

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Prognostic role of perioperative acid-base disturbances on the risk of *Clostridioides difficile* infection in patients undergoing cardiac surgery

Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak¹, Hubert Hymczak², Anna Kędziora³, Bogusław Kapelak³, Rafał Drwiła⁴, Dariusz Plicner^{5*}

1 Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases and Pediatric Hepatology, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland, **2** Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland, **3** Department of Cardiovascular Surgery and Transplantation, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland, **4** Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland, **5** Unit of Experimental Cardiology and Cardiac Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University, Krakow, Poland

* plicner.dariusz@gmail.com



Abstract

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Rzucidło-Hymczak A, Hymczak H, Kędziora A, Kapelak B, Drwiła R, Plicner D (2021) Prognostic role of perioperative acid-base disturbances on the risk of *Clostridioides difficile* infection in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. PLoS ONE 16(3): e0248512. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512>

Editor: Robert Jeenchen Chen, Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center Department of Surgery, UNITED STATES

Received: December 12, 2020

Accepted: February 26, 2021

Published: March 17, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512>

Copyright: © 2021 Rzucidło-Hymczak et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Background

It is unclear whether acid-base balance disturbances during the perioperative period may impact *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI), which is the third most common major infection following cardiac surgery. We hypothesized that perioperative acid-base abnormalities including lactate disturbances may predict the probability of incidence of CDI in patients after cardiac procedures.

Methods

Of the 12,235 analyzed patients following cardiac surgery, 143 (1.2%) developed CDI. The control group included 200 consecutive patients without diarrhea, who underwent cardiac procedure within the same period of observation. Pre-, intra and post-operative levels of blood gases, as well as lactate and glucose concentrations were determined. Postoperatively, arterial blood was drawn four times: immediately after surgery and successively; 4, 8 and 12 h following the procedure.

Results

Baseline pH was lower and PaO₂ was higher in CDI patients ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). Additionally, these patients had greater base deficiency at each of the analyzed time points ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.004$, $p = 0.012$, $p = 0.001$, $p = 0.016$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). Severe hyperlactatemia was also more common in CDI patients; during the cardiac procedure, 4 h and 12 h after surgery ($p = 0.027$, $p = 0.004$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that independent risk factors for CDI following cardiac surgery were as follows: intraoperative severe hyperlactatemia (OR

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its [Supporting information](#) files.

Funding: This article was supported by science found on John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland (no. FN1/2021 to D.P.).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

2.387, 95% CI 1.155–4.933, $p = 0.019$), decreased lactate clearance between values immediately and 12 h after procedure (OR 0.996, 95% CI 0.994–0.999, $p = 0.013$), increased age (OR 1.045, 95% CI 1.020–1.070, $p < 0.001$), emergent surgery (OR 2.755, 95% CI 1.565–4.848, $p < 0.001$) and use of antibiotics other than perioperative prophylaxis (OR 2.778, 95% CI 1.690–4.565, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusion

This study is the first to show that perioperative hyperlactatemia and decreased lactate clearance may be predictors for occurrence of CDI after cardiac surgery.

Introduction

Clostridioides difficile (CD) is an anaerobic, Gram-positive bacillus, which may be part of the normal intestinal microbiota in healthy people. However, approximately 15% of adults experience colonization by CD and the prevalence is several times higher in hospitalized patients and in long-term care facilities residents [1]. CD is the most common cause of hospital-acquired diarrhea leading to increased morbidity and mortality in surgical patients [2]. In the last decades, the incidence of *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) has increased markedly worldwide [3, 4]. CDI is the third most common major infection (after pneumonia and bloodstream infections) following cardiac surgery [5].

There are many well-established risk factors for CDI development. These may include host factors (immune status, comorbidities), exposure to CD spores (hospitalizations) and other factors that disrupt normal colonic microbiome (antibiotics and other medications or surgery) [6]. It has also been shown that high glucose levels and stress hyperglycemia during the early postoperative period were associated with greater risk for development of CDI in patients following cardiac surgery [7]. It is unclear whether other acid-base disturbances, especially the development of hyperlactatemia in the perioperative period, may impact CDI occurrence.

Hyperlactatemia is a common occurrence in cardiac surgery and affects about 10 to 20% of patients [8]. Lactate is a product of pyruvate reduction by the enzyme lactate dehydrogenase during glycolysis. It is produced during physiological processes and is cleared by the liver and the kidney. However, in critically conditions associated with tissue hypoxia and anaerobic metabolism, pyruvate is accumulated rapidly and its metabolism is shifted to lactate production [9]. Hyperlactatemia can also result from reduced clearance, thus when increased production of lactate coexists with decreased clearance, the severity of the hyperlactatemia escalates [10]. An elevated lactate level can have profound hemodynamic consequences and is a well-recognized marker of circulatory failure and tissue hypoxia [11, 12] as well as being a sensitive and specific indicator of intestinal ischemia [13, 14]. Early onset of hyperlactatemia which develops intraoperatively or within the first 6 hours after surgery is associated with an increased risk for worse outcomes, prolonged hospital stay and death [8, 11, 12].

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies investigating the impact of acid-base balance disturbances on CDI occurrence following cardiac surgery. In the present report we tested the hypothesis that perioperative acid-base abnormalities, including lactate disturbances, may predict the probability of incidence of CDI in patients after cardiac procedures.

Materials and methods

Patients

This retrospective study was conducted by reviewing the medical records of 12,235 adult patients who underwent cardiac surgery in our institution from January 2014 to December 2019. The final study population comprised 143 patients who developed CDI during the post-operative period. The control group included 200 consecutive patients without diarrhea, who underwent cardiac surgery within the same period of observation. CDI was suspected in each patient experiencing three or more unformed stools per day and it was defined as a combination of symptoms and signs of the disease and confirmed by microbiological evidence of toxin-producing CD in the patients' stools [15]. Stool samples were analyzed using the rapid enzyme immunoassays test C, Diff Quik Chek Complete test (Techlab, Orlando, USA). Additionally, demographics, comorbidities, type and timing of cardiac surgery, perioperative infections and antibiotic treatment, readmission to intensive care unit and lastly in-hospital length of stay were collected.

Each patient received periprocedural antimicrobial prophylaxis, based on the first generation of cephalosporin (cefazolin). This was continued for another 3 to 5 doses postoperatively. Only in cases of history of allergy to cephalosporins or penicillin, clindamycin was administered.

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee of the Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University (ID 10/2019), which waived the need for informed consent due to the retrospective manner of analysis. Data were collected from Electronic Medical Records of John Paul II Hospital in Krakow (Poland), between January and March 2020. Personal identifiable information of the participants was anonymized upon extraction of the relevant data for the study, and patients were coded using numbers (1, 2, or 3, and so on).

Laboratory investigations

Acid-base balance analyses were obtained from arterial lines that were placed in all patients before the cardiac procedure. Blood gases (pH, PaCO₂, PaO₂, base excess (BE)) as well as lactate and glucose concentrations were determined. Preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative levels of these parameters were assessed. Postoperatively, arterial blood was drawn four times: immediately after surgery and successively 4, 8 and 12 h following the procedure.

In our laboratory the reference ranges for normal values were as follows: for pH 7.35–7.45, for PaCO₂ 35–45 mmHg, for PaO₂ 74–108 mmHg, for BE -2.5–+2.5 mEq/l, for serum lactate concentration 0.5–1.6 mmol/l and for glucose concentration 3.9–5.5 mmol/l. The ranges were based on internal laboratory standardization for acid-base balance analyses and measurements were performed with ABL 835 FLEX blood gas analyzer (Radiometer Medical ApS, Brønshøj, Denmark).

Hyperlactatemia was defined as a peak lactate value > 2 mmol/l. Severe hyperlactatemia was diagnosed when peak lactate value was > 4 mmol/l based on our institution's laboratory reference ranges and a review of literature [8, 12, 16, 17]. Lactate clearance was calculated as follows: [(lactate initial– lactate delayed) /lactate initial] x 100% [18]. In this study the lactate clearances were calculated for the following intervals: between measurements performed immediately and 4 h after surgery, between 4 h and 8 h following procedure, between 8 h and 12 h post operation, between values immediately and 12 h following surgery and finally between intraoperative values and 12 h after procedure.

Stress hyperglycemia was defined as one or more blood sugar concentration > 180 mg/dl (10 mmol/l) during the first 24 h of the postoperative course [5].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM[®] SPSS[®] Statistics 25. Normal distribution was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviations (\pm) or median and interquartile ranges when appropriate. For categorical variables, numbers and proportions were reported. When appropriate, parametric and non-parametric tests were used for either independent samples (chi-squared test, Mann-Whitney U test, t-test) or repeated measurements (McNemar's test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Friedman test). For ordinal variables, two sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used. Multivariate logistic regression model was calculated to determine independent predictors for CDI. A 2-tailed p value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Of the 12,235 patients, 143 (1.2%) developed CDI. The CDI and control groups of analyzed patients were comparable, however patients with CDI were older in comparison to the control group (median age 71 vs 67, $p < 0.001$). Additionally, the CDI patients more often had a history of malignant neoplasms ($p = 0.048$) (Table 1).

Acid-base balance

As shown in Table 2, patients with CDI had lower values of pH during the whole observation period, however, a significant difference was observed only during the preoperative period ($p < 0.001$, compared with the control group). There was no difference between groups in PaCO₂ levels in any of the studied periods, while PaO₂ was higher in CDI patients only at baseline ($p = 0.001$). Furthermore, at each of the analyzed time points, patients with CDI had greater base deficiency (more negative BE) ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.004$, $p = 0.012$, $p = 0.001$, $p = 0.016$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively compared with the control group).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

Variable	Patients with CDI (n = 143)	Patients without CDI (n = 200)	p -value
Age, (years)	71 [64–77]	67 [61–72]	<0.001
Male sex, n (%)	93 (65)	135 (67.5)	0.634
Comorbidities, n (%)			
Hypertension	112 (78.3)	160 (80)	0.705
Dyslipidemia	69 (48.3)	92 (46)	0.680
Diabetes mellitus	44 (30.8)	46 (23)	0.107
Chronic kidney disease	32 (22.4)	29 (14.5)	0.060
Atherosclerosis	23 (16.1)	19 (9.5)	0.067
Obesity	21 (14.7)	20 (10)	0.187
History of neoplasm	15 (10.5)	10 (5.0)	0.048
Peptic ulcer disease	9 (6.3)	12 (6.0)	0.911
Nicotinism	5 (3.5)	8 (4.0)	0.810

Values are displayed as median [with 25th–75th percentiles inter-quartile range] or number (percentage). CDI: *Clostridium difficile* infection.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512.t001>

Table 2. Variables of acid-base balance in both analyzed groups.

Variable	Patients with CDI (n = 143)	Patients without CDI (n = 200)	p-value
pH			
PREOP	7.422 [7.372–7.445]	7.434 [7.413–7.451]	<0.001
INTRA	7.326 [7.269–7.376]	7.336 [7.297–7.380]	0.062
H0	7.317 [7.273–7.369]	7.324 [7.278–7.362]	0.347
H4	7.334 [7.266–7.380]	7.337 [7.306–7.371]	0.170
H8	7.342 [7.278–7.389]	7.354 [7.316–7.379]	0.167
H12	7.343 [7.286–7.375]	7.348 [7.323–7.381]	0.080
PaCO₂ (mmHg)			
PREOP	36.80 [33.80–40.10]	36.80 [34.60–38.77]	0.721
INTRA	40.90 [36.90–44.60]	41.35 [37.40–44.90]	0.432
H0	40.30 [36.90–44.60]	40.80 [37.95–44.70]	0.129
H4	40.10 [35.80–43.70]	40.70 [37.60–44.10]	0.149
H8	38.90 [36.10–42.10]	39.10 [36.30–42.60]	0.486
H12	39.10 [36.17–43.90]	39.80 [37.10–43.70]	0.211
PaO₂ (mmHg)			
PREOP	103.0 [82.1–221.0]	87.7 [79.92–100.72]	0.001
INTRA	204.0 [129.0–296.0]	212.0 [136.50–302.75]	0.900
H0	165.0 [140.0–192.0]	174.5 [133.25–202.75]	0.252
H4	163.0 [130.0–182.0]	157.5 [122.50–182.0]	0.436
H8	151.0 [128.0–168.0]	152.0 [125.0–174.0]	0.735
H12	144.0 [116.0–172.0]	151.0 [127.0–171.0]	0.155
BE (mEq/l)			
PREOP	-0.60 [-2.90–1.50]	0.7 [-0.8–1.8]	<0.001
INTRA	-5.10 [-7.30– -3.10]	-4.0 [-5.8– -1.7]	0.004
H0	-4.90 [-7.10– -2.70]	-4.2 [-6.17– -2.1]	0.012
H4	-4.80 [-6.60– -2.30]	-3.6 [-5.1– -1.8]	0.001
H8	-4.20 [-6.50– -2.40]	-3.4 [-5.2– -1.5]	0.016
H12	-3.95 [-6.95– -2.20]	-3.0 [-4.8– -1.5]	0.001
Lactate (mmol/l)			
PREOP	1.0 [0.7–1.5]	1.1 [0.9–1.47]	0.126
INTRA	2.3 [1.7–3.7]	2.2 [1.6–2.9]	0.202
H0	2.1 [1.3–3.6]	2.0 [1.32–3.0]	0.217
H4	2.2 [1.3–4.3]	1.9 [1.2–3.0]	0.008
H8	2.2 [1.4–4.1]	1.8 [1.2–3.1]	0.014
H12	2.1 [1.2–4.6]	1.6 [1.1–2.6]	0.001
Lactate > 4 mmol/l, n (%)			
PREOP	5 (1.5)	4 (1.2)	0.393
INTRA	27 (7.9)	21 (6.1)	0.027
H0	27 (7.9)	23 (6.7)	0.056
H4	38 (11.1)	28 (8.2)	0.004
H8	36 (10.5)	37 (10.8)	0.143
H12	40 (12.0)	25 (7.5)	0.001
Lactate clearance (%)			
0–4 h	-1.72 [-42.86–15.00]	6.46 [-18.04–23.08]	0.003
4–8 h	-1.47 [-35.48–13.64]	0.0 [-29.85–17.29]	0.500
8–12 h	9.09 [-9.09–23.19]	10.666 [-13.33–31.58]	0.130
0–12 h	0.00 [-69.23–35.00]	15.045 [-23.41–38.46]	0.006

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Variable	Patients with CDI (n = 143)	Patients without CDI (n = 200)	p-value
INTRA-12 h	6.47 [-64.77–45.75]	25.00 [-15.38–45.45]	0.028
Glucose (mmol/l)			
PREOP	6.1 [5.4–7.7]	6.0 [5.4–7.17]	0.600
INTRA	9.4 [7.5–11.5]	8.55 [7.2–10.4]	0.032
H0	9.0 [7.0–10.9]	8.25 [6.9–10.2]	0.040
H4	8.7 [7.1–10.5]	7.95 [6.7–9.4]	0.019
H8	8.6 [7.2–9.9]	8.3 [7.2–9.6]	0.498
H12	8.3 [7.0–10.2]	8.6 [7.2–9.6]	0.758
Glucose > 10 mmol/l, n (%)			
PREOP	18 (5.25)	17 (4.96)	0.218
INTRA	58 (16.90)	62 (18.07)	0.067
H0	49 (14.28)	52 (15.16)	0.098
H4	46 (13.41)	41 (11.95)	0.014
H8	32 (9.36)	38 (11.11)	0.458
H12	38 (11.41)	37 (11.11)	0.110

Values are displayed as median [with 25th–75th percentiles inter-quartile range] or number (percentage). CDI: *Clostridium difficile* infection; H0: measurements taken immediately after surgery; H4: measurements taken 4 h after surgery; H8: measurements taken 8 h after surgery; H12: measurements taken 12 h after surgery; INTRA: measurements taken during operation; PREOP: measurements taken before surgery.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512.t002>

Patients from the control group had the highest lactate concentration during surgery, then their lactate levels gradually decreased, whereas lactate clearance progressively increased. In CDI patients, lactate was also at maximum concentration during the procedure and remained elevated until the last observation. Additionally, patients with CDI at each of the analyzed time points, excluding the preoperative period, had higher lactate levels than the control group. During the postoperative course, in the last three measuring time points, this difference was significant ($p = 0.008$, $p = 0.014$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). Severe hyperlactatemia was more common in CDI patients during the cardiac procedure, 4 h and 12 h after surgery ($p = 0.027$, $p = 0.004$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively, compared with the control group). The lactate clearance assessments were lower in patients with CDI during three intervals: between values immediately and 4 h after surgery, between measurements immediately and 12 h following surgery and between intraoperative values and 12 h after the procedure ($p = 0.003$, $p = 0.006$ and $p = 0.028$, respectively, compared with the control group). Patients with CDI also had higher glucose concentration than patients without CDI during the cardiac procedure, immediately and 4 h after surgery ($p = 0.032$, $p = 0.040$ and $p = 0.019$, respectively). Moreover, 4 h following surgery, patients with CDI more often had stress hyperglycemia ($p = 0.014$) (Table 2).

Perioperative characteristics

There was no difference between analyzed groups in the type of operations ($p = 0.448$). The most common surgical procedures were heart valve surgery and coronary artery bypass grafting (for CDI patients: 37.8% and 37.1% and for non-CDI patients: 28.0% and 46.5%, respectively). There was also no difference in the type of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis used in the patients with and without CDI ($p = 0.537$) (Table 3).

Patients with CDI more frequently underwent emergent surgery, had longer cardiopulmonary bypass time and were more often readmitted to intensive care unit ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.010$

Table 3. Perioperative data.

Variable	Patients with CDI (n = 143)	Patients without CDI (n = 200)	p-value
Type of surgery, n (%)			0.448
HVS	54 (37.8)	56 (28)	
CABG	53 (37.1)	93 (46.5)	
Aortic surgery	15 (10.5)	9 (4.5)	
CABG + VHS	10 (7.0)	17 (8.5)	
CABG + aortic surgery	6 (4.2)	18 (9.0)	
MIDCAB	5 (3.5)	7 (3.5)	
Timing of surgery, n (%)			<0.001
Elective	94 (65.7)	167 (83.5)	
Emergent	49 (34.3)	33 (16.5)	
Cardiopulmonary bypass time, (min)			0.010
HVS	106.0 [75.7–158.7]	95.0 [72.0–120.0]	
CABG	74.0 [60.0–93.0]	78.0 [64.0–97.0]	
Aortic surgery	200.0 [120.0–225.0]	137.0 [105.0–211.5]	
CABG + VHS	135.0 [115.0–215.0]	126.5 [97.5–147.2]	
CABG + aortic surgery	205.0 [99.5–248.5]	102.0 [78.2–126.0]	
Aortic cross-clamp time, (min)			0.144
HVS	76.0 [63.0–98.5]	71.0 [58.0–89.0]	
CABG	37.0 [31.0–44.0]	42.0 [33.5–54.5]	
Aortic surgery	113.5 [83.0–162.0]	89.0 [75.0–111.5]	
CABG + VHS	87.0 [72.5–142.0]	82.0 [69.2–99.2]	
CABG + aortic surgery	88.0 [50.5–167.0]	64.5 [29.0–80.0]	
Readmission to Intensive Care Unit, n (%)	29 (20.3)	18 (9.0)	0.003
Length of hospital stay, (days)	22 [14.00–41.00]	7 [6.00–9.75]	<0.001
Periprocedural prophylaxis based on Cefazolin, n (%)	132 (92)	188 (94)	0.537
Antibiotic other than periprocedural prophylaxis, n (%)			<0.001
Ceftriaxone	45 (31.5)	23 (11.5)	<0.001
Vancomycin	20 (14)	21 (10.5)	0.326
Fluoroquinolone	18 (12.6)	15 (7.5)	0.115
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	13 (9.1)	6 (3.0)	0.015
Meropenem	10 (7.0)	6 (3.0)	0.084
Ampicillin	5 (3.5)	6 (3.0)	0.797
Cloxacillin	6 (4.2)	3 (1.5)	0.124
Clindamycin	5 (3.5)	7 (3.5)	0.999
Gentamicin	5 (3.5)	4 (2.0)	0.393
Rifampicin	5 (3.5)	2 (1.0)	0.107
Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid	3 (2.1)	2 (1.0)	0.403
Teicoplanin	3 (2.1)	1 (0.5)	0.174
Cefuroxime	2 (1.4)	0 (0)	0.093
Colistin	2 (1.4)	1 (0.5)	0.378
Erythromycin	1 (0.7)	0 (0)	0.236
Accompanying infection, n (%)			<0.001
Wound infection	19 (13.3)	13 (6.5)	0.033
Pneumonia	16 (11.2)	10 (5.0)	0.033
Sepsis	39 (27.3)	25 (12.5)	0.001

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Variable	Patients with CDI (n = 143)	Patients without CDI (n = 200)	p-value
Infective endocarditis	5 (3.5)	4 (2.0)	0.393

Values are displayed as median [with 25th–75th percentiles inter-quartile range] or number (percentage). CDI: *Clostridium difficile* infection; HVS: heart valve surgery; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; MIDCAB: minimally invasive coronary artery bypass.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512.t003>

Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression for risk of *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

Variable	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Age	1.045 (1.020–1.070)	<0.001
Emergent surgery	2.755 (1.565–4.848)	<0.001
Lactate clearance 0-12H	0.996 (0.994–0.999)	0.013
INTRA Lactate > 4 mmol/l	2.387 (1.155–4.933)	0.019
Antibiotic other than perioperative prophylaxis	2.778 (1.690–4.565)	<0.001

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; INTRA: measurements taken during operation.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248512.t004>

and $p = 0.003$, respectively, compared with the control group). Additionally, patients with CDI more often had accompanying infections ($p < 0.001$), such as wound infection, pneumonia and sepsis ($p = 0.033$, $p = 0.033$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively, compared with the control group). These patients also more often received additional antibiotics besides perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis ($p < 0.001$, compared with the control group). Ceftriaxone and piperacillin plus tazobactam were used more frequently in patients with CDI than in non-CD subjects ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.015$, respectively). The median length of hospital stay for CDI patients was 22 days [14.00–41.00] and 7 days [6.00–9.75] for patients without CDI ($p < 0.001$) (Table 3).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that independent risk factors for CDI following cardiac surgery were intraoperative severe hyperlactatemia (OR 2.387, 95% CI 1.155–4.933, $p = 0.019$), decreased lactate clearance between values immediately and 12 h after procedure (OR 0.996, 95% CI 0.994–0.999, $p = 0.013$), increased age (OR 1.045, 95% CI 1.020–1.070, $p < 0.001$), emergent surgery (OR 2.755, 95% CI 1.565–4.848, $p < 0.001$) and use of antibiotics other than perioperative prophylaxis (OR 2.778, 95% CI 1.690–4.565, $p < 0.001$) (Table 4).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the association between perioperative changes in an acid-base balance and CDI incidence in patients after cardiac surgery. We demonstrated that perioperative increased lactate concentration and decreased lactate clearance may be independent predictors of occurrence of CDI in the analyzed group of patients.

Acid-base balance

In this study, patients with CDI had higher lactate levels after surgery in comparison to the control group. The most significant difference was 12 h following surgery. Most cases of severe hyperlactatemia also occurred at that time. Moreover, patients with CDI had reduced lactate clearance, therefore hyperlactatemia was intensified by impaired lactate clearance.

There are many potential causes of hyperlactatemia in cardiac surgical patients [8]. One cause may be tissue hypoperfusion and anaerobic metabolism as a result of inadequate oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass. Other reasons of elevated lactate levels may be renal failure, shock, excessive administration of lactated Ringer's solution and use of catecholamines [8]. Hajjar et al. showed that high lactate levels at the end of the cardiac surgery and during the postoperative period can identify patients with worse postoperative outcomes including a higher rate of 30-day mortality [16]. Similarly, Maillet et al. proved that lactate threshold of 3 mmol/l at admission to the intensive care unit is able to identify a population at risk of morbidity and mortality after cardiac surgery [17]. Therefore, a targeting therapy to reduce or prevent the increase in lactate levels may improve outcomes of post cardiac surgery [8, 12, 16, 17]. It is also known that early onset hyperlactatemia that develops intraoperatively or within the first 6 hours after surgery is associated with an increased risk for worse hemodynamic outcomes, prolonged hospital stay and death, whereas late onset hyperlactatemia is associated with a benign postoperative course [8]. Our study showed that both early and late onset hyperlactatemia may affect the incidence of CDI.

Besides higher lactate levels, patients with CDI also had more negative BE throughout the perioperative period. An excessive negative BE result indicates an alkaline deficiency and best reflects metabolic acidosis after cardiac surgery. This may in part be explained by the fact that lactate is a strong anion that is virtually fully dissociated at physiological pH, and increased lactate concentration reduces the strong ion difference and exerts an acidifying effect on the blood [8]. It is also known that other underlying causes for acid-base disturbances after cardiac surgery are manifold and are best displayed by changes in BE [19].

We also demonstrated that patients with increased glucose levels during the operation and in the early postoperative period were at greater risk for development of CDI. This finding is consistent with the results of a study by Kirkwood et al., who demonstrated the association of acute hyperglycemia with an increased risk of CDI [20]. Similarly, Gelijs et al. showed that stress hyperglycemia was associated with major infection after cardiac surgery [5]. It should be emphasized that our study did not show that diabetes mellitus is associated with the risk of CDI. Our study only proved this association for abnormally high blood glucose levels during the perioperative course. Hyperglycemia induces an impairment of host defenses (e.g., damage to the neutrophil function, disturbances of the oxidant system and humoral immunity) and favor the greater frequency of infections [21]. Therefore, guidelines recommend a rigorous control of glycemia during the postoperative period to reduce surgical infections [22].

Perioperative characteristics

There is significant evidence that many comorbidities increase the risk of CDI development. Several well-established risk factors such as older age, inflammatory bowel disease, gastrointestinal surgery and immunological incompetence caused by malignant neoplasms, transplantations, chronic kidney diseases and immunosuppressant therapy are associated with an increased occurrence of CDI [23–26]. Our results validate these findings and we also showed that older age and a history of malignant neoplasms were associated with increased risk of CDI.

Timing of surgery was also an important risk factor for the development of CDI. In our report the most cases of CDI occurred after emergent surgery. This finding is consistent with the results of Lemaire et al., who also demonstrated the significant role of emergent cardiac surgery in the development of CDI [27]. Our results also validate the findings of Gelijs et al., who suggested that longer cardiopulmonary bypass time had an impact on major infection after cardiac surgery including CDI [5].

In our study, besides periprocedural antimicrobial prophylaxis, some patients received an additional antibiotic due to accompanying infections other than CDI, and these patients had greater chance of contracting CDI. Infection of the wound, pneumonia and sepsis were the most likely factors correlated with CDI occurrence. In part, this finding could be explained by reduction in immune response to infections and the need for additional antibiotics in such patients. It is well known that the risk of CDI increases substantially with multiple, prolonged antibiotic exposure [27, 28]. The pathogenesis of CDI includes disruption of the host microbiota, usually with broad-spectrum antibiotics, proliferation of toxins after germination of CD in the colon, and lack of immune response to the infection [29]. It should be highlighted that the majority of antibiotics may lead to development of CDI, yet most often it is caused by clindamycin, third-generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones and broad-spectrum penicillins [28]. In our research, the analysis of the antibiotics used before the CDI also showed that ceftriaxone (third-generation cephalosporin) and piperacillin plus tazobactam (broad-spectrum penicillin) were the antibiotics mostly correlated with the development of postoperative CDI. A serious approach must be undertaken to reduce unnecessary and excessive administration of antibiotics in surgical patients thereby preventing the development of CDI.

The majority of reports confirm the finding presented in this study, that prolonged hospitalization in the intensive care unit may be a significant risk factor of patients developing CDI [30, 31]. In our study patients who were readmitted to this ward had greater chance of infection. Also, Šuljagić et al. showed that duration of intensive care unit stay could be a significant predictor of CDI in surgical patients [31]. A reason could be that this group of patients were in a worse general condition, had more comorbidities or co-infections and received additional antibacterial treatment. There are studies that indicate that CDI affects the length of hospital stay [20, 27]. We also proved that patients with CDI had longer median postoperative inpatient stay (from surgery to discharge). Longer hospitalization in CDI patients most likely increases the cost of hospitalization after cardiac surgery, but unfortunately we have not studied this.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. It was a retrospective research, based on available medical data. Therefore, the incidence of CDI could be higher due to a lack of information regarding potential post-discharge diagnosis of the disease. The size of the study group was limited. Moreover, the relation between CDI and accompanying infections is not clear, because the time sequence of the development of other infections was not collected. We assumed that patients who developed an accompanying infection had been treated with antibiotics and therefore would be more susceptible to CDI. However, it is not known whether CDI or other infections developed first. At this time, it is clear that there is an association between CDI and wound infection, pneumonia and sepsis. A prospective study with postoperative follow-up would identify the time of development of CDI and accompanying infections and determine causality. Additionally, excess length of hospital stay due to CDI should be interpreted with caution, because we did not take into account other adverse events and complications (e.g. other infections, hemodynamic instability) after surgery which may have affected length of hospital stay. Finally, most importantly, in our study we did not investigate the causes of hyperlactatemia after cardiac surgery.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings indicate that perturbations of the perioperative acid-base balance increase the risk of CDI in patients after cardiac surgery. Correlation between severe hyperlactatemia and impaired lactate clearance and CDI incidence might suggest that these markers

could be useful in identifying patients at higher risk of developing of CDI following cardiac procedures.

Supporting information

S1 File.
(XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Data curation: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Hubert Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Formal analysis: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Hubert Hymczak, Anna Kędziora, Dariusz Plicner.

Investigation: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Hubert Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Methodology: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Project administration: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak.

Resources: Hubert Hymczak, Anna Kędziora, Bogusław Kapelak, Rafał Drwiła.

Supervision: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Validation: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Writing – original draft: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Dariusz Plicner.

Writing – review & editing: Anna Rzucidło-Hymczak, Hubert Hymczak, Anna Kędziora, Bogusław Kapelak, Rafał Drwiła, Dariusz Plicner.

References

1. Furuya-Kanamori L, Marquess J, Yakob L, Riley TV, Paterson DL, Foster NF, et al. Asymptomatic *Clostridium difficile* colonization: Epidemiology and clinical implications. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2015; 15:516. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1258-4> PMID: 26573915
2. Ong GKB, Reidy TJ, Huk MD, Lane FR. *Clostridium difficile* colitis: A clinical review. *Am J Surg*. 2017; 213(3):565–571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2016.10.035> PMID: 28131326
3. Urbina Soto L, García Ávila S, Córdoba Alonso AI, Roiz Mesones MP, Arnaiz García AM, Valero Díaz de Lamadrid MC. *Clostridium difficile* associated diarrhoea: An increased problem. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2016; 147(12):543–546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2016.09.026> PMID: 27823794
4. Borgmann S, Kist M, Jakobiak T, Reil M, Scholz E, von Eichel-Streiber C, et al. Increased number of *Clostridium difficile* infections and prevalence of *Clostridium difficile* PCR ribotype 001 in southern Germany. *Euro Surveill*. 2008; 13(49):19057. <https://doi.org/10.2807/ese.13.49.19057-en> PMID: 19081002
5. Gelijns AC, Moskowitz AJ, Acker MA, Argenziano M, Geller NL, Puskas JD, et al. Management practices and major infections after cardiac surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; 64(4):372–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.04.052> PMID: 25060372
6. Sartelli M, Di Bella S, McFarland LV, Khanna S, Furuya-Kanamori L, Abuzeid N, et al. 2019 update of the WSES guidelines for management of *Clostridioides* (*Clostridium*) *difficile* infection in surgical patients. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2019; 14:8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-019-0228-3> PMID: 30858872
7. Rzucidło-Hymczak A, Hymczak H, Olechowska-Jarząb A, Gorczyca A, Kapelak B, Drwiła R, et al. *Clostridioides difficile* infection after cardiac surgery: Assessment of prevalence, risk factors and clinical outcomes—retrospective study. *PeerJ*. 2020; 8:e9972. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9972> PMID: 33062429
8. Minton J, Sidebotham DA. Hyperlactatemia and cardiac surgery. *J Extra Corpor Technol*. 2017; 49(1):7–15. PMID: 28298660

9. Sun DQ, Zheng CF, Bin Lu F, Van Poucke S, Chen XM, Chen YP, et al. Serum lactate level accurately predicts mortality in critically ill patients with cirrhosis with acute kidney injury. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018; 30(11):1361–1367. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0000000000001189> PMID: 29916857
10. Ben-Hamouda N, Haesler L, Liaudet L. Hyperlactatemia and lactic acidosis in the critically ill patient. *Rev Med Suisse*. 2013; 9(410): 2335–2340 PMID: 24416982
11. Foucher CD, Tubben RE. Lactic Acidosis [Internet]. *StatPearls*. 2020 [cited 2020 Feb 16]. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29262026>
12. Ranucci M, De Toffol B, Isgrò G, Romitti F, Conti D, Vicentini M. Hyperlactatemia during cardiopulmonary bypass: Determinants and impact on postoperative outcome. *Crit Care*. 2006; 10(6):R167. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc5113> PMID: 17134504
13. Lange H, Toivola A. Warning signals in acute abdominal disorders. Lactate is the best marker of mesenteric ischemia. *Lakartidningen*. 1997; 94(20):1893–1896 PMID: 9190479
14. Kintu-Luwaga R, Galukande M, Owori FN. Serum lactate and phosphate as biomarkers of intestinal ischemia in a Ugandan tertiary hospital: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Emerg Med*. 2013 Dec 4; 6(1):44. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1865-1380-6-44> PMID: 24304560
15. Debast SB, Bauer MP, Kuijper EJ, Allerberger F, Bouza E, Coia JE, et al. European society of clinical microbiology and infectious diseases: Update of the treatment guidance document for *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2014; 20 Suppl 2:S1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12418> PMID: 24118601
16. Hajjar LA, Almeida JP, Fukushima JT, Rhodes A, Vincent JL, Osawa EA, et al. High lactate levels are predictors of major complications after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2013; 146(2):455–460. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2013.02.003> PMID: 23507124
17. Maillet JM, Le Besnerais P, Cantoni M, Nataf P, Ruffenach A, Lessana A, et al. Frequency, risk factors, and outcome of hyperlactatemia after cardiac surgery. *Chest*. 2003; 123(5):1361–1366. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.123.5.1361> PMID: 12740248
18. Marty P, Roquilly A, Vallée F, Luzi A, Ferré F, Fourcade O, et al. Lactate clearance for death prediction in severe sepsis or septic shock patients during the first 24 hours in intensive care unit: An observational study. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2013; 3(1):3. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-3-3> PMID: 23398782
19. Zante B, Reichenspurner H, Kubik M, Kluge S, Schefold JC, Pfortmueller CA. Base excess is superior to lactate-levels in prediction of ICU mortality after cardiac surgery. *PLoS One*. 2018; 13(10):e0205309. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205309> PMID: 30289956
20. Kirkwood KA, Gulack BC, Iribarne A, Bowdish ME, Greco G, Lou Mayer M, et al. A multi-institutional cohort study confirming the risks of *Clostridium difficile* infection associated with prolonged antibiotic prophylaxis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018; 155(2):670–678.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.09.089> PMID: 29102205
21. Alves C, Casqueiro J, Casqueiro J. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: A review of pathogenesis. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 16 Suppl 1(Suppl1):S27–36. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.94253> PMID: 22701840
22. Sousa-Uva M, Head SJ, Milojevic M, Collet JP, Landoni G, Castella M, et al. 2017 EACTS Guidelines on perioperative medication in adult cardiac surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2018; 53(1):5–33. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejcts/ezx314> PMID: 29029110
23. Czepiel J, Drózdź M, Pituch H, Kuijper EJ, Perucki W, Mielimonka A, et al. *Clostridium difficile* infection: review. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2019; 38(7):1211–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-03539-6> PMID: 30945014
24. Crabtree T, Aitchison D, Meyers BF, Tymkew H, Smith JR, Guthrie TJ, et al. *Clostridium Difficile* in Cardiac Surgery: Risk Factors and Impact on Postoperative Outcome. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2007; 83(4):1396–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.10.067> PMID: 17383346
25. Furuya-Kanamori L, Stone JC, Clark J, McKenzie SJ, Yakob L, Paterson DL, et al. Comorbidities, exposure to medications, and the risk of community-acquired *clostridium difficile* infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2015; 36(2):132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2014.39> PMID: 25632995
26. Kamboj M, Gennarelli RL, Brite J, Sepkowitz K, Lipitz-Snyderman A. Risk for *clostridioides difficile* infection among older adults with cancer. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2019; 25(9):1683–1689. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2509.181142> PMID: 31442017
27. Lemaire A, Dombrovskiy V, Batsides G, Scholz P, Solina A, Brownstone N, et al. The Effect of *Clostridium difficile* Infection on Cardiac Surgery Outcomes. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)*. 2015; 16(1):24–28. <https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2013.097> PMID: 25402213

28. Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P, Pant C, Rolston DD, Sferra TJ, et al. Community-associated *Clostridium difficile* infection antibiotics: A meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013; 68(9):1951–1961. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt129> PMID: 23620467
29. Abt MC, McKenney PT, Pamer EG. *Clostridium difficile* colitis: Pathogenesis and host defence. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2016; 14(10):609–620. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.108> PMID: 27573580
30. Yarushina YN, Kolotova GB, Rudnov VA, Bagin VA. Risk Factors for diarrhea associated with *Clostridium difficile* in patients at a clinical hospital. *Ter Arkh*. 2019; 91(11):20–25. <https://doi.org/10.26442/00403660.2019.11.000337> PMID: 32598605
31. Šuljagić V, Milenković B, Perić A, Jovanović D, Begović-Kuprešanin V, Starčević S, et al. Healthcare associated *Clostridioides difficile* infection in adult surgical and medical patients hospitalized in tertiary hospital in Belgrade, Serbia: a seven years prospective cohort study. *Libyan J Med*. 2020; 15:1708639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19932820.2019.1708639> PMID: 31905110