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Abstract: The genus Cercospora contains numerous important plant pathogenic fungi from a diverse range of hosts. Most species of Cercospora are known only from their morphological characters in vivo. Although the genus contains more than 5000 names, very few cultures and associated DNA sequence data are available. In this study, 360 Cercospora isolates, obtained from 161 host species, 49 host families and 39 countries, were used to compile a molecular phylogeny. Partial sequences were derived from the internal transcribed spacer regions and intervening 5.8 S nrRNA, actin, calmodulin, histone H 3 and translation elongation factor 1 -alpha genes. The resulting phylogenetic clades were evaluated for application of existing species names and five novel species are introduced. Eleven species are epi-, lecto- or neotypified in this study. Although existing species names were available for several clades, it was not always possible to apply North American or European names to African or Asian strains and vice versa. Some species were found to be limited to a specific host genus, whereas others were isolated from a wide host range. No single locus was found to be the ideal DNA barcode gene for the genus, and species identification needs to be based on a combination of gene loci and morphological characters. Additional primers were developed to supplement those previously published for amplification of the loci used in this study.
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Taxonomic novelties: New species - Cercospora coniogrammes Crous \& R.G. Shivas, Cercospora delaireae C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, Cercospora euphorbiae-sieboldianae C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, Cercospora pileicola C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, Cercospora vignigena C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin. Typifications: epitypifications - Cercospora alchemillicola U. Braun \& C.F. Hill, Cercospora althaeina Sacc., Cercospora armoraciae Sacc., Cercospora corchori Sawada, Cercospora mercurialis Pass., Cercospora olivascens Sacc., Cercospora violae Sacc.; neotypifications - Cercospora fagopyri N. Nakata \& S. Takim., Cercospora sojina Hara.
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## INTRODUCTION

Species of the genus Cercospora belong to one of the largest genera of hyphomycetes and were often linked to the teleomorph genus Mycosphaerella (Capnodiales, Mycosphaerellaceae; Stewart et al. 1999, Crous et al. 2000). The genus Mycosphaerella was shown to be polyphyletic (Crous et al. 2007), and subsequently split into numerous genera, correlating with its different anamorph states (Crous et al. 2009a, b). The genus Cercospora is now considered a holomorphic genus in its own right, with some species exhibiting the ability to form mycosphaerella-like teleomorphs (Corlett 1991, Crous et al. 2004b). Mycosphaerella s. str. on the other hand, is restricted to taxa that form Ramularia anamorphs (Verkley et al. 2004). As Mycosphaerella has been widely applied to more than 40 different genera, Crous et al. (2009b) expressed their preference to use the older, recently monographed (Braun 1998) anamorphtypified name Ramularia (1833) for this holomorphic clade, instead of the younger, confused teleomorph-typified generic name Mycosphaerella (1884). This is allowed under the new, changed Article 59 of the International Code for Nomenclature of algae, fungi, and plants (ICN) (Hawksworth 2011, Norvell 2011).

Species of Cercospora are commonly associated with leaf spots (Fig. 1), and have also been isolated from necrotic lesions of flowers,
fruits and seeds or were associated with postharvest fruit rot disease (Silva \& Pereira 2008) of hosts from across the world (Agrios 2005, To-Anun et al. 2011). The cercosporoid fungi have also been used as biocontrol agents (Morris \& Crous 1994, Inglis et al. 2001, Tessman et al. 2001). Species of Cercospora were traditionally named after the host from which they were isolated, even to the extent that a species of Cercospora was described as new when found on a different host plant (Chupp 1954, Ellis 1971). The genus Cercospora was first erected by Fresenius for passalora-like fungi with pluriseptate conidia (in Fuckel 1863). Chupp's (1954) monograph accepted 1419 Cercospora species and proposed a broad concept for this genus based on whether hila were thickened or not, and whether conidia were pigmented, single or in chains. The number of Cercospora species doubled to more than 3000 when Pollack (1987) published her annotated list of Cercospora names. Since then a combination of characters such as conidiomatal structure, mycelium, conidiophores, conidiogenous cells and conidia has been used to divide the genus into morphologically similar units. Crous \& Braun (2003) used the structure of conidiogenous loci and hila as well as the absence or presence of pigmentation in conidiophores and conidia in their revision of names published in Cercospora and Passalora. They recognised 659 names in the genus Cercospora, with a further 281 names referred to as $C$. apii s. lat. The $C$. apii complex represented
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Fig. 1. Foliar disease symptoms associated with Cercospora spp. A. C. achyranthis on Achyranthes japonica. B. C. dispori on Disporum viridescens. C. C. chinensis on Polygonatum humile. D. C. cf. flagellaris on Amaranthus patulus. E. C. capsici on Capsicum annuum. F. Cercospora sp. on Ajuga multiflora. G. Cercospora sp. on Cardamine leucanthe. H. C. cf. flagellaris on Celosia argentea var. cristata. I. C. zeina on Zea mays. J. C. beticola on Beta vulgaris. K. C. chrysanthemi on Chrysanthemum. L. C. apii on Apium. M. C. amoraciae on Rorippa indica. N. C. beticola on Chrysanthemum segetum. O. C. apiicola on Apium. P. C. ipomoeae on Persicaria thunbergii. Q. C. althaeina on Althaea rosea. R. C. zebrina on Trifolium repens. S. C. sojina on Glycine max. T. C. brunkii on Geranium nepalense.

Cercospora species that were morphologically indistinguishable from C. apii (Ellis 1971, Crous \& Braun 2003). In addition, Crous \& Braun (2003) introduced the concept of "compound species" which consisted of morphologically indistinguishable species with different races (host range), genetically uniform or heterogeneous, with different degrees of biological specialisation. They also proposed that genetically and morphologically clearly distinguishable taxa should be treated as separate species, although the study was confounded by the general unavailability of Cercospora cultures for DNA analyses. Ex-type strains mostly do not exist as such isolates were neither designated nor preserved, for the majority of Cercospora species (Groenewald et al. 2010a). For most Cercospora species, a sexual stage (a mycosphaerella-like state) is not known; or has been reported, but not confirmed (Goodwin et al. 2001). The mating type genes of some apparently asexual Cercospora species were recently characterised, with the discovery that C. beticola, C. zeae-maydis and $C$. zeina were heterothallic, although only one mating type was present in populations of $C$. apii and $C$. apiicola (Groenewald et al. 2006b, 2010b). The two mating types of $C$. beticola were distributed approximately equally in the tested populations, indicating that these genes might indeed be active, indicative of cryptic sex. More recently a skewed distribution of mating types across sugar beet fields from different localities was report from Iran, with some fields having both mating types and others only the one or the other (Bakhshi et al. 2011). A further study conducted over a 3 -yr period in the USA, also led to the conclusion that $C$. beticola has potential for sexual reproduction (Bolton et al. 2012).

Host specificity and speciation in Cercospora has not been studied extensively, but it is known that some species induce leaf spot symptoms when inoculated on other hosts, for example, C. beticola on all members of Beta (Chenopodiaceae) and other plant species (Weiland \& Koch 2004) or C. apii and C. beticola isolated from disease symptoms on other hosts (Groenewald et al. 2006a). Cercospora caricis is used as a biological control agent of Cyperus rotundus (Cyperaceae), and Inglis et al. (2001) compared Brazilian isolates with an isolate from Florida, USA. The authors used RAPDs (Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA), RFLPs (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms) with a telomeric probe and ITS sequencing and found that a cluster of isolates from the Brazilian cerrado region showed high genetic similarity, whereas similarity between this region and others in Brazil was less that $50 \%$. They also found that the ITS sequence analysis did not support a division in the Brazilian isolates ( $99 \%$ similar sequences) but that it did separate the Florida isolate from the Brazilian isolates ( $96 \%$ similar when included with the Brazilian isolates). They concluded that the isolate from Florida probably represented cryptic speciation but that larger sampling of isolates was required from different geographical areas to address this question. Host specificity for some species appears to operate at the strain level, as for C. rodmanii, in which the original strains of Conway (1976) were shown to be specific to water hyacinth, whereas strains identified by morphology and multilocus sequence data as the same species, were able to infect beet and sugar beet (Montenegro-Calderón et al. 2011).

A number of molecular studies using ITS phylogenies confirmed that Cercospora taxa cluster in a well-supported monophyletic clade in Mycosphaerella (Stewart et al. 1999, Crous et al. 2000, 2009a, b, Goodwin et al. 2001, Pretorius et al. 2003), in contrast to other polyphyletic genera such as Septoria (Verkley et al. 2004; compared to the monophyletic Zymoseptoria, Quaedvlieg et al. 2011), Pseudocercospora, Passalora and Zasmidium (Crous et al. 2009b), to name but a few. The ITS region (ITS1, 5.8 S rDNA and ITS2) lacks the resolution to distinguish between most Cercospora
species (Groenewald et al. 2010a). For example, Goodwin et al. (2001) found a mean of 1.27 sequence changes over 18 taxa from 11 Cercospora species, and Pretorius et al. (2003) found a mean of 1.64 changes when they tested 25 taxa representing 11 Cercospora species. Both Goodwin et al. (2001) and Pretorius et al. (2003) observed more transitions than transversions. Only a limited number of studies utilising gene sequences other than ITS have been published thus far (for example Tessmann et al. 2001, Crous et al. 2004b, Groenewald et al. 2005, 2006a, 2010a, Montenegro-Calderón et al. 2011). Tessmann et al. (2001) found that 14 of the 431 aligned translation elongation factor 1-alpha characters were parsimony-informative, with only six of the 380 characters for beta-tubulin and 17 of the 309 histone H3 characters being parsimony-informative. The ITS region did not contain any differences when compared with the outgroup C. beticola. Crous et al. (2004b) used fixed nucleotide changes in aligned nucleotide characters (including alignment gaps) to discriminate C. acaciaemangii from $C$. apii and $C$. beticola, and listed changes at none of 521 ITS characters ( $0 \%$ ), nine of 300 translation elongation factor 1-alpha characters (3\%), three of 209 actin characters ( $1.4 \%$ ), 10 of 312 calmodulin characters ( $3.2 \%$ ), and seven of 388 histone H3 characters ( $1.8 \%$ ). A total of 1730 aligned characters were examined, of which 29 ( $1.68 \%$ ) were observed as fixed nucleotide changes. Using the same five loci, Groenewald et al. (2005) found $96 \%$ similarity between C. apii and C. beticola for the calmodulin gene, with all other loci having identical sequences. Based on the differences in the calmodulin gene, distinctive AFLP banding patterns and different growth rates, the authors recognised C. apii s. str. and C. beticola s. str. as distinct species. Continuing with the same approach, Groenewald et al. (2006a) then proceeded to describe C. apiicola, a further distinct species thus far only isolated from Apium (Apiaceae). Both Groenewald et al. (2010a) and Montenegro-Calderón et al. (2011) used phylogenetic analyses of combined ITS, translation elongation factor 1-alpha, actin, calmodulin and histone H 3 sequence alignments to study species boundaries and diversity in Cercospora. Groenewald et al. (2010a) concluded that although most loci tested could resolve a large number of species, the sum of the whole provided a better resolution compared to a subset of loci. In that study, the loci differed in their ability to resolve clades, with ITS and translation elongation factor 1-alpha performing worst (distinguishing three and 10 clades, respectively), while actin could distinguish 14 clades, calmodulin 13 clades and histone H3 12 clades compared to the 16 species clades recognised in the combined tree. Montenegro-Calderón et al. (2011) concluded that C. rodmanii could be distinguished from C. piaropi based on actin, calmodulin and histone H 3 , but that only calmodulin could clearly separate C. rodmanii from the other Cercospora species included in their study. These results illustrated that the phylogenetic approach using multi-locus sequences was one of the most effective ways to recognise different species of Cercospora. Although this approach is not suitable to recognise the true host range of a species without pathogenicity tests, it does provide a handle on the true identity of the strain being used.

Goodwin et al. (2001) attributed the short branch lengths observed for their ITS phylogeny to a relatively recent common ancestor that was able to, or acquired the ability to, produce cercosporin, a phytotoxic metabolite of polyketide origin (Daub \& Ehrenshaft 2000). The ability to produce cercosporin probably allowed the Cercospora ancestor to rapidly expand its host range in a recent adaptive radiation (Goodwin et al. 2001). It has been suggested that this compound may enhance virulence (Upchurch et al. 1991), but it is not a universal pathogenicity factor as
Table 1. Collection details and GenBank accession numbers of isolates included in this study.

| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora achyranthis | CBS 132613; CPC 10879 | Achyranthes japonica | Amaranthaceae | South Korea: Jjju | H.D. Shin | JX143523 | JX143277 | JX143031 | JX142785 | JX142539 |
|  | CPC 10091 | Achyranthes japonica | Amaranthaceae | South Korea: Jeju | H.D. Shin | JX143524 | JX143278 | JX143032 | JX142786 | JX142540 |
| Cercospora agavicola | CBS 117292; CPC 11774 (TYPE) | Agave tequilana var. azul | Agavaceae | Mexico: Penjamo | V. Ayala-Escobar \& Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | AY647237 | AY966897 | AY966898 | AY966899 | AY966900 |
| Cercospora alchemillicola | CPC 5259 (TYPE) | Alchemilla mollis | Rosaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143525 | JX143279 | JX143033 | JX142787 | JX142541 |
| Cercospora cf. alchemillicola | CPC 5126 | Oenothera fruticosa | Onagraceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143526 | JX143280 | JX143034 | JX142788 | JX142542 |
|  | CPC 5127 | Gaura lindheimeri | Onagraceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143527 | JX143281 | JX143035 | JX142789 | JX142543 |
| Cercospora althaeina | CBS 126.26; CPC 5066 | Malva sp. | Malvaceae | - | C. Killian | JX143528 | JX143282 | JX143036 | JX142790 | JX142544 |
|  | CBS 132609; CPC 10790 | Althaea rosea | Malvaceae | South Korea: Suwon | H.D. Shin | JX143529 | JX143283 | JX143037 | JX142791 | JX142545 |
|  | CBS 248.67; CPC 5117 (TYPE) | Althaea rosea | Malvaceae | Romania: Fundulea | O. Constantinescu | JX143530 | JX143284 | JX143038 | JX142792 | JX142546 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 110813; CPC 5110; 01-3 | Moluccella laevis | Lamiaceae | USA: California | S.T. Koike | AY156918 | DQ233345 | DQ233371 | DQ233397 | DQ233423 |
|  | CBS 110816; CPC 5111; 01-4 | Moluccella laevis | Lamiaceae | USA: California | S.T. Koike | AY156919 | DQ233346 | DQ233372 | DQ233398 | DQ233424 |
|  | CBS 114416; CPC 10925 | Apium sp. | Apiaceae | Austria | Institut fur Pflanzengesundheit | AY840516 | AY840483 | AY840447 | AY840414 | AY840381 |
|  | CBS 114418; CPC 10924 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Italy | M. Meutri | AY840517 | AY840484 | AY840448 | AY840415 | AY840382 |
|  | CBS 114485; CPC 10923 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Italy | M. Meutri | AY840518 | AY840485 | AY840449 | AY840416 | AY840383 |
|  | CBS 116455; CPC 11556 (TYPE) | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Germany: Heilbron | K. Schrameyer | AY840519 | AY840486 | AY840450 | AY840417 | AY840384 |
|  | CBS 116504; CPC 11579 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Germany: Heilbron | K. Schrameyer | AY840520 | AY840487 | AY840451 | AY840418 | AY840385 |
|  | CBS 116507; CPC 11582 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Germany: Heilbron | K. Schrameyer | AY840521 | AY840488 | AY840452 | AY840419 | AY840386 |
|  | CBS 119.25; B 42463; IHEM 3822; CPC 5086 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | - | L. J. Klotz | AY179949 | AY179915 | AY840443 | AY840410 | AY840377 |
|  | CBS 121.31; CPC 5073 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Austria: Wien | E.W. Schmidt | AY343371 | AY343334 | AY840444 | AY840411 | AY840378 |
|  | CBS 127.31; CPC 5119 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Hungary | E.W. Schmidt | AY840514 | AY840481 | AY840445 | AY840412 | AY840379 |
|  | CBS 132683; CPC 16663 | Moluccella laevis | Lamiaceae | Zimbabwe | S. Dimbi | JX143531 | JX143285 | JX143039 | JX142793 | JX142547 |
|  | CBS 152.52; IM1 077043; MUCL 16495; CPC 5063 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Netherlands: Bergen op Zoom | G. van den Ende | AY840515 | AY840482 | AY840446 | AY840413 | AY840380 |
|  | CBS 252.67; CPC 5084 | Plantago lanceolata | Plantaginaceae | Romania: Domnesti | O. Constantinescu | DQ233318 | DQ233342 | DQ233368 | DQ233394 | DQ233420 |
|  | CBS 536.71; CPC 5087 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Romania: Bucuresti | O. Constantinescu | AY752133 | AY752166 | AY752194 | AY752225 | AY752256 |
|  | CBS 553.71; IMI 161116; CPC 5083 | Plumbago europaea | Plumbaginaceae | Romania: Hagieni | O. Constantinescu | DQ233320 | DQ233344 | DQ233370 | DQ233396 | DQ233422 |
|  | CPC 18601 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | USA: California | S.T. Koike | JX143532 | JX143286 | JX143040 | JX142794 | JX142548 |
|  | CPC 5112 | Moluccella laevis | Lamiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | DQ233321 | DQ233347 | DQ233373 | DQ233399 | DQ233425 |
|  | CPC 5260 | Glebionis coronaria <br> (三 Chrysanthemum coronarium) | Asteraceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143533 | JX143287 | JX143041 | JX142795 | JX142549 |
|  | MUCC 567; MUCNS 30; MAFF 238072 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | Japan: Aichi | T. Kobayashi | JX143534 | JX143288 | JX143042 | JX142796 | JX142550 |



| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora beticola | CBS 113069; CPC 5369 | Spinacia sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Botswana: Gaborone | L. Lebogang | DQ233325 | DQ233351 | DQ233377 | DQ233403 | DQ233429 |
|  | CBS 115478; CPC 5113 | Limonium sinuatum | Plumbaginaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | DQ233326 | DQ233352 | DQ233378 | DQ233404 | DQ233430 |
|  | CBS 116.47; CPC 5074 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Netherlands: Northwest Brabant | G.E. Bunschoten | AY752135 | AY752168 | AY752196 | AY752227 | AY752258 |
|  | CBS 116454; CPC 11558 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany | S. Mittler | AY840526 | AY840493 | AY840457 | AY840424 | AY840391 |
|  | CBS 116456; CPC 11557 (TYPE) | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Italy: Ravenna | V. Rossi | AY840527 | AY840494 | AY840458 | AY840425 | AY840392 |
|  | CBS 116501; CPC 11576 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Iran: Pakajik | A.A. Ravanlou | AY840528 | AY840495 | AY840459 | AY840426 | AY840393 |
|  | CBS 116502; CPC 11577 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany | S. Mittler | AY840529 | AY840496 | AY840460 | AY840427 | AY840394 |
|  | CBS 116503; CPC 11578 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Italy: Ravenna | V. Rossi | AY840530 | AY840497 | AY840461 | AY840428 | AY840395 |
|  | CBS 116505; CPC 11580 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | France: Longvic | S. Garressus | AY840531 | AY840498 | AY840462 | AY840429 | AY840396 |
|  | CBS 116506; CPC 11581 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Netherlands | M. Groenewald | AY840532 | AY840499 | AY840463 | AY840430 | AY840397 |
|  | CBS 117.47 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Czech Republic | G.E. Bunschoten | DQ233322 | DQ233348 | DQ233374 | DQ233400 | DQ233426 |
|  | CBS 117556; CPC 10171 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY840534 | AY840501 | AY840465 | AY840432 | AY840399 |
|  | CBS 122.31; CPC 5072 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany: Gmain | E.W. Schmidt | AY752136 | AY752169 | AY752197 | AY752228 | AY752259 |
|  | CBS 123.31; CPC 5071 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Spain | E.W. Schmidt | AY840522 | AY840489 | AY840453 | AY840420 | AY840387 |
|  | CBS 123907; CPC 14616 | Goniolimon tataricum | Plumbaginaceae | Bulgaria | S.G. Bobev | FJ473422 | FJ473427 | FJ473432 | FJ473437 | FJ473442 |
|  | CBS 123908; CPC 14620 | Goniolimon tataricum | Plumbaginaceae | Bulgaria | S.G. Bobev | FJ473426 | FJ473431 | FJ473436 | FJ473441 | FJ473446 |
|  | CBS 124.31; CPC 5070 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Romania: Hagieni | E.W. Schmidt | AY840523 | AY840490 | AY840454 | AY840421 | AY840388 |
|  | CBS 125.31; CPC 5069 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | - | E.W. Schmidt | AY840524 | AY840491 | AY840455 | AY840422 | AY840389 |
|  | CBS 126.31; CPC 5064 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany: Klein Wanzleben | E.W. Schmidt | AY840525 | AY840492 | AY840456 | AY840423 | AY840390 |
|  | CBS 132655; CPC 11341 | Chrysanthemum segetum <br> (= Ch. coronarium var. spatiosum) | Asteraceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | DQ233332 | DQ233358 | DQ233384 | DQ233410 | DQ233434 |
|  | CBS 132673; CPC 14617 | Goniolimon tataricum | Plumbaginaceae | Bulgaria | S.G. Bobev | FJ473423 | FJ473428 | FJ473433 | FJ473438 | FJ473443 |
|  | CBS 539.71; CPC 5062 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Romania: Bucuresti | O. Constantinescu | DQ233323 | DQ233349 | DQ233375 | DQ233401 | DQ233427 |
|  | CBS 548.71; IMI 161115; CPC 5065 | Malva pusilla | Malvaceae | Romania: Hagieni | O. Constantinescu \& G. Negrean | DQ233324 | DQ233350 | DQ233376 | DQ233402 | DQ233428 |
|  | CPC 10166 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | DQ233329 | DQ233355 | DQ233381 | DQ233407 | DQ026471 |
|  | CPC 10168 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY840533 | AY840500 | AY840464 | AY840431 | AY840398 |
|  | CPC 10195 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | DQ233330 | DQ233356 | DQ233382 | DQ233408 | DQ026472 |
|  | CPC 10197 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY840535 | AY840502 | AY840466 | AY840433 | AY840400 |
|  | CPC 10204 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | DQ233331 | DQ233357 | DQ233383 | DQ233409 | DQ233433 |
|  | CPC 11344 | Chrysanthemum segetum <br> ( $=$ Ch. coronarium var. spatiosum) | Asteraceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | DQ233333 | DQ233359 | DQ233385 | DQ233411 | DQ233435 |

Table 1. (Continued)

| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CPC 12022 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany | S. Mittler | DQ233334 | DQ233360 | DQ233386 | DQ233412 | DQ233436 |
|  | CPC 12027 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany | S. Mittler | DQ233335 | DQ233361 | DQ233387 | DQ233413 | DQ026468 |
|  | CPC 12028 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Egypt | M. Hasem | DQ233336 | DQ233362 | DQ233388 | DQ233414 | DQ233437 |
|  | CPC 12029 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Egypt | M. Hasem | DQ233337 | DQ233363 | DQ233389 | DQ233415 | DQ233438 |
|  | CPC 12030 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Egypt | M. Hasem | DQ233338 | DQ233364 | DQ233390 | DQ233416 | DQ233439 |
|  | CPC 12031 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Germany | S. Mittler | DQ233339 | DQ233365 | DQ233391 | DQ233417 | DQ026470 |
|  | CPC 14618 | Goniolimon tataricum | Plumbaginaceae | Bulgaria | S.G. Bobev | FJ473424 | FJ473429 | FJ473434 | FJ473439 | FJ473444 |
|  | CPC 14619 | Goniolimon tataricum | Plumbaginaceae | Bulgaria | S.G. Bobev | FJ473425 | FJ473430 | FJ473435 | FJ473440 | FJ473445 |
|  | CPC 15623 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico: Texcoco | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143555 | JX143309 | JX143063 | JX142817 | JX142571 |
|  | CPC 18813 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | USA: California | S.T. Koike | JX143556 | JX143310 | JX143064 | JX142818 | JX142572 |
|  | CPC 5123 | Apium graveolens | Apiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY752134 | AY752167 | AY752195 | AY752226 | AY752257 |
|  | CPC 5125 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY752137 | AY752170 | AY752198 | AY752229 | AY752260 |
|  | CPC 5128 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | AY752138 | AY752171 | AY752199 | AY752230 | AY752261 |
|  | CPC 5370 | Spinacia sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Botswana: Gaborone | L. Lebogang | DQ233328 | DQ233354 | DQ233380 | DQ233406 | DQ233432 |
|  | MUCC 568; MUCNS 320; MAFF 238206 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Japan: Chiba | S. Uematsu | JX143557 | JX143311 | JX143065 | JX142819 | JX142573 |
|  | MUCC 569; MAFF 305036 | Beta vulgaris | Chenopodiaceae | Japan: Hokkaido | K. Goto | JX143558 | JX143312 | JX143066 | JX142820 | JX142574 |
| Cercospora cf. brunkii | CBS 132657; CPC 11598 | Geranium thunbergii ( $\equiv$ G. nepalense var. thunbergii) | Geraniaceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | JX143559 | JX143313 | JX143067 | JX142821 | JX142575 |
|  | MUCC 732 | Datura stramonium | Solanaceae | Japan: Wakayama | C. Nakashima \& I. Araki | JX143560 | JX143314 | JX143068 | JX142822 | JX142576 |
| Cercospora campi-silii | CBS 132625; CPC 14585 | Impatiens noli-tangere | Balsaminaceae | South Korea: Inje | H.D. Shin | JX143561 | JX143315 | JX143069 | JX142823 | JX142577 |
| Cercospora canescens complex | CBS 111133; CPC 1137 | Vigna sp. | Fabaceae | South Africa: <br> Potchefstroom | S. van Wyk | AY260065 | DQ835084 | DQ835103 | DQ835130 | DQ835157 |
|  | CBS 111134; CPC 1138 | Vigna sp. | Fabaceae | South Africa: <br> Potchefstroom | S. van Wyk | AY260066 | DQ835085 | DQ835104 | DQ835131 | DQ835158 |
|  | CBS 132658; CPC 11626; GHA-1-0 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143562 | JX143316 | JX143070 | JX142824 | JX142578 |
|  | CBS 132659; CPC 11627; GHA-1-1 | Dioscorea alata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143563 | JX143317 | JX143071 | JX142825 | JX142579 |
|  | CBS 153.55; CPC 5059 | Phaseolus lunatus (= Ph. limensis) | Fabaceae | USA: Georgia | E.S. Luttrell | JX143564 | JX143318 | JX143072 | JX142826 | JX142580 |
|  | CPC 11628; GHA-2-1 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143565 | JX143319 | JX143073 | JX142827 | JX142581 |
|  | CPC 11640; IMI 186563 | Apium sp. | Apiaceae | USA | - | JX143566 | JX143320 | JX143074 | JX142828 | JX142582 |
|  | CPC 15871 | - | Malvaceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143567 | JX143321 | JX143075 | JX142829 | JX142583 |
|  | CPC 4408; Q 160 IS2 | Citrus maxima | Rutaceae | South Africa: Tsipise | K. Serfontein | AY260067 | DQ835086 | DQ835105 | DQ835132 | DQ835159 |
|  | CPC 4409 | Citrus maxima | Rutaceae | South Africa: Tsipise | K. Serfontein | AY260068 | DQ835087 | DQ835106 | DQ835133 | DQ835160 |
| Cercospora capsici | CBS 118712 | Lesions on calyx attached to fruit | - | Fiji | P. Tyler | GU214653 | JX143322 | JX143076 | JX142830 | JX142584 |


| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CBS 132622; CPC 14520 | Capsicum annuum | Solanaceae | South Korea: Yanggu | H.D. Shin | JX143568 | JX143323 | JX143077 | JX142831 | JX142585 |
|  | CPC 12307 | Capsicum annuum | Solanaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | GU214654 | JX143324 | JX143078 | JX142832 | JX142586 |
|  | MUCC 574; MUCNS 810; MAFF 238227 | Capsicum annuum | Solanaceae | Japan: Chiba | S. Uematsu | JX143569 | JX143325 | JX143079 | JX142833 | JX142587 |
| Cercospora celosiae | CBS 132600; CPC 10660 | Celosia argentea var. cristata ( $=$ C. cristata) | Amaranthaceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143570 | JX143326 | JX143080 | JX142834 | JX142588 |
| Cercospora chenopodii | CBS 132620; CPC 14237 | Chenopodium cf. album | Chenopodiaceae | France: Ardeche | P.W. Crous | JX143571 | JX143327 | JX143081 | JX142835 | JX142589 |
| Cercospora cf. chenopodii | CBS 132594; CPC 10304 (TYPE) | Chenopodium ficifolium | Chenopodiaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143572 | JX143328 | JX143082 | JX142836 | JX142590 |
|  | CBS 132677; CPC 15599 | Chenopodium sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico: Montecillo | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143573 | JX143329 | JX143083 | JX142837 | JX142591 |
|  | CPC 12450 | Chenopodium ficifolium | Chenopodiaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143574 | JX143330 | JX143084 | JX142838 | JX142592 |
|  | CPC 15763 | Chenopodium sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico: Montecillo | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143575 | JX143331 | JX143085 | JX142839 | JX142593 |
|  | CPC 15859 | Chenopodium sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico: Purificacion | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143576 | JX143332 | JX143086 | JX142840 | JX142594 |
|  | CPC 15862 | Chenopodium sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico: Purificacion | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143577 | JX143333 | JX143087 | JX142841 | JX142595 |
| Cercospora chinensis | CBS 132612; CPC 10831 | Polygonatum humile | Convallariaceae | South Korea: <br> Pyeongchang | H.D. Shin | JX143578 | JX143334 | JX143088 | JX142842 | JX142596 |
| Cercospora cf. citrulina | CBS 119395; CPC 12682 | Musa sp. | Musaceae | Bangladesh: Western | I. Buddenhagen | EU514222 | JX143335 | JX143089 | JX142843 | JX142597 |
|  | CBS 132669; CPC 12683 | Musa sp. | Musaceae | Bangladesh: Western | I. Buddenhagen | EU514223 | JX143336 | JX143090 | JX142844 | JX142598 |
|  | MUCC 576; MUCNS 300; MAFF 237913 | Citrullus lanatus | Cucurbitaceae | Japan: Okinawa | T. Kobayashion et al. | JX143579 | JX143337 | JX143091 | JX142845 | JX142599 |
|  | MUCC 577; MUCNS 254; MAFF 238205 | Momordica charanthia | Cucurbitaceae | Japan: Kagoshima | E. Imaizumi \& C. Nomi | JX143580 | JX143338 | JX143092 | JX142846 | JX142600 |
|  | MUCC 584; MAFF 305757 | Psophocarpus tetragonolobus | Fabaceae | Japan: Okinawa | - | JX143581 | JX143339 | JX143093 | JX142847 | JX142601 |
|  | MUCC 588; MAFF 239409 | Ipomoea pes-caprae | Convolvulaceae | Japan: Okinawa | - | JX143582 | JX143340 | JX143094 | JX142848 | JX142602 |
| Cercospora coniogrammes | CBS 132634; CPC 17017 (TYPE) | Coniogramme japonica var. gracilis (三 C. gracilis) | Adiantaceae | Australia: Queensland | P.W. Crous | JX143583 | JX143341 | JX143095 | JX142849 | JX142603 |
| Cercospora corchori | MUCC 585; MUCNS 72; MAFF 238191 (TYPE) | Corchorus olitorius | Tiliaceae | Japan: Shimane | T. Mikami | JX143584 | JX143342 | JX143096 | JX142850 | JX142604 |
| Cercospora cf. coreopsidis | CBS 132598; CPC 10648 | Coreopsis lanceolata | Asteraceae | South Korea: Seoul | H.D. Shin | JX143585 | JX143343 | JX143097 | JX142851 | JX142605 |
|  | CPC 10122 | Coreopsis lanceolata | Asteraceae | South Korea: Wonju | H.D. Shin | JX143586 | JX143344 | JX143098 | JX142852 | JX142606 |
| Cercospora delaireae | CBS 132595; CPC 10455; GV2 PPRI number: C558 (TYPE) | Delairea odorata <br> (= Senecio mikanioides) | Asteraceae | South Africa: Long Tom Pass | S. Neser | JX143587 | JX143345 | JX143099 | JX142853 | JX142607 |
|  | CPC 10627 | Delairea odorata <br> (= Senecio mikanioides) | Asteraceae | South Africa: Plettenberg Bay | C.L. Lennox | JX143588 | JX143346 | JX143100 | JX142854 | JX142608 |
|  | CPC 10628 | Delairea odorata <br> (= Senecio mikanioides) | Asteraceae | South Africa: Plettenberg Bay | C.L. Lennox | JX143589 | JX143347 | JX143101 | JX142855 | JX142609 |

Table 1. (Continued)

| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CPC 10629 | Delairea odorata <br> (= Senecio mikanioides) | Asteraceae | South Africa: Plettenberg Bay | C.L. Lennox | JX143590 | JX143348 | JX143102 | JX142856 | JX142610 |
| Cercospora dispori | CBS 132608; CPC 10773 | Disporum viridescens | Convallariaceae | South Korea: Pyeongchang | H.D. Shin | JX143591 | JX143349 | JX143103 | JX142857 | JX142611 |
| Cercospora cf. erysimi | CBS 115059; CPC 5361 | Erysimum mutabile | Brassicaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143592 | JX143350 | JX143104 | JX142858 | JX142612 |
| Cercospora euphorbiaesieboldianae | CBS 113306 (TYPE) | Euphorbia sieboldiana | Euphorbiaceae | South Korea: Samcheok | H.D. Shin | JX143593 | JX143351 | JX143105 | JX142859 | JX142613 |
| Cercospora fagopyri | CBS 132623; CPC 14541 (TYPE) | Fagopyrum esculentum | Polygonaceae | South Korea: Yangpyeong | H.D. Shin | JX143594 | JX143352 | JX143106 | JX142860 | JX142614 |
|  | CBS 132640; CPC 10109 | Fallopia dumentorum | Polygonaceae | South Korea: Yangpyeong | H.D. Shin | JX143595 | JX143353 | JX143107 | JX142861 | JX142615 |
|  | CBS 132649; CPC 10725 | Viola mandschurica | Violaceae | South Korea: Suwon | H.D. Shin | JX143596 | JX143354 | JX143108 | JX142862 | JX142616 |
|  | CBS 132671; CPC 14546 | Cercis chinensis | Fabaceae | South Korea: Yangpyeong | H.D. Shin | JX143597 | JX143355 | JX143109 | JX142863 | JX142617 |
|  | MUCC 130 | Cosmos bipinnata | Asteraceae | Japan: Ehime | J. Nishikawa | JX143598 | JX143356 | JX143110 | JX142864 | JX142618 |
|  | MUCC 866 | Hibiscus syriacus | Malvaceae | Japan: Ehime | J. Nishikawa | JX143599 | JX143357 | JX143111 | JX142865 | JX142619 |
| Cercospora cf. flagellaris | CBS 113127; RC3766; TX-18 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | USA: Texas | D. Tessmann \& R. Charudattan | DQ835075 | AF146147 | DQ835121 | DQ835148 | DQ835175 |
|  | CBS 115482; A207 Bs+; CPC 4410 | Citrus sp. | Rutaceae | South Africa: Messina | M.C. Pretorius | AY260070 | DQ835095 | DQ835114 | DQ835141 | DQ835168 |
|  | CBS 132637; CPC 10079 | Trachelium sp. | Campanulaceae | Israel | E. Tzul-Abad | JX143600 | JX143358 | JX143112 | JX142866 | JX142620 |
|  | CBS 132646; CPC 10681 | Cichorium intybus | Asteraceae | South Korea: Suwon | H.D. Shin | JX143601 | JX143359 | JX143113 | JX142867 | JX142621 |
|  | CBS 132648; CPC 10722 | Amaranthus patulus | Amaranthaceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | JX143602 | JX143360 | JX143114 | JX142868 | JX142622 |
|  | CBS 132653; CPC 10884 | Dysphania ambrosioides <br> (三 Chenopodium ambrosioides) | Chenopodiaceae | South Korea: Jeju | H.D. Shin | JX143603 | JX143361 | JX143115 | JX142869 | JX142623 |
|  | CBS 132667; CPC 11643 | Celosia argentea var. cristata ( $\equiv$ C. cristata) | Amaranthaceae | South Korea: Hoengseong | H.D. Shin | JX143604 | JX143362 | JX143116 | JX142870 | JX142624 |
|  | CBS 132670; CPC 14487 | Sigesbeckia pubescens | Asteraceae | South Korea: Yanggu | H.D. Shin | JX143605 | JX143363 | JX143117 | JX142871 | JX142625 |
|  | CBS 132674; CPC 14723 | Phytolacca americana | Phytolaccaceae | South Korea: Jeju | H.D. Shin | JX143606 | JX143364 | JX143118 | JX142872 | JX142626 |
|  | CBS 143.51; CPC 5055 | Bromus sp. | Poaceae | - | M.D. Whitehead | JX143607 | JX143365 | JX143119 | JX142873 | JX142627 |
|  | CPC 10124 | Phytolacca americana | Phytolaccaceae | South Korea: Pocheon | H.D. Shin | JX143608 | JX143366 | JX143120 | JX142874 | JX142628 |
|  | CPC 1051 | Populus deltoides | Salicaceae | South Africa | P.W. Crous | AY260069 | JX143367 | JX143121 | JX142875 | JX142629 |
|  | CPC 1052 | Populus deltoides | Salicaceae | South Africa | P.W. Crous | JX143609 | JX143368 | JX143122 | JX142876 | JX142630 |
|  | CPC 10684 | Phytolacca americana | Phytolaccaceae | South Korea: Jinju | H.D. Shin | JX143610 | JX143369 | JX143123 | JX142877 | JX142631 |
|  | CPC 4411; Q207 F5 | Citrus sp . | Rutaceae | South Africa: Messina | M.C. Pretorius | AY260071 | DQ835098 | DQ835118 | DQ835145 | DQ835172 |
|  | CPC 5441 | Amaranthus sp. | Amaranthaceae | Fiji | C.F. Hill | JX143611 | JX143370 | JX143124 | JX142878 | JX142632 |
|  | MUCC 127 | Cosmos sulphureus | Asteraceae | Japan: Ehime | J. Nishikawa | JX143612 | JX143371 | JX143125 | JX142879 | JX142633 |
|  | MUCC 735 | Hydrangea serrata | Hydrangeaceae | Japan: Wakayama | C. Nakashima \& I. Araki | JX143613 | JX143372 | JX143126 | JX142880 | JX142634 |
|  | MUCC 831 | Hydrangea serrata | Hydrangeaceae | Japan: Tokyo | I. Araki \& M. Harada | JX143614 | JX143373 | JX143127 | JX142881 | JX142635 |


| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora cf. helianthicola | MUCC 716 | Helianthus tuberosus | Asteraceae | Japan: Wakayama | C. Nakashima \& I. Araki | JX143615 | JX143374 | JX143128 | JX142882 | JX142636 |
| Cercospora cf. ipomoeae | CBS 132639; CPC 10102 | Persicaria thunbergii | Polygonaceae | South Korea: Pocheon | H.D. Shin | JX143616 | JX143375 | JX143129 | JX142883 | JX142637 |
|  | CBS 132652; CPC 10833 | Ipomoea nil (= I. hederacea) | Convolvulaceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143617 | JX143376 | JX143130 | JX142884 | JX142638 |
|  | MUCC 442 | Ipomoea aquatica | Convolvulaceae | Japan: Kagawa | G. Kizaki | JX143618 | JX143377 | JX143131 | JX142885 | JX142639 |
| Cercospora kikuchii | CBS 128.27; CPC 5068 (TYPE) | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | Japan | T. Matsumoto | DQ835070 | DQ835088 | DQ835107 | DQ835134 | DQ835161 |
|  | CBS 132633; CPC 16578 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | - | JX143619 | JX143378 | JX143132 | JX142886 | JX142640 |
|  | CBS 135.28; CPC 5067 | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | Japan | H.W. Wollenweber | DQ835071 | DQ835089 | DQ835108 | DQ835135 | DQ835162 |
|  | MUCC 590; MAFF 305040 | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | Japan: Kagoshima | H. Kurata | JX143620 | JX143379 | JX143133 | JX142887 | JX142641 |
| Cercospora lactucae-sativae | CBS 132604; CPC 10728 | Ixeris chinensis subsp. strigosa ( $\equiv$ Ixeris strigosa) | Asteraceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143621 | JX143380 | JX143134 | JX142888 | JX142642 |
|  | CPC 10082 | Ixeris chinensis subsp. strigosa ( $\equiv$ Ixeris strigosa) | Asteraceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143622 | JX143381 | JX143135 | JX142889 | JX142643 |
|  | MUCC 570; MUCN S463; MAFF 238209 | Lactuca sativa | Asteraceae | Japan: Chiba | C. Nakashima | JX143623 | JX143382 | JX143136 | JX142890 | JX142644 |
|  | MUCC 571; MUCNS 214; MAFF 237719 | Lactuca sativa | Asteraceae | Japan: Chiba | S. Uematsu | JX143624 | JX143383 | JX143137 | JX142891 | JX142645 |
| Cercospora cf. malloti | MUCC 575; MUCNS 582; MAFF 237872 | Cucumis melo | Cucurbitaceae | Japan: Okinawa | K. Uehara | JX143625 | JX143384 | JX143138 | JX142892 | JX142646 |
|  | MUCC 787 | Mallotus japonicus | Euphorbiaceae | Japan: Okinawa | C. Nakashima \& T. Akashi | JX143626 | JX143385 | JX143139 | JX142893 | JX142647 |
| Cercospora mercurialis | CBS 549.71 | Mercurialis annua | Euphorbiaceae | Romania: Cheia | O. Constantinescu | JX143627 | JX143386 | JX143140 | JX142894 | JX142648 |
|  | CBS 550.71 (TYPE) | Mercurialis perennis | Euphorbiaceae | Romania: Cheia | O. Constantinescu | JX143628 | JX143387 | JX143141 | JX142895 | JX142649 |
|  | CBS 551.71 | Mercurialis ovata | Euphorbiaceae | Romania: Hagieni |  <br> G. Negrean | JX143629 | JX143388 | JX143142 | JX142896 | JX142650 |
| Cercospora cf. modiolae | CPC 5115 | Modiola caroliniana | Malvaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | JX143630 | JX143389 | JX143143 | JX142897 | JX142651 |
| Cercospora cf. nicotianae | CBS 131.32; CPC 5076 | Nicotiana tabacum | Solanaceae | Indonesia: Medan | H. Diddens \& A. Jaarsveld | DQ835073 | DQ835099 | DQ835119 | DQ835146 | DQ835173 |
|  | CBS 132632; CPC 15918 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143631 | JX143390 | JX143144 | JX142898 | JX142652 |
|  | CBS 570.69; CPC 5075 | Nicotiana tabacum | Solanaceae | Nigeria | S.O. Alasoadura | DQ835074 | DQ835100 | DQ835120 | DQ835147 | DQ835174 |
| Cercospora olivascens | CBS 253.67; IMI 124975; CPC 5085 (TYPE) | Aristolochia clematidis | Aristolochiaceae | Romania: Cazanele Dunarii | O. Constantinescu | JX143632 | JX143391 | JX143145 | JX142899 | JX142653 |
| Cercospora cf. physalidis | CBS 765.79 | Solanum tuberosum | Solanaceae | Peru | L.J. Turkensteen | JX143633 | JX143392 | JX143146 | JX142900 | JX142654 |
| Cercospora pileicola | CBS 132607; CPC 10749 (TYPE) | Pilea pumila (= P. mongolica) | Urticaceae | South Korea: <br> Dongducheon | H.D. Shin | JX143634 | JX143393 | JX143147 | JX142901 | JX142655 |
|  | CBS 132647; CPC 10693 | Pilea hamaoi ( $\equiv$ P. pumila var. hamaoi) | Urticaceae | South Korea: Hoengseong | H.D. Shin | JX143635 | JX143394 | JX143148 | JX142902 | JX142656 |
|  | CPC 11369 | Pilea pumila (= P. mongolica) | Uricaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143636 | JX143395 | JX143149 | JX142903 | JX142657 |
| Cercospora polygonacea | CBS 132614; CPC 11318 | Persicaria longiseta ( $\equiv$ P. blumei) | Polygonaceae | South Korea: Cheongju | H.D. Shin | JX143637 | JX143396 | JX143150 | JX142904 | JX142658 |

Table 1. (Continued)

| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora punctiformis | CBS 132626; CPC 14606 | Cynanachum wilfordii | Asclepiadaceae | South Korea: Bonghwa | H.D. Shin | JX143638 | JX143397 | JX143151 | JX142905 | JX142659 |
| Cercospora cf. resedae | CBS 118793 | Reseda odorata | Resedaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143639 | JX143398 | JX143152 | JX142906 | JX142660 |
|  | CBS 257.67; CPC 5057 | Helianthemum sp. | Cistaceae | Romania: Bucuresti | O. Constantinescu | DQ233319 | DQ233343 | DQ233369 | DQ233395 | DQ233421 |
| Cercospora cf. richardiicola | CBS 132627; CPC 14680 | Ajuga multiflora | Lamiaceae | South Korea: Incheon | H.D. Shin | JX143640 | JX143399 | JX143153 | JX142907 | JX142661 |
|  | MUCC 128 | Tagetes erecta | Asteraceae | Japan: Ehime | J. Nishikawa | JX143641 | JX143400 | JX143154 | JX142908 | JX142662 |
|  | MUCC 132 | Osteospermum sp. | Asteraceae | Japan: Shizuoka | J. Nishikawa | JX143642 | JX143401 | JX143155 | JX142909 | JX142663 |
|  | MUCC 138 | Fuchsia $\times$ hybrida | Onagraceae | Japan: Shizuoka | J. Nishikawa | JX143643 | JX143402 | JX143156 | JX142910 | JX142664 |
|  | MUCC 578; MAFF 238210 | Zantedeschia sp. | Araceae | Japan: Ehime | J. Nishikawa | JX143644 | JX143403 | JX143157 | JX142911 | JX142665 |
|  | MUCC 582; MAFF 238880 | Gerbera hybrida | Asteraceae | Japan: Shizuoka | J. Takeuchi | JX143645 | JX143404 | JX143158 | JX142912 | JX142666 |
| Cercospora ricinella | CBS 132605; CPC 10734 | Ricinus communis | Euphorbiaceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143646 | JX143405 | JX143159 | JX142913 | JX142667 |
|  | CPC 10104 | Ricinus communis | Euphorbiaceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143647 | JX143406 | JX143160 | JX142914 | JX142668 |
| Cercospora rodmanii | CBS 113123; RC3660; 28-1 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | Brazil: Rio Verde | R. Charudattan | DQ835076 | AF146136 | DQ835122 | DQ835149 | DQ835176 |
|  | CBS 113124; RC2867 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | Mexico: Carretero | R. Charudattan | DQ835077 | AF146137 | DQ835123 | DQ835150 | DQ835177 |
|  | CBS 113125; RC4101; 400 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | Zambia | M. Morris | DQ835078 | AF146146 | DQ835124 | DQ835151 | DQ835178 |
|  | CBS 113126; RC3409; 62-2 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | Brazil: Oroco | R. Charudattan | DQ835079 | AF146138 | DQ835125 | DQ835152 | DQ835179 |
|  | CBS 113128; RC394; WH83 | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | USA: Florida | R. Charudattan | DQ835080 | AF146142 | DQ835126 | DQ835153 | DQ835180 |
|  | CBS 113129; RC397; WH9-BR | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | USA: Florida | K. Conway | DQ835081 | AF146143 | DQ835127 | DQ835154 | DQ835181 |
|  | CBS 113130; RC393; WHK | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | USA: Florida | R. Charudattan | DQ835082 | AF146144 | DQ835128 | DQ835155 | DQ835182 |
|  | CBS 113131; RC395; WHV | Eichhornia crassipes | Pontederiaceae | Venezuela: Maracay | R. Charudattan | DQ835083 | AF146148 | DQ835129 | DQ835156 | DQ835183 |
| Cercospora rumicis | CPC 5439 | Rumex sanguineus | Polygonaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143648 | JX143407 | JX143161 | JX142915 | JX142669 |
| Cercospora senecioniswalkeri | CBS 132636; CPC 19196 | Senecio walkeri | Asteraceae | Laos | P. Phengsintham | JX143649 | JX143408 | JX143162 | JX142916 | JX142670 |
| Cercospora cf. sigesbeckiae | CBS 132601; CPC 10664 | Sigesbeckia glabrescens | Asteraceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143650 | JX143409 | JX143163 | JX142917 | JX142671 |
|  | CBS 132606; CPC 10740 | Paulownia coreana | Scrophulariaceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | JX143651 | JX143410 | JX143164 | JX142918 | JX142672 |
|  | CBS 132621; CPC 14489 | Sigesbeckia pubescens | Asteraceae | South Korea: Yanggu | H.D. Shin | JX143652 | JX143411 | JX143165 | JX142919 | JX142673 |
|  | CBS 132641; CPC 10117 | Persicaria orientalis (= P. cochinchinensis) | Polygonaceae | South Korea: Chuncheon | H.D. Shin | JX143653 | JX143412 | JX143166 | JX142920 | JX142674 |
|  | CBS 132642; CPC 10128 | Pilea pumila ( $=$ P. mongolica) | Urticaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143654 | JX143413 | JX143167 | JX142921 | JX142675 |
|  | CBS 132675; CPC 14726 | Malva verticillata | Malvaceae | South Korea: Yanggu | H.D. Shin | JX143655 | JX143414 | JX143168 | JX142922 | JX142676 |
|  | MUCC 587; MUCNS 197; MAFF 237690 | Begonia sp. | Begoniaceae | Japan: Chiba | S. Uematsu | JX143656 | JX143415 | JX143169 | JX142923 | JX142677 |
|  | MUCC 589; MAFF 305039 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Japan: Saitama | H. Kurata | JX143657 | JX143416 | JX143170 | JX142924 | JX142678 |
|  | MUCC 849 | Dioscorea tokoro | Dioscoreaceae | Japan: Tokyo | I. Araki | JX143658 | JX143417 | JX143171 | JX142925 | JX142679 |
| Cercospora sojina | CBS 132018; CPC 12322 | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | South Korea: Hoengseong | H.D. Shin | GU214655 | JX143418 | JX143172 | JX142926 | JX142680 |


| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CBS 132615; CPC 11353 (TYPE) | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143659 | JX143419 | JX143173 | JX142927 | JX142681 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS 132684; CPC 17971; CCC } \\ & 173-09,09-495 \end{aligned}$ | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143660 | JX143420 | JX143174 | JX142928 | JX142682 |
|  | CPC 11420 | Glycine soja | Fabaceae | South Korea: Hongcheon | H.D. Shin | JX143661 | JX143421 | JX143175 | JX142929 | JX142683 |
|  | CPC 17964; CCC 155-09, 09-285-5 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143662 | JX143422 | JX143176 | JX142930 | JX142684 |
|  | CPC 17965; CCC 156-09, 09-285-4 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143663 | JX143423 | JX143177 | JX142931 | JX142685 |
|  | CPC 17966; CCC 157-09, 09-285-3 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143664 | JX143424 | JX143178 | JX142932 | JX142686 |
|  | CPC 17967; CCC 158-09, 09-285-1 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143665 | JX143425 | JX143179 | JX142933 | JX142687 |
|  | CPC 17968; CCC 159-09, 09-285-7 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143666 | JX143426 | JX143180 | JX142934 | JX142688 |
|  | CPC 17969; CCC 167-09, 09-881 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | N. Formento | JX143667 | JX143427 | JX143181 | JX142935 | JX142689 |
|  | CPC 17970; CCC 172-09, 09-320 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143668 | JX143428 | JX143182 | JX142936 | JX142690 |
|  | CPC 17972; CCC 174-09, | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | S. Piubello | JX143669 | JX143429 | JX143183 | JX142937 | JX142691 |
|  | CPC 17973; CCC 176-09, 09-882 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | N. Formento | JX143670 | JX143430 | JX143184 | JX142938 | JX142692 |
|  | CPC 17974; CCC 177-09, 09-2488-1 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143671 | JX143431 | JX143185 | JX142939 | JX142693 |
|  | CPC 17975; CCC 178-09, 09-1438-2 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143672 | JX143432 | JX143186 | JX142940 | JX142694 |
|  | CPC 17976; CCC 179-09, 09-2591 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143673 | JX143433 | JX143187 | JX142941 | JX142695 |
|  | CPC 17977; CCC 180-09, 09-2520 | Glycine max | Fabaceae | Argentina | F. Scandiani | JX143674 | JX143434 | JX143188 | JX142942 | JX142696 |
| Cercospora sp. A | CBS 132631; CPC 15872 | Chenopodium sp. | Chenopodiaceae | Mexico | Ma. de Jesús Yãñez-Morales | JX143675 | JX143435 | JX143189 | JX142943 | JX142697 |
| Cercospora sp. B | CBS 132602; CPC 10687 | Ipomoea purpurea | Convolvulaceae | South Korea: Kangnung | H.D. Shin | JX143676 | JX143436 | JX143190 | JX142944 | JX142698 |
| Cercospora sp. C | CBS 132629; CPC 15841 | - | Compositae | Mexico: Montecillo | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143677 | JX143437 | JX143191 | JX142945 | JX142699 |
| Cercospora sp. D | CBS 132630; CPC 15856 | - | - | Mexico | Ma. de Jesús Yãñez-Morales | JX143678 | JX143438 | JX143192 | JX142946 | JX142700 |
| Cercospora sp. E | CBS 132628; CPC 15632 | Unidentified wild plant | - | Mexico: Montecillo | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143679 | JX143439 | JX143193 | JX142947 | JX142701 |
|  | CPC 15801 | Unidentified wild plant | - | Mexico: Montecillo | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143680 | JX143440 | JX143194 | JX142948 | JX142702 |
| Cercospora sp. F | CBS 132618; CPC 12062 | Zea mays | Poaceae | South Africa | P. Caldwell | DQ185071 | DQ185083 | DQ185095 | DQ185107 | DQ185119 |
| Cercospora sp. G | CBS 115518; CPC 5360 | Bidens frondosa | Asteraceae | New Zealand: Kopuku | C.F. Hill | JX143681 | JX143441 | JX143195 | JX142949 | JX142703 |
|  | CPC 5438 | Salvia viscosa | Lamiaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143682 | JX143442 | JX143196 | JX142950 | JX142704 |
| Cercospora sp. H | CBS 115205; CPC 5116 | Dichondra repens | Convolvulaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | JX143683 | JX143443 | JX143197 | JX142951 | JX142705 |
|  | CPC 11620 | Chamelaucium uncinatum | Myrtaceae | Argentina | S. Wolcan | JX143684 | JX143444 | JX143198 | JX142952 | JX142706 |
| Cercospora sp. 1 | CBS 114815; CPC 5364 | Deutzia purpurascens | Hydrangeaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143685 | JX143445 | JX143199 | JX142953 | JX142707 |
|  | CBS 114816; CPC 5363 | Deutzia $\times$ rosea <br> (= D. gracilis $\times$ purpurascens) | Hydrangeaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143686 | JX143446 | JX143200 | JX142954 | JX142708 |
|  | CBS 114817; CPC 5365 | Fuchsia procumbens | Onagraceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143687 | JX143447 | JX143201 | JX142955 | JX142709 |
|  | CBS 114818; CPC 5362 | Deutzia crenata | Hydrangeaceae | New Zealand: Manurewa | C.F. Hill | JX143688 | JX143448 | JX143202 | JX142956 | JX142710 |


| Species | Culture accession number（s）${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CBS 115117 | Archontophoenix cunninghamiana | Arecaceae（Palmae） | New Zealand：Whangarei | C．F．Hill | JX143689 | JX143449 | JX143203 | JX142957 | JX142711 |
|  | CBS 115121 | Gunnera tinctoria | Gunneraceae | New Zealand：Mt Albert | C．F．Hill | JX143690 | JX143450 | JX143204 | JX142958 | JX142712 |
|  | CBS 132597；CPC 10615 | Coreopsis verticillata | Asteraceae | New Zealand：Manurewa | C．F．Hill | JX143691 | JX143451 | JX143205 | JX142959 | JX142713 |
|  | CBS 132643；CPC 10138 | Ajuga multiflora | Lamiaceae | South Korea：Suwon | H．D．Shin | JX143692 | JX143452 | JX143206 | JX142960 | JX142714 |
|  | CPC 10616 | Coreopsis verticillata | Asteraceae | New Zealand：Manurewa | C．F．Hill | JX143693 | JX143453 | JX143207 | JX142961 | JX142715 |
|  | CPC 5440 | Nicotiana sp． | Solanaceae | New Zealand：Manurewa | C．F．Hill | JX143694 | JX143454 | JX143208 | JX142962 | JX142716 |
| Cercospora sp．J | MUCC 541 | Antirrhinum majus | Plantaginaceae | Japan：Aichi | M．Matsusaki | JX143695 | JX143455 | JX143209 | JX142963 | JX142717 |
| Cercospora sp．K | CBS 132603；CPC 10719 | Ipomoea coccinea <br> （三 Quamoclit coccinea） | Convolvulaceae | South Korea：Namyangju | H．D．Shin | JX143696 | JX143456 | JX143210 | JX142964 | JX142718 |
|  | CPC 10094 | Ipomoea coccinea <br> （三 Quamoolit coccinea） | Convolvulaceae | South Korea：Namyangju | H．D．Shin | JX143697 | JX143457 | JX143211 | JX142965 | JX142719 |
|  | CPC 12391 | Ipomoea coccinea <br> （三 Quamoclit coccinea） | Convolvulaceae | South Korea：Namyangju | H．D．Shin | JX143698 | JX143458 | JX143212 | JX142966 | JX142720 |
| Cercospora sp．L | CBS 115477；CPC 5114 | Crepis capillaris | Asteraceae | New Zealand | C．F．Hill | JX143699 | JX143459 | JX143213 | JX142967 | JX142721 |
| Cercospora sp．M | CBS 132596；CPC 10553 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand：Sanamchaikhet | K．Pongpanich | JX143700 | AY752175 | AY752203 | AY752234 | AY752265 |
| Cercospora sp．N | CBS 132619；CPC 12684 | Musa sp． | Musaceae | Bangladesh：Western | I．Buddenhagen | EU514224 | JX143460 | JX143214 | JX142968 | JX142722 |
| Cercospora sp． 0 | CBS 132635；CPC 18636 | Musa sp． | Musaceae | Thailand：Mae Klang Loung | P．W．Crous | JX143701 | JX143461 | JX143215 | JX142969 | JX142723 |
| Cercospora sp．P | CBS 112649；CPC 3946 | Citrus sp．，leaf spot | Rutaceae | Swaziland | M．C．Pretorius | AY260072 | DQ835090 | DQ835109 | DQ835136 | DQ835163 |
|  | CBS 112722；CPC 3947 | Citrus sp．，leaf spot | Rutaceae | Swaziland | M．C．Pretorius | AY260073 | DQ835091 | DQ835110 | DQ835137 | DQ835164 |
|  | CBS 112728；CPC 3949 | Citrus $\times$ sinensis <br> （三C．aurantium var．sinensis） | Rutaceae | South Africa：Komatipoort | M．C．Pretorius | AY260076 | DQ835092 | DQ835111 | DQ835138 | DQ835165 |
|  | CBS 112730；CPC 3948 | Citrus $\times$ sinensis （ $\equiv$ C．aurantium var．sinensis） | Rutaceae | South Africa：Komatipoort | M．C．Pretorius | AY260075 | DQ835093 | DQ835112 | DQ835139 | DQ835166 |
|  | CBS 112894；CPC 3950 | Citrus $\times$ sinensis <br> （三 C．aurantium var．sinensis） | Rutaceae | South Africa：Komatipoort | M．C．Pretorius | AY260077 | DQ835094 | DQ835113 | DQ835140 | DQ835167 |
|  | CBS 113996；CPC 5326 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa：Nelspruit | L．van Jaarsveld | JX143702 | JX143462 | JX143216 | JX142970 | JX142724 |
|  | CBS 115413；CPC 5328 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa：Nelspruit | L．van Jaarsveld | JX143703 | JX143463 | JX143217 | JX142971 | JX142725 |
|  | CBS 115609；CPC 3945 | Citrus sp．，leaf spot | Rutaceae | Swaziland | M．C．Pretorius | AY260074 | DQ835096 | DQ835115 | DQ835142 | DQ835169 |
|  | CBS 116365；CPC 10526 （TYPE） | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | M．J．Wingfield | AY752141 | AY752176 | AY752204 | AY752235 | AY752266 |
|  | CBS 132645；CPC 10527 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | M．J．Wingfield | AY752142 | AY752177 | AY752205 | AY752236 | AY752267 |
|  | CBS 132660；CPC 11629；GHA－4－0 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S．Nyako \＆A．O．Danquah | JX143704 | JX143464 | JX143218 | JX142972 | JX142726 |
|  | CBS 132662；CPC 11635；PNG－009 | Dioscorea nummularia | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J．Peters \＆A．N．Jama | JX143705 | JX143465 | JX143219 | JX142973 | JX142727 |
|  | CBS 132664；CPC 11637；PNG－022 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J．Peters \＆A．N．Jama | JX143706 | JX143466 | JX143220 | JX142974 | JX142728 |
|  | CBS 132665；CPC 11638；PNG－023 | Dioscorea bulbifera | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J．Peters \＆A．N．Jama | JX143707 | JX143467 | JX143221 | JX142975 | JX142729 |


| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora sp. Q | CBS 132680; CPC 15827 | Ricinus communis | Euphorbiaceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143708 | JX143468 | JX143222 | JX142976 | JX142730 |
|  | CPC 10552 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | K. Pongpanich | JX143709 | AY752174 | AY752202 | AY752233 | AY752264 |
|  | CPC 11630; GHA-4-3 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143710 | JX143469 | JX143223 | JX142977 | JX142731 |
|  | CPC 11631; GHA-5-0 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143711 | JX143470 | JX143224 | JX142978 | JX142732 |
|  | CPC 11632; GHA-7-4 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143712 | JX143471 | JX143225 | JX142979 | JX142733 |
|  | CPC 11633; GHA-8-4 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Ghana | S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah | JX143713 | JX143472 | JX143226 | JX142980 | JX142734 |
|  | CPC 4001 | Citrus $\times$ sinensis <br> (三C. aurantium var. sinensis) | Rutaceae | Swaziland | M.C. Pretorius | AY343372 | AY343335 | DQ835116 | DQ835143 | DQ835170 |
|  | CPC 4002 | Citrus $\times$ sinensis <br> (三 C. aurantium var. sinensis) | Rutaceae | Swaziland | M.C. Pretorius | DQ835072 | DQ835097 | DQ835117 | DQ835144 | DQ835171 |
|  | CPC 5262 | Hibiscus sabdariffa | Malvaceae | New Zealand: Auckland (imported from Fiji) | C.F. Hill | JX143714 | JX143473 | JX143227 | JX142981 | JX142735 |
|  | CPC 5327 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143715 | JX143474 | JX143228 | JX142982 | JX142736 |
|  | MUCC 771 | Coffea arabica | Rubiaceae | Japan: Okinawa | C. Nakashima | JX143716 | JX143475 | JX143229 | JX142983 | JX142737 |
|  | CBS 113997; CPC 5325 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143717 | JX143476 | JX143230 | JX142984 | JX142738 |
|  | CBS 115410; CPC 5331 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143718 | JX143477 | JX143231 | JX142985 | JX142739 |
|  | CBS 115411; CPC 5332 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143719 | JX143478 | JX143232 | JX142986 | JX142740 |
|  | CBS 115412; CPC 5333 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143720 | JX143479 | JX143233 | JX142987 | JX142741 |
|  | CBS 115536; CPC 5329 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143721 | JX143480 | JX143234 | JX142988 | JX142742 |
|  | CBS 115537; CPC 5330 | Cajanus cajan | Fabaceae | South Africa: Nelspruit | L. van Jaarsveld | JX143722 | JX143481 | JX143235 | JX142989 | JX142743 |
|  | CBS 132656; CPC 11536 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | K. Pongpanich | JX143723 | JX143482 | JX143236 | JX142990 | JX142744 |
|  | CBS 132661; CPC 11634; PNG-002 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J. Peters \& A.N. Jama | JX143724 | JX143483 | JX143237 | JX142991 | JX142745 |
|  | CBS 132663; CPC 11636; PNG-016 | Dioscorea esculenta | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J. Peters \& A.N. Jama | JX143725 | JX143484 | JX143238 | JX142992 | JX142746 |
|  | CBS 132679; CPC 15807 | Phaseolus vulgaris | Fabaceae | Mexico | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143726 | JX143485 | JX143239 | JX142993 | JX142747 |
|  | CBS 132681; CPC 15844 | Euphorbia sp. | Euphorbiaceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143727 | JX143486 | JX143240 | JX142994 | JX142748 |
|  | CBS 132682; CPC 15850 | Taraxacum sp. | Asteraceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143728 | JX143487 | JX143241 | JX142995 | JX142749 |
|  | CPC 10550 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | K. Pongpanich | AY752139 | AY752172 | AY752200 | AY752231 | AY752262 |
|  | CPC 10551 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | K. Pongpanich | AY752140 | AY752173 | AY752201 | AY752232 | AY752263 |
|  | CPC 11539 | Acacia mangium | Fabaceae | Thailand | K. Pongpanich | JX143729 | JX143488 | JX143242 | JX142996 | JX142750 |
|  | CPC 11639; PNG-037 | Dioscorea rotundata | Dioscoreaceae | Papua New Guinea | J. Peters \& A.N. Jama | JX143730 | JX143489 | JX143243 | JX142997 | JX142751 |
|  | CPC 15875 | Euphorbia sp. | Euphorbiaceae | Mexico: Tamaulipas | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143731 | JX143490 | JX143244 | JX142998 | JX142752 |
| Cercospora sp. R | CBS 114644 | Myoporum laetum | Myoporaceae | New Zealand: Grey Lynn | C.F. Hill | JX143732 | JX143491 | JX143245 | JX142999 | JX142753 |
| Cercospora sp. S | CBS 132599; CPC 10656 | Crepidiastrum denticulatum ( $\equiv$ Youngia denticulata) | Asteraceae | South Korea: Yangpyeong | H.D. Shin | JX143733 | JX143492 | JX143246 | JX143000 | JX142754 |

Table 1. (Continued).

| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
| Cercospora vignigena | CBS 132611; CPC 10812 (TYPE) | Vigna unguiculata ( $=$ V. sinensis) | Fabaceae | South Korea: Jeongeup | H.D. Shin | JX143734 | JX143493 | JX143247 | JX143001 | JX142755 |
|  | CPC 1134 | Vigna unguiculata ( $=$ V. sinensis) | Fabaceae | South Africa: Potchefstroom | S. van Wyk | JX143735 | JX143494 | JX143248 | JX143002 | JX142756 |
|  | MUCC 579; MAFF 237635 | Vigna unguiculata ( $=$ V. sinensis) | Fabaceae | Japan: Gumma | K. Kishi | JX143736 | JX143495 | JX143249 | JX143003 | JX142757 |
| Cercospora violae | CBS 251.67; CPC 5079 (TYPE) | Viola tricolor | Violaceae | Romania: Cazanele Dunarii | O. Constantinescu | JX143737 | JX143496 | JX143250 | JX143004 | JX142758 |
|  | CPC 5368 | Viola odorata | Violaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | JX143738 | JX143497 | JX143251 | JX143005 | JX142759 |
|  | MUCC 129 | Viola sp. | Violaceae | Japan: Kochi | J. Nishikawa | JX143739 | JX143498 | JX143252 | JX143006 | JX142760 |
|  | MUCC 133 | Viola tricolor | Violaceae | Japan: Nagano | J. Nishikawa | JX143740 | JX143499 | JX143253 | JX143007 | JX142761 |
|  | MUCC 136 | Viola tricolor | Violaceae | Japan: Shizuoka | J. Nishikawa | JX143741 | JX143500 | JX143254 | JX143008 | JX142762 |
| Cercospora zeae-maydis | CBS 117755; YA-03; A358 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Indiana | B. Fleener | DQ185072 | DQ185084 | DQ185096 | DQ185108 | DQ185120 |
|  | CBS 117756; DE-97; A359 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Indiana | B. Fleener | DQ185073 | DQ185085 | DQ185097 | DQ185109 | DQ185121 |
|  | CBS 117757; JV-WI-02; A360 (TYPE) | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Wisconsin | B. Fleener | DQ185074 | DQ185086 | DQ185098 | DQ185110 | DQ185122 |
|  | CBS 117758; JH-IA-04; A361 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Iowa | B. Fleener | DQ185075 | DQ185087 | DQ185099 | DQ185111 | DQ185123 |
|  | CBS 117759; UC-TN-99; A362 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Tennessee | B. Fleener | DQ185076 | DQ185088 | DQ185100 | DQ185112 | DQ185124 |
|  | CBS 117760; NH-PA-99; A363 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Pennsylvania | B. Fleener | DQ185077 | DQ185089 | DQ185101 | DQ185113 | DQ185125 |
|  | CBS 117761; PR-IN-99; A364 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Indiana | B. Fleener | DQ185078 | DQ185090 | DQ185102 | DQ185114 | DQ185126 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS 117762; DEXTER-MO-00; } \\ & \text { A365 } \end{aligned}$ | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: Missouri | B. Fleener | DQ185079 | DQ185091 | DQ185103 | DQ185115 | DQ185127 |
|  | CBS 117763; RENBECK-IA-99; A367 | Zea mays | Poaceae | USA: lowa | B. Fleener | DQ185080 | DQ185092 | DQ185104 | DQ185116 | DQ185128 |
|  | CBS 132668; CPC 12225; CHME 52 | Zea mays | Poaceae | China: Liaoning Province | - | JX143742 | JX143501 | JX143255 | JX143009 | JX142763 |
|  | CBS 132678; CPC 15602 | Zea mays | Poaceae | Mexico: Tlacotepec | Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales | JX143743 | JX143502 | JX143256 | JX143010 | JX142764 |
| Cercospora zebrina | CBS 108.22; CPC 5091 | Medicago arabica (= M. maculata) | Fabaceae | - | E.F. Hopkins | JX143744 | JX143503 | JX143257 | JX143011 | JX142765 |
|  | CBS 112723; CPC 3957 | Trifolium repens | Fabaceae | Canada: Ottawa | K.A. Seifert | AY260079 | JX143504 | JX143258 | JX143012 | JX142766 |
|  | CBS 112736; CPC 3958 | Trifolium repens | Fabaceae | Canada: Ottawa | K.A. Seifert | AY260080 | JX143505 | JX143259 | JX143013 | JX142767 |
|  | CBS 112893; CPC 3955 | Trifolium pratense | Fabaceae | Canada: Ottawa | K.A. Seifert | AY260078 | JX143506 | JX143260 | JX143014 | JX142768 |
|  | CBS 113070; CPC 5367 | Trifolium repens | Fabaceae | New Zealand: Blockhouse Bay | C.F. Hill | JX143745 | JX143507 | JX143261 | JX143015 | JX142769 |
|  | CBS 114359; CPC 10901 | Hebe sp. | Scrophulariaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | JX143746 | JX143508 | JX143262 | JX143016 | JX142770 |
|  | CBS 118789; WAC 5106 | Trifolium subterraneum | Fabaceae | Australia | M.J. Barbetti | JX143747 | JX143509 | JX143263 | JX143017 | JX142771 |
|  | CBS 118790; IMI 262766; WA 2030; WAC 7973 | Trifolium subterraneum | Fabaceae | Australia | M.J. Barbetti | JX143748 | JX143510 | JX143264 | JX143018 | JX142772 |


| Species | Culture accession number(s) ${ }^{1}$ | Host name or isolation source | Host Family | Country | Collector | GenBank accession numbers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ITS | TEF | ACT | CAL | HIS |
|  | CBS 118791; IMI 264190; WA2054; WAC7993 | Trifolium cernuum | Fabaceae | Australia | M.J. Barbetti | JX143749 | JX143511 | JX143265 | JX143019 | JX142773 |
|  | CBS 129.39; CPC 5078 | Trifolium subterraneum | Fabaceae | USA: Wisconsin | - | JX143750 | JX143512 | JX143266 | JX143020 | JX142774 |
|  | CBS 132650; CPC 10756 | Trifolium repens | Fabaceae | South Korea: Namyangju | H.D. Shin | JX143751 | JX143513 | JX143267 | JX143021 | JX142775 |
|  | CBS 137.56; CPC 5118 | Hedysarum coronarium | Fabaceae | Italy | - | JX143752 | JX143514 | JX143268 | JX143022 | JX142776 |
|  | CBS 537.71; IMI 161108; CPC 5089 | Astragalus spruneri | Fabaceae | Romania: Hagieni | O. Constantinescu | JX143753 | JX143515 | JX143269 | JX143023 | JX142777 |
|  | CPC 5437 | Lotus pedunculatus | Fabaceae | New Zealand: Auckland | C.F. Hill | JX143754 | JX143516 | JX143270 | JX143024 | JX142778 |
|  | CPC 5473 | Jacaranda mimosifolia | Bignoniaceae | New Zealand | C.F. Hill | JX143755 | JX143517 | JX143271 | JX143025 | JX142779 |
| Cercospora zeina | CBS 118820; CPC 11995 (TYPE) | Zea mays | Poaceae | South Africa: Pietermaritzburg | P. Caldwell | DQ185081 | DQ185093 | DQ185105 | DQ185117 | DQ185129 |
|  | CBS 132617; CPC 11998 | Zea mays | Poaceae | South Africa: Pietermaritzburg | P. Caldwell | DQ185082 | DQ185094 | DQ185106 | DQ185118 | DQ185130 |
| Cercospora cf. zinniae | CBS 132624; CPC 14549 | Zinnia elegans | Asteraceae | South Korea: Yangpyeong | H.D. Shin | JX143756 | JX143518 | JX143272 | JX143026 | JX142780 |
|  | CBS 132676; CPC 15075 | - | - | Brazil: Valverde | A.C. Alfenas | JX143757 | JX143519 | JX143273 | JX143027 | JX142781 |
|  | MUCC 131 | Zinnia elegans | Asteraceae | Japan: Shizuoka | J. Nishikawa | JX143758 | JX143520 | JX143274 | JX143028 | JX142782 |
|  | MUCC 572; MUCNS 215; MAFF 237718 | Zinnia elegans | Asteraceae | Japan: Chiba | S. Uematsu | JX143759 | JX143521 | JX143275 | JX143029 | JX142783 |
| Septoria provencialis | CBS 118910; CPC 12226 | Eucalyptus sp. | Myrtaceae | France | P.W. Crous | DQ303096 | JX143522 | JX143276 | JX143030 | JX142784 |




 ${ }^{2}$ ITS: internal transcribed spacers and intervening 5.8 S nrDNA; TEF: translation elongation factor 1 -alpha; ACT: actin; CAL: calmodulin; HIS: histone H 3 .
cercosporin is not produced by all species (Assante et al. 1977, examples cited by Goodwin et al. 2001, see also review by Weiland et al. 2010). Nutritional and environmental conditions influence the production of cercosporin, making it useless for application in Cercospora taxonomy (Jenns et al. 1989). Genomic studies in recent years attempt to understand the metabolic pathway used to produce cercosporin and C. nicotianae has become the model organism for these studies (e.g. Chung et al. 2003, Choquer et al. 2005, Chen et al. 2007, Amnuaykanjanasin \& Daub 2009).

In an attempt to address some of the shortcomings highlighted in the previous paragraph, we have obtained diseased plant material and/or cultures from as many hosts and countries as possible over several years. We sequenced the ITS locus (including ITS1, 5.8 S nrRNA gene and ITS2), as well as parts of four genomic protein coding genes, namely translation elongationfactor 1-alpha, actin, calmodulin and histone H 3 for each culture. Our primary objective was to re-evaluate the species concept of known Cercospora species by consolidating the results of multilocus phylogenetic analyses with morphological characteristics produced on host plants and different media. A secondary objective was to test whether Cercospora species, in general, were host-specific.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Specimens and isolates

Dried specimens and cultures used in this study are maintained in herbaria and culture collections of Genebank, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Japan, (MAFF), the Mycological Herbarium and Culture Collection, laboratory of Plant Pathology, Mie University, Japan (MUMH or MUCC) and the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands), or the working collection of P.W. Crous (CPC), housed at CBS (Table 1). A global set of isolates (Table 1) was either obtained from personal culture collections, the culture collection of the CBS or recollected on diseased plant material, and grown in axenic culture. Symptomatic leaves with leaf spots were chosen for isolations of Cercospora spp. as explained in Crous (1998). To obtain ascospore isolates, excised lesions were placed in distilled water for approximately 2 h , after which they were placed on the bottom of Petri dish lids, over which the plate containing 2 \% malt extract agar (MEA) (Crous et al. 1991, 2009c) was inverted. Germinating ascospores were examined after 24 h , and singleascospore cultures established on MEA as explained by Crous (1998). Colonies were sub-cultured onto oatmeal agar (OA), V8juice agar (V8), 2 \% potato-dextrose agar (PDA) or MEA (Crous et al. 2009c) and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under continuous near-ultraviolet light, to promote sporulation.

## DNA extraction, amplification and phylogeny

Genomic DNA was isolated from fungal mycelium grown on the agar plates following the protocol of Lee \& Taylor (1990) or the UltraClean ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Inc., Solana Beach, CA, USA). All isolates were sequenced with five genomic loci. The primers ITS5 or ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990) were used to amplify the internal transcribed spacers areas as well as the 5.8 S rRNA gene (ITS) of the nrDNA operon. Part of the actin gene (ACT) was amplified using the primer set

ACT-512F and ACT-783R (Carbone \& Kohn 1999) and part of the translation elongation factor 1 -a gene (EF) using the primer set EF1-728F and EF1-986R (Carbone \& Kohn 1999). The primer set CAL-228F and CAL-737R (Carbone \& Kohn 1999) was used to amplify part of the calmodulin gene (CAL) whereas the primer set CylH3F and CyIH3R (Crous et al. 2004c) was used to amplify part of the histone H 3 gene (HIS). Additional degenerate primers were developed from sequences obtained from GenBank as alternative forward and reverse primers for some of the loci during the course of the study (Table 2); however, these were rarely used but based on their degenerate design could be of use to the broader scientific community. The protocols and conditions outlined by Groenewald et al. (2005) were followed for standard amplification and subsequent sequencing of the loci.

Sequences of Septoria provencialis (isolate CPC 12226) were used as outgroup based on availability and phylogenetic relationship with Cercospora (Crous et al. 2004b, 2006b). The Cercospora sequences were assembled and added to the outgroup sequences using Sequence Alignment Editor v. 2.0a11 (Rambaut 2002), and manual adjustments for improvement were made by eye where necessary. Gaps present in the ingroup taxa and longer than 10 characters were coded as a single event for all analyses (see TreeBASE).

Neighbour-joining analyses using the HKY85 substitution model were applied to each data partition individually to check the stability and robustness of each species clade under each data set using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003) (data not shown, discussed under the species notes where applicable). Alignment gaps were treated as missing data and all characters were unordered and of equal weight. Any ties were broken randomly when encountered. The robustness of the trees obtained was evaluated by 1000 bootstrap replications (Hillis \& Bull 1993).

MrModeltest v. 2.2 (Nylander 2004) was used to determine the best nucleotide substitution model settings for each data partition. Based on the results of the MrModeltest, a model-optimised phylogenetic re-construction was performed for the aligned combined data set to determine species relationships using MrBayes v. 3.2.0 (Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck 2003). The heating parameter was set at 0.3 and the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis of four chains was started in parallel from a random tree topology and lasted until the average standard deviation of split frequencies came below 0.05 . Trees were saved each 1000 generations and the resulting phylogenetic tree was printed with Geneious v. 5.5.4 (Drummond et al. 2011). New sequences generated in this study were deposited in NCBl's GenBank nucleotide database (www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; Table 1) and the alignment and phylogenetic tree in TreeBASE (www.treebase.org).

Isolates of Cercospora sp. Q were screened with five more loci to test whether additional loci could distinguish cryptic taxa within this species. This species was selected based on the intraspecific variation present in Fig. 2 (part 5) and also the range of host species and countries represented. The primer set GDF1 and GDR1 (Guerber et al. 2003) was used to amplify part of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene, primer set NMS1 and NMS2 (Li et al. 1994) for part of the mitochondrial small subunit rRNA gene and part of the chitin synthase (CHS) gene was amplified using the primers CHS-79F and CHS-354R (Carbone \& Kohn 1999). Part of the gene encoding for a mini-chromosome maintenance protein (MCM7) was amplified using primers Mcm7-709for, Mcm7-1348rev, Mcm7-1447rev (Schmitt et al. 2009) and part of the beta-tubulin gene using mainly the primers T1, Bt2b and TUB3Rd (see Table 2 for references).

Table 2. Details of primers used and/or developed for this study and their relation to selected published primers. The start and end positions of the primers are derived using the GenBank accession shown next to the locus name as reference in the 5' $3^{\prime}$ direction. See Crous et al. (2009a) for information on additional ITS primers.

| Name | Sequence (5' - $3^{\prime}$ ) | Orientation | \%GC | $\mathrm{Tm}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Start | End | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actin (Hypocrea orientalis GenBank accession JQ238613) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACT-512F | ATG TGC AAG GCC GGT TTC GC | Forward | 60.0 | 51.4 | 244 | 263 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| ACT-783R | TAC GAG TCC TTC TGG CCC AT | Reverse | 55.0 | 47.6 | 544 | 563 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| ACT1Fd | GCY GCB CTC GTY ATY GAC AAT GG | Forward | 57.2 | 45.7-50.6-54.7 | 16 | 38 | This study, see also Aveskamp et al. (2009) |
| ACT1Rd | CRT CGT ACT CCT GCT TBG AGA TCC AC | Reverse | 54.5 | 48.3-50.3-51.8 | 1537 | 1562 | This study |
| ACT2Fd | GTA TCG TBC TBG ACT CYG GTG AYG GTG | Forward | 56.8 | 48.1-52.2-55.4 | 854 | 880 | This study |
| ACT2Rd | ARR TCR CGD CCR GCC ATG TC | Reverse | 61.7 | 45.1-50.9-58.1 | 940 | 956 | This study, see also Quaedvlieg et al. (2011) |
| Beta-tubulin (Gibberella zeae GenBank accession FJ214662) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bt1a | TTC CCC CGT CTC CAC TTC TTC ATG | Forward | 54.2 | 50.1 | 1091 | 1114 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| Bt1b | GAC GAG ATC GTT CAT GTT GAA CTC | Reverse | 45.8 | 45.1 | 1603 | 1626 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| Bt2a | GGT AAC CAAATC GGT GCT GCT TTC | Forward | 50.0 | 48.2 | 163 | 186 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| Bt2b | ACC CTC AGT GTA GTG ACC CTT GGC | Reverse | 58.0 | 52.1 | 617 | 640 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| CYLTUB1F | AAA TTG GTG CTG CTT TCT GG | Forward | 45.0 | 43.5 | 170 | 189 | This study |
| CYLTUB1R | AGT TGT CGG GAC GGAAGA G | Reverse | 57.9 | 46.6 | 563 | 581 | Crous et al. (2004c) |
| T1 | AAC ATG CGT GAG ATT GTAAGT | Forward | 38.1 | 41.5 | 1 | 17 | O'Donnell \& Cigelnik (1997) |
| TUB1Fd | CAN MAT GMG KGA RAT CGT RGT | Forward | 47.6 | 36.8-44.5-51.9 | 1 | 14 | This study |
| TUB1Rd | RGC VTC YTG GTA YTG CTG GTA | Reverse | 53.2 | 43.2-47.4-51.0 | 1633 | 1652 | This study |
| TUB2Fd | GTB CAC CTY CAR ACC GGY CAR TG | Forward | 59.4 | 46.1-51.4-56.4 | 74 | 96 | This study |
| TUB2Rd | TCA CCA GTG TAC CAA TGM ARG AAA GCC | Reverse | 48.1 | 48.3-50.1-52.0 | 1545 | 1565 | This study |
| TUB3Fd | AAA THG GTG CYG CHT TCT GG | Forward | 50.8 | 42.5-45.9-50.5 | 170 | 189 | This study |
| TUB3Rd | TCV GWG TTS AGY TGA CCN GGG | Reverse | 60.3 | 46.1-50.5-54.0 | 1039 | 1059 | This study |
| TUB4Fd | GGH GCY GGH AAC AAC TGG GC | Forward | 65.8 | 48.3-52.2-57.7 | 600 | 618 | This study |
| TUB4Rd | CCR GAY TGR CCR AAR ACR AAG TTG TC | Reverse | 50.0 | 44.4-49.4-54.4 | 581 | 606 | This study |
| Calmodulin (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides GenBank accession HM575363) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAL-228F | GAG TTC AAG GAG GCC TTC TCC C | Forward | 59.1 | 49.2 | 2 | 23 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| CAL-737R | CAT CTT TCT GGC CAT CAT GG | Reverse | 50.0 | 43.4 | 439 | 458 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| CAL1Rd | GCA TCA TRA GYT RGA CRAACT CG | Reverse | 47.8 | 41.0-45.4-49.7 | 747 | 769 | This study |
| CAL2Rd | TGR TCN GCC TCD CGG ATC ATC TC | Reverse | 58.0 | 47.5-50.8-54.9 | 647 | 669 | This study |
| Histone H3 (Talaromyces stipitatus GenBank accession XM_002478391) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CYLH3F | AGG TCC ACT GGT GGC AAG | Forward | 61.1 | 47.6 | 28 | 45 | Crous et al. (2004c) |
| CYLH3R | AGC TGG ATG TCC TTG GAC TG | Reverse | 55.0 | 46.6 | 361 | 380 | Crous et al. (2004c) |
| H3-1a | ACT AAG CAG ACC GCC CGC AGG | Forward | 66.7 | 54.2 | 10 | 30 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| H3-1b | GCG GGC GAG CTG GAT GTC CTT | Reverse | 66.7 | 54.5 | 367 | 387 | Glass \& Donaldson (1995) |
| HIS1Rd | RCG RAG RCG ACG GGC | Reverse | 76.7 | 45.4-50.0-54.6 | 382 | 396 | This study |
| HIS2Rd | GGA TGG TRA CAC GCT TRG CGT G | Reverse | 59.1 | 47.9-50.5-53.1 | 240 | 361 | This study |
| ITS (Magnaporthe grisea GenBank accession AB026819) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS1 | TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GCG G | Forward | 63.2 | 49.5 | 2162 | 2180 | White et al. (1990) |
| ITS4 | TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC | Reverse | 45.0 | 41.6 | 2685 | 2704 | White et al. (1990) |
| ITS5 | GGAAGT AAA AGT CGT AAC AAG G | Forward | 40.9 | 40.8 | 2138 | 2159 | White et al. (1990) |
| V9G | TTA CGT CCC TGC CCT TTG TA | Forward | 45.0 | 42.8 | 2002 | 2021 | de Hoog \& Gerrits van den Ende (1998) |

Translation elongation factor 1-alpha (Sordaria macrospora GenBank accession X96615)

| CyIEF-R2 | CAT GTT CTT GAT GAA RTC ACG | Reverse | 40.5 | $39.2-40.2-41.1$ | 783 | 803 | Crous et al. (2004c) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EF-1 | ATG GGT AAG GAR GAC AAG AC | Forward | 47.5 | $41.2-42.3-43.4$ | 190 | 209 | O'Donnell et al. (1998) |
| EF-2 | GGA RGT ACC AGT SAT CAT GTT | Reverse | 45.2 | $41.6-42.6-43.7$ | 798 | 818 | O'Donnell et al. (1998) |
| EF-22 | AGG AAC CCT TAC CGA GCT C | Reverse | 57.9 | 46.2 | 578 | 596 | O'Donnell et al. (1998) |
| EF1-1567R | ACH GTR CCR ATA CCA CCR ATC TT | Reverse | 47.1 | $43.1-47.2-52.0$ | 1254 | 1276 | Designed by S. Rehner (www. <br> aftol.org/pdfs/EF1primer.pdf) |


| Name | Sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime}$ ) | Orientation | \%GC | $\mathrm{Tm}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Start | End | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Translation elongation factor 1-alpha (Sordaria macrospora GenBank accession X96615) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EF1-2218R | ATG ACA CCR ACR GCR ACR GTY TG | Reverse | 54.3 | 45.6-50.4-55.1 | 1782 | 1804 | Designed by S. Rehner (www. aftol.org/pdfs/EF1primer.pdf) |
| EF1-526F | GTC GTY GTY ATY GGH CAY GT | Forward | 51.7 | 40.0-45.6-52.2 | 220 | 239 | Designed by S. Rehner (www. aftol.org/pdfs/EF1 primer.pdf) |
| EF1-728F | CAT CGA GAA GTT CGA GAA GG | Forward | 50.0 | 42.2 | 306 | 325 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| EF1-986R | TAC TTG AAG GAA CCC TTA CC | Reverse | 45.0 | 40.9 | 584 | 603 | Carbone \& Kohn (1999) |
| EF1Fd | GTC GTT ATC GGC CAC GTC G | Forward | 63.2 | 48.5 | 223 | 241 | This study |
| EF1Rd | CGG MCT TGG TGA CCT TGC C | Reverse | 65.8 | 48.8-50.4-52.0 | 1836 | 1852 | This study |
| EF2Fd | GAT CTA CCA GTG CGG TGG | Forward | 61.1 | 45.4 | 273 | 290 | This study |
| EF2Rd | GGT GCA TYT CSA CGG ACT TGA C | Reverse | 56.8 | 48.2-49.1-49.9 | 1356 | 1377 | This study |
| EF3Fd | GAG CGT GAG CGT GGT ATC AC | Forward | 60.0 | 48.1 | 632 | 651 | This study |
| EF3Rd | GGT ACG CTK GTC RAT ACC ACC | Reverse | 57.1 | 45.5-47.5-49.6 | 286 | 306 | This study |
| EF4Fd | GGT GCA TYT CSA CGG ACT TGA C | Forward | 56.8 | 48.2-49.1-49.9 | 1356 | 1377 | This study |

## Taxonomy

Morphological descriptions are based on structures in vivo, with morphological structures in vitro noted where relevant. Structures were mounted in clear lactic acid, and 30 measurements ( $\times 1000$ magnification) determined wherever possible, with the extremes of spore measurements given in parentheses. Observations were made with a Zeiss V20 Discovery stereo-microscope, and with a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 light microscope using differential interference contrast (DIC) illumination and an AxioCam MRc5 camera and software. Colony colours (surface and reverse) were assessed on different culture media at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark, using the colour charts of Rayner (1970). All isolates obtained in this study are maintained in culture collections (Table 1). Nomenclatural novelties and descriptions were deposited in MycoBank (www.MycoBank. org; Crous et al. 2004a).

## RESULTS

## DNA phylogeny

Amplification products and gene sequences of similar size to that reported previously (Groenewald et al. 2005, 2010a) were obtained. The resulting concatenated alignment contains 361 taxa (including the outgroup taxon), and 471, 263, 199, 240 and 347 characters (including alignment gaps) were used in the ITS, TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS partitions, respectively. Based on the results of MrModeltest, the following priors were set in MrBayes for the different partitions: all partitions had dirichlet base frequencies and GTR+G models with gamma-distributed rates were implemented for ITS, ACT and CAL, and HKY+G with gamma-distributed rates for TEF while HIS required HKY+I+G with inverse gammadistributed rates. The final aligned combined data set contained 361 ingroup taxa with a total of 1305 characters and Septoria provencialis (isolate CPC 12226) served as the outgroup taxon. From this alignment 1520 characters were used for the Bayesian analysis; these contained 588 unique site patterns ( $48,172,111$, 125 and 132 for ITS, TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS, respectively). The Bayesian analysis lasted 3995000 generations and the consensus trees and posterior probabilities were calculated from the 5994
trees left after discarding 1998 trees (the first 1000 generations) for burn-in (Fig. 2).

The ITS region has limited resolution for almost all species in Cercospora and therefore the results of the other gene regions were particularly useful for comparison of clade stability. Neighbour-joining analyses using the HKY85 substitution model were applied to each data partition to check the stability and robustness of each species clade under the different partitions (data not shown). The ITS region was only able to distinguish $C$. zeina and $C$. zeae-maydis from the rest of the included species. The TEF region was able to distinguish 33 of the 73 species clades and especially failed for Cercospora sp. $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Q}$ (including C. cf. sigesbeckiae and C. cf. richardiicola; spanning most of Fig. 2 part 4 and the upper half of part 5), whereas ACT distinguished 43 of the 73 species clades and especially failed for Cercospora sp. G-I (Fig. 2 part 1) and including C. cf. flagellaris and C. alchemillicola/C. cf. alchemillicola. The ACT region also accounted for most of the variation observed for Cercospora sp. Q. The CAL region was able to distinguish 34 of the 73 species clades but especially failed for Cercospora sp. M, P and Q (including C. kikuchii, C. cf. sigesbeckiae, C. cf. richardiicola and C. rodmanii; spanning middle of Fig. 2 part 4), as well as a group consisting predominantly of C. armoraciae, C. capsici, C. zebrina and C. violae (Fig. 2 part 3). Although the locus was able to separate $C$. beticola and $C$. apii, it could not distinguish C. cf. brunkii and C. cf. resedae from C. apii. The HIS region distinguished 46 of the 73 species clades and especially failed for Cercospora sp. G-I (Fig. 2 part 1) and Cercospora sp. M, P and Q (including C. kikuchii, C. cf. richardiicola and C. rodmanii; spanning middle of Fig. 2 part 4). The HIS region also accounted for most of the variation observed for $C$. armoraciae and was responsible for the split of $C$. beticola into two clades. No single gene region was found which could reliably distinguish all species and, irrespective of which locus was used, occurrences of the same sequence(s) shared between multiple species were observed. If data for ITS is not taken into consideration, the remaining four loci always distinguish the following 18 species: C. agavicola, C. apiicola, C. coniogramme, C. cf. erysimi, C. euphorbiae-sieboldianae, C. helianthicola, C. mercurialis, C. olivascens, C. pileicola, C. senecionis-walkeri, C. violae, C. zeae-maydis, C. zeina, Cercospora sp. A, Cercospora sp. C, Cercospora sp. D, Cercospora sp. J, Cercospora sp. R. Some species are only distinguished based on a single locus and these results are discussed under the species notes, where applicable.
(

Fig. 2. (Part 1). Consensus phylogram ( $50 \%$ majority rule) of 5994 trees resulting from a Bayesian analysis of the combined 5 -gene sequence alignment using MrBayes v . 3.2.0. Bayesian posterior probabilities are indicated with colour-coded branches and numbers (see legend) and the scale bar represents the expected changes per site. Species clades are indicated in coloured blocks and species names in black text. Hosts and countries of origin are indicated in green and blue text, respectively. The tree was rooted to Septoria provencialis (strain CPC 12226).


Fig. 2. (Part 2)


Fig. 2. (Part 3)


Fig. 2. (Part 4).


Fig. 2. (Part 5).


Fig. 2. (Part 6).
Table 3. Results from screening Cercospora sp. Q strains with additional loci. The percentage similarity was calculated relative to strain CPC 5325 , for which sequences were generated for all loci. The number of nucleotides used for the calculation of the similarity is shown in front of the percentage. For abbreviations of loci see Table 1 and in addition: GAPDH: partial glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene; mtSSU: partial mitochondrial small rRNA gene; CHS: partial chitin synthase gene; TUB: partial beta-tubulin gene; Mcm7: partial gene encoding a mini-chromosome maintenance protein.

| Original name | Culture accession number(s) | Host name | Percentage similarity and allele group (I-VI) designation per locus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | GenBank accession numbers (GAPDH, mtSSU, CHS, TUB, Mcm7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GAPDH |  | mtSSU |  | CHS |  | TUB |  | Mcm7 |  |  |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 113997; CPC 5325 | Cajanus cajan | 979 nt | 1 | 573 nt | 1 | 299 nt | I | 597 nt | 1 | 501 nt | I | JX142521, JX142504, JX142487, JX142478, JX142473 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115410; CPC 5331 | Cajanus cajan | 966 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 299 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 597 nt (99\%) | 1 | - |  | JX142522, JX142505, JX142488, JX142479, - |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115411; CPC 5332 | Cajanus cajan | 966 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 597 nt (99\%) | III | - |  | JX142523, JX142506, JX142489, JX142480, - |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115412; CPC 5333 | Cajanus cajan | 966 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 322 nt (9,9\%) | III | - |  | JX142524, JX142507, JX142490, JX142481, - |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115536; CPC 5329 | Cajanus cajan | 970 nt (95\%) | V | 573 nt ( $100 \%$ ) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | 1 | 597 nt (99 \%) | II | - |  | JX142525, JX142508, JX142491, JX142482, - |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115537; CPC 5330 | Cajanus cajan | 970 nt (95\%) | V | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | II | 597 nt (99 \%) | 1 | - |  | JX142526, JX142509, JX142492, JX142483, - |
| Cercospora acaciae-mangii | CPC 10550 | Acacia mangium | 979 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | II | 450 nt (99 \%) | 1 | 501 nt (99\%) | II | JX142533, JX142516, JX142499, JX142484, JX142475 |
| Cercospora acaciae-mangii | CPC 10551 | Acacia mangium | 979 nt (99\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | II | - |  | 501 nt (99\%) | III | JX142534, JX142517, JX142500, -, JX142476 |
| Cercospora sp. 2 | CBS 132656; CPC 11536 | Acacia mangium | 961 nt (96\%) | III | 573 nt ( $100 \%$ ) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | II | - |  | - |  | JX142527, JX142510, JX142493, -, - |
| Cercospora sp. 2 | CPC 11539 | Acacia mangium | 958 nt (96\%) | III | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | 11 | - |  | - |  | JX142535, JX142518, JX142501, -, - |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | CBS 132661; CPC 11634; PNG-002 | Dioscorea rotundata | 970 nt (95\%) | VI | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 298 nt (99\%) | 11 | - |  | 458 nt (99\%) | III | JX142528, JX142511, JX142494, -, JX142474 |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | CBS 132663; CPC 11636; PNG-016 | Dioscorea esculenta | 969 nt (96\%) | IV | 573 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 299 nt (99 \%) | II | - |  | - |  | JX142529, JX142512, JX142495, -, - |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | CPC 11639; PNG-037 | Dioscorea rotundata | 969 nt (95 \%) | VI | 573 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | 11 | - |  | - |  | JX142536, JX142519, JX142502, -, - |
| Cercosporoid | CBS 132679; CPC 15807 | Phaseolus vulgaris | 954 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | III | - |  | - |  | JX142530, JX142513, JX142496, -, - |
| Cercospora sp. | CBS 132681; CPC 15844 | Euphorbia sp. | 956 nt (96\%) | III | 573 nt ( $100 \%$ ) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | III | - |  | - |  | JX142531, JX142514, JX142497, -, - |
| Cercospora sp. | CBS 132682; CPC 15850 | Taraxacum sp. | 960 nt (100\%) | 1 | 573 nt ( $100 \%$ ) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | 1 | - |  | - |  | JX142532, JX142515, JX142498, -, - |
| Cercospora sp. | CPC 15875 | Euphorbia sp. | 955 nt (99\%) | 1 | 573 nt (100\%) | 1 | 299 nt (99\%) | III | 597 nt (99\%) | III | - |  | JX142537, JX142520, JX142503, JX142485, - |
| Septoria provencialis (outgroup) | CBS 118910; CPC 12226 | Eucalyptus sp. | 885 nt (87\%) |  | - |  | - |  | 502 nt (82\%) |  | 499 nt (81 \%) |  | JX142538, -, -, JX142486, JX142477 |
| Number of identical sequences (excl. outgroup): |  |  | 6 of 17 |  | 17 of 17 |  | 4 of 17 |  | 0 of 8 |  | 0 of 4 |  |  |

Table 3. (Continued).


JX143717, JX143476, JX143230, JX142984,
JX143718, JX143477, JX143231, JX142985,
JX142739
JX143719, JX143478, JX143232, JX142986,
JX143720, JX143479, JX143233, JX142987,
JX143721, JX143480, JX143234, JX142988,
JX142742
JX143722, JX143481, JX143235, JX142989,
AY752139, AY752172, AY752200, AY752231, AY752262
AY752140, AY752173, AY752201, AY752232,
JX143723, JX143482, JX143236, JX142990 JX143723, JX143482, JX143236, JX142900,
JX143729, JX143488, JX143242, JX142996,
JX143724, JX143483, JX143237, JX142991
$J X 143724, ~ J X 143483, ~ J X 143237, ~ J X 142991, ~$
JX142745
JX143725, JX143484, JX143238, JX142992,
JX143730, JX14348, JX143243, JX142997,
JX143730, JX143489, JX143243, JX142997,
JX142751
JX143726, JX143485, JX143239, JX142993,
JX142747
JX142747
$J X 143727, ~ J X 143486, ~ J X 143240, ~ J X 142994, ~$
JX142748
JX143728, JX143487, JX143241, JX142995,
JX142749
JX143731, JX143490, JX143244, JX142998,
, J143276, JX14030



| Original name | Culture accession number(s) | Host name | Percentage similarity and allele group (I-VI) designation per locus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ITS |  | TEF |  | ACT |  | CAL |  | HIS |  |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 113997; CPC 5325 | Cajanus cajan | 481 nt | 1 | 306 nt | 1 | 221 nt | 1 | 312 nt | 1 | 378 nt | 1 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115410; CPC 5331 | Cajanus cajan | 481 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 194 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100\%) | 1 | 378 nt (100\%) | 1 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115411; CPC 5332 | Cajanus cajan | 481 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 194 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100\%) | 1 | 378 nt (100\%) | 1 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115412; CPC 5333 | Cajanus cajan | 481 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 194 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 378 nt (100\%) | 1 |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115536; CPC 5329 | Cajanus cajan | $481 \mathrm{nt}(100 \%)$ | 1 | 280 nt (100\%) | 1 | 194 nt (100\%) | 1 | 278 nt (100\%) | 1 | 378 nt (98\%) | III |
| Cercospora apii | CBS 115537; CPC 5330 | Cajanus cajan | 481 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 194 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 280 nt (100 \%) | I | 378 nt (98\%) | III |
| Cercospora acaciae-mangii | CPC 10550 | Acacia mangium | 481 nt (99 \%) | II | $306 \mathrm{nt}(100 \%)$ | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | II | 312 nt (100\%) | 1 | 377 nt (99\%) | IV |
| Cercospora acaciae-mangii | CPC 10551 | Acacia mangium | 481 nt (99\%) | 11 | $306 \mathrm{nt}(100 \%)$ | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | IV | 305 nt (100\%) | 1 | 377 nt (100\%) | 1 |
| Cercospora sp. 2 | CBS 132656; CPC 11536 | Acacia mangium | 473 nt (99\%) | III | 306 nt (100\%) | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | IV | 312 nt (100\%) | 1 | 378 nt (99\%) | IV |
| Cercospora sp. 2 | CPC 11539 | Acacia mangium | 481 nt (99\%) | III | 306 nt (100\%) | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | IV | 312 nt (100\%) | 1 | 378 nt (98\%) | V |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS 132661; CPC 11634; } \\ & \text { PNG-002 } \end{aligned}$ | Dioscorea rotundata | 481 nt (99\%) | III | 284 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | II | 297 nt (100 \%) | । | 378 nt (99\%) | VI |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS 132663; CPC 11636; } \\ & \text { PNG-016 } \end{aligned}$ | Dioscorea esculenta | 481 nt (99\%) | III | 306 nt (100\%) | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | II | 303 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 378 nt (99\%) | VI |
| Cercospora dioscoreaepyrifoliae | CPC 11639; PNG-037 | Dioscorea rotundata | 481 nt (99 \%) | II | $306 \mathrm{nt}(100 \%)$ | 1 | 221 nt (99\%) | II | 303 nt (100 \%) | 1 | 378 nt (99\%) | II |
| Cercosporoid | CBS 132679; CPC 15807 | Phaseolus vulgaris | 481 nt (99\%) | II | 294 nt (99\%) | \\| | 220 nt (99\%) | III | 312 nt (100\%) | I | 376 nt (99\%) | VI |
| Cercospora sp. | CBS 132681; CPC 15844 | Euphorbia sp. | 481 nt (99\%) | III | 294 nt (99\%) | ॥ | 220 nt (99\%) | III | 312 nt (99\%) | \\| | 376 nt (100\%) | 1 |
| Cercospora sp. | CBS 132682; CPC 15850 | Taraxacum sp. | 481 nt (99\%) | II | 294 nt (99\%) | II | 220 nt (99\%) | III | 312 nt (100\%) | I | 377 nt (100\%) | VI |
| Cercospora sp. | CPC 15875 | Euphorbia sp. | 481 nt (99 \%) | III | 294 nt (99\%) | II | 220 nt (99\%) | III | 312 nt (100\%) | I | 378 nt (99\%) | VI |
| Septoria provencialis (outgroup) | CBS 118910; CPC 12226 | Eucalyptus sp. | 483 nt (98\%) |  | 317 nt (75 \%) |  | 227 nt (87\%) |  | 329 nt (81 \%) |  | 386 nt (93\%) |  |
| Number of identical seque | nces (excl. outgroup): |  | 6 of 17 |  | 13 of 17 |  | 6 of 17 |  | 16 of 17 |  | 7 of 17 |  |

## Evaluation of additional loci

Isolates of Cercospora sp. Q were compared using the five loci used for the combined phylogeny and five additional loci as explained in the Materials and Methods. The results are summarised in Table 3 and detailed per locus below:

ITS - Three allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at only two positions in the second internal transcribed spacer (transitions at positions 451 and 453 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Although allele group I was confined to isolates from Cajanus (Fabaceae), the other two groups were intermixed amongst the remaining host genera.

TEF - Two allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on a single nucleotide change (transitions at position 289 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Although allele group I was confined to isolates from Acacia (Fabaceae), Cajanus, and Dioscorea (Dioscoreaceae), the other group represents the remaining host genera.

ACT - Four allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at three positions (transitions at positions 143, 166 and 173 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Allele group I was confined to isolates from Cajanus, and allele group II is mainly limited to Dioscorea (except for one isolate from Acacia), allele group IV is limited to the remaining isolates from Acacia, and the remaining host genera belong to allele group III.

CAL - Two allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on a single nucleotide change (a transition at position 76 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). This single nucleotide change only occurred in isolate CPC 15844; the rest of the isolates had identical CAL sequences.

HIS - Six allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at 10 positions (transitions at positions 106, 112, 148, 149, 178, 205, 238, 301 and 364 , as well as a transversion at position 245 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Allele group II differs from allele group I by a unique change of $C$ to $T$ at position 364 and allele group V differs from allele group IV by a unique change of A to T at position 245. Even if allele group I and II and group IV and V are taken as combined groups, isolates from different hosts are intermixed and no clear association of host with allele group, as with the loci mentioned above, is possible.

GAPDH - Six allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on numerous nucleotide changes (transitions at positions 44, 48-49, 52-53, 56, 63-69, 110, 122, 149, 158, 206, 257, 287, 329, 335, 395, 440, 479, 530, 533, 566, 593, 596, 608, 647, 650, 674, 720, 731, 740, 747, 780, 789, 791-792, 794, 804-806, 808-809, 811-812, 817, 821-822, 824, 830, 834, 837, 839-840, 842-844, 846, 848, 852, 856, 874, 922 and 958 , transversions at positions $49,66,233,767,785,787-789$, $792,795,797,798,806,810-811,814,818-819,821,831,833$, $843,848-849,865$ and 883 , indels at positions 67,101 and 803 , as well as another indel spanning 801-811, compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Allele group II differs from allele group I by a
unique change of C to T at position 530. This locus represents the largest number of nucleotide substitutions of all the loci included for Cercospora sp. Q in this study, and therefore has high potential for species discrimination. However, if each allele group is accepted as a distinct species, it would result in a huge proliferation of taxa within this group.
mtSSU - Only one allele group is identified based on sequence identity. No variation was observed over the 573 nucleotides sequences for the selected isolates.

CHS - Three allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at only three positions (transitions at positions 91, 100 and 217 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Allele group I includes four of the six isolates from Cajanus and allele group III includes the isolates from Phaseolus (Fabaceae) and Euphorbia (Euphorbiaceae); the remaining isolates belong to allele group II.

TUB - This locus failed to amplify easily, even when several different primer combinations were tested. Three allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at six positions (transitions at positions 147 and 396, transversions at positions 172, 189, 213 and 591 compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). The majority of sequences were obtained for the isolates from Cajanus, and these isolates end up belonging into all three allele groups.

Mcm7 - This locus failed to amplify easily, even when both available primer combinations were tested. Three allele groups are identified based on sequence identity. The variation in this locus is based on nucleotide changes at six positions (transitions at positions 60, 86, 263, 365 and 470, and a transversion at position 89 , compared to the sequence of isolate CPC 5325). Due to the small number of successful sequences, a clear conclusion cannot be drawn from this dataset and it was not possible to distinguish between the isolates from Acacia and Dioscorea.

## TAXONOMY

In this paper, a polyphasic approach was taken and species are discussed and/or described with consideration to the following factors:

Phylogenetic analyses: Based on the clustering and support in the Bayesian tree obtained from the combined ITS, TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS alignment (Fig. 2). All genes were also assessed individually (data not shown; discussed where applicable in the species notes).

Morphological characteristics: A few morphological characteristics effectively distinguished species (Fig. 3). These are: conidiophores (uniform, irregular, attenuated, truncate, long or short obconically truncate), conidiogenous cells (terminal, intercalary), loci (apical, lateral, circumspersed (all around the conidiogenous cell; Hennebert \& Sutton 1994); uni-local (single, terminal locus), multilocal (multiple loci); thickness, absence of protuberant loci), and conidia (dimensions, shape, hilum morphology).

A diagnostic characteristic of species with wide host ranges was circumspersed loci on tenuous conidiophores, whereas the species with narrow host ranges had a few distinct apical or lateral


Fig. 3. Overview of morphological structures. A. Fasciculate conidiophores situated on a stroma. B. Conidiophores reduced to uni-local conidiogenous cells. C. Conidiophores arising from a weakly developed stroma. D. Fasciculate conidiophore with flexuous conidiophores. E. Conidiophores arising from external mycelium. F. Thickened, darkened and somewhat refractive conidial loci (arrows). G. Conidiogenous cells with multi-local loci. H. Fascicle erumpent through stoma. I. Cylindrical conidium with obtuse apex. J. Filiform conidium. K, L. Acicular, undulate conidia with subobtusely rounded apices, and truncate bases. M-O. Obclavate conidia with subobtusely rounded apices and obconically truncate bases. P. Subcylindical conidium with long obconically truncate base.
loci on moderately thick-walled to thick-walled conidiophores. These characteristics were preserved, even when the fungus was cultivated on agar medium.

The Bayesian analysis resulted in 73 species clades mapped onto the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2); 34 of these were assigned to an existing species name, 15 more were morphologically similar to existing species but names could not be applied without doubt (indicated with "cf." in the species name, see species notes below), a further 19 could not be named unequivocally ("Cercospora spp.
$A-S^{\prime \prime}$ ) and novel species are introduced below for the remaining five clades.

Cercospora achyranthis Syd. \& P. Syd., Ann. Mycol. 7: 171. 1909.

Caespituli amphigenous, mainly hypophyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or composed of a few brown cells, intraepiderimal or substomatal. Conidiophores thick-walled, dark brown, arising from
internal hyphae or a few brown cells, solitary, or in loose fascicles (2-5), straight, sinuous to distinctly geniculate, flexuous, almost uniform in width, somewhat wider at the apex, often constricted at septa and proliferating point, conical at the apex, simple, sometimes branched, 31-340 × 4.5-6 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 2-20$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci distinctly thickened, darkened, slightly to distinctly protuberant, apical or formed on shoulders caused by geniculation, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, subhyaline, acicular, cylindrical to cylindro-obclavate, straight to slightly curved, long obconically truncated and thickened at the base, obtuse at the apex, rarely constricted at the septa, 33-172 $\times 3.5-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-20$-septate.

Specimens examined: South Korea, Jeju, on Achyranthes japonica (Amaranthaceae), 14 Sep. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20983, CPC 10088-10091; on A. japonica, 13 Nov. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20984, CBS 132613 = CPC 10879, CPC 10880-10881

Notes: This species is characterised by conidiophores with a thickened, dark brown wall, vary in shape, often constricted at septa, and conical at the apex, sometimes branched, and longer than in most other species ( $31-340 \times 4.5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-20$-septate). The conidia of $C$. achyranthis are not hyaline, but subhyaline to pale olivaceous and have rather small hila (ca. $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide), which are reminiscent of the genus Passalora. Nevertheless, it is a true Cercospora. Cercospora achyranthis is supported by ACT. The TEF and CAL phylogenies fail to discriminate C. sojina (also with subhyaline conidia and small hila) from C . achyranthis. On the HIS phylogeny, it is indistinguishable from $C$. polygonaceae, to which it is also a sister taxon in the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2). The name C. achyranthis is based on Japanese material, and fresh collections from Japan would be required to designate an epitype for this taxon.

Cercospora agavicola Ayala-Escobar, Mycotaxon 93: 117. 2005.

Specimen examined: Mexico, State of Guanajuato, Penjamo, on Agave tequilana var. azul (Agavaceae), Jan. 2003, V. Ayala-Escobar and Ma. de Jesús YáñezMorales, holotype CHAPA\# 166, isotype HAL 1839 F, culture ex-type CBS 117292 = CPC 11774.

Notes: Cercospora agavicola is characterised by large stromata and consistently cylindrical conidia, often with swollen tips (AyalaEscobar et al. 2005). In this study using a larger dataset, it is also clear that $C$. agavicola, which is supported by TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS regions, is genetically distinct from the other Cercospora species studied. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. coreopsidis.

Cercospora alchemillicola U. Braun \& C.F. Hill, Mycol. Progr. 1: 19. 2002.

Specimens examined: New Zealand, Auckland, Western Springs Gardens, on Alchemilla mollis (Rosaceae), 23 Jul. 2000, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 236 (holotype HAL isotype PDD 73031); on A. mollis, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 564, epitype designated here CBS H-20985, culture ex-epitype CPC 5259

Notes: Sequences from New Zealand on hosts of Onagraceae (Gaura, isolate CPC 5127, and Oenothera, isolate CPC 5126) are slightly distinct from that derived from Alchemilla (Rosaceae). The collections on Onagraceae (C. cf. alchemillicola) are also morphologically different from $C$. alchemillicola, and represent an undescribed species. The three isolates are identical to one another on the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies but also to
some other species, e.g. to Cercospora sp. I, C. cf. physalidis and C. celosiae based on the TEF phylogeny, and Cercospora sp. I and C. cf. physalidis based on the ACT phylogeny. A similar mix is observed in the HIS phylogeny with Cercospora sp. I and C. celosiae and in the CAL phylogeny with Cercospora spp. M, O, P, Q and C. cf. sigesbeckiae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), the three isolates represent sister taxa.

## Cercospora cf. alchemillicola

Specimens examined: New Zealand, Auckland City, Albert Park, on Gaura lindheimeri (Onagraceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 545, CPC 5127; on Oenothera fruticosa (Onagraceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 541, CPC 5126

Notes: Cercospora on Gaura and Oenothera in New Zealand cannot be distinguished on the individual gene trees from C . alchemillicola (see species notes under that species above) described from New Zealand on Alchemilla mollis (Braun \& Hill 2002). We consider the latter two isolates to represent a distinct species, which cannot be formally named due to the absence of good specimens. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. alchemillicola.

Cercospora althaeina Sacc., Michelia 1: 269. 1878.
= Cercospora kellermanii Bubák, J. Mycol. 9: 3. 1903.
= Cercospora althaeina var. praecincta Davis, Trans. Wisconsin Acad. Sci. 18: 260. 1915.

ミ Cercospora praecincta (Davis) Chupp, A monograph of the fungus genus Cercospora: 376. 1954.
= Cercospora ramularia Siemaszko, Izv. Kavkazsk. Muz.12: 28. 1919, and Arch. Nauk Biol. Towarz. Nauk. Warszawsk. 1: 49. 1923.
ミ Cercosporina ramularia (Siemaszko) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 25: 910. 1931.
= Cercospora althaeina var. althaeae-officinalis Săvul. \& Sandu, Hedwigia 73: 127. 1933.
= Cercospora althaeicola J.M. Yen \& S.K. Sun, Cryptog. Mycol. 4: 189. 1983.
Leaf spots distinct, angular to irregular, mostly vein-limited, olivaceous-brown, sometimes greyish brown with dark brown margin, centre becoming pale grey with black dots (= stroma with conidiophores). Caespituli amphigenous, mostly epiphyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata well-developed, emerging through stomatal openings or erumpent through the cuticle. Conidiophores in divergent fascicles (6-12), pale olivaceous-brown at the base, paler upwards, $0-3$-septate, straight to mildly curved, $32-90 \times$ $4-6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, conically narrowed at the apex; loci $1.5-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide, conspicuous, apical or on shoulders formed by geniculation. Conidia solitary, obclavate-cylindrical to filiform, not acicular, straight to mildly curved, hyaline, 1-10-septate, obtuse at the apex, subtruncate or obconically truncate at the base, $40-140 \times 3.5-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (adapted from Shin \& Kim 2001).

Specimens examined: Italy, Selva, on Althaea rosea, 1876, holotype in PAD. Romania, Fundulea, on A. rosea, O. Constantinescu, epitype designated here CBS H-9811, culture ex-epitype CBS 248.67 = CPC 5117. Unknown, on Malva sp. (Malvaceae), C. Killian, CBS $126.26=$ CPC 5066, (as C. malvacearum). South Korea, Suwon, on Althaea rosea (Malvaceae), 14 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20986, CBS $132609=$ CPC 10790.

Notes: A true Cercospora s. str. close to C. apii s. lat., but distinguished by obclavate-cylindrical conidia with obconically truncate bases (Crous \& Braun 2003). Although only weakly supported as distinct from C. armoraciae, we suspect that the isolate from Malva sp. represents a different taxon. Further isolates and pathogenicity studies are needed to test this hypothesis. The species is distinguished in the TEF and ACT phylogenies but cannot be distinguished from C. zebrina, Cercospora sp. L and
C. rumicis based on the CAL phylogeny. In the HIS phylogeny the three isolates are not identical to any other species but the isolate from Malva sp. clusters distinct from the two $A$. rosea isolates which form a sister clade to C. chenopodii. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is a sister taxon to C. zebrina.

Cercospora apii Fresen., emend. Groenewald et al. Phytopathology 95: 954. 2005.

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or small, up to $32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, substomatal or intraepidermal. Conidiophores arising from upper part of stromata or internal hyphae, solitary to $2-8$, in loose to dense fascicles, brown, paler towards the apex, simple, mildly sinuous, moderately thick-walled to thick-walled, straight or once abruptly geniculate caused by sympodial proliferation, slightly curved, uniform in width, wider at the base, short conically truncate or truncate at the apex, 12.5-160 $\times 5-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal or intercalary, proliferating sympodially, chiefly uni-local; loci distinctly thickened, not or slightly protuberant, 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, apical or formed on the shoulder caused by sympodial proliferation. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindro-obclavate when shorter, longer conidia usually acicular, straight to slightly curved, subacute to obtuse at the apex, truncate to obconically truncate and thickened at the base, 35-120 $\times 3.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-10$-septate.

Specimens examined: Austria, Wien, on Beta vulgaris (Chenopodiaceae), Jun. 1931, E.W. Schmidt, CBS 121.31 = CPC 5073; on Apium sp. (Apiaceae), 28 Aug. 2003, Institut fur Pflanzengesundheit, CBS $114416=$ CPC 10925. Germany, Landwirtschaftsamt, Heilbron, on Apium graveolens (Apiaceae), K. Schrameyer, culture ex-type CBS 116455 = CPC 11556; CBS $116504=$ CPC 11579; CBS 116507 = CPC 11582. Hungary, on B. vulgaris, Jun. 1931, E.W. Schmidt, CBS $127.31=$ CPC 5119. Italy, on A. graveolens, M. Meutri, CBS $114418=$ CPC 10924; CBS 114485 = CPC 10923. Japan, Aichi, on A. graveolens, 1 Nov. 1995, T. Kobayashi, MUCC 567 = MAFF 238072 = MUCNS 30 (named as C. apii s. str.); Shizuoka, on A. graveolens, 8 Jun. 2007, M. Togawa, MUMH 10802, MUCC 593; Saga, on Asparagus officinalis (Asparagaceae), 20 Sep. 1999, J. Yamaguchi, MUMH 11400, MUCC 923 = MAFF 238299; Hokkaido, on Glebionis coronaria ( $\equiv$ Chrysanthemum coronarium) (Asteraceae), Aug. 1989, MUCC 573 = MAFF 235978. Netherlands, Bergen op Zoom, on B. vulgaris, Sep. 1951, G. van den Ende, CBS $152.52=$ IMI 077043 = MUCL $16495=$ CPC 5063. New Zealand, Auckland, on Glebionis coronaria ( $\equiv$ Chrysanthemum coronarium), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 566, CPC 5260; on Moluccella laevis (Lamiaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 516, CPC 5112. Romania, Hagieni, distr. Constanta, on Plumbago europaea (Plumbaginaceae), 13 Jun. 1970, O. Constantinescu, CBS $553.71=\mathrm{IMI} 161116=$ CPC 5083 (as C. plumbaginea); Bucuresti, on A. graveolens, 2 Oct. 1969, O. Constantinescu, CBS H-9812, CBS 536.71 = CPC 5087; Domnesti, on Plantago lanceolata (Plantaginaceae), 3 Aug. 1965, O. Constantinescu, CBS 252.67 = CPC 5084. Unknown, on A. graveolens, Mar. 1925, L.J. Klotz, CBS 119.25 = B $42463=$ IHEM 3822 = CPC 5086. USA, California, on M. laevis, S.T. Koike, CBS 110816 = CPC 5111; CBS $110813=$ CPC 5110; California, on A. graveolens, 27 Sep. 2010, S.T. Koike, CPC 18601. Zimbabwe, on M. laevis, 13 May 2009, S. Dimbi, CBS 132683 = CPC 16663.

Notes: Various investigators have demonstrated that great variation in the size and shape of conidiophores and conidia (conidiophores: $25-300 \times 3.5-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, rarely branched, conidia: 25-315 $\times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, cylindrical, filiform to acicular) is induced by changes in environmental conditions, especially humidity. Crous \& Braun (2003) pointed out these morphological ambiguities, and introduced a concept of Cercospora apii s. lat., for taxa morphologically indistinguishable from Cercospora apii on A. graveolens. Cercospora apii s. str., which is phylogenetically distinct, is characterised in that its conidiophores are almost uniform in width, moderately thick-walled or thick-walled, short obconically truncate at the apex, and with a few loci on integrated conidiogenous cells, and long-cylindrical to cylindrical-obclavate to often acicular conidia with truncate or obconically truncate basal ends and subacute to obtuse apices.

According to Crous \& Braun (2003), the host plants of C. apii s. str. are found in more than 86 genera of several plant families. Groenewald et al. (2006a) concluded that C. apii s. str., which is mainly isolated from celery, has a wide host range, because numerous isolates of $C$. apii s. lat. originating from various host plants have similar nucleotide sequences to the type strain of C. apii s. str.

In principle, the phylogenetic split observed between C. beticola and C. apii is only supported by the CAL sequences, and for the other genes these two taxa cluster as a large unresolved clade. Groenewald et al. (2005) showed that these two species are also distinguished by their AFLP fingerprints and growth conditions, suggesting that they were operational species units with a different ecology. These results indicate that in many cases morphologically identical species occurring on different hosts in fact represent different species. The situation is complicated in that there are several species with wide host ranges. Other species can colonise dead material of non-hosts, facilitating what has been described as a pogostick hypothesis (Crous \& Groenewald 2005), until they locate their ideal hosts on which they are primary pathogens. In the present study it was further found that the CAL phylogeny fails to distinguish C. apii s. str. from C. cf. brunkii and C. cf. resedae, which are sister taxa in the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5).

## Cercospora apiicola M. Groenew., Crous \& U. Braun, Mycologia 98: 281. 2006.

Leaf spots amphigenous, subcircular to irregular, 3-10 mm diam, medium brown, with a raised or inconspicuous, indefinite margin, not surrounded by a border of different colour. Caespituli amphigenous, but primarily hypophyllous. Stromata lacking to well-developed, 30-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, medium brown. Conidiophores in fascicles (4-10), moderately dense, arising from stromata, emerging through stomata or erumpent through the cuticle, subcylindrical, upper part geniculate-sinuous, unbranched, 1-3-septate, 25-70 $\times$ $4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, medium brown, becoming pale brown towards the apex, smooth, wall somewhat thickened. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, 15-30 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, occasionally unilocal, usually multilocal, sympodial; loci subcircular, planate, thickened, darkened, refractive, $2.5-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Conidia solitary, cylindrical when small, obclavatecylindrical when mature, not acicular, (50-)80-120(-150) × (3-) $4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-6(-18)$-septate; apex subobtuse, base obconically subtruncate; hila 2-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide, thickened, darkened, refractive.

Specimens examined: Greece, on Apium graveolens, 2000, I. Vloutoglou, CBS 132666 = CPC 11642; CPC 11641. South Korea, Kangnung, on A. graveolens, 20 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CPC 10666; Namyangju, on A. graveolens, 30 Sep. 2003, CBS 116458 = CPC 10657; on A. graveolens, 22 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132651 = CPC 10759. Venezuela, La Guanota, Caripe, Edo. Monagas, 1050 m.s.n.m., on Apium sp., 23 Jul. 2002, N. Pons, holotype CBS H-18473, culture ex-type CBS 116457 = CPC 10267; CBS 132644 = CPC 10248; CPC 10220; CPC 10265-10266; CPC 10279; CPC 10666.

Notes: Morphologically C. apiicola differs from C. apii s. str. in having multiple conidiogenous loci and long conically truncate conidiogenous cells (Groenewald et al. 2006a). It has a high degree of phylogenetic independence from other species of $C$. apii s. lat. supported by TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS regions. It is also clearly distinct from C. apii in the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2 vs. part 5).

Cercospora armoraciae Sacc., Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital. 8: 188. 1876.
= ?Cercospora cheiranthi Sacc., Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital. 8: 187. 1876.
= Cercospora nasturtii Pass., Hedwigia 16: 124. 1877.
= Cercospora nasturtii subsp. barbareae Sacc., Michelia 2: 557. 1882.三 Cercospora barbareae (Sacc.) Chupp, Farlowia 1:579. 1944.
= Cercospora bizzozeriana Sacc. \& Berl., Malpighia 2: 248, 1888.
= Cercospora atrogrisea Ellis \& Everh., Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phiadelphia 45 464. 1894.
= Cercospora bizzozeriana var. drabae Sausa da Câmara, Revista Agron (Lisbon) 1: 25. 1903.
= Cercospora berteroae Hollós, Ann. Mus. Nat. Hung. 5: 468. 1907.
= Cercospora drabae Bubák \& Kabát, Hedwigia 52: 362. 1912.
三 Cercosporina drabae (Bubák \& Kabát) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 25: 900. 1931
= Cercospora camarae Curzi, Atti Ist. Bot. Univ., Pavia, III, 2: 101. 1925
= Cercospora cardamines Losa (as "cardaminae"), Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 6: 453.1946.
= Cercospora lepidii Niessl, unknown, in herb., HBG fide Chupp (1954, p. 180).
Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, substomatal or intraepidermal. Conidiophores arising from internal hyphae or a few brown cells, cylindrical, solitary, or in loose to divergent fascicles (2-30), pale to pale brown, paler towards apex, moderately thickwalled, simple, straight to strongly geniculate, irregular in width, often narrowed with successive geniculation, truncate or conically truncate at the tip, sometimes constricted at septa, 13-135 $\times$ $2.5-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-7$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, intercalary, proliferating sympodially, uni-local to multi-local (1-3); loci conspicuous, apical or on shoulder of conidiogenous cells caused by geniculation, rarely lateral, distinctly thickened, somewhat protuberant, refractive or darkened, 1.8-3.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, straight to mildly curved, cylindrical, cylindro-obclavate to acicular, obconically truncate or truncate, distinctly thickened at the base, obtuse at the apex, 15-125 $\times 2.5-6$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, 1$-11-septate.

Specimens examined: Italy, Venice, on Armoracia rusticana (= A. lapathifolia) (Brassicaceae), Treviso, Sep. 1874, (syntype Mycoth. Ven. 282, in B, HBG, S) Japan, Okinawa, on A. rusticana (= A. lapathifolia), 19 Nov. 2007, C. Nakashima MUMH 10820, MUCC 768. New Zealand, Auckland, Grey Lynn, on Nasturtium officinale (= Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum) (Brassicaceae), 14 Apr. 2002, C.F Hill, Lynfield 576, CBS H-20988, CBS 115394 = CPC 5261 (named as C. nasturti); Manurewa, on A. rusticana (= A. lapathifolia), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 622, CBS 115409 = CPC 5359 (as C. armoraciae); on Gaura sp. (Onagraceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 634, CBS $115060=$ CPC 5366. Romania, Fundulea, on A. rusticana (= A. lapathifolia), O. Constantinescu, epitype designated here CBS H-20987, culture ex-epitype CBS 250.67 = CPC 5088; Fundulea, on Cardaria draba (Brassicaceae), O. Constantinescu, CBS 258.67 = CPC 5061 (as C. bizzozeriana); Hagieni, on Berteroa incana (Brassicaceae), O. Constantinescu, CBS 538.71 = IMI 161109 = CPC 5090 (as C. berteroae); Hagieni, on C. draba, O. Constantinescu, CBS $540.71=\mathrm{IMI} 161110=$ CPC 5060 (as C. bizzozeriana); Hagieni, on Coronilla varia (Fabaceae), O. Constantinescu, CBS $555.71=$ IMI $161117=$ CPC 5082 (as C. rautensis); Valea Mraconiei, on Erysimum cuspidatum (Brassicaceae), O. Constantinescu, CBS $545.71=$ CPC 5056 (as C. erysimi). South Korea Hoengseong, on Turritis glabra ( $\equiv$ Arabis glabra) (Brassicaceae), 23 Jun. 2004, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20989, CBS 132654 = CPC 11338 (as C. nasturti); CPC 11364 (as C. nasturtii); Jecheon, on Rorippa indica (Brassicaceae), 19 Oct. 2007, H.D Shin, CBS $132672=$ CPC 14612 (as C. nasturtii); Pocheon, on Barbarea orthoceras (Brassicaceae), 23 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20990, CBS 132638 = CPC 10100 (named as C. nasturtii); Wonju, on R. indica, 18 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20991, CPC 10133 (as C. nasturti); Suwon, on A. rusticana (= A. lapathifolia), 14 Oct. 2003 H.D. Shin, CBS H-20992, CBS $132610=$ CPC 10811 (as C. armoraciae). Thailand on Acacia mangium (Fabaceae), W. Himaman, CPC 11530.

Notes: See also C. capsici. Cercospora armoraciae is supported by the HIS phylogeny. In the TEF phylogeny it is part of a larger clade intermixed with C. zebrina, Cercospora sp. L, C. rumicis, C. violae and $C$. althaeina; in ACT the $C$. armoraciae clade contains some intraspecific variation and also includes $C$. rumicis. In the CAL phylogeny, it is a sister clade to $C$. zebrina, but it contains isolates from C. capsici. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is a sister taxon to C. capsici. Morphological characteristics of the
C. armoraciae clade include conidiophores that are often narrowed, with successive geniculation, conically truncate at the apex, and with distinctly thickened and somewhat protuberant loci, and conidia that are cylindro-obclavate to acicular.

In this study, most Cercospora species on Brassicaceae having indistinguishable morphological characteristics are listed as synonyms under $C$. armoraciae. This treatment was proposed previously (Crous \& Braun 2003). Davis (1929) pointed out that similar forms on Brassicaceae, namely C. nasturtii, C. armoraciae, C. cheiranthi, etc., were likely conspecific. The results of this study support his prediction. Cercospora stanleyae Chupp ex U. Braun \& Crous (Crous \& Braun 2003) is tentatively maintained as a separate species due to morphological differences. Cercospora brassicicola differs from $C$. armoraciae in that the former has long conidiophores (up to $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length), and is pathogenic to Brassica. In addition, Cercospora thlaspi "thlaspiae" differs from C. armoraciae in that the former has long conidiophores (to $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length) and acicular conidia ( $40-300 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Cercospora beticola Sacc., emend. Groenewald et al., Phytopathology 95: 954. 2005.

Caespituli hypophyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, intraepidermal or substomatal, brown to dark brown. Conidiophores solitary to 2-18 in loose fascicles, slightly divergent, brown, paler towards apex, moderately thick-walled, cylindrical, almost uniform in width, simple, geniculate, $16-200(-450) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-6$-septate, truncate at the apex, sometimes constricted at septa. Conidiogenous cells terminal or intercalary, proliferating sympodially, with 1-2 loci; loci distinctly thickened, not protuberant, apical or formed on shoulder of conidiogenous cells caused by geniculation and lateral, 2.5-3(-4) $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidia solitary, filiform to acicular, straight to mildly curved, rarely cylindro-obclavate, truncate at the base, acute to subacute at the tip, $27-250 \times 2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-28$-septate.

Description of caespituli on V8 medium; MUCC 568 (MAFF 238206): Conidiophores solitary to loosely fasciculate, brown, paler towards the apex, uniform in width, smooth, moderately thickwalled, straight to slightly sinuous, short conically truncate at the tip, $50-148 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal; loci moderately thickened, apical, uni-local, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, cylindrical to cylindro-obclavate; short obconical, slightly thickened and truncate or obconically truncate at the base, acute at the apex, $40-88 \times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-14$-septate.

Specimens examined: Botswana, Gaborone, on Spinacia sp. (Chenopodiaceae), L. Lebogang, CPC 5369-5370. Bulgaria, on Goniolimon tataricum (Plumbaginaceae), S.G. Bobev, CBS 123907 = CPC 14616; CBS 123908 = CPC 14620; CBS 132673 = CPC 14617; CPC 14618-14619. Czech Republic, on Beta vulgaris, Sep. 1947, G.E. Bunschoten, CBS 117.47. Egypt, on B. vulgaris, 15 Apr. 2004, M. Hasem, CPC 12028-12030. France, Longvic, on B. vulgaris, S. Garressus, CBS $116505=$ CPC 11580. Germany, on B. vulgaris, S. Mittler, CPC 12031; CPC 12027; CPC 12022; CBS 116502 = CPC 11577; CBS 116454 = CPC 11558; on B. vulgaris, Jun. 1931, E.W. Schmidt, CBS 122.31 = CPC 5072; CBS $126.31=$ CPC 5064. Iran, Pakajik, on B. vulgaris, A.A. Ravanlou, CBS $116501=$ CPC 11576. Italy, Ravenna, on B. vulgaris, 10 Jul. 2003, V. Rossi, culture ex-epitype CBS $116456=$ CPC 11557; CBS 116503 = CPC 11578. Japan, Chiba, on B. vulgaris, 30 May 1998, S. Uematsu, MUCNS 320 = MUCC 568 = MAFF 238206; Hokkaido, on B. vulgaris, 1955, K Goto, MUCC 569 = MAFF 305036. South Korea, Namyangju, on Chrysanthemum segetum (= Ch. coronarium var. spatiosum) (Asteraceae), 24 Jun. 2004, H.D. Shin, CBS 132655 = CPC 11341 (named as C. chrysanthemi); 27 Jul. 2004, H.D. Shin, CPC 11344 (named as C. chrysanthemi). Mexico, Texcoco, on B. vulgaris, 20 Oct 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CPC 15623. Netherlands, on B. vulgaris, M. Groenewald, CBS 116506 = CPC 11581; Northwest Brabant, on B. vulgaris, Nov. 1947, G.E. Bunschoten, CBS 116.47 = CPC 5074. New Zealand, Auckland, on Limonium sinuatum (Plumbaginaceae), 25 Feb. 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 533,

CBS $115478=$ CPC 5113 （named as C．statices）；on B．vulgaris，C．F．Hill，CPC 5128；Lynfield 539，CPC 5125；CPC 10197；CPC 10204；CPC 10168；CBS 117556 ＝CPC 10171；CPC 10168；on Apium graveolens，C．F．Hill，Lynfield 537a，CPC 5123．Romania，Bucuresti，on B．vulgaris， 17 Oct．1966，O．Constantinescu， CBS 539.71 ＝CPC 5062；Hagieni，on Malva pusilla（Malvaceae）， 15 Jul．1970， O．Constantinescu \＆G．Negrean，CBS H－9847，CBS H－9849，CBS 548.71 ＝IMI 161115 ＝CPC 5065；on B．vulgaris，Jun．1931，E．W．Schmidt，CBS $124.31=$ CPC 5070．Spain，on B．vulgaris，Jun．1931，E．W．Schmidt，CBS 123.31 ＝CPC 5071. Unknown，on B．vulgaris，Jun．1931，E．W．Schmidt，CBS 125.31 ＝CPC 5069．USA， California，on B．vulgaris，S．T．Koike，CPC 18813.

Notes：Cercospora beticola is the causal agent of Cercospora leaf spot on $B$ ．vulgaris，which is one of the most common and destructive sugar beet diseases（Weiland \＆Koch 2004）．Despite its importance as a plant pathogen，its actual host range remains unclear．

Initial phylogenetic analyses on the genus Cercospora employed ITS sequences to reveal phylogenetic relationships within the genus（Stewart et al．1999，Goodwin et al．2001， Pretorius et al．2003）．These analyses failed to discriminate all species due to the limited resolution provided by the ITS locus． Groenewald et al．$(2005,2006 \mathrm{a})$ subsequently succeeded in using multi－locus sequence data from five gene regions to distinguish Cercospora species．They also expanded the host range of $C$ ． beticola．Although isolates of $C$ ．beticola have been isolated from diverse hosts，these isolates appear to have been colonising non－ hosts as saprobes or secondary invaders（Crous \＆Groenewald 2005），and proof of their pathogenicity has not been confirmed．

Results from the phylogenetic analyses using CAL and combined multi－locus data set divide C．beticola and C．apii s．str． into two different clades，with C ．beticola splitting further into two subclades（also see Fig． 2 part 6）based on sequence changes in HIS，probably due to intraspecific variation．The combined data clearly show that $C$ ．apii s．str．and C．beticola are related sibling species，although $C$ ．beticola must be retained as a separate species．

## Cercospora cf．brunkii

Caespituli amphigenous．Mycelium internal．Stromata lacking or composed of few dark brown cells，intraepidermal or substomatal． Conidiophores brown to dark brown，paler at the apex，2－6 in loose fascicles，moderately thick－walled，straight or 1－2 times geniculate caused by sympodial proliferation，uniform in width，mildly attenuated at the apex，short obconically truncate or truncate at the apex，30－160 $\times 4.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-9$－septate．Conidiogenous cells integrated，terminal and intercalary，proliferating sympodially，rarely percurrently，uni－or multi－local（2－5）；loci distinctly thickened，often dispersed on whole conidiophores，darkened，apical and lateral， $2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam．Conidia solitary，hyaline，acicular，straight or slightly curved，thickened and truncate at the base，acute at the apex，27－ $110 \times 1.5-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ，indistinctly multi－septate，0－9－septate．

Specimens examined：Japan，Wakayama，on Datura stramonium（Solanaceae）， 30 Oct．2007，C．Nakashima \＆I．Araki，MUMH 10858，MUCC 732．South Korea， Namyangju，on Geranium thunbergii（三 G．nepalense var．thunbergii）（Geraniaceae）， 30 Sep．2004，H．D．Shin，CBS H－20993，CBS 132657 ＝CPC 11598.

Notes：This species is basal to C．apii s．str．Fresh collections from Geranium（Geraniaceae）are needed from the USA（type locality of $C$ ．brunkii）to determine if the latter name can be applied to this species．The two isolates representing this species are never supported in their own clade；in the TEF and ACT phylogenies they are intermixed with $C$ ．cf．flagellaris，in the CAL phylogeny with $C$ ．
apii and in the HIS phylogeny with C．kikuchii，C．cf．richardiicola and Cercospora spp．P and Q．These different shared alleles are the likely cause for their separate position in the combined phylogeny（Fig． 2 part 5）．

Cercospora campi－silii Speg．，Michelia 2：171． 1880.
三 Cercosporidium campi－silii（Speg．）X．J．Liu \＆Y．L．Guo，Acta Mycol．Sin． 1：94． 1982.
三 Passalora campi－silii（Speg．）Poonam Srivast．，J．Living World 1： 114. 1994，nom．inval．
ミ Passalora campi－silii（Speg．）U．Braun，Mycotaxon 55：228． 1995.
＝Cercospora impatientis Bäumler，Verh．K．K．Zool．－Bot．Ges．Wien 38： 717. 1888.

Leaf spots angular to irregular，1－3 mm diam，center greyish to pallid，surrounded by purplish brown to dark brown border lines， but brown to greyish brown without definite borders on the abaxial surface．Caespituli hypophyllous，but also epiphyllous in later stage of disease development．Stromata lacking or composed of a few brown cells．Conidiophores arising in fascicles of 5－12（－18），loose to moderately dense，emerging through stomata or occasionally erumpent through the cuticle，subcylindrical，2－5 times geniculate， sometimes abruptly geniculate，unbranched，2－4－septate，40－110 $\times 4-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ，pale brown to olivaceous－brown．Conidiogenous cells integrated，terminal，sympodial，multi－local；loci subcircular， thickened，darkened， $2.5-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide．Conidia solitary，obclavate－ cylindrical to elliptical， $25-60 \times 4.5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ，（1－）3（－6）－septate， subhyaline，apex obtuse，base obconically subtruncate；hila ca． 2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide，thickened，darkened．

Specimen examined：South Korea，Inje，on Impatiens noli－tangere（Balsaminaceae）， 29 Sep．2007，H．D．Shin，CBS 132625 ＝CPC 14585.

Notes：Although C．campi－silii was transferred from Cercospora to Passalora based on its pale olivaceous conidia（Braun 1995b）， as in the case of C．sojina，these taxa are best retained in Cercospora，which is fully supported by their phylogenetic position within Cercospora．Cercospora campi－silii is separated based on the TEF，ACT and HIS phylogenies in the present study．Only the CAL phylogeny failed to distinguish it from $C$ ．sojina and $C$ ． achyranthis．On the combined tree（Fig． 2 part 2），it is a sister taxon to C．sojina．Cercospora campi－silii was described from Europe and examination of European material is necessary to determine similarity with Korean collections．

## Cercospora canescens complex

Cultures examined：Ghana，on leaves of Dioscorea rotundata（Dioscoreaceae）， 2000，S．Nyako \＆A．O．Danquah，CBS $132658=$ CPC $11626=$ GHA－1－0（as C． dioscoreae－pyrifoliae）；CPC $11628=$ GHA－2－1；on leaves of Dioscorea alata， 2000，S．Nyako \＆A．O．Danquah，CBS $132659=$ CPC 11627 ＝GHA－1－1．Mexico， Tamaulipas，unidentified Malvaceae host， 30 Oct．2008，Ma．de Jesús Yáñez－ Morales，CPC 15871．South Africa，Northwest Province，Potchefstroom，on Vigna sp．（Fabaceae），S．van Wyk，CBS 111133 ＝CPC 1137；CBS $111134=$ CPC 1138； Tsipise，Limpopo Province，on Citrus maxima（Rutaceae）fruit spot，K．Serfontein， CPC 4408－4409．USA，Georgia，on Phaseolus lunatus（＝Ph．limensis）（Fabaceae）， E．S．Luttrell，CBS 153.55 ＝CPC 5059 （as C．canescens）；on Apium sp．，CPC 11640 $=$ IMI 186563.

Notes：Morphologically the present clade represents isolates that correspond with the description of $C$ ．canescens，which was originally described from Phaseolus in the USA．It is possible that as more isolates are added，the lower subclade，which represents hosts in other families，may eventually split off as a distinct taxon． Epitype material from the USA is necessary to fix the application
of the name $C$ ．canescens．The material on Ph．lunatus（＝Ph． limensis）could be used in this sense，but $C$ ．canescens is a complicated species complex．More isolates from the USA are necessary to resolve this issue．A sequence of an isolate on Phaseolus from Mexico（CPC 15807）clusters in＂Cercospora sp． Q＂，which might be C．canescens．The C．canescens complex is supported as a distinct clade in the ACT and CAL phylogenies．The TEF sequence of isolate CPC 15871 splits off from the rest of the isolates to cluster with C．cf．coreopsidis．In the HIS phylogeny， the isolates occur in four distinct but related clades（C．mercurialis occurs in an intermediate position between these clades）．These four clades correspond to the intraspecific variation observed for this species in Fig． 2 （part 1）．

Cercospora capsici Heald \＆F．A．Wolf，Mycologia 3： 15. 1911.

Leaf spots circular to subcircular，more or less concentric，2－10 mm diam．Caespituli amphigenous，appearing greyish brown in case of abundant sporulation．Mycelium internal．Stromata rudimentary， composed of a few swollen cells．Conidiophores straight to mildly curved，not branched，in divergent fascicles（3－15），mildly geniculate， $30-120 \times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-6$－septate．Conidiogenous cells integrated，terminal，lateral，proliferating sympodially；loci distinct， slightly protuberant，apical and formed on shoulder caused by geniculation，2－3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide．Conidia solitary，hyaline，acicular， straight to mildly curved，64－180 $\times 4-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-12$－septate， subacute at the apex，obconically truncate at the base（adapted from Shin \＆Kim 2001）．

Description of caespituli on V8 medium；MUCC 574 （MAFF 238227）：Conidiophores solitary，pale brown to brown，irregular in width，wider at the base，smooth，moderately thick－walled，sinuous－ geniculate，simple，conically truncated at the tip，20－130．5 $\times 3.5-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ，multi－septate．Conidiogenous cells integrated，terminal；loci distinctly thickened，apical，2－2．5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width．Conidia solitary， hyaline，cylindro－obclavate to acicular，distinctly thickened and long obconically truncated at the base，obtuse to acute at the apex， $105-200 \times 2.5-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 9-18$－septate．

Specimens examined：Fiji，unknown host，fungus fruiting on lesions on calyx attached to fruit， 17 Aug．2005，P．Tyler，CBS 118712．Japan，Chiba，on Capsicum annuum（Solanaceae）， 1 Oct．1999，S．Uematsu，MUCC 574 ＝MAFF $238227=$ MUCNS 810．South Korea，Hongcheon，on C．annuum， 29 Aug．2005，H．D．Shin， CBS H－20994，CPC 12307；Yanggu，on C．annuum， 28 Sep．2007，H．D．Shin，CBS H－20995，CBS 132622 ＝CPC 14520.

Notes：See also C．armoraciae．This species is supported in the TEF（related to Cercospora sp．J and C．chenopodii），ACT（related to Cercospora sp．J and C．zebrina and C．armoraciae）and HIS （related to Cercospora spp．C and D）phylogenies and is part of the larger $C$ ．armoraciae clade based on CAL．In the combined tree （Fig． 2 part 3），it is a sister taxon to C．armoraciae．Morphological characteristics of this species on the host plant and in culture are almost similar to $C$ ．armoraciae．In addition，acicular conidia are formed in culture．The application of the name C．capsici to this clade is only tentative，since the latter species was described from the USA．North American cultures and sequences are needed to confirm their identity．

Several species of Cercospora occur on solanaceous host plants．Of these，C．physalidis has been shown to form a species complex．Braun \＆Mel＇nik（1997）concluded many species of Cercospora apii s．lat．on solanaceous hosts，including C．capsici， were synonymous with $C$ ．physalidis based on their morphological
characteristics．Based on the results of pathogenicity tests（C． Nakashima，unpubl．data），phylogeny，and morphology（cylindrical to obclavate，rarely acicular conidia，and conidiophores that narrow at the upper portion），C．capsici must be separated from the C． physalidis complex．Likewise，other taxa in this complex such as C．lycii，C．nicandrae，C．sciadophila，C．solanacea，and C．solani， which consistently have obclavate－cylindrical conidia，must be re－ examined．

Cercospora celosiae Syd．，Ann．Mycol．27：430． 1929.

Leaf spots amphigenous，scattered to confluent，distinct， subcircular to irregular，small to fairly large，1－7 mm diam，pale brown to brown，surrounded by a dark brown border．Caespituli amphigenous．Stromata small，rudimentary to slightly developed， composed of several brown，swollen hyphal cells．Conidiophores 3－20 in loose fascicles，emerging through stomata or erumpent through the cuticle，olivaceous－brown throughout，or paler upwards， $0-5$－septate，straight to slightly curved，1－5 times mildly geniculate， sometimes once abruptly geniculate，not branched， $25-200 \times 4.5-$ $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ；loci conspicuous，apical or on shoulders of conidiogenous cells caused by geniculation．Conidia solitary，acicular to filiform， sometimes shorter ones obclavate－cylindrical，straight to mildly curved，hyaline， $2-14$－septate，slightly constricted at the septa， subacute to subobtuse at the apex，obconically truncate to subtruncate at the base， $40-150 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ；hilum conspicuously thickened，darkened，and non－protuberant

Specimen examined：South Korea，Chuncheon，on Celosia argentea var．cristata （ $\equiv$ C．cristata）（Amaranthaceae）， 7 Oct．2003，H．D．Shin，CBS H－20996，CBS $132600=$ CPC 10660.

Notes：The isolate representing C．celosiae is not supported as a separate clade；in the TEF，ACT，CAL and HIS phylogenies it is intermixed with predominantly Cercospora sp ．I and C． alchemillicola／C．cf．alchemillicola，which is also evident from its position basal to Cercospora sp．I in the combined phylogeny（Fig． 2 part 1）．Authentic material from China is required to determine if $C$ ．celosiae should be merged with what is presently treated as Cercospora sp．I．

Cercospora chenopodii Fresen．，Beitr．Mykol．：92． 1863. Fig． 4
＝Ramularia dubia Riess，Hedwigia 1：pl．4，fig．9． 1854.
三 Cercospora dubia（Riess）G．Winter，Fungi Eur．Exs．，Ed．nov．，Cent．28， No．2780． 1882 and Hedwigia 22：10．1883，nom．illeg．，homonym of C． dubia Speg．， 1880.
＝Cercospora dubia（Riess）Bubák，Ann．Mycol．6：29．1908，nom．illeg．， homonym of C．dubia Speg．， 1880.
＝Cercosporidium dubium（Riess）X．J．Liu \＆Y．L．Guo，Acta Mycol．Sin． 1：95． 1982.
ミ Passalora dubia（Riess）Poonam Srivast．，J．Living World 1：115．1994， comb．inval．
ミPassalora dubia（Riess）U．Braun，Mycotaxon 55：231． 1995.
＝Cercospora chenopodii Cooke，Grevillea 12：22．1883，nom．illeg．，homonym of C．chenopodii Fresen．， 1863.
＝Cercospora dubia var．urbica Roum．，Rev．Mycol．15：15． 1893.
＝Cercospora dubia var．atriplicis Bondartsev，Trudy Glavn．Bot．Sada 26： 51. 1910.
＝Cercospora atriplicis Lobik，Mat．po Fl．Faun．Obsled．Terskogo Okruga： 52. 1928.
＝Cercospora chenopodii var．micromaculata Dearn．，Mycologia 21：329． 1929.
＝Cercospora penicillata f．chenopodii Fuckel，Fungi Rhen．Exs．，Fasc．II，No．
119．1863，nom．nud．
＝Cercospora chenopodii var．atriplicis patulae Thüm．，in herb．
＝Cercospora bondarzevii Henn．，in herb．B．


Fig. 4. Cercospora chenopodii (CBS $132620=$ CPC 14237). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C-F. Conidiophores. G-I. Conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Specimen examined: France, Ardeche, $N 44^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 39.8^{\prime \prime} E 4^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 9.1^{\prime \prime}$, on Chenopodium cf. album (Chenopodiaceae) next to river, 31 Aug. 2007, P.W. Crous, CBS H-20997, CBS $132620=$ CPC 14237.

Notes: Cercospora chenopodii was transferred to the genus Passalora as P. dubia by Braun (1995a) based on broadly obclavate conidia with visible large loci. The conidia of this species are hyaline, and best retained in Cercospora, which has been confirmed by results of molecular sequence analyses. The species is supported as distinct in the TEF, ACT and HIS phylogenies; in the CAL phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from C . cf. chenopodii. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. chenopodii. Also see C. cf. chenopodii.

## Cercospora cf. chenopodii Fig. 5.

Leafspots amphigenous, subcircular, circular, 3-8 mm diam, greyish brown to pale brown. Mycelium internal, consisting of septate, branched, smooth, pale brown hyphae. Caespituli in fascicles (10-40), amphigenous, brown, dense, becoming divergent, up to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide and $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ high. Conidiophores aggregated in dense fascicles arising from the upper cells of a moderately developed brown stroma; conidiophores olivaceous-brown to brown, 2-5-septate, 1-2 times geniculate in upper part, at times apically swollen, not branched, $60-135 \times 4-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conidiogenous cells terminal, unbranched, pale brown, smooth, tapering to flattipped apical loci, proliferating sympodially, 20-40 $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; loci thickened, darkened, refractive, 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, smooth, cylindrical to obclavate, straight to slightly curved, hyaline, (0-)2-4(-5)-septate, apex obtuse, base obconically truncate,
(25-)40-65(-80) $\times(5-) 6-7.5(-9) \mu \mathrm{m}$; hila thickened, darkened, refractive, $2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics: Colonies erumpent, spreading, with sparse aerial mycelium, and lobate, smooth margins, and folded surface; reaching 10 mm after 2 wk . On MEA iron-grey with patches of dirty white, reverse fuscous-black to greyish sepia. On OA and PDA surface mouse-grey, with patches of pale mouse-grey, reverse olivaceous-grey.

Specimens examined: Mexico, Montecillo, Chenopodium sp. (Chenopodiaceae), 9 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS 132677 = CPC 15599; CPC 15763; Purificacion, Chenopodium sp., 12 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CPC 15859; CPC 15862. South Korea, Hongcheon, on Chenopodium ficifolium (Chenopodiaceae), 4 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20998, culture CBS $132594=$ CPC 10304; Hongcheon, on C. ficifolium, 27 Oct. 2005, H.D. Shin, CBS H-20999, CPC 12450.

Notes: The chief difference between C. chenopodii and C. cf. chenopodii lies in the denser fascicles observed in the former species. Otherwise, the two species are barely distinguishable, and the latter species has to be considered a cryptic taxon. In the TEF phylogeny these two species are clearly distinct, although the isolates of $C$. cf. chenopodii are intermixed with those of $C$. delaireae, C. ricinella and Cercospora sp. K. The ACT and HIS phylogenies separate $C$. cf. chenopodii from the other species included in this study, although the CAL phylogeny could not distinguish C. chenopodii and C. cf. chenopodii. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to $C$. chenopodii. See the species notes for $C$. chenopodii. We refrain from describing this species as new until more isolates for $C$. chenopodii can be sequenced to determine the intraspecific variation.


Fig. 5. Cercospora cf. chenopodii (CPC 10304). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C-E. Fasciculate conidiophores. F-I. Conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Cercospora chinensis F.L. Tai, Bull. Chin. Bot. Soc. 2: 49. 1936.

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, up to $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, dark brown, intraepidermal or substomatal. Conidiophores solitary to $2-5$ in loose fascicles, simple, sometimes branched, thick-walled, dark brown, paler towards the apex, mainly straight, loosely geniculate, almost uniform in width, conically truncated and somewhat wider at the apex, 61-100 $\times$ $5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-6$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, proliferating sympodially or rarely percurrently, terminal and intercalary, multilocal; loci thickened, not protuberant, apical, lateral, 2.5-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, acicular to cylindro-obclavate, slightly curved, obconically truncate or subtruncate, and thickened at the base, acute at the apex, $60-210 \times 3.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-16$-septate.

Specimen examined: South Korea, Pyeongchang, on Polygonatum humile (Convallariaceae), 20 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21000, CBS $132612=$ CPC 10831.

Notes: See the notes for $C$. dispori below. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5 ), it is a sister taxon to $C$. dispori and $C$. corchori.

## Cercospora cf. citrulina

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or small, up to $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, pale brown. Conidiophores pale to pale brown, paler towards the apex, irregular in width, wider at the base, narrowed successive geniculation at the apex, sinuous-geniculate to well geniculate above the middle, thin-walled when young, darker and moderately thickened in mature conidiophores, solitary
or in loose fascicles (2-14), simple, truncate at the apex, 50-86 $\times$ $2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-3$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci distinct, thickened, apical or on shoulder caused by geniculation, slightly protuberant, 2.5-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical, filiform to acicular, straight to slightly curved, truncate to long obconically truncate and distinctly thickened at the base, apex subacute, 40-134 × 3-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, multi-septate.

Specimens examined: Bangladesh (western part), on Musa sp. (Musaceae), I. Buddenhagen, CBS $119395=$ CPC 12682; CBS $132669=$ CPC 12683. Japan, Kagoshima, on Momordica charanthia (Cucurbitaceae), 20 Oct. 1997, E. Imaizumi \& C. Nomi, MUCC $577=$ MAFF $238205=$ MUCNS 254 (as C. citrullina); Okinawa, on Citrullus lanatus (Cucurbitaceae), 6 Mar. 1998, T. Kobayashion et al., MUMH 11402, MUCC $576=$ MUCNS $300=$ MAFF 237913 (as C. citrullina); on Psophocarpus tetragonolobus (Fabaceae), MUCC 584 = MAFF 305757 (as C. psophocarpicola); on Ipomoea pes-caprae (Convolvulaceae), MUCC $588=$ MAFF 239409 (as C. ipomoeae).

Notes: This clade is supported by the TEF, ACT and CAL phylogenies. In the HIS phylogeny, the clade is split into the two sister clades visible in the combined tree, and may eventually be shown to be a species complex. In the HIS phylogeny, MUCC 584, MUCC 576 and MUCC 577 are clustering sister to $C$. chinensis and C. dispori whereas the remaining isolates are sister to $C$. vignigena. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. helianthicola.

This taxon is distinguished from other species based on several morphological characteristics. Sporulation is mainly observed at the apex of conidiophores; slightly protuberant loci are formed on shoulders caused by geniculation; the width of conidiogenous cells immediately behind the fertile region is generally narrower, and


Fig. 6. Cercospora coniogrammes (CBS $132634=$ CPC 17017). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C-F. Weakly developed fascicles, showing conidiophores with sympodial proliferation and multi-local loci. G-I. Cylindrical to acicular conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
conidiogenous cells are truncate at the apex. An isolate obtained from Ipomoea pes-caprae (MUCC 588) is located in this clade (Fig. 2 part 5). It was not possible to examine its morphology in this study and thus it is not clear whether or not this fungus was saprobic. An isolate identified as C. psophocarpicola (MUCC 584), is also located in this clade. There is no morphological basis to divide C. psophocarpicola and other isolates in this clade into different species. Besides, the pathogenicity of MUCC 584 to Psophocarpus (Fabaceae) was confirmed (Ohnuki et al. 1989), thus showing that this species was not saprobic. Moreover, the four Japanese isolates examined in this study were obtained from the same subtropical islands in Japan. On the other hand, two isolates named as "C. hayl" from Musa sp. were also located in this clade. According to Crous et al. (2004b), several species of Cercospora are known to be able to colonise Musa. From the distribution of this taxon, it is natural that this species also colonised Musa (Musaceae), which grows in the same region.

Cercospora coniogrammes Crous \& R.G. Shivas, sp. nov. MycoBank MB800653. Fig. 6.

Etymology: Named after the host genus from which it was collected, Coniogramme.

Leaf spots amphigenous, subcircular to angular, 1-3 mm diam, grey to pale brown, surrounded by a broad brown margin, up to 4 mm diam. Mycelium internal. Caespituli predominantly epiphyllous. Conidiophores aggregated in loose fascicles (2-6), arising from the upper cells of a brown, weakly developed stroma, up to 20 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, brown, finely verruculose in lower part, 3-7-septate, subcylindrical, straight to geniculate-sinuous, unbranched, 60-120
$\times 5-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, unbranched, brown, smooth, tapering to flat-tipped loci, proliferating sympodially, $15-35 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with numerous tightly aggregated apical loci, proliferating sympodially; loci distinct, thickened and darkened, protruding, 2-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical to acicular, straight or slightly curved, apex subobtuse, base truncate, $(30-) 50-85(-120) \times(2-) 3(-3.5) \mu \mathrm{m}, 1-6$-septate, thin-walled, smooth; hila thickened, darkened, refractive, 1.5-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics: Colonies spreading, flat, with sparse aerial mycelium, folded surface and even margins, reaching 25 mm after 2 wk. On OA blood-red in centre, red at margin. On MEA greyolivaceous in centre, smoke-grey at margins, olivaceous-grey in reverse. On PDA umber to chestnut in centre, bay at margin, umber in reverse.

Specimen examined: Australia, Queensland, Brisbane, on Coniogramme japonica var. gracilis ( $\equiv$ C. gracilis) (Adiantaceae), holotype CBS H-21001, Aug. 2009, P.W. Crous, culture ex-type CBS 132634 = CPC 17017.

Notes: The numerous, tightly aggregated loci on the conidiogenous cells, and cylindrical to acicular conidia are characteristic of this species. This species is supported on the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies and is basal in the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1).

Cercospora corchori Sawada, Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Formosa 26: 179. 1916.

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, substomatal or intraepidermal, pale brown to brown, 16-25
$\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidiophores arising from upper part of stromata or internal hyphae, in loose fascicles (5-10), moderately thick-walled, pale brown to brown, uniform in width, sometimes attenuated at the apex, sinuous-geniculate, sparsely septate, conically truncate at the apex, 20-83 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci distinct, thickened and darkened, apical or formed on the shoulder caused by the geniculation, 1-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia hyaline to subhyaline, cylindro-obclavate to acicular, straight or slightly curved, truncate and thickened at the base, acute at the apex, 30-128 $\times 2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 4-13-septate.

Description of caespituli on MEA; MUCC 585 (= MAFF 238191): Conidiophores solitary, brown, uniform in width, smooth, moderately thick-walled, slightly curved, simple, conically truncated at the apex, $130-230 \times 3.5-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal; loci moderately thickened, apical, 2.5-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width.

Specimens examined: Japan, Shimane, on Corchorus olitorius (Tiliaceae), 27 Aug. 1997, T. Mikami (epitype designated here - TFM:FPH-8114), culture ex-epitype MUCC 585 = MAFF 238191 = MUCNS 72. Taiwan, Taipei, on C. olitorius, 30 Jul 1909, K. Sawada, (isotype - TNS-F-220392).

Notes: Cercospora corchori, which is known as the causal agent of a seed-borne disease, is distinguished from other species in that conidiophores are uniform in width, and conically truncate at the apex. Moreover, the species is supported by the ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the TEF phylogeny, it clusters on a longer branch in a clade with isolates of Cercospora sp. K and C. lactucae-sativae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora spp. $R$ and $S$.

## Cercospora cf. coreopsidis

Leaf spots distinct (characteristic for this species), circular to subcircular, initially pale brown, later centre grey to dirty grey with raised greyish brown margins. Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or small, up to $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam, intraepidermal or substomatal, brown. Conidiophores solitary, or up to 2-9 in loose fascicles, irregular in width, slightly attenuated at the apex, somewhat wider at mid cells, pale brown, thick-walled, paler towards the apex, conically truncate at the apex, geniculate at the upper portion, tortuous, 30-156 $\times 4-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-7$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, intercalary, terminal, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci thickened, darkened, not protuberant, flat, apical, lateral, rarely circumspersed, 1.5-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, straight to curved, truncated and thickened at the base, tip acute, 40-90(-180) $\times(1.5-) 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, indistinctly 7-10-septate.

Specimen examined: South Korea, Seoul, Coreopsis lanceolata (Asteraceae), 17 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21002, CBS 132598 = CPC 10648; Wonju, on C lanceolata, 18 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CPC 10122.

Notes: The description of the present species is based on Korean specimens. Many species of Cercospora have latent pathogenicity to asteraceous plants. Although these results show that the identification of Cercospora species on these plants is difficult based on the host plant, the isolates originating from Coreopsis must be treated as a host-specific species in having an independent phylogenetic position, which is supported by the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. agavicola.

On the other hand, C. beticola, which has also been known from Bidens (Asteraceae), was also reported from Coreopsis (Asteraceae) (Thaung 1984). Morphological differences between these species were not observed. The identification of the Korean collections as C . cf. coreopsidis is only tentative and must be proven on the base of sequences derived from North American isolates, which are not yet available.

Cercospora delaireae C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, sp. nov. MycoBank MB800654. Fig. 7.

Etymology: Named after the host genus from which it was collected, Delairea.

Leaf spots amphigenous, subcircular to angular, grey-brown to brown, 3-7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, surrounded by a large, brown border, $7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam. Caespituli amphigenous, mainly hypophyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or composed of few brown cells, substomatal or intraepidermal. Conidiophores solitary or in loose fascicles (2-4), pale brown to brown, irregular in width, narrowed at upper portion, moderately thick-walled, smooth, straight or abruptly once geniculate, truncate at the tip, 20-120× $5-6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-9$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially, 20-60 $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, usually unilocal, rarely multi-local; loci apical or formed on the shoulder due to sympodial proliferation, 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, thickened and darkened. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, truncate at the base, tip acute, (55-)80-150(-200) $\times(3.5-) 4(-5)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, 3-15$-septate, thin-walled, smooth; hila thickened, darkened, 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics: Colonies erumpent, spreading, with sparse to moderate aerial mycelium, and smooth, lobed margin and folded surface; reaching 20 mm diam after 2 wk. On MEA surface dirty white to salmon with patches of olivaceous-grey; reverse iron-grey in centre, salmon in outer region. On PDA surface dirty white with patches of pale mouse-grey, and red, diffuse pigment surrounding culture; reverse olivaceous-grey, but with prominent red pigment. On OA spreading, flat, lacking aerial mycelium, with lobate, smooth margins; surface red with diffuse red pigment surrounding colony; reverse red.

Specimens examined: South Africa, Eastern Cape Province, Plettenberg Bay, on Delairea odorata (= Senecio mikaniodes) (Asteraceae), C.L. Lennox, CPC 1062710629; Mpumalanga, Long Tom Pass, on D. odorata (= Senecio mikanioides), 16 Jun. 2003, S. Neser, holotype CBS H-21004, culture ex-type CBS 132595 = CPC 10455.

Notes: Cercospora delaireae must be regarded as a new species based on its distinct phylogenic position (Fig. 2 part 2). In the individual gene trees it is distinguished in the ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies; in the TEF phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from C. cf. chenopodii. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. ricinella. It appears to be specific to Delairea odorata (= Senecio mikanioides) (Cape-ivy), and should be further evaluated as possible biocontrol agent of this host. Delairea odorata is an invasive perennial vine problematic in coastal riparian areas and is reported as being toxic to animals and fish. Stem, rhizome and stolon fragments resprout if left in the ground after treatment (for further information see <www.cal-ipc.org/ip/management/plant_ profiles/Delairea_odorata.php>).


Fig. 7. Cercospora delaireae (CBS $132595=$ CPC 10455). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C-F. Conidiophores giving rise to conidia. $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Conidia}$. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Cercospora dispori Togashi \& Maki, Trans. Sapporo Nat. Hist. Soc. 17: 98. 1942.

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, up to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, dark brown, intraepidermal or substomatal. Conidiophores solitary, or up to $2-10$ in loose fascicles, thick-walled, dark brown, paler towards the apex, straight or sinuous-geniculate, almost uniform in width, conically truncate at the apex, $45-100 \times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-7$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, proliferating sympodially or rarely percurrently, terminal and intercalary, multi-local; loci thickened, not protuberant, apical, lateral. Conidia solitary, hyaline, acicular to cylindrical, slightly curved, obconically truncate or subtruncate, and thickened at the base, acute or obtuse at the apex, $30-85(-200) \times 3.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 2-12-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: Japan, Fukuoka, on Disporum smilacinum var. ramosum (Convallariaceae), 22 Sep. 1940, Y. Maki \& T. Katsuki, holotype in SAPA? (specimen could not be located). South Korea, Pyeongchang, on Disporum viridescens (Convallariaceae), 20 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132608 = CPC 10773; CPC 10774-10775.

Notes: Cercospora chinensis and C. dispori are distinguished from other $C$. apii s. lat. species in that their conidiophores are uniform in width, thick-walled, dark coloured and conically truncate at the apex. In this study, C. chinensis and C. dispori occur on Convallariaceae, and cluster together in a well-supported clade. On the individual gene trees, these two species (represented by isolates CPC 10831 and CPC 10773) rarely cluster and are both on long branches in the phylogenetic analyses. In the TEF phylogeny, C. dispori cannot be distinguished from C. apii / C. beticola whereas C. chinensis is a sister taxon to C. pileicola. In the ACT phylogeny, C. chinensis cannot be distinguished from C. apii / C. beticola and C. dispori is a sister taxon to the C. apii / C. beticola clade. In the

CAL phylogeny the two species are indistinguishable and they are related to C. lactucae-sativae. In the HIS phylogeny the two species are sister taxa related to C. citrullina. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to C. chinensis. Based on morphological characteristics, there is a difference between the two species in that the conidiophores of $C$. chinensis are sometimes branched. Thus, these two species are retained as separate taxa.

## Cercospora cf. erysimi

Specimen examined: New Zealand, Manurewa, on Erysimum mutabile (Brassicaceae), 5 Dec. 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 625, CBS 115059 = CPC 5361.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically supported by TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS. A collection on Erysimum (Brassicaceae) from Europe (isolate CPC 5056) clusters within C. armoraciae. The latter could also be the "true C. erysimi", which is still unclear. The type of C. erysimi is from North America. Thus, fresh material is needed from North America to resolve the application of the name "C. erysimi". In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. modiolae and Cercospora sp. E.

Cercospora euphorbiae-sieboldianae C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, sp. nov. MycoBank MB800655. Fig. 8.

Etymology: Named after the host from which it was collected, Euphorbia sieboldiana.

Leaf spots amphigenous, subcircular to irregular, 3-15 mm diam, coalenscing, up to 25 mm diam, brown to greyish brown, becoming whitish grey in centre, with blackish margins on upper surface, and greyish white to grey on lower surface. Mycelium internal. Caespituli amphigenous. Stromata small to well-developed, intraepidermal to


Fig. 8. Cercospora euphorbiae-sieboldianae (CBS 113306). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C, D. Fasciculate conidiophores. E. Conidiophore giving rise to conidium. F-I. Conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
substomatal, brown to dark brown, 20-125 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidiophores loose to densely fasciculate in fascicles of 3-40, pale brown to brown, paler towards the apex, irregular in width, somewhat constricted at the proliferating point, conically truncate at the apex, $0-2$-septate, straight or sinuous to geniculate due to sympodial proliferation, simple, rarely branched, 15-170 $\times 4.5-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially, $50-70 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-local; loci distinctly thickened, darkened, apical or formed on the shoulder, rarely lateral, 3-4.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline to subhyaline, solitary, straight to slightly curved, obclavate to obclavate-cylindric, obconically truncated at the base, acute to obtuse at the apex, often beak-like at the apex, 38-130 × 5.5-8(-12) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, (4-)3-6(-12)-septate, thin-walled, smooth; hila thickened, darkened, 3-4.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics: Colonies erumpent, spreading, with sparse aerial mycelium and smooth, even margins, reaching 30 mm diam after 2 wk at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. On MEA surface grey-olivaceous, reverse iron-grey. On PDA surface and reverse olivaceous-grey. Colonies forming spermatogonia in culture on both media.

Specimen examined: South Korea, Samcheok, on Euphorbia sieboldiana (Euphorbiaceae), 8 May 2003, H.D. Shin, holotype CBS H-21005, culture ex-type CBS 113306.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically distinguishable from its closest relatives in the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. It is related to C. polygonaceae (TEF), C. senecionis-walkeri (ACT), C. vignigena (CAL) and C. punctiformis (HIS); therefore it is distinct from the other species occurring on Euphorbiaceae included in this study. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. punctiformis. It is morphologically well distinguished from species of the $C$. apii complex and other species of Cercospora by its unusually broadly obclavate-cylindrical conidia (5.5-8(-12) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) with few septa and rather broad loci and hila (3-4.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).

Cercospora fagopyri K. Nakata \& S. Takim., J. Agric. Exp. Stat. Gov. Gen. Chosen 15: 29. 1928.
= Cercospora fagopyri Abramov, in Lavrov, Opred. rastit. paras. kul't. i dikor. polezn. rast. Sibiri, Vyp. I: 22. 1932, nom. nud.

三 Cercospora fagopyri Abramov, in Vasilevsky \& Karakulin, Fungi imperfecti parasitici. 1. Hyphomycetes: 321. 1937, nom. illeg. (homonym). = Cercospora fagopyri Chupp \& A.S. Mull., Bol. Soc. Venez. Ci.. Nat. 8: 44. 1942, nom. illeg. (homonym).

Caespituli caulogenous, or amphigenous on leaves. Mycelium internal. Stromata intraepidermal or substomatal, pale brown, small to well-developed, $25-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidiophores pale brown, solitary, or in loose to dense fascicles (2-20), sinuously geniculate, rarely geniculate due to sympodial proliferation, usually irregular in width, frequently constricted due to proliferation,
attenuated at the tip, truncate at the apex, multi-septate, 20-120 $\times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-5$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, mainly terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci thickened and darkened, apical and formed on the shoulder caused by sympodial proliferation, sometimes lateral, sometimes protuberant, 1.5-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical to acicular, straight or slightly curved, long obconically truncate or truncate at the thickened and darkened base, obtuse or acute at the apex, $20-100 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-20$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Description of caespituli on V8; (MUCC 130): Caespituli dimorphic, either small (common), or large (rarely observed; described in parenthesis). Conidiophores solitary to loosely fasciculate, arising from hyphae, subhyaline to pale brown, irregular in width, smooth, meager and thin-walled, sinuous-geniculate to geniculate (straight to geniculate), unbranched, truncated at the tip, 15-500 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal or intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multilocal (uni-local); loci moderately thickened, apical, protuberant (not protuberant), 1.25-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, slightly thickened and obconically truncate (truncate) at the base, acute at the apex, 45.5-187 $\times 2-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 3-16-septate.

Specimens examined: Japan, Ehime, on Cosmos bipinnata (Asteraceae), 16 Oct. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11394, MUCC 130; on Hibiscus syriacus (Malvaceae), MUCC 866. South Korea, Suwon, on Viola mandshurica (Violaceae), 14 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21006, CBS 132649 = CPC 10725; Yangpyeong, on Cercis chinensis, (Fabaceae), 19 Oct. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21007, CBS $132671=$ CPC 14546; on Fagopyrum esculentum (Polygonaceae), 9 Oct. 2007, H.D. Shin, neotype designated here CBS H-21008, culture ex-neotype CBS 132623 = CPC 14541 (holotype specimen, South Korea, Suwon, on Fag. esculentum, Sep. 1934, K. Nakata \& S. Takimoto, could not be located and is undoubtedly not preserved); on Fallopia dumentorum (Polygonaceae), 16 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21009, CBS $132640=$ CPC 10109.

Notes: Phylogenetically the separation of $C$. fagopyri is supported by the TEF and HIS phylogenies, though it is intermixed with strains of $C$. cf. sigesbeckiae in the ACT phylogeny and of $C$. kikuchii in the CAL phylogeny. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. ipomoeae. Presently several isolates originating from diverse host families reside in this clade. However, lesions on Viola appear to be insect associated, and caused by a Colletotrichum species, with Cercospora colonisation being secondary. Furthermore, lesions on Fallopia dumentorum appear to be associated with chemical damage, not Cercospora, again suggestion that Cercospora colonisation was secondary. The fungus occurring on Cercis chinensis is distinct, having very long conidiophores ( $200-600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and very long conidia. To resolve the host range of $C$. fagopyri, isolates from Fagopyrum need to be recollected in Korea, and pathogenicity established on the hosts listed above. Thus the name C. fagopyri can only be applied to other isolates than those from Fagopyrum tentatively, awaiting additional fresh collections.

## Cercospora cf. flagellaris

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, up to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, intraepidermal and substomatal. Conidiophores straight or successively geniculate at the apex, rarely abruptly geniculate, solitary, or in loose to dense fascicles (2-23), pale brown to brown, paler towards the apex, simple, rarely branched, uniform in width up to the middle, strongly attenuated at the upper portion, sometimes constricted at septa, often constricted following sympodial proliferation, 14-140(-270) ×
$2.5-6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-8$-septate, truncate or short obconically truncated at the apex. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local (2-5); loci distinctly thickened, apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, lateral, rarely protuberant, small, 1-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical to acicular, sometimes obclavate, straight or slightly curved, truncate or short obconical truncate at the thickened and darkened base, acute at the apex, 18-240 (-300) × 2-4.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, 1-12-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Description of caespituli on V8; MUCC 127: Conidiophores solitary, arising from hyphae, pale brown, uniform in width, sometimes wider at the base, smooth, straight to slightly sinuous, conically truncate at the tip, 10-95 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal; loci distinctly thickened, apical, $1.25-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, acicular to filiform, slightly thickened and truncate at the base, acute at the apex, 35$220 \times 2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-15$-septate.

Specimens examined: Fiji, on Amaranthus sp. (Amaranthaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 677, CPC 5441. Israel, on Trachelium sp. (Campanulaceae), 16 Nov. 2002, E. TzulAbad, CBS 132637 = CPC 10079 (as C. campanulae). Japan, Ehime, on Cosmos sulphureus (Asteraceae), 16 Oct. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11393, MUCC 127; Tokyo, on Hydrangea serrata (Hydrangeaceae), 10 Nov. 2007, I. Araki \& M. Harada, MUMH 10933, MUCC 831; Wakayama, on H. serrata, 30 Oct. 2007, C. Nakashima \& I. Araki, MUMH 10860, MUCC 735. South Korea, Hoengseong, on Celosia argentea var. cristata ( $\equiv$ C. cristata), 11 Oct. 2004, H.D. Shin, CBS 132667 = CPC 11643 (as Cercospora sp.); Jeju, on Dysphania ambrosioides ( $\equiv$ Chenopodium ambrosioides) (Chenopodiaceae), 12 Nov. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132653 = CPC 10884 (as C. chenopodii-ambrosioidis); on Phytolacca americana (Phytolaccaceae), 1 Nov. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS 132674 = CPC 14723; CPC 14724; Jinju, on P. americana, 15 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CPC 10684-10686; Namyangju, on Amaranthus patulus, 30 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132648 = CPC 10722; Pocheon, on P. americana, 23 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CPC 10124; Suwon, on Cichorium intybus (Asteraceae), 14 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132646 = CPC 10681 (as C. cichorii); Yanggu, on Sigesbeckia pubescens (Asteraceae), 28 Sep. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS $132670=$ CPC 14487. South Africa, Limpopo Province, Messina, Citrus sp. (Rutaceae), M.C. Pretorius, CBS $115482=$ CPC 4410; CPC 4411; on Populus deltoides (Salicaceae), P.W. Crous, CPC 1051-1052. Unknown, on Bromus sp. (Poaceae), M.D. Whitehead, CBS 143.51 = CPC 5055. USA, Texas, on Eichhornia crassipes (Pontederiaceae), R. Charudattan \& D. Tessmann, 14 Sep. 1996, CBS 113127 (as C. piaropi).

Notes: The isolates from this species form a monophyletic clade identical to one another and the two isolates of $C$. cf. brunkii on the TEF phylogeny. In the CAL phylogeny the C. cf. flagellaris isolates form a monophyletic clade, albeit with some intraspecific variation. Based on ACT data, the clade splits into four lineages: 1. CPC 4410 and 4411, 2. CPC 1052, 1051 and 10681, 3, CPC 5441 and, 4. the remainder of the isolates. In the HIS phylogeny the species also splits into four lineages: 1. CPC 4410, 4411, 10884 and MUCC 735, 2. CPC 10681 and 11643, 3. CPC 5441 and, 4. the rest of the isolates. These splits in phylogeny (see Fig. 2 parts 2-3) are not supported by morphology: conidiophores are successively geniculate at the upper portion, strongly attenuated at the apex; conidiogenous cells are terminal and intercalary with multi-local loci, and conidia are truncate or short obconically truncate at the thickened base. We strongly suspect that this is a species complex. The latter can only be resolved once more authentic isolates for the names listed above are included (from original hosts and countries), additional DNA loci screened, and pathogenicity tests conducted. Included in this species complex is the isolate used by Tessmann et al. (2001) as C. piaropi. This isolate is indistinguishable from other isolates of $C$. cf. flagellaris based on the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. Cercospora flagellaris is the older name (1882) compared to C. piaropi (1917) and should therefore get taxonomic preference.

## Cercospora cf. helianthicola

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata brown, lacking or small, intraepidermal or substomatal, up to $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidiophores simple, occasionally branched, straight to geniculate, pale brown, arising from small stromata or internal hyphae, solitary or in dense fascicles (up to 15), irregular in width, narrowed at successive geniculation, truncate at the apex, moderately thick-walled, $20-180 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci distinctly thickened, apical and formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, rarely lateral, refractive, 1.5-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidia solitary, acicular to cylindrical, hyaline, straight or curved, truncate and distinctly thickened at the base, obtuse at the apex, 10-85 $\times 3-4$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, indistinctly multi-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimen examined: Japan, Wakayama, on Helianthus tuberosus (Asteraceae), 30 Oct. 2007, C. Nakashima \& I. Araki, MUMH 10844, MUCC 716.

Notes: This species is distinguished from other taxa in that it has slightly protuberant apical loci that are at times formed on shoulders caused by geniculation. The width of its conidiogenous cells is somewhat narrower behind the fertile region, and has a truncate apex. Furthermore, its conidiophores are rarely branched. A possible name that could be applied is C. helianthicola, though the latter species was originally described from South America, and fresh collections would be required to confirm its phylogenetic position. The isolate used in the current study is distinct in the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. citrulina.

## Cercospora cf. ipomoeae

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata composed of few brown cells, or well-developed, up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, intraepidermal or substomatal. Conidiophores in loose fascicles (2-8), pale brown, paler towards apex, straight or geniculate at the apex, irregular in width, tip conically truncate, narrowed at the apex, 22.5-92.5 $\times$ $3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-4$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci thickened, darkened, apical, rarely lateral, rarely slightly protuberant, 2-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, slightly curved, obconically truncate or truncate, and thickened and darkened at the base, acute or obtuse at the apex, 50-135(-245) $\times 2.5-3(-7.5)$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, 3-19$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: Japan, Kagawa, on Ipomoea aquatica (Convolvulaceae), Aug. 2005, G. Kizaki, MUMH 11203, MUCC 442; South Korea, Chuncheon, on Ipomoea nil (= I. hederacea) (Convolvulaceae), 7 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21010, CBS 132652 = CPC 10833; Pocheon, on Persicaria thunbergii (Polygonaceae), 2 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21011, CBS 132639 = CPC 10102.

Notes: This species is supported in the TEF phylogeny but cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. M and C . rodmanii in the ACT phylogeny. Isolate MUCC 442 clusters separately from the other two isolates based on the CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. fagopyri. Sequences obtained from Cercospora isolates on Ipomoea spp. cluster in three different clades. Although the name C. ipomoeae is available for this clade, without sequence data from North America (and an appropriate epitype) this name cannot be applied with certainty, above all since isolates from Ipomoea cluster in different clades.

Cercospora kikuchii (T. Matsumoto \& Tomoy.) M.W. Gardner, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 36: 12. (1926) 1927.
Basionym: Cercosporina kikuchii T. Matsumoto \& Tomoy., Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 1: 10. 1925.

Specimens examined: Argentinia, on Glycine max (Fabaceae), CBS 132633 = CPC 16578. Japan, Kagoshima, on Glycine soja (Fabaceae), 1952, H. Kurata, MUCC $590=$ MAFF 305040; on G. soja, Jan. 1927, T. Matsumoto, CBS 128.27 = CPC 5068 (ex-type of C. kikuchii); on seed of G. soja, Jan. 1928, H.W. Wollenweber, CBS $135.28=$ CPC 5067.

Notes: The symptoms on seeds and pods of plants inoculated with an isolate of C. richardiicola (MUCC 132; Nakashima, unpubl. data) originating from Osteospermum (Asteraceae) in Japan were quite similar to those caused by $C$. kikuchii. Cultures of $C$. kikuchii associated with purple seed stain symptoms cluster apart. This indicates that purple seed stain and leaf blight of G. max is caused by at least two different species of Cercospora, and that the identification of these species should not be based on disease symptoms alone. In the TEF and HIS phylogeny, the four isolates could not be distinguished from isolates of Cercospora sp. O, P and Q, as well as C. cf. richardiicola and C. cf. sigesbeckiae. Although these isolates clustered separate in the ACT phylogeny, intermixed in the clade was isolate CPC 14680 (C. cf. richardiicola) and isolate CPC 18636 (Cercospora sp. O). Similarly, the isolates clustered separate in the CAL phylogeny but intermixed with the isolates of C. fagopyri. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. sigesbeckiae.

Cercospora lactucae-sativae Sawada, Rep. Gov. Agric. Res. Inst. Taiwan 35: 111. 1928.

三 Cercospora lactucae Welles, Phytopathology 13: 289. 1923, nom. illeg. (homonym), non Henn.
= Cercospora longispora Cugini ex Trav., Malpighia 17: 217, 1902, nom. illeg (homonym).

三 Cercospora longissima Trav., Malpighia 17: correzione (correction slip) to p. 217, 1903, nom. illeg. (homonym).
$\equiv$ Cercospora longissima Cugini ex Sacc., Syll. Fung. 18: 607. 1906, nom. illeg. (homonym).
= Cercospora lactucae J.A. Stev., J. Dept. Agric. Puerto Rico 1: 105. 1917, nom. illeg. (homonym).
= Cercospora ixeridis-chinensis Sawada, Rep. Gov. Agric. Res. Inst. Taiwan 86: 171. 1943, nom. inval.
= Cercospora lactucae-indicae Sawada, Rep. Gov. Agric. Res. Inst. Taiwan 86: 172. 1943, nom. inval.

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or composed from few brown cells, up to $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidiophores arising from internal hyphae or a few intraepidermal brown cells, brown to pale brown, solitary to loosely fasciculate (2-7), straight or mildly geniculate, moderately thick-walled, irregular in width, wider and conically truncate at the apex, constricted at proliferating point, $25-150 \times 3.5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-5$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially, uni-local or multi-local (1-2); loci distinctly thickened, 2.5-3.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, slightly protuberant, apical. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, or obclavate, obconically truncate and distinctly thickened at the base, subacute or obtuse, often swelling at the apex, 20-125 $\times 2-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 4-12-septate, thin-walled, smooth, rarely catenate.

Description of caespituli on V8 \& MEA; MUCC 570 and 571 (= MAFF 238209 and 237719): Conidiophores solitary to loosely fasciculate, pale brown to brown, irregular in width, wider at the apex, constricted at proliferating point, smooth, moderately thickwalled, sinuous-geniculate to geniculate, simple, conically truncate at the apex, 22.5-195 $\times 3-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous
cells integrated, terminal or intercalary, proliferating sympodially; loci moderately thickened, apical, 2.5-3.7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, cylindrical to cylindrical obclavate, filiform, acicular, hilum distinctly thickened and long obconically truncate at the base, obtuse to acute at the apex, 44.5-215.5 × 3-7 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 5-20$-septate.

Specimens examined: Japan, Chiba, on Lactuca sativa (Asteraceae), 12 Sep. 1997, S. Uematsu, MUCC 571 = MAFF 237719 = MUCNS 214; 18 Sep. 1998, C. Nakashima, MUMH 11401, MUCC $570=$ MAFF $238209=$ MUCN S463. South Korea, Chuncheon, on Ixeris chinensis subsp. strigosa (三 Ixeris strigosa) (Asteraceae), 11 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21012, CPC 10082; 7 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21013, CBS 132604 = CPC 10728. Taiwan, Taipei, on L. sativa, 9 Mar. 1924 \& 5 Apr. 1924, K. Sawada (TNS-F-220470).

Notes: This species is characterised in that conidiophores are wide and conically truncate at the apex, and constricted at the proliferating point. Furthermore, the conidia are not strictly acicular, but range from cylindrical-obclavate to acicular and they are rather broad, 3-7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. This species is phylogenetically well-supported based on ACT, CAL and HIS. The species cannot be distinguished from the single isolate of Cercospora sp. S in the TEF phylogeny, and these two species are also sister groups, but distinct, in the ACT phylogeny. The species is distinguished based on the CAL phylogeny, and split into two groups (MUCC 571 and 571 versus CPC 10082 and 10728) in the HIS phylogeny. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. helianthicola.

## Cercospora cf. malloti

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, intraepidermal and substomatal, up to $65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidiophores arising from internal hyphae or few brown cells, solitary or in loose fascicles (2-11), pale brown to brown, paler towards the apex, thick-walled, simple, rarely branched, straight or mildly geniculate, abruptly geniculate at the middle, or successively geniculate at the upper portion, irregular in width, narrowed at the apex, somewhat constricted at the part of proliferation, obconically truncate at the apex, 30-115(-250) $\times 2.5-$ $5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially or percurrently, multi-local; loci apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, distinctly thickened, refractive, darkened, flattened, rarely protuberant at the shoulder of successive geniculation, 1-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, thickened and truncate at slightly protuberant base, obtuse or swelling at the apex, 40-90(-250) $\times$ 1.5-5 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 6-11(-20)$-septate.

Description of caespituli on V8; MUCC 575 (= MAFF 237872): Conidiophores solitary, brown, paler at the apex, uniform in width, smooth, moderately thick-walled, simple, straight to mildly geniculate, short conically truncate at the tip, 100-465 $\times 1.25-3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially; loci thickened, flattened, apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, long cylindrical to filiform, slightly thickened and truncate at the base, obtuse at the apex, $30-430 \times$ 2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 3-19$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: Japan, Okinawa, on Mallotus japonicus (Euphorbiaceae), 19 Nov. 2007, C. Nakashima \& T. Akashi, MUMH 10837, MUCC 787; on Cucumis melo (Cucurbitaceae), 20 Jan. 1999, K. Uehara, MUCC 575 = MAFF 237872 = MUCNS 582 (as C. citrullina).

Notes: This species is supported by DNA sequence data of TEF, CAL and HIS. In the ACT phylogeny, the isolates from this species
are intermixed with some isolates of C. cf. richardiicola (MUCC 128, 132 and 578) and Cercospora sp. P (isolate MUCC 771). In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. P. The isolates originated from different host plants, but have identical conidiophores, which are thick-walled and with distinct loci at the apex. However, other characters, which include the pattern of geniculation and size of caespituli, are very different. More detailed studies are required to describe the morphological characters of this species. Cercospora malloti was originally described from Mallotus (Euphorbiaceae) collected in the USA, and fresh material needs to be recollected. The present application of this name for Japanese collections is thus only tentative.

Cercospora mercurialis Pass., in Thüm., Mycoth. Univ., No. 783. 1877.
= Cercospora fruticola Sacc., Fungi Ital., Tab. 674. 1892.
= Cercospora mercurialis var. annuae Fautrey, in Roumeguere et al., Rev. Mycol. 15: 16. 1893.
= Cercospora mercurialis var. Iatvici Lepik, Tartu Ülik. Juures Oleva Loodusuur. Seltsi Arunded 39: 152. 1933.
= Cercospora mercurialis var. multisepta Săvul. \& Sandu, Hedwigia 75: 225. 1936.

Specimens examined: Italy, Parma, on Mercurialis annua (Euphorbiaceae), 1874, Passerini, Thüm., Mycoth. Univ. 783, isotypes HBG, HAL. Romania, Distr. Prahova, Cheia, on Mercurialis perennis (Euphorbiaceae), 31 Jul. 1969, O. Constantinescu, epitype designated here CBS H-9850, culture ex-epitype CBS 550.71; on $M$. annua, 28 Jun. 1967, O. Constantinescu, CBS 549.71; Constanta, Hagieni, on Mercurialis ovata (Euphorbiaceae), 14 Jul. 1970, O. Constantinescu \& G. Negrean, CBS H-9848, BUCM 2012, CBS 551.71.

Notes: Cercospora mercurialis is supported by TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS and can therefore be treated as an individual species. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. pileicola.

## Cercospora cf. modiolae

Specimen examined: New Zealand, leaf spot on Modiola caroliniana (Malvaceae), 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 535, CPC 5115.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically supported by TEF and ACT, but in the CAL and HIS phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. E. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. E. Cercospora modiolae was described from North America and without sequences based on North American collections, this name can only tentatively be applied to the material from New Zealand.

## Cercospora cf. nicotianae

Cultures examined: Indonesia, Medan, leaf spot on Nicotiana tabacum (Solanaceae), Jan. 1932, H. Diddens \& A. Jaarsveld, CBS 131.32 = CPC 5076. Mexico, southern region of Tamaulipas, on Glycine max, 17 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS $132632=\mathrm{CPC}$ 15918. Nigeria, from a leaf spot on $N$. tabacum, Jul. 1969, S.O. Alasoadura, CBS $570.69=$ CPC 5075.

Notes: See C. capsici. The name C. cf. nicotianae, described from the USA, can only tentatively be applied here. North American cultures and sequence data are needed for comparison and confirmation. Phylogenetically, C. cf. nicotianae is supported by CAL and partly HIS (CPC 5075 and 5076 were separated from CPC 15918). In the TEF phylogeny, the three isolates clustered in a distinct clade with a single isolate from C. cf. flagellaris (CPC 5441) but formed three distinct lineages in the ACT phylogeny. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. brunkii. Notes in the CBS database report that


Fig. 9. Cercospora pileicola (CBS $132607=$ CPC 10749). A. Leaf spots. B-E. Weakly developed, fasciculate conidiophores. F-I. Conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
isolate CBS 131.32 was pathogenic when inoculated onto Nicotiana leaves. The isolation of $C$. cf. nicotianae from G. max requires some additional explanation. Leaf spots typical of Corynespora cassicola were observed, and once incubated in damp chambers, a Cercospora sp. was found sporulating on the healthy tissue, which was identified here as C. cf. nicotianae.

Cercospora olivascens Sacc., Michelia 1: 268. 1879.

Specimens examined: Italy, Selva, on Aristolochia clematidis (Aristolochiaceae), Aug. 1877, isotype distributed as Mycoth Veneta 1251, HAL. Romania, Cazanele Dunarii, on A. clematidis, 19 Oct. 1966, O. Constantinescu, epitype designated here CBS H-21014, culture ex-type CBS 253.67= IMI $124975=$ CPC 5085.

Notes: This species is supported by TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. F.

## Cercospora cf. physalidis

Specimen examined: Peru, on Solanum tuberosum (Solanaceae), L.J. Turkensteen, CBS 765.79.

Notes: This species is supported by CAL and HIS. It cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. I and C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola based on the TEF and ACT phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. G. According to Braun \& Melnik (1997), C. physalidis and
numerous Cercospora spp. of $C$. apii s. lat. on various hosts of the Solanaceae are morphologically indistinguishable from the latter species. Fresh material on Solanum from North America is required to resolve this issue.

Cercospora pileicola C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, sp. nov. MycoBank MB800656. Fig. 9 .

Etymology: Named after the host genus from which it was collected, Pilea.

Leaf spots circular, 1-2 mm diam, center greyish to pallid, surrounded by purplish brown border lines. Caespituli hypogenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, to $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, substomatal. Conidiophores straight to curved, pale brown to dark brown, paler towards the apex, solitary or in loose fascicles (2-5), sometimes mildly geniculate, simple, thick-walled, uniform in width, rarely narrowed after the geniculation, conically truncate at the apex, $30-110 \times 3-8.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, often swelling at the base, to $9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $1-3$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, proliferating sympodially; loci distinct, slightly protuberant, apical and formed on shoulder caused by geniculation, lateral, multi-local (1-2), $2.5-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidia hyaline, cylindrical, acicular to obclavate, straight or curved, truncate or long obconically truncate, and slightly thickened at the base, acute to obtuse at the apex, 28-175 $\times 4-7$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, 0-12$-septate.

Culture characteristics: Colonies erumpent, spreading, with moderate, fluffy aerial mycelium and lobate, even margins, reaching 25 mm diam after 1 wk at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. On MEA surface dirty white, reverse cream; red pigment absent. On PDA surface dirty white, reverse scarlet, with diffuse red pigment in agar. On OA surface scarlet in middle (due to collapsed aerial mycelium), white in outer region (due to aerial mycelium), with diffuse red pigment surrounding colony.

Specimens examined: South Korea, Dongducheon, on Pilea pumila (= P. mongolica) (Urticaceae), 28 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, holotype CBS H-21015, culture ex-type CBS 132607 = CPC 10749; Hoengseong, on Pilea hamaoi ( $\equiv$ P. pumila var. hamaoi) (Urticaceae), 10 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21016, CBS $132647=$ CPC 10693; Hongcheon, on Pilea pumila (= P. mongolica), 29 Jul. 2004, H.D. Shin, CPC 11369.

Notes: Cercosporapileicolais characterised by having conidiophores that are thick-walled, almost uniform in width, conically truncate at the apex, and often swelling at the base; sporulation is restricted at the terminal part of conidiophores, and conidia are cylindrical, acicular to obclavate with long obconically truncate basal ends and rather broad, $4-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Moreover, this species is phylogenetically supported by the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. mercurialis. Cercospora ganjetica (Purkayastha \& Mallik 1978), described from India on Urtica urens (Urticaceae), seems to be morphologically similar to C. pileicola, above all due to relatively broad conidia, but the conidia are strictly cylindrical to obclavate with obconically truncate base, i.e. acicular conidia with truncate base are not formed. Length and width of conidiophores agree with those of $C$. pileicola, but they are pluriseptate (3-6). The affinity of $C$. ganjetica is quite unclear. Cercospora pileae (Chupp 1954) was described from China on "Pilea sp." with conidia being olivaceous. This species is not included in the Chinese monograph of Cercospora species (Guo \& Liu 2005), but Liu \& Guo (1998) reduced this name to synonym with Pseudocercospora profusa, suggesting that the type host was misidentified, which was confirmed by Y.L. Guo (Beiijing, in litt.). The type of $C$. pileae is not Pilea sp. but Acalypha australis (Euphorbiaceae). Chinese collections of Cercospora on various hosts of the Urticaceae, including Pilea spp., have been assigned to Cercospora krugeriana (= nom. inval.), which is a quite distinct C. apii-like species with narrower (2.5-5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), pluriseptate, acicular conidia, up to $214 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (Hsieh \& Goh 1990, Guo \& Liu 2005). In addition, the conidiophores are distinctly plurigeniculate. It is possible that the latter collections belong to the C. cf. sigesbeckiae clade as circumscribed in this study.

Cercospora polygonacea Ellis \& Everh., J. Mycol. 1: 24. 1885.
= Cercospora avicularis var. sagittati G.F. Atk., J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 8: 48. 1892.
= Cercospora polygoni-caespitosi Sawada, Formosan Agric. Rev. 38: 700. 1942, nom. inval.
= Cercospora polygoni-blumei Sawada, nom. nud.
Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, up to $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, pale olivaceous-brown, intraepiderimal, substomatal. Conidiophores successively geniculate at the upper portion, pale brown, paler towards the apex, solitary or in loose fascicles (2-5), simple, thick-walled, irregular in width, narrowed after the geniculation, conically truncated at the apex, 21-100 $\times$ $5-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-3$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local (1-6); loci distinct, protuberant, apical and formed on shoulder caused by geniculation,
lateral, 2.5-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, acicular to obclavate, straight or slightly curved, truncate or obconically truncate, and thickened at the base, obtuse or acute at the apex, $60-110 \times 3.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4-9$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimen examined: South Korea, Cheongju, on Persicaria longiseta (三 P. blumei) (Polygonaceae), 4 Jun. 2004, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21017, CBS 132614 = CPC 11318.

Notes: Morphologically the Korean specimen is similar to $C$. polygonaeae, which Chupp (1954) also reported from Asia (Japan). Material from the USA on Polygonum (Polygonaceae) is required to resolve whether this taxon is the same or phylogenetically distinct. The species is phylogenetically distinct from the other species included in this study based on the TEF and ACT phylogenies, but indistinguishable from C. achyranthis on the HIS phylogeny and from C. achyranthis, C. sojina and C. campi-silii based on the CAL phylogeny. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to $C$. achyranthis.

Cercospora punctiformis Sacc. \& Roum., Rev. Mycol. 3: 29. 1881.
= Fusicladium cynanchi Reichert, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 56: 720. 1921.
= Cercospora punctiformis f. catalaunica Gonz. Frag., Mem. Real Acad. Ci. Exact. Madrid, Ser. 2, 6: 250-252. 1927.
= Cercospora cynanchi Lobik, Mat. FI. Faun. Obsl. Tersk. Okr., Pjatigorsk: 53. 1928.

Leaf spots scattered to confluent, at first appearing as purplish spots, later greyish brown with purplish border lines, mostly veinlimited, but rather circular to irregular in case of humid and hot weather (esp. in rainy summer), mostly less than 7 mm diam. Caespituli amphigenous, but abundantly hypophyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata well-developed, up to $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, substomatal and intraepidermal, brown to dark brown. Conidiophores in fascicles (5-30), loose to moderately divergent, olivaceous-brown, fairly uniform in colour, but paler towards the apex in longer ones, simple, conically truncate at the apex, geniculate (0-4), 20-60(150) $\times 4-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-3$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, proliferating sympodially, terminal and intercalary; loci distinctly thickened, protuberant, apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, 3-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, variable in shape and length, obclavato-cylindrical or elliptical, obconically truncate and thickened at the base, obtuse to subacute at the apex, 25-100(-175) × 4-6.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 0-8(-12)$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimen examined: South Korea, Bonghwa, on Cynanchum wilfordii (Asclepiadaceae), 18 Oct. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21018, CBS 132626 = CPC 14606.

Notes: The Korean sample on Cy. wilfordi is morphologically close to Cercospora punctiformis, but the latter species was described from North Africa. Hence, sequence data based on North African material are needed to confirm the conspecificity of Korean collections. The ACT and HIS phylogenies separate C. punctiformis from the other species included in this study; in the TEF and CAL phylogenies the isolate occurs on a longer branch in a clade consisting of $C$. sojina and $C$. achyranthis. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. euphorbiae-sieboldianae.

## Cercospora cf. resedae

Specimens examined: New Zealand, Auckland, C.F. Hill, on Reseda odorata (Resedaceae), specimen in HAL, CBS 118793 (as C. resedae). Romania, Bucuresti,
on Helianthemum sp. (Cistaceae), 15 Sep. 1966, O. Constantinescu, CBS $257.67=$ CPC 5057 (as C. cistinearum).

Notes: Both the names C. resedae and C. cistinearum are available for this clade. We give preference to $C$. resedae, which is the older name. However, the application of this name is very uncertain and only tentative. Fresh European collections from Reseda (Resedaceae) are needed to designate an epitype and fix the application of the name. The TEF and ACT phylogenies could not distinguish these two isolates from C. apii and C. beticola, and the CAL phylogeny could not distinguish it from C. apii. The HIS phylogeny places the two isolates in the deviating $C$. beticola Clade 1. A combination of these phylogenetic positions explains the basal position of the species to the $C$. apii and $C$. beticola clades in the combined phylogeny (Fig. 2 part 5).

## Cercospora cf. richardiicola

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata intraepidermal or substomatal, lacking to well-developed, up to 55 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, pale brown to brown. Conidiophores solitary or in loose fascicles (2-15), simple, rarely branched, pale brown to reddish brown, paler towards the apex, moderately thick-walled, irregular in width, sometimes swelling at the shoulders caused by geniculation, truncate or short obconically truncate at the apex, straight to mildly geniculate, often narrowed with successive geniculation at the apex, sometimes swelling at the base to twice the width, $30-260(-360) \times 2-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate ( $2-11$ ). Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially, or rarely percurrently; loci apical or formed on shoulders caused by geniculation, lateral, circumspersed, distinctly thickened and darkened, often slightly protuberant, 1.5-3.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, rarely catenate, filiform, cylindrical to acicular, hyaline, thickened and truncate or rarely short obconically truncate at the base, rounded or acute at the apex, straight or slightly curved, $25-300 \times 2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-20$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Description of caespituli on V8; (MUCC 128, 132, 138, 582): Caespituli dimorphic in culture; one type is small and commonly observed, while the other is large and rarely observed (C. apii s. lat. type; described in parenthesis). Conidiophores solitary to loosely fasciculate, arising from hyphae, subhyaline to pale brown, irregular in width, smooth, meager and thin-walled, sinuousgeniculate to geniculate (straight to geniculate), sometimes branched (unbranched), truncate or conically truncate at the tip (truncate at the tip), 6.5-60(-520) $\times 2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal or intercalary, proliferating sympodially, 1-5 multi-local (uni-local); loci moderately thickened, apical and lateral, circumspersed at the apex of conidiogenous cells, protuberant (not protuberant), 1.25-2(-4.5) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, filiform to acicular, slightly thickened and obconical truncate (truncate) at the base, acute at the apex, 27.5-277.5 $\times$ 2-3.5(-6.5) $\mu \mathrm{m}, 3-21$-septate.

Specimens examined: Japan, Chiba, on Zantedeschia sp. (Araceae), S. Uematsu \& C. Nakashima, MUMH 11403, MUCC 578 = MAFF 238210; Ehime, on Tagetes erecta (Asteraceae), 27 Oct. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11392, MUCC 128; Shizuoka, on Fuchsia xhybrida (Onagraceae), 22 Jun. 2006, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11396, MUCC 138; on Osteospermum sp. (Asteraceae), 11 Sep. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11395, MUCC 132; Tokyo, on Gerbera hybrida (Asteraceae), J. Takeuchi, MUCC $582=$ MAFF 238880.

Notes: The name Cercospora cf. richardiicola can be applied to this clade only tentatively. The latter species was described from the

USA. Hence, sequences obtained from North American collections are necessary to confirm the identity with true C. richardiicola. All clades within this complex (C. cf. richardiicola, C. kikuchii, C. cf. sigesbeckiae) are poorly resolved on TEF, ACT, CAL, and HIS regions. The TEF and HIS phylogenies could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. M-Q, C. kikuchii and C. cf. sigesbeckiae. The ACT phylogeny split it into three clades, namely isolates MUCC 128, 132 and 578 intermixed with C. malloti and Cercospora sp. P, isolates MUCC 138 and 582 sister to Cercospora sp. N and isolate CPC 14680 intermixed with C. kikuchii and Cercospora sp. O. The CAL phylogeny could not distinguish the isolates from C. rodmanii, C. cf. sigesbeckiae and Cercospora sp. N. Currently this complex is split into three sister clades (Fig. 2 part 4), which could be due to a common ancestor, and an ongoing process of speciation.

Cercospora richardiicola is characterised in that conidiophores are sometimes swelling at the shoulders caused by geniculation, truncate or short obconically truncate at the apex, often narrowed (not attenuated) successive geniculation at the apex, and sometimes swelling at the base up to twice its median width; and loci on conidiogenous cells are circumspersed and distinctly thickened. These characteristics were sometimes difficult to find on the host plant due to the difference of maturity of the fungus. However, the morphological characteristics of this species on V8 medium were well preserved regardless of differences of host and maturity.

Isolates of $C$. richardiicola have a tendency to infect a wide host range. Isolates are frequently found together with other Cercospora spp. on the same leaf spots, which make identification problematic.

Cercospora ricinella Sacc. \& Berl., Atti Reale Ist. Ven. Sci. Lett. Art, Ser. 3: 721. 1885.
$\equiv$ Cercosporina ricinella (Sacc. \& Berl.) Speg., Anales Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Buenos Aires 20: 429. 1910.
= Cercospora albido-maculans G. Winter, Hedwigia 24: 202, 1885 (also in J. Mycol. 1: 124. 1885).
= Cercospora ricini Speg. Anales Mus. Nac. Hist. Nat. Buenos Aires Ser. 2. 3: 343. 1899.

Leaf spots circular to angular, $1-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam, first appearing as brown spots, later centre becoming greyish white with reddish brown border lines. Caespituli amphigenous, mainly hypophyllous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, pale brown to brown, substomatal or intraepidermal, 14-50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Conidiophores pale brown, paler towards apex, sinuous-geniculate to geniculate above the middle, in loose fascicles (2-14), slightly divergent, irregular in width, slightly attenuated at the apex, conical at the tip, sometimes constricted at proliferating point, 35-140 $\times 4.5-5.5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, 2-4$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal and intercalary, proliferating sympodially; multi-local at the apex, loci distinct, slightly protuberant, mainly apical, lateral, $2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, rarely catenate, hyaline, cylindrical to cylindroobclavate, acicular, obconically truncate or truncate and distinctly thickened at the base, acute to subacute at the apex, 20-130 $\times$ $2.5-5.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-8$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: South Korea, Chuncheon, on Ricinus communis (Euphorbiaceae), 11 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CPC 10104; 7 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132605 = CPC 10734; CPC 10735-10736.

Notes: This species is characterised in that the conidiophores are slightly attenuated at the apex, sinuous-geniculate to geniculate above the middle, and the conidia are rarely catenate. It is supported by ACT, CAL and HIS. In the TEF phylogeny it could not be
distinguished from C. delaireae, C. cf. chenopodii and Cercospora sp. K. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to $C$. delaireae. Epitype material should be collected in Australia, where this species was described from.

## Cercospora rodmanii Conway, Canad. J. Bot. 54: 1082. 1976.

Specimens examined: Brazil, Oroco, on Eichhornia crassipes (Pontederiaceae), R. Charudattan, CBS 113126 = RC3409; Rio Verde, on E. crassipes, R. Charudattan, CBS 113123 = RC3660. Mexico, Carretero, on E. crassipes, R. Charudattan, CBS 113124 = RC2867. USA, Florida, on E. crassipes, R. Charudattan, CBS 113128 = RC394; CBS $113130=$ RC393; K. Conway, CBS $113129=$ RC397. Venezuela, Maracay, on E. crassipes, R. Charudattan, CBS $113131=$ RC395. Zambia, on $E$. crassipes, M. Morris, CBS $113125=$ RC4101.

Notes: Cercospora rodmanii is supported in the TEF phylogeny. In the ACT phylogeny, the clade includes on longer branches also C. cf. ipomoeae and Cercospora sp. M. and in the CAL phylogeny it was intermixed with isolates of $C$. cf. richardiicola, C. cf. sigesbeckiae and Cercospora sp. N. In the HIS phylogeny, it could not be distinguished from Cercospora spp. N-Q. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. N. Tessmann et al. (2001) considered C. rodmanii to be a synonym of $C$. piaropi whereas Crous \& Braun (2003) retained C. rodmanii as a separate species. From the results of the present study, we prefer to retain these as two separate species as reported previously (Groenewald et al. 2010a, Montenegro-Calderón et al. 2011). The isolate originally included as C. piaropi in this study (CBS 113127) is treated in the present study under C. cf. flagellaris; this isolate is also the same isolate used by Tessmann et al. (2001). MontenegroCalderón et al. (2011) confirmed the identity of their isolates with the same genes included here, as well as beta-tubulin, and demonstrated that their isolates of $C$. rodmanii were able to also infect other important crops such as beet and sugar beet whereas C. piaropi (treated under C. cf. flagellaris in this study) isolate CBS 113127 and C. rodmanii isolate CBS 113129 were specific to water hyacinth.

## Cercospora rumicis Pavgi \& U.P. Singh, Mycopathol. Mycol.

 Appl. 23: 191. 1964.= Cercospora rumicis Ellis \& Langl. ex Chupp, A monograph of the fungus genus Cercospora: 453. 1954, nom. inval.

Specimen examined: New Zealand, Manurewa, on Rumex sanguineus (Polygonaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 671, CPC 5439.

Notes: Cercospora rumicis was treated as part of the larger C. apii s. lat. complex by Crous \& Braun (2003). Although it clusters basal to the $C$. zebrina clade, we suspect that it may represent a distinct taxon. Fresh collections are required from India to fix the application of this name. In the TEF phylogeny, it is not distinguished from $C$. zebrina and $C$. armoraciae, and likewise not from $C$. armoraciae on the ACT phylogeny. In the CAL phylogeny, it is not distinguished from C. zebrina and C. althaeina. It is distinct from all species included in this study based on the HIS phylogeny. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is basal to the lineage containing Cercospora sp. L, C. althaeina, C. zebrina and C. violae.

[^1]Specimen examined: Laos, on Senecio walkeri (Asteraceae), 20 Feb. 2010, P. Phengsintham, LC 0396, NUOL P567, CBS 132636 = CPC 19196.

Notes: Several Cercospora species have been described from Senecio (Asteraceae), but all of them are quite distinct from the species on S. walkeri. Cercospora senecionis was reduced to synonym with C. jacquiniana by Chupp (1954). Based on a reexamination of type material, Braun (in Braun \& Mel'nik 1997) showed that $C$. senecionis represents a quite distinct true species of Cercospora with acicular conidia, similar to those of $C$. apii s. lat., but $80-200 \times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in size. Cercospora jaquiniana is similar to C. senecionis-walkeri (Pheng et al. 2012) with regard to its conidial shape, but has much shorter conidiophores and shorter conidia, usually only $1-3$-sepate, which are hyaline, subhyaline to faintly pigmented. Thus, this species was reallocated to Passalora by Braun (in Braun \& Mel'nik 1997). The Indian taxon C. senecionisgrahamii is close to $C$. senecionis, but differs in having acicular to obclavate conidia, only $3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. The North American C. senecionicola is also quite distinct from $C$. senecionis-walkeri by its very narrow acicular-subcylindrical conidia, only 2-3.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide (Chupp 1954). The South American Passalora senecionicola (Braun et al. 2006) on Senecio bonariensis (Asteraceae) in Argentina is morphologically very close to $C$. senecionis-walkeri but characterised by having quite distinct lesions, larger stromata, up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam and short conidia that are cylindrical. Passalora senecionicola was assigned to Passalora due to subhyaline to pale olivaceous conidia, but it is possible that this species rather belongs in Cercospora which may be suggested by the phylogenetic position of $C$. senecionis-walkeri, which clusters within the Cercospora clade, although the conidia range from being almost hyaline to somewhat pigmented. Cercospora senecionis-walkeri is distinct from all other species included in this study based on the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is basal to the other Cercospora spp.

## Cercospora cf. sigesbeckiae

Morphologically similar to taxa in the C. apii s. lat. complex.
Specimens examined: Japan, Chiba, on Begonia sp. (Begoniaceae), 24 Jun. 1997, S. Uematsu, MUMH 11405, MUCC 587 = MAFF 237690 = MUCNS 197; Fukuoka, on Sigesbeckia glabescens (Asteraceae), 31 Oct. 1948, S. Katsuki, holotype in TNS; Saitama, on Glycine max, 1949, H. Kurata, MUCC 589 = MAFF 305039 (as C. kikuchii); Tokyo, on Dioscorea tokoro (Dioscoreaceae), 10 Nov. 2007, I. Araki, MUMH 10951, MUCC 849. South Korea, Chuncheon, on S. glabrescens, 7 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21019, CBS 132601 = CPC 10664 (as C. sigesbeckiae); on Persicaria orientalis ( $=$ P. cochinchinensis) (Polygonaceae), 11 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS 132641 = CPC 10117 (as C. polygonacea); Hongcheon, on Pilea pumila (= P. mongolica), 3 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS 132642 = CPC 10128 (as C. ganjetica); Namyangju, on Paulownia coreana (Scrophulariaceae), 22 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21020 = HAL 1863, CBS $132606=$ CPC 10740; Yanggu, on Sigesbeckia pubescens, 28 Sep. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS 132621 = CPC 14489 (as C. sigesbeckiae); on Malva verticillata (Malvaceae), H.D. Shin, CBS H-21021, CBS $132675=$ CPC 14726 (as C. malvacearum).

Notes: See Cercospora cf. richardiicola. The application of the name C. cf. sigesbeckiae (based on type material from Japan), to this clade can only be tentative. Japanese cultures and sequences are needed to confirm its identity. In the TEF and CAL phylogenies, isolates are intermixed with those of Cercospora spp. M-Q, C. kikuchii and C. cf. richardiicola; in the ACT phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from C . fagopyri. In the HIS phylogeny the isolates form a clade on a longer branch in a clade containing C. kikuchii and some isolates of $C$. cf. richardiicola. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. kikuchii and C. cf. richardiicola.

## Cercospora sojina Hara，Nogyokoku（Tokyo）9：28． 1915.

三 Cercosporina sojina（Hara）Hara，Jitsuyo－sakumotsu－byorigaku： 112 1925.

三 Cercosporidium sojinum（Hara）X．J．Liu \＆Y．L．Guo，Acta Mycol．Sinica 1：100． 1982.
三 Passalora sojina（Hara）Poonam Srivast．，J．Living World 1：118． 1994 comb．inval．
三 Passalora sojina（Hara）H．D．Shin \＆U．Braun，Mycotaxon 58：63． 1996三 Passalora sojina（Hara）U．Braun，Trudy Bot．Inst．im．V．L．Komarova 20： 93．1997，comb．superfl．
＝Cercospora daizu Miura，Manchurian R．R．Agric．Exp．Stat．Bull．11： 25 1920.

Caespituli amphigenous．Mycelium internal．Stromata small，up to $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam，intraepidermal and substomatal，brown．Conidiophores solitary or in loose fascicles（2－5），brown，paler towards the apex， simple，rarely branched，irregular in width，constricted at the parts of proliferation，conically truncate at the apex，straight to geniculate， $55-200 \times 4.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2-4$－septate．Conidiogenous cells integrated， proliferating sympodially，terminal and intercalary，uni－or multi－local （1－2）；loci distinctly thickened，protuberant，apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation，2－4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam．Conidia solitary， hyaline，cylindrical to obclavate，fusiform，obovoid，obconically truncate and thickened at the base，obtuse at the apex，25－70 x $5.5-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-5$－septate，thin－walled，smooth．

Specimens examined：Argentina，on Glycine max（Fabaceae），2009，F．Scandiani， CPC 17964 ＝CBS 132684 ＝CPC 17971 ＝＂CCC 173－09，09－495＂；＂CCC 155－09 09－285－5＂；CPC 17965 ＝＂CCC 156－09，09－285－4＂；CPC 17966 ＝＂CCC 157－09， 09－285－3＂；CPC 17967 ＝＂CCC 158－09，09－285－1＂；CPC 17968 ＝＂CCC 159－09 09－285－7＂；CPC 17969 ＝＂CCC 167－09，09－881＂；CPC 17970 ＝＂CCC 172－09，09 320＂；CPC 17972 ＝CCC 174－09；CPC 17973 ＝＂CCC 176－09，09－882＂；CPC 17974 ＝＂CCC 177－09，09－2488－1＂；CPC 17975 ＝＂CCC 178－09，09－1438－2＂；CPC 17976 ＝＂CCC 179－09，09－2591＂；CPC $17977=$＂CCC 180－09，09－2520＂．South Korea Hoengseong，on G．soja， 4 Sep．2005，H．D．Shin，CBS 132018 ＝CPC 12322； Hongcheon，on G．soja， 20 Jul．2004，H．D．Shin，neotype designated here CBS H－21022，culture ex－type CBS 132615 ＝CPC 11353；CPC 11354；CPC 11420－ 11423.

Notes：Type material of this species（Japan，Tokyo，on G．max， 1909，K．Hara）was not located and is probably lost．Cercospora sojina was transferred to the genus Passalora based on its distinctly thickened loci，and cylindrical and relatively wide conidia（Shin \＆Braun 1996）．However，the hyaline conidia of this species are indicative of the fact that it is best retained in Cercospora（Crous \＆ Braun 2003），which is fully supported by its position in phylogenetic trees among other Cercospora species．The species is supported as distinct based on the ACT and HIS phylogenies；in the TEF and CAL phylogenies the isolates of $C$ ．achyranthis and C．campi－silii are intermixed with the C．sojina isolates．In the combined tree（Fig． 2 part 2），it is a sister taxon to C．campi－silii．

## Cercospora sp．A

Culture sequenced：Mexico，on Chenopodiumsp．（Amaranthaceae）， M．de Jesus Yanez，CBS 132631 ＝CPC 15872.

Notes：This isolate is phylogenetically distinct（Fig． 2 part 1）from the other species included in this study．Unfortunately，the specimen and specimen details were not available for study．

## Cercospora sp．B

Caespituli amphigenous．Mycelium internal．Stromata lacking to developed，up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ，intraepidemal，substomatal，brown． Conidiophores straight or geniculate，solitary to 2－21 in dense
fascicle，0－5－septate， $20-75 \times 4.5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ，almost uniform in width， constricted at shoulder，conically truncate or truncate at the tip． Conidiogenous cells integrated，terminal，intercalary，proliferating sympodially，multilocal；loci thickened，apical，rarely lateral，2－2．5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam，slightly protuberant．Conidia solitary，hyaline，cylindro－ obclavate to acicular，obconically truncate at thickened base，tip obtuse，45－135 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4-9$－septate，thin－walled，smooth．

Specimen examined：South Korea，Kangnung，on Ipomoea purpurea （Convolvulaceae）， 10 Sep．2003，H．D．Shin，CBS $132602=$ CPC 10687 （as C． ipomoeae）；CPC 10688－10689（as C．ipomoeae）．

Notes：This isolate was obtained from Ipomoea in Korea，but differs in its phylogeny to other isolates of $C$ ．cf．ipomoeae．It has a unique position in the ACT，CAL and HIS phylogenies and is intermixed with C．delaireae and Cercospora sp．K based on the TEF phylogeny．In the combined tree（Fig． 2 part 1），it is a basal taxon to C．agavicola． Several species of Cercospora have thus far been described from Ipomoea，and more collections would be required to resolve the status of this collection．

## Cercospora sp．C

Culture sequenced：Mexico，M．de Jesus Yanez，CBS $132629=$ CPC 15841.

Notes：This isolate is phylogenetically distinct（Fig． 2 part 1）from the other species included in this study．Unfortunately，the specimen and specimen details were not available for study．

## Cercospora sp．D

Culture sequenced：Mexico，M．de Jesus Yanez，CBS $132630=$ CPC 15856.

Notes：This isolate is phylogenetically distinct（Fig． 2 part 1）from the other species included in this study．Unfortunately，the specimen and specimen details were not available for study．

## Cercospora sp．E

Cultures sequenced：Mexico，M．de Jesus Yanez，CBS 132628 ＝ CPC 15632，CPC 15801.

Notes：These isolates are phylogenetically distinct（Fig． 2 part 1） from the other species included in this study．Unfortunately，the specimen（s）and specimen details were not available for study．

## Cercospora sp．F

Specimen examined：South Africa，on Zea mays（Poaceae），P．Caldwell，CBS $132618=$ CPC 12062.

Notes：This isolate，which is supported by the CAL phylogeny， must be treated as an independent species．In the TEF and HIS phylogenies it is present on a longer branch in a clade consisting of isolates of Cercospora spp．G－I，C．alchemillicola ／C．cf．alchemillicola，C．cf．physalidis and C．celosiae．In the ACT phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp． Q．In the combined tree（Fig． 2 part 1），it is a sister taxon to C．cf．physalidis．

## Cercospora sp. G

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata small to well-developed, up to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, intraepidermal and substomatal. Conidiophores straight or sinuously geniculate, loosely fasciculate (3-10), pale brown to brown, paler towards the apex, moderately thick-walled, simple, irregular in width, attenuated at the apex, irregularly constricted following the proliferation, 30$50 \times 3.5-4.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-2$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially, multi-local; loci thickened, darkened, apical or formed on the shoulders caused by geniculation, lateral, sometimes circumspersed, 1.25-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical to obclavate, often acicular, straight or slightly curved, truncate or subtruncate at the thickened base, obtuse or subacute at the apex, 15-165 $\times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, 1-12-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimen examined: New Zealand, Manurewa, on Salvia viscosa (Lamiaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 626, CPC 5438 (as C. salviicola); Kopuku, on Bidens frondosa (Asteraceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 559, CBS $115518=$ CPC 5360.

Notes: This species is thus far only known from New Zealand. It is distinct from the other included species based on its position in the HIS phylogeny; in the TEF and ACT phylogenies it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora spp. F, H and I as well as C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola, C. cf. physalidis and C. celosiae. In the CAL phylogeny it forms a distinct clade that cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. H. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. H.

## Cercospora sp. H

Specimens examined: Argentina, on Chamelaucium uncinatum (Myrtaceae), S. Wolcan, CPC 11620 = 1CRI. New Zealand, on Dichondra repens (Convolvulaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 536, CBS $115205=$ CPC 5116.

Notes: This species is distinct from the other included species based on its position in the HIS phylogeny; in the TEF and ACT phylogenies it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora spp. F, G and I as well as C . alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola, C. cf. physalidis and C. celosiae. In the CAL phylogeny it forms a distinct clade that cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. G. Whether Cercospora spp. G and H could be conspecific awaits collection of more isolates. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. celosiae and Cercospora sp. I.

## Cercospora sp. I

? Cercospora deutziae Ellis \& Everh., J. Mycol. 4: 5. 1888.
? Cercospora guatemalensis A.S. Mull. \& Chupp, Ceiba 1: 173. 1950.

Specimens examined: South Korea, Suwon, on Ajuga multiflora (Lamiaceae), 22 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CBS 132643 = CPC 10138 (as C. guatemalensis). New Zealand, Manurewa, on Coreopsis verticillata (Asteraceae), 2 Jun. 2003, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 866A, CBS 132597 = CPC 10615; Lynfield 866B, CPC 10616; on Deutzia crenata (Hydrangeaceae), 5 May 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 610, CBS 114818 = CPC 5362 (named as C. deutziae); on Deutzia purpurascens (Hydrangeaceae), 5 May 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 607, CBS $114815=$ CPC 5364 (named as C. deutziae); on Deutzia $\times$ rosea (= D. gracilis $\times$ purpurascens) (Hydrangeaceae), Apr. 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 599, CBS $114816=$ CPC 5363 (named as C. deutziae); on Fuchsia procumbens (Onagraceae), 5 May 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 613, CBS $114817=$ CPC 5365 (named as C. fuchsia); on Nicotiana sp. (Solanaceae), 8 Jun. 2002, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 667, CPC 5440; Mt Albert, on Gunnera tinctoria (Gunneraceae), 29 Feb. 2004, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 997, CBS 115121; Whangarei, on Archontophoenix cunninghamiana (Arecaceae), 10 Feb. 2004, C.F. Hill, CBS 115117.

Notes: This clade is quite distinct based on the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), and mainly consists of isolates from various host plants in New Zealand. In the TEF and ACT phylogenies it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora spp. $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}$ and H as well as C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola, C. cf. physalidis and C. celosiae. In the CAL phylogeny it forms a distinct clade that cannot be distinguished from the single isolate of $C$. celosiae. In the HIS phylogeny it cannot be distinguished from Cercospora sp. F, C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola and C. celosiae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a sister taxon to C. celosiae and Cercospora sp. H. Most of the Cercospora sp. I isolates from New Zealand would be given a species epithet based on each host plant, if these were classified with a conventional species concept. From the results of the phylogenetic tree, these isolates are recognised as belonging to a single species with a wide host range. Braun \& Hill (2004) examined the collections on Co. verticillata, D. crenata, D. purpurascens, D. × rosea, F. procumbens, Nicotiana sp., and Braun et al. (2006) studied the samples on A. cunninghamiana and G. tinctoria. They referred all of them to C. api s. lat. as circumscribed in Crous \& Braun (2003) as they are characterised by having hyaline acicular conidia formed singly, i.e. the present unnamed species is a C. apii-like plurivorous species.

## Cercospora sp. J

Culture sequenced: Japan, Aichi, on Antirrhinum majus (Plantaginaceae), 8 May 2007, M. Matsusaki, MUMH10490, MUCC 541.

Notes: This isolate is phylogenetically distinct (Fig. 2 part 2) from the other species included in this study. Unfortunately, the specimen was not available for study.

## Cercospora sp. K

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking or composed of a few brown cells. Conidiophores emerging through the cuticle or arising from stomatal openings, pale brown, paler towards the apex, almost uniform in width, sometimes narrowed at the apex following the sympodial proliferation, often constricted at septa and proliferating points, solitary or 2-3 in a loose fascicle, straight or slightly curved to sinuously geniculate, moderately thickwalled, $0-5$-septate, $30-110 \times 3.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, truncate or conically truncate at the apex. Conidiogenous cells terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially; loci slightly thickened, slightly protuberant (subtruncate) or flat, refractive, apical and lateral, 1.5-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular or obclavate, straight to slightly curved, truncate or obconically truncate at the slightly thickened at the basal end, acute at the apex, indistinctly or distinctly $1-14$-septate, $35-230 \times 1.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: South Korea, Namyangju, on Ipomoea coccinea ( $\equiv$ Quamoclit coccinea) (Convolvulaceae), 9 Oct. 2002, H.D. Shin, CPC 12391; 30 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132603 = CPC 10719; 15 Oct. 2005, H.D. Shin, CPC 10094.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically supported based on DNA sequence data of ACT, CAL and HIS. In the TEF phylogeny, these isolates cannot be distinguished from C. ricinella, C. cf. chenopodii and C. delaireae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. flagellaris. Different species of Cercospora have been described from Ipomoea spp. Cercospora
ipomoeae-pedis-caprae was previously treated as a synonym of C. ipomoeae (Bagyanarayana et al. 1995, Shin \& Kim 2001), since the length of the conidiophores and conidia in the latter species is variable. Braun et al. (2001) pointed out the differences among the Cercospora species on Ipomoea spp. based on the description of these species by García et al. (1996), and proposed that C. ipomoeae-pedis-caprae must be retained as a separate species. However, Cercospora isolates on Ipomoea cluster in three different places in the tree, and thus this complex remains unresolved and without epitypification the application of the names C. ipomoeae and $C$. ipomoeae-pedis-caprae remains unclear.

## Cercospora sp. L

Specimen examined: New Zealand, on Crepis capillaris (Asteraceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 534, CBS $115477=$ CPC 5114.

Notes: In vivo material on Crepis capillaris from New Zealand collected by C.F. Hill, Auckland, 9 Jul. 2000, deposited at HAL has been examined and is characterised as follows: Conidiophores solitary or in small, loose fascicles, straight to usually geniculatesinuous, unbranched, $20-100 \times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, usually $1-4$-septate, pale olivaceous throughout or olivaceous-brown below and paler towards the tip; conidiogenous cells integrated, usually terminal, sympodial, multi-local; conidiogenous loci 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, thickened and darkened; conidia solitary, acicular, short conidia occasionally subcylindrical, straight curved to somewhat sigmoid, 60-170 $\times$ 3-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, pluriseptate, apex subacute or subobtuse, base truncate, occasionally slighty attenuated at the very base (at hilum), hila 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. The application of the name Cercospora crepidis Onděj \& Zavrěl, described from Europe (Czech Republic) on Crepis capillaris, for the fungus from New Zealand is not possible. The latter species is characterised by having obclavate conidia with distinctly obconically truncate base and short, aseptate conidiophores, only 14-22 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long (Ondřej \& Zavrěl 1971). In the TEF and CAL phylogeny this isolate clusters with C. zebrina and C. armoraciae and on a longer branch in the C. zebrina clade in the ACT phylogeny. It is only in the HIS phylogeny that this isolate is clearly distinct, clustering as sister taxon to C. delaireae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is a sister taxon to C. althaeina and C. zebrina.

## Cercospora sp. M

Specimen examined: Thailand, Chachoengsao Province, Sanamchaikhet, on leaves of Acacia mangium (Fabaceae), 28 May 2003, K. Pongpanich, CBS H-9876, CBS $132596=$ CPC 10553.

Notes: Crous et al. (2004b) isolated several species of Cercospora from A. mangium in Thailand, some of which were linked to single ascospore isolates of a mycosphaerella-like telemorph (see Crous et al. 2004b, fig. 5). Isolate CPC 10553 (=CBS 132596) occurred on the same leaf spots with C. acaciae-mangii (CBS $116365=$ CPC 10526), which is here treated under Cercospora sp. P. The TEF phylogeny could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. N-Q, C. kikuchii and C. cf. sigesbeckiae, whereas the HIS phylogeny could not distinguish it from some isolates of Cercospora spp. P and Q. The ACT phylogeny places it on a longer branch with C. rodmanii and C. cf. ipomoeae. The CAL phylogeny could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. P and Q, C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola and C. cf. sigesbeckiae. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is basal to the lineage containing C. rodmanii and other species.

## Cercosporasp. N

Specimen examined: Bangladesh (western part), on Musa sp. (Musaceae), I. Buddenhagen, CBS 132619 = CPC 12684 (named as C. hayi).

Notes: Cercospora sp. N has shorter conidiophores than ascribed to C. hayi, which was described from Musa in Cuba. It is evident that a complex of Cercospora spp. occur on banana. The TEF phylogeny could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. O-Q, C. kikuchii and C. cf. sigesbeckiae, whereas the HIS phylogeny could not distinguish it from some isolates of Cercospora spp. P and Q and $C$. rodmanii. The CAL phylogeny could not distinguish it from C. rodmanii, C. cf. richardiicola and C. cf. sigesbeckiae. The ACT phylogeny distinguishes it from the other species included in this study. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to $C$. cf. richardiicola and C. kikuchii.

## Cercospora sp. 0

Specimen examined: Thailand, Chiang Mai, Mae Klang Loung, N18032.465 E98 $32.874^{\prime}$, on Musa sp. (Musaceae), 6 Oct. 2010, P.W. Crous, CBS $132635=$ CPC 18636 (named as C. hayi).

Notes: Based on its shorter conidophores, Cercospora sp. O is distinct from C. hayi, and morphologically is more similar to Cercospora sp. N. The TEF phylogeny could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. M, N and Q, C. kikuchii and C. cf. sigesbeckiae, whereas the HIS phylogeny could not distinguish it from some isolates of Cercospora spp. N, P and Q and C. rodmanii. The CAL phylogeny could not distinguish it from Cercospora spp. P and Q, C. alchemillicola / C. cf. alchemillicola and C. cf. sigesbeckiae and the ACT phylogeny from C. kikuchii. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is a sister taxon to C. cf. malloti.

## Cercospora sp. P

Specimens examined: Ghana, on leaves of Dioscorea rotundata (Dioscoreaceae), 2000, S. Nyako \& A.O. Danquah, CBS $132660=$ CPC $11629=$ GHA-4-0; CPC $11630=$ GHA-4-3; CPC 11631 = GHA-5-0; CPC 11632 = GHA-7-4; CPC 11633 = GHA-8-4 (as C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae). Japan, Okinawa, on Coffea arabica (Rubiaceae), C. Nakashima, MUMH 10823, MUCC 771 (as C. coffeicola). Mexico, Tamaulipas, on Ricinus communis, 31 Nov. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS 132680 = CPC 15827. New Zealand, Auckland (imported from Fiji islands), on leaves of Hibiscus sabdariffa (Malvaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 578, CPC 5262. Papua New Guinea, on leaves of Dioscorea nummularia (Dioscoreaceae), 2000, J. Peters \& A.N. Jama, CBS 132662 = CPC 11635 = PNG-009; on leaves of D. rotundata, 2000, J. Peters \& A.N. Jama, CBS $132664=$ CPC $11637=$ PNG-022; on leaves of Dioscorea bulbifera (Dioscoreaceae), 2000, J. Peters \& A.N. Jama, CBS $132665=$ CPC 11638 = PNG-023. South Africa, Nelspruit, on Cajanus cajan (Fabaceae), L. van Jaarsveld, CBS 113996 = CPC 5326; CBS 115413 = CPC 5328; CPC 5327; Komatipoort, on Citrus $\times$ sinensis ( $\equiv$ C. aurantium var. sinensis) (Rutaceae), M.C Pretorius, CBS 112728 = CPC 3949; CBS $112730=$ CPC 3948; CBS $112894=$ CPC 3950. Swaziland, on Citrus $\times$ sinensis ( $\equiv$ C. aurantium var. sinensis), M.C. Pretorius, CPC 4001; CPC 4002; on Citrus sp. leaf spot, M.C. Pretorius, CBS 112649 = CPC 3946; CBS $112722=$ CPC 3947; CBS $115609=$ CPC 3945. Thailand, on Acacia mangium, M.J. Wingfield, CBS $116365=$ CPC 10526; CBS $132645=$ CPC 10527 (Mycosphaerella teleomorph ascospore isolate, ex-type of Cercospora acaciaemangii, small colonies); on A. mangium, K. Pongpanich, CPC 10552.

Notes: Isolates of this clade were mainly obtained from Acacia, Cajanus, Citrus (Rutaceae), Coffea (Rubiaceae), Dioscorea, Hibiscus (Malvaceae) and Ricinus (Euphorbiaceae). Many previously described species names have in the past been applied to different isolates clustering in this clade. Based on the gene loci screened in the present study, we were unable to resolve the taxonomy of these isolates, and for now prefer to treat them as an unresolved species complex. Innoneofthesingle-genephylogenies generated inthisstudy
did the isolates from this species form a pure monophyletic lineage, as isolates were frequently intermixed with that of Cercospora sp. Q, C. cf. sigesbeckiae and C. cf. richardiicola. Given this overlap in sequence identity and host species, it is possible that Cercospora spp. P (Fig. 2 parts 4-5) and Q (Fig. 2 part 5) could be considered as a single species complex (see species notes for Cercospora sp. $Q$ below). More extensive screening of additional loci is needed to define the species boundaries in this complex. Also present in this complex are numerous isolates from Dioscorea, for which the name C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae could have been a candidate. From the present study it is clear that several species of Cercospora can be isolated from this host and a more detailed study is needed to fix that name to a specific lineage.

The ex-type culture of Cercospora acaciae-mangii (Crous et al. 2004) is located in the last subclade (Fig. 2 part 5). Cercospora acaciae-mangii was isolated from Acacia leaves that also contained a mycosphaerella-like teleomorph that formed a Cercospora state in culture. However, the same leaf spots were also colonised by a second, morphologically similar species (distinguished by its ability to form larger, faster-growing colonies in agar).

## Cercospora sp. Q

Specimens examined: Mexico, on Phaseolus vulgaris (Fabaceae), 20 Oct. 2008, M. de Jesus Yanez, CBS 132679 = CPC 15807; Tamaulipas, on Taraxacum sp. (Asteraceae), 30 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS $132682=$ CPC 15850; on Euphorbia sp. (Euphorbiaceae), 31 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús YáñezMorales, CPC 15875; 30 Oct. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS 132681
= CPC 15844. Papua New Guinea, on leaves of Dioscorea rotundata, 2000, J. Peters \& A.N. Jama, CBS $132661=$ CPC 11634 = PNG-002, on leaves of Dioscorea esculenta (Dioscoreaceae), 2000, J. Peters \& A.N. Jama, CBS 132663 = CPC $11636=$ PNG-016; CPC $11639=$ PNG-037. South Africa, Nelspruit, on Cajanus cajan, L. van Jaarsveld, CBS 113997 = CPC 5325; CBS 115410 = CPC 5331; CBS $115411=$ CPC 5332; CBS $115412=$ CPC 5333; CBS $115536=$ CPC 5329; CBS $115537=$ CPC 5330. Thailand, on Acacia mangium, K. Pongpanich, CPC 10550 (big colony on same plate as small colonies of Cercospora acaciae-mangii); CPC 10551 (big colony); CBS $132656=$ CPC 11536; CPC 11539.

Notes: Several isolates from diverse hosts and families cluster in this clade, to which different names can be applied. To resolve their taxonomy, fresh collections authentic for the names (based on host and country) need to be recollected and included in future studies. Based on the genes studied here, we were unable to resolve the phylogeny of these taxa. See also the species notes for Cercospora sp. P. Screening the isolates from this species with five more genomic loci in this study did not clarify their potential species boundaries. By testing other candidate loci as they become available from comparative genomics and other sources we will continue to try and identify optimal genes for species recognision in this complex.

## Cercospora sp. R

Specimen examined: New Zealand, Auckland, Grey Lynn, on Myoporum laetum (Myoporaceae), Dec. 2003, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 186-B, CBS 114644.

Notes: Pseudocercosporella myopori is a true species of Pseudocercosporella (Braun \& Hill 2002), which was originally described without deposting an ex-type culture. A later collection deposited at CBS (isolate CBS 114644), however, proved to be representative of an undescribed species of Cercospora, phylogenetically closely related to Cercospora sp. S and C. corchori (Fig. 2 part 5). This isolate has a unique phylogenetic position in the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 5 ), it is a sister taxon to Cercospora sp. S.

## Cercospora sp. S

Specimen examined: South Korea, Yangpyeong, on Crepidiastrum denticulatum ( $\equiv$ Youngia denticulata) (Asteraceae), 30 Sep. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS 132599 = CPC 10656; CPC 10654-10655 (as Cercospora lactucae-sativae).

Notes: Isolate CPC 10656 is located on a slightly longer branch in the majority of genomic loci evaluated (ACT, CAL and HIS); only in the TEF phylogeny is it intermixed with isolates of $C$. lactucaesativae. It is a close sister taxon to Cercospora sp. R and C . corchori (Fig. 2 part 5), but more isolates need to be collected to resolve its identity.

Cercospora vignigena C. Nakash., Crous, U. Braun \& H.D. Shin, sp. nov. MycoBank MB800657. Fig. 10.

Etymology: Named after the host genus from which it was collected, Vigna.

Leaf spots subcircular, amphigenous, pale to medium brown, 8-20 mm diam, with inconspicuous margin. Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata small to well-developed, pale brown to brown, intraepidermal and substomatal, $35-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. Conidiophores in loose to dense fascicles (2-12), straight to slightly sinuous-geniculate, pale brown, paler towards the apex, moderately thick-walled or thick-walled, cylindrical, almost uniform in width, often wider towards the apex, distinctly conical at the apex, $40-130 \times 5-7(-10) \mu \mathrm{m}, 0-3$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, intercalary, proliferating sympodially, 20-40 $\times$ $4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-local (1-2); loci distinctly thickened, darkened, slightly protuberant, apical and lateral, 2.5-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, rarely catenate, hyaline, straight to slightly curved, cylindrical to obclavate, obconically truncate and distinctly thickened at the base, subobtuse to obtuse at the apex, (35-)45-70(-150) $\times(2.5-) 4-6(-$ 10) $\mu \mathrm{m},(3-) 4-7(-14)$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Culture characteristics: Colonies spreading, erumpent, with even, lobate margins and sparse to moderate aerial mycelium, reaching 25 mm diam after 2 wk . On OA olivaceous-grey in centre, pale olivaceous-grey in outer region. On MEA pale olivaceous-grey with patches of dirty white, reverse iron-grey. On PDA pale olivaceousgrey, margin submerged, grey-olivaceous; reverse olivaceous-grey.

Specimens examined: Japan, Gumma, on Vigna unguiculata (= V. sinensis) (Fabaceae), Sep. 1993, K. Kishi, MUCC $579=$ MAFF 237635. South Africa, Potchefstroom, on V. unguiculata (= V. sinensis), 3 Jan. 1995, S. van Wyk, CPC 1133-1134. South Korea, Jeongeup, on V. unguiculata ( $=$ V. sinensis), 29 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, holotype CBS H-21023, culture ex-type CBS 132611 = CPC 10812.

Notes: This independent clade is supported by ACT, CAL and HIS and is composed of the isolates of Cercospora species that were identified as C. canescens on Vigna (Fabaceae) plants. In the TEF phylogeny, the clade is split into two lineages, isolates CPC 1134 and MUCC 579 as sister clade to C. apiicola and CPC 10812 basal to C. apii and C. beticola. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 2), it is basal to the lineage containing $C$. apiicola and other species. The examined isolates of $C$. canescens (the true $C$. canescens has acicular conidia), for which the original host is the genus Phaseolus, were located in other clades. These results show that the fungus on Vigna must be treated as a species distinct from $C$. canescens. Cercospora vignicaulis (described on V. unguiculata (= V . sinensis) collected from the USA) has in the past been listed as


Fig. 10. Cercospora vignigena (CBS $132611=$ CPC 10812). A. Leaf spots. B. Close-up of lesion. C-E. Fasciculate conidiophores. F-I. Conidia. Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
a synonym of $C$. canescens. However, C. vignicaulis has acicular conidia, which differs from the isolates studied here, and thus the present collection is described as a distinct species that appears to be specific to Vigna.

Cercospora violae Sacc., Nuovo Giron. Bot. Ital. 8: 187. 1876.
= Cercospora violae-tricoloris Briosi \& Cavara, Atti Ist. Bot. Univ. Pavia 2: 285. 1892.
= Cercospora violae var. minor Rota-Rossi, Atti Ist. Bot, Univ. Pavia, Ser. 2, 13: 199. 1914.
= Cercospora violae-kiusianae Sawada, Rep. Gov. Agric. Res. Inst. Taiwan 85: 126. 1943.
= Cercospora difformis Tehon, Mycologia 40: 322. 1948.
= Cercospora trinctatis Pass. (unpublished name cited by Chupp 1954)
Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to well-developed, up to $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, brown, intraepidermal, substomatal. Conidiophores in dense fascicles (2-16), irregular in width, slightly attenuated at the upper portion, straight or mildly sinuous-geniculate, straight, wall moderately thickened, simple, pale brown to brown, short conically truncate at the apex, wider at the base, $20-175 \times 2.5-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-10$-septate, usually unilocal. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, rarely intercalary, proliferating sympodially; loci distinct, thickened, apical, rarely lateral, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, not protuberant. Conidia solitary, hyaline, cylindrical to obclavate or acicular, distinctly thickened and obconically truncated at the base, obtuse at the apex, 35-195 $\times$ $2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 0-18$-septate, thin-walled, smooth.

Specimens examined: Italy, Selva, on Viola odorata (Violaceae), Aug. 1874, Treviso, isotypes distributed as Sacc. Mycotheca Veneta 279, isotype at HAL examined. Japan, Kochi, on Viola sp., 16 Nov. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 10333,

MUCC 129; Nagano, on V. tricolor, 16 Feb. 2005, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 10332, MUCC 133; Shizuoka, on V. tricolor, 15 Jan. 2003, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 10334, MUCC 136. Romania, Cazanele Dunarii, on V. tricolor, O. Constantinescu, epitype designated here CBS H-21024, culture ex-epitype CBS $251.67=$ CPC 5079. New Zealand, on V. odorata, C.F. Hill, CPC 5368.

Notes: See also C. zebrina. One culture that was isolated from Viola (strain CPC 10725) is representative of $C$. fagopyri. The original specimen of this isolate was distinguishable from C. violae in having circumspersed and slightly protuberant loci on its conidiophores. The isolates included here for $C$. violae are phylogenetically distinct from the other species included in this study on the basis of the TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS phylogenies. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is a sister taxon to C. zebrina.

Cercospora zeae-maydis Tehon \& E.Y. Daniels, Mycologia 17: 248.1925.

Specimens examined: China, Liaoning Province, on Zea mays (Poaceae), CBS $132668=$ CPC $12225=$ CHME 52. Mexico, Tlacotepec, on Z. mays, 16 Sep. 2008, Ma. de Jesús Yáñez-Morales, CBS 132678 = CPC 15602. USA, Illinois, Alexander Co., McClure, on Z. mays, 29 Aug. 1924, P.A. Young, holotype ILLS 4276, isotype BPI 442569; Delaware, 1997, B. Fleener, DE-97 = A359 = CBS 117756; Indiana, Princeton, 1999, B. Fleener, PR-IN-99 = A364 = CBS 117761; Indiana, Princeton, 2003, B. Fleener, YA-03 = A358 = CBS 117755; lowa, Johnston, 2004, B. Fleener, JH-IA-04 = A361 = CBS 117758; lowa, Reinbeck, 1999, B. Fleener, RENBECK-IA-99 = A367 = CBS 117763; Missouri, Dexter, 2000, B. Fleener, DEXTER-MO-00 = A365 = CBS 117762; Pennsylvania, New Holland, 1999, B. Fleener, NH-PA-99 = A363 = CBS 117760; Tennessee, Union City, 1999, B. Fleener, UC-TN-99 = A362 = CBS 117759; Wisconsin, Janesville, 2002, B. Fleener, epitype, CBS H-17774, culture ex-epitype JV-WI-02 = A360 = CBS 117757.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically supported by ITS, TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a basal
lineage. Gray leaf spot of maize was originally attributed to "group I " and "group II" siblings of C. zeae-maydis (Wang et al. 1998). More detailed information on this species was provided in Crous et al. (2006a).

Cercospora zebrina Pass., Hedwigia 16: 124. 1877.
$\equiv$ Cercosporina zebrina (Pass.) Matsuura, J. PI. Protect. (Tokyo) 17: 1. 1930.
= Cercospora helvola Sacc., Michelia 2: 556. 1882.
$=$ Cercospora stolziana Magnus, Die Pilze von Tirol (etc.) 3: 558. 1905.
$=$ Cercospora helvola var. zebrina Ferraris, FI. Ital. Cryptog. 1: 423, 1910, fide Chupp (1954: 341).

Specimens examined: Australia, on Trifolium cernuum (Fabaceae), M.J. Barbetti, CBS $118791=\mathrm{IMI} 264190=$ WA $2054=$ WAC 7993; on T. subterraneum, M.J. Barbetti, CBS $118789=$ WAC 5106; CBS $118790=$ IMI $262766=$ WA $2030=$ WAC 7973. Canada, Ottawa, 13 Lucas lane, on T. repens, 1 Sep. 2000, K.A. Seifert, CBS H-21025, CBS 112723 = CPC 3957; CBS $112736=$ CPC 3958; on T. pratense, K.A. Seifert, CBS H-21026, CBS 112893 = CPC 3955. Italy, on Hedysarum coronarium (Fabaceae), CBS $137.56=$ CPC 5118 (as C. ariminensis). New Zealand, on Hebe sp. (Scrophulariaceae), C.F. Hill, CBS 114359 = CPC 10901; Auckland, on Lotus pedunculatus (Fabaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 644, CPC 5437 (as C. loti); Blockhouse Bay, on T. repens, C.F. Hill, Lynfield 603, CBS 113070 = CPC 5367; on Jacaranda mimosifolia (Bignoniaceae), C.F. Hill, Lynfield 693, CPC 5473 (as C. canescens). Romania, Hagieni, on Astragalus spruneri (Fabaceae), O. Constantinescu, CBS $537.71=\mathrm{IMI} 161108=$ CPC 5089 (as C. astragali). South Korea, Namyangju, on T. repens, 22 Oct. 2003, H.D. Shin, CBS H-21027, CBS 132650 = CPC 10756. Unknown, on Medicago arabica (= M. maculata) (Fabaceae), E.F. Hopkins, CBS $108.22=$ CPC 5091 (as C. medicaginis). USA, Wisconsin, on T. subterraneum, CBS $129.39=$ CPC 5078.

Notes: Morphological characteristics of the larger C. zebrina clade include conidiophores that are short, almost straight, slightly attenuated and distinctly conically truncate at the apex with distinctly thickened loci, and conidia, which are cylindrical to cylindro-obclavate. The type of C. zebrina was collected on Trifolium in Italy. More European collections are required to resolve this species and to delinate it from other, closely allied species.

Cercospora althaeina, which has wide host range on malvaceous plants, has a similar morphology to C. zebrina. Cercospora violae, which clusters basal to the C. zebrina clade, has longer and wider conidiophores, and cylindrical to acicular conidia, which separates this species from C. zebrina.

In the TEF phylogeny, isolates are intermixed with those of C. armoraciae, C. rumicis and Cercospora sp. L and in the ACT and CAL phylogenies with those of Cercospora sp. L and C. althaeina. Only in the HIS phylogeny do these isolates form a pure monophyletic clade. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 3), it is a sister taxon to C. violae.

Cercospora zeina Crous \& U. Braun, Stud. Mycol. 55: 194. 2006.

Specimens examined: South Africa, KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, on Zea mays (Poaceae), 2005, P. Caldwell, holotype CBS H-17775, culture ex-type CBS $118820=$ CPC 11995; CBS $132617=$ CPC 11998.

Notes: This species is phylogenetically supported by ITS, TEF, ACT, CAL and HIS. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 1), it is a basal lineage. More detailed information on this species was provided in Crous et al. (2006a).

## Cercospora cf. zinniae

Caespituli amphigenous. Mycelium internal. Stromata lacking to small, up to $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam, intraepidermal or substomatal, pale brown
to brown. Conidiophores in loose fascicles (3-8), pale brown to brown, straight, mildly geniculate above the middle, multi-septate, attenuated, successively geniculate, tip truncate or conically truncate, $65-300 \times 3.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 1-12$-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, proliferating sympodially, terminal and intercalary, multi-local; loci distinctly thickened, darkened, apical and lateral, sometimes circumspersed, often slightly protuberant, 2-2.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam. Conidia solitary, hyaline, filiform to acicular, cylindroobclavate, straight to curved, long obconically truncate or truncate, and thickened at the base, acute at the apex, multi-septate, 30-120 $\times 1-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-13$-septate.

Description of caespituli on V8; (MUCC 131): Conidiophores solitary, arising from hyphae, subhyaline to pale brown, irregular in width, smooth, meager and thin-walled, sinuous-geniculate to geniculate, unbranched, truncate or conically truncate at the tip, $13-63 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, multi-septate. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, proliferating sympodially, single to multi-local (1-2); loci moderately thickened, apical, sometimes slightly protuberant, $1.25-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width. Conidia hyaline, filiform to acicular, slightly thickened and long obconically truncate at the base, acute to obtuse at the apex, 25-160 $\times 2.5-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3-11$-septate.

Specimens examined: Brazil, Valverde, Alto Rio Doce, on unknown substrate, A.C. Alfenas, CBS $132676=$ CPC 15075. Japan, Chiba, on Zinnia elegans (Asteraceae), 12 Sep. 1997, S. Uematsu, MUCC 572 = MAFF 237718 = MUCNS 215; Shizuoka, on Z. elegans, 17 Sep. 2004, J. Nishikawa, MUMH 11397, MUCC 131. South Korea, Yangpyeong, on Z. elegans, 18 Oct. 2007, H.D. Shin, CBS 132624 = CPC 14549.

Notes: This species is characterised in that the conidiophores are mildly geniculate above the middle, multi-septate, attenuated with successive geniculation; loci circumspersed and distinctly thickened; conidia are narrower than those of other taxa in C. apii s. lat. Moreover, this species is phylogenetically supported by DNA sequence data of TEF, CAL and HIS. In the ACT phylogeny, two distinct lineages are formed, namely CPC 14549 versus CPC 15075, MUCC 132 and MUCC 572. In the combined tree (Fig. 2 part 4), it is basal to the lineage containing, for example, C. cf. ipomoeae, C. fagopyri and C. rodmanii. North American cultures and sequence data are necessary to confirm the identity of Asian collections as C. zinniae and to designate an epitype.

## DISCUSSION

This study was initiated to resolve Cercospora taxonomy on the basis of morphological and DNA sequence data. Based on our earlier studies incorporating multi-gene phylogenies on smaller datasets (Crous et al. 2004b, 2006a, Groenewald et al. 2005, 2006a, 2010a), we realised this was an ambitious task. Even though a whole range of hosts and countries were included in our study, attempts to apply existing names to the different clades in the phylogenetic trees obtained proved difficult. In addition, the lack of ex-type cultures or at least reference sequences from type material, made it especially problematic to assign existing names to the derived phylogenetic clades. To our knowledge, this study presently represents the largest combination of diverse sampling of cercosporoid fungi coupled with multi-locus sequence data in a single manuscript.

One important finding is that Crous \& Braun (2003) were overoptimistic when they referred 281 Cercospora names to C. apii s. lat. based on morphology alone. Of the species treated as distinct in the present paper, the following five were originally referred to C. apii s. lat. by Crous \& Braun (2003), namely C. beticola, C.
canescens, C. fagopyri, C. kikuchii and C. rumicis. The following eight species, C. armoraciae, C. corchori, C. lactucae-sativae, C. mercurialis, C. polygonacea, C. ricinella, C. violae and C. zebrina, treated as distinct in the present study, were treated by Crous \& Braun (2003) as close to or possibly identical with C. apii s. lat. It is evident that morphology alone provides an insufficient basis on which to establish synonymies, to describe novel species or in many cases to identify species of Cercospora.

In the last 10 years, 45 novel Cercospora names were lodged with MycoBank (Crous et al. 2004a). Of these, only five species are based on morphology and multi-locus sequence data, two species have morphology supplemented with ITS sequences and 38 species are based on morphology alone. Of these 45 species, only 10 species were described in culture, 26 were reported without culture characteristics and of the remaining nine it is unlikely that cultures were established. This is an alarming statistic and is something that should be addressed by the whole community working on cercosporoid fungi. If the situation is compared to that of Colletotrichum, it is clear that there is room for improvement. Phylogenetic studies on Colletotrichum species based on cultures and ITS data date back to at least 20 years, with the last 10 years showing a significant increase in species descriptions based on multi-locus sequence data (Cannon et al. 2012).

Groenewald et al. (2010a) reported on the performance of the five loci used for the phylogenetic inference in this study. They found the ITS region had limited resolution ( 2.7 \% clade recovery) and was best be used to confirm the generic affiliation of a species, with less value when used for species comparison, specifically within the $C$. apii complex. Although CAL is necessary to distinguish C. apii and C. beticola, it only distinguished about half of the observed species clades ( 46.6 \% clade recovery), whereas ACT was slightly more successful ( 58.9 \% clade recovery). The HIS region compared well with ACT ( 63 \% clade recovery), but it did split C. beticola into two clades. Both of these $C$. beticola clades contain isolates from the same sugar beet fields in Germany and New Zealand (Groenewald et al. 2006b) and whether this implies population variation or the presence of an additional cryptic species on sugar beet requires further molecular analyses of more C. beticola populations. The TEF region was comparable to CAL in terms of clade recovery ( $45 \%$ clade recovery). Although we believe that there is still a need to identify the best barcode locus for Cercospora, the current multi-locus approach does enable species identification. Comparison of a few Cercospora genomes selected from across the phylogenetic tree might reveal a single locus with better resolution than the currently used loci.

Similar to the situation in Pseudocercospora (Crous et al. 2013), we also encountered a situation where we could not use names based on North American or European types for African or Asian cultures and vice versa. Based on morphological features and their distinct sequences we have chosen to treat those clades in the present study as "cf." pending comparison of those species with (epi-)type material from the original country and host as discussed under the species notes above. For numerous clades ("Cercospora sp. A-S"), it was not possible to unequivocally assign a species name; frequently these clades contained isolates from multiple hosts and/or countries and the same hosts occurred in multiple clades, or the host information was not available. For example, isolates from Cajanus cajan in South Africa can be attributed to Cercospora sp. P and Cercospora sp. Q. Crous \& Braun (2003) list four Cercospora species associated with this host, namely C. apii s. str., C. canescens, C. instabilis and C. thirumalacharii. The first two species were included in this study, the third is listed on Cajanus from numerous countries (but not including South Africa)
and the last is known from India (Crous \& Braun 2003). It was not possible to include authentic cultures of the latter two species, so any of these two names are potentially available for a clade. An additional complicating factor is that there are numerous subclades inside Cercospora sp. P and Cercospora sp. Q, which could represent either intra-specific variation or the presence of cryptic species, which are not distinguished by the loci used in this study. We sequenced five additional loci for Cercospora sp. Q isolates and did not find a single locus that provided better insight into this clade. Isolates from Cajanus also occur in the same clade with other hosts, raising the question of wide host range versus simply a chance infection (Crous \& Groenewald 2005). A similar situation was observed for isolates isolated from yams (Dioscorea). Crous \& Braun (2003) list numerous Pseudocercospora and Passalora species, and three Cercospora species (C. aragonensis, C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae and $C$. golaghatti) from this host genus; of the three Cercospora names, C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae is commonly used in literature. In this study, it was not possible to apply this name to any of the clades. Isolates from Dioscorea are found in the C. canescens complex, Cercospora cf. sigesbeckiae, Cercospora sp. P and Cercospora sp. Q, but none of these isolates were from the original host or locality of the type description for $C$. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae (based on Dioscorea pyrifolia in Singapore). One of the isolates included in the present study (MUCC 849, as Cercospora cf. sigesbeckiae) was treated by Nakashima et al. (2011) as C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae. The authors noted that, although the morphological characteristics were similar to the original description, the width of the conidiophores and conidia was different. Similarly, most of the isolates from Dioscorea were sent to us under the name C. dioscoreae-pyrifoliae although we could not confirm the identification with confidence. These examples highlight the need to locate original specimens, or at least recollect material that can be used for epitypification, to fix the names used in the various phylogenetic clades. It also illustrates the importance of establishing cultures, which can be used for future molecular studies, when describing taxonomic novelties.

We believe that this study serves as a backbone for future studies on Cercospora taxonomy. Unfortunately, many (epi-)type cultures and adequate sequence data are lacking for a significant number of Cercospora species. Future studies will require the recollection of material from the original hosts and continents so that epitypes can be found and names stabilised. Furthermore, all species, especially those currently in common use, need proper molecular identification. Based on searches in Google and Google Scholar, the most commonly used Cercospora species names are C. zeae-maydis, C. beticola, C. apii, C. canescens, C. kikuchii, C. sojina, C. arachidicola, C. coffeicola, C. personata and C. nicotianae. Although the taxonomy of C. apii, C. beticola (Groenewald et al. 2005, 2006a) and C. zeae-maydis (Crous et al. 2006a) was resolved in the past, the present study resolved C. kikuchii and $C$. sojina but it was unable to resolve $C$. canescens. Similar studies are needed for C. arachidicola, C. coffeicola, C. nicotianae and C. personata.
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