

TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math. V.11, N.2, 2021, pp. 514-523

THE FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEMS FOR A SUBCLASS OF M-FOLD SYMMETRIC BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

A. MOTAMEDNEZHAD¹, S. SALEHIAN², N. MAGESH³, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate a new subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Moreover, for functions of this subclass, we obtain the coefficient estimates of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_{m+1}|, |a_{2m+1}|$ and Fekete-Szegő problems. The coefficients estimates presented in this paper would generalize and improve those in related works of several earlier authors

Keywords: Bi-univalent functions, m -Fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, Coefficient estimates, Fekete-Szegő.

AMS Subject Classification:30C45, 30C80

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, with in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

we let \mathcal{S} to denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in \mathbb{U} (see details [3, 5]).

Every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , which is defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f), \quad r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

¹ Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 316-36155, Iran. a.motamedne@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6844-129X>.

² Department of Mathematics, Gorgan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gorgan, Iran. salehian.gilan86@yahoo.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2562-7531>.

³ Post-Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri 635001, Tamilnadu, India. nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0764-8390>.

§ Manuscript received: March 10, 2019; accepted: November 11, 2019.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.11, No.2 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2021; all rights reserved.

In fact, the inverse function f^{-1} is given by

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \tag{2}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} , if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} (see [17]). We denote $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1).

Lewin [9] investigated the class $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ of bi-univalent functions and showed that $|a_2| < 1.51$ for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient $|a_2|$ of functions belonging to $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$. Subsequently, Brannan et al. [2] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. However, finding upper bounds of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_n| (n \in \mathbb{N} - \{2, 3\})$ for each $f \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ is coefficient estimate problem and still an open problem.

For a brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$, refer to the papers by Sirvastava et al. [13, 14, 23, 24].

For each function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ function

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)} \tag{3}$$

is univalent and maps unit disk \mathbb{U} into a region with m -fold symmetry. A function f is said to be m -fold symmetric (see [8, 10]) if it has the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1}z^{mk+1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, m \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{4}$$

We denote by \mathcal{S}_m the class of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in \mathbb{U} , which are normalized by the series expansion (4). In fact, the functions in class \mathcal{S} are one-fold symmetric.

In [18] Srivastava et al. defined m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions analogues to the concept of m -fold symmetric univalent functions. They gave some important results, such as each function $f \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ generates an m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for the normalized form of f given by (4), they obtained the series expansion for f^{-1} as follows:

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_{m+1}w^{m+1} + [(m + 1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}]w^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m + 1)(3m + 2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m + 2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1}\right]w^{3m+1} + \dots \tag{5}$$

We denote by Σ_m the class of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . For $m = 1$, formula (5) coincides with formula (2) of the class $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$. Some examples of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$\left(\frac{z^m}{1 - z^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \left[\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1 + z^m}{1 - z^m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \text{ and } [-\log(1 - z^m)]^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

with the corresponding inverse functions

$$\left(\frac{w^m}{1 + w^m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \left(\frac{e^{2w^m} - 1}{e^{2w^m} + 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \text{ and } \left(\frac{e^{w^m} - 1}{e^{w^m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}},$$

respectively.

In fact that this widely-cited work by Srivastava et al. [18] actually revived the study of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in recent years and that it led to a flood of papers on the subject by (for example) Srivastava et al. [15, 16, 18, 19, 20], and others [6, 7, 11, 12, 21, 22].

The object of the present paper is to introduce new subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ of Σ_m and obtain estimates on initial coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$, $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions in subclass and improve some recent works of many authors.

2. SUBCLASS $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$

In this section, we introduce the general subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$.

Definition 2.1. Let the functions $h, p: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be so constrained that

$$\min\{\operatorname{Re}((h(z)), \operatorname{Re}(p(z)))\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \text{ and } h(0) = p(0) = 1.$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (4) is said to be in the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \in h(\mathbb{U}) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (6)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \frac{w^2 g''(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) \in p(\mathbb{U}) \quad (0 < \lambda \leq 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, w \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (7)$$

where g is the extension of f^{-1} to \mathbb{U} .

Remark 2.1. There are many selections of the functions $h(z)$ and $p(z)$ which would provide interesting classes of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions Σ_m . For example, if we let

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m} \right)^\alpha = 1 + 2\alpha z^m + 2\alpha^2 z^{2m} + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1),$$

it is easy to verify that the functions $h(z)$ and $p(z)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$, then

$$\left| \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \frac{w^2 g''(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore, for $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m} \right)^\alpha$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\alpha$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1].

If we let

$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z^m}{1-z^m} = 1 + 2(1-\beta)z^m + 2(1-\beta)z^{2m} + \dots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

it is easy to verify that the functions $h(z)$ and $p(z)$ satisfy the hypotheses of Definition 2.1.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \beta$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \frac{w^2g''(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \beta.$$

Therefore, for $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^m}{1-z^m}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\beta$ which was considered by Altinkaya and Yalcin [1].

Remark 2.2. For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, we denote the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_1}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$. Special cases of this subclass illustrated below:

- By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^m}{1-z^m}\right)^\alpha$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, then the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*[\alpha]$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$).
- By putting $h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^m}{1-z^m}$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, then the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$).

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z)$ given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\gamma||h_m|}{m[(1 + \lambda(m + 1))]}, \sqrt{\frac{|\gamma|(|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|)}{2m^2[1 + 2\lambda(m + 1)]}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\gamma|(|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|)}{4m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]} + \frac{|\gamma|(m + 1)(|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2)}{4m^2[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]^2}, \frac{|\gamma|[(2m + 1) + \lambda(m + 1)(4m + 1)]|h_{2m}| + |\gamma|[\lambda(m + 1) + 1]|p_{2m}|}{4m^2(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))} \right\}.$$

Proof. First of all, we write the argument inequalities in (6) and (7) in their equivalent forms as follows:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{z^2f''(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) = h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{8}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \lambda \frac{w^2g''(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) = p(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{9}$$

respectively, where functions $h(z)$ and $p(w)$ satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1.

Furthermore, the functions $h(z)$ and $p(w)$ have the forms:

$$h(z) = 1 + h_m z^m + h_{2m} z^{2m} + h_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots \tag{10}$$

and

$$p(w) = 1 + p_m w^m + p_{2m} w^{2m} + p_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots, \tag{11}$$

respectively.

Now, upon substituting from (10) and (11) into (8) and (9), respectively, and equating the coefficients, we get

$$\frac{m[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]a_{m+1}}{\gamma} = h_m, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{2m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]}{\gamma} a_{2m+1} - \frac{m[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]}{\gamma} a_{m+1}^2 = h_{2m}, \quad (13)$$

$$-\frac{m[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]}{\gamma} a_{m+1} = p_m, \quad (14)$$

and

$$-\frac{2m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]}{\gamma} a_{2m+1} + \frac{m[(2m + 1) + \lambda(m + 1)(4m + 1)]}{\gamma} a_{m+1}^2 = p_{2m}. \quad (15)$$

From (12) and (14), we get

$$h_m = -p_m \quad (16)$$

and

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\gamma^2(h_m^2 + p_m^2)}{2m^2[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]^2}. \quad (17)$$

Adding (13) and (15), we get

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\gamma(h_{2m} + p_{2m})}{2m^2[1 + 2\lambda(m + 1)]}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, we find from the equations (16), (17) and (18) that

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma||h_m|}{m[(1 + \lambda(m + 1))]},$$

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|\gamma|(|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|)}{2m^2[1 + 2\lambda(m + 1)]}}.$$

respectively. So we get the desired estimate on the coefficient $|a_{m+1}|$.

Next, in order to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_{2m+1}|$, we subtract (15) from (13), we get

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\gamma(h_{2m} - p_{2m})}{4m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]} + \frac{(m + 1)}{2} a_{m+1}^2. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, we find from (17) and (19) that

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\gamma(h_{2m} - p_{2m})}{4m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]} + \frac{\gamma^2(m + 1)(h_m^2 + p_m^2)}{4m^2[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]^2}. \quad (20)$$

Also, from (18) and (19), we have

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\gamma[(2m + 1) + \lambda(m + 1)(4m + 1)]h_{2m} + \gamma[\lambda(m + 1) + 1]p_{2m}}{4m^2(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))}. \quad (21)$$

So, from the equations (20) and (21), we get

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|(|h_{2m}| + |p_{2m}|)}{4m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]} + \frac{|\gamma|(m + 1)(|h_m|^2 + |p_m|^2)}{4m^2[1 + \lambda(m + 1)]^2}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{|\gamma|[(2m + 1) + \lambda(m + 1)(4m + 1)]|h_{2m}| + |\gamma|[\lambda(m + 1) + 1]|p_{2m}|}{4m^2(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))}.$$

□

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z)$ given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma_m}^{h,p}(\lambda, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$). Also let ρ be real number. Then

$$|a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1+\lambda(2m+1))} \{(1 + T(\rho)) |h_{2m}| + (1 - T(\rho)) |p_{2m}|\}; & |T(\rho)| \leq 1 \\ \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1+\lambda(2m+1))} \{|1 + T(\rho)| |h_{2m}| + |T(\rho) - 1| |p_{2m}|\}; & |T(\rho)| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

where

$$T(\rho) = \frac{(m - 2\rho + 1)(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))}{m(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))}.$$

Proof. From the equation (19), we get

$$a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\gamma(h_{2m} - p_{2m})}{4m[1 + \lambda(2m + 1)]} + \frac{m - 2\rho + 1}{2} a_{m+1}^2. \tag{22}$$

From the equation (18) and (22), we have

$$a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{(m - 2\rho + 1)(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))}{m(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))} \right] h_{2m} + \left[\frac{(m - 2\rho + 1)(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))}{m(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))} - 1 \right] p_{2m} \right\}.$$

Next, taking the absolute values we obtain

$$|a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{(m - 2\rho + 1)(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))}{m(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))} \right| |h_{2m}| + \left| \frac{(m - 2\rho + 1)(1 + \lambda(2m + 1))}{m(1 + 2\lambda(m + 1))} - 1 \right| |p_{2m}| \right\}.$$

Then, we conclude that

$$|a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1+\lambda(2m+1))} \{(1 + T(\rho)) |h_{2m}| + (1 - T(\rho)) |p_{2m}|\}; & |T(\rho)| \leq 1 \\ \frac{|\gamma|}{4m(1+\lambda(2m+1))} \{|1 + T(\rho)| |h_{2m}| + |T(\rho) - 1| |p_{2m}|\}; & |T(\rho)| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

□

3. CONCLUSIONS

By putting

$$h(z) = p(z) = \left(\frac{1 + z^m}{1 - z^m} \right)^\alpha = 1 + 2\alpha z^m + 2\alpha^2 z^{2m} + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

$\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we conclude the following results.

Corollary 3.1. Let f given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{m}, \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m} \right\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{2(m + 1)\alpha^2}{m^2}, \frac{(m + 1)\alpha^2}{m^2} \right\} = \frac{(m + 1)\alpha^2}{m^2}.$$

Corollary 3.2. Let f given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$). Also let ρ be real number. Then

$$|a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2}{m}; & \frac{|m-2\rho+1|}{m} \leq 1 \\ \frac{|m-2\rho+1|\alpha}{m^2}; & \frac{|m-2\rho+1|}{m} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. The bounds on $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ given in Corollary 3.1 are better than those given in [1, Corollary 6]. Because

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha}{m} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{m\sqrt{\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{m} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2} \leq \frac{\alpha}{m} + \frac{2(m+1)\alpha^2}{m^2}.$$

By putting

$$h(z) = p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z^m}{1 - z^m} = 1 + 2(1 - \beta)z^m + 2(1 - \beta)z^{2m} + \dots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

$\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we conclude the following results.

Corollary 3.3. Let f given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\beta$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$). Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}}{m}; & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{m}; & \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(m+1)(1-\beta)}{m^2}; & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1+2m}{2(1+m)} \\ \frac{2(m+1)(1-\beta)^2}{m^2} + \frac{1-\beta}{m}; & \frac{1+2m}{2(1+m)} \leq \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.4. Let f given by (4) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_m}^\beta$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$). Also let ρ be real number. Then

$$|a_{2m+1} - \rho a_{m+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\beta)}{m}; & \frac{|m-2\rho+1|}{m} \leq 1 \\ \frac{(1-\beta)|m-2\rho+1|}{m^2}; & \frac{|m-2\rho+1|}{m} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.2. The bounds on $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ given in Corollary 3.3 are better than those given in [1, Corollary 7].

By setting $m = 1$ in Corollary 3.1, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.5. Let f given by (1) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*[\alpha]$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$). Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \{2\alpha, \sqrt{2}\alpha\} = \sqrt{2}\alpha$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \{5\alpha^2, 2\alpha^2\} = 2\alpha^2.$$

Remark 3.3. The bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ given in Corollary 3.5 are better than those given in [4, Corollary 2.5].

By setting $m = 1$ in Corollary 3.2, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.6. *Let f given by (1) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*[\alpha]$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order $\alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$. Also let ρ be real number. Then*

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \alpha^2 ; & |1 - \rho| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2|1 - \rho|\alpha ; & |1 - \rho| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By setting $m = 1$ in Corollary 3.3, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.7. *Let f given by (1) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)} ; & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - \beta) ; & \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} 2(1 - \beta) ; & 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{3}{4} \\ 4(1 - \beta)^2 + (1 - \beta) ; & \frac{3}{4} \leq \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.4. *The bound on $|a_2|$ given in Corollary 3.7 is better than that given in [4, Corolary 3.5].*

By setting $m = 1$ in Corollary 3.4, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.8. *Let f given by (1) be in subclass $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^*(\beta)$ of bi-starlike functions of order $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$. Also let ρ be real number. Then*

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \beta ; & |1 - \rho| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1 - \beta)|1 - \rho| ; & |1 - \rho| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Altinkaya, Ş. and Yalçın, S., (2015). Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Journal of mathematics*, Article ID 241683, 5 pages.
- [2] Brannan, D. A. and Taha, T. S., (1986). On Some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, 31 (2) 70-77.
- [3] Breaz, D., Breaz, N. and Sirvastava H. M., (2009). An extention of the univalent conditions for a family of integral operators, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22, 41-44.
- [4] Caglar, M., Orhan, H. and Yagmur, N., (2013). Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Filomat.*, 27 (7) 1165-1171.
- [5] Duren, P. L., (1983). Univalent functions, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo.
- [6] Eker, S. S., (2016). Coefficient estimates for new subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 6 (2) 103-109.
- [7] Jahangiri, J. M. and Hamidi, S. G., (2016). Advances on the coefficient bounds for m-fold symmetric bi-close-to-convex functions, *Tbilisi Math. J.*, 9 (2) 75-82.
- [8] Koepf, W., (1989). Coefficients of symmetric functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105 (2) 324-329.
- [9] Lewin M., (1967). On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18, 63-68.
- [10] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
- [11] Sakar, F. M. and Güney, H. O., (2017). Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by fractional derivative, *Malays. J. Math. Sci.*, 11 (2) 275-287.

- [12] Senthil, B. and Keerthi, B. S., (2016). Certain subclass of m-fold symmetric-sakaguchi type bi-univalent functions, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 109 (10) 29-37.
- [13] Srivastava, H. M. and Bansal, D., (2015). Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.*, 23, 242-246.
- [14] Srivastava, H. M., Eker, S. S. and Ali, R. M., (2015). Coefficient Bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat*, 29, 1839-1845.
- [15] Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S. and Ghanim, F., (2016). Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold bi-univalent functions, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B*, 36 (3) 863-871.
- [16] Srivastava, H. M., Gaboury, S. and Ghanim, F., (2015). Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, 41, 153-164.
- [17] Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K. and Gochhayat, P., (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23, 1188-1192.
- [18] Srivastava, H. M., Sivasubramanian S., and Sivakumar, R., (2014). Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Tbilisi Math. J.*, 7 (2) 1-10.
- [19] Srivastava, H. M., Zireh A. and S. Hajiparvaneh, (2018). Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-Univalent functions, *Filomat*, 32 (9) 3143-3153.
- [20] Tang, H., Srivastava, H. M., Sivasubramanian, S. and Gurusamy, P., (2016). The fekete-szegő functional problems for some subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *J. Math. Inequal*, 10 (4) 1063-1092.
- [21] Tu, Z. and Xiong, L., (2018). Coefficient problems for united starlike and convex classes of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *J. Math. Inequal*, 12 (4) 921-932.
- [22] Wanas, A. K. and Majeed, A. H., (2018). Certain new subclasses of analytic and m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. E-Notes*, 18, 178-188.
- [23] Xu, Q.-H., Gui, Y.-C. and Srivastava, H. M., (2012). Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25, 990-994.
- [24] Xu, Q.-H., Xiao, H.-G. and Srivastava, H. M., (2012). A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218, 11461-11465.



Ahmad Motamednezhad is an associated professor of Shahrood University of Technology and his research interests are Complex Analysis and Univalent Function. He has published more than 50 research papers in various international journals. He has supervised 40 master theses and 7 doctoral theses.



Safa Salehian received her PhD (Maths) degree from Shahrood University of Technology, Iran in 2019. At present, she is working as an associate professor in the Islamic Azad University Gorgan Branch. Her research interests include Complex Analysis and Univalent Function.



Nanjundan Magesh received his Ph.D. from VIT University in Vellore, Tamilnadu, India. Currently, he is working as an assistant professor in the Department of Mathematics at Government Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri, Tamilnadu, India. His current research interests include Geometric Function Theory, Differential Equations and Fluid Mechanics, Mathematical Modeling.
