University of **Reading**

Effect of milk type and processing on iodine concentration of organic and conventional winter milk at retail: implications for nutrition

Article

Accepted Version

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

1

Effect of milk type and processing on iodine concentration of organic and conventional

winter milk at retail: implications for nutrition

4

Laura M. Payling, Darren T. Juniper, Chris Drake, Caroline Rymer and D. Ian Givens[1]

Food Production and Quality Division, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AR, UK

8

Short title: Iodine in organic and normal winter milk

10

Keywords: Iodine: Milk: Organic: UHT, Fat class

[1] Corresponding author, Tel: +44 118 378 8491; email: d.i.givens@reading.ac.uk

12   **Abstract**

13

14   Milk is the largest source of iodine in UK diets and an earlier study showed that organic

15   summer milk had significantly lower iodine concentration than conventional milk. There are

16   no comparable studies with winter milk or the effect of milk fat class or heat processing

17   method. Two retail studies with winter milk are reported. Study 1 showed no effect of fat

18   class but organic milk was 32.2% lower in iodine than conventional milk (404 vs. 595 µg/L;

19      $P<0.001$). Study 2 found no difference between conventional and Channel Island milk but

20   organic milk contained 35.5% less iodine than conventional milk (474 vs. 306 µg/L;

21      $P<0.001$). UHT and branded organic milk also had lower iodine concentrations than

22   conventional milk (331 µg/L; $P<0.001$ and 268 µg/L: $P<0.0001$ respectively). The results

23   indicate that replacement of conventional milk by organic or UHT milk will increase the risk

24   of sub-optimal iodine status especially for pregnant/lactating women.

25

26   **Keywords: Iodine: Milk: Organic: UHT, Fat class**

27

28   **1. Introduction**

29

30   Iodine is a key component of the hormones produced by the thyroid gland which are

31   particularly important during pregnancy for foetal brain development (Zimmermann, 2009).

32   The UK Reference Nutrient Intakes (RNI) for iodine are 130 and 140 μg/d for children aged

33   11-14 years and adults respectively with no increase during pregnancy or lactation

34   (Department of Health, 1991), compared with 150μg iodine/d for adults by the US Institute of

35   Medicine (IOM) and the World Health Organisation (WHO). IOM also proposes an increase

36   of 47 and 93% during pregnancy and lactation respectively whereas WHO advises an

increase of 67% for both (Zimmermann, 2009). In many countries provision of iodised salt has reduced the incidences of iodine deficiency, but in countries such as the UK widespread interventions have not been enforced (Phillips, 1997). This has led to a sustained level of mild iodine deficiency in many populations, notably about 44% of children and adults in Europe (Zimmermann & Andersson, 2011).

For some time the UK population has been assumed to be of adequate iodine status (Wenlock, Buss, Moxon & Bunton, 1982). However, a study in UK schoolgirls recorded mild iodine deficiency in 51% of the participants based on urinary iodine concentrations (Vanderpump et al., 2011) and the recently published UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (Bates et al., 2014) reports that on average, young females aged 11 to 18 years consume only 81% of the RNI for iodine and that 22% of young females have iodine intakes below the Lower RNI (70 μg iodine/d). In addition, mild-to-moderate iodine deficiency has been demonstrated in a large UK cohort of pregnant women (Bath, Walter, Taylor, Wright & Rayman, 2014) together with evidence of an association between low maternal iodine status in early pregnancy and poorer verbal IQ, reading accuracy and reading comprehension in the children (Bath, Rayman, Steer, Goulding & Emmett, 2013).

In the UK, milk and dairy foods are the largest dietary source of iodine providing 40 and 39 % of the daily intake of iodine for 11-18 year old males and females respectively (Bates et al., 2014). Both genders obtain the majority (~43%) of the dairy food contribution from semi-skimmed milk (Bates et al., 2014). Survey studies on milk iodine concentrations carried out in the last 14 years (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 2000; Food Standards Agency, 2008) are not suggestive of any overall reduction in UK milk iodine concentration but they do show that iodine concentration is highly variable and that milk produced in the

summer has on average, a 50% lower iodine concentration than winter milk. Moreover, the studies of The Food Standards Agency (2008) and Bath, Button & Rayman (2012) both showed that summer milk from organic dairy systems had significantly lower (~ -40%) iodine concentrations than from conventional systems. These findings clearly have implications for human iodine intake and status but there is little evidence on conventional vs. organic milk produced in winter, the effect of milk fat class (whole, semi-skimmed, skimmed) or the effect of milk processing method. Accordingly, two UK retail studies were therefore undertaken with the objectives of comparing the iodine content of 1) organic and conventional winter milk, 2) whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed milk and 3) pasteurised and ultra-high temperature (UHT) treated milk.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Milk samples

In Study 1, the intention was to purchase two samples of pasteurised conventionally and organically produced whole (full fat), semi-skimmed and skimmed milk from two leading supermarkets in the Reading area in late January 2014. However, Supermarket 1 did not stock organic skimmed milk when visited, leading to a total of 22 samples. In Study 2, five different milk product types (conventional semi-skimmed, organic semi-skimmed, branded organic semi-skimmed, UHT semi-skimmed and conventional whole milk from Channel Island breeds of cow) were purchased from four leading supermarkets in the Reading area in each of three consecutive weeks, beginning in the first week of February 2014 giving a total of 60 samples. Except for the UHT milk, all other types were pasteurised. In both studies all

86 milks were supermarket own brand except for the branded organic milk in Study 2. All milk

87 samples were stored at -20°C pending analysis.

88

89 *2.2 Sample analysis*

90

91 Milk samples were allowed to defrost overnight and thoroughly mixed by vortexing before

92 analysis. Samples from Study 1 had fat, protein and lactose concentrations measured by

93 methods of International Organisation for Standardisation (2008), Davis & Macdonald (1953)

94 and Sanchez-Manzanarea, Fernandez-Villacaas, Marin-Iniesta & Laencina (1993)

95 respectively. Iodine concentration was measured in all samples by alkali extraction followed

96 by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) based on the method of Fecher,

97 Goldman & Nagengast (1998). In brief 100 µl of milk were diluted to 10 ml with 2%

98 tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide in ultra-pure water and containing 5 µg/L of rhodium as an

99 internal standard. Analysis was then undertaken by ICP-MS (iCAP Q, Thermo Scientific

100 Scientific Inc. Waltham, MA, USA). Certified standard solutions (Romil Ltd, Cambridge,

101 UK) based on ammonium iodide were treated as for milk samples leading to final

102 concentrations of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 µg/L iodine.

103

104 *2.3 Statistical analysis*

105

106 The effect of milk product type (conventional production, Channel Island, organic

107 production, UHT, branded organic), milk fat class (whole, semi-skimmed, skimmed) and

108 supermarket of origin were determined as appropriate to each study, by fixed effect analysis

109 of variance using a general linear model (Mintab version 16; Minitab Inc., State College, PA,

110 USA). Tukey's pairwise multiple comparison test was then used to identify which treatments

111 were significantly different from each other when the significance was P<0.05.

112

113 **3. Results**

114

115 *3.1 Study 1*

116

117 There was no significant effect of conventional vs. organic production system on fat, protein

118 or lactose contents of the milks. Fat content was significantly ($P<0.001$) affected by milk fat

119 class with mean values of 3.55, 1.42 and 0.01 g/100g for whole, semi-skimmed and skimmed

120 milk respectively. The iodine concentrations of the milks are shown in Table1. There was no

121 effect of milk fat class and overall, no fat class x production system interaction although such

122 an interaction was seen for milk from Supermarket 2 which was related to some small fat

123 class effects ($P<0.05$) in conventional but not organic milk. Overall, there was a significant

124 ($P<0.001$) effect of production system with organic milk having consistently lower iodine

125 concentrations than conventional. Mean iodine concentration in organic milk was 32.2%

126 lower than of conventional.

127

128 *3.2 Study 2*

129

130 The iodine concentrations of the milk types are shown in Table 2. Overall, milk iodine

131 concentration was not affected by supermarket but was significantly ($P<0.001$) influenced by

132 milk production system with organic and branded organic milk having lower iodine

133 concentrations than conventional. Branded organic milk had the lowest mean iodine

134 concentration and this had a tendency to be lower than own-brand organic ($P<0.059$).

135   Overall, the iodine concentration of organic (including branded organic) milk was 35.5%

136   lower than conventional. A production system x supermarket interaction ($P<0.05$) was seen

137   due to Supermarket 2 having unusually high iodine concentrations in its milk labelled as

138   organic. If data from this supermarket are excluded the iodine concentration of organic

139   (including branded organic) milk was 43.9% lower than conventional. Interestingly,

140   conventionally produced UHT milk had a lower ($P<0.05$) iodine concentration than

141   conventional pasteurised milk with a mean value not significantly different to organic milk.

142   Iodine in Channel Island milk was not significantly different to conventional milk.

143

144   **4. Discussion**

145

146   To our knowledge, this is the first balanced comparison of the iodine concentration of

147   conventional and organic UK retail milk produced in the winter. The Food Standards Agency

148   (2008) reported a study on milk purchased between January and March 2007 but that study

149   involved only whole milk and was not balanced, involving 62 conventional and 14 organic

150   milks. Despite this, the mean values of the current study agree quite well with those of the

151   Food Standards Agency (2008) which reported values of 441 and 391 µg/L for conventional

152   and organic milk respectively, though no statistical comparison was reported. The key finding

153   of the current study that winter produced organic milk has a significantly lower iodine

154   concentration (-32.2, -35.5%; Study1, Study 2) than winter produced conventional milk,

155   agrees with an earlier UK study (Bath et al., 2012) and two in Scandinavia (Rasmussen,

156   Larsen & Ovesen, 2000; Dahl, Opsahl, Meltzer & Julshamn, 2003) comparing conventional

157   with organic summer milk. However both the conventional and organic milk in the present

158   study had higher iodine concentrations than the respective values in the UK summer milk

159   study (Bath et al., 2012). It has been recognised for some time that milk produced in the

160   winter has higher iodine concentrations than that produced in summer (Flachowsky, Franke,

161   Meyer, Leiterer, & Schöne, 2014). This is because iodine intake by the dairy cow is a key

162   determinant of milk iodine concentration and housed cows in winter will receive more iodine

163   supplementation via concentrate feed than cows grazing outdoors in summer (Flachowsky et

164   al., 2014). Indeed since diets for dairy cows on an organic system are mandated to rely more

165   heavily on forages than in conventional systems (European Union, 2008), this is also likely to

166   be the main reason for organic milk having lower iodine concentrations although additional

167   reasons have been proposed (Flachowsky et al., 2014). The finding that branded organic milk

168   had a tendency ($P<0.059$) to have a lower iodine content than supermarket own brand organic

169   milk agrees with the findings of Bath et al. (2012) who showed that branded organic summer

170   milk (mean 118.3 µg/L) was significantly ($P<0.001$) lower than supermarket own-brand

171   organic (mean 159.8 µg/L). The reasons for this are not known but may relate to less iodine

172   supplementation to the dairy cows and perhaps less use of iodine containing animal health

173   products (e.g. teat dips) than for supermarket own-brand organic milk.

174

175   One report from a large Spanish milk study in 2008 (Soriguer et al., 2011) showed that the

176   iodine concentration was greater ($P<0.001$) in skimmed milk (mean 273 µg/L; n=107) than in

177   semi-skimmed milk (mean 254 µg/L; n=121) or whole milk (mean 251 µg/L; n=134). Whilst

178   the logic for this might relate to iodine dilution as fat content is increased, no such effect was

179   seen in the present study and as Soriguer et al. (2011) indicate, the variation due to fat content

180   is very small compared with the large variation in iodine concentration seen in most studies.

181   There are also few reports on the effect of milk processing on iodine concentration.

182   Flachowsky et al. (2014) summarised the results of two Iranian studies (Norouzian,

183   Valizadeh. Azizi, Hedayati, Naserian, & Eftekhari Shahroodi, 2009; Norouzian, 2011) and

184   concluded that between 18 and 53% of iodine in raw milk was lost during pasteurisation

185 (P<0.01) with the loss being inversely related to the iodine content of the raw milk. It was

186 proposed that the losses were due to the sublimation characteristic of iodine as >90% of milk

187 iodine is in the inorganic form (Flachowsky et al., 2014) although how this occurs in an

188 essentially sealed system is unclear. In the present study all the milk was pasteurised

189 (typically 72°C for 15 seconds) except for the UHT milk which would have been processed

190 typically at 140°C for 3 to 5 seconds. It seems possible therefore that the significantly lower

191 iodine concentration in the UHT milk compared with conventional may be a result of the

192 high temperature treatment. Whilst in the UK, UHT milk accounts for only 5-6% of the liquid

193 milk market (Dairy Co, 2014), in many neighbouring EU Member States UHT milk

194 represents most of the milk consumed with for example France, The Netherlands and

195 Germany where UHT accounts for about 90, 80 and 65% respectively (Department for

196 Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2007). The impact of UHT processing should therefore

197 be explored in greater depth.

198

199 For adults the UK the RNI for iodine is 140 μg/d with no increase during pregnancy or

200 lactation (Department of Health, 1991) despite evidence that potassium iodide

201 supplementation (providing 153 μg I/d) during lactation significantly increases breast milk

202 iodine concentration and iodine status of premature infants (Gónzalez-Iglesias et al., 2012).

203 Assuming the mean intake of milk by UK women (aged 19-64 years) is 125 ml/d (Bates et

204 al., 2014) the results in Table 2 would predict iodine intakes from milk of 59 and 43 μg/d

205 from conventional and organic/UHT/branded organic winter milk respectively, representing

206 42 and 31% of the RNI. Milk consumption in the UK has declined by about 23 % over the

207 last 20 years (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, 2013) with a greater

208 reduction by young women than men, and whilst this is likely to be a major contributory

209 factor to the low iodine status seen in pregnant women (Bath et al., 2014), a key conclusion

210   of the present study is that choosing to consume winter organic or UHT milk will increase the

211   risk of sub-optimal iodine status, particularly during pregnancy and lactation, in agreement

212   with the conclusions of Bath et al. (2012) for summer milk.

213

214   The present study has weaknesses. The sample number was not large and fewer samples than

215   planned were available for Study 1. Nevertheless, the findings regarding the relative iodine

216   concentrations in winter milk from differing production systems and processing methods are

217   important issues in understanding the effects of diet on iodine status. The present study was

218   also limited to supermarkets in the Reading area although the retail study of Bath et al. (2012)

219   found no significant effect of area of milk purchase, arguing that retail milk is relatively

220   homogenous as result of collections from wide geographical locations being taken for central

221   processing and distribution to supermarkets over a wide area. There were however

222   supermarket x production system interactions seen in both current studies which were related

223   to inconsistencies between supermarkets in the effect of production system on iodine content.

224   The reasons for this are unknown but possibly point to differences between supermarkets'

225   milk producers or to labelling errors.

226

227   **5. Conclusions**

228

229   Both studies showed that organic winter milk was significantly lower (~34%) in iodine

230   concentration than conventional milk in agreement with an earlier study with summer milk.

231   No effect of fat class was seen but UHT and branded organic milk had lower iodine

232   concentrations than conventional milk. The results for winter milk agree with conclusions in

233   an earlier study with summer milk that replacement of conventional milk by organic milk will

234   increase the risk of sub-optimal iodine status especially for young women in periods of

235 increased iodine demand such as pregnancy and lactation. It seems that conventionally

236 produced UHT milk will have the same effect as organic milk although more work on this is

237 warranted.

238

239 **Acknowledgements**

240

245

246   **References**

247

248   Bates, B., Lennox, A., Prentice, A., Bates, C., Page, P., Nicholson, S., & Swan, G. (2014).

249   *National Diet and Nutrition Survey, Results from Years 1-4 (combined) of the Rolling*

250   *Programme (2008/2009-2011/12).* A survey carried out on behalf of Public Health England

251   and the Food Standards Agency.

252   Bath, S. C., Button, S., & Rayman, M. P. (2012). Iodine concentration of organic and

253   conventional milk: implications for iodine intake. *British Journal of Nutrition,* 107, 935-940.

254   Bath, S. C., Rayman, M. P., Steer, C. D., Golding, J., & Emmett, P. (2013). Effect of

255   inadequate iodine status in UK pregnant women on cognitive outcomes in their children:

256   results from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). *The Lancet*,

257   382 (9889), pp. 331-337.

258   Bath, S. C., Walter, A., Taylor, A., Wright, J., & Rayman, M. P. (2014). Iodine deficiency in

259   pregnant women living in the South East of the UK: the influence of diet and nutritional

260   supplements on iodine status. *British Journal of Nutrition,* 111, 1622 – 1631,

261   Dahl, L., Opsahl, J. A., Meltzer, H. M., & Julshamn, K. (2003). Iodine concentration in

262   Norwegian milk and dairy products. *British Journal of Nutrition,* 90, 679–685.

263   Dairy Co (2014). *Market Information.* http://www.dairyco.org.uk/market-information/dairy-

264   sales-consumption/liquid-milk-market/liquid-milk-market/ Accessed 01.05.14.

265   Davis, J. G., & Macdonald, F. J. (1953). Total protein content from acidity and pH

266   determination. In *Richmond's Dairy Chemistry Fifth Edition*. (p 362). London: Charles

267   Griffin & Co. Ltd.

268   Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2013). *Family Food 2012, Report*

269   *Tables and Charts.* https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/family-food-statistics

270   (accessed 13 May 2014).

271   Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2007). *The Potential for*

272   *GB-European Trade in Liquid Milk.* (71 pp). London: DEFRA,

273   Department of Health (1991). *Report of the panel on dietary reference values of the*

274   *Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy (COMA).* In *Dietary Reference Values for*

275   *Food Energy and Nutrients for the United Kingdom.* London: The Stationery Office.

276   European Union (2008). Commission Regulation (EC) no. 889/2008 of 5 September 2008

277   laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) no. 834/2007

278   on organic production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production,

279   labelling and control. *Official Journal of the European Union* 51, L250.

280   Fecher, P. A., Goldmann, I., & Nagengast, A. (1998). Determination of iodine in food

281   samples by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry after alkaline extraction. *Journal*

282   *of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 13, 977–982.

283   Flachowsky, G., Franke, K., Meyer, U., Leiterer, M., & Schöne, F. (2014). Influencing

284   factors on iodine content of cow milk. *European Journal of Nutrition,* 53, 351-365.

285   Food Standards Agency (2008). *Retail Survey of Iodine in UK Produced Dairy Foods, FSIS*

286   *02/08.* London: Food Standards Agency.

287   Gónzalez-Iglesias, H., de la Flor St Remy, R.R., López-Sastre, J., Fernández-Colomer, B.,

13

288   Ibáñez-Fernández, A., Solís, G., Sanz-Medel, A., & Fernández-Sánchez, M.L. (2012).

289   Efficiency of iodine supplementation, as potassium iodide, during lactation: A study in

290   neonates and their mothers. *Food Chemistry,* 133, 859–865.

291   International Organisation for Standardisation (2008). *Milk: Determination of fat content.*

292   ISO   2446:2008.   Geneva: International   Organisation   for   Standardisation.

293   http://www.iso.org/iso/home/store/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=51019

294   Accessed 02.02.14.

295   Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (2000). *Iodine in Milk, Food Surveillance*

296   *Information Sheet 198.* London: MAFF Joint Food Safety and Standards Group.

297   Norouzian, M. A. (2011). Iodine in raw and pasteurized milk of dairy cows fed different

298   amounts of potassium iodide. *Biological Trace Element Research,* 139, 160–167.

299   Norouzian, M. A., Valizadeh, R., Aziz,i F., Hedayati, M., Naserian. A. A., & Eftekhari

300   Shahroodi, F. (2009). The effect of feeding different levels of potassium iodide on

301   performance, T-3 and T-4 concentrations and iodine excretion in Holstein dairy cows.

302   *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances,* 8, 111–114.

303   Phillips, D. I. (1997). Iodine, milk, and the elimination of endemic goitre in Britain: the story

304   of an accidental public health triumph. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health,* 51,

305   391–393.

306   Rasmussen, L. B., Larsen, E. H., & Ovesen, L. (2000). Iodine content in drinking water and

307   other beverages in Denmark. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition,* 54, 57–60.

308   Sanchez-Manzanarea, J. A., Fernandez-Villacaas, M. R., Marin-Iniesta, F., & Laencina, J.

309   (1993). Determination of lactose by an enzymatic method. *Food Chemistry,* 46, 425-427.

310   Soriguer, F., Gutierrez-Repiso, C., Gonzalez-Romero, S., Olveira, G., Garriga, M. J.,

311   Velasco, I., Santiago, P., de Escobar, G., & Garcia-Fuentes, E. (2011). Iodine concentration

312   in cow's milk and its relation with urinary iodine concentrations in the population. *Clinical*

313   *Nutrition,* 30, 44-48.

314   Vanderpump, M. P. J., Lazarus, J. H., Smyth, P. P., Laurberg, P., Holder, R. L., Boelaert, K.,

315   & Franklyn, J. A. (2011). Iodine status of UK schoolgirls: a cross-sectional survey. *The*

316   *Lancet*, 377 (9782), pp.2007-2012.

317   Wenlock, R. W., Buss, D. H., Moxon, R. E., & Bunton, N. G. (1982). Trace nutrients. 4.

318   Iodine in British food. *British Journal of Nutrition,* 47, 381-390.

319   Zimmermann, M. B. (2009). Iodine deficiency. *Endocrine Reviews,* 30, 376-408.

320   Zimmermann. M. B., & Andersson, M. (2011). Prevalence of iodine deficiency in Europe in

321   2010. *Annales d'Endocrinologie,* 72, 164-166.

322

323

Table 1. Study 1: Least square mean (±SE) iodine concentrations of retail milk as influenced by milk fat class (FC), production system (conventional vs. organic; PS) and supermarket (SM)

Super-market	Mean iodine concentration (µg/L)						SED	P-value for			
	Conventional			Organic				FC	PS	FC x PS	SM x PS
	Whole	Semi-skimmed	Skimmed	Whole	Semi-skimmed	Skimmed					
	n=4	n=4	n=4	n=4	n=4	n=2					
1	486.0±7.0	560.0±20.0	502.5±3.5	482.5±7.0	454.0±8.0	nd	nd	NS	NS	nd	-
2	669.5±3.5[ab]	585.5±21.5[b]	768.5±24.5[a]	316.0±21.0[c]	419.0±41.0[c]	325.5±12.5[c]	33.43	NS	<0.001	0.003	-
Overall	577.8±53.1[a]	572.8±14.1[a]	635.5±77.4[a]	399.2±48.9[b]	436.5±19.8[b]	325.5±12.5[b]	40.67	NS	<0.001	NS	<0.001

[a,b]Means within a row with no superscripts or those with a common superscript are not significantly different ($P>0.05$); NS, not significant ($P>0.05$); nd, no or insufficient data due to missing samples; SED, standard error of the difference

Table 2. Study 2: Least square mean (±SE) iodine concentrations of retail milk as influenced by type (T) and supermarket (SM).

| Supermarket | Mean iodine concentration (µg/L) | | | | | SED | *P*-value for | | |
	Conventional n=12	Channel Island n=12	Organic n=12	UHT n=12	Branded organic n=12		T	SM	T x SM
1	433.9±27.8[ab]	436.8±59.9[a]	327.5±15.1[ab]	336.9±6.85[ab]	274.5±36.6[b]	48.86	0.029	-	-
2	411.1±62.8	482.1±17.4	478.7±74.0	280.7±33.8	301.5±43.3	71.38	0.046	-	-
3	490.9±23.6[a]	445.3±56.9[a]	279.4±15.5[b]	341.6±33.6[ab]	245.8±11.9[b]	47.17	0.002	-	-
4	559.7±39.2[a]	398.8±35.5[b]	287.8±57.2[b]	366.4±14.2[b]	249.2±28.2[b]	48.53	<0.001	-	-
Overall	473.9±24.9[a]	440.8±21.5[a]	343.4±30.3[b]	331.4±14.3[b]	267.7±15.3[b]	27.10	<0.001	NS	0.013

[a,b]Means within a row with no superscripts or those with a common superscript are not significantly different ($P>0.05$); NS, not significant ($P>0.05$); SED, standard error of the difference