



Clubroot (Plasmodiophora brassicae Woronin): an agricultural and biological challenge worldwide

Article

Accepted Version

Dixon, G. R. (2014) Clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woronin): an agricultural and biological challenge worldwide. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology*, 36 (Supp 1). pp. 5-18. ISSN 0706-0661 doi:
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2013.875487> Available at
<http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/49774/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07060661.2013.875487>

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1

2

3 **Clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woronin) – an agricultural and**

4 **biological challenge worldwide**

5

6 **GEOFFREY R. DIXON**

7

8 *School of Agriculture, University of Reading, and GreenGene International, Hill Rising,*

9 *Horsecastles Lane, Sherborne, Dorset DT9 6BH, United Kingdom*

10

11

12 Correspondence to: Geoffrey R. Dixon. E-mail: geoffrdixon@btinternet.com

13

14

15 Accepted

1 **Abstract:** Clubroot disease and the causal microbe *Plasmodiophora brassicae* offer abundant
2 challenges to agriculturists and biological scientists. This microbe is well fitted for the
3 environments which it inhabits. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* exists in soil as microscopic well
4 protected resting spores and then grows actively and reproduces while shielded inside the
5 roots of host plants. The pathogen is active outside the host for only short periods.
6 Consequently, scientific studies are made challenging by the biological context of the host
7 and pathogen and the technology required to investigate and understand that relationship.
8 Controlling clubroot disease is a challenge for farmers, crop consultants and plant pathology
9 practitioners because of the limited options which are available. Full symptom expression
10 happens solely in members of the *Brassicaceae* family. Currently, only a few genes
11 expressing strong resistance to *P. brassicae* are known and readily available. Agrochemical
12 control is similarly limited by difficulties in molecule formulation which combines efficacy
13 with environmental acceptability. Manipulation of husbandry encouraging improvements in
14 soil structure, texture, nutrient composition and moisture content can reduce populations of *P.*
15 *brassicae*. Integrating such strategies with rotation and crop management will reduce but not
16 eliminate this disease. There are indications that forms of biological competition may be
17 mobilised as additions to integrated control strategies. The aim of this review is to chart key
18 themes in the development of scientific biological understanding of this host-pathogen
19 relationship by offering signposts to grapple with clubroot disease which devastates crops and
20 their profitability. Particular attention is given to the link between soil and nutrient chemistry
21 and activity of this microbe.

22

23 **Keywords:** *Plasmodiophora brassicae*, clubroot, phenotypic fitness, biological challenges,
24 agricultural challenges, soil chemistry, conduciveness, suppression.

1 **Introduction**

2 Realistic estimates suggest that food losses in the range of 25 to 30 percent of production
3 result from the ravages of pests, pathogens and abiotic stresses between crops growing in the
4 field and the plate. These levels of loss are unacceptable by any yardstick and made less
5 acceptable when measured against estimates that the world population will reach 9 billion at
6 least by 2050. That requires the doubling of the world's food supply even to stand-still. It
7 must be achieved against a background of increasing scarcity of water and productive land,
8 the loss of natural biodiversity and the effects of global climate change causing alterations in
9 temperature and the seasonal distribution of precipitation such that some current forms of
10 crop husbandry are likely to become untenable. Against this background of increasing
11 uncertainty is the frightening prediction that human conflicts will increase (Dixon 2012).

12 After cereals, the brassicas are the most economically important and nutritionally
13 essential crops worldwide. Members of the family Brassicaceae provide mankind with fresh
14 and in some cases processed food derived from the leaves, flowers, stems and roots.
15 Increasingly, it is recognised that consumption of these foods has health benefits which
16 reduce the risks from cancer, heart diseases and strokes, the ailments of affluence. Vegetable
17 oils derived from brassica seed constitute the world's major source of unsaturated fats
18 carrying similar benefits for human health and welfare. Brassica oilseeds also provide sources
19 of saturated fats used for oils and industrial lubricants. Other brassicas provide animal fodder
20 and forage, food condiments and flowering amenity ornamentals. These are the obvious
21 agricultural benefits of brassicas (Dixon 2007). But their biggest biological service for
22 mankind may yet come from a tiny rockcress ornamental and weed *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
23 This has become the workhorse of choice for plant molecular biology used in laboratories
24 worldwide as a basic model vehicle for unraveling and understanding genetic control of cell
25 and organism form, structure, inheritance, evolution and ancestry in all biological entities.

1 The importance of *A. thaliana* is illustrated by it being the first plant where the entire genome
2 was sequenced. That places it on par with the human genome (Anon 2000; Adams 2000).

3 **A consideration of the interactions between pathogen, host and environment**

4 Challenging this fundamentally essential suite of brassica crop plants are numerous pests,
5 pathogens and abiotic stress disorders. Quite probably the one which offers the greatest
6 challenges to biologists and agriculturists is the microbe *Plasmodiophora brassicae*, which is
7 the causal agent of clubroot disease. This is a member of the order Plasmodiophorales, all of
8 which are obligate parasites (Tommerup & Ingram 1971). The challenge for biologists is its
9 nature as a non-axenic, microscopic, single-celled, soilborne microbe which exists as a
10 dormant, well-protected resting spore which at germination releases a mobile bi-flagellate
11 primary zoospore. Existence in the soil moisture films is very short-term and following
12 invasion of brassica root hairs, the microbe enters a primary phase of multiplication leading
13 on to secondary stages which are accompanied by massive host cell hypertrophy, which is
14 induced by disrupted growth regulator production in the cortical cells. On completion of
15 multiplication cycles, resultant resting spores are liberated back into the soil in vast
16 quantities. Biologists are challenged by this secretive life style of *P. brassicae*, where even
17 the occurrence and position of meiosis is still open to speculation (Dixon 1981).

18 The challenges to agriculturists start with the resting spores. Resting spores of *P.*
19 *brassicae* are produced in profusion. Soil samples containing tens of millions of resting
20 spores per gram are not unusual in heavily infested land. These spores are very robust and
21 have evolved an intricate protection mechanism of five spore walls composed of fungal chitin
22 and carbohydrates (Moxham & Buczaki 1983). This combination renders the spores resilient
23 to degradation by extra-cellular enzymes produced by predatory soil organisms. For the
24 majority of the life cycle, the component stages - zoospores, sporangia and sporangiospores -
25 develop and grow protected within the host body. Only for a short period is *P. brassicae*

1 exposed to unfavourable environmental conditions, either biotic or abiotic in nature, when it
2 exists as minute, naked, free swimming primary zoospores (Dixon 2006; Dixon 2009a). It is
3 known that some of the requirements for favourable edaphic environments include: acidic
4 pH values (<pH 6.8), minimal calcium contents, nitrogen in an ammoniacal (N-NH₄) state,
5 poor soil structure and impeded drainage such that the soil becomes waterlogged, and
6 temperatures above 15 °C (Dixon 2002). For the majority of its life cycle, *P. brassicae* exists
7 in a highly protected environment. Here, *P. brassicae* has immediate access to all the
8 nutrition that is required for growth and reproduction. The presence of *P. brassicae* leads to
9 the disruption of the host growth regulator metabolism and subsequent formation of distorted
10 structures of host tissue which provide this microbe with an ideal habitat (Dixon 2009a).
11 Being protected in this manner is probably one reason why chemical control of this microbe
12 proves so difficult to achieve. Potential agro-chemicals need a systemic mode of action, being
13 translocated through the host roots and being capable of moving into the cortical cells.
14 Alternatively, the molecule must be capable of foliar uptake and then transfer downwards
15 into the root systems. That is a mode of transfer which is unusual. The alternative is
16 developing chemical or biological means of control which disrupt either the resting spore or
17 the primary zoospore. Dormant resting spores have a substantial armoury of protection,
18 making their destruction very difficult (Dixon & Tilston 2010).

19 While resting spore germination appears to be triggered by the presence of host root
20 exudates, evidence with regard to whether brassica root exudates are specifically required is
21 confusing. It is likely that an organism which is as highly evolved as *P. brassicae* would
22 possess a defence against germination being triggered by non-specific exudates. But this is
23 not proven and it is thought that some plants which are not members of the Brassicaceae,
24 such as poppy (*Papaver* spp.), strawberry (*Fragaria* spp.) and rye-grass (*Lolium* spp.), can
25 provide host environments in which the first phases of the life cycle may take place

1 (Kowalski & Bochow 1996; Dixon 2009b). That indicates that exudates from these plants
2 may encourage resting spore germination. What is clear is that from the period when
3 germination commences to that where there is entry into a host root hair or epidermal cell is
4 the most vulnerable time for *P. brassicae*. In this period, the microbe is limited in the
5 distance that it may travel by the energy available in the naked cell, which provides
6 locomotion achieved through the swimming action of the two flagellae which move it
7 towards the root hairs (Kageyama & Asano 2009). The resting spore must also possess a
8 reserve of energy sufficient for the changes which take place within the primary zoospore
9 when it reaches the surface of the root hair and to provide the structures which enable *P.*
10 *brassicae* cytoplasm to be injected forcefully into the root hair or epidermal cell. In all of
11 these processes and activities, the primary zoospore exists solely on the energy stores laid
12 down when the resting spore formed within the clubbed roots of its host. This energy is
13 derived originally via the photosynthesis which took place in the host where the resting
14 spores developed. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* relies, therefore, on storing and transporting
15 energy produced by one host generation for invasion of the next. That means there are
16 considerable inflexible energy limitations placed on the distance that primary zoospores may
17 move. Considerations of the availability of energy in this host-pathogen system have received
18 little attention (Ludwig-Müller et al. 2009; Neuhauser et al. 2011).

19 There are also environmental factors which limit primary zoospore movement. There
20 is a requirement for continuous moisture films existing on and between the soil particles in
21 which the primary zoospore can move by swimming movements produced by the two
22 flagellae. Dry and drying soils reduce the capacity of *P. brassicae* for movement. Wet and
23 waterlogged soils favour the movement of this microbe. But total water-logging of the soil
24 environment is likely to deter movement since the zoospore must also respire during motion
25 in order to convert the potential energy stored as carbohydrates and lipids into kinetic energy.

1 Respiration will demand a supply of oxygen from the soil atmosphere, unless of course *P.*
2 *brassicae* has some as yet undiscovered unusual anaerobic metabolic pathways. Given the
3 nature of this organism, suggesting such a trait would not be entirely fanciful. It is also
4 possible that germinated zoospores are moved physically and involuntarily through the soil
5 profile by water movements and in that way are transferred onto root hairs. This pathway is
6 quite likely to be a route by which *P. brassicae* is washed downwards in the soil profile,
7 reaching the root hairs of well-established, deeply rooted maturing crops. The soil
8 environment must also be chemically favourable towards *P. brassicae*. The most obvious
9 component of the chemical environment appears to be an acidic pH (<6.5) for mineral soils.
10 High concentrations of hydrogen ions favour *P. brassicae* whereas large concentrations of
11 calcium are apparently deleterious. Boron has a similar property in relation to the growth and
12 reproduction of this microbe (Dixon 2010) and appears to have even greater impact on the
13 metabolism of *P. brassicae*. The calcium effect is particularly intriguing since a closely
14 related organism, *Spongospora subterranea* var. *subterranea*, the causal organism of
15 powdery scab disease of potato, is encouraged by an environment with large amounts of
16 calcium and is inhibited by high concentrations of hydrogen ions. One of the challenges
17 which *P. brassicae* poses for agriculture is the correct and sustainable use of forms of
18 calcium as feasible control system. Success or failure of infection by *P. brassicae* also relies
19 on the presence or absence of other chemical elements (Dixon 1996; Dixon & Page 1998).
20 Only in the last couple of decades has reliable research-based evidence suggested how these
21 chemical elements affect *P. brassicae*. Previously, there was a great deal of empirical and at
22 times apocryphal information largely coming from field observations and trials in which
23 different rates, formulations, timing, pathogen concentrations and distributions, times of
24 season and soil type were employed. Frequently, statistical analyses of such trials were either

1 absent or of limited value. The result is that most of these trials could not be compared
2 scientifically with each other or accorded credibility (Dixon & Webster 1988).

3 Heat is the third element of the soil environment which affects the success of primary
4 zoospore movement and infection into root hairs and epidermal cells. Temperatures in excess
5 of 20 °C have been cited as necessary in order to initiate the movement of *P. brassicae* and
6 subsequent infection processes. In all probability, the field temperature required in practice is
7 considerably less since that figure comes from laboratory experiments. Clubroot symptoms
8 are known to occur at lower temperatures and these must be preceded by movement and
9 infection. Heat is essential for the enzyme reactions which liberate energy within the
10 zoospore cell. Within reason (i.e., < 35 °C) enzyme reaction rates increase as temperature
11 rises. Consequently, rising temperatures accelerate zoospore motion and infection. It is
12 considered that temperatures required for symptom development and expression are less than
13 those required for zoospore motion and penetration. There might be scientific justification for
14 that hypothesis since zoospore motion and penetration rely on activities in a vulnerable,
15 single celled microbe with a very thin wall. Declines or increases in temperature would be
16 rapidly translated into none or enhanced activity by the microbe. Symptom initiation and
17 expression, on the other hand, result from metabolic activity in large, complex, multicellular
18 angiosperm hosts and might be less influenced by changing temperatures. The soil
19 environment in which *P. brassicae* and its hosts reside is biologically complex. Research
20 indicates considerable interaction between *P. brassicae* and other microbes (Einhorn et al.
21 1991). Results suggest that predatory microbes are able to disable *P. brassicae* and that some
22 of their secondary products can inhibit the metabolic activities of the pathogen. The
23 bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* appears to engage with *P. brassicae* in a vigorously antagonistic
24 manner (Feng et al. 2012). There are also suggestions that aromatic plants such as mint
25 (*Mentha piperita*), basil (*Ocimum basilicum*), bardana (*Arctium minus*), chive (*Allium*

1 *fistulosum*) and parsley (*Petroselinum crispum*) may mitigate the severity of clubroot disease
2 (Hasse et al. 2007).

3 **Host biology**

4 Relationships between *P. brassicae* and host brassicas result in either susceptibility or
5 resistant reactions and in some instances the expression of partial resistance. These
6 interactions between the microbe and different host genotypes appear to be complex, or
7 maybe that is simply a function of the small number of scientists who have elected to
8 undertake research in this area (Crute et al. 1980; Dixon 1980; Gustafsson & Fält 1986).
9 Evolution of resistance happens geographically where the pathogen and host come into co-
10 existence. Original ancestral wild brassicas came from north-eastern Africa, possibly not too
11 far from the regions where early humans developed and then spread out across the Middle
12 East, Europe and Asia. Ultimately, some ancestral brassica types which were plants
13 inhabiting arid or possibly semi-desert areas became adapted for life on maritime coasts in
14 the Mediterranean basin. Others moved considerable distances and laid the genetic
15 foundations for Asian brassicas (mainly forms of *B. rapa*). Still others moved towards the
16 Atlantic seaboard of Western Europe, the Iberian Peninsula and towards what is now
17 Scandinavia. In some of these areas, the natural mutability of the Brassicaceae expressed
18 itself by the development of amphidiploid, fertile new sub-species and species such as *B.*
19 *napus*. Additionally in these areas, an enormous range of cultivated forms developed through
20 evolution in domestication. The result is the vast multiplicity of phenotypes found currently
21 within this family. The family Brassicaceae is the most flexible and mutable of all
22 angiosperms by virtue of both natural and artificial hybridisation. Consider the huge range of
23 Occidental brassicas within the species *Brassica oleracea* which contains all of the European
24 brassica vegetables and then compare that with the equally huge range of Oriental brassicas
25 within the species *B. rapa* (*B. campestris*) providing the Asian brassica vegetables. Almost

1 every individual crop form found in Oriental types is matched by an Occidental form
2 (Toxopeus et al. 1984; Dixon 2007).

3 At some stage, a soil borne microbe which was an ancestor of *P. brassicae* came into
4 contact with some of the evolving brassicas. Where and under what circumstances this
5 encounter took place has yet to be uncovered. The encounter resulted in a single-celled
6 vulnerable microbe taking up residence in the lumen of root hairs and exploiting the food
7 sources available within this environment. Ultimately, that microbe was able to complete a
8 life cycle by further multiplication in the cortical cells of the host. Where is it likely that this
9 encounter was most successful - with wild brassicas or with early ancestral cultivated forms?
10 The scant evidence available suggests that *P. brassicae* is a parasite of cultivation.
11 Infrequently, it is found on native plants although it is fairly commonly present on weeds in
12 cultivated fields. Additionally, surveys of wild brassica species sampled around the
13 Mediterranean Basin failed to detect the presence of *P. brassicae* (Mats Gustaffson, pers.
14 comm.). More likely, the pathogen encountered relatively well-fed and irrigated cultivated
15 forms of brassica and exploited their succulence and the readily available energy sources.
16 Whether that encounter took place once in a single location or several times and in different
17 places is a fascinating question associated with all this speculation which ultimately
18 molecular biology may be able to answer.

19 **Studies of clubroot - descriptive records**

20 It is evident that in the Ancient World, there was increasing interest in observing agricultural
21 crop problems and conditions similar to clubroot are recorded. The Romans were aware of
22 root symptoms which they described as spongy fungus-like roots (*radices fungosae*).
23 Palladius suggests avoiding stable manure and chaff (Böhner 1922) so very early on, a
24 connection had been established between animals eating malformed roots and spreading a
25 disease of crops through their infested faeces. Subsequent early records come from Albert the
26 Great of Cologne (1196/1206 to 1280) who had widely travelled in the Spanish Low

1 Countries (now parts of Belgium and The Netherlands) and Italy. In both locations, brassicas
2 were increasingly important sources of food for man and his animals. Albertus noted spongy,
3 fungus like roots which have been interpreted as a record of clubroot. Later infections in
4 Spain are recorded on cabbages in the 15th century where cabbages are described as being
5 syphilitic [Chupp 1934 – translation of Woronin (1878) and Lancereaux (1869)]. Prominence
6 is given to the disease in England and Scotland through the 18th and 19th centuries co-
7 incidentally with the agricultural revolution [such as Anderson (1853)] and possibly
8 following the development of swedes and turnips as parts of the Norfolk four-course rotation.
9 Causes ascribed to conditions similar to clubroot include infection by “worms”,
10 unsatisfactory soil and poor crop nutrition, particularly the use of unbalanced fertiliser
11 applications as summarised by Karling (1968). He also describes the subsequent spread of
12 records of the incidence what could be interpreted as “clubroot disease” emerging across
13 northern Europe and into lands which were colonised by European migrants in the 19th and
14 20th centuries. Karling (1968) also records the multiplicity of vernacular names given to
15 clubroot in European countries. This multiplicity indicates the widespread nature of the
16 disease and its importance for the general population as a cause of devastation to an
17 important set of food crops.

18 **Initial scientific studies**

19 Fortunately, in the mid-19th century, these disease conditions interested the microbiologist
20 Michael Woronin who was working in St. Petersburg, Russia where he lectured in mycology.
21 In northern parts of Europe and western Asia, considerable reliance was placed on the
22 production and storage of cabbage as vital winter supplies of human food and animal fodder.
23 In the 19th century, losses due a disease which turned out to be clubroot were escalating and
24 Woronin took up its study. Previously, he had travelled widely in Western Europe studying
25 botany and mycology under authorities such as Anton de Bary in Frieburg and Holle in
26 Heidelberg. Consequently, he was knowledgeable and had a network of proficient mentors
27 with whom he could correspond and consult. In a three-year period (1873-1876), Woronin
28 established the identity of the causal agent of clubroot which he classified as *Plasmodiophora*
29 *brassicae*, made a description of symptoms, identified key hosts and salient parts of the life

1 cycle. He also established the taxonomic relationships ascribing *P. brassicae* as belonging to
2 the Protista (as then defined by E. Haeckel) (Chupp (1934) pg. 20). Woronin's detailed
3 cytology and taxonomic work established the scientific credentials of this organism and its
4 relationship with clubroot symptoms. His advice for its control included sanitation involving
5 removal of infested plants, the elimination of diseased seedlings prior to transplanting, the
6 use of crop rotation and dipping transplant roots in a suspension of soot. This latter probably
7 contained some heavy metals which are now known to be antagonistic to *P. brassicae*.

8 Woronin (1878) as translated by Chupp (1934) identified *P. brassicae* as a protist
9 which resembled the Myxomycetes but differed in the absence of a true sporangial
10 membrane. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* has subsequently been re-classified several times [for
11 example Cook & Schwartz (1930)]. The movement of *P. brassicae* through the Proteomyxa
12 of the Protozoa, Mycetozoa, Chytridiales, Archimycetes and many other classifications is
13 catalogued by Karling (1968) and Buczaki (1983). The organism is now placed in the order
14 Plasmodiophorales, family Plasmodiophoraceae (Braselton 1995).

15 **Establishing pathogen biology and host responses**

16 Research over the following three-quarters of a century is summarised in the monographs
17 produced by Colhoun (1958) and Karling (1968). Both provide substantial accounts of the
18 life history and reproduction of the pathogen and host reactions. Damage caused by clubroot
19 was substantial in some geographical areas. For instance, three-quarters of the swede crops in
20 the North East of Scotland, an essential component of the agricultural system which
21 demanded overwintering fodder for sheep, were considered as infected to some degree
22 (Elizabeth Gray, Aberdeen (deceased), personal communication). That resulted in the
23 breeding of swede 'Bruce' which exhibited resistance to clubroot in that region. While in
24 Wisconsin, USA substantial disease on cabbage in the 1930s resulted in the selection of the

1 resistant cultivar ‘Badger Shipper’ (Walker & Larson 1960). More generally, research
2 focused on establishing the distribution of resistance genes in hosts. It was soon evident that
3 monogenic resistance is concentrated in *B. rapa* while *B. napus* and *B. oleracea* contained
4 numbers of genes of small effect providing forms of field or polygenic resistance.
5 Assessments of resistance in cultivars and strains of host brassicas are listed by both Colhoun
6 (1958) and Karling (1968), but it was not possible at that time to provide logical commentary
7 on reasons for the distribution of genes within host lines. Since then there has been
8 substantial progress in understanding the genetic basis for resistance as reviewed by
9 Diederichsen et al. (2009).

10 Attempts at defining the life cycle of *P. brassicae* while following on from Woronin’s
11 classical work were hampered technically by the non-axenic nature of the pathogen and
12 consequently the protracted time required for completion of studies using seedling plants.
13 Ingram and co-workers (Ingram, 1969; Ingram & Tommerup, 1972) added substantially to an
14 understanding of the life cycle. They intended using tissue culture and callus culture in order
15 to take this work further. But it was realised that the ploidy of the cultures becomes unstable
16 and hence could influence the resemblance of results to the nature of events in soil. At the
17 same time, Butcher et al. (1974) achieved considerable success in understanding the intimate
18 relationship between host and pathogen by the use of electron microscopy. Studies in the
19 Netherlands (Dekhuizen & Overeem 1971) initiated the contention that symptoms reflect
20 disrupted host growth hormone metabolism. Gustaffson et al. (1986) also observed that as the
21 pathogen passed through the cortical cells, hypertrophy resulted. This is support for the view
22 that *P. brassicae* is well evolved and once established inside host tissue, is unlikely to re-
23 emerge and be exposed to the rigours of the soil environment as suggested in some putative
24 life cycles.

1 Collaborative European research evolved the European Clubroot Differential (ECD)
2 series which replaced a multitude of sets of differential phenotypes which had been used
3 worldwide by many different laboratories, making comparability of results difficult to
4 establish, evaluate and compare. Using this set, it was possible to undertake a worldwide
5 study of resistance reactions (Toxopeus et al. 1986). That made for opportunities for breeding
6 resistant cultivars, and in the 1980s, interest in the disease was stimulated because of
7 epidemics developing in European spring sown oilseed rape. The ECD series helped resolve
8 problems relating to the possible existence and importance or otherwise of physiological
9 races of *P. brassicae*. Jones (1981, 1982a, 1982b) using ECD demonstrated the highly
10 variable nature of the pathogen micro-geographically, while Toxopeus et al. (1986)
11 established that macro-geographically variation is related to cropping sequences. Following
12 that, Voorrips & Visser (1993) refined the use of the ECD series and single-spore isolates for
13 plant breeding purposes.

14 Asian researchers especially in Japan produced a stream of work which identified
15 further details of pathogen reproduction [reviewed by Kageyama & Asano (2009)]. This was
16 stimulated by the important uses of Asian brassicas (*B. rapa*) in the diet and growing concern
17 for the environmental consequences of applying agrochemicals, which contained heavy
18 metals such as mercury and chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds. The evaluation of
19 resistance became part of the regular assessment of cultivar characteristics.

20 Studies by Williams and co-workers (Williams, 1966; Williams et al., 1971; Aist &
21 Williams 1971) in the US established the cytological and biochemical details of the
22 secondary phase of the life cycle in considerable detail. Host metabolism is badly disrupted
23 and it became evident that galling symptoms resulted from disrupted host growth regulator
24 metabolism. They also defined in considerable detail the sequence of events taking place
25 when the contents of primary zoospores are physically injected into root hairs. Once attached

1 to the root hair, the zoospore flattens and the flagella coil around the zoospore which at this
2 stage is filled with ribosomes, lipid bodies, mitochondria and various vesicles. The flagella
3 are retracted into the zoospore body and then absorbed. The zoospore body “rounds up” and
4 along a tubular vesicle, the “rohr” develops which is bound by a cyst plasma membrane. The
5 open end of the rohr is directed towards the host cell wall. Contained within the rohr is a
6 sharp pointed rod, “the stachel”. The vacuole enlarges, filling half of the cyst, and the end of
7 the rohr swells, forming an adhesorium, which enables the cyst to attach onto the root hair.
8 Pressure is created inside the cyst by the enlargement of its vacuole and that forces the
9 stachel through the adhesorium and into the host cell wall. The zoospore protoplasm is
10 injected into the host cell upon evagination of the rohr, forming a primary plasmodium within
11 the host root hair. The infection process is considered to be physical as no enzyme
12 involvement has yet been detected.

13 Defining the environmental conditions conducive or suppressive to clubroot forms a
14 major part of research interest linked with studies of the effects of soil structure, texture and
15 nutrient composition (Rouxel 1991). This was based on the seminal studies by Garrett in
16 Cambridge University Botany School in the 1940s (Samuel & Garrett 1945; Garrett 1956)
17 which tracked root hair infection and outlined the environments conducive to invasion and
18 colonisation. Samuel & Garret (1945) found that the level of root hair infection increased
19 with greater resting spore densities and that at high alkalinity (pH 7.2), infection only
20 occurred at the higher resting spore concentrations of 10^6 and 10^7 . At a pH of 6.2, however,
21 infection occurred at all spore concentrations. This was later supported by the work of
22 Colhoun (1952, 1953) who also concluded that the level of clubroot infection increases with
23 increasing resting spore concentration. His studies provided a comprehensive analysis of
24 environmental factors which interact with pathogen and host characteristics and lead to
25 symptom expression.

1 In support of agricultural and horticultural practice, very considerable efforts were
2 invested in attempts at finding means of chemical control of clubroot. Many of the
3 compounds evaluated failed to enter commercial use because of their potentially damaging
4 effects on the environment. The impetus underpinning the interest of agro-chemical
5 companies resulted from the increasing worldwide importance of clubroot disease.
6 Regrettably, however, the results of such initiatives have largely failed to be taken up in
7 Europe (Dixon & Wilson 1984; Dixon et al. 1994). Elsewhere, chemicals such as
8 flusulphamide have considerably eased the problems which intensive growers of vegetable
9 brassicas face with this disease, and flusulphamide is now widely used in Japan and New
10 Zealand (Donald & Porter 2009).

11 From the 1960s through to the 1980s, research interest in clubroot waxed and waned
12 with centres of excellence being established briefly, shining brightly for a few years, and then
13 disappearing as key personnel moved elsewhere. Funding for research into clubroot and *P.*
14 *brassicae* has been limited. This is at least partially due to the extent of damage and
15 subsequent crop losses being difficult to quantify. This is ascribed to the ease with which
16 clubroot as a cause of crop loss is identified and therefore advisory staff made field-based
17 diagnoses (Joseph Lester, pers. comm.). Subsequently, they failed to retain adequate records
18 since advice normally consisted of a statement such as “don’t grow brassicas there again for
19 at least 5 years”. Additionally, the hosts of economic importance were solely vegetable
20 brassicas. Although these are highly valuable on an individual plant basis, the overall value
21 was regarded as small relative to large areas of broad hectare agricultural crops. Hence
22 clubroot was noted as a disease of “minor” crops for which establishing a cost and benefit
23 formula was at that time difficult. That attitude was made worse by the single failure of one
24 or two high profile European research programs to produce substantial returns commensurate
25 with the funding invested. Consequently, studies of clubroot were academically unpopular

1 and classed by funding agencies as “difficult and unlikely to yield substantial results which
2 are cost-beneficial”.

3 **Epidemiology, host physiology and environmental biology**

4 From the 1980s to the present day, there has been increasing scientific interest in *P.*
5 *brassicae* possibly because of the huge effects of infection on host growth regulator
6 metabolism. At the same time came recognition of clubroot disease as a major agricultural
7 problem (Dixon 2009c). This possibly reflects recognition of its worldwide impact on a larger
8 range of brassica crops, including some oil seeds and vegetables destined for export trades.
9 Stimulated by disease development in spring-sown oilseed rape in Europe, breeding programs
10 built around the use of major genes taken from *B. rapa* developed in Germany and
11 Scandinavia (eg., those reported by Diederichsen et al. 2006 and Wallenhammar et al. 2000).
12 An active program charting field epidemiology in oil rape crops in Sweden determined the
13 half-life and capacities for disease spread. In these studies, Wallenhammar (1996) established
14 that the half-life of *P. brassicae* resting spores is 3.6 years. It would therefore take 18 years,
15 in the absence of a suitable host, for a field population of *P. brassicae* to decrease to 3 per
16 cent of the original spore population. This finding added much needed scientific clarity to
17 estimations of the time needed for crop rotations which have been long advocated as one
18 means of control.

19 Clubroot has been a scourge of overwintered cauliflower production in France and
20 during this period, studies demonstrated that suppressiveness could be identified in some
21 soils in Brittany, the major area of production. Extensive programs over several decades
22 studied potential resistance across cruciferous genomes (such as Delorme et al. 1998; Jubault
23 et al. 2008); Japanese workers had a long-term interest in clubroot stretching back many
24 decades. This interest produced major studies of the life cycle, understandings of interactions

1 with other soilborne organisms, the effects of using crop rotation and non-host crops and
2 detailed aspects of host-pathogen physiology which is now being expressed in considerable
3 interest in biological and integrated control (for example Narisawa et al. 2005). Breeders
4 produced a stable of new cultivars of Chinese cabbage with varying levels of resistance to
5 clubroot disease (Yoshikawa 1993).

6 There was a long-term interest in the host-pathogen physiology in the Free University
7 in Berlin which produced more details relating to the life cycle and other aspects of *P.*
8 *brassicae*. This also led to a major program concerned with the effects of the pathogen on
9 host physiology led by J. Ludwig-Müller and J. Siemens. Eventually, this program relocated
10 to Dresden and has continued very productive work (Ludwig-Müller et al. 2009 pg. 239-240).
11 These authors discussed and summarised many years of study in the following analysis: “The
12 overwhelming of host defence in the first 12 hours after infection results in the down
13 regulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) metabolism. It appears that host defenses then
14 recover after 1-2 days and ROS cell death is minimal during the entire progression of gall
15 formation. Cytokinin biosynthesis is up-regulated after 3 days in combination with the
16 parallel down regulation of adenosine kinase, catalysing the conversion (inactivation) of
17 active cytokinin ribosides to the corresponding ribotides. Auxin synthesis is gradually
18 increased by inducing myrosinases and nitrilases. Along with the host defense, metabolism
19 (S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetase and glycolysis) and protein degradation and
20 signaling mechanisms (more specific proteasome proteins and chaperonins) are overwhelmed
21 in the first 12 hours after infection and up-regulated thereafter. Because SAM is also a
22 precursor of ethylene and polyamines it is possible to predict that these plant growth
23 regulators might also have a similar pattern. Cellular defense (via chitinase, lignin
24 biosynthesis, phenol synthesis, tubulins, peroxidases) is down-regulated during the entire
25 primary stage of the infection process. Finally energy production is gradually increased from

1 4 to 5 days after infection. This latter corresponds with the functioning of the plasmodia as
2 metabolic sinks”. This builds on original studies such as Keen & Williams (1969) and
3 Kavanagh & Williams (1981).

4 Advances in molecular biology generally produced research technology and tools
5 which enabled previously unattainable results to be achieved in the 2000s. The intriguing
6 relationship between *P. brassicae* and brassica hosts offers molecular biologists fertile areas
7 for study. Since the model plant for much of molecular biology research, *A. thaliana*, is a
8 brassica this adds impetus to such studies. Much progress has been achieved in identifying
9 clubroot resistance genes (CR). Over 20 quantitative trait loci (QTL) have been mapped in
10 different linkage groups of *B. oleracea*, along with the dominant genes already recognised in
11 *B. napus* (Piao et al. 2009). The impetus for this work in South Korea has stemmed from the
12 economic and social importance of Chinese cabbage as a vital part of their diet. Similar and
13 comparable work has developed in Europe where the emphasis has focused more
14 predominantly on understanding virulence in the pathogen. Chromosomes of *P. brassicae*
15 varying from 2.2 Mb to 680 kb have been characterised and the total genome is estimated at
16 20 Mb (Siemens et al. 2009), which has recently been increased to 24 Mb (Schwelm,
17 personal communication). The genomic gene structure and cDNA structure of several genes
18 has been elucidated and the expression of these genes linked with the development of
19 clubroot. The sequence data support the inclusion of *P. brassicae* in particular and the
20 plasmodiophorids more generally in the Cercozoa (Siemens et al. 2009). Combining
21 knowledge of resistance in brassicas with that of virulence in the pathogen provides a robust
22 platform from which the development of resistant cultivars may proceed. These are vital and
23 increasingly important tools needed for the control of the disease, especially where it causes
24 destruction in broad hectare crops, such as oilseed rape, in which the intensive mitigation
25 measures used on vegetable brassicas cannot be deployed for practical reasons.

1 **Combining molecular biology with suppressiveness and gaining control**

2 It is now possible to see research opportunities which offer both avenues for deeper
3 understanding of the relationship of *P. brassicae* with its environment and increased
4 capacities for controlling the clubroot disease. Even before Woronin, it was realised
5 serendipitously that *P. brassicae* and clubroot disease are favoured by high concentrations of
6 hydrogen ions in the soil, i.e. those with acidic pH. Soils with high calcium content and
7 pH>7.0 are antagonistic to the pathogen and the disease. Why should this be ? Especially, as
8 other members of the Plamodiophorales react quite differently (e.g., *S. subterranea* which
9 causes powdery scab of potatoes). Throughout the history of clubroot research, there has been
10 a long trail of field studies attempting to understand the effects of different forms of calcium.
11 Much of this research is of limited value since it had been done with little regard to many
12 uncontrolled biological and agricultural variables. In early studies, the optimum pH for
13 clubroot infection was considered as approximately 6.0 (slightly acidic soils) (Macfarlane
14 1952; Colhoun 1953). Alkaline soils were thought to reduce symptom development, and it
15 was recommended for good control of clubroot that the pH of the soil should be raised to
16 between 7.3 and 7.5 (Anon 1984). The debate is as to whether the alkalinity of the soil is
17 responsible for decreased infection or whether this is partly due to the increase in calcium
18 ions (Dixon & Webster 1988; Webster & Dixon 1991a, b). Earlier work by Samuel & Garrett
19 (1945), in which soil alkalinity was altered using nutrient solutions which did not contain
20 calcium, suggested that it was the direct effect of pH which decreased the level of infection.
21 A soil pH greater than 7.2 was found by Myers & Campbell (1985) to decrease primary
22 infections due to abortion of primary thalli before the release of secondary zoospores. At a
23 soil pH greater than 8.0, thalli that did develop zoospores were misshapen and aborted and no
24 clubs developed. This supports the contention that secondary zoospores are necessary for gall
25 development. Infection can occur in alkaline soils if the soil inoculum levels are sufficiently

1 high (Colhoun 1953; Karling 1968). The importance of soil moisture content has long been
2 hinted at in the literature, but Thuma et al. (1983) established that there is a direct relationship
3 between increased soil moisture content and the level of primary infection, such that as the
4 moisture content of the soil rose, the level of infection increased.

5 Work by Webster (1986) clearly demonstrated that elevated calcium concentrations in the
6 soil environment retarded the rate of maturation of *P. brassicae* in root hairs, reducing the
7 speed and quantities of plasmodia which matured into sporangia. Her detailed studies
8 quantified these effects, showing that the quantity of calcium in the rhizosphere could be
9 related to changes in the reproductive rate and maturation of *P. brassicae*. There had been
10 debate as to whether raising the soil pH is responsible for the decrease in *P. brassicae*
11 infection or the presence of calcium ions. This was resolved by some elegant experiments
12 involving the use of organic buffers by Webster (1986), who determined that calcium and pH
13 effects were separate but complimentary in relation to the promotion and diminution of the
14 growth of the pathogen. She also determined that boron had similar but more intense effects
15 compared with calcium and that the forms of nitrogen present could also regulate the success
16 or failure of the host-pathogen interaction. Boron at elevated concentrations also appeared to
17 be associated with the diminution of the secondary phase of the *P. brassicae* life cycle and
18 symptom expression was reduced by high concentrations of boron in the root environment.
19 Craig & Dixon (1993) reinforced these findings with studies of root hair infection rates in the
20 presence of elevated boron concentrations. Subsequently, Page (2001) clearly demonstrated
21 that a combination of calcium and nitrate-nitrogen was antagonistic to the growth and
22 reproduction of *P. brassicae*. By contrast, ammoniacal-nitrogen encouraged the life cycling
23 of *P. brassicae*. She also demonstrated that repeated field applications of calcium compounds
24 could encourage the development of soil suppressiveness which inhibited the development of
25 disease. In parallel with these studies, Dixon (2012b) has reported that calcium cyanamide

1 fertiliser is considered to stimulate the development of soil microbes which are antagonistic
2 to *P. brassicae*. It is evident from these studies that calcium, boron and nitrate-nitrogen have
3 suppressive effects which may act synergistically. This evidence provides some scientific
4 basis for the long-term practice of liming soil, changing soil chemistry so that hydrogen ion
5 concentration is reduced, and there are increased quantities of calcium and other elements in
6 the rhizosphere. In all probability, the relative concentrations of these molecules in soil
7 moisture have a retarding effect on the ability of primary zoospores of *P. brassicae* to reach
8 the root hair, and supports changes to the flora associated with the rhizosphere and root hair
9 surfaces, such that penetration becomes more difficult and, importantly, the internal
10 environment which *P. brassicae* primary zoospores experience once inside the host becomes
11 less conducive for growth and reproduction. Changing soil chemistry is a well-established
12 farming practice and there are now some good biological reasons under-pinning its efficacy.
13 Dixon and co-workers (Dixon 2010) spent a couple of decades attempting to inject some
14 scientific understanding into the relationship between the pathogen, the hosts and calcium
15 and other mineral soil constituents. Their results demonstrate that there is a dynamic and
16 integrated relationship. Calcium and boron affect the primary zoospore and the attendant
17 invasion and colonisation stages of *P. brassicae*. Boron is additionally involved in the
18 secondary symptom development and expression stages. Forms of nitrogen may also be
19 involved in some of these events. Pathogenesis results from a sequence of interactive events
20 firstly in the soil and then within the host.

21 In the last decade, research has re-focused on the opportunities for direct biological
22 control of *P. brassicae*. Research into epoxydon (5-hydroxy-3-(hydroxymethyl)-7-oxolate
23 bicyclo [4.1.0] hepta-3-en-2-one) may pave the way for a new range of agrochemicals.
24 Epoxydon was obtained from a culture of *Phoma glomerata* (No. 324 = JCM 9972) from the
25 leaves of *Viola* spp. Comparisons of the effectiveness of epoxydon with several anti-auxins

1 indicated that the anti-auxin 2, 3, 5 tri-iodo benzoic acid was the active ingredient (Arie et al.
2 1998). More recently, *Bacillus subtilis* has shown promise in commercial preparations as a
3 bio-control agent (Lahlali et al. 2011; Li Xing Yu et al. 2012).

4 Biological control was defined by Garrett (1965) as “any conditions under which, or
5 practice whereby, survival or activity of a pathogen is reduced through the agency of any
6 other living organism (except man himself), with the result that there is a reduction in the
7 incidence of the disease caused by the pathogen”. Biological control not only includes
8 individual organisms which reduce disease but also husbandry methods, such as
9 intercropping, flooding, rotations, and the application of manure. These measures which
10 influence the biological components of the habitat are referred to as indirect biological
11 control (Campbell, 1989).

12 Some soils which are suppressive to *P. brassicae* have been identified. In some
13 instances, the soils did not remain suppressive after sterilisation, indicating that a biological
14 agent could be responsible but that the physical and chemical properties of the soil were
15 potentially also responsible for the reduction in disease intensity (Hseih & Jaw-Fen 1986;
16 Jaw-Fen & Wen-Hsui 1986; Tsushima et al. 1996; Workhu & Gerhardson 1996). It seems
17 likely that suppressiveness could result from a combination of microbial action conditioned
18 by the physical and chemical environment present in the soil. Rouxel (1984; Rouxel et al.
19 1988) studied the receptiveness of soils in Brittany to *P. brassicae* and concluded that biotic
20 suppressive soils were present and that their performance was influenced by soil pH. This
21 indicated the presence of a specific type of microflora but the bio-control agent was not
22 identified. Factors which influence the suppressiveness of a soil or compost include soil
23 texture, pH, moisture content, organic matter content and mineral or nutrient composition.
24 Suppressiveness may, therefore, be encouraged by altering these factors (Broadbent & Baker
25 1974; Boehm & Hoitink 1992). The action of physical or chemical factors which enhance the

1 soil suppressiveness are also likely to increase the capacities of microorganisms for biological
2 control (Campbell 1989). Therefore, the addition of organically derived factors, such as chitin
3 and seaweed extracts, to the soil environment can alter its suppressive qualities and help to
4 decrease disease development. These findings suggest that a more holistic approach to
5 clubroot disease control may be the most fruitful.

6 The work of Dixon & Webster (1988) goes some way towards explaining the
7 occurrence of soils which are suppressive to *P. brassicae* but not due to biotic factors. Such
8 soils have been identified in Taiwan. These soils had a pH greater than 7.4 and a calcium
9 content of 1210 ppm (Hseih & Wang 1986). The latter used suppressive soil samples with a
10 pH of 7.3 and a calcium content of 1460 ppm. When the suppressive soil was diluted with
11 conducive soil, the pH was altered to 6.7 and the calcium content to 1210 ppm.
12 Suppressiveness was lost from soils when they were acidified with sulphuric acid but
13 returned when sodium carbonate was applied. Acidification of the soil increased the level of
14 calcium by the dissolution of calcium compounds. As a result the availability of calcium
15 decreased due to the higher concentrations of hydrogen ions.

16 The fertiliser calcium cyanamide provides a classic example of the manner by which
17 soil suppressiveness to *P. brassicae* may be encouraged by the manipulation of soil
18 chemistry, which then encourages the development of microbial flora which are antagonistic
19 to the pathogen. This fertiliser is well established and environmentally benign (Dixon 2012b).
20 The activity of calcium cyanamide in relation to *P. brassicae* infection was recognised as
21 long ago as 1928 by Kindshoven. Since that date, there have been numerous studies which
22 related the application of this fertiliser with enhanced microbial activity and the suppression
23 of clubroot disease.

24 This approach was continued and developed in Australia from the 1990s onward,
25 where clubroot became a major scourge of vegetable brassicas especially cauliflower in

1 Victoria and Western Australia around Perth. Some of these crops are destined for export to
2 neighbouring countries and hence had substantial market value. The program and its
3 significant results are summarised by Donald (2005) and Donald & Porter (2009). Their
4 detailed work built on and supported that of previous researchers. Their research confirmed
5 that evidence supports the benefits of long rotations, increasing soil pH using liming
6 compounds including calcium cyanamide, applications of calcium and boron and the need for
7 agrochemicals such as flusulphamide and fluazimam. During their work, they developed
8 strategies for the simple and practical hygiene measures which are of especial importance
9 where brassica transplants are being raised in bulk by professional propagators for onward
10 delivery to crop producers. Resistant cultivars of vegetable brassicas and oilseed rape
11 emerged during this period of time (Diederichsen et al. 2006; Hirai 2006), and these formed
12 important parts of an integrated control program. Indeed, the use of a range of strategies for
13 control of clubroot disease is essential in order to preserve and protect resistant cultivars from
14 erosion due to the changes in the spectrum of virulence dominating in populations of *P.*
15 *brassicae*. This is especially important since Diederichsen et al. (2009) found that isolates of
16 the pathogen display great variation and show tendencies for overcoming resistance sources
17 from either *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*. At present, resistance genes from *B. rapa* (stubble turnip
18 types) are the most effective and widely used. The biological progress made in mapping
19 resistance and virulence genes should be used in practice by breeding programs, with the aim
20 of broadening the genetic basis for clubroot resistance by using both natural variation and
21 transgenic strategies (Diederichsen et al. 2009).

22 It is essential that robust diagnostic tools are utilised in order to quantify the inoculum
23 potential (*sensu* Garrett) and track the efficacy or otherwise of remedial mitigation
24 husbandry. Molecular biology offers methods based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
25 which provides opportunities for assaying soil, tissue and water samples (Faggian & Strelkov

1 2009). They note that, in addition, serological-based tests could, if available, offer a straight-
2 forward field testing system.

3 The Canadian epidemic in canola (oilseed rape, *B. napus*) crops (Howard et al. 2010;
4 Hwang et al. 2012) has resulted in the largest and adequately funded program seen so far.
5 Robust diagnostic tools (Rennie et al. 2011) have been developed and put into service to
6 evaluate disease development and spread. These substantial studies of disease epidemiology
7 have shown new and previously under-appreciated means of spread, produced solid basic
8 research results on the disease and pathogen cycles and helped lay the foundations for
9 genetically modified cultivars which are coming on stream from private and public sources
10 (Hasan et al. 2012). Systems for the amelioration of soils as part of integrated control have
11 been investigated (Hwang et al. 2011). This research program has investigated the effects of
12 boron on reducing the growth and reproduction of *P. brassicae* (Deora et al. 2011).
13 Alongside all this work runs a strand of more fundamental studies looking at host-pathogen
14 relationships (Deora et al. 2012; Feng et al. 2012; Gossen et al. 2012; Hwang et al. 2012).
15 Currently, clubroot is recognised as of major significance in both brassica vegetables and oil
16 seeds in China. The problem there is so huge that special measures are being taken to boost
17 research and attain methods for control.

18 The approach which preserves and enhances soil integrity linked with capacities for
19 increased agricultural productivity and profitability, offers means by which some of the
20 serious problems which face mankind in increasing food supplies while conserving
21 biodiversity and reducing adverse impacts on the environment may be achieved. This
22 requires a detailed understanding of the complexities of the soil environment in which the
23 hosts of *P. brassicae* grow and in which clubroot disease develops (Fig. 1). The pathogen *P.*
24 *brassicae* and its hosts have been subjected to some detailed scientific studies over the last
25 one and a half centuries. As a result, some of the biological challenges are more clearly

1 understood and some of the agricultural challenges have been reduced. But the combination
2 of *P. brassicae* and clubroot disease still demands substantial further investigation. This is a
3 biologically intrinsically interesting microbial pathogen with a novel life cycle well adapted
4 to the colonization of hosts which then provide energy supplies for use by its future
5 generations. Understanding the biology of this host-pathogen interaction potentially offers
6 routes for deeper knowledge of cellular metabolism and the manner by which it can be
7 altered in beneficial as well as harmful directions. Some of the interactions between *P.*
8 *brassicae* and its hosts in the soil environment have been unraveled over the last two decades.
9 There is now a much enhanced understanding of the effects of soil chemistry on the early
10 vulnerable stage of the life cycle of *P. brassicae* when naked primary zoospores are released.
11 Additionally, substantial knowledge has been gained of the effects of soil chemistry on the
12 primary invasion and colonization of root hairs. This is summarized in Fig. 2. The practical
13 objective is achieving the suppression of growth by *P. brassicae* as described in Fig. 3.
14 Agriculturally, there are opportunities for developing far greater understandings of the
15 mechanisms of genetic resistance and how products of plant breeding may be integrated with
16 other controls. Most interestingly in that respect are studies of how rotational cropping alters
17 the microbial flora such that it is antagonistic to *P. brassicae*. The phenomenon of
18 suppressiveness is now accepted scientifically as a valid area for study and engineering
19 means for developing this are key for sustainable and holistic integrated control strategies.
20 Potentially interweaving crop resistance, rotational cropping and the resultant
21 suppressiveness with biological control and husbandry which tailors soil chemistry and
22 physics towards limiting the disease causing potential of *P. brassicae* is likely to be the most
23 effective and cost-beneficial agricultural strategy. Now that this disease has penetrated one of
24 the world's key traded commodity crops produced in Canada and has been admitted to be a

1 scourge in China, which is the world's most populous country, there are very good reasons
2 for anticipating that this research will proceed.

3

4 **References**

5 Adams D, 2000. News feature – now the hard ones. *Nature* 408:792-793.

6 Aist J R, Williams P H. 1971. The cytology and kinetics of of cabbage root hair penetration
7 by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Can J Bot.* 49: 2023-2034.

8 Anderson A. 1855. Report on the disease of finger and toe in turnips. *Trans Highland Agric*
9 *Soc Scotland Ser.* 3:118-140.

10 Anon. 1984. Agricultural Development and Advisory Service (ADAS). Clubroot Leaflet no
11 276, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London

12 Anon. 2000. (The *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative Partnership). Analysis of the genome
13 sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 408: 796-815.

14 Arie T, Kobayashi Y, Okada G, Kono Y, Yamaguchi I. 1998. Control of soil borne clubroot
15 disease of cruciferous plants by epoxydon from *Phoma glomerata*. *Plant Pathol* 47: 743-
16 748

17 Braselton J P. 1995. Current status of the Plasmodiophorids. *Critical Rev Microbiol* 21:263-
18 275.

19 Boehm M J, Hoitink H A. 1992. Sustenance of microbial activity in potting mixes and its
20 impact on the severity of *Pythium* root rot of *Poinsettia*. *Phytopathol* 82: 259-264.

21 Böhner K. 1922. Vom Kohlkroof. *Frankischer Kurier*, Nurnberg 8: 111; Woronin M. 1878.
22 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Urheber der Kohlpflanzen-Hernie. *Jahrb Wiss Bot.* 11: 548-574.

- 1 Broadbent D, Baker K F. 1974. Behaviour of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in soils suppressive
2 and conducive to root rot. Aust J Agric Res 25: 121-127.
- 3 Butcher D N, El-Tigani S, Ingram D S. 1974. The roles of indole glucosinolates in the
4 clubroot disease of the cruciferae. Physiol Plant Path 4: 127-141.
- 5 Buczacki S T. 1983 Plasmodiophora : an inter-relationship between biological and practical
6 problems. In: Editor S T Buczacki. Zoosporic plant pathogens – a modern perspective.
7 London : Academic Press. p p. 161-192.
- 8 Campbell R. 1989. Biological control of microbial plant pathogens. London : Cambridge
9 University Press.
- 10 Cook W R I, Schwartz E J. 1930. The life-history, cytology and method of infection of
11 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woron., the cause of finger and toe disease in cabbages and other
12 crucifers. Phil Trans Royal Soc London 218: 283-314.
- 13 Chupp C. 1934. A translation of : *Plasmodiophora brassicae* – the cause of cabbage hernia
14 by M S Woronin 1878, Phytopathological Classics No. 4, American Phytopathological
15 Society, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- 16 Craig M A, Dixon G R. 1993. Alterations to the growth of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor.
17 (clubroot) associated with boron. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Congress of Plant
18 Pathology, National Council for Research Canada, Montreal, Canada. Poster 3.4.12 ISBN: 0-
19 660-58038-1.
- 20 Crute I R, Gray A R, Crisp P, Buczacki S T. 1980. Variation in *Plasmodiophora brassicae*
21 and resistance to clubroot disease in brassicas and allied crops – a critical review. Plant Breed
22 Abs. 50: 91-103.

- 1 Colhoun J. 1952. Factors affecting the incidence of club root disease of Brassicae. Nature .
2 London, 169: 21-22.
- 3 Colhoun J. 1953. A study of the epidemiology of club root disease of Brassicae. Ann Appl
4 Biol 40: 262-283.
- 5 Colhoun J. 1958. Clubroot disease of crucifers caused by *Plasmodiophora brassicae* A
6 monograph. *Phytopathological Paper* no. 3. The Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew,
7 Surrey.
- 8 Dekhuizen H M, Overeem J C. 1971. The role of cytokinins in clubroot formation. *Physiol.*
9 *Plant Pathol.* 1: 151-162.
- 10 Delorme R, Foissart N, Horvais R, Barrett P, Champagne G, Cheung W Y, Landry B S,
11 Renard M. 1998. Characterisation of the radish introgression carrying the *Rfo* restorer genes
12 for the *Ogu*-INRA cytoplasmic male sterility in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L). *Theor Appl Gen.*
13 97: 129-134.
- 14 Deora A, Gossen B D, Walley F, McDonald M R. 2011. Boron reduces development of
15 clubroot in canola. *Can J Plant Pathol.* 33: 475-484.
- 16 Deora A, Gossen B D, McDonald M R. 2012. Infection and development of
17 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in resistant and susceptible canola cultivars. *Can J Plant Pathol.*
18 34: 239-247.
- 19 Diederichsen E, Frauen M, Linders E G A, Hatakeyama K, Hirai M. 2009. Status and
20 perspectives of clubroot resistance breeding in crucifer crops. In: Dixon G.R., editor.
21 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters host growth and
22 productivity. Special edition, *J Plant Growth Regul.* 28: 264-281.

- 1 Diederichsen E, Beckman J, Jörg Schondelmeier J, Dreyer F. 2006. Genetics of clubroot
2 resistance in *Brassica napus* ‘Mendel’. Acta Hort. 706: 307-311.
- 3 Dixon G R. 2012a. Climate change, plant pathogens and food production. Can J Plant Pathol.
4 34: 362-379.
- 5 Dixon G R. 2012b. Calcium cyanamide - a synoptic review of an environmentally benign
6 fertiliser which enhances soil health. Acta Hort. 938: 211-217.
- 7 Dixon G R. 2010. Calcium and pH as parts of a coherent control strategy for clubroot disease
8 (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*). Acta Hort. 867: 151-156.
- 9 Dixon GR. 2007. Vegetable Brassicas and Related Crucifers. Crop Production Science in
10 Horticulture Series No 14. Crop Production Science in Horticulture CABI Publishing,
11 Wallingford, Oxfordshire.
- 12 Dixon G R. 1981. Vegetable Crop Diseases. Macmillan Publishers Ltd., London &
13 Basingstoke.
- 14 Dixon G R. 1980. Variation in *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. Ann Appl Biol. 94: 278-280.
- 15 Dixon G R. 2002. Interactions of soil nutrient environment, pathogenesis and host resistance.
16 Plant Prot Sci. 38 : 87 - 94.
- 17 Dixon G R, Page L V. 1998. Calcium and nitrogen eliciting alterations to growth and
18 reproduction of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot). Acta Hort. 459: 343 – 349.
- 19 Dixon G R. 1984. Field evaluation of WL 105 305 (NK 483) for control of clubroot
20 (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*). Tests of Agrochemicals and Cultivars No 5 .Ann Appl Biol 104
21 (Supplement) 34-35.

- 1 Dixon G R, Craig M A, Burgess P J, Thomas J. 1994. A new soil applied fungicide for the
2 control of plasmodial fungi. Proceedings Brighton Crop Protection Conference, Pests and
3 Diseases 2: 541-548.
- 4 Dixon G R. 1996. Repression of the morphogenesis of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor. by
5 boron - a review. Acta Hort. 407: 393 - 402
- 6 Dixon G R, Webster M A. 1988. Antagonistic effects of boron, calcium and pH on
7 pathogenesis caused by *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) - A review of recent work.
8 Crop Res (Hort Res) 28: 83-95.
- 9 Dixon G R. 2006. The biology of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor., - A review of recent
10 advances. Acta Hort. 706: 271-282.
- 11 Dixon G R. 2009a. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in its environment. In: Dixon G R, editor.
12 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters host growth and
13 productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Reg. 28: 212-228.
- 14 Dixon G R. 2009b. Husbandry – the sustainable means of controlling soil borne pathogens:- a
15 synoptic review. In: Dixon, G R, editor. Proceedings of the Symposium of Horticulture in
16 Europe, Vienna, Austria; 2008 Feb. 17 – 21. Acta Hort. 817: 233-242.
- 17 Dixon G R. 2009c. The occurrence and impact of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* and clubroot
18 disease. In: Dixon G.R., editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that
19 alters host growth and productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Regul. 28: 194-202.
- 20 Dixon G R, Tilston E L. 2010. Soil-borne pathogens and their interactions with the soil
21 environment. In: Dixon, G R & Tilston E L, editors. Soil Microbiology and Sustainable Crop
22 Production Springer Science Business Media, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (pp. 197-271).

- 1 Dixon G R. 2010. Calcium and pH as elements in a coherent control system for clubroot.
2 Acta Hort. 867: 151-155.
- 3 Donald E C. 2005. The influence of abiotic factors and host plant physiology on the survival
4 and pathology of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* of vegetable brassicas. [dissertation]. The
5 University of Melbourne, Australia.
- 6 Donald C, Porter I. 2009. Integrated control of clubroot. In: Dixon R G., editor.
7 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters host growth and
8 productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Reg. 28: 289-303.
- 9 Einhorn G, Bochow H, Huber J, Krebs B. 1991. Methodological studies to detect
10 anatagonists of the clubroot pathogen, *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor. Archiv fur
11 Phytopathologie und Pflanzenschutz – Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot. 27: 205-208.
- 12 Evans J L, Scholes J D. 1995. How does clubroot alter the regulation of carbon metabolism in
13 its host? Aspects App Biol. 42: 125-132.
- 14 Faggian R, Strelkov S E. 2009. Detection and measurement of *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. In:
15 Dixon R J., editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters host
16 growth and productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Reg. 28: 282-287.
- 17 Feng L Q, Guoru, X, Zichao M, Yixin W, Yueqiu H. 2012. Analyses for the colonization
18 ability of *Bacillus subtilis* XF-1 in the rhizosphere. Acta Phytophyl Sin. 39: 425-430.
- 19 Feng J, Xiao Q, Hwang SF, Strelkov S E, Gossen B D. 2012. Infection of canola by
20 secondary zoospores of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* produced on a nonhost. Eur J Plant Path.
21 132: 309-315.
- 22 Garrett S D. 1956. Biology of Root Invading Fungi. Cambridge University Press.

- 1 Gossen B D, Adhikari K K C, McDonald M R. 2012. Effects of temperature on infection and
2 subsequent development of clubroot under controlled conditions. *Plant Pathol.* 61: 593-599.
- 3 Gustafsson M, Fält A-S. 1986. Genetic studies on resistance to clubroot in *Brassica napus*.
4 *Ann Appl Biol.* 108: 409-415.
- 5 Hasan M J, Strelkov S E, Howard R J, Rahman H. 2012. Screening of Brassica germplasm
6 for resistance to *Plasmodiophora brassicae* pathotypes prevalent in Canada for broadening
7 diversity in clubroot resistance. *Can J Plant Sci.* 92: 501-515.
- 8 Hasse I, May-De Mio L L, Lima Neto Vda C. 2007. The effect of pre-plantation with
9 medicinal plants in the *Plasmodiophora brassicae* control.. *Summa Phytopathol.* 33: 74-79.
- 10 Hirai M. 2006. Genetic analysis of clubroot resistance in *Brassica rapa*. *Breed. Sci.* 56: 223-
11 229.
- 12 Howard R J, Strelkov S E, Harding M W. 2010. Clubroot of cruciferous crops - new
13 perspectives on an old disease. *Can J. Plant Pathol.* 32: 43-57.
- 14 Hseith W H, Wang J. 1986. Investigation on suppressive soils of clubroot crucifers in
15 Taiwan. *Plant Prot Bull Taiwan Republic China.* 28: 353-362.
- 16 Hwang S F, Strelkov S E, Gossen B D, Turnbull G D, Ahmed H U, Manolii V P. 2011.
17 Soil treatments and amendments for amelioration of clubroot of canola. *Can J Plant Sci.* 91:
18 999-1010.
- 19 Hwang S F, Ahmed H U, Zhou Q, Strelkov S E, Gossen B D, Peng G, Turnbull G D
20 2012. Assessment of the impact of resistant and susceptible canola on *Plasmodiophora*
21 *brassicae* inoculum potential. *Plant Pathol.* 61: 945-952.

- 1 Hwang SF, Strelkov S E, Feng J, Gossen B D, Howard R J. 2012. *Plasmodiophora*
2 *brassicae*: a review of an emerging pathogen of the Canadian canola (*Brassica napus*) crop.
3 Mol Plant Pathol. 13: 105-113.
- 4 Ingram D S. 1969. Growth of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in host callus. J Gen Microbiol. 56:
5 55-67.
- 6 Ingram D S, Tommerup I C. 1972. The life history of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woron. Proc
7 Roy Soc London Ser B. 180: 103-112.
- 8 Jaw-Fen W. 1986. Studies on the suppressive factors and characteristics of suppressive soils
9 of clubroot in crucifers. Plant Prot Bull Taiwan, Rep China 28: 363-370.
- 10 Jones D R. 1981. Differential pathogenicity of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor.[dissertation].
11 University of Cambridge.
- 12 Jones D R, Ingram D S, Dixon G R. 1982a. Characterisation of isolates derived from single
13 resting spores of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* and studies of their interactions. Plant Pathol. 31:
14 239-245.
- 15 Jones D R, Ingram D S, Dixon G R. 1982b. Factors affecting tests for differential
16 pathogenicity in populations of *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. Plant Pathol. 31: 229-238.
- 17 Jubault M, Hamon C, Gravot A, Lariagon C, Delorme R, Bouche-Reau A, Manzanzares-
18 Dauleux M J. 2008. Differential regulation of root arginine catabolism and polyamine
19 metabolism in clubroot-susceptible and partially resistant *Arabidopsis* genotypes. Plant
20 Physiol. 146: 2008-2019.

- 1 Kageyama K, Asanoo T. 2009. Life cycle of *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. In: Dixon G R.,
2 editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters host growth and
3 productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Reg 28: 203-211.
- 4 Karling J S. 1968. The Plasmodiophorales. Hafner Publishing Company, New York &
5 London.
- 6 Kavanagh J A, Williams P H. 1981. Indole auxins in *Plasmodiophora* infected cabbage roots
7 and hypocotyls. Mycol. Res. 77:125-129
- 8 Keen N T. 1969. Translocation of sugars into infected cabbage tissues during clubroot
9 development. Plant Physiol. 44: 748-754.
- 10 Kindshoven J. 1928. Entseuchung des Bodens und Bekämpfung der Kohlhernie und
11 Kalkstickstoff. Mitt Deut Landw Gesell. 43: 522-523.
- 12 Kowalski K, Bochow H. 1996. Observations on the behaviour of resting spores of
13 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in the presence of cruciferous and non-cruciferous plant roots.
14 Acta Hort. 407: 419-422.
- 15 Lahlali R, Peng G, McGregor L, Gossen B D, Hwang S F, McDonald M. 2011.
16 Mechanisms of the biofungicide Serenade (*Bacillus subtilis* QST713) in suppressing
17 clubroot. Biocon Sci Tech. 21: 1351-1362.
- 18 Lancereaux E. 1869. A treatise on syphilis, historoical and practical. Trans. by G Whitley,
19 New Sydenham Society, London 2, page 363.
- 20 Li X, Mao Z, Wang Y, Wu Y, He Y, Long C. 2012. ESI LC-MS and MS/MS
21 characterization of antifungal cyclic lipopeptides produced by *Bacillus subtilis* XF-1. J Mol
22 Microbiol Biotechnol. 22: 83-93.

- 1 Ludwig-Müller J, Prinsen E, Rolfe S A, Scholes J D. 2009. Metabolism and plant hormone
2 action during clubroot disease. In: Dixon, G R, editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) –
3 a plant pathogen that alters host growth and productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth
4 Reg. 28: 229-244.
- 5 McFarlane I. 1955. Variation in *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wooron. Ann Appl Biol. 43:
6 297-306.
- 7 Moxham S E, Buczacki S T. 1983. Chemical composition of the resting spore wall of
8 *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. Mycol Res. 80: 17-28.
- 9 Myers D E, Campbell R N. 1985. Lime and the control of clubroot of crucifers: effects of
10 pH, calcium, magnesium and their interactions. Phytopathology 75: 670-673.
- 11 Narisawa K, Shimura M, Usuki F, Fukuhara S, Hashiba T. 2005. Effects of pathogen
12 density, soil moisture and soil pH on biological control of clubroot of Chinese cabbage by
13 *Heteroconium chaetospora*. Plant Dis. 89: 285-290.
- 14 Neuhauser, S., Kirchmair, M. & Gleason, F. H. 2011. Ecological roles of the parasitic
15 phytomyxids (plasmodiophorids) in marine ecosystems – a review. Marine Freshwater Res.
16 62: 365-371.
- 17 Page L V. 2001. Studies of components for a potential integrated control system for
18 *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. [dissertation]. University of Strathclyde, Glasgow.
- 19 Piao Z, Ramchiary N, Lim, Y P. 2009. Genetics of clubroot resistance in *Brassica* species.
20 In: Dixon, G R, editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen that alters
21 host growth and productivity. Special edition. J Plant Growth Reg. 28: 252-264.

- 1 Rennie D C, Manolii V P, Cao T, Hwang S F, Howard R J, Strelkov S E. 2011. Direct
2 evidence of surface infestation of seeds and tubers by *Plasmodiophora brassicae* and
3 quantification of spore loads. *Plant Pathol.* 60: 811-819.
- 4 Rouxel F. 1991. Natural suppressiveness of soils to plant diseases. In: Beemster A B R,
5 Bolen, G L, Gerlag, M, Ruissen, M A, Schippers B, Tempel, A., editors. *Biotic interactions*
6 *and soil borne diseases*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 7 Rouxel F. 1984. Studies on soil receptivity to clubroot. In: *Methods for studying the*
8 *biological control of diseases caused by soil borne pathogens*. British Society for Plant
9 Pathology, Anglo-French workshop. 1984 Sept 12-13; London, England : Rothamsted
10 Experiment Station.
- 11 Rouxel F, Briard M, Lejeune B. 1988. Studies of soil receptiveness to clubroot caused by
12 *Plasmodiophora brassicae*: experiments on responses of a series of vegetable soils in
13 Brittany. *Progress on Pest Management in Field Vegetables*. Proceedings CEC-IOBC Expert
14 Group Meeting, 1985 Nov,22-23; Rennes, France: INRA. pp 145-152.
- 15 Samuel G, Garrett S D. 1945. The infected root hair count for estimating the activity of
16 *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woron. in the soil. *Ann Appl Biol.* 32: 96-101.
- 17 Siemens J, Bulman S, Rehn F, Sundelin T. 2009. Molecular biology of *Plasmodiophora*
18 *brassicae*. In: Dixon G R, editor. *Plasmodiophora brassicae* (clubroot) – a plant pathogen
19 that alters host growth and productivity. Special edition. *J Plant Growth Reg.* 28:245-251.
- 20 Thuma B A, Rowe R C, Madden LV. 1983. Relationships of soil temperature and moisture
21 to clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*) severity on radish in organic soil. *Plant Dis.* 67: 758-
22 762.

- 1 Tommerup I C, Ingram D S. 1971. The life-cycle of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* Wor. in
2 *Brassica* tissue cultures and intact roots. *New Phytol.* 70: 327-332.
- 3 Toxopeus H, Oost E H, Reuling G. 1984. Current aspects of the taxonomy of cultivated
4 brassica species. *Cruciferae Newsl.* 9: 55-58.
- 5 Toxopeus H, Dixon G R, Mattusch P. 1986. Physiological specialisation in *Plasmodiophora*
6 *brassicae* – an analysis by international experimentation. *Mycol Res.* 87:279-287.
- 7 Walker J C, Larson R H. 1960. Development of the first clubroot resistant cabbage variety.
8 *Wisconsin Agric Exp Sta Bull.* 547: 12-16.
- 9 Wallenhammar A C. 1996. Prevalence of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in a spring oil seed rape
10 growing area in central Sweden and factors influencing soil infestation levels. *Plant Pathol.*
11 45: 710-719.
- 12 Wallenhammar A.C, Johnsson L, Gerhardson B. 2000. Agronomic performance of partly
13 clubroot-resistant spring oilseed turnip rape lines. *J. Phytopathol* 148: 495-499.
- 14 Webster M A. 1986. pH and nutritional effects on infection by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*
15 Wor. and clubroot symptoms [dissertation]. Scotland : University of Aberdeen.
- 16 Webster M A, Dixon G R. 1991a. Boron, pH and inoculum concentration influencing
17 colonisation by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Mycol Res.* 95 : 74-79.
- 18 Webster M A, Dixon G R. 1991b. Calcium, pH and inoculum concentration influencing
19 colonisation by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Mycol Res.* 95: 64-73.
- 20 Williams P H. 1966. A cytological study of hypertrophy in clubroot of cabbage.
21 *Phytopathology* 56: 521-524.

- 1 Williams P H, Aist S J, Aist J R. 1971. Response of cabbage root hairs to infection by
- 2 *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. Can J Bot. 49: 41-47.
- 3 Workhu Y, Gerhardson B. 1996. Suppressiveness to clubroot, pea root rot and fusarium wilt
- 4 in Swedish soils. J Phytopathol. 144: 143-146.
- 5 Voorrips R E, Visser D L. 1993. Examination of resistance to clubroot in accessions of
- 6 *Brassica oleracea* using a glasshouse seedling test. Eur J Plant Pathol. 99: 269-276.
- 7 Yoshikawa H. 1993. Studies on breeding of clubroot resistance in cole crops. Bull. Natl. Inst.
- 8 Veg. Ornam. Plants Tea Jpn. Series A 7: 1-165.
- 9

1 **Fig. 1.** Summary of the interactions of physical, chemical and biological factors which form
2 the environment in which the interaction between *Plasmodiophora brassicae* and brassica
3 hosts takes place.

4 **Fig. 2.** Summary of the soil chemical factors which impinge on successful movement of
5 primary zoospores of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* from resting spores to invasion and
6 colonization of host root hairs.

7 **Fig. 3.** Summary of conducive and suppressive conditions for *Plasmodiophora brassicae*.

8

9