 and G03/140), the Navarra Regional Government (45/2011, 122/2014 and 41/2016) and the University of Navarra. Conflict of interest: None. *Authorship:* Conceptualisation, E.T. and R.S.-B.; methodology, C.S.-O.; validation, R.S.-B. and I.G.; formal analysis, R.S.-B. and E.T.; data curation, R.S.-B. and C.S.-O.; writing—original draft preparation, R.S.-B. and E.T.; writing—review and editing, all authors; supervision, A.G. and E.T.; project administration, E.T.; funding acquisition, E.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. *Ethics of human subject participation:* This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving study participants were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Navarra. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects/patients.

References

- Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration (2018) Global, Regional, and National Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived With Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life-Years for 29 Cancer Groups, 1990 to 2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol 4, 1553–1568.
- Senkus E, Kyriakides S, Ohno S *et al.* (2015) ESMO guidelines committee. Primary breast cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 5, v8–v30.
- World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research (2007) Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective. AICR. https:// www.wcrf.org/dietandcancer (accessed April 2020).
- McPherson K, Steel CM & Dixon JM (2000) ABC of breast diseases. Breast cancer epidemiology, risk factors, and genetics. *BMJ* 321, 624–628.
- Cleary MP & Grossmann ME (2009) Minireview: obesity and breast cancer- the estrogen connection. *Endocrinology* 150, 2537–2542.
- Xue F, Rosner B, Eliassen H *et al.* (2016) Body fatness throughout the life course and the incidence of premenopausal breast cancer. *Int J Epidemiol* 45, 1103–1112.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) (2017) Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* 390, 2627–2642.
- Lee JM, Pilli S, Gebremariam A *et al.* (2010) Getting heavier, younger: trajectories of obesity over the life course. *Int J Obes* 34, 614–623.
- Song M, Hu FB, Wu K *et al.* (2016) Trajectory of body shape in early and middle life and all cause and cause specific mortality: results from two prospective US cohort studies. *BMJ* 353, i2195.
- Song M, Willett WC, Hu FB *et al.* (2016) Trajectory of body shape across the lifespan and cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 138, 2383–2395.
- Fagherazzi G, Guillas G, Boutron-Ruault MC *et al.* (2013) Body shape throughout life and the risk for breast cancer at adulthood in the French E3N cohort. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 22, 29–37.
- Carlos S, De La Fuente-Arrillaga C, Bes-Rastrollo M *et al.* (2018) Mediterranean Diet and health outcomes in the SUN Cohort. *Nutrients* 10, 439.
- 13. Willett WC (2013) *Nutritional Epidemiology*, 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press.

Body shape trajectories and risk of breast cancer

- 14. Stunkard A, Sorensen T & Schlusinger F (1983) Use of the Danish Adoption Register for the study of obesity and thinness. *Res Publ Assoc Res Nerv Ment Dis* **60**, 115–120.
- 15. Jones BL & Nagin DS (2012) A STATA plugin for estimating group-based trajectory models. *Heinz Coll Res.*
- 16. Nagin DS (2005) Group-Based Modeling of Development [Internet]. London: Harvard University Press.
- Martínez-González MA, López-Fontana C, Varo JJ et al. (2005) Validation of the Spanish version of the physical activity questionnaire used in the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals' follow-up Study. *Public Health Nutr* 8, 920–927.
- de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Vázquez Ruiz Z, Bes-Rastrollo M et al. (2010) Reproducibility of an FFQ validated in Spain. Public Health Nutr 13, 1364–1372.
- Shivappa N, Sandin S, Löf M *et al.* (2015) Prospective study of dietary inflammatory index and risk of breast cancer in Swedish women. *Br J Cancer* **113**, 1099–1103.
- Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C *et al.* (2003) Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med* **348**, 2599–2608.
- 21. Colditz GA, Manson JE & Hankinson SE (1997) The Nurses' Health Study: 20-year contribution to the understanding of health among women. *J Womens Health* **6**, 49–62.
- Rimm EB, Giovannucci EL, Willett WC *et al.* (1991) Prospective study of alcohol consumption and risk of coronary disease in men. *Lancet* **338**, 464–468.
- 23. De Pergola G & Silvestris F (2013) Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. *J Obes* **2013**, 291546.
- Feigelson HS, Patel AV, Teras LR *et al.* (2006) Adult weight gain and histopathologic characteristics of breast cancer among postmenopausal women. *Cancer* 107, 12–21.
- 25. Beavers KM, Lyles MF, Davis CC *et al.* (2011) Is lost lean mass from intentional weight loss recovered during weight

regain in postmenopausal women? Am J Clin Nutr **94**, 767–774.

- 26. Berkey CS, Frazier AL, Gardner JD *et al.* (1999) Adolescence and breast carcinoma risk. *Cancer* **85**, 2400–2409.
- Welti LM, Beavers DP, Caan BJ et al. (2017) Weight fluctuation and cancer risk in postmenopausal women: the Women's Health initiative. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 26, 779–786.
- Keum N, Greenwood DC, Lee DH *et al.* (2015) Adult weight gain and adiposity-related cancers: a dose-response metaanalysis of prospective observational studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 107, djv088.
- Rod NH, Hansen AM, Nielsen J *et al.* (2009) Low-risk factor profile, estrogen levels and breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women. *Int J Cancer* **124**, 1935–1940.
- Michels KB, Terry KL & Willett WC (2006) Longitudinal study on the role of body size in premenopausal breast cancer. *Arch Intern Med* 166, 2395–2402.
- Galceran J, Ameijide A, Carulla M et al. (2017) Cancer incidence in Spain, 2015. Clin Transl Oncol 19, 799–825.
- Must A, Willett WC & Dietz WH (1993) Remote recall of childhood height, weight, and body build by elderly subjects. *AmJ Epidemiol* 138, 56–64.
- Greenland S & Lash TL (2008) Bias analysis. In *Modern Epidemiology*, pp. 359 [KJ Rothman, S Greenland & TL Lash, editors]. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Schuz J, Espina C, Villain P & Working Groups of Scientific Experts (2015) European Code against Cancer 4th Edition: 12 ways to reduce your cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol* 1, S1–S10.
- Espina C, Soerjomataram I, Forman D *et al.* (2018) Cancer prevention policy in the EU: Best practices are now well recognised; no reason for countries to lag behind. *J Cancer Policy* 18, 40–51.