

Article

An alternative allergen risk management approach

Manning, Louise and Soon, Jan Mei

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/14878/

Manning, Louise and Soon, Jan Mei (2016) An alternative allergen risk management approach. Critical Reviews in Food Science & Nutrition . ISSN 1040-8398

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1185085

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/ and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>







Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition

ISSN: 1040-8398 (Print) 1549-7852 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bfsn20

An alternative allergen risk management approach

Louise Manning PhD & Jan Mei Soon

To cite this article: Louise Manning PhD & Jan Mei Soon (2016): An alternative allergen risk management approach, Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2016.1185085

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1185085

_	1

Accepted author version posted online: 31 May 2016. Published online: 31 May 2016.



Submit your article to this journal

Article views: 53



View related articles



View Crossmark data 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=bfsn20

An alternative allergen risk management approach

Dr Louise Manning PhD (Corresponding Author) Email: lmanning@harper-adams.ac.uk

Royal Agricultural University, School of Agriculture, Food and the Environment, Stroud Road,

Cirencester, GL76JS United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Dr Jan Mei Soon Email: janmei.soon@gmail.com University of central Lancashire, Preston, PR1

2SE United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Abstract

Protein components in food can trigger immune-mediated response in susceptible individuals. International law requires risk assessment to be undertaken by competent individuals to minimize food safety risk to consumers. Historically, allergen control legislation has been food focused and on the requirement for on pack labeling, and the need for formal food recalls in the event of misleading or inappropriate labeling. In order to develop a mechanism for decision makers when assessing allergenic risk from plant derived materials, the aim of this research was to consider a more holistic risk assessment method whereby rather than just using the food-based approach, an additive element in terms of considering the families of proteins is included. This approach reflects the need for food professionals to fully understand the role of proteins in triggering an allergic response to plant material and the health risk to individuals who show cross-reactivity to such proteins.

Keywords

Allergen, food, cross-reactivity, protein, groups, plant

Downloaded by [University of Central Lancashire] at 04:57 26 June 2016

INTRODUCTION

Allergies are usually triggered by the protein components in a food, known as allergens (Mills et al. 2003). An allergen is a compound capable of inducing a repeatable immune mediated hypersensitivity response in sensitive individuals (Mortimore and Wallace 2013:451). Adverse reaction to a food will not only include allergic reactions that are immune mediated, but also non-immune mediated reactions e.g. functional food intolerance due to enzymatic abnormalities in individuals e.g. lactase deficiency, or pharmacological reactions to amines due to excessive intake from food rich in tyramine, tryptamine, histamine and serotonin. The context for allergic reactions is complicated. Studies have investigated the connection between parasitic helminthes and expression of allergic reactions (Lynch et al. 1993; Bell, 1996). There are multiple reports on the protective contribution of helminth infections, i.e. allergic diseases appear to be rare in populations with high rates of helminth infections and common where helminth exposure is lacking or significantly reduced especially in urban areas of developing countries and industrialized nations (Cooper, 2004; Flohr et al. 2008; Smits et al. 2005; Stein et al. 2016). The "hygiene hypothesis" suggests that a lack of early childhood exposure to infectious agents, symbiotic microorganisms (e.g. gut flora) and parasites increases susceptibility to food allergy (du Toit et al. 2016). Infections with Ascaris lumbridcoides (Palmer et al. 2002) and Trucharis (Dagoyne et al. 2003) it has been suggested resulted in an increase in childhood asthma. A number of other factors such as genetic, life-cycle-phase, niche-specificity and environment (Stein et al. 2016) intensify the complexity of the association of parasitic infections with allergic disorders (Afifi et al. 2015). Other risk factors that have been postulated to be associated with food allergy include: atopic family history, gender, ethnicity, atopic dermatitis, maternal

² ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ingestion during pregnancy and breastfeeding and genetic polymorphisms (du Toit et al. 2016; Lack et al. 2012).

Non-immunologically mediated reactions account for the majority of all reactions to food (Skypala, 2009; Zopf et al. 2009; Skypala, 2011). Non-immune mediated reactions to food are frequently caused by carbohydrate intolerance i.e. lactose intolerance (Lomer et al. 2008; Hammer and Hammer, 2012; Raithel et al. 2013; Wilder-Smith et al. 2013), fructose intolerance (Raithel et al. 2013; Wilder-Smith et al. 2013) and sorbitol (Born et al. 2006; Bauditz et al. 2008; Raithel et al. 2013) and reaction to biogenic amines (Jansen et al. 2003; Maintz and Novak 2007). With the exception of sulfites (Bush et al. 1986; Vally et al. 2000; Kanny et al. 2001), there are less robust studies for non-immune mediated food triggers such as food additives and chemicals (Skypala, 2009; Skypala et al. 2015).

In classical risk assessment methodology, there is some vagueness as to how allergens should be characterized. A food hazard can be defined as "a biological, chemical, or physical agent in, or condition of, food with the potential to cause an adverse health effect." (CAC, 2003:5; BS EN ISO 22000; 2005; Wallace et al. 2011:65; Manning, 2015). However the CBRI (2009) expand on this tri-categorization to include food allergens as a separate fourth category. Mortimore and Wallace (2013) use the CAC (2003) categories, but include allergens within the category of a chemical hazard. The BRC Global Standard for Food (2015:112) has refined the definition of a hazard further describing it as being "an agent of any type with the potential to cause harm (usually biological, chemical, physical or radiological". Food safety risk assessment is usually structured by defining the agent that can cause harm together with the likely foods in which it could present that harm and the controls that minimize the risk to the consumer to an acceptable

³ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

level. Thus food safety hazards are classified by type and their potential to cause harm in the classic hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP) approach. The challenge with classifying proteins that cause either an allergic reaction or non-immunologically mediated reaction is that these proteins do not have the potential to cause harm to all individuals and thus their presence in a food does not make that food unsafe for all, just for those that are sensitive. Mills et al. (2004) and Breiteneder and Radauer (2004) proposed alternative approaches of allergen classification as most food plant allergens belong to a small number of protein superfamilies. However, the sheer number of proteinaceous compounds that are capable of inducing an immune mediated reaction and the practical ability to consider them all in a formal risk assessment for a given product means that specialized formal allergen risk management tools are needed to assist the food scientist. In order to develop a more nuanced allergen risk assessment mechanism for decision makers that builds on existing practice, the aim of this research was to propose an additive risk assessment approach where instead of categorizing allergens only according to individual food type this is supported by considering the risk associated with cross-reactivity with the families of proteins involved.

ALLERGENS: LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR FOOD LABELING

The Codex Alimentarius Commission Committee on Food Labeling has listed the foods and ingredients that cause the most severe reactions and most cases of food hypersensitivity (CAC, 1985). Section 4.2.1.4 of General Standards for the Labeling of Prepackaged Foods states that *"the following foods and ingredients … shall always be declared: cereals containing gluten; i.e., wheat, rye, barley, oats, spelt or their hybridized strains and products of these; crustacea and products of these; eggs and egg products; fish and fish products; peanuts, soybeans and*

products of these; milk and milk products (lactose included); tree nuts and nut products; and sulfite in concentrations of 10 mg/kg or more" (CAC, 1985:2). The twelve food groups currently identified in EU legislation that are required to be labeled on pre-packed food (Annex IIIa of Directive 2003/89/EC as amending 2000/13/EC) are described in Table 1. Tree nuts defined in the legislation (EC, 2003:18) include almond (Amygdalus communis L.), hazelnut (Corylus avellana), walnut (Juglans regia), cashew (Anacardium occidentale), pecan nut (Carya illinoiesis (Wangenh.) K. Koch), brazil nut (Bertholletia excelsa), pistachio nut (Pistacia vera), macadamia nut and Queensland nut (Macadamia ternifolia). This Annex has subsequently been revised by Directive 2006/142/EC with the addition of lupin and products thereof and molluscs and products thereof (EC, 2006:110). The rationale behind this was the potential risk for cross allergy to lupin by those individuals who were allergic to peanuts. Molluscs were added on the basis of there being a recognized allergic reaction by some individuals to tropomyosin not only found in crustaceans and molluscs, but also in insects such as house mites and cockroaches. Additional amendment occurred in 2007 (EC 2007:13) to provide further detail on the food derivatives that required labeling but there was no further inclusion of food groups. On 25 October 2011, the European Parliament and the Council adopted Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 on the provision of food information to consumers. This legislation requires that from the 13th December 2014, all foods, whether packaged or sold loose, must indicate the presence of these named allergens either on pack or in the case of loose food the information must be available. In the United States (US), the Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act (2004) which came into force on 1st January 2006 identifies *eight major food allergens namely milk*, egg, fish (e.g., bass, flounder, or cod), Crustacean shellfish (e.g., crab, lobster, or shrimp), tree

⁵ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

nuts (e.g., almonds, pecans, or walnuts), wheat, peanuts, and soybeans (FDA, 2013). Updated allergen legislation came into force in Canada on the 4th August 2012 and identified ten "priority" allergens for labeling peanuts, tree nuts (almonds, Brazil nuts, cashews, hazelnuts, macadamia nuts, pecans, pine nuts, pistachios, walnuts), milk, eggs, seafood (fish, crustaceans, shellfish), soy, wheat, sesame seeds, mustard, sulfite (HC, nd). Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) identify eleven allergens that they require mandatory labeling on prepacked food. The international legislative requirements for food labeling with regard to allergens have been collated (Table 1).

The table demonstrates some variation in legislative requirements across the world, with all countries using the CAC (1985) as a baseline for allergen labeling in food. The common foods defined in national legislation as requiring food labeling with regard to allergens may contain simple or multiple proteins that can cause an allergic response. For example with cow's milk nine different proteins have been identified that can cause an immune-mediated reaction; with peanuts seventeen proteins (Ara h 1 - 17) have been isolated (Table 2).

This table demonstrates the complex picture of food allergy associated with food proteins and food protein families.

ALLERGENS: DETERMINING RISK FACTORS

Food allergies affect about 10% of the Western population, where the 'big eight' allergenic food groups account for 90% of the allergic reactions that occur (van Winkle and Chang, 2014). Food allergies can be characterized by nationality and geographic variations, food availability, dietary habits, and access to foods that might cause an allergic reaction, cultural or religious obligations, hereditary and environmental factors. Cross-reactivities occur within a given food group and

⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

between foods and seemingly unrelated proteins (Lehrer et al. 2009). Wallace et al. (2011:79) discuss the concept of allergenic cross-reactivity i.e. that individuals who are allergic to apples may also be allergic to birch pollen and also the regional associations with allergens e.g. EU (celery), South-east Asia (buckwheat), Japan (rice). Individuals sensitive to birch pollen have been shown to be sensitive to apples, hazelnuts, and raw vegetables such as celery and carrot (Mills et al. 2003). Shaw (2013) describes the phenomenon of cross-reactivity too with individuals who appear allergic to latex (from the rubber plant) also being highly sensitive to banana, avocado, kiwi fruit, and tomato. Cross reactivity between pollen-fruit/vegetables or latex-fruit/vegetables are examples of non-sensitizing elicitors that produce immediate symptoms after exposure (in less than an hour) usually confined to the mouth. This manifestation of cross reactivity between pollens, fruits and vegetables have been synthesized (Table 3).

Risk assessment based on foods or ingredients that require positive labeling if they are included in the food is well developed. From an industry point of view, using the food group list and identifying regional / country's allergen labeling requirements is relatively straightforward. Labeling standards (regulatory or according to Codex guidelines) define the requirements for notification of presence, or use of the "may contain" or "free from" allergenic food groups. However, some individuals are known to show cross-reactivity to foods, and associated plant protein e.g. in pollen. Protein family-based risk assessment adds another layer of complexity and requires those undertaking risk assessment to have themselves, or have access, to expertise / knowledge in the range of known allergenic proteins and potential for cross-reactivity and the categorization of protein superfamilies and families. Why might this be of concern? Allergen

control procedures use strategies such as sanitation, time control of known foods or ingredients that are allergens, and designated storage or equipment. These controls would not ordinarily be adopted for foods that are not recognized in terms of allergen labeling (see Table 1), but still present a risk to the vulnerable individual. Thus, food practitioners can carry out protein-based risk assessment on existing, new or modified ingredients, food products, food contact materials, or processes. Formulation of the food products and potential allergen hazard should be listed out followed by identification and cross checking of protein superfamily among the list of allergens with the help of databases such as WHO/IUIS, Allergome, AllFam, AllergenOnline see Table 4). The use of protein-based risk assessment is discussed more fully in the section: Mechanisms for quantifying potential allergens and cross reactivity in food manufacturing.

A driver of this additive approach is the health policy consideration of personalized healthcare or personalized medicine. Kondo et al. (2014) argue that the pathogeneses and clinical features of allergies vary greatly from patient to patient meaning that the establishment of individualized therapy in the form of personalized medicine is essential. Personalized medicine has also been described as: "the use of combined knowledge (genetic or otherwise) about a person to predict disease susceptibility, disease prognosis, or treatment response and thereby improve that person's " (Redekop and Mladsi, 2013:4). Thereby as knowledge increases as part of the responsive approach to personalized medicine treatment of food allergies should be personalized or "tailor-made" for each patient (Kondo et al. 2015). Hayes et al. (2014) determine that mobile apps are starting to be used in order to provide a personalized approach to disease management, arguing that patient-tailored risk prediction and treatment is already routinely applied at clinical level with more that needs to be done to deliver individualized treatment.

ALLERGENS: IMMUNE MEDIATED AND NON-IMMUNE MEDIATED REACTIONS

In this research, the focus has been on allergies to materials from plant origin only. Mills et al. (2003) proposed at the time of their writing there were 7-10 foods responsible for the majority of food allergies including those of plant origin such as peanuts, tree nuts, wheat and soy. Immune mediated reactions to food are categorized as Immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediated or non IgE mediated (Dean, 2000) (Figure 1). IgE is the main antibody involved in induction of rapid onset of allergic reactions and symptoms can vary from skin reactions to respiratory difficulties and anaphylactic shock. IgE mediated reaction occurs in two phases – an initial 'sensitization' to an allergen and an 'elicitation' stage (Figure 1). Sensitization occurs when an individual is exposed to the food allergen and the body produces IgE antibodies which bind to mast cells. IgE antibodies in plasma have very short life, but once bound to mast cell they can remain for months. The elicitation stage occurs upon re-exposure to the same food allergen and the IgE antibodies will bind to the allergen, leading to release of inflammatory molecules (e.g. histamine, cytokines, leukotrienes) and this results in allergic reaction (FDA, 2015).

Non-IgE mediated reactions are less well-studied and more difficult to diagnose. According to Venter (2009) the absence of IgE production has been well established and another class of immunoglobulin such as Immunoglobulin G (IgG) could be involved (Dean, 2000). At present, there are no known biomarkers for non-IgE mediated reaction (Nowak-Wegrzyn et al. 2015). However, Boyce et al. (2010) and Sampson et al. (2014) did not recommend diagnosing non-IgE mediated reaction by measuring food-specific IgG and IgG₄ antibody level. Non-IgE mediated reaction involves two stages, i.e. initial and subsequent exposures (Figure 1). During the initial exposure, T-cells are sensitized by food allergens. On subsequent exposure to the same allergens,

the allergen will combine with the sensitized T-cell and proceed to release inflammatory molecules such as cytokines and followed by chronic inflammation (Hamelmann and Wahn, 2002; Venter, 2009).

CATEGORIZING PLANT DERIVED FOOD ALLERGENS

Mills et al. (2003) identified the common cross-reactive food allergens that cause sensitization through inhalation (inhalation allergens) such as profilins, thaumatin like proteins, cysteine proteases, and those that sensitize via the GI tract (the prolamin and cupin superfamilies). The latter group includes the non-specific lipid transfer proteins (nsLTP), albumins, globulins, gliadins and amylase inhibitors. Proteins with residue identities of 30% and greater or with lower sequence identities but with very similar functions and structures are categorized into families. Families whose proteins have low sequence identities, but whose structural and functional features suggest common evolutionary origin, are placed into superfamilies (Murzin et al. 1995). Radauer and Breiteneder (2007) reported that as few as 4 protein superfamilies contain nearly 60% of all plant food allergens namely *prolamin* (storage proteins of cereals, nsLTP, α -amylase inhibitors, and 2S albumins), *cupin*, (specifically the 11S and 7S globulin storage proteins), *profilin* and *pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins*. These are now described in more detail.

Prolamin superfamily

The prolamin superfamily derives its name from proline and glutamine rich storage proteins found in cereals. It consists of six allergen families: nsLTP1, nsLTP2, 2S storage albumins, cereal α -amylase/trypsin inhibitors, hydrophobic seed proteins and gliadin (Breiteneder and Radauer, 2004; Breiteneder and Mills, 2005; Mills et al. 2004; Radauer and Breiteneder, 2007). nsLTPs usually accumulate in the epidermal layers of plant organs thus explaining the stronger

¹⁰ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

allergenicity of peels compared to pulps from the Rosaseae genera i.e. apples, pears, peaches (van Ree, 2002). Despite the name, plant nsLTPs are not thought to function primarily in lipid storage instead all three groups of prolamin proteins have defensive roles against pests and pathogens (Mills et al. 2003; Egger et al. 2010; Van Winkle and Chang, 2014). As insect pests feed on crops, plants have developed a defense mechanism producing α -amylase and protease inhibitors as part of the plant's defense system (e.g. Hor v 15 in barley). 2S albumins are storage proteins present in dicotyledonous plants (Shewry et al. 1995).

Cupin superfamily

Allergenic proteins of the cupin superfamily belong to the seed storage globulins i.e. the 7/8S globulins (vicilins) and 11S globulins (legumins) (Radauer et al. 2008). These proteins are often involved in primary food allergy with legumes, tree nuts and seeds (Mills et al. 2003). One of the major allergenic seed storage proteins in the cupin superfamily is peanut's Ara h 1 (vicilin). Ara h 1 is recognized by over 90% of the individuals allergic to peanut (Viquez et al. 2003). Cross-reactivity between plant foods had been reported, for example, IgE-binding cross reactivity between peanut, lentil (Len c 1) and pea (Pis s 1) was identified (López-Torrejón et al. 2003; Wensing et al. 2003). Cross reactivity between chickpea, peas and lentils (Bar-El Dadon et al. 2014) and cross reactions between coconut and lentils (Manso et al. 2010) were also observed.

Profilin family

Profilin is a panallergen meaning allergens that share marked structural similarity and function in different species (Hauser et al. 2010; Lanida-Pineda et al. 2015) and plays a major role in polymerization of filamentous action (Carlsson et al. 1977), cell elongation, maintenance of cell shape and flowering in small flowering plants from the *Arabidopsis* genus (Ramachandran et al.

¹¹ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

2000). They are responsible for a number of IgE cross reactions even between unrelated pollens and plant food allergens (Hauser et al. 2010).

Pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins PR-10

PRs are not a protein superfamily but represent a collection of unrelated protein families that function as part of the plant defense system (Breiteneder and Radauer, 2004). The expression of PR proteins are induced by pathogen attacks, abiotic stress or regulated during growth and development. There is a higher concentration of PR protein in reproductive tissues such as pollen, seeds and fruits (Radauer et al. 2008). Bet v 1, a major birch pollen allergen is a type of PR protein. Other plant pollens share common epitopes with Bet v 1 hence resulting in cross reactions i.e. in Rosaseae (apples, stone fruits) and Apiaceae family (celery and carrot) (Vieths et al. 2002). The cross reactions between Bet v 1 and homologous allergen from plant foods is responsible for birch pollen-associated food allergy (Vieths et al. 2002).

This review of four protein superfamilies and families demonstrates the potential for individuals to exhibit plant-related food hypersensitivities triggered by specific proteins that are common in foods. Identifying the nature of such shared allergenic proteins will firstly inform food policy and assist in developing appropriate communication tools for individuals that demonstrate crossreactivity to these proteins and secondly aid the food industry to carry out more comprehensive allergen-based risk assessment strategies for their food products especially during product development processes.

MITIGATING RISK: MANUFACTURING CONTROLS

The use of pre-requisite programmes (PRPs) to minimize the risk of food safety incidents and food quality issues is well established in food science. These PRPs include the protocols that

¹² ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

form the basis of good manufacturing practice and they underpin the use of HACCP to risk assess potential food safety hazards, the means for their control and mitigation and the associated control plan that needs to be developed to ensure food control systems are effective. Legislation is of limited value when foods that are not declarable allergens are contaminated with extraneous plant material, pollen or protein, even at very small levels, from plants known to cause an allergic reaction e.g. kiwi hairs, peach blossom left on a conveyor belt when other fruit is then processed. Thus allergens, or proteins derived from allergenic foods, may be present in foods as the result of cross-contact during processing and handling (FDA, 2006). Cross-contact occurs when a residue or other trace amount of an allergenic food is unintentionally transferred into another food, despite good manufacturing practices (GMP) being in place (FoodDrinkEurope, 2013:26). The FDA (2006:21) states that the term cross-contact can be used to "describe the inadvertent introduction of an allergen into a product that would not intentionally contain that allergen as an ingredient". Further the report suggests that cross-contact may occur as previously described in this paper as a result of a trace amount of an allergenic protein being present on food contact surfaces, production machinery, or depending on the nature of the material (dust, solid, liquid) being air-borne, through the poor control of product rework, or ineffective cleaning and sanitization and unintentionally becomes incorporated into another product. Therefore implementing appropriate measures as part of the PRP will mitigate risk and their presence or absence should be considered as part of the risk assessment process.

The risk of cross-contact increases when multiple foods are produced in the same facility and there is shared harvest equipment, storage, transportation, or production equipment so a clear operational allergen control prerequisite program (PRP) needs to be in place and be effectively

¹³ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

implemented. After a PRP has been established then risk assessment linked to hazard characterization is "*the tool that will determine where the real vulnerabilities are and where most effort should be focused*" (Flanagan, nd: 3). Indeed the paper advocates the use of allergen mapping within a manufacturing unit in order to help identify the key physical areas where cross-contact can occur. FoodDrink Europe (2013) suggest that such a PRP should include:

- Product development guidelines in terms of allergens.
- Good hygiene, for example, rules regarding clothing, hand-washing and hand contact with foods.
- Cleaning of premises, equipment and tools.
- Handling of rework materials, for example, the conditions under which such products may be used.
- Waste management, for example, how waste should be labeled and kept separate from rework.
- Situations where potential cross-contact can occur between raw materials, products, production lines or equipment, and each employee's responsibility for preventing this.
- Production scheduling, and
- Labeling of raw materials, semi-finished goods and finished products.

Further the report identifies eight key mitigation elements to consider in the risk management approach used: people, suppliers, raw materials handling, equipment and factory design, manufacturing practices, consumer information, product development and change and documentation. In order to provide a more comprehensive approach to identifying and managing allergic reactions in sensitive individuals, identification of the wider range of foods that contain

¹⁴ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

these proteins of concern and the potential for cross-contact with extraneous plant material from such foods or food ingredients, is worthy of consideration so that effective PRP can be put in place and food businesses are able to operate within the emerging agenda of personalized medicine.

QUANTIFYING ALLERGENIC RISK

The conventional way for a food manufacturer to identify and list allergens during the product development phase would be according to food groups or ingredients (e.g. milk, wheat, peanuts) and with consideration of the regulatory requirements of the importing country. This consideration will still form the primary consideration in any allergen risk assessment process. Review of the proteins that foods contain would enable a more holistic and more comprehensive approach for risk assessment and management of allergens. There are multiple databases where technical personnel can access details on the proteins that each food contain that have the potential to cause an allergic reaction in sensitive individuals (Table 4).

The use of thresholds for allergens when determining the degree of risk has been established (Crevel et al. 2008). An FDA report (2006:2) identifies four approaches that could be used to determine allergen thresholds:

• Analytical methods based thresholds determined by the sensitivity of the analytical method(s) used to verify compliance. The report states that this approach is of limited value. FoodDrinkEurope (2013:22) suggest that "analytical testing is inappropriate for quality control purposes but supports upstream quality assurance, validating cross-contact control capability".

¹⁵ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

- Safety assessment based thresholds that calculate a "safe" level of allergen using the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) from human challenge studies and an appropriate uncertainty factor (UF) applied to account for knowledge gaps.
- Quantitative risk assessment based thresholds based on known or potential adverse health effects resulting from human exposure to a hazard; quantifying the levels of risk associated with specific exposures and the degree of uncertainty inherent in the risk estimate, and
- **Statutorily derived thresholds** using an exemption articulated in an applicable law and extrapolating from that to other potentially similar situations.

FDA (2006:3) concludes that of the four approaches, the quantitative **risk assessment-based** approach "*provides the strongest, most transparent scientific analyses to establish thresholds for the major food allergens*". However the report notes that a risk assessment approach could be used to set a single threshold level for proteins derived from any of the major food allergens to deliver statutory derived thresholds. FoodDrink Europe (2013:3) assert that although much work has been done to establish NOAEL and their use in food safety risk assessment, "*agreement between stakeholders has not yet been reached on how to interpret this information in public health terms*". In Australia and New Zealand, the Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labeling (VITAL) system (see http://allergenbureau.net/vital/) is used to determine whether advisory labeling such as 'may-contain' statements) should be used on finished products (Flanagan, nd). The use of the VITAL system allows for the quantitative assessment of likely sources of allergen cross-contact from raw materials and the processing environment, and a review of the ability to reduce the allergenic material from all contributing sources (allergen.bureau.net, nd). Allergen

¹⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

analysis is divided into different methods for different purposes. The most commonly used are lateral flow devices, enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assays (ELISA), mass spectrometry and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays (FoodDrink Europe, 2013). These methods are of value for verification purposes but do not support, mainly due to the cost of analysis, routine risk assessment activities that initiate quality planning with the aid of allergen databases. Therefore there are no cost effective on-line or real-time monitoring protocols available to identify the potential for an allergenic protein being present as a result of cross-contact on a batch by batch basis as the NOAEL and UF need to be defined for all proteins. Therefore the preventative approach that needs to be followed is one of quantitative risk based assessment. As a result of this study a comparison has been made between using a food group/ingredient and a protein based approach in terms of the degree of analysis that could be undertaken especially during the product development phase (Table 5).

Table 5 compares methods for identification of food allergens according to food/ingredient or protein groups, as well as the advantages and disadvantages of using each method, limitations and potential extensions of the process. It is important for food practitioners to consider whether the additive element of risk assessing for protein groups is appropriate in a given situation. To further illustrate the level of differentiation in terms of the depth of an allergen risk assessment firstly at the regulatory-derived food/ingredient group and then with an additive protein group based approach a product reformulation has been presented (Table 6). The example of a peanut and chocolate snack bar that is then supported by a peanut-free gluten-free product. With the current EU regulations for food group orientated product labeling the buckwheat and chia seeds would not have to be labeled as allergens on the packaging.

¹⁷ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Allergenic reactions in susceptible individuals who have an allergenic pre-disposition to the plant protein could occur and cross-sensitivities to related proteins from a certain family can also take place e.g. the presence of profilin in dates and wheat and the presence of prolamin in buckwheat, raisins, and peanuts (Table 6). The nature of allergenic reaction to ingredients such as soy lecithin, sulfur dioxide, as well as wheat, peanuts and a functional hypersensitivity in some individuals to phenylethylamine and theobromine make this a very complex picture. The additional depth of a protein-based assessment is shown in Table 7. This shows the potential for reactivity to proteins in both the current and a revised product by sensitive individuals.

An example of the additive value of a protein-group based risk assessment is shown in Table 8 and how it can inform risk assessment activities either at the manufacturing level as in the example or at policy level.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Protein components in food can trigger immune-mediated response in susceptible individuals. European law requires risk assessment to be undertaken by competent individuals to minimize food safety risk to consumers. Historically, allergen control legislation has been food focused with the requirement for on pack labeling, if specific food ingredients that are known allergens are present, and the need for formal food recalls in the event of misleading or inappropriate labeling. However this does not address the wider issue of the prolific nature of plant defense proteins that can trigger allergic reactions and even anaphylaxis. An additive protein-group based risk assessment approach that considers the plant-derived protein families involved in allergic response as well as the wider challenges that cause non immune-mediated response. This aim of this research was to identify a mechanism for decision makers when assessing the allergenic risk

¹⁸ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

to consumers associated with food products by focusing not only on prescribed food labeling, but also on the allergenic proteins of concern. This approach is of value for individuals who show cross-reactivity to plant proteins and could lead to more focused risk assessment activities and greater understanding of the role of proteins in causing an allergic response in the food industry.

¹⁹ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

REFERENCES

Afifi, M. A., Jiman-Fatani, A. A., El Saadany, S. and Fouad, M. A. (2015). Parasites-allergy paradox: Disease mediators or therapeutic modulators. *Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure* 3(2): 53-61.

AG (Australian Government) (nd) Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code - Standard 1.2.3
Mandatory Warning and Advisory Statements and Declarations- F2011C00610
Available at: http://www.comlaw.gov.au/details/f2011c00610[Accessed on 20.08.15]
Ahlholm, J. U., Helander, M. L., Savolainen, J. (1998). Genetic and environmental factors
affecting the allergenicity of birch (*Betula pubescens* ssp. *czerepanovii* [Orl.] Hämet-Ahti)
pollen. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy* 28(11): 1384-1388.

Allergome (2015) Available at: http://www.allergome.org/index.php [Accessed on 20.08.15] AllergenBureau.net (nd) Available at: http://allergenbureau.net/vital/ [Accessed on 20.10.15] Armentia, A., Lombardero, M., Barber, D., Castrodeza, J., Calderon, S., Gil, F. J. M. and Callejo, A. M. (2003). Occupational asthma in an agronomist caused by the lentil pest *Bruchus lentis. Allergy*, 58(11): 1200-1201.

Armentia, A., Lombardero, M., Blanco, C., Fernandez, S., Fernandez, A. and Sanchez-Monge,
R. (2006). Allergic hypersensitivity to the lentil pest *Bruchus lentis*. *Allergy*, 61(9): 1112-1116.
Bar-El Dadon, S., Pascual, C.Y., and Reifen, R. (2014), Food allergy and cross-reactivity-chickpea as a test case, *Food Chemistry*, 165: 483-488

Bateman, A., Coin, L., Durbin, R., Finn, R. D., Hollich, V., Griffiths-Jones, S. et al. (2004). The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32: D138-141.

²⁰ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Bauditz, J., Norman, K., Biering, H., Lochs, H. and Pirlich, M. (2008). Severe weight loss caused by chewing gum. *British Medical Journal*, 336: 96-97.

Beggs, P. J. (2004). Impacts of climate change on aeroallergens: past and future. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy*, 34(1): 1507-1513.

Bell, R. G. (1996). IgE, allergies and helminth parasites: A new perspective on an old conundrum. *Immunology and Cell Biology*, 74: 337-345.

Born, P., Sekatcheva, M., Rösch, T. and Classen, M. (2006). Carbohydrate malabsorption in clinical routine: a prospective observational study. *Hepatogastroenterology*, 53(71): 673-677.

Boyce, J. A., Assa'ad. A., Burks, A. W., Jones, S. M., Sampson, H. A., Wood, R. A. et al.

(2010), Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of food allergy in the United States:

Summary of the NIAID-sponsored expert panel report. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 126(6): 1105-1118.

Breiteneder, H. and Mills, C. E. N. (2005). Plant food allergens – structural and functional aspects of allergenicity. *Biotechnology Advances*, 23(6): 395-399.

BRC (British Retail Consortium) (2015), *BRC Global Standard Food Safety*. Issue 7. BRC, London.

Breiteneder, H. and Radauer, C. (2004), A classification of plant food allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 113(5): 821-830.

BS EN ISO 22000:2005 (2005), Food Safety management systems – Requirements for any organization in the food chain BSI London.

²¹ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Bush, R. K., Taylor, S. L., Holden, K., Nordlee, J. A., Busse, W. W. (1986). Prevalence of sensitivity to sulfiting agents in asthmatic patients. The American Journal of Medicine, 81(5): 816-820.

CBI Ministry of Foreign Affairs (2014), Chia product factsheet: Chia seeds in the EU and EFTA. Available from: https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/oilseeds/chia-seeds/ [Accessed 21.10.15] CAC (Codex Alimentarius Commission) (2003), *Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point* (HACCP) System and Guidelines for its application, Codex Alimentarius Commission Food Hygiene Basic Texts (Revision 4). Available at: http://www.codexalimentarius.org CAC (Codex Alimentarius Commission) (1985), General Standard for the labelling of Prepackaged Foods (CODEX STAN 1-1985). Available at: http://www.codexalimentarius.org [Accessed on 21.10.15]

Carlsson, L., Nystrom, L.-E., Sundkvist, I., Markey, F. and Lindberg, U. (1977). Actin polymerizability is influenced by profilin, a low molecular weight protein in non-muscle cells. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 115(3): 465-483.

Caubet, J.C. and Wang, J. (2011), Current understanding of egg allergy, *Pediatric clinics of North America*, 58(2): 427-443

CBRI (Campden BRI) (2009), *HACCP: a practical guide* (4th Edition) Guideline no.42 Editor R. Gaze ISBN 9780907503521

Cooper, P. J. (2004). Intestinal worms and human allergy. Parasite Immunology, 26: 455-467.

Crevel, R. W.R. Ballmer-Weber, B. K., Holzhauser, T., O'B. Hourihane, J., Knulst, A. C.

Mackie , A Timmermans, R., F. and Taylor, S. L. (2008). Thresholds for food allergens and their value to different stakeholders. *Allergy*, 63: 597-609.

²² ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Dagoye, D., Bekele, Z., Woldemichael, K., Nida, H., Yimam, M., Hall, A., Venn, A. J., Britton, J. R., Hubbard, R. and Lewis, S. A. (2003). Wheezing, allergy and parasite infection in children in urban and rural Ethiopia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 167(10): 1369-1373.

Dean, T. (2000), Introduction. In, Dean, T. (ed.). *Food Intolerance and the Food Industry*. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited, pp.1-11.

Denery-Papini, S., Bodinier, M., Larre, C., Brossard, C., Pineau, F., Triballeau, S., Pietri, M., Battais, F., Mothes, T., Paty, E. and Moneret-Vautrin, D.-A. (2012), Allergy to deamidated gluten in patients tolerant to wheat: specific epitopes linked to deamidation. *Allergy*, 67(8): 1023-1032.

Denery-Papini, S., Bodinier, M., Pineau, F., Triballeau, S., Tranquet, O., Adel-Patient, K., Moneret-Vautrin, D. A., Bakan, B., Marion, D., Mothes, T., Mameri, H. and Kasarda, D. (2011), Immunoglobulin-E-binding epitopes of wheat allergens in patients with food allergy to wheat and in mice experimentally sensitized to wheat proteins. *Clinical and Experimental Allergy*, 41(10): 1478-1492.

Du Toit, G., Tsakok, T., Lack, S., and Lack, G. (2016), Prevention of food allergy, *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 137(4): 998-1010

EC (2013), Commission implementing decision of 22 January 2013 authorising an extension of use of Chia (Salvia hispanica) seed as a novel food ingredient under Regulation (EC) No 258/97 of the European Parliament and of the Council, *Official Journal of the European Union* L 21: 34-35.

EC (2011) Regulation (EU) NO 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council

²³ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

of 25 October 2011 on the provision of food information to consumers, amending Regulations (EC) No 1924/2006 and (EC) No 1925/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Commission Directive 87/250/EEC, Council Directive 90/496/EEC, Commission Directive 1999/10/EC, Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, Commission Directives 2002/67/EC and 2008/5/EC and Commission Regulation (EC) No 608/2004, *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 304: 18 - 63.

EC (2007), Commission Directive 2007/68/EC of 27 November 2007 amending Annex IIIa to Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards certain food ingredients, *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 310: 11 -14.

EC (2006), Directive 2006/142/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 December 2006 amending Annex IIIa of Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council listing ingredients which must under all circumstances appear on the labelling of foodstuffs, *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 368: 15 - 18.

EC (2003), Directive 2003/89/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 November 2003 amending Directive 2000/13/EC as regards indication of the ingredients present in foodstuffs, *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 308: 15 -18.

EFSA (2010), Scientific opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to sugar-free chewing gum and reduction of tooth demineralisation which reduces the risk of dental caries pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal*, 8(10): 1-13.EFSA (2009), Scientific opinion on the safety of 'Chia seeds (*Salvia hispanica* L.) and ground whole Chia seeds' as a food ingredient. *EFSA Journal*, 996: 1-26.

²⁴ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

EFSA (2009), Scientific opinion on the safety of 'Chia seeds (*Salvia hispanica* L.) and ground whole Chia seeds' as a food ingredient. *EFSA Journal*, 996: 1-26.

Egger M., Hauser, M., Mari, A., Ferreira, F. and Gadermaier, G. (2010), The role of lipid transfer proteins in allergic diseases. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 10: 326-335.

FARRP (Food Allergy Research and Resource Programme) (nd) Available at:

http://farrp.unl.edu

[Accessed on 20.08.15]

FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (2015), Approached to establish threshold for major food allergens and for gluten in food. Available at:

http://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceRegulation/GuidanceDocumentsRegulatoryInformation/Aller gens/ucm106108.htm#ii [Accessed 24.10.15]

FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (2013), Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act (2004) Available at:

http://www.fda.gov/food/guidanceregulation/guidancedocumentsregulatoryinformation/allergens /ucm106187.htm_[Accessed on 20.08.15]

FDA (Food and Drug Administration) (2006), Approaches to Establish Thresholds for Major Food Allergens and for Gluten in Food, Available at:

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/UCM192048.pdf [Accessed on 20.10.15]

Flanagan, S. (nd) White Paper: Quantitative Allergen Risk Assessment The Way Forward, RSSL. Available at: https://www.rssl.com/~/media/rssl/en/files/documents/whitepaper/quantitative-allergen-risk-assessment.pdf [Accessed on 20.10.15]

²⁵ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Flohr, C., Quinnell, R. J. and Britton, J. (2008). Do helminth parasites protect against atopy and allergic disease? *Clinical and Experimental Allergy*, 39(1): 20-32.

FoodDrinkEurope (2013), Guidance on food allergen management for food manufacturers.

Available at: http://www.fooddrinkeurope.eu/uploads/press-

releases_documents/temp_file_FINAL_Allergen_A4_web1.pdf Accessedon 20.10.15]

Gendel, S. M. (2012), Comparison of international food allergen labelling regulations.

Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 63: 279-285.

Hamelmann, E. and Wahn, U. (2002), Immune responses to allergens early in life: when and why do allergies arise? *Clinical & Experimental Allergy* 32(12): 1679-1681.

Hammer, H. F. and Hammer, J. (2012), Diarrhea caused by carbohydrate malabsorption. *Gastroenterology Clinics of North America*, 41(3): 611-627.

Hauser, M., Roulias, A., Ferreira, F. and Egger, M. (2010), Panallergens and their impact on the allergic patient. *Allergy, Asthma & Clinical Immunology*, 6(1):1.

Hayes, D.F., Markus, H.S., Leslie, R.D., and Tool, E.J. (2014), Personalized medicine: risk prediction, targeted therapies and mobile health technology, BMC Medicine, 12:37

HC (nd) (Health Canada) Available at:

http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/nr-cp/_2012/2012-130fs-eng.php[Accessed on 20.08.15]

Hjelmroos, M., Schumacher, M. J., Van Hage-Hamsten, M. (1995). Heterogeneity of pollen proteins within individual *Betula pendula* trees. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology*, 108(4): 368-376.

Hourihane, J. O'B. (2000), Symptoms of food intolerance. In, Dean, T. (ed.). *Food Intolerance and the Food Industry*. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited, pp.53-66.

²⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Huertas, A. J., Lopez-Saez, M. P. and Carnes, J. (2011), Clinical profile of a Mediterranean population sensitised to palm pollen (*Phoenix dactylifera*). A retrospective study. *Allergologia et Immunopathologia*, 39(3): 145-149.

Jansen, S. C., van Dusseldorp, M., Bottema, K. C. and Dubois, A. E. J. (2003). Intolerance to dietary biogenic amines: a review. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology*, 91(3): 233-241. Kanny, G., Gerbaux, V., Olszewski, A., Fremont, S., Empereur, F., Nabet, F. et al. (2001). No correlation between wine intolerance and histamine content of wine. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 107(2): 375-378.

Khokhar, S., and Apenten, R.K.O. (2003), *Anti-nutritional factors in food legumes and effects of processing*. In: The role of food, agriculture, forestry and fisheries in human nutrition. (Eds: Squires, Victor R), Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS), pp. 82-116. ISBN 1848266456

Kondo, N., Kuwabara, M., Kodama, H., Kumada, M., and Hori, N. (2015), Medical treatment of food allergies should be personalized, *Personalized Medicine Universe*, 4: 73-75

Kondo, N., Kuwabara, M., Matsui, E., Kodama, H., Kumada, M., Kondon, K., Nagata, T., Toisa, S., Mishima, H., Iwasaki, J., Matsuno, Y., Futura, Y., Shinoda, A., Yoshizaki, S., Tanaka, C., Akita, A., Taguchi, K., and Hirano, K., (2014) Personalised medicine for bronchial asthma and allergies, *Personalized Medicine Universe*, 3: 11-14

Kwaasi, A. A., Harfu, H. A., Parhar, R. S., Saleh, S., Collison, K. S., Panzani, R. C.M Al-Sedairy, S. T. and Al-Mohanna, F. A. (2002), Cross-reactivities between date palm (*Phoeniz dactylifera* L.) polypeptides and foods implicated in the oral allergy syndrome. *Allergy*, 57(6): 508-518.

²⁷ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Lack, G. (2012), Update on risk factors for food allergy, *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 129(5): 1187-1197

Lanida-Pineda, C. M., Arroyo-Becerra, A., Rosas-Alvarado, A., Teran, L. M., Garcia-Cruz, M. L., Marchat, L. A. and Reyes-Lopez. C. A. (2015), Major allergen from *Amaranthus palmeri* pollen is a profilin: Isolation, partial characterization and IgE recognition. *Allergologia et Immunopathologia*, 44(2): 160-166

Lehrer, S,B., Horner, W.E., Reese, G., and Taylor, S. (2009), Why are some proteins allergenic? Implications for biotechnology, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 36(6): 553-564 Levetin, E. (2001). Effects of climate change on airborne pollen. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, S107: s172.

Lomer, M. C. E., Parkes, G. C. and Sanderson, J. D. (2008). Review article: lactose intolerance in clinical practice – myths and realities. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 27(2): 93-103.

López-Torrejón, G., Salcedo, G., Martí-Esteban, M., Díaz-Perales, A., Pascual, C. Y. and Sánchez-Monge, R. (2003), Len c 1, a major allergen and vicilin from lentil seeds: protein isolation and cDNA cloning. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 112(6): 1208-1215. Lynch, N. R., Hagel, I., Perez, M., Di Prisco, M. V., Lopez, R. and Alvarez, N. (1993). Effect of antihelmintic treatment on the allergic reactivity of children in a tropical slum. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 92(3): 404-411.

Maintz, L. and Novak, N. (2007). Histamine and histamine intolerance. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 85(5): 1185-1196.

²⁸ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Maleki, S.J., Viquez, O., Jacks, T., Dodo, H., Champagne, E.T., Chung, S.Y., and Landry, S.J.,

(2003) The major peanut allergen, Ara h 2, functions as a trypsin inhibitor and roasting enhances this function. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 112(1): 190-5

Mameri, H., Denery-Papini, S., Pietri, M., Tranquet, O., Larre, C., Drouet, M., Paty, E.,

Jonathan, A.-M., Beaudouin, E., Moneret-Vautrin, D.-A., Moreau, T., Briozzo, P. and Gaudin,

J.-C. (2012), Molecular and immunological characterization of wheat Serpin (Tri a 33).

Molecular Nutrition & Food Research, 56(12): 1874-1883.

Manning, L. (2015). Categorizing food related illness: have we got it right? Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. **DOI:**10.1080/10408398.2015.1038776

Manso, L., Pastor, C., Perez-Gordo, M., Caes, B., Sastre, J. and Cuesta-Herranz, J. (2010),

Cross-reactivity between coconut and lentil related to a 7S globulin and an 11S globulin. *Allergy*, 65(11): 1487-1488.

Matsuo, H., Yokooji, T. and Taogoshi, T. (2015), Common food allergens and their IgE-binding epitopes. *Allergology International*, 64(4): 332-343.

McMichael, A. J., Woodruff, R. E. and Hales, S. (2006). Climate change and human health: present and future risks. *Lancet*, 367(9513): 859-869.

Mills, E. N. C., Jenkins, J. A., Alcocer, M. J. and Shewry, P. R. (2004). Structural, biological, and evolutionary relationships of plant food allergens sensitizing via the gastrointestinal tract. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 44(5): 379-407.

Mills, E.N.C., Madsen, C., Shrewry, P.R., and Wichers, H.J., (2003), Food allergens of plant origin – their molecular and evolutionary relationships, *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 14(4): 145-156

²⁹ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Mortimore, S. and Wallace, C. (2013), *HACCP A Practical Approach. Third Edition*. Springer. New York ISBN 9781461450276

Murzin, A. G., Brenner, S. E., Hubbard, T. and Chothia, C. (1995), SCOP: A structural classification of proteins database for the investigation of sequences and structures. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 247: 536-540.

Nowak-Wegrzyn, A., Katz, Y., Mehr, S. S. and Koletzko, S. (2015), Non-IgE-mediated gastrointestinal food allergy. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 135(5): 1114-1124. Palmer, L. J., Celedon, J. C., Weiss, S. T., Wang, B., Fang, Z. and Xu, X. (2002). *Ascaris lumbridcoides* infection is associated with increased risk of childhood asthma and atopy in rural China. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 165(11): 1489-1493.

Profet, M., (1991), The function of allergy: immunological defense against toxins, *Q Rev Biol*, 66(1): 23-62.

Radauer, C. and Breiteneder, H. (2007). Evolutionary biology of plant food allergens. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 120(3): 518-525.

Radauer, C., Bublin, M., Wagner, S., Mari, A. and Breiteneder, H. (2008). Allergens are distributed into few protein families and possess a restricted number of biochemical functions. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 121: 847-852.

Raithel, M., Weidenhiller, M., Hagel, A. F.-K., Hetterich, U., Neurath, M. F., Konturek, P. C. (2013). The malabsorption of commonly occurring mono and disaccharides. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, 110(46): 775-782.

³⁰ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Ramachandran, S., Christensen, H. E. M., Ishimaru, Y., Dong, C.-H., Wen, C.-M., Cleary, A. L. and Chua, N.-H. (2000), Profilin plays a role in cell elongation, cell shape maintenance and flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology*, 124(4): 1637-1647.

Redekop, W.K. and Mladsi, D. (2013), The Faces of Personalized Medicine: A Framework for Understanding Its Meaning and Scope, Value in Health, 16(6): S4-S9

Sampson, H. A., Aceves, S., Bock, A., James, J., Jones, S. Lang, D. et al. (2014), Food allergy: A practice parameter update – 2014. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 134(5): 1016-1025

Shaw, I.C. (2013), *Food Safety, The Science of Keeping Food Safe*. Wiley Blackwell. Chichester UK. ISBN 9781444337228

Shewry, P. R., Napier, J. A. and Tatham, A. S. (1995), Seed storage proteins: structures and biosynthesis. *Plant Cell*, 7: 945-956.

Singer, B. D., Ziska, L. H., Frenz, D. A., Gebhard, D. E., Straka, J. G. (2005). Increasing Amb a 1 content in common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) pollen as a function of rising atmospheric CO2 concentration. *Functional Plant Biology*, 32(7): 667-670.

Skypala, I. (2009), Fruits and vegetables. In, Skypala, I. and Venter, C. (Eds.). Food hypersensitivity. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 147-166.

Skypala, I. (2011). Adverse food reactions – An emerging issue for adults. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 111(12): 1877-1891.

Skypala, I. J., Williams, M., Reeves, L., Meyer, R. and Venter, C. (2015). Sensitivity to food additives, vaso-active amines and salicylates: a review of the evidence. *Clinical and Translational Allergy*, 5:34.

³¹ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Smits, H. H., Hartgers, F. C. and Yazdanbakhsh, M. (2005). Helminth infections: Protection from atopic disorders. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports*, 5(1): 42-50.

Stein, M., Greenberg, Z., Boaz, M., Handzel, Z. T., Meshesha, M. K. and Bentwich, Z. (2016).
The role of helminth infection and environment in the development of allergy: A prospective study of newly-arrived Ethiopian immigrants in Israel. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 10(1): e0004208.

Vally, H., de Klerk, N. and Thompson, P. J. (2000). Alcoholic drinks: Important triggers for asthma. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 105(3): 462-467.

Van Ree, R. (2002), Clinical importance of non-specific lipid transfer proteins as food allergens.*Biochemical Society Transaction* 30: 910-913.

Van Ree, R. (1997), The oral allergy syndrome. In Amin S., Lahti, A. and Maibach, H. I. (eds.). *Contact urticaria syndrome*. London: CRC Press, pp. 289-299.

Van Winkle, R. C. and Chang, C. (2014), The biochemical basis and clinical evidence of food allergy due to lipid transfer proteins: A comprehensive review. *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology*, 46(3): 211-224.

Venter, C. (2009), *Classification and prevalence of food hypersensitivity. In, Skypala, I. and Venter, C. (Eds.). Food hypersensitivity.* Chichester: Wiley Blackwell, pp. 3-21.

Vieths, S., Scheurer, S. and Ballmer-Weber, B. (2002), Current understanding of cross-reactivity of food allergens and pollen. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 964(1): 47-68.

Viquez, O. M., Konan, K. N. and Dodo, H. W. (2003), Structure and organization of the genomic clone of a major peanut allergen gene, Ara h 1. *Molecular Immunology*, 40: 565-571.

³² ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Wallace, C.A., Sperber, W.H., and Mortimore, S.E., (2011), *Managing HACCP and Food Safety Through the Global Supply Chain*. Wiley Blackwell ISBN 9781405189118

Walsh, B.J., Barnett, D., Burley, R.W., Elliott, C., Hill, D.J., and Howden, M.E (1988), New allergens from hen's egg white and egg yolk. In vitro study of ovomucin, apovitellenin I and VI and phosvitin. *Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol*, 87(1): 81-6

Wayne, P., Foster, S., Connolly, J., Bazzaz, F. and Epstein, P. (2002). Production of allergenic pollen by ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) is increased in CO2-enriched atmospheres. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology*, 88(3): 279-282.

Wensing, M., Knulst, A., Piersma, S., O'Kane, F., Knol, E. F. and Koppelman, S. J. (2003).Patients with anaphylaxis to pea can have peanut allergy caused by cross reactivity IgE to vicilin (Ara h 1). *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 111(2): 420-424.

WHO/IUIS (World Health Organisation/International Union of Immunological Societies)

(2014), Allergen Nomenclature database. Available at: http://www.allergen.org/index.php [Accessed on 01.08.14]

Wilder-Smith, C. H., Materna, A., Wemerlinger, C. and Schuler, J. (2013). Fructose and lactose intolerance and malabsorption testing: the relationship with symptoms in functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 37(11): 1074-1083.

Ziska, L.H., Caulfield, F.A. (2000a). Rising CO₂ and pollen production of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), a known allergy-inducing species: implications for public health. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 27(10): 893-898.

³³ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Ziska, L.H., Caulfield, F.A. (2000b). The potential influence of rising atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2) on public health: pollen production of common ragweed as a test case: *World Resource Review*, 2000(12): 449-457.

Ziska, L. H., Epstein, P. R. and Rogers, C. A. (2007). Climate change, aerobiology, and public health in the Northeast United States. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 13(5): 607-613.

Ziska, L.H., Epstein, P. R., Schlesinger, W. H. (2009). Rising CO₂, climate change, and public health: Exploring the links to plant biology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 117(2): 155-158.

Zopf, Y., Baenkler, H.W., Silbermann, A., Hahn, E.G., and Raithel, M. (2009), The differential diagnosis of food intolerance. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*, 106(21): 359–69.

³⁴ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 1. Regulatory requirements for allergen labeling by country (Sources: FDA 2013;Gendel, 2012; EC 2011; AG nd; FARRP nd; HC nd)

Food	EU	US	Canad	Australi	Ho	Chi	Japa	Korea	Mexic	Venez
Туре			а	a/ New	ng	na	n**		0,	uela,
				Zealand	Ko				Chile,	Nicara
					ng				Argen	gua,
									tina	Cuba,
										Costa
										Rica,
										Colom
										bia
Cereal	Cereals	Wheat	Cereals	Cereals	Х				X (not	X
s with	containi		with	containi					wheat)	
aluten	ng		aluten	ng					(filear)	
gluten	ing		gruten	ng						
	gluten		includi	gluten						
	(i.e.		ng	and their						
	wheat,		wheat	products						
	rye,			,						
	barley,			namely,						
	oats,			wheat,						
	spelt,			rye,						

kamut or		barley.			
their		oats and			
ulen		oats and			
hybridis		spelt and			
ed		their			
strains)		hybridis			
and		ed			
products		strains			
thereof		other			
Note		than			
wheat		where			
included		these			
in		substanc			
descripti		es are			
on		present			
		in beer			
		and			
		spirits			
		standardi			
		sed in			
		Standard			
		s 2.7.2			
		and			

³⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

				2.7.5						
				respectiv						
				ely						
Crusta	Crustace	Crusta	Seafoo	Crustace	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
cean	ans and	cean	d (fish,	a and			(Cra	(Crab,		
Shellfi	products	shellfi	crustac	their			b,	Shrim		
sh	thereof	sh	eans,	products			Shri	р,		
		(e.g.,	shellfis				mp,	Prawn		
		crab,	h),				Praw)		
		lobster					n)			
		, or								
		shrimp								
),								
Fish	Fish and	Fish		Fish and	Х	X		Х	Х	Х
	products	(e.g.,		fish				(Mack		
	thereof	bass,		products				erel)		
		flound		, except						
		er, or		for						
		cod)		isinglass						
				derived						
				from						
				swim						
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

				bladders						
				and used						
				as a						
				clarifyin						
				g agent						
				in beer						
				and wine						
Egg	Eggs	Egg	Eggs	Egg and	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
	and			egg						
	products			products						
	thereof									
Peanut	Peanuts	Peanut	Peanut	Peanuts	X	X	X	X	Х	Х
Peanut s	Peanuts and	Peanut s	Peanut s	Peanuts and	X	X	X	X	X	Х
Peanut s	Peanuts and products	Peanut s	Peanut s	Peanuts and peanut	X	X	X	X	X	X
Peanut s	Peanuts and products thereof	Peanut s	Peanut s	Peanuts and peanut products	Х	X	X	X	X	X
Peanut s Soybe	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean	Peanut s Soybe	Peanut s Soy	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean	X X	X X	X	X X X	X X	X X
Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean s and	Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanut s Soy	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean s and	X X	X X	X	X X	X X	X X
Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean s and products	Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanut s Soy	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean s and soybean	X X	X X	X	X	X X	X X
Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean s and products thereof	Peanut s Soybe ans	Peanut s Soy	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean s and soybean products	X X	X X	X	X	X X	X X
Peanut s Soybe ans Milk	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean s and products thereof Milk and	Peanut s Soybe ans Milk	Peanut s Soy Milk	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean s and soybean products Milk and	X X X	X X X	X	X X X	X X X	X X X
Peanut s Soybe ans Milk	Peanuts and products thereof Soybean s and products thereof Milk and products	Peanut s Soybe ans Milk	Peanut s Soy Milk	Peanuts and peanut products Soybean s and soybean products Milk and milk	X X X	X X X	X	X X X	X X X	X X X

	(includin							
	g							
	lactose)							
Tree	Tree	Tree	Tree	Tree	X			X
Nuts	Nuts	nuts	nuts	nuts and				
	(see	(e.g.,	(almon	tree nut				
	body	almon	ds,	products				
	text) and	ds,	Brazil	other				
	products	pecans	nuts,	than				
	thereof	, or	cashew	coconut				
		walnut	s,	from the				
		s),	hazeln	fruit of				
			uts,	the palm				
			macada	Cocos				
			mia	nucifera				
			nuts,					
			pecans,					
			pine					
			nuts,					
			pistach					
			ios,					
			walnut					

			s)					
Sulfite	Sulfur	<u>></u> 10	Directl	Added	2		≥10	≥ 10
s	dioxide	mg/kg	у	Sulfites	10		mg/kg	mg/kg
	and	*	added	in	mg/			
	sulphites		or <u>></u> 10	concentr	kg			
	at		mg/kg	ations of				
	concentr			10				
	ations			mg/kg or				
	of≥10			more				
	mg/kg or							
	10							
	mg/litre							
	expresse							
	d as SO ₂							
Musta	Mustard	-	Mustar					
rd	and		d,					
	products							
	thereof							
Sesam	Sesame	-	Sesame	Sesame				
e	seeds		seeds,	seeds				
	and			and				
	products			sesame				

	thereof		seed				
			products				
Celery	Celery						
	and						
	products						
	thereof						
Lupin	Lupin	-					
	and						
	products						
	thereof						
Mollu	Mollusc	-	Mollusc				
scan	s and		S				
Shellfi	products						
sh	thereof						
Wheat	-	-		Х	Х	Х	
Buck	-	-			X	Х	
wheat							
Bee	-	-	Bee				
pollen/			pollen				
Propol							
is							
Royal	-	_	Royal				

jelly			jelly			
Peach	-	-			Х	
Pork	-	-			Х	
Tomat	-	-			Х	
0						

*Additional legislation

**voluntary labeling recommended for 20 other foods X indicates mandatory labeling is required.

Table 2. Common foods and associated protein allergens (Adapted from Walsh et al. 1988; Maleki et al. 2003; Caubet and Wang, 2011; Denery-Papini et al. 2011, 2012; Mameri et al. 2012; Mortimore and Wallace 2013; Shaw 2013; WHO/IUIS, 2014; Matsuo et al. 2015, Allergome, 2015)

Food	Animal or plant	Molecule (Allergen)
	species	
Bee pollen/		Pollen proteins in honey or bee derived products
Royal jelly		
Buckwheat	Fagopyrum	2S albumin (Fag e 2); Vicilin-like protein (Fag e 3)
	esculentum	
	(Common	
	buckwheat)	
Celery	Apium graveolens	Pathogenesis-related protein, PR-10, Bet v 1 family member
		(Api g 1); Non-specific lipid-transfer protein, type 1
		(nsLTP1) (Api g 2); Chlorophyll a-b binding protein,
		chloroplast (Api g 3); Profilin (Api g 4); FAD-containing
		oxidase (Api g 5); Non-specific lipid transfer protein type 2
		(Api g 6)
Crustacea	Charybdis feriatus	Tropomyosin (Cha f 1)
(examples)	(crab)	
	Metapenaeus ensis	Tropomyosin (Met e 1);
	(shrimp)	

	Penaeus aztecus	Tropomyosin (Pen a 1)
	(brown shrimp)	
	Litopenaeus	Tropomyosin (Lit v 1); Arginine kinase (Lit v 2); Myosin
	vannamei (white	light chain 2 (Lit v 3); Sarcoplasmic calcium-binding protein
	shrimp)	(Lit v 4)
	Pandalus borealis	Tropomyosin (Pan b 1)
	(Northern shrimp)	
	Penaeus indicus	Tropomyosin (Pen i 1)
	(Indian white	
	shrimp)	
	Penaeus monodon	Tropomyosin (Pen m 1); Arginine kinase (Pen m 2); Myosin
	(Black tiger shrimp)	light chain 2 (Pen m 3); Sarcoplasmic calcium-binding
		protein (Pen m 4); Troponin C (Pen m 6)
	Crangon crangon	Tropomyosin (Cra c 1); Arginine kinase (Cra c 2);
	(North sea shrimp)	Sarcoplasmic calcium-binding protein (Cra c 4); Myosin
		light chain 1 (Cra c 5); Troponin C (Cra c 6);
		Triosephosphate isomerase (Cra c8)
Cereal	Hordeum vulgare	Profilin (Hor v 12); α-amylase inhibitor BMAI-1 precursor
(excluding	(barley)	(Hor v 15); α -amylase (Hor v 16); β -amylase (Hor v 17); γ -
wheat)		hordein 3 (Hor v 20)
	Secale cereale (rye)	γ-secalin (Sec c 20);

Cow's milk	Bos domesticus	α-Lactalbumin (Bos d 4); β-Lactoglobulin (Bos d 5); Serum
		albumin (Bos d 6); Immunoglobulin (Bos d 7); Caseins (Bos
		d 8); α-S1-casein (Bos d 9); α-S2-casein (Bos d 10); β-casein
		(Bos d 11); κ-casein (Bos d 12)
Egg	Gallus domesticus	Ovamucoid (Gal d 1); Ovalbumin (Gal d 2); Ovatransferrin
		(Gal d 3); Lysosyme C (Gal d 4); serum albumin, α-Livetin
		(Gal d 5) – can also cause a cross reaction with poultry meat;
		Phosvitin (Gal d 6); Apovitellenins I (Gal d Apo I);
		Apovitellenins VI (Gal d Apo VI); fragment of vitellogenin
		– 1 precursor (YGP42)
Fish	Gadus callarius	β-parvalbumin (Gad c 1);
(examples)	(Baltic cod)	
	Gadus morhua	β -parvalbumin (Gad m 1); β -enolase (Gad m 2); Aldolase A
	(Atlantic cod)	(Gad m 3);
	Salmo salar	β -parvalbumin (Sal s 1); β -enolase (Sal s 2); Aldolase A (Sal
	(Atlantic salmon)	s 3)
Legumes	Glycine ussuruensis	Glycinin (Gly m 1); Defensin (Gly m 2); Profilin (Gly m 3);
(examples)	(soy)	Pathogenesis-related protein, PR-10, Bet v 1 family member
	Lens culinaris	(Gly m 4); Vicilin (β-Conglycinin); (Gly m 5); Glycinin
	(lentil)	(Gly m 6); Seed-specific biotinylated protein (Gly m 7); 2S
		albumin (Gly m 8)
		Gamma-vivilin subunit (Len c 1); Seed-specific biotinylated

		protein (Len c 2); Non-specific lipid transfer protein type 1	
		(Len c 3)	
	Lupinus	7S seed storage globulin (vicilin-like) (Lup an 1)	
	angustifolius		
	(lupin)		
	Cicer arietinum	7S vicilin-like globulin (Cic a 1); heat shock protein 70 (Cic	
	(chickpea)	a 10); 2S albumin (Cic a 2S albumin); lipid transfer protein 1	
		(Cic a 3); Bet v 1-like protein (Cic a 4); 11S globulin (Cic a	
		6); seed albumin (Cic a Albumin)	
Phaseolus vulgaris Non-specific l		Non-specific lipid transfer protein type 1 (Pha v 3)	
	(green bean)		
Molluscs	Helix aspersa	Tropomyosin (Hel as 1)	
(examples) (Brown garden			
	snail)		
	Todarodes pacifus	Tropomyosin (Tod p 1) Chitinase may be an allergen	
	(squid)		
Mustard	Sinapis alba	2S albumin (Sin a 1); 11S seed storage globulin (legumin-	
(examples)	(yellow mustard)	like) (Sin a 2); Non-specific lipid-transfer protein, type 1	
		(nsLTP1) (Sin a 3); Profilin (Sin a 4)	
Peach	Prunus persica	Pathogenesis-related protein, PR-10 (Pru p 1); Thaumatin-	
	(peach)	like protein (Pru p 2); nsLTP1 (Pru p 3); profiling (Pru p 4);	
		Gibberellin-regulated protein (Pru p 7)	

⁴⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Peanut	Arachis hypogaea	Cupin Vicilin like (Ara h 1) causes severe reaction in those	
		with a peanut allergy including anaphylactic shock;	
		Conglutinin (Ara h 2) inhibits digestive enzyme trypsin;	
		Cupin Legumin-type (Ara h 3); (Ara h 4) renamed Ara h	
		3.02; Profilin (Ara h 5); Conglutin (Ara h 6) and (Ara h 7);	
		Pathogenesis-related protein, PR-10, Bet v 1 family	
		member(Ara h 8); Non-specific lipid-transfer protein, type 1	
		(nsLTP1) (Ara h 9); Oleosin (Ara h 10) and (Ara h 11);	
		Defensin (Ara h 12) and (Ara h 13), oleosin (Ara h 14 and	
		Ara h 15), non-specific Lipid Transfer Protein (Ara h 16 and	
		Ara h 17)	
Potato	Solanum tuberosum	Patatin (Sola t 1); cathepsin D inhibitor PDI (Sola t 2);	
		cysteine protease inhibitor (Sola t 3); serine protease	
		inhibitor 7 (Sola t 4)	
Pork/	Sus domestica	Sus d (kidney) related to allergy to galactose-alpha-1,3-	
gelatine;		galactose allergy noted to albumin and γ globulin	
Rapeseed	Brassica napus	2S albumin (Bra n 1)	
Sesame	Sesamum indicum	2S albumin (Ses i 1) and (Ses i 2); 7S seed storage globulin	
	(sesame)	(vicilin-like) (Ses i 3); Oleosin (Ses i 4); (Ses i 5)	
Soybean	Glycine max	Hydrophobic protein (Gly m 1); Profilin (Gly m 3);	
		Pathogenesis-related protein [PR-10, Bet v 1 (Gly m 4); β -	
		conglycinin (Gly m 5); Glycinin (Gly m 6); seed of	

		biotinylated protein (Gly m 7); 2S albumin (Gly m 8)	
Sunflower	Helianthus annuus	2S albumin (SFA 8) for seed	
seed			
Tomato	Solanum	Profilin (Sola 1 1); β-fructofuranosidase (Sola 1 2); Non-	
	lycopersicum;	specific lipid transfer protein type 2 (Sola 1 3); Pathogenesis-	
	Lycopersicon	related protein, PR-10, Bet v 1 family member (Sola 1 4)	
	esculentum		
	(tomato)		
Tree nuts	Prunus dulcis	Non-specific lipid-transfer protein, type 1 (nsLTP1) (Pru du	
(examples)	(almond)	3); Profilin (Pru du 4): 60s acidic ribosomal prot. P2 (Pru du	
		5); Amandin, 11S globulin legumin-like protein (Pru du 6)	
	Anacardium	Vicilin (Ana o 1); Legumin (Ana o 2); 2S albumin (Ana o 3)	
	orientale (cashew)		
	Bertholletia excels	2S sulfur-rich seed storage albumin (Ber e 1); 11S seed	
	(brazil nut)	storage globulin (legumin-like) (Ber e 2)	
	Carya illinoiesis	2S seed storage albumin (Car i 1); Legumin seed storage	
	(pecan)	protein (Car i 4)	
	Corylus avellana	Pathogenesis-related protein, PR-10, Bet v 1 family member	
	(hazelnut)	(Cor a 1); Profilin (Cor a 2); Non-specific lipid-transfer	
		protein, type 1 (nsLTP1) (Cor a 8); 11S seed storage	
		globulin (legumin-like) (Cor a 9); 7S seed storage globulin	
		(vicilin-like) (Cor a 11); Oleosin (Cor a 12) and (Cor a 13);	

		2S albumin (Cor a 14)	
	Juglans regia	2S seed storage albumin (Jug r 1); 7S seed storage globulin	
	(English walnut)	(vicilin-like) (Jug r 2); Non-specific lipid-transfer protein,	
		type 1 (nsLTP1) (Jug r 3); 11S seed storage globulin	
		(legumin-like) (Jug r 4);	
	Juglans nigra	2S seed storage albumin (Jug n 1); 7S seed storage globulin	
(Black walnut) (vicilin-like) (Jug n 2);		(vicilin-like) (Jug n 2);	
	Pistacia vera	2S albumin (Pis v 1); 11S globulin subunit (Pis v 2) and (Pis	
(pistachio nut) v 5)		v 5); Vicilin-like protein (Pis v 3); Manganese superoxide	
		dismutase (Pis v 4);	
WheatTriticum aestivum		Profilin (Tri a 12); non-specific lipid transfer protein 1 (Tri a	
	(wheat)	14); α-amylase inhibitors (Tri a 15; 28-30) Agglutinin	
		isolectin 1 (Tri a 18); Omega-5 gliadin (Tri a 19) Gamma	
		gliadin (Tri a 20); Thioredoxin (Tri a 25); High molecular	
		weight glutenin subunits (Tri a 26); Thiol reductase	
		homologue (Tri a 27); Triosephosphate isomerase (Tri a 31);	
		1-Cys-peroxiredoxin (Tri a 32); Serpin (Tri a 33);	
		Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate-dehydrogenase (Tri a 34);	
		Dehydrin (Tri a 35); Low molecular weight glutenin	
		subunits (Tri a 36) α -purothionin (Tri a 37); Serine protease	
		inhibitor-like protein (Tri a 39); Glutathione transferase;	
		Thaumatin like protein; Peroxidase; α/β -Gliadin (Tri a 21);	

	γ -Gliadin (Tri a 20); ω 1,2-Gliadin; ω 5-Gliadin (Tri a 19)	
This table is not designed to	be an exhaustive list, but to give an indication of the complexity of	of

allergenic protein classification and the distribution of protein superfamilies between different foods.

⁵⁰ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 3. Examples of cross reactivity between pollens with fruits and vegetables (Skypala,

2009; Vieths et al. 2002)

If an individual is	He / she may have a reaction to:	
allergic to:		
Birch / mugwort	Celery, carrot, spices, sunflower seed, honey	
Birch pollen	Apples, apricot, peaches, plums, nectarines, cherries, carrots, celery,	
	potatoes, hazelnuts, pears, almonds, peanuts, other nuts	
Ragweed pollen	Watermelon and other melon, banana, courgette, cucumber	
Grass	Melon, watermelon, orange, tomato, potato, peanut, Swiss chard	
Plane	Hazelnut, peach, apple, melon, kiwi, peanuts, maize, chickpea, lettuce,	
	green beans	
Latex	Avocado, chestnut, banana, passion fruit, kiwi fruit, papaya, mango,	
	tomato, pepper, potato, celery	

Table 4. Reference Databases for food allergens

Title	Country	Web address	Institution
AllergenOnline	US	http://www.allergenonline.org/	University of Nebraska-
(FARRP)			Lincoln
Allergome	Italy	http://www.allergome.org	Consortia including
Database			University of
			Queensland
ALLFam	Austria	http://www.meduniwien.ac.at/allfam	Medizinische Universitat
(Radauer et al.			Wien. Database
2008)			combines data from
			Allergome and PFam
			(http://pfam.xfam.org)
Informall	UK	http://www.inflammation-	University of Manchester
		repair.manchester.ac.uk/informAll/	
Pfam 29.0	UK	http://pfam.xfam.org/	Wellcome Trust Sanger
(Bateman et al.			Institute, UK; European
2004)			Bioinformatics Institute
			(EMBL-EBI), UK
Structural	US	http://fermi.utmb.edu/	University of Texas
Database of			Medical Branch
Allergenic			

Proteins			
(SDAP)			
WHO/IUIS	International	http://www.allergen.org/	The World Health
Allergen			Organization and
Nomenclature			International Union of
Database			Immunological Societies

⁵³ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 5. Comparison of the mechanism for identification of allergens according to

Items	Food/ingredient group	Protein group	
Mechanisms	List food formulation or	List food formulation or food	
for	ingredients present in food by	ingredients present.	
identification	name		
	Identify allergenic foods and	Identify allergens based on food	
	the requirement for labelling	and food ingredients as a	
	based on food groups and	headline.	
	according to the legislation in		
	importing countries (see		
	Table 1)		
	Use of allergen risk	Identify and cross check protein	
	assessment tools that have	superfamily among list of	
	determined quantitative	allergens with the help of	
	thresholds at which an	databases (e.g. WHO/IUIS,	
	allergic reaction is likely to	Allergome, AllFam,	
	occur	AllergenOnline see Table 4).	
Advantages	Allows prompt identification	Allows cross examination for	
	as industries will list foods	potential new food allergens or	
	determined in legislation as	cross reactivity with other foods	
	allergens according to food	and pollens.	

food/ingredient or protein group

	group. Easy communication	Assists in preliminary risk
	to consumers compared to a	assessment of novel food
	protein approach.	ingredients used for new product
		formulation.
		Enables businesses to be ready
		for the concept of personalized
		medicine or personalized
		healthcare.
		Enables provision of information
		for customers via social media
		and online networks.
Limitations	Less comprehensive	Protein family-based risk
	approach	assessment adds another layer of
	Potential for food ingredients	complexity hence requires
	to result in cross reactions	expertise / knowledge in
	and cause sensitivity when	allergenic proteins and division
	individuals may not have	of protein superfamilies and
	awareness of presence.	families and impact of food
		processing e.g. heat treatment.
		May cause 'search fatigue' to
		cross examine protein allergens.
Extensions	Databases (Table 4 provide quic	ck referencing for cross reactions

⁵⁵ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

between different plant food proteins and non-related food proteins)

⁵⁶ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 6. Case study example using an approach of identification of allergens according to

food groups

Current snack bar		Alternative snack bar reformulated to remove		
		wheat flour and chopped peanuts		
Peanuts and	Allergens identified	Chia seed and dates	Allergens identified	
raisin choco-	according to food	choco-top bar (gluten	according to food groups	
top bar	groups or	free)	or preservatives	
	preservatives			
Water	None	Water	None	
Xylitol	Risk of diarrhoea at	Xylitol	Risk of diarrhoea at	
	excessive intake of		excessive intake of polyols	
	polyols (EFSA, 2010)		(EFSA, 2010)	
Chopped	Peanuts	Chia seeds (Novel	There are still	
peanuts		food)	uncertainties with regard	
		(recognised as novel	to potential allergenicity	
		ingredient and could be	of Chia seeds, however	
		sold and consumed in	there are potential cross	
		EU but usage is still	reactivity with peanut and	
		restricted to bakery,	sesame (EFSA, 2009)	
		cereals and seed mixes		
		(EC, 2013)		
Wheat flour	Wheat (gluten)	Buckwheat flour	Known allergenic	

⁵⁷ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

			reactions in Japan and
			Korea
Golden syrup	None	Golden syrup	None
Raisins	Sulfur dioxide may	Dates	Sulfur dioxide may have
	have been used to		been used to preserve the
	preserve the dried fruit.		dried fruit.
Chocolate	Soy if soy lecithin used	Chocolate topping	Soy if soy lecithin used
topping			

⁵⁸ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 7. Case study example of additional protein focused risk assessment approach for

both current and new snack bars

Current confectionary bar		New confectionary bar to be produced by case study			
produced by case study example		example			
Peanuts and raisin choco-top bar		Chia seeds and dates choco top bar (gluten free)			
Ingredients	Allergenic	Ingredients with	Allergenic	Potential	
with examples	protein groups	examples of	protein groups	allergen	
of common		rare and novel		identification by	
allergens		ingredients		food industries	
Chopped	Contains cupin	Chia seeds	Non-identified on	There are still	
peanuts	(e.g. Ara h 1, Ara	(Salvia	allergen.org	uncertainties	
(Arachis	h 3); prolamin	hispanica)		with regard to	
hypogaea)	(Ara h 2, 16, 17);	(not one of the		potential	
	pathogenesis-	foods requiring		allergenicity of	
	related proteins	allergen labeling		Chia seeds,	
	(Ara h 8, 9)	in EU)		however there	
				are potential	
				cross reactivities	
				for those with	
				peanut and	
				sesame allergies	
				(EFSA, 2009)	

Wheat flour	Contains prolamin	Buckwheat flour	Contains	Known
(Triticum	(e.g. gliadin);	(Fagopyrum	prolamin (Fag e	allergenic
aestivum)	pathogenesis-	esculentum) (not	2); cupin (Fag e	reactions
	related proteins	one of the foods	3)	especially in
	(e.g. Tri a	requiring		Japan and Korea
	chitinase); profilin	allergen labeling		
	(e.g. Tri a 12). For	in EU)		
	more			
	comprehensive			
	list of allergenic			
	proteins, see			
	Table 2.			
Raisins (Vitis	Contains prolamin	Dates (Phoenix	Contains profilin	Date palm pollen
<i>vinifera</i>) (not	(Vit v 1)	dactylifera) (not	(Pho d 2) but not	was found to
one of the foods		one of the foods	food allergen	trigger higher
requiring		requiring	(WHO/IUIS,	prevalence of
allergen		allergen labeling	2014).	asthma and
labeling in EU		in EU as a result		polysensitisation.
as a result of		of sensitivity to		Possibility for
sensitivity to		proteins)		presence of
proteins)				unidentified
				panallergens

⁶⁰ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

				(Huertas et al.,
				2011).
				May cross react
				with pollens such
				as Bermuda grass
				(Cynodon
				dactylon),
				cultivated rye
				(Secale cereale),
				Timothy grass
				(Phleum
				pratense);
				Sydney golden
				wattle (Acacia
				longifolia)
				(Kwaasi et al.
				2002)
Chocolate	Contains	Chocolate	Contains	
topping	phenylethylamine	topping	phenylethylamine	
	and theobromine		and theobromine	
	(may result in		(may result in	
	food		food	
1	1	1		1

h	nypersensitivity –	hypersensitivity –	
e	e.g. headache)	e.g. headache)	

⁶² ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Ingredients	Food based	Protein group based	Action
	assessment	assessment	
Chia seeds	No labelling	There are still uncertainties with	No labeling required,
(Salvia	required	regard to potential allergenicity	but be aware of
hispanica)		of Chia seeds, however there are	potential for
		potential cross reactivities for	sensitivity if consumer
		those with peanut and sesame	enquiry
		allergies (EFSA, 2009)	
Buckwheat	Not one of the	Contains prolamin (Fag e 2);	No labeling required
flour	foods requiring	cupin (Fag e 3)	in EU, but required if
(Fagopyrum	allergen labeling in		exporting to Japan or
esculentum)	EU. Labeling		Korea. Be aware of
	required if		potential for
	exporting to Japan		sensitivity if consumer
	and Korea		enquiry.
Dates	If dates are	Contains profilin (Pho d 2)	If preserved with
(Phoenix	preserved with	(WHO/IUIS, 2014). Date palm	sulfur dioxide then
dactylifera)	sulfur dioxide then	pollen was found to trigger	mandatory labeling of
	mandatory labeling	higher prevalence of asthma and	sulfur dioxide in
	of sulfur dioxide	polysensitisation. Possibility for	ingredient list. Be
	in ingredient list.	presence of unidentified	aware of potential for

		panallergens (Huertas et al.,	sensitivity if consumer
		2011).	enquiry.
		May cross react with pollens	
		such as Bermuda grass (Cynodon	
		dactylon), cultivated rye (Secale	
		cereale), Timothy grass (Phleum	
		pratense); Sydney golden wattle	
		(Acacia longifolia) (Kwaasi et	
		al. 2002	
Chocolate	If chocolate	Contains phenylethylamine and	If chocolate topping
topping	topping contains	theobromine (may result in food	contains lecithin (soy)
	lecithin (soy) or	hypersensitivity – e.g. headache)	or milk then
	milk then		mandatory labeling of
	mandatory labeling		milk and soy in
	of milk and soy in		ingredient list. Be
	ingredient list		aware of potential for
			sensitivity if consumer
			enquiry.

⁶⁴ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT





⁶⁵ ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT