

# IMBALANCE AND FALL-RISK IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ELDERLY: EFFECTS OF COMBINED STRENGTH AND AEROBIC TRAINING

Contributions:  
A Study design/planning  
B Data collection/entry  
C Data analysis/statistics  
D Data interpretation  
E Preparation of manuscript  
F Literature analysis/search  
G Funds collection

Paulo Jorge Quintela Cardoso do Carmo<sup>1,2,A-G</sup>, Joana Carvalho<sup>3,A,D-G</sup>,  
Rubim Santos<sup>1,A,E-F</sup>, Adjane Cesar<sup>3,A-D,F-G</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Escola Superior de Saúde, Politécnico do Porto, Portugal

<sup>2</sup> Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia - Serviço de Otorrinolaringologia  
- Gabinete de Audiologia, Ministério da Saúde, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> Faculdade de Desporto, Universidade do Porto, Portugal

**Corresponding author:** Dr. Paulo Jorge Quintela Cardoso do Carmo, Escola Superior de Saúde, Politécnico do Porto, Portuga, Centro Hospitalar de Vila Nova de Gaia - Serviço de Otorrinolaringologia - Gabinete de Audiologia, Ministério da Saúde, Portugal,  
email: pjq@ess.ipp.pt, tel. +35 19 65665465

## Abstract

**Background:** Falls among the elderly are an important clinical and health problem due to their high incidence and their functional and social repercussions. The aim of this study was to examine the effects of a combined strength and aerobic exercise program (EP) on the fall risk (FR) in older adults by use of a measure of postural stability.

**Material and methods:** Sixty-two elderly people ( $69.0 \pm 4.3$  years, 39 females and 23 males) were assigned to an experimental group (EG) or a control group (CG) and tested using the Biodex Balance System in order to obtain a fall-risk score at baseline (M1), after 4.5 months (M2), and 9 months (M3). Measures of physical activity (use of accelerometers for 7 consecutive days) as well as socio-economic status, clinical problems, and medication were also taken; these factors were identified as potential confounders. The EG underwent a 9-month, three times a week, combined training program consisting of 1-hour sessions of strength (once a week) and aerobic exercise (twice a week).

**Results:** The combined training program had a large and significant effect on FR (EG vs. CG) ( $F(2,120) = 4.519$ ;  $p = 0.013$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = 0.07$ ; statistical power ( $\pi$ ) = 0.76). This was more pronounced from M1 to M2 than from M2 to M3, with a significant improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ) in FR from M1 to M3. In the CG, there was a slight but non-significant functional decline ( $p = 0.92$ ) between M1 and M3.

**Conclusions:** A 9-month combined EP may have beneficial effects on FR of elderly men and women as a result of improved postural stability.

**Key words:** Biodex Balance System • fall risk • community programs

## POPRAWA RÓWNOWAGI I ZMNIEJSZENIE RYZYKA UPADKU U OSÓB STARSZYCH: EFEKTY ŁĄCZENIA TRENINGU SIŁOWEGO I AEROBOWEGO

### Streszczenie

**Wprowadzenie:** Upadki wśród osób starszych są ważnym problemem klinicznym i zdrowotnym ze względu na ich częstość występowania oraz reperkusje funkcjonalne i społeczne. Celem tego badania było sprawdzenie wpływu połączonego programu ćwiczeń siłowych i aerobowych (EP) na ryzyko upadku (FR) u starszych osób dorosłych za pomocą pomiaru stabilności postawy.

**Materiał i metody:** Grupa eksperymentalna (EG) i kontrolna (CG) obejmowała sześćdziesiąt dwie osoby w podeszłym wieku ( $69,0 \pm 4,3$  lata, 39 kobiet i 23 mężczyzn). Testy prowadzono przy użyciu Biodex Balance System w celu uzyskania oceny ryzyka upadku na początku badania (M1), po 4,5 miesiącach (M2) i po 9 miesiącach (M3). Sprawdzano również aktywność fizyczną (stosowanie akcelerometrów przez 7 kolejnych dni), a także status społeczno-ekonomiczny, problemy zdrowotne i przyjmowane leki; czynniki te zostały zidentyfikowane jako potencjalne czynniki zakłócające. Grupa EG została poddana 9-miesięcznemu, trzy razy w tygodniu, połączonego programowi treningowemu, który składał się z 1-godzinnych sesji siłowych (raz w tygodniu) i ćwiczeń aerobowych (dwa razy w tygodniu).

**Wyniki:** Połączony program treningowy miał duży i znaczący wpływ na FR (EG vs. CG) ( $F(2120) = 4,519$ ;  $p = 0,013$ ;  $\eta_p^2 = 0,07$ ; moc statystyczna ( $\pi$ ) = 0,76). Było to wyraźniejsze w zestawieniu M1 do M2 niż z M2 do M3, ze znaczną poprawą ( $p < 0,001$ ) w zakresie FR między M1 a M3. W grupie CG nastąpił niewielki, ale nieistotny spadek czynnościowy ( $p = 0,92$ ) między M1 a M3.

**Wnioski:** Łączony 9-miesięczny program EP może mieć korzystny wpływ na FR starszych mężczyzn i kobiet w wyniku poprawy stabilności postawy.

**Słowa kluczowe:** Biodex Balance System • ryzyko upadku • programy społeczne

## Introduction

Impairments of postural stability can result in increased rates of unintentional falls. There is a general consensus that altered postural stability in elderly people is affected by degradation of the sensory channels, i.e., vestibular, visual, and proprioceptive cues, and also by deficits in muscle strength, neuromuscular control, and declining reaction times. These factors make fall events more likely [1].

These age-related conditions have numerous consequences for public health, such as falls, fractures, hospitalisations, institutionalisations, and mortality [2]. Due to the high costs of care, falls among the elderly have a great impact on the quality of their lives, on their relatives' lives, and on the public health system [3, 4]. The prevention of falls and mobility-related disability among older people is an urgent public health challenge [5].

There is now strong evidence for the effectiveness of exercise as a component of a multifactorial intervention in the prevention of falls in community-dwelling older people [6,7]. However, there is little direct evidence about the differential impact of different approaches to exercise as a single intervention in older people. In terms of the effect of combined exercise on postural stability, contrasting findings have been reported.

A combined exercise program (EP) seems to be highly relevant to this problem, and it can slow, halt, or even reverse age-related decline or loss of functionality that leads to an increase in the number of falls [8-10]. In addition, aerobic exercise, such as walking, can improve aerobic capacity and physical function (PF) [11,12]. Furthermore, strength training improves critical elements of physical function, such as mobility and balance [13].

Studies have reported extensively on the effectiveness of multifactorial fall prevention programs involving education, environmental modification, exercises, and psychological intervention [14-17]. Recommendations and guidelines for the prevention of falls which advocate identifying high-risk persons, screening for modifiable risk factors, and the targeting of appropriate interventions have been developed [18-20].

This study is the first to evaluate this specific population with objective tools such as the Biodex Balance System (BBS) Static/Dynamic (SD). Its aim is to investigate imbalance as a key factor related to fall risk, and to study the effects of a 9-month combined EP in older women and men. The results may help us to understand how combined exercise intervention can change the performance of those physiological systems associated with balance and fall risk in older women and men.

## Methods

### Participants

Elderly people of both genders from the Oporto area, Portugal, aged >65 years, were eligible to participate. A simple random sampling technique was used to distribute participants across the experimental and control groups. To

be eligible to participate in the study, participants had to submit medical certification of their state of physical and mental well-being. Participants were excluded if they were not able to walk without a cane or other assistive device, or had had a previous history of peripheral or vestibular abnormalities. Other grounds for exclusion were a history of two or more falls in the previous 6 months, having previous medical and cognitive conditions or musculoskeletal problems limiting safe participation and evaluation, or unwillingness to complete the study requirements.

From the cohort of initial participants, three failed to complete the study. This was due to an injury (broken metatarsals), a case of cancer, and one death from heart disease. The data analysed for this study is based on 62 participants: 39 women and 23 men aged from 65 to 79 years.

Participants were informed about the aims of the study and the study procedures and gave written informed consent before being enrolled. The consent conformed with the International Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects [21], the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki [22,23], and Harriss et al. [24]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee, Faculty of Sport, University of Porto (CEFADE.02.2015).

Participants were interviewed in a private facility and self-completed questionnaires to provide information about their clinical and socio-demographic characteristics. These were used to assess their eligibility for the study.

### Fall risk

Fall risk (FR) was evaluated by using the BBS SD, a multi-axial device with an unstable balance platform designed to measure postural stability. Under dynamic tests, the device can provide up to a 20° tilt in a 360° range of motion. Subjects were required to stand with their feet slightly apart for three 20-second periods with their eyes open. By measuring the pressures exerted by the subject on the platform, a score for overall stability, anterior/posterior, and medial/lateral stability can be generated, ranging from 0.0 (optimum balance/low fall risk equivalent) to 4.0–5.0 (poor balance/high fall risk equivalent). In healthy people, and according to Biodex Medical Systems, normal score ranges for FR by age are: 2.0–4.0 in the 72–89 years group; 0.9–3.7 in the 54–71 years group; 0.7–3.1 in the 36–53 years group, and 0.7–2.1 in the 17–35 years group [25-33].

The BBS SD was used to provide oscillations from the 12<sup>th</sup> to the 8<sup>th</sup> level of difficulty (level 12 being the most stable and level 8 the most unstable). The subject was required to stand up with feet slightly apart in a physiological position for three 20-second periods. Different force plate movements were automatically generated by the equipment and the resulting scores relating to stability were weighted according to the age and height of the subjects [25, 34-38].

### Physical activity

Day-to-day physical activity (PA) was assessed over 7 consecutive days using uniaxial accelerometry (GT1M accelerometer, Actigraph, Florida, USA). Participants were instructed to wear the accelerometer over the right hip

for a 7-day period (5 week-days and 2 weekend days). Exceptions included time spent sleeping, showering, and participating in water-based activities. Participants were asked to maintain their usual activities, as tracked objectively by accelerometry, and keep written records in a diary. A valid day was defined as at least 10 hours of wear time per day. All non-wear time was excluded from further analysis. Data from the monitors was uploaded by the investigating team using the Actilife Monitoring System v.6.11.3 software and was compared with data from the written diary before the average counts per minute were calculated. This outcome variable was reported in the number of vertical axis counts per minute (CPM), generated based on the magnitude and frequency of movement [39-41].

### Exercise intervention

Subjects in the experimental group (EG) ( $n = 37$ ) submitted to a 9-month combined training course. This involved 1-hour bi-weekly aerobic training sessions (Mondays and Fridays) and a single weekly 1-hour strength training session (Wednesdays) conducted by a physical education instructor with academic specialisation in physical activity for older adults. This was in accordance with ACSM guidelines for exercise for older adults [42-44].

Aerobic training (AT) consisted of a 10-minute warm-up that included walking, calisthenics, and stretching and 30 minutes of aerobic exercises – mainly walking – at an intensity corresponding to 50–70%  $HR_{\text{Reserve}}$  or a rating of perceived effort (RPE) of 4 to 6 on a 10-point scale [45]. Besides walking, a 10-minute exercise period was devoted to developing muscular endurance, balance, proprioceptive sensitivity, and coordination skills [43]. The session ended with a 10-minute cool-down. The training load was gradually increased by 5 minutes each week during the first 4 weeks until subjects could walk continuously for 30 minutes.

Strength training (ST) consisted of a 10-minute warm-up that included walking, calisthenics, and stretching and a specific resistance training period (30–40 min) consisting of nine exercises (leg press, chest press, leg extension, seated row, seated leg curl, abdominal flexion, biceps curl, low-back extension, and triceps extension) [46]. ST concluded with a 10-minute cool-down. The load increased during the first 2 weeks [47,48], commencing with familiarisation with the machines (for correct breathing and technique) and progressing to 60–70% of one-repetition maximum (1RM) individual strength tests. The 1RM was performed every 15 days for the first month and then every 4 weeks until the final session of the program. Between these tests, the load was increased for those subjects who were able to easily complete 10 for both sets [49].

Attendance of at least 80% of the exercise sessions was required for the training program to be considered complete.

Subjects allocated into the control group ( $n = 25$ ) were instructed not to change their daily living PA routines or dietary patterns during the study and not to carry out any supervised PA. They were informed that they would receive intervention when the study had ended. After the data collection period, subjects who had been randomised into the

CG were invited to participate in specific EPs designed for seniors undergoing FADEUP.

### Statistical procedures

Descriptive measures such as mean ( $M$ ) and standard deviation ( $SD$ ) were used for data collection and analysis. A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with Lilliefors correction was used to assess distribution normality while independent sample  $t$ -tests were carried out to detect gender differences.

A mixed repeated measures ANOVA was applied to investigate the efficacy of EP over FR by comparing groups (EG/CG) and timeline: baseline ( $M_1$ ), 4.5 months ( $M_2$ ), and 9 months ( $M_3$ ). The assumptions of the method, namely the normal distribution of FR at 3 times and the sphericity of the variance–covariance matrix, were evaluated with a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test ( $p > 0.1$  for the 3 times and groups) and with the Mauchly test ( $W = 0.993$ ;  $\chi^2(2) = 0.419$ ;  $p = 0.811$ ).

Change ( $\Delta$ ) between times was calculated for each pair according to the formula ( $M_a - M_{a+1}$ ). So  $\Delta_1 =$  change from baseline ( $M_1$ ) to 4.5 months ( $M_2$ ),  $\Delta_2 =$  change from 4.5 months ( $M_2$ ) to 9 months ( $M_3$ ), and  $\Delta_3 =$  change from baseline ( $M_1$ ) to final ( $M_3$ ). Between-group differences in pre-intervention was evaluated with unpaired two-tailed Student  $t$ -tests by one-way ANOVA.

Analyses were performed with SPSS statistics (v.25; IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL). A significance level of 0.05 was set for all analyses.

### Results

The demographic and anthropometric characteristics of the study sample are shown in Table 1. The study population consisted of 62 elderly people, most of whom were female, of age 65–79 years, height 137–175 cm, and with weight ranging from normal to obese Class II [50].

With the purpose of reaching the goal of the present study, a mixed repeated measures ANOVA was used to evaluate the significance of the efficacy of physical activity training on FR and its evolution over the 3 sampled times, as shown in Table 2.

The mean value of FR for participants of the experimental group ( $M = 1.359$ ,  $SD = 0.082$ ,  $n = 37$ ) was significantly different from the value of FR for participants in the control group ( $M = 1.618$ ,  $SD = 0.100$ ,  $n = 25$ ), with high dimension ( $F(1,60) = 0.078$ ,  $p = 0.009$ ; statistical power = 0.799). The size of the effect ( $\eta_p^2 = 0.076$ ) is recommended minimum [51], being the 95% confidence interval for the difference of means of the value of FR of the two groups (0.029; 0.356).

Regarding the time evolution of FR values evaluated, there were highly significant differences between the 3 times ( $F(2,120) = 4.928$ ;  $p = 0.009$ ; = 0.076; statistical power ( $\pi$ ) = 0.799).

When considering data from the two groups combined, the values of FR were higher at  $M_1$  (baseline:

**Table 1.** Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of the study sample divided by EG and CG

Variable	Total	EG	CG	<i>p</i>
Participants – <i>n</i> (%)	62 (100)	37 (59.7)	25 (40.3)	0.375
Gender – % female	58.1	63.9	52.0	0.102
Age, years – mean (SD)	69.02 (4.27)	68.11 (3.19)	70.36 (5.28)	0.064
Weight, kg – mean (SD)	71.77 (11.47)	70.80 (10.87)	81.45 (17.75)	0.219
Height, cm – mean (SD)	159.04 (10.25)	158.43 (10.50)	165.50 (3.54)	0.550
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> – mean (SD)	28.77 (4.12)	28.66 (4.21)	30.80 (3.32)	0.627

Key: EG, experimental group; CG, control group; SD, standard deviation; \* statistical significance,  $p < 0.05$ ; *n*, number

**Table 2.** Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of fall risk (FR) in the EG vs. CG at M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, and M<sub>3</sub>

Group	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	$\Delta_1$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta_2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta_3$	<i>p</i>
FR Total (M $\pm$ SD) ( <i>n</i> = 62)	1.695 $\pm$ 0.067	1.499 $\pm$ 0.065	1.463 $\pm$ 0.065	0.196	<b>0.007*</b>	0.036	0.608	0.232	<b>&lt;0.001*</b>
FR EG (M $\pm$ SD) ( <i>n</i> = 37)	1.754 $\pm$ 0.084	1.509 $\pm$ 0.083	1.359 $\pm$ 0.068	0.245	<b>0.015*</b>	0.150	0.103	0.395	<b>&lt;0.001*</b>
FR CG (M $\pm$ SD) ( <i>n</i> = 25)	1.608 $\pm$ 0.109	1.485 $\pm$ 0.106	1.618 $\pm$ 0.120	0.123	0.235	-0.133	0.216	-0.010	0.919
$\Delta$ by Group	0.146	0.240	-0.259						
<i>p</i>	0.287	0.856	<b>0.049*</b>						

Key: FR, fall risk; M<sub>1</sub>, baseline; M<sub>2</sub>, 4.5 months; M<sub>3</sub>, 9 months (final); M  $\pm$  SE, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation;  $\Delta_1$ , M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>2</sub>;  $\Delta_2$ , M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>;  $\Delta_3$ , M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>; *n*, number of participants; \* denotes statistical significance,  $p < 0.05$

M = 1.695, SD = 0.67, *n* = 62), decreased at M<sub>2</sub> (M = 1.499, SD = 0.065, *n* = 62), and further decreased at M<sub>3</sub> (M = 1.463, SD = 0.065, *n* = 62).

Finally, the effect of physical activity training on changing the values of FR depended on the group (EG vs. CG) as demonstrated by a significant interaction ( $F(2,120) = 4.519$ ;  $p = 0.013$ ; = 0.070; statistical power ( $\pi$ ) = 0.761).

As shown in Table 2, the effect of physical activity training on FR is more pronounced in the experimental group from M<sub>1</sub> (baseline) to M<sub>2</sub>, than from M<sub>2</sub> to M<sub>3</sub> (post-intervention), with a clear improvement in FR from M<sub>1</sub> to M<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta_3 = 0.395$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Table 2 also shows that for participants in the control group, the effect of time on FR occurs in the expected direction: that is, between M<sub>1</sub> (baseline) and M<sub>3</sub> (final) there was a slight functional decline, with a variation in FR ( $\Delta_3 = -0.010$ , non-significant  $p = 0.919$ ).

Comparing the difference between groups (EG vs. CG): at M<sub>1</sub> (baseline),  $\Delta = 0.146$  (small and non-significant,  $p = 0.287$ ), while at M<sub>3</sub> (final) it was more pronounced ( $\Delta = -0.259$ ) and significant ( $p = 0.049$ ).

Showing that PA did not bias the FR results, a mixed repeated measures ANOVA of PA, evaluated objectively by accelerometry, showed that its evolution over the 3 sampled times returned non-significant *p*-values. Table 3 shows that all interactions between group (EG/CG) and time (M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, and M<sub>3</sub>) gave  $p > 0.05$ .

## Discussion

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a combined (strength and aerobic) exercise-based intervention program on improving observed values of FR in elderly people from the Porto region. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to use BBS SD to focus on this population and objectively analyse FR and PA. The EP was found to be safe, with no major incidents occurring. The participants found the EP enjoyable, evident by the high compliance rate and low number of drop-outs.

With regard to accelerometer-determined ambulatory PA, our participants' results ( $11.02 \pm 3.44$  CPM) are corroborated by others [4, 52-54] and maintained the same PA during the experimental period, as demonstrated in Table 3.

The observed values of FR of participants were within the normal range for this specific age group [25]. In the EG, the FR values developed favourably over 9 months with the practice of regular physical exercise of combined strength and aerobic training. In comparison, in the CG there was a natural slight functional decline over the same 9 months.

Participation in the EP decreased FR. The changes observed in the EG could be a consequence of muscular strengthening and improvement of the postural control resulting from taking part in the various strength-aerobic training activities as part of EP. Similar results have been reported by others in a series of studies with exercise-based program interventions [5,7, 55-58].

**Table 3.** Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of physical activity (PA, in CPM) in EG vs. CG at M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, and M<sub>3</sub>

Group	M <sub>1</sub>	M <sub>2</sub>	M <sub>3</sub>	$\Delta_1$	p	$\Delta_2$	p	$\Delta_3$	p
CPM Total (M $\pm$ SD) (n = 62)	11.015 $\pm$ 3.44	10.788 $\pm$ 3.49	11.794 $\pm$ 3.25	-0.227	0.238	-1.006	0.126	0.779	0.233
CPM EG (M $\pm$ SD) (n = 37)	11.150 $\pm$ 3.83	11.32 $\pm$ 3.87	12.308 $\pm$ 3.88	0.171	0.356	0.987	0.151	1.158	0.142
CPM CG (M $\pm$ SD) (n = 25)	10.880 $\pm$ 3.02	10.255 $\pm$ 3.08	11.281 $\pm$ 2.49	-0.625	0.284	1.026	0.192	0.401	0.239
$\Delta$ by Group	0.270	1.066	1.027						
p	0.231	0.136	0.174						

Key: PA, physical activity; CPM, counts per minute; EG, experimental group; CG, control group; M<sub>1</sub>, baseline; M<sub>2</sub>, 4.5 months; M<sub>3</sub>, 9 months (final); M $\pm$ SD, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation;  $\Delta_1$ , M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>2</sub>;  $\Delta_2$ , M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>;  $\Delta_3$ , M<sub>1</sub>-M<sub>3</sub>; n, number of participants; \* statistical significance,  $p < 0.05$

The changes seen in the CG can be considered natural and explained by aging due to the passage of the 9 months between the beginning and end of the study, as reported by Rivolta et al. [59].

The above results show that physical activity in general, and more specifically, combined strength-aerobic training, may have an effect in lowering fall risk. Based on this analysis, we recommend physical activity training to promote a higher quality for daily living activities and a lowering of fall risk in the elderly. This recommendation has also been made by others [11, 60-68].

### Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include the use of objective instruments to measure PA and FR. This innovative technology can help add to our body of knowledge. Here, BBS-SD was used for the first time for this type of study. Factors that may have played roles in ensuring that the EP was successful included: care was taken to keep the participant's level of interest high in the physical EP; EP was held in venues that were easily accessible; classes were run by graduates trained in running EP; and the rationale for the study and the assessment measures were clearly explained to both the EG and the CG. Also, at the completion of the EP, feedback was provided to all subjects regarding their performances in the tests.

When interpreting the findings consideration should be given to the study's limitations. Subjects in intervention

### References

1. Lord S, Sturnieks D. The physiology of falling: assessment and prevention strategies for older people. *J Sci Med Sport*, 2005;8:35-42.
2. Beaudart C, Rizzoli R, Bruyère O, Reginster J-Y, Biver E. Sarcopenia: burden and challenges for public health. *Arch Public Health* 2014;72:45.
3. Pereira A. A queda e suas consequências para o idoso: aspectos psicológicos e emocionais. Uberlândia: Universidade Federal de Uberlândia; 2006.
4. Brach J, VanSwearingen J. Physical impairment and disability: relationship to performance of activities of daily living in community-dwelling older men. *Phys Ther*, 2002;82:752-61.
5. Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close J, Lord S. Exercise to prevent falls in older adults: an updated meta-analysis and best practice recommendations. *NSW Public Health Bull*, 2011;22:78-83.
6. Gillespie LD, Gillespie WJ, Robertson MC, Lamb SE, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in elderly people (Cochrane review). In: *The Cochrane Collaboration. The Cochrane Library*, Issue 3. Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 2002.
7. Sherrington C, Whitney JC, Lord SR, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Close JC. Effective exercise for the prevention of falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 2008;56:2234-43.

8. Zhuang J, Huang L, Wu Y, Zhang Y. The effectiveness of a combined exercise intervention on physical fitness factors related to falls in community-dwelling older adults. *Clin Interv Aging*, 2014;9:131–40.
9. Kim E, Park S, Kwon Y. The effects of combined exercise on functional fitness and risk factors of metabolic syndrome in the older women. *Jpn J Phys Fitness Sports Med* 2008;57:207–16.
10. Cakar E, Dincer U, Kiralp MZ, et al. Jumping combined exercise programs reduce fall risk and improve balance and life quality of elderly people who live in a long-term care facility. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*, 2010;46:59–67.
11. Marques EA, Figueiredo P, Harris TB, Wanderley FA, Carvalho J. Are resistance and aerobic exercise training equally effective at improving knee muscle strength and balance in older women? *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2017;68:106–112.
12. Ferrari R, Fuchs S, Kruegel L, et al. Effects of different concurrent resistance and aerobic training frequencies on muscle power and muscle quality in trained elderly men: A randomized clinical trial. *Aging Dis*, 2016;7:697–704.
13. Bossers W, Scherder E, Boersma F, Hortobágyi T, van der Woude L, van Heuvelen M. Feasibility of a combined aerobic and strength training program and its effects on cognitive and physical function in institutionalized dementia patients. A pilot study. *PLOS One*, 2014;9:e97577.
14. Yoo E, Jun T, Hawkins S. The effects of a walking exercise program on fall-related fitness, bone metabolism, and fall-related psychological factors in elderly women. *Res Sports Med* 2010;18:236–50.
15. Tinetti M, Williams C, Gill T. Health, functional, and psychological outcomes among older persons with chronic dizziness. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:417–21.
16. Speechley M, Tinetti M. Falls and injuries in frail and vigorous community elderly persons. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1991;39:46–52.
17. Melo C, Carvalho J, Silva P. Efeito da actividade física na funcionalidade e auto-percepções corporais do idoso. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2010.
18. Klein D, Rapp K, Kupper M, et al. A population-based intervention for the prevention of falls and fractures in home dwelling people 65 years and older in south Germany: protocol. *JMIR Res Protoc* 2014;3:e19.
19. Svantesson U, Babagbemi B, Foster L, Alricsson M. Influences on modern multifactorial falls prevention interventions and fear of falling in non-frail older adults: a literature review. *J Clin Med Res* 2014;6:314–20.
20. Murphy T, Baker D, Leo-Summers L, Allore H, Tinetti M. Association between treatment or usual care region and hospitalization for fall-related traumatic brain injury in the Connecticut collaboration for fall prevention. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013;61:1763–7.
21. C.I.O.M.S. International ethical guidelines for biomedical research involving human subjects. Geneva, Switzerland: Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences; 2002.
22. World Health Organization. Ethical Issues in Patient Safety Research: Interpreting Existing Guidance. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2013.
23. World Health Organization, Standards and Operational Guidance for Ethics Review of Health-related Research with Human Participants. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2011.
24. Harriss D, Macsween A, Atkinson G. Standards for ethics in sport and exercise science research: 2018 Update. *Int J Sports Med*, 2017;38:1126–31.
25. Biodex Medical Systems I. Balance System SD Operation/service Manual. New York: Biodex Medical Systems, Inc.; 2014.
26. Pickerill ML, Harter RA. Validity and reliability of limits-of-stability testing: a comparison of 2 postural stability evaluation devices. *J Athl Train* 2011;46:600–6.
27. Chen TH, Chou LW, Tsai MW, Lo MJ, Kao MJ. Effectiveness of a heel cup with an arch support insole on the standing balance of the elderly. *Clin Interv Aging* 2014;9:351–6.
28. Arnold B, Schmitz R. Examination of balance measures produced by the Biodex stability system. *J Athl Tr*, 1998;33:323–7.
29. Parraca J, Olivares P, Carbonell-Baeza A, Aparicio V, Adsuar J, Gusi N. Test–retest reliability of Biodex balance SD on physically active old people. *J Hum Sport Exerc* 2011;6:444–51.
30. Cachupe W, Shifflet B, Kahanov L, Wughalter E. Reliability of Biodex balance system measures. *Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci*, 2009;5:97–108.
31. Aydog E, Aydog S, Cakci A, Doral M. Dynamic postural stability in blind athletes using the biodex stability system. *Int J Sports Med*, 2006;27:415–8.
32. Silva MAF, Boas JPV. Estabilidade postural nos adultos seniores estudo da sua variabilidade. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2002.
33. Melzer I, Benjuya N, Kaplanski J. Postural stability in the elderly: a comparison between fallers and non-fallers. *Age and Ageing*, 2004;33:602–7.
34. Burton E, Cavalheri V, Adams R, et al. Effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce falls in older people with dementia living in the community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Interv Aging*, 2015;10:421–34.
35. Khalaj N, Abu Osman NA, Mokhtar AH, Mehdikhani M, Wan Abas WA. Balance and risk of fall in individuals with bilateral mild and moderate knee osteoarthritis. *PLOS One*, 2014:e92270.
36. Sieri T, Beretta G. Fall risk assessment in very old males and females living in nursing homes. *Disabil Rehabil*, 2004;26:718–23.
37. Province M, Hadley E, Hornbrook M, et al. The effects of exercise on falls in elderly patients. A preplanned meta-analysis of the FICSIT trials. Frailty and injuries: cooperative studies of intervention techniques. *JAMA*, 1995;273:1341–7.
38. Branco PPVGS. Avaliação e modificação do risco de queda em idosos com recurso à posturografia dinâmica computadorizada. Lisboa: Faculdade de Ciências Médicas; 2013.
39. Sardinha L, Andersen L, Anderssen S, et al. Objectively measured time spent sedentary is associated with insulin resistance independent of overall and central body fat in 9- to 10-year-old Portuguese children. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:569–75.
40. Hagstromer M, Oja P, Sjostrom M. Physical activity and inactivity in an adult population assessed by accelerometry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2007;39:1502–8.
41. Egerton T, Paterson K, Helbostad J. The association between gait characteristics and ambulatory physical activity in older people: a cross-sectional and longitudinal observational study using generation 100 data. *J Aging Phys Act*, 2017;25:10–19.
42. Riebe D. Exercise prescription for healthy populations with special considerations and environmental considerations, In: Pescatello LS, Arena R, Riebe D, Thompson PD, eds. *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription*. Baltimore: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2014:194–235.
43. Garber C, Blissmer B, Deschenes M, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2011;43:1334–59.
44. American College of Sports Medicine. *ACSM's Resource Manual for Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription*. 5th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
45. Borg E. *On Perceived Exertion and Its Measurement*. Stockholm: Stockholm University; 2007.

46. Arthur Jones Exercise, 2015. (Accessed 2018 Dec 28, at [http://www.arthurjonesexercise.com/NautilusManual/nautilus\\_sports-medical\\_industries.pdf](http://www.arthurjonesexercise.com/NautilusManual/nautilus_sports-medical_industries.pdf).)
47. Raymond MJ, Bramley-Tzerefos RE, Jeffs KJ, Winter A, Holland AE. Systematic review of high-intensity progressive resistance strength training of the lower limb compared with other intensities of strength training in older adults. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2013;94:1458–72.
48. Rall L, Meydani S, Kehayias J, Dawson-Hughes B, Roubenoff R. The effect of progressive resistance training in rheumatoid arthritis increased strength without changes in energy balance or body composition. *Arthritis Rheum*, 1996; 39:415–26.
49. Pescatello L, Arena R, Riebe D, Thompson P. *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription* (9<sup>th</sup> ed). Baltimore: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2014.
50. BMI Classification, 2006. (Accessed 2016 Nov 25 at [http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro\\_3.html](http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html))
51. Ferguson C. An effect size primer: a guide for clinicians and researchers. *Prof Psychol Res Pract*, 2009;40:532–8.
52. Duncan MJ, Minatto G, Wright SL. Dose–response between pedometer assessed physical activity, functional fitness, and fatness in healthy adults aged 50–80 years. *Am J Hum Biol*, 2016;28:890–4.
53. Langley F, Mackintosh S. Functional balance assessment of older community dwelling adults: a systematic review of the literature. *J Allied Health*, 2007;5:1–11.
54. Brach J, VanSwearingen J, Newman A, Kriska A. Identifying early decline of physical function in community-dwelling older women: performance-based and self-report measures. *Phys Ther*, 2002;82:320–8.
55. Reinsch S, MacRae P, Lachenbruch PA, Tobias JS. Attempts to prevent falls and injury: a prospective community study. *Gerontologist*, 1992;32:450–6.
56. Lord SR, Ward JA, Williams P, Strudwick M. The effect of a 12-month exercise trial on balance, strength, and falls in older women: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 1995;43:1198–206.
57. Close JC, Lord SL, Menz HB, Sherrington C. What is the role of falls? *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*, 2005;19:913–35.
58. Schoene D, Valenzuela T, Lord SR, de Bruin ED. The effect of interactive cognitive-motor training in reducing fall risk in older people: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatrics* 2014;14:107.
59. Rivolta MW, Aktaruzzaman M, Rizzo G, et al. Automatic vs. clinical assessment of fall risk in older individuals: a proof of concept. *J Biomed Health* 2015;2015:6935–8.
60. Marques A, Soares P, Soares-Miranda L, et al. Evaluation of physical activity programmes for the elderly: exploring the lessons from other sectors and examining the general characteristics of the programmes. *BMC Res Notes*, 2011;4:368.
61. Marques E, Carvalho J, Mota J. Exercise training, bone and aging. Evidence from different impact loading exercise interventions on age-related bone loss. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2011:184.
62. Carrapatoso S, Carvalho J, Silva P. Efeito de um programa de caminhada nas percepções do envolvimento em idosos. Porto: Universidade do Porto; 2012.
63. Branco J, Jansen K, Sobrinho J, et al. Physical benefits and reduction of depressive symptoms among the elderly: Results from the Portuguese “National Walking Program”. *Ciênc Saúde Colet*, 2015;20:789–95.
64. Carrapatoso S, Silva P, Purakom A, Novais C, Colaço P, Carvalho J. The experience of older adults in a walking program at individual, interpersonal, and environmental levels. *Act Adapt Aging*, 2017;41:72–86.
65. Wanderley FA, Silva G, Marques E, Oliveira J, Mota J, Carvalho J. Associations between objectively assessed physical activity levels and fitness and self-reported quality of life in community-dwelling older adults. *Qual Life Res*, 2011;20:1371–8.
66. Carvalho J, Oliveira J, Magalhães J, Ascensão A, Mota J, Soares J. Força muscular em idosos II: Efeito de um programa complementar de treino na força muscular de idosos de ambos os sexos. *Rev Port Ciênc Desporto*, 2004;4:58–65.
67. Carvalho J, Oliveira J, Magalhães J, Ascensão A, Mota J, Soares J. Força muscular em idosos I: Será o treino generalizado suficientemente intenso para promover o aumento da força muscular em idosos de ambos os sexos? *Rev Port Ciênc Desporto*, 2004;4:51–7.
68. Carvalho J, Pinto P, Mota J. Actividade física, equilíbrio e medo de cair. Um estudo em idosos institucionalizados. *Rev Port Ciênc Desporto*, 2007;7:225–31.
69. Inouye S, Brown C, Tinetti M. Medicare nonpayment, hospital falls, and unintended consequences. *N Engl J Med*, 2009;360:2390–3.

