



*Effects of domestic processing methods on the phytochemical content of watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*)*

Article

Accepted Version

Giallourou, N., Oruna-Concha, M. J. and Harbourne, N. (2016) Effects of domestic processing methods on the phytochemical content of watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*). *Food Chemistry*, 212. pp. 411-419. ISSN 0308-8146 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.190> Available at <http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/65845/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.190>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1 **Title**

2 Effects of domestic processing methods on the phytochemical content of
3 watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*).

4 **Authors** Natasa Giallourou¹, Maria Jose Oruna-Concha¹, Niamh Harbourne ²

5 **Affiliations**

6 ¹ Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and
7 Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights campus, Reading, United
8 Kingdom,

9 ² Institute of Food and Health, School of Agriculture and Food Science, University
10 College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

11

12 **Corresponding author**

13 Natasa Giallourou

14 Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences, School of Chemistry, Food and
15 Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AP, United
16 Kingdom.

17 E-mail: n.giallourou@pgr.reading.ac.uk

18 Telephone: +44 (0) 7761402005

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 The impact of conventional cooking and processing methods on total phenols,
23 antioxidant activity, carotenoids and glucosinolates of watercress was evaluated.
24 Boiling significantly decreases phenolic content, antioxidant activity and
25 recoverable glucosinolates, however it increases the carotenoid concentrations of
26 watercress as compared to the raw vegetable. Cooking by microwaving and
27 steaming maintains the majority of phytochemicals in comparison to the fresh
28 material, suggesting that they should be used as the preferred methods of
29 watercress preparation. Boiling of watercress should be avoided to ensure
30 maximum ingestion of watercress-derived beneficial phytochemicals.

31 **Keywords**

32 Watercress; Brassica; Processing; Phytochemicals; Phenolics; Carotenoids;
33 Glucosinolates; Flavonols

34 **1.0 Introduction**

35 Watercress (*Nasturtium officinale*) belongs to the family of *Brassicaceae*
36 together with broccoli, cabbage, mustard and Brussels sprouts. Epidemiological
37 studies associate a higher intake of Brassica vegetables, such as watercress, with
38 a reduced risk of various types of cancers (Verhoeven, Goldbohm, vanPoppel,
39 Verhagen & vandenBrandt, 1996). Watercress is an exceptional source of natural,
40 bioactive compounds for which research has highlighted a favourable role in anti-
41 genotoxic and anti-cancer processes both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Boyd, McCann,
42 Hashim, Bennett, Gill & Rowland, 2006; Gill, Haldar, Boyd, Bennett, Whiteford,
43 Butler, et al., 2007; Rose, Faulkner, Williamson & Mithen, 2000). The health

44 benefits of watercress have been attributed to phytochemicals including
45 glucosinolates, carotenoids and flavonoid compounds.

46 Watercress, and essentially all members of the *Brassicaceae* family, have
47 been identified as a rich source of glucosinolates (Bell & Wagstaff, 2014).
48 Glucosinolates are hydrolysed to isothiocyanates by the action of the enzyme
49 myrosinase (β -thioglucoside glucohydrolase; EC 3.2.3.1), upon cell tissue damage
50 such as mastication, chopping or cooking. This group of plant bioactive
51 compounds is responsible for the characteristic pungent taste that Brassica
52 vegetables possess. Gluconasturtiin (2-phelylethyl glucosinolate) is the most
53 prominent glucosinolate in watercress (Boyd, et al., 2006; Gill, et al., 2007) with a
54 range of aliphatic and indole glucosinolates adding to its glucosinolate profile.

55 High concentrations of carotenoids and flavonol compounds are also
56 contained in watercress. Carotenoids with well established health benefits such as
57 β -carotene, lutein and zeaxanthin are abundant in watercress (Hart & Scott,
58 1995). Flavonols like quercetin, kaempferol and isorhamnetin, make up the
59 polyphenolic core of watercress (Martinez-Sanchez, Gil-Izquierdo, Gil & Ferreres,
60 2008). Polyphenols have attracted great importance due to their many health
61 benefits related to cardiovascular function, antioxidant and anticancer activity
62 (Morel, Lescoat, Cillard, & Cillard, 1994 Doostdar, Burke, & Mayer, 2000; Galati,
63 Teng, Moridani, Chan, & O'Brien, 2000).

64 While watercress is widely consumed raw in salads, it is becoming
65 increasingly popular in cooked foods such as soups, smoothies and also wilted in
66 pasta and meat dishes. Annual retail sales of watercress in the United Kingdom
67 amounted to 40 million pounds in 2015. Sales of food products with cooked or
68 processed watercress as the main ingredient have taken off the last few years,

69 representing approximately 50% of total watercress sales (S. Rothwell, Vitacress
70 salads LTD, personal communication, March 10, 2016). Culinary processing is the
71 source of several complex biochemical and physical alterations, modifying the
72 phytochemical constituents of vegetables, ultimately resulting in nutritional
73 changes (Palermo, Pellegrini & Fogliano, 2014).

74 To our knowledge, phytochemical characterisation of watercress subjected
75 to different culinary treatments has not been explored to date. The present
76 research was undertaken to elucidate the effects of five common cooking methods
77 on the phytochemical profile of watercress and formulate suggestions for the most
78 appropriate method for consuming watercress for maximum nutrient ingestion.

79 **2.0 Materials and methods**

80 *2.1 Plant Material*

81 Fresh watercress samples were provided from VITACRESS LTD (Andover,
82 Hampshire, UK), transferred to the laboratory and stored at 4 °C for up to 24
83 hours until all watercress processing analyses were performed. Only samples free
84 from mechanical damage were used in the experiments. All analyses were
85 performed in triplicate using the same batch of plant material to minimise variation
86 in our results.

87 *2.2 Reagents & Chemicals*

88 All chemicals were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Poole, UK), unless otherwise
89 stated.

90 2.3 Domestic Processing

91 The effect of domestic processing on the phytochemical content and antioxidant
92 activity of watercress was examined by cooking of the plant material by boiling,
93 microwaving, steaming, chopping and blending with water to make a watercress
94 smoothie. Processing treatments and cooking times used were decided upon
95 general consumer preferences and after online search of watercress recipes as
96 well as using past research papers looking at the effects of domestic processing in
97 other types of Brassica vegetables. 100 g portions of watercress were used for
98 each replicate ($n=3$). Temperature data for boiling and steaming treatments were
99 recorded throughout cooking, using a temperature logger (Squirrel OQ610-S,
100 Grant instruments, UK) and a type T thermocouple.

101 2.3.1 *Boiling* ($n=3$): 500 ml of tap water was brought to boil (90 °C) in a stainless
102 steel pot and watercress was boiled for 2, 5 and 10 min. Watercress was removed
103 from the boiling water and water used for cooking was kept at -20 °C for analysis.

104 2.3.2 *Microwaving* ($n=3$): Fresh watercress was placed in plastic trays, then
105 transferred to a domestic microwave oven (Panasonic, UK) and cooked at full
106 power (1400 W) for 1, 2 and 3 min.

107 2.3.3 *Steaming* ($n=3$): A domestic steamer (Russel Hobbs, UK) was pre-heated at
108 100 °C with 500 ml water at its base. Watercress was placed in the steamer and
109 cooked for 5, 10 and 15 min.

110 2.3.4 *Chopping* ($n=3$): 100 g of watercress was transferred to a food processor
111 (Waring Commercial, New York, USA) and chopped for 30 secs at full speed. To
112 study the effect of storage time on the phytochemical content, the chopped
113 watercress was left on the bench at room temperature (21 °C) for 0, 10, 30, 60

114 and 120 min to replicate how watercress can be treated at home when chopped in
115 salads or other dishes and not consumed immediately after preparation.

116 *2.3.5 Watercress smoothie (n=3):* 100 g of the plant material was transferred to a
117 juice maker (Vitamix, Total Nutrition Centre, UK), 200 ml of water was added and
118 the watercress was blended for 30 secs at full power. The effect of storage time
119 was also examined by leaving the smoothie on the bench at room temperature (21
120 °C) for 0, 10, 30, 60 and 120 min.

121 After processing, all samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen then
122 freeze-dried (Christ A 2-4 LD, Christ, Germany); ground to fine powder using a
123 coffee bean grinder (De'Longhi, Italy), vacuum packed and stored at -20 °C.

124 *2.4 Preparation of watercress extracts*

125 *2.4.1 Crude methanol (MeOH) extracts:* The method used for the preparation of
126 the extracts was adapted from Bell *et al.* (Bell, Oruna-Concha & Wagstaff, 2015)
127 Briefly, 40 mg of ground watercress powder was heated in a dry-block at 75 °C for
128 2 min to inactivate myrosinase enzyme. Preheated (70 °C) 70% (v/v) MeOH (1 ml)
129 was then added to each sample and placed in a water bath for 20 min at 70 °C.
130 Samples were then centrifuged for 5 min at 6,000 rpm and the supernatant was
131 transferred to fresh tubes. The final volume was adjusted to 1 ml with 70% (v/v)
132 MeOH and stored at -20 °C until the day of analysis. MeOH extracts were used for
133 the FRAP assay, total phenols as well as flavonols and glucosinolates
134 identification and quantification.

135 *2.4.2 Acetone extracts:* Total and specific carotenoids were determined in acetone
136 watercress extracts. Watercress powder (25 mg) was weighed out in Falcon tubes
137 (12 ml) previously wrapped in aluminium foil to minimise the degradation of
138 carotenoids by ultra-violet light. Acetone (4 ml) was added to the powder and the

139 samples were shaken for 15 min at 8000 rpm. Following centrifugation at 4000
140 rpm for 5 min, the supernatant was transferred to a clean tube and the process
141 was repeated (4 ml acetone for the second time and 2 ml the third time) until a
142 colourless supernatant was obtained. The combined supernatants were
143 transferred in fresh tubes and the final volume was adjusted to 10 ml with 100%
144 acetone.

145 *2.5 Determination of total phenolics*

146 Total phenols were measured using the method developed by Singleton and
147 Rossi (Singleton & Rossi, 1965) with slight modifications. Briefly, 0.2 ml of the
148 MeOH watercress extract (Section 2.4) or blank was added to 6.0 ml of distilled
149 water in volumetric flasks and mixed with 0.5 ml of Folin - Ciocalteu reagent. A
150 sodium carbonate solution 20% (v/v) (1.5 ml) was added to the mixture and the
151 volume was adjusted to 10 ml. Absorbance was read after incubation of the
152 samples for two hours at room temperature, at 760 nm using a UV-Vis
153 Spectrophotometer (UV-VIS, Perkin Elmers, UK). A standard curve was made
154 using gallic acid in the following concentrations: 0, 50, 100, 150, 250, 500, 750
155 & 1000 mg/L and total phenols were measured as gallic acid equivalents ($R^2 >$
156 0.99).

157 *2.6 FRAP (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power) assay*

158 Antioxidant activity of the samples was determined using the FRAP assay based
159 on an adapted version of the method developed by Benzie and Strain (Benzie &
160 Strain, 1996). The FRAP reagent was made by mixing 25 ml of 300 mM acetate
161 buffer (pH 3.6), 2.5 ml 10 mM 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine solution (TPTZ) and 2.5 ml
162 of freshly prepared ferric chloride hexahydrate ($FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$). A standard curve

163 was made using L-Ascorbic acid in the following concentrations: 0, 10, 50, 100,
164 250, 500, 750, 1000 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ($R^2 > 0.99$). Each MeOH extract (Section 2.4) or
165 standard (10 μl) was combined with 300 μl of the FRAP reagent and 100 μl of the
166 mixture was transferred in duplicate in a 96-well plate. Absorbance was measured
167 immediately using a plate reader (Tecan GENios, Geneva, Switzerland) at 595
168 nm.

169 *2.7 Total carotenoids*

170 An aliquot of the acetone extracts prepared as previously described (Section 2.4)
171 was used to quantify the total carotenoid content of the samples
172 spectrophotometrically. Absorbance was measured at 470, 645 and 662 nm in a
173 spectrophotometer (UV-VIS, Perkin Elmers, UK). The total amount of carotenoids
174 was calculated according to the following equations by Lichtenthaler (Lichtenthaler
175 & Buschmann, 2001).

$$176 \quad C_a = 11.24 A_{662} - 2.04 A_{645}$$

$$177 \quad C_b = 20.13 A_{645} - 4.19 A_{662}$$

$$178 \quad C_{a+b} = 7.05 A_{662} + 18.09 A_{645}$$

$$179 \quad C_{x+c} = \frac{1000 A_{470} - 190 C_a - 63.14 C_b}{214}$$

180
181 *Chlorophyll a (C_a), Chlorophyll b (C_b), Total Chlorophylls (C_{a+b}), Total Carotenoids
182 (C_{x+c}). Equations are based on specific absorption coefficients for 100% acetone. The pigment
183 concentrations obtained by inserting the measures absorbance values are $\mu\text{g/ml}$ plant extract
184 solution.

185 2.8 Quantification of carotenoids via HPLC

186 To determine the amount of lutein, zeaxanthin and β -carotene present, the
187 acetone extracts were used (Section 2.4). Carotenoids were quantified using the
188 method developed by Guiffrida *et al.* (Giuffrida, Dugo, Torre, Bignardi, Cavazza,
189 Corradini, et al., 2013) with modifications. 10 ml of the extract was mixed with 10
190 ml of diethyl ether, 10 ml of water and 5ml of 10% (v/v) NaCl. Two layers were
191 formed and the lower - acetone phase was discarded. The upper layer containing
192 the ether was collected in a glass vial and anhydrous Na_2SO_4 was added to it to
193 remove any moisture from the solution. The ether phase was transferred to a
194 clean glass vial, the volume was adjusted to 10ml with diethyl ether and the
195 solution was condensed under nitrogen gas. The dry residue was then
196 reconstituted in 1 ml of methyl tert- butyl ether (MTBE):MeOH (1:1, v/v), filtered
197 using 0.22 μm syringe driven filter unit and analysed by HPLC. The analyses
198 were performed using an YMC30 column (5 μm 250 x 4.6 mm) on a HP Agilent
199 1050 series HPLC system. The mobile phases used were as follows: Eluent A,
200 consisting of MeOH:MTBE:H₂O (82:16:2 v/v/v) and Eluent B, consisting of
201 MeOH:MTBE:H₂O (23:75:2 v/v/v). The analyses followed a gradient program for
202 the mobile phases, 0 min 0% B, 20 min 0% B, 80 min 70% B, 90 min 70% B. The
203 protocol used a 1 mL/min flow rate and a 100 μL injection volume. UV-vis spectra
204 were gathered in the range of 190-600 nm and the chromatograms were analysed
205 at 450 nm. Identification was based on retention times by comparison with HPLC
206 grade standards of lutein, zeaxanthin and β -carotene (Extrasynthese, France).

207 2.9 Identification and quantification of glucosinolates and flavonols via LC- 208 MS/MS

209 Methanol extracts, prepared as described above, were used for the quantification

210 of glucosinolates and flavonols in the samples (Section 2.4.1). 1ml of each extract
211 was filtered using a 0.22 µm syringe driven filter unit (Millex; EMD Millipore,
212 Billerica, MA, USA) and then diluted using 9ml LC-MS grade water. For the
213 quantification of glucosinolates and flavonols, external calibration curves of 12 mM
214 sinigrin hydrate and isorhamnetin standards were prepared using the following
215 concentrations (56 ng.µl⁻¹, 42 ng.µl⁻¹, 28 ng.µl⁻¹, 14 ng.µl⁻¹, 5.6 ng.µl⁻¹, R² > 0.99).
216 Glucosinolates and flavonols were analysed by LC-MS/MS using an Agilent 1200
217 LC system coupled to an Agilent 1100 series LC/MSD mass trap spectrometer.
218 Separation conditions of samples and MS analysis settings used are identical to
219 those described by Bell, et al. (2015) . Glucosinolates were quantified at 229 nm
220 and flavonols at 330 nm. The identification was performed using the compounds
221 nominal mass and the analysis of their fragmentation patterns, and also by the
222 comparison with previously published data. All data were analysed using Agilent
223 ChemStation.

224 *2.10 Statistical Analysis*

225 The results are presented as the mean of three biological replicates (n = 3) for
226 each sample. One-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test were
227 used for comparison of all treatments related to the raw watercress. These
228 analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism version 5.0a for Mac OS
229 X, GraphPad software (Version 5.0a La Jolla, California, USA). Principal
230 component analysis (PCA) and correlation analysis were performed using XL Stat
231 (Version 2016 Addinsoft, New York City, New York, USA).

232 **3.0 Results and Discussion**

233 *3.1 Total phenols content*

234 Fresh watercress had the highest amount of total phenols (14.86 ± 2.02 mg GAE
235 g^{-1} DW) compared to the processed samples (Figure 1A). Our results are in
236 agreement with that of Aires, Carvalho, Rosa and Saavedra (2013) who found the
237 phenolic content of watercress to be 14.00 ± 0.03 mg GAE g^{-1} DW. In comparison
238 to other vegetables in the Brassica family, watercress is a rich source of phenolic
239 compounds. It has a similar amount to kale (16.67 ± 0.67 mg GAE g^{-1} DW)
240 (Hagen, Borge, Solhaug & Bengtsson, 2009) and it is much higher than broccoli
241 and cabbage which have a lower phenolic content that being 8.86 mg and 5.6 mg
242 GAE g^{-1} DW respectively (Gliszczynska-Swiglo, Ciska, Pawlak-Lemanska,
243 Chmielewski, Borkowski & Tyrakowska, 2006; Puupponen-Pimiä, Häkkinen,
244 Aarni, Suortti, Lampi, Euroola, et al., 2003).

245 Boiling of watercress resulted in a significant decrease ($P < 0.05$) in the total
246 phenolic content in comparison with the fresh samples. Total phenolic losses
247 ranged from 49% to 71% in the samples boiled for 2 and 10 minutes respectively.
248 Microwaving and steaming for up to 5 minutes did not significantly affect the
249 phenolic content of watercress ($P > 0.05$). Likewise, blending with water to make a
250 watercress smoothie and chopping did not have a significant effect on the total
251 phenolic content in the watercress. However, storage of the smoothies and the
252 chopped watercress samples for 120 minutes at room temperature resulted in a
253 significant reduction of the phenolics from 13.65 ± 1.56 to 10.76 ± 1.15 mg GAE g^{-1}
254 DW and from 10.55 ± 1.48 to 8.65 ± 2.29 mg GAE g^{-1} DW respectively (Figure
255 1A).

256 Our results are corroborated by previous studies showing that boiling of Brassica
257 vegetables can lead to significant time dependant losses of phenolics whereas
258 microwaving and steaming led to only minor decreases in the phenolic content of
259 broccoli (Turkmen, Sari & Velioglu, 2005; Zhang & Hamauzu, 2004), red cabbage
260 (Podsedeck, Sosnowska, Redzynia & Koziolkiewicz, 2008) and cauliflower (Natella,
261 Belelli, Ramberti & Scaccini, 2010). During the process of cooking, phenolic
262 compounds appear to be highly reactive undergoing several changes including
263 their release from bound forms, oxidation, degradation and polymerisation
264 (Gliszczyńska-Swigło, et al., 2006).

265 The losses during boiling can be attributed to water-soluble compounds leaching
266 into the water used for boiling or due to breakdown of these compounds during
267 thermal processing. Indeed, analysis of the water used in the boiling experiments
268 (9.35 ± 0.12 mg GAE g⁻¹ DW) for total phenolics revealed that phenols had
269 leached into the boiling water. The total amount of phenols in the water used in
270 boiling and the remaining phenol content of watercress was no different from the
271 total phenols in raw watercress. The minimal effect of microwaving and steaming
272 on the phenolic compounds is potentially a result of limited or no contact of the
273 samples with water and also the inactivation of oxidative enzymes preventing the
274 disruption of phenolic biosynthesis and degradation (Vallejo, Tomás-Barberán &
275 García-Viguera, 2003).

276 *3.2 Flavonols identification and quantification*

277 Flavonol profiling of watercress revealed three main derivatives namely
278 kaempferol, quercetin and isorhamnetin as well as feruloyl, ceffeoyl, p-coumaroyl
279 and sinapoyl glucosides attached to kaempferol and quercetin. Kampferol-3-
280 diglucoside-7-glucoside was the most abundant flavonol detected (3.76 ± 0.09 mg

281 g⁻¹ DW). The flavonols identified in the fresh watercress leaves are similar to those
282 defined by Martinez-Sanchez, et al. (2008).

283 Domestic processing of watercress resulted in a significant decrease in the levels
284 of all quantified flavonols (Table 1). The only exception was Q 3,4'-diGlc-3'-
285 (p.coum-Glc) + K 3,4'-diGlc which appeared to be the most stable of all flavonols
286 and were only significantly affected by boiling (P<0.05). Total flavonol losses
287 suggest that these compounds are particularly sensitive to all cooking regimes
288 used. Boiling for 10 minutes nearly depleted all watercress samples of flavonols in
289 a time dependent manner. The unstable nature of flavonols was also apparent in
290 chopped watercress and watercress smoothie with the levels going down to 3.42 ±
291 0.32 and 4.11 ± 0.36 mg g⁻¹ DW respectively as compared to the total amount of
292 flavonols in the fresh samples (10.70 ± 1.07mg g⁻¹ DW, P<0.001). Similarly to total
293 phenols, the highest retention of flavonols was observed in the microwaved
294 watercress followed by steamed.

295 *3.3 Carotenoid content*

296 In contrast to the previous assays, boiling of watercress resulted in an increased
297 concentration of total measurable carotenoids, from 2.35 ± 0.22 mg g⁻¹ DW in the
298 fresh samples to 3.13 ± 0.20 mg g⁻¹ DW after 2 minutes of cooking and up to 3.28
299 ± 0.30 mg g⁻¹ DW after 5 minutes of boiling (Table 1). Microwaving and steaming
300 did not have a significant impact on the level of total carotenoids (P>0.05). On the
301 other hand, the watercress smoothie had significantly lower total carotenoid
302 content, with the levels decreasing from 1.54 ± 0.21 to 1.11± 0.08 mg g⁻¹ DW after
303 60 minutes of storage at ambient temperature. A similar decreasing trend was
304 observed in the chopped watercress samples.

305 The individual carotenoids identified and quantified in our watercress samples
306 were β -carotene, lutein and zeaxanthin and they all resulted in distinct responses
307 upon domestic processing. β -carotene was the most abundant of the three
308 quantified carotenoids ($0.95 \pm 0.08 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$) and its levels significantly
309 increased after thermal treatment of the watercress samples. Boiling for 5 minutes
310 resulted in β -carotene being significantly increased up to $1.75 \pm 0.09 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$
311 as compared to the raw samples ($P < 0.001$). In the microwaved watercress
312 samples β -carotene was increased up to $1.48 \pm 0.26 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ ($P < 0.01$) and in
313 the samples steamed for 15 minutes levels went up to $1.54 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$
314 ($P < 0.001$). β -carotene was decreased in the watercress smoothie only after
315 storage for 30 and 60 and 120 minutes ($P < 0.01$) therefore, immediate
316 consumption of a watercress smoothie ensures sufficient intake of β -carotene. No
317 significant differences were found in the chopped samples.

318 Lutein content of fresh watercress samples was $0.24 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$ and it
319 exhibited the highest degree of stability after watercress processing. It was
320 significantly increased only after 5 minutes of boiling going up to $0.36 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$
321 DW ($P < 0.05$). Significant decreases in lutein were only observed in the smoothie
322 after 120 minutes of storage ($P < 0.05$). Zeaxanthin concentration in fresh
323 watercress was notably lower than β -carotene and lutein ($0.02 \pm 0.00 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DW}$).
324 It was dramatically affected by boiling with increases higher than 6 and 3 times, as
325 compared to fresh watercress, after boiling for 5 minutes and steaming for 10
326 minutes respectively.

327 Increases in the carotenoid contents of other Brassica vegetables such as
328 broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cabbage and cauliflower upon boiling and steaming
329 have been reported by a number of research groups (Bernhardt & Schlich, 2006;

330 Gliszczynska-Swiglo, et al., 2006; Hart, et al., 1995). Elevations in the measurable
331 carotenoid concentrations after thermal treatments can be explained by changes
332 in the plant cell wall due to the breakdown of cellulose as well as improved
333 extractability of carotenoids from the plant as a result of the denaturation of
334 carotenoid-protein complexes due to thermal processing (Khachik, Beecher, Goli
335 & Lusby, 1991).

336 *3.4 Glucosinolate identification and quantification*

337 Gluconasturtiin was the most abundant glucosinolate in fresh and cooked
338 watercress samples followed by the indole glucosinolates: glucobrassicin, 4-
339 methoxyglucobrassicin, 4-hydroxyglucobrassicin and the aliphatic glucosinolate
340 glucoibarin (Table 3). The profile characterised here is similar to that previously
341 defined by Boyd, et al. (2006); Gill, et al. (2007).

342 Glucosinolate quantification revealed a major impact of cooking on the levels of
343 these phytochemicals. Boiling reduced the levels of total glucosinolates by up to
344 63% and led to significant losses of all the individual glucosinolates identified in this
345 study ($P < 0.001$). Considerable glucosinolate losses after boiling of Brassica
346 vegetables like broccoli, cauliflower and Brussels sprouts, have also been
347 observed in other studies performed by a number of research groups (Song &
348 Thornalley, 2007; Vallejo, Tomás-Barberán & Garcia-Viguera, 2002). Heat
349 application combined with cooking in water can result in depletion of
350 glucosinolates in Brassica as a result of enzyme activity modification and
351 thermally induced breakdown processes (Jones, 2007; Palermo, et al., 2014).
352 Boiling of watercress in water caused significant loss of glucosinolates that most
353 likely have leached into the cooking water. Similar conclusions were drawn by
354 Song, et al. (2007) who showed that boiling of Brassica vegetables leads to

355 significant leaching of glucosinolates in the boiling water. Jones (2007) have
356 shown that the glucosinolate losses in Brassica vegetables are positively
357 correlated with the cooking time.

358 Microwaving and steaming had a subtle effect on glucosinolate concentrations
359 with minor losses at the longest cooking duration, as compared to the other
360 treatments. Microwaving and steaming for 2 or 5 minutes did not result in major
361 losses of total glucosinolates suggesting that these cooking methods will ensure a
362 higher retention rate of these phytochemicals. Our results are in agreement with
363 that of Song, et al. (2007) who examined the impact of different cooking methods
364 on broccoli, brussels sprouts, cauliflower and green cabbage. This observation is
365 likely due to denaturation and subsequent deactivation of the myrosinase enzyme,
366 which depletes glucosinolates in favour of their hydrolysis to isothiocyanates, after
367 application of high temperatures during cooking (Verkerk, vanderGaag, Dekker &
368 Jongen, 1997). We found that cooking by steaming resulted in a slight increase in
369 gluconasturtiin concentrations from 1.76 to 2.04 mg g⁻¹ DW (P<0.05) and it can
370 therefore be considered as the preferred method of watercress consumption to
371 maximise gluconasturtiin levels. Elevated gluconasturtiin concentrations upon
372 steaming are also reported by Gliszczynska-Swiglo, et al. (2006) in broccoli.
373 Increases in other glucosinolates in Brassica vegetables subjected to steaming
374 have been also been noted in a number of studies (Pellegrini, Chiavaro, Gardana,
375 Mazzeo, Contino, Gallo, et al., 2010; Vallejo, et al., 2002). The inactivation of
376 myrosinase at the high temperatures such as the ones reached during steaming,
377 can temporarily cease the conversion of glucosinolates to isothiocyanates
378 (Vallejo, et al., 2002) a process which can be undertaken post ingestion, *in vivo*,
379 by the action of the endogenous bacterial myrosinase in the gut (Rouzaud, Rabot,

380 Ratcliffe & Duncan, 2003). Furthermore, heat application leads to plant cell
381 structure disintegration allowing glucosinolates to be released from their bound
382 forms on the plant cell wall making these compounds more recoverable during
383 extraction (Gliszczynska-Swiglo, et al., 2006). Steaming is performed without
384 direct contact of the plant material and water, preventing the leaching of
385 glucosinolates into it.

386 Homogenisation by blending watercress with water to create a smoothie resulted
387 in dramatic reductions in glucosinolates stemming mainly from the complete loss
388 of gluconasturtiin ($P < 0.001$). Upon chopping losses ranged from 35% to 46% after
389 120 minutes of storage at room temperature. Chopping of vegetables before
390 consumption is a regular practise and this can lead to decreased glucosinolate
391 content since they are exposed to myrosinase for conversion to isothiocyanates.
392 This was reflected in our results and those of others (Smith, Mithen & Johnson,
393 2003; Song, et al., 2007), and it was particularly apparent in the gluconasturtiin
394 quantification. When watercress was homogenised to create a smoothie,
395 gluconasturtiin was completely lost and the levels of other glucosinolates were
396 significantly diminished. Our results are comparable with results from a study
397 performed by Smith, et al. (2003) where homogenisation for juice extraction from
398 Brussels sprouts led to loss of glucosinolates which were converted to
399 isothiocyanates and other breakdown products due to the exposure of
400 glucosinolates to myrosinase enzyme. Song, et al. (2007) observed that shredding
401 of Brassica vegetables and subsequent storage at ambient temperature results in
402 major losses of glucosinolates with concurrent formation of isothiocyanates.
403 Isothiocyanates such as PEITC are highly volatile compounds therefore they are
404 prone to evaporation as observed by Rose, et al. (2000) who did not detect PEITC

405 in watercress aqueous extracts. However, Ji, Kuo and Morris (2005) noted that
406 PEITC remains stable in aqueous buffers with a half-life of 56 h at ambient
407 temperature. This suggests that smoothies or juices made from watercress, which
408 is rich in PEITC, should be freshly consumed after preparation to ensure adequate
409 ingestion.

410 *3.5 Antioxidant activity*

411 The antioxidant activity of all watercress samples was determined using the FRAP
412 assay (Figure 1B). Fresh watercress had an antioxidant activity of 74.54 ± 10.81
413 $\mu\text{mol AAE g}^{-1}$ DW. Watercress was found to have the highest antioxidant activity
414 when compared to spinach, rocket and mizuna (Martinez-Sanchez, et al., 2008;
415 Payne, Mazzer, Clarkson & Taylor, 2013).

416 Boiling dramatically decreased the antioxidant capacity of watercress over time as
417 compared to raw watercress, with losses reaching 67% of total antioxidant activity
418 for samples cooked for 10 minutes (Figure 1B). Antioxidant activity analysis of the
419 cooking water showed that the losses observed during boiling are due to leaching
420 of antioxidant compounds in the water ($46,03 \pm 9.42 \mu\text{mol AAE g}^{-1}$ DW). In
421 contrast, microwaving and steaming of watercress did not result in any significant
422 losses. Chopping and blending to smoothie had no significant impact on the
423 antioxidant activity of the samples, however storage of these samples at room
424 temperature for 30 or 120 minutes resulted in a significant decrease in antioxidant
425 activity. Chopping and blending to smoothie reduced the antioxidant activity to
426 42.84 ± 8.00 and $48.47 \pm 9.63 \mu\text{mol AAE g}^{-1}$ DW at 120 minutes of storage
427 respectively. The antioxidant activity of raw and cooked samples followed a similar
428 trend to that found for total phenols with a significant correlation between these
429 measures ($R^2 = 0.759$, $P < 0.05$).

430 In a study carried out by Ismail, Marjan and Foong (2004) it was found that boiling
431 for 1 minute significantly decreased the antioxidant activity of kale, but not that of
432 cabbage. Zhang and Hamauzu Zhang, et al. (2004) showed that after boiling and
433 microwaving, broccoli lost 65% and 65.3% of its total antioxidant activity
434 respectively.

435 Since the antioxidant activity of plants may be defined by the concentration of
436 phenols and ascorbic acid in combination with other phytochemicals, leaching of
437 these compounds into the boiling water, or oxidation and degradation of them
438 during cooking, can lead to lower antioxidant activity of watercress (Gliszczyńska-
439 Swiglo, et al., 2006; Vallejo, et al., 2003).

440 *3.6 Watercress phytochemical profile modifications upon cooking*

441 PCA revealed distinct phytochemical profiles for watercress cooked using different
442 regimes (Figure 2). The profiles obtained from microwaved and steamed
443 watercress closely resembled that of fresh watercress with these cooking
444 methodologies positively correlating with the phenolics, carotenoids and
445 glucosinolate concentrations. In stark contrast, boiled watercress has a
446 phytochemical profile very different from that of fresh watercress characterised by
447 elevated carotenoid amounts ($R^2= 0.668$) and significant losses in glucosinolates
448 and flavonols, which essentially result in compromised antioxidant activity ($R^2=$
449 -0.596). Chopped watercress and watercress smoothie samples have similar
450 phytochemical profiles and separate from the fresh samples on the first principal
451 component characterised by losses of all the phytochemicals quantified in our
452 study. Cooking time appears to be negatively correlated with microwaving, boiling
453 and steaming but exposure of chopped samples and watercress smoothie to
454 ambient temperature for extended time periods does not appear to have a

455 particular impact on the measureable phytochemicals in these samples, expect in
456 the total phenolic content of stored chopped watercress. Antioxidant activity as
457 measured by the FRAP assay, exhibits a significant positive correlation with
458 microwaving ($R^2= 0.452$) driven by higher concentrations of glucosinolates and
459 flavonols suggesting that it should be the preferred method of watercress
460 preparation when it is not consumed raw.

461 **4.0 Conclusions**

462 This study clearly demonstrates that health-promoting compounds in watercress
463 are significantly influenced by domestic processing methods. Cooking by
464 microwaving and steaming preserves the levels of most phytochemicals in
465 watercress. Domestic processing can have a detrimental effect on the bioactives
466 which may be responsible for the health promoting properties of watercress.
467 Satisfactory retention of beneficial phytochemicals in watercress may be achieved
468 by avoiding boiling which results in a compromised phytochemical profile.

469 **Acknowledgements**

470 This study was supported by the Agricultural and Horticulture Development
471 Board (Kenilworth, UK). The authors would like to thank VITACRESS Salads Ltd
472 (Andover, Hampshire, UK) for the kind provision of fresh watercress samples used
473 in the experiments.

474 **References**

475 Aires, A., Carvalho, R., Rosa, E. A. S., & Saavedra, M. J. (2013). Phytochemical
476 characterization and antioxidant properties of baby-leaf watercress
477 produced under organic production system. *CyTA - Journal of Food*, 11(4),
478 343-351.
479 Bell, Oruna-Concha, M. J., & Wagstaff, C. (2015). Identification and quantification
480 of glucosinolate and flavonol compounds in rocket salad (*Eruca sativa*,
481 *Eruca vesicaria* and *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*) by LC–MS: Highlighting the

482 potential for improving nutritional value of rocket crops. *Food Chemistry*,
483 172, 852-861.

484 Bell, & Wagstaff, C. (2014). Glucosinolates, Myrosinase Hydrolysis Products, and
485 Flavonols Found in Rocket (*Eruca sativa* and *Diplotaxis tenuifolia*). *J Agric*
486 *Food Chem*, 62(20), 4481-4492.

487 Benzie, I. F. F., & Strain, J. J. (1996). The Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma
488 (FRAP) as a Measure of "Antioxidant Power": The FRAP Assay. *Analytical*
489 *Biochemistry*, 239(1), 70-76.

490 Bernhardt, S., & Schlich, E. (2006). Impact of different cooking methods on food
491 quality: Retention of lipophilic vitamins in fresh and frozen vegetables.
492 *Journal of Food Engineering*, 77(2), 327-333.

493 Boyd, L. A., McCann, M. J., Hashim, Y., Bennett, R. N., Gill, C. I., & Rowland, I. R.
494 (2006). Assessment of the anti-genotoxic, anti-proliferative, and anti-
495 metastatic potential of crude watercress extract in human colon cancer
496 cells. *Nutr Cancer*, 55(2), 232-241.

497 Gill, C. I. R., Haldar, S., Boyd, L. A., Bennett, R., Whiteford, J., Butler, M.,
498 Pearson, J. R., Bradbury, I., & Rowland, I. R. (2007). Watercress
499 supplementation in diet reduces lymphocyte DNA damage and alters blood
500 antioxidant status in healthy adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*,
501 85(2), 504-510.

502 Giuffrida, D., Dugo, P., Torre, G., Bignardi, C., Cavazza, A., Corradini, C., & Dugo,
503 G. (2013). Characterization of 12 *Capsicum* varieties by evaluation of their
504 carotenoid profile and pungency determination. *Food Chem*, 140(4), 794-
505 802.

506 Gliszczynska-Swiglo, A., Ciska, E., Pawlak-Lemanska, K., Chmielewski, J.,
507 Borkowski, T., & Tyrakowska, B. (2006). Changes in the content of health-
508 promoting compounds and antioxidant activity of broccoli after domestic
509 processing. *Food Addit Contam*, 23(11), 1088-1098.

510 Hagen, S. F., Borge, G. I. A., Solhaug, K. A., & Bengtsson, G. B. (2009). Effect of
511 cold storage and harvest date on bioactive compounds in curly kale
512 (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *acephala*). *Postharvest Biology and Technology*,
513 51(1), 36-42.

514 Hart, D. J., & Scott, K. J. (1995). Development and Evaluation of an Hplc Method
515 for the Analysis of Carotenoids in Foods, and the Measurement of the
516 Carotenoid Content of Vegetables and Fruits Commonly Consumed in the
517 Uk. *Food Chemistry*, 54(1), 101-111.

518 Ismail, A., Marjan, Z. M., & Foong, C. W. (2004). Total antioxidant activity and
519 phenolic content in selected vegetables. *Food Chemistry*, 87(4), 581-586.

520 Ji, Y., Kuo, Y., & Morris, M. (2005). Pharmacokinetics of Dietary Phenethyl
521 Isothiocyanate in Rats. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 22(10), 1658-1666.

522 Jones, R. B. (2007). Effects of postharvest handling conditions and cooking on
523 anthocyanin, lycopene, and glucosinolate content and bioavailability in
524 fruits and vegetables. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural*
525 *Science*, 35(2), 219-227.

526 Khachik, F., Beecher, G. R., Goli, M. B., & Lusby, W. R. (1991). Separation,
527 Identification, and Quantification of Carotenoids in Fruits, Vegetables and
528 Human Plasma by High-Performance Liquid-Chromatography. *Pure and*
529 *Applied Chemistry*, 63(1), 71-80.

- 530 Lichtenthaler, H. K., & Buschmann, C. (2001). Chlorophylls and Carotenoids:
531 Measurement and Characterization by UV-VIS Spectroscopy. In *Current*
532 *Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry*: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 533 Martinez-Sanchez, A., Gil-Izquierdo, A., Gil, M. I., & Ferreres, F. (2008). A
534 comparative study of flavonoid compounds, vitamin C, and antioxidant
535 properties of baby leaf Brassicaceae species. *J Agric Food Chem*, 56(7),
536 2330-2340.
- 537 Natella, F., Belelli, F., Ramberti, A., & Scaccini, C. (2010). Microwave And
538 Traditional Cooking Methods: Effect Of Cooking On Antioxidant Capacity
539 And Phenolic Compounds Content Of Seven Vegetables. *Journal of Food*
540 *Biochemistry*, 34(4), 796-810.
- 541 Palermo, M., Pellegrini, N., & Fogliano, V. (2014). The effect of cooking on the
542 phytochemical content of vegetables. *J Sci Food Agric*, 94(6), 1057-1070.
- 543 Payne, A. C., Mazzer, A., Clarkson, G. J. J., & Taylor, G. (2013). Antioxidant
544 assays – consistent findings from FRAP and ORAC reveal a negative
545 impact of organic cultivation on antioxidant potential in spinach but not
546 watercress or rocket leaves. *Food Sci Nutr*, 1(6), 439-444.
- 547 Pellegrini, N., Chiavaro, E., Gardana, C., Mazzeo, T., Contino, D., Gallo, M., Riso,
548 P., Fogliano, V., & Porrini, M. (2010). Effect of Different Cooking Methods
549 on Color, Phytochemical Concentration, and Antioxidant Capacity of Raw
550 and Frozen Brassica Vegetables. *J Agric Food Chem*, 58(7), 4310-4321.
- 551 Podsedek, A., Sosnowska, D., Redzynia, M., & Koziolkiewicz, M. (2008). Effect of
552 domestic cooking on the red cabbage hydrophilic antioxidants. *International*
553 *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 43(10), 1770-1777.
- 554 Puupponen-Pimiä, R., Häkkinen, S. T., Aarni, M., Suortti, T., Lampi, A.-M., Euroala,
555 M., Piironen, V., Nuutila, A. M., & Oksman-Caldentey, K.-M. (2003).
556 Blanching and long-term freezing affect various bioactive compounds of
557 vegetables in different ways. *J Sci Food Agric*, 83(14), 1389-1402.
- 558 Rose, P., Faulkner, K., Williamson, G., & Mithen, R. (2000). 7-Methylsulfinylheptyl
559 and 8-methylsulfinyloctyl isothiocyanates from watercress are potent
560 inducers of phase II enzymes. *Carcinogenesis*, 21(11), 1983-1988.
- 561 Rouzaud, G., Rabot, S., Ratcliffe, B., & Duncan, A. J. (2003). Influence of plant
562 and bacterial myrosinase activity on the metabolic fate of glucosinolates in
563 gnotobiotic rats. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 90(2), 395-404.
- 564 Singleton, V. L., & Rossi, J. A. (1965). Colorimetry of Total Phenolics with
565 Phosphomolybdic-Phosphotungstic Acid Reagents. *American Journal of*
566 *Enology and Viticulture*, 16(3), 144-158.
- 567 Smith, T. K., Mithen, R., & Johnson, I. T. (2003). Effects of Brassica vegetable
568 juice on the induction of apoptosis and aberrant crypt foci in rat colonic
569 mucosal crypts in vivo. *Carcinogenesis*, 24(3), 491-495.
- 570 Song, L., & Thornalley, P. J. (2007). Effect of storage, processing and cooking on
571 glucosinolate content of Brassica vegetables. *Food Chem Toxicol*, 45(2),
572 216-224.
- 573 Turkmen, N., Sari, F., & Velioglu, Y. S. (2005). The effect of cooking methods on
574 total phenolics and antioxidant activity of selected green vegetables. *Food*
575 *Chemistry*, 93(4), 713-718.
- 576 Vallejo, F., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & Garcia-Viguera, C. (2002). Glucosinolates
577 and vitamin C content in edible parts of broccoli florets after domestic
578 cooking. *European Food Research and Technology*, 215(4), 310-316.

- 579 Vallejo, F., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & García-Viguera, C. (2003). Phenolic
 580 compound contents in edible parts of broccoli inflorescences after domestic
 581 cooking. *J Sci Food Agric*, 83(14), 1511-1516.
- 582 Verhoeven, D. T. H., Goldbohm, R. A., vanPoppel, G., Verhagen, H., &
 583 vandenBrandt, P. A. (1996). Epidemiological studies on brassica
 584 vegetables and cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers &*
 585 *Prevention*, 5(9), 733-748.
- 586 Verkerk, R., vanderGaag, M. S., Dekker, M., & Jongen, W. M. F. (1997). Effects of
 587 processing conditions on glucosinolates in cruciferous vegetables. *Cancer*
 588 *Letters*, 114(1-2), 193-194.
- 589 Zhang, D. L., & Hamauzu, Y. (2004). Phenolics, ascorbic acid, carotenoids and
 590 antioxidant activity of broccoli and their changes during conventional and
 591 microwave cooking. *Food Chemistry*, 88(4), 503-509.

593 **Figure 1 (A)** Total phenols content in raw and processed samples expressed as
 594 gallic acid equivalents (GAE) in mg g⁻¹ of dry weight (DW). **(B)** FRAP-assay results
 595 for the measurement of the antioxidant activity in raw and cooked watercress
 596 samples. Results are presented as ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE) in mg g⁻¹ of
 597 DW. Data is mean of three biological replicates + SD. Significance: *, P < 0.05; **,
 598 P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001 as compared to carotenoid content of raw watercress. (BD:
 599 Boiled, MW: Microwaved, ST: Steamed, SM: Smoothie, CH: Chopped.

600 **Figure 2** PCA scores of all cooked samples (□) and loadings plot for all
 601 quantified phytochemicals (●). Abbreviations: 4-MGB, 4-methoxyglucobrassicin;
 602 4-HGB, 4-hydroxyglucobrassicin; KSG, K 3-(sinp-Glc)-4'Glc; KSTG, K 3-(sinp-
 603 triGlc)-7-Glc; QDGCG, QCSG, Q 3-(caf-Glc)-3'-(sinp-Glc)-4'-Glc; KDG, K 3-diGlc-
 604 7-Glc; IG, I 3-Glc; KFTG, K 3-(fer-triGlc)-7 Glc; QCG+KDG Q 3,4'diGlc-3'-(p.coum-
 605 Glc) + K 3,4'-diGlc.

606 **Table 1** Concentration of individual and average total flavonols in raw and
 607 processed watercress samples. Data is presented in mg g⁻¹ of DW (mean ± SD).
 608 Experiment was performed with three biological replicates per group. Significance:
 609 *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001 as compared to flavonoid content of raw
 610 watercress. Abbreviations: K, kaempferol; I, isorhamnetin; Q, quercetin; Glc;

611 glucoside, fer, feroloyl; sinp, sinapoyl; p.coum, p-coumaroyl; caf, caffeoyl.

612 ^aFlavonols co-elute.

613

614 **Table 2.** Quantification of total and specific carotenoids, in raw and processed
615 watercress samples. Data is presented as absolute carotenoid concentration in
616 mg g⁻¹ of DW (mean ± SD). Experiment was performed with three biological
617 replicates per group. Significance: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 as
618 compared to carotenoid content of raw watercress. ^aTotal amount of carotenoids
619 measured spectrophotometrically.

620 **Table 3** Concentration of individual and average total glucosinolates in raw and
621 processed watercress samples. Data is presented in mg g⁻¹ of DW (mean ± SD).
622 Experiment was performed with three biological replicates per group. Significance:
623 *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 as compared to carotenoid content of raw
624 watercress.

625

626