

## Article

# A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes

Fsadni, A and Whitty, J

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/13844/

*Fsadni, A and Whitty, J (2016) A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes. Applied Thermal Engineering, 103 . pp. 616-638. ISSN 1359-4311* 

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.04.125

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/</a> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>



#### Manuscript details

Manuscript number

Title

Article type

Abstract

#### HMT\_2016\_438

A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes

#### Review Article

Due to their compact design, ease of manufacture and enhanced heat transfer and fluid mixing properties, helically coiled tubes are widely used in a variety of industries and applications. In fact, helical tubes are the most popular from the family of coiled tube heat exchangers. This review summarises and critically reviews the studies reported in the pertinent literature on the pressure drop characteristics of two-phase flow in helically coiled tubes. The main findings and correlations for the frictional two-phase pressure drops due to: steam-water flow boiling, R-134a evaporation and condensation, air-water two-phase flow and nanofluid flows are reviewed. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to provide researchers in academia and industry with a practical summary of the relevant correlations and supporting theory for the calculation of the two-phase pressure drop in helically coiled tubes. A significant scope for further research was also identified in the fields of: air-water bubbly flow and nanofluid two phase and three-phase flows in helically coiled tubes.

Keywords	Two-phase flow; curved tubes; frictional
	pressure drop; flow boiling; nanofluids
Corresponding Author	Andrew Fsadni
Corresponding Author's Institution	University of Central Lancashire
Order of Authors	Andrew Fsadni, Justin Whitty
Suggested reviewers	Mohamed Basuny, Bengt Sunden,
	Harry Edmar Schulz

## Submission files included in this PDF

File Type	File Name
Cover Letter	Cover Letter_A Fsadni_0802_16.pdf
Conflict of Interest	Author Declaration_A Fsadni_08_02_16.pdf
Title page with author details	Title Page_Review Paper_Helical Coils_Pressure Drop_08_
Highlights	Highlights_Review Paper_Helical Coils_Pressure Drop_08_
Manuscript	Manuscript_Review paper_Pressure Drop_Helical Coils_08_

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

Room: KM 124 School of Engineering University of Central Lancashire, Preston UK, PR1 2HE

The Editor, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, Elsevier Publishing

8<sup>th</sup> February 2016

Dear Prof. Rose,

I would like to submit the attached manuscript entitled: 'A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes' for publication in the International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. This research complements our earlier paper entitled: 'A review on the two-phase heat transfer characteristics in helically coiled tube heat exchangers'. For the purposes of the current submission, I have selected the Elsevier 'Your Paper Your Way' submission process.

I thank you for taking the time to consider this request and I look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Yours sincerely,

\$Soc'

Dr. Andrew M. Fsadni PhD, MSc, MBA, BEng (Hons), EUR ING, FHEA, CEng, MIMechE Lecturer, School of Engineering, University of Central Lancashire, UK

## **Author Declaration**

I wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

I confirm that Dr Justin P.M. Whitty and the undersigned are the sole authors of the manuscript and therefore, there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed.

I confirm that, due consideration has been given to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing, I confirm that I have followed the regulations of my institution concerning intellectual property.

I understand that as a Corresponding Author, I am the sole contact for the Editorial process. I confirm that I have provided a current, correct email address which is accessible by myself as the Corresponding Author and which has been configured to accept emails from The International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer.

Soo

Dr Andrew M. Fsadni 08<sup>th</sup> February 2016

**Title:** A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes

Authors: Andrew Michael Fsadni\*, Justin P.M. Whitty

\*Corresponding author

#### **Contact details:**

Address: University of Central Lancashire, School of Engineering, Rm. KM124, Preston, UK, PR1 2HE

Email: <u>afsadni@uclan.ac.uk</u>

**Tel:** +44 1772893812

## Highlights

- Detailed review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics and correlations
- Impact of curvature on the flow boiling frictional pressure drop is not significant
- Nanofluids' impact on the pressure drop is significant
- There is a significant gap in literature in the field of frictional drag reduction

#### **1** A review on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes

### 4 Abstract

2 3

5

Due to their compact design, ease of manufacture and enhanced heat transfer and fluid mixing 6 7 properties, helically coiled tubes are widely used in a variety of industries and applications. In fact, helical tubes are the most popular from the family of coiled tube heat exchangers. This 8 review summarises and critically reviews the studies reported in the pertinent literature on the 9 10 pressure drop characteristics of two-phase flow in helically coiled tubes. The main findings and correlations for the frictional two-phase pressure drops due to: steam-water flow boiling, 11 R-134a evaporation and condensation, air-water two-phase flow and nanofluid flows are 12 reviewed. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to provide researchers in academia and 13 industry with a practical summary of the relevant correlations and supporting theory for the 14 calculation of the two-phase pressure drop in helically coiled tubes. A significant scope for 15 further research was also identified in the fields of: air-water bubbly flow and nanofluid two 16 phase and three-phase flows in helically coiled tubes. 17

18

Keywords: Two-phase flow; curved tubes; frictional pressure drop; flow boiling; nanofluids

#### 19 20

# 21 **1. Introduction**22

23 Due to their compact design, ease of manufacture and high efficiency in heat and mass transfer, helically coiled tubes are widely used in a number of industries and processes such as 24 in the food, nuclear, aerospace and power generation industries and in heat recovery, 25 26 refrigeration, space heating and air-conditioning processes. Due to the formation of a secondary flow, which inherently enhances the mixing of the fluid, helically coiled tube heat exchangers 27 are known to yield improved heat transfer characteristics when compared to straight tube heat 28 exchangers. The secondary flow is perpendicular to the axial fluid direction and reduces the 29 thickness of the thermal boundary layer. Goering et al. [1] estimated the secondary flow to 30 account for circa 16-20% of the mean fluid flow velocity. This phenomenon finds its origins 31 in the centrifugal force due to the curvature of the coil structure and is more evident with 32 laminar flow due to the limited fluid mixing in straight tube laminar flow [2,3]. However, for 33 single and two-phase flows, the secondary flow could also result in an undesirable increase in 34 the frictional pressure drop over that of straight tubes. For air-water two-phase flow in helically 35 coiled tubes, Akagawa et al. [4] reported frictional pressure drops in the range of 1.1 to 1.5 36 times greater than those in straight tubes, ceteris paribus. Therefore, the performance of helical 37 coils is also a function of the geometry and design parameters such as the tube diameter and 38 the pitch (Fig. 1) as well as the resultant pressure drop. Through their study on the investigation 39 of the heat transfer characteristics with the addition of multi-walled carbon nanotubes 40 nanoparticles to oil, Fakoor-Pakdaman et al. [5] reported their results in terms of the 41 Performance Index (PI), given in Eq. (1). This captures the simultaneous effects of heat transfer 42 and two-phase pressure drop with the use of nanofluids and helical tubes on the overall 43 performance of the heat exchanger. When the performance index is greater than unity, the PI 44 implies that the benefits gained through enhanced heat transfer coefficients outweigh the 45 effects of larger pressure drops as a result of the nanoparticles and helical tubes. 46

$$\eta = \frac{\frac{h^*}{h_{st}}}{\frac{\Delta P^*}{\Delta P_{st}}}$$
(1)

where h\* is the mean heat transfer coefficient after the application of enhancement techniques, 50  $h_{st}$  is the mean heat transfer coefficient in a straight tube with the base fluid only,  $\Delta P^*$  is the 51 mean pressure drop after the application of enhancement techniques and  $\Delta P_{\rm st}$  is the mean 52 pressure drop inside a straight tube with the base fluid only.

53

## 54



55 56

57

Figure 1: Schematic representation of helical pipe characteristics

58 The pertinent literature, presents a considerable number of widely cited studies on the pressure drop for single-phase flow in helically coiled tubes [6,7]. A lesser number of studies 59 have investigated the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes. Whilst 60 being more relevant to real-life engineering systems, when compared to single-phase flow, 61 two-phase flow is significantly more complex due to the combination of the three forces 62 governing the flow regime, these being the: inertia, liquid gravity and centrifugal forces [8]. 63 Numerous studies investigated the two-phase frictional pressure drop with steam-water flow 64 65 boiling [9,10], R-134a refrigerant flows [11,12] and air-water flows [4] whilst more recently, a number of authors investigated the application of nanofluids [13,14] in helically coiled tubes 66 through experimental and computational studies. Mandal and Das [15] and Murai et al. [16] 67 reported that the phase with the lower density is subjected to a smaller centrifugal force which 68 forces the lighter phase to shift towards the inner side of the coil's wall. However, Saffari et al. 69 [17] reported that for bubbly flows at elevated Reynolds numbers and characterised by small 70 71 bubble diameters (b<0.5mm), the enhanced fluid mixing could result in a quasi-homogenous distribution of the secondary phase. This draws an analogy to similar investigations with 72 nanofluids where no significant phase separation was reported [18]. 73

74 A recent development in the field of bubbly air-water two-phase flow has resulted in the injection of microbubbles in the flow to achieve a reduction in the system frictional pressure 75 drop. Hitherto, this research has focused on the injection of air bubbles over flat plates and in 76 77 straight tubes with a minimal consideration for the investigation of the pressure drop reduction in coiled tubes. When investigating the drag reduction inside a channel Nouri et al. [19] 78 79 reported that bubble injection can be used to decrease the flow transfer costs. In fact, they reported a 35% reduction in the pressure drop in turbulent upward pipe flow with the maximum 80 experimental volumetric void fraction of 9%. This is attributed to the congregation of the larger 81 bubbles at the pipe wall. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the sole investigation with 82

coiled tubes was done by Saffari et al. [17] who reported an increase in the magnitude of drag 83 reduction with increasing volumetric void fraction and decreasing Reynolds and Dean 84 Numbers. These conclusions contrast to the findings reported by the majority of investigations 85 on air-water bubbly flows, where two-phase pressure drop multipliers in excess of unity were 86 reported [20, 8]. The pertinent literature also presents some controversy through conflicting 87 results on the impact of nanoparticles on the frictional pressure drop in helically coiled tubes. 88 89 In fact, whereas the majority of investigations reported a rise in the pressure drop with the particle concentration [21, 22], some investigations concluded that the opposite effect could 90 91 occur [23].

92 Naphon and Wongwises [24] briefly reviewed the single and two-phase flow and pressure drop characteristics in curved tubes. However, their review was principally focused 93 on the single-phase flow characteristics and hence they failed to adequately review the pertinent 94 literature for two-phase flow. Therefore, to the best of the authors' knowledge the open 95 literature does not present comprehensive reviews on the pressure drop characteristics of two-96 phase flow in helically coiled tube heat exchangers. The current study will therefore present a 97 review of the pertinent literature on the two-phase frictional pressure drop characteristics and 98 99 correlations in helically coiled tubes. It is the authors' hope that this review will be useful to both academics and industry based engineers through the provision of a comprehensive report 100 on the relevant current knowledge and controversies in literature. The present study will also 101 102 identify areas for further research.

- 103
- 104 1.1 Research Methods
- 105

Experimental and numerical methods were used to investigate the pressure drop characteristics
in helically coiled tubes. Fig. 2 presents a schematic diagram of the test facility developed by
Guo et al. [25] and Cioncolini et al. [26] for the investigation of the steam-water flow boiling

109 pressure drop in helically coiled tubes at varying operating system parameters such as



- 110 111 112
- 113

114Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the typical experimental test rig for the investigation of the flow boiling115two-phase pressure drop in helically coiled tubes (Guo et al. (2001b) [25] Fig. 1) and the typical test section116(Cioncolini et al. [26], Fig. 2)

117

the pressure, heat and mass fluxes. This setup is typical for most experimental studies in this field of study. An experimental uncertainty of 2.5% was reported by Cioncolini et al. for their two-phase pressure drop measurements.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the typical experimental setup for the investigation of the flow boiling two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes included a centrifugal

pump for maintaining the system mass flow rate. Before entering the test section, the working 123 fluid was heated to a subcooled state through the use of the pre-heater. The system bulk fluid 124 flow rates were typically controlled by the system circulation pump. Stainless steel [25,27, 28] 125 was used for the test section, which was thermally insulated to minimise the heat losses to the 126 environment. The majority of the studies reviewed in this paper used the electrical direct 127 heating method to heat the test section whilst, armoured K-type thermocouples were typically 128 129 used to measure the bulk fluid temperature along the test section. K-type thermocouples, welded to the outside surface of the tube, were also used to measure the tube's wall temperature. 130 These thermocouples were electrically insulated in order to avoid the effects of the heating 131 132 electrical currents on it. Pressure sensors, installed at the return and flow ends of the helically coiled tube, measured the total two-phase pressure drop whilst a water cooled condenser 133 condensed the steam or refrigerant vapour after the test section. The signals from the various 134 135 measuring sensors were channelled to a data acquisition system for data monitoring and processing purposes. 136

All the numerical investigations reviewed in the current study were developed through the use of a commercially available computational fluid dynamics package, namely ANSYS Fluent [22, 29]. The majority of authors validated their experimental and numerical methods through the comparison of the single-phase frictional pressure drop data with widely cited single-phase correlations for helically coiled tubes, such as those given by Ito [30] and Mishra and Gupta [31].

143

### 144 **2.** Flow boiling heat transfer coefficient

- 146 2.1. Steam and Water
- 147

145

148 A number of correlations are presented in the open literature for the calculation of the flow boiling pressure drop multiplier in helically coiled tubes for a wide range of system parameters. 149 The reviewed correlations are summarised in Table 1 according to the key parameters 150 governing their applications. The total two-phase pressure drop can be broken down into three 151 component pressure drops these being the frictional, gravitational and the momentum pressure 152 drops (Eqs. 2-5) [32]. Many researchers have presented the two-phase frictional pressure drop 153 as a function of the pressure drop multiplier and the single-phase frictional pressure drop as 154 given in Eq. (3). 155

(2)

(3)

156

157 
$$\Delta P_{total,TP} = \Delta P_{f,TP} + \Delta P_{grav} + \Delta P_{acc}$$

158  
159 
$$\Delta P_{f,TP} = \Delta P_l \phi_l^2$$

160

where  $\Delta P_{f,TP}$  is the two-phase flow frictional pressure drop of helical coils, and  $\Delta P_l$  is the frictional pressure drop of the single-phase fluid flowing through the tube with the assumption that only liquid flows through the tube. Many authors have used the single-phase friction factor numerical model given by Ito [6] to calculate the latter pressure drop.

$$166 \qquad \Delta P_{grav} = \left[\frac{gH}{x_{exit} - x_{inlet}}\right] \left[\frac{ln\left(1 + x\left(\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right)\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{\rho_g} - \frac{1}{\rho_l}\right)}\right]_{exit} - \left[\frac{gH}{x_{exit} - x_{inlet}}\right] \left[\frac{ln\left(1 + x\left(\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right)\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{\rho_g} - \frac{1}{\rho_l}\right)}\right]_{in}$$
(4)

167

168 
$$\Delta P_{acc,TP} = G^2 \left\{ \left[ \frac{1-x}{\rho_l} + \frac{x}{\rho_g} \right]_{exit} - \left[ \frac{1-x}{\rho_l} + \frac{x}{\rho_v} \right]_{in} \right\}$$
(5)

170 There appears to be a general agreement amongst the pertinent studies reviewed that the two-phase flow boiling frictional pressure drop increases with the vapour quality and mass 171 flux whilst it decreases with higher system pressures. The curvature ratio does not appear to 172 have a significant influence on the two-phase flow boiling frictional pressure drop multiplier 173 whilst there is some controversy surrounding the influence of the coil orientation and heat flux. 174 Over the past 50 years, the application of numerical models to predict the flow boiling frictional 175 176 pressure drop in coiled tubes has highlighted the general difficulty in predicting the flow characteristics of two-phase flow. Therefore, many authors have presented their own empirical 177 or semi-empirical models, or correlated existing models to fit their experimental data. The 178 179 earliest investigations on the flow boiling frictional pressure drop in helically coiled tubes [10, 28, 33] correlated the experimental data with well-known numerical models for the two-phase 180 frictional pressure drop multiplier for straight tubes as given by Lockhart and Martinelli [34], 181 Martinelli and Nelson [35] and Chen [36]. The latter are typically a function of the Lockhart 182 and Martinelli parameter, which, in turn, is a function of the vapour quality and the densities 183 and viscosities of the liquid and gas phases. 184

Kozeki et al. [28] reported that at the flow boiling region, the frictional pressure drop 185 was circa 70 percent larger than that predicted by the Martinelli and Nelson numerical model 186 for two-phase flow in straight tubes. The higher frictional pressure drop was attributed to the 187 secondary flow phenomenon in the vapour core region where the largest influence was 188 recorded at low pressures and high Reynolds numbers. Such results are in agreement with more 189 190 recent studies reported by Guo et al. [37] and Santini et al. [38] who concluded that the frictional pressure drop decreases with higher system pressures (Fig.3). This is due to the 191 192 resultant lower specific volume which in turn yields a lower mixture velocity. Nariai et al. [10] also reported that the effects of the flow boiling phenomena on the frictional pressure drop are 193 194 not distinct in the fluid conditions.

195 The influence of the vapour quality on the frictional pressure drop does not appear to be uniform over the complete vapour quality range. Guo et al. [37] and Zhao et al. [27] reported 196 that at vapour qualities below 0.3, the frictional pressure drop increased significantly with the 197 vapour quality whilst at higher qualities this increase was less significant. Santini et al. also 198 reported that the increase in the frictional pressure drop stopped at a vapour quality of 0.8 and 199 subsequently decreased as the quality approached unity. They attributed this phenomenon to 200 the annular flow regime where the liquid film becomes too thin to maintain the interface waves. 201 No other authors have reported similar results for helically coiled tubes and therefore, the latter 202 results can be classified as indeterminate and hence, present ample scope for further 203 investigations. 204

Bi et al. [32] and Zhao et al. [27] are the sole authors to report that the heat flux does not have a significant impact on the frictional pressure drop. However, more recently, Cioncolini et al. [26] reported that the heating effects resulted in an influence on the frictional pressure drop and hence, their correlation for the frictional pressure drop multiplier is also a function of the system heat flux. They attributed this influence to the interface between the liquid film and the vapour core being dependent on the evaporation and nucleation processes.

Bi et al. [32] and Guo et al. [37] are the sole authors who investigated the flow boiling 211 frictional pressure drop as a function of the coil orientation. However, whilst the former 212 reported that the coil orientation had no significant impact on the two-phase frictional pressure 213 drop, the latter reported distinctly different results. Guo et al. reported that the horizontal coils 214 resulted in the smallest frictional pressure drop whilst the 45 degree, downwards inclined coils 215 resulted in the largest measured pressure drop (70% higher than that measured for the 216 217 horizontal orientation). The frictional pressure drop for the vertical coil was between that measured for the horizontal and the inclined orientations. Guo et al. attributed these results to 218 the variation in the secondary flow regime with the tube orientation. The authors of the present 219

220 study cannot adequately address the differences in these two results as the system parameters for both studies were distinctly similar. However, drawing on the conclusions reported by 221 Santini et al. [38] regarding the influence of the system pressure on the pressure drop, the 222 significantly higher system pressure used in Bi et al.'s investigation could suggest that at high 223 system pressures, the flow boiling frictional pressure drop is quasi-independent of the coil 224 orientation. 225

226



227 228

Figure 3: Experimental and predicted (Equation for  $\Delta P_{\rm LTP}$  in Table 1) two-phase flow frictional pressure 229 drop with system pressure and vapour quality at a constant mass flux of 600kg/m<sup>2</sup>s (Santini et al. [38], Fig.

230

7)

Correlations derived from the widely used two-phase flow pressure drop correlations for straight tubes (P<3.5MPa & d≥12mm)				
Authors	Helical coil design parameters	Principal experimental parameters	Steam quality	Main conclusions, proposed correlation and mean error
Owhadi et al. (1968) [33]	15.9mm OD 12.5mm ID 250 <d<527 Vertical</d<527 	0.024<ð<0.05 P=0.1MPa 60 <q< 256<br="">0.0097&lt;<i>m</i>&lt;0.039 80<g<315< td=""><td>0.5<x<1< td=""><td>Data has resulted in a considerable scatter. In general, it agreed with the Lockhart and Martinelli [34] equation for a straight tubes <math display="block">\phi_{l,tt}^2 = 1 + \frac{c}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math>where C is a constant dependent on the gas and liquid Reynolds numbers <math display="block">\chi_{tt} = \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\mu_l}{\mu_g}\right)^{0.1}</math></td></x<1<></td></g<315<></q<>	0.5 <x<1< td=""><td>Data has resulted in a considerable scatter. In general, it agreed with the Lockhart and Martinelli [34] equation for a straight tubes <math display="block">\phi_{l,tt}^2 = 1 + \frac{c}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math>where C is a constant dependent on the gas and liquid Reynolds numbers <math display="block">\chi_{tt} = \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\mu_l}{\mu_g}\right)^{0.1}</math></td></x<1<>	Data has resulted in a considerable scatter. In general, it agreed with the Lockhart and Martinelli [34] equation for a straight tubes $\phi_{l,tt}^2 = 1 + \frac{c}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ where C is a constant dependent on the gas and liquid Reynolds numbers $\chi_{tt} = \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\mu_l}{\mu_g}\right)^{0.1}$
Kozeki (1970) [28]	21.7mm OD 628 <d<682m m</d<682m 	0.032<ð<0.035 0.5 <p<2.1mpa 151<q<348 161<g<486< td=""><td>0<x<1< td=""><td>Pressure drop is greater than that for a straight tube and it increases with vapour quality and mass flux</td></x<1<></td></g<486<></q<348 </p<2.1mpa 	0 <x<1< td=""><td>Pressure drop is greater than that for a straight tube and it increases with vapour quality and mass flux</td></x<1<>	Pressure drop is greater than that for a straight tube and it increases with vapour quality and mass flux

	Vertical			Numerical model based on the Martinelli and
				tubes
				2
				$\phi_{g,tt}^2 = 0.895 + (\chi_{tt} + 0.076)^{0.875} + 1.21$
				$* 10^{-0.334 (log \chi_{tt} + 0.668)^2}$
				where:
				$\phi_{g,tt}$
				$\varphi_{ltt} - \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^{0.875}}$
Nariai at	143&20mm	0.024<8<0.034	0.1 < x < 0.0	Pressure drop increases with mass flux and vapour
al. (1982)	IQ.	2 <p<3.5mpa< td=""><td>0.1<x<0.9< td=""><td>quality.</td></x<0.9<></td></p<3.5mpa<>	0.1 <x<0.9< td=""><td>quality.</td></x<0.9<>	quality.
[10]	D=595mm	0.7E5 <q<1.8e5< td=""><td></td><td>Martinelli and Nelson [35] prediction for straight</td></q<1.8e5<>		Martinelli and Nelson [35] prediction for straight
	Vartical	150 <g<850< td=""><td></td><td>tubes:</td></g<850<>		tubes:
	vertical			$\Delta P_{f,TP} = R_{MN} \Delta P_l$
				$R_{MN} = (1-x)^{1.75} \phi_{l,tt}^2 = \phi_l^2(P,x)$
				Experimental values for $\phi_l^2$ were given in table as a function of the system pressure <b>D</b> and quality <b>x</b>
				Kozeki [28] prediction (better fit)
				$\phi_{1.4}^{2} = 0.895 + (v_{1.4} + 0.076)^{0.875} + 1.21$
				$\varphi_{g,tt} = 0.033 + (\chi_{tt} + 0.070)^{-1.21} + 10^{-0.334 (log\chi_{tt} + 0.668)^2}$
				where:
				$\phi_{ltt} = \frac{\varphi_{g,tt}}{\chi^{g,tt}}$
				$\lambda_{tt}$
				(30%)
Guo et al	10&11mm ID	0 042 2820 076		
(2001)	D=132&256	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3 5mpa<="" td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a</td></x<1.2<></td></p<3>	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.</td></x<1.2<></td></q<540<></p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality.
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes:</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes:</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes:
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math>\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho} - 1 \right) \right]</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math>\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho} - 1 \right) \right]</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho} - 1 \right) \right]$
(2001) [37]	Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where:</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where:</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where:
(2001) [37]	Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G≤1000</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G≤1000</td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G≤1000
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G≤1000 <math display="block">x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{Q} \right)</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G≤1000 <math display="block">x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{Q} \right)</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G≤1000 $x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{Q} \right)$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{(\rho_l - 1)}</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{(\rho_l - 1)}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{(\rho_l - 1)}$
(2001) [37]	Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000</td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G&gt;1000 <math display="block">x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G&gt;1000 <math display="block">x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coil orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G>1000 $x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{G} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{G} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{G} - 1 \right)}$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(+12\%)</math></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(+12\%)</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G > 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(+12\%)$
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math>(±12%) <b>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</b></td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math>(±12%) <b>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</b></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G > 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ (±12%) <b>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</b>
(2001) [37]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</td></x<1.2<></td></g<1760<></q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G > 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(\pm 12\%)$ res based (P>3.5MPa)
(2001) [37] Ruffell (1974)	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined 10.7 <id<18.6 mm</id<18.6 	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760 Correlations for high 0.0054&lt;δ&lt;0.16 6<p<18mpa< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2 n system pressu 0<x<1< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa) <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_i}</math></td></x<1<></x<1.2 </td></p<18mpa<></g<1760 </q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2 n system pressu 0<x<1< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa) <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_i}</math></td></x<1<></x<1.2 	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(\pm 12\%)$ res based (P>3.5MPa) $\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_i}$
(2001) [37] Ruffell (1974) [39]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined 10.7 <id<18.6 mm</id<18.6 	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760 Correlations for high 0.0054&lt;∂&lt;0.16 6<p<18mpa 41<q<731< td=""><td>-0.01<x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G&gt;1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</td></x<1.2<></td></q<731<></p<18mpa </g<1760 </q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G&gt;1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa)</td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G>1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(\pm 12\%)$ res based (P>3.5MPa)
(2001) [37] Ruffell (1974) [39]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined 10.7 <id<18.6 mm</id<18.6 	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760 Correlations for high 0.0054&lt;0&lt;0.16 6<p<18mpa 41<q<731 300G&lt;1800</q<731 </p<18mpa </g<1760 </q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G ≤ 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G &gt; 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa) <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_l}</math>where: <math display="block">F = \sin \left( \frac{1.16G}{1000} \right) \left\{ 0.875 - 0.314y - \frac{0.746}{1000} \left( 0.152 - 1 \right) \right\}</math></td></x<1.2<>	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G ≤ 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G > 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(\pm 12\%)$ res based (P>3.5MPa) $\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_l}$ where: $F = \sin \left( \frac{1.16G}{1000} \right) \left\{ 0.875 - 0.314y - \frac{0.746}{1000} \left( 0.152 - 1 \right) \right\}$
(2001) [37] Ruffell (1974) [39]	D=132&256 mm Horizontal/Ve rtical and Inclined 10.7 <id<18.6 mm</id<18.6 	0.043<0.076 3 <p<3.5mpa 0<q<540 150<g<1760 Correlations for high 0.0054&lt;δ&lt;0.16 6<p<18mpa 41<q<731 300G&lt;1800</q<731 </p<18mpa </g<1760 </q<540 </p<3.5mpa 	-0.01 <x<1.2 n system pressu 0<x<1< td=""><td>The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]</math>where: for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math>for G \le 1000 <math display="block">\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}</math><math display="block">\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}</math><math display="block">(\pm 12\%)</math>res based (P&gt;3.5MPa) <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_l}</math>where: <math display="block">F = \sin \left( \frac{1.166}{1000} \right) \left\{ 0.875 - 0.314y - \frac{0.746}{1000} (0.152 - 0.07y) - x \left( \frac{0.1556}{1000} + 0.7 - 0.19y \right) \right\} \left\{ 1 - 12(x - y) \right\}</math></td></x<1<></x<1.2 	The coll orientation has a significant influence on the frictional pressure drop. Pressure drop is also a function of the system pressure and mass quality. Based on Chen's [36] correlation for straight tubes: $\phi_l^2 = \psi_1 \psi \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]$ where: for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ for G \le 1000 $\psi = 1 + \frac{x(1-x) \left( \frac{1000}{G} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} \right)}{1 + (1-x) \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right)}$ $\psi_1 = 142.2 \left( \frac{P}{P_{crit}} \right)^{0.62} \delta^{1.04}$ $(\pm 12\%)$ res based (P>3.5MPa) $\phi_l^2 = (1+F) \frac{v_m}{v_l}$ where: $F = \sin \left( \frac{1.166}{1000} \right) \left\{ 0.875 - 0.314y - \frac{0.746}{1000} (0.152 - 0.07y) - x \left( \frac{0.1556}{1000} + 0.7 - 0.19y \right) \right\} \left\{ 1 - 12(x - y) \right\}$

				$y = \frac{D}{100d}$
Unal et al. (1981) [40]	18 mm ID 700&1500mm =D Vertical	0.0054<δ<0.022 14.7 <p<20.2mpa 41<q<731 112<g<1829< td=""><td>0.08<x<1< td=""><td><math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = \frac{2(1+b_1b_2)f_lG^2}{d\rho_l}</math> where: <math display="block">b_1 = 3850x^{0.01}Pr^{-1.515}Re_l^{-0.758}</math><math display="block">b_2 = 1 + Re_l^{0.1}(3.67 - 3.04P_b)^{((-0.014\delta^{-1}) - (2\delta^{-1}))}</math>where; <math display="block">P_b = \frac{P}{P_{crit}}</math><math display="block">f_l = 0.076Re^{-0.25} + 0.00725\delta^{0.5}</math>[6]</td></x<1<></td></g<1829<></q<731 </p<20.2mpa 	0.08 <x<1< td=""><td><math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = \frac{2(1+b_1b_2)f_lG^2}{d\rho_l}</math> where: <math display="block">b_1 = 3850x^{0.01}Pr^{-1.515}Re_l^{-0.758}</math><math display="block">b_2 = 1 + Re_l^{0.1}(3.67 - 3.04P_b)^{((-0.014\delta^{-1}) - (2\delta^{-1}))}</math>where; <math display="block">P_b = \frac{P}{P_{crit}}</math><math display="block">f_l = 0.076Re^{-0.25} + 0.00725\delta^{0.5}</math>[6]</td></x<1<>	$\Delta P_{f,TP} = \frac{2(1+b_1b_2)f_lG^2}{d\rho_l}$ where: $b_1 = 3850x^{0.01}Pr^{-1.515}Re_l^{-0.758}$ $b_2 = 1 + Re_l^{0.1}(3.67 - 3.04P_b)^{((-0.014\delta^{-1}) - (2\delta^{-1}))}$ where; $P_b = \frac{P}{P_{crit}}$ $f_l = 0.076Re^{-0.25} + 0.00725\delta^{0.5}$ [6]
Chen and Zhou (1981) [41]	18 mm ID 235, 446,907 mm=D Vertical	0.02<δ<0.076 4.2 <p<22mpa 400<g<2000< td=""><td>0<x<1< td=""><td><math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = \xi \Delta P_{st}</math> where: <math display="block">\xi = 2.06\delta^{0.05} Re_{TP}^{-0.025} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.8} \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]^{1.8} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.2}</math></td></x<1<></td></g<2000<></p<22mpa 	0 <x<1< td=""><td><math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = \xi \Delta P_{st}</math> where: <math display="block">\xi = 2.06\delta^{0.05} Re_{TP}^{-0.025} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.8} \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]^{1.8} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.2}</math></td></x<1<>	$\Delta P_{f,TP} = \xi \Delta P_{st}$ where: $\xi = 2.06\delta^{0.05} Re_{TP}^{-0.025} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.8} \left[ 1 + x \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1 \right) \right]^{1.8} \left[ 1 + VF \left( \frac{\mu_g}{\mu_l} - 1 \right) \right]^{0.2}$
Santini et al. (2008) [38]	12.53 mm ID D=1000mm Vertical	<i>δ</i> =0.019 1.1 <p<6.3mpa 50<q<200 192&lt;<i>G</i>&lt;824</q<200 </p<6.3mpa 	0 <x<1< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop increases with the vapour quality and mass flux whilst it decreases with the system pressure. <math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = K \left(\frac{G^{1.91} v_m}{d^{1.2}}\right) \Delta z</math>where: <math display="block">K(x) = -0.0373x^3 + 0.0387x^2 - 0.00479x + 0.0108</math>(RMS = 6.2)</td></x<1<>	Frictional pressure drop increases with the vapour quality and mass flux whilst it decreases with the system pressure. $\Delta P_{f,TP} = K \left(\frac{G^{1.91} v_m}{d^{1.2}}\right) \Delta z$ where: $K(x) = -0.0373x^3 + 0.0387x^2 - 0.00479x + 0.0108$ (RMS = 6.2)
		Correlations for	large tube dia	(RHS = 0.2) meters (d≥12mm)
Guo et al. (1994) [42]	20 mm ID 240, 480,960 mm=D Horizontal	0.021<ð<0.083 1.5 <p<3mpa 150<g<1400< td=""><td>0<x<0.8< td=""><td><math display="block">\emptyset_l^2 = 1 + (4.25 - 2.55x^{1.5})G^{0.34}</math></td></x<0.8<></td></g<1400<></p<3mpa 	0 <x<0.8< td=""><td><math display="block">\emptyset_l^2 = 1 + (4.25 - 2.55x^{1.5})G^{0.34}</math></td></x<0.8<>	$\emptyset_l^2 = 1 + (4.25 - 2.55x^{1.5})G^{0.34}$
	(	Correlations for sma	ll tube and heli	x diameters (d<12mm)
Kubair (1986) [43]	6.4&6.5mm ID 110 <d<177 Laminar &amp; Turbulent Vertical</d<177 	0.037<∂<0.056 8 <p<16kpa 6<q<80 0.0028&lt;ṁ&lt;0.016 1300<re<5200< td=""><td>0.2<x<0.8< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is larger than that for straight tubes. No correlation provided.</td></x<0.8<></td></re<5200<></q<80 </p<16kpa 	0.2 <x<0.8< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is larger than that for straight tubes. No correlation provided.</td></x<0.8<>	Frictional pressure drop is larger than that for straight tubes. No correlation provided.
Bi et al. (1994) [32]	10&12mm ID D=115mm Horizontal& Vertical	0.087< δ <0.104 4 <p<14mpa 0<q<750 400<g<2000< td=""><td>0<x<1< td=""><td>Coil orientation has no significant effect on the two- phase frictional pressure drop. The two-phase frictional pressure drop was not influenced by the conditions of the thermodynamic system i.e. adiabatic or electrically heated tubes. <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [C + x^2]</math>where:</td></x<1<></td></g<2000<></q<750 </p<14mpa 	0 <x<1< td=""><td>Coil orientation has no significant effect on the two- phase frictional pressure drop. The two-phase frictional pressure drop was not influenced by the conditions of the thermodynamic system i.e. adiabatic or electrically heated tubes. <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [C + x^2]</math>where:</td></x<1<>	Coil orientation has no significant effect on the two- phase frictional pressure drop. The two-phase frictional pressure drop was not influenced by the conditions of the thermodynamic system i.e. adiabatic or electrically heated tubes. $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [C + x^2]$ where:

				$C = 0.14691x^{1.3297}(1-x)^{0.59884}\delta^{-1.2864}$ $(\pm 15\%)$
Ju et al. (2001) [44]	18mm OD D=112mm Turbulent	$\delta = 0.161$ P=3MPa 2500 <re &lt;23000</re 	0 <x<1< td=""><td><math display="block">\Delta P_{f,TP} = f\left(\frac{L}{d}\right) \left(\frac{\rho V^2}{2}\right) \left[1 + x\left(\frac{\rho'}{(\rho''-1)}\right)\right] \psi</math> where: <math display="block">\psi = (1.29 + A_n x^n) \left[1 + x\left(\left(\frac{\mu''}{\mu'}\right)^{0.25} - 1\right)\right]</math><math display="block">A_1 = 2.19, A_2 = -3.61, A_3 = 7.35, A_4 = -5.93</math></td></x<1<>	$\Delta P_{f,TP} = f\left(\frac{L}{d}\right) \left(\frac{\rho V^2}{2}\right) \left[1 + x\left(\frac{\rho'}{(\rho''-1)}\right)\right] \psi$ where: $\psi = (1.29 + A_n x^n) \left[1 + x\left(\left(\frac{\mu''}{\mu'}\right)^{0.25} - 1\right)\right]$ $A_1 = 2.19, A_2 = -3.61, A_3 = 7.35, A_4 = -5.93$
Zhao et al. (2003) [27]	9mm ID D=292mm Laminar Horizontal	$\delta$ =0.031 0.5 <p<3.5 mpa<br="">0<q<900 236<g<943 10000<re &lt;80000</re </g<943 </q<900 </p<3.5>	0.1 <x<0.2< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is a function of the mass flux, vapour quality and the system pressure. Heat flux has no effect on the pressure drop. <math>\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [0.303x^{1.63}(1 - x)^{0.885} Re_l^{0.282} + x^2]</math> (+12%)</td></x<0.2<>	Frictional pressure drop is a function of the mass flux, vapour quality and the system pressure. Heat flux has no effect on the pressure drop. $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [0.303x^{1.63}(1 - x)^{0.885} Re_l^{0.282} + x^2]$ (+12%)
Cioncolini et al. (2008) [26]	4.03&4.98mm ID 130 <d<376 Turbulent Vertical Saturated flow boiling</d<376 	0.011<∂ <0.038 120 <p<660kpa 50<q<440 290<g<690 10000<re &lt;60000 2<fr<14< td=""><td>0<x<0.9< td=""><td>Minimal effect of the coil curvature on the frictional pressure drop. Lockhart and Martinelli correlation for straight tubes corrected for heating effects [45]: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \left[1 + \frac{C}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}\right] \left[1 + 0.0044 \left(\frac{q}{G}\right)^{0.7}\right]</math>(16.7%) Zhao et al. [27] <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [0.303x^{1.63}(1) - x)^{0.885} Re_l^{0.282} + x^2]</math>(16.3%)</td></x<0.9<></td></fr<14<></re </g<690 </q<440 </p<660kpa 	0 <x<0.9< td=""><td>Minimal effect of the coil curvature on the frictional pressure drop. Lockhart and Martinelli correlation for straight tubes corrected for heating effects [45]: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = \left[1 + \frac{C}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}\right] \left[1 + 0.0044 \left(\frac{q}{G}\right)^{0.7}\right]</math>(16.7%) Zhao et al. [27] <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [0.303x^{1.63}(1) - x)^{0.885} Re_l^{0.282} + x^2]</math>(16.3%)</td></x<0.9<>	Minimal effect of the coil curvature on the frictional pressure drop. Lockhart and Martinelli correlation for straight tubes corrected for heating effects [45]: $\phi_l^2 = \left[1 + \frac{C}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}\right] \left[1 + 0.0044 \left(\frac{q}{G}\right)^{0.7}\right]$ (16.7%) Zhao et al. [27] $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \left[\frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} - 1\right] [0.303x^{1.63}(1) - x)^{0.885} Re_l^{0.282} + x^2]$ (16.3%)

Table 1: Review of the experimental studies on the flow boiling frictional pressure drop characteristics of
 steam-water in helically coiled tubes

- 234
- 235 2.2. R-134a

237 The pressure drop characteristics and relevant correlations for flow boiling and condensation 238 of R-134a in helically coiled tube heat exchangers are summarised in Table 2. In contrast to the conclusions made by a number of investigations on steam and water flow boiling, the 239 curvature ratio appears to have some impact on the resultant frictional pressure drop for R-240 134a flow in non-miniature helically coiled tubes. The pertinent investigations have also 241 concluded that the frictional pressure drop increases with higher vapour qualities and 242 refrigerant mass fluxes, whilst the tube orientation has no significant impact on the pressure 243 drop. The total two-phase pressure drop for the flow boiling of R-134a in micro-finned helically 244 coiled tubes is given in Eq. (6) [46] whilst the two-phase frictional pressure drop was calculated 245 through the use of the pressure drop multiplier as in Eq. (3). 246

$$248 \qquad \Delta P_{total,TP} = \Delta P_{f,TP} + \Delta P_{grav} + \Delta P_{mom,TP}$$

249

- 250 where:
- 251

252  $\Delta P_{grav} = g\rho_l tan\beta(1 - VF)$ 

(7)

(6)

253  
254 
$$\Delta P_{mom,TP} = G^2 \left\{ \left[ \frac{(1-x)^2}{p_l(1-VF)} + \frac{x^2}{p_v VF} \right]_{out} - \left[ \frac{(1-x)^2}{p_l(1-VF)} + \frac{x^2}{p_v VF} \right]_{in} \right\}$$
(8)  
255  
256 Aria et al. [47]:  
258  $VF = \frac{x}{\rho_v} \left[ (1+0.12(1-x)) \left( \frac{x}{\rho_v} + \frac{1-x}{\rho_l} \right) + \frac{1.18(1-x)[g\sigma(\rho_l - \rho_v)]^{0.25}}{G^2 \rho_l^{0.5}} \right]^{-1}$ 
(9)  
259  
260 Cui et al. [46]  
261  
262  $VF = \frac{1}{1+0.49\chi_{lt}^{0.0036}}$ 
(10)  
263  
264 Elsayed et al. [48]  
265  
266  $VF = \frac{1}{\left[ 1+0.79 \left( \frac{(1-x)}{x} \right)^{0.78} \frac{(\rho_v)}{\rho_l} \right)^{0.58} \right]}$ 
(11)  
267  
268 Wongwises and Polsongkram [49]  
269  
270  $VF = \frac{1}{1+8(\frac{1-x}{x})\frac{\rho_v}{\rho_l}}$ 
(12)  
271  
272 Laohalertdecha and Wongwises [50]  
273 The matrix is the first of the Polyton for the polyton is the first of the polyton is the polyton is the first of the polyton is the polyton is the first of the polyton is th

The numerical models for the R-134a refrigerant frictional pressure drop in vertical 276 helically coiled tubes as reported in the pertinent studies are a function of the Lockhart and 277 Martinelli parameter, whilst the sole correlation for horizontal tubes is based on a numerical 278 model by Kim et al. [51] for R-22 flow in coiled tubes. There is a general agreement that the 279 higher mass fluxes and the vapour qualities increase the frictional pressure drop. These results 280 are attributed to the higher vapour velocities which increase the shear stress at the interface of 281 the vapour and the liquid. Furthermore, higher vapour qualities result in increased magnitudes 282 of secondary flow which will result in higher degrees of entrainment and droplet redeposition, 283 thus yielding greater flow turbulences [49]. Moreover, Lin and Ebadian [52] reported that when 284 285 compared to the flow in the inner tube, the effects of the mass flux on the pressure drop were more significant in the annular section of the coil. These findings were attributed to the larger 286 velocity and turbulence fluctuations of the refrigerant flowing in the annular section. 287

The effects of the coil geometry on the two-phase refrigerant frictional pressure drop 288 were investigated by Scott Downing and Kojasoy [53] and Elsayed et al. [48]. For miniature 289 diameter tubes, Downing and Kojasoy reported that when compared to single-phase flow, the 290 curvature effects had a minimal impact on the frictional pressure drop. However, for small 291 diameter tubes, Elsayed et al. reported that the frictional pressure drop is mainly a function of 292 the tube diameter, with the pressure drop increasing with smaller tube diameters. The effect of 293 the coil diameter was reported to be less significant. Elsayed et al. focused their study on the 294 heat transfer characteristics and hence failed to provide a comprehensive analysis of their 295 reported results. 296

When investigating the frictional pressure drop as a function of the heat flux, Wongwises and Polsongkram [54] reported that the heat flux had a minimal effect on the condensation frictional pressure drop. However, the evaporation frictional pressure drop was 300 reported to be a strong function of the heat flux [49]. This was attributed to the increase in the number of active nucleation sites on the tube wall which yielded higher bubble generation rates. 301 The latter agitated the liquid film thus increasing the turbulence. Furthermore, the breaking of 302 303 the bubbles at the liquid film surface induced the entrainment and redeposition of droplets which increased the shear stress. Kang et al. [11] and Wongwises and Polsongkram [49, 54] 304 reported a decrease in the frictional pressure drop with higher wall temperatures. These results 305 306 were attributed to the lower refrigerant viscosity and specific volume, which in turn resulted in a lower vapour velocity and shear stress between the vapour and liquid interface. 307

The sole study that investigated the frictional pressure drop of R-134a as a function of 308 309 the coil orientation was reported by Lin and Ebadian [52] who concluded that the coil orientation resulted in an insignificant impact on the frictional pressure drop. The applications 310 of micro-finned or corrugated helically coiled tubes were investigated by Cui et al. [46] and 311 Laohalertdecha and Wongwises [50]. Both investigations reported correlations for the pressure 312 drop multiplier based on the Lockhart and Martinelli numerical model for straight tubes. 313 Moreover, both authors reported a significant increase in the frictional pressure drop (up to 314 70%) over that of a smooth tube. Laohalertdecha and Wongwises attributed these results to the 315 increased drag forces, the flow blockage due to the reduction in the tube cross-sectional area, 316 the turbulence augmentation and the enhanced rotational flow reduction. The impact of the 317 vapour quality and mass flux on the frictional pressure drop was similar to that reported for 318 319 smooth tubes.

	TT.1'	Detected	O l'é			
Anthone	Helical coll design	Principal	Quanty	Main conclusions, proposed correlation and		
Authors	parameters	experimental		mean error		
	parameters					
		141				
Scott	234 <id<881µm< td=""><td><math>0.075 &lt; \partial_{it} &lt; 0.3</math></td><td>0<x<0.9< td=""><td>Curvature effects have a minimal effect on the</td></x<0.9<></td></id<881µm<>	$0.075 < \partial_{it} < 0.3$	0 <x<0.9< td=""><td>Curvature effects have a minimal effect on the</td></x<0.9<>	Curvature effects have a minimal effect on the		
Downin	2.80 <d< .94mm<="" td=""><td>0.62<p<1.4m< td=""><td></td><td>frictional pressure drop when compared to single-</td></p<1.4m<></td></d<>	0.62 <p<1.4m< td=""><td></td><td>frictional pressure drop when compared to single-</td></p<1.4m<>		frictional pressure drop when compared to single-		
g and		Pa	Evaporation	phase flow.		
Kojasoy		0 <q<25< td=""><td></td><td>C 1</td></q<25<>		C 1		
(2002)		/50 <g<6330< td=""><td></td><td><math>\phi_{l+t}^2 = 1 + \frac{c}{c} + \frac{1}{c}</math></td></g<6330<>		$\phi_{l+t}^2 = 1 + \frac{c}{c} + \frac{1}{c}$		
[53]		500 <re<8000< td=""><td></td><td><math>\chi_{tt} = \chi_{tt}</math></td></re<8000<>		$\chi_{tt} = \chi_{tt}$		
				where;		
				$C = 3598 \left(\frac{1}{1}\right)^{0.012}$		
				$c = 3.350 \left( \chi_{tt} \right)$		
				(±15%)		
		Vertical o	rientation & Sn	nooth tubes		
Kang et	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.075$	0 <x<1< td=""><td>Very slow increase in the pressure drop with an</td></x<1<>	Very slow increase in the pressure drop with an		
al.	12.7mm ID <sub>it</sub>	100 <g<400< td=""><td></td><td>increase in the mass flux.</td></g<400<>		increase in the mass flux.		
(2000)	21.2mm ID <sub>ot</sub>	T=33°C	Condensatio	Pressure drop is a function of the cooling wall		
[11]	D=177.8mm	1500 <re<900< td=""><td>n</td><td>temperature, with a decrease in the pressure drop</td></re<900<>	n	temperature, with a decrease in the pressure drop		
	Laminar &	0		with an increase in the wall temperature.		
	Turbulent					
				For $T_{wall} = 12^{\circ}$ C, $\Delta P_{TP} = 14.2 m_{ref}^{0.093}$		
				For $T_{wall} = 22^{\circ}$ C, $\Delta P_{TP} = 4.2 m_{ref}^{0.26}$		
				(-37.3% to 35.7%)		
Han et	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.053$	0 <x<1< td=""><td>Pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux.</td></x<1<>	Pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux.		
al.	9.4mm ID <sub>it</sub>	100 <g<420< td=""><td></td><td>Frictional pressure drop is higher than that in a</td></g<420<>		Frictional pressure drop is higher than that in a		
(2005)	12.7mm OD <sub>it</sub>	$T_{sat}=35,40,46^{\circ}$	Condensatio	straight tube, whilst the effect of the mass flux on		
[55]	21.2mm ID <sub>ot</sub>	С	n	the pressure drop is more significant in straight		
		1500 <re<900< td=""><td></td><td>tubes.</td></re<900<>		tubes.		
	D=177.8mm	0				
				No correlation provided		
Wongwi	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.025$	0.0 <x<1< td=""><td>Increase in the frictional pressure drop with</td></x<1<>	Increase in the frictional pressure drop with		
ses and	7.2mm ID <sub>it</sub>	5 <q<10< td=""><td></td><td>increasing quality, mass flux and heat flux.</td></q<10<>		increasing quality, mass flux and heat flux.		
Polsong	9.52mm OD <sub>it</sub>	400 <g<800< td=""><td>Evaporation</td><td>Marginal decrease with increasing saturation</td></g<800<>	Evaporation	Marginal decrease with increasing saturation		
	21.2mm ID <sub>ot</sub>			temperature.		

kram	23.2mm OD <sub>ot</sub>	10 <tsat<20°c< th=""><th></th><th></th></tsat<20°c<>		
(2006a)	D=305mm			$+^2 - 1 + \frac{13.37}{1}$
[49]				$\varphi_{\bar{l}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_{tt}^{1.492}}$
				7.11
				Used Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase
				friction factor
				(±20%)
Wongwi	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.025$	0.01 <x<1< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop increases with average</td></x<1<>	Frictional pressure drop increases with average
ses and	8.3mm ID <sub>it</sub>	5 <q<10< td=""><td></td><td>vapour quality and mass flux and decreases with</td></q<10<>		vapour quality and mass flux and decreases with
Polsong	9.52mm OD <sub>it</sub>	400 <g<800< td=""><td>Condensatio</td><td>increasing saturation temperature of condensation.</td></g<800<>	Condensatio	increasing saturation temperature of condensation.
kram	21.2mm ID <sub>ot</sub>	$40 < T_{sat} < 50^{\circ}C$	n	Heat flux has a minimal effect on the pressure
(2006b)	23.2mm OD <sub>ot</sub>			drop.
[54]	D=305mm			F F 60 1
				$\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{3.369}{1402} + \frac{1}{2}$
				$\chi_{tt}^{1.492}$ $\chi_{tt}^{2}$
				Used Ite's [6] completion for the single phase
				Used Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase
				Inction factor
				(+20%)
El-Saved	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.03$	0.0 <x<1< td=""><td>Increase in the frictional pressure drop with the</td></x<1<>	Increase in the frictional pressure drop with the
Mossad	7.39mm ID <sub>it</sub>	810 <p<820kp< td=""><td></td><td>refrigerant mass flux.</td></p<820kp<>		refrigerant mass flux.
et al.	9.54mm OD <sub>it</sub>	а	Condensatio	6
(2009)	16.92mm IDot	2.5 <q<12< td=""><td>n</td><td>Pressure drop is significantly higher than in a</td></q<12<>	n	Pressure drop is significantly higher than in a
[56]	19.05mm OD <sub>ot</sub>	95 <g<710< td=""><td></td><td>straight tube.</td></g<710<>		straight tube.
	D=216mm	1000 <re<140< td=""><td></td><td></td></re<140<>		
		00		Used Han et al.'s [55] correlation
Aria at	Tube in tube	$\delta_{1} = 0.031$	0.1<×<0.8	Pressure drop increases with higher inlet vapour
Allaet	8 9mm ID: 9 52mm	$0_{\rm it} = 0.031$ 112/G/152	0.1 <x<0.8< td=""><td>quality and refrigerant mass flow rate</td></x<0.8<>	quality and refrigerant mass flow rate
(2012)	ODit 29mm IDat	112<0<152	Evaporation	150-220% higher than pressure drop in straight
[47]	D=305mm		Evaporation	tubes.
				Used Wongwises and Polsongkram's [49]
				correlation for helical tubes
				( 700( )
		Miero fi	nnod or corrug	(-73%  to  +39%)
		WHCI U-II	lineu or corrug	
Cui et al.	Micro-finned	δ=0.061	0.05 <x<0.92< td=""><td>Two-phase pressure drop is greater than that in a</td></x<0.92<>	Two-phase pressure drop is greater than that in a
(2008)	11.2mm ID	0.5 <p<0.58m< td=""><td></td><td>straight pipe. Micro-fins also increase the pressure</td></p<0.58m<>		straight pipe. Micro-fins also increase the pressure
[46]	12.7mm OD	Pa	Evaporation	drop as does increasing mass flux and vapour exit
	D=185mm	2.0 <q<21.8< td=""><td></td><td>quality.</td></q<21.8<>		quality.
		65 <g<315< td=""><td></td><td></td></g<315<>		
	Vertical			
				For Stratified flow:
				For Stratified flow:
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_t^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow:
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow:
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_{l}^{2} = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^{2}}$ For Annular flow: 59.8  3.5
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used
				For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used
	Correct	5 cm 10		For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%)
Laohaler	Corrugated	5 <q<10 200~C~700</q<10 	0.01 <x<0.9< td=""><td>For Stratified flow: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math> For Annular flow: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math> Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality</td></x<0.9<>	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality
Laohaler tdecha and	Corrugated IDit =8.7mm ODit =9.52mm	5 <q<10 200<g<700 T_m=40.45.50<sup>0</sup></g<700 </q<10 	0.01 <x<0.9< td=""><td>For Stratified flow: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math> For Annular flow: <math display="block">\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}</math> Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over</td></x<0.9<>	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over
Laohaler tdecha and Wongwi	Corrugated ID <sub>it</sub> =8.7mm OD <sub>it</sub> =9.52mm ID <sub>et</sub> =21.2mm	5 < q < 10 200< <i>G</i> <700 T <sub>sat</sub> =40,45,50 <sup>0</sup>	0.01 <x<0.9 Condensatio</x<0.9 	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over that of smooth tubes
Laohaler tdecha and Wongwi ses	Corrugated ID <sub>it</sub> =8.7mm OD <sub>it</sub> =9.52mm ID <sub>ot</sub> =21.2mm E=1.5mm	$\begin{array}{c} 5 < q < 10 \\ 200 < G < 700 \\ T_{sat} = 40,45,50^0 \\ C \end{array}$	0.01 <x<0.9 Condensatio n</x<0.9 	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used $(\pm 20\%)$ Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over that of smooth tubes
Laohaler tdecha and Wongwi ses (2010)	$\begin{array}{c} Corrugated \\ ID_{it} = 8.7mm \\ OD_{it} = 9.52mm \\ ID_{ot} = 21.2mm \\ E = 1.5mm \end{array}$	$5 < q < 10$ 200<6<700 $T_{sat} = 40,45,50^{0}$ C	0.01 <x<0.9 Condensatio n</x<0.9 	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over that of smooth tubes
Laohaler tdecha and Wongwi ses (2010) [50]	Corrugated ID <sub>it</sub> =8.7mm OD <sub>it</sub> =9.52mm ID <sub>ot</sub> =21.2mm E=1.5mm Horizontal	$\begin{array}{r} 5 < q < 10\\ 200 < G < 700\\ T_{sat} = 40,45,50^{0}\\ C\end{array}$	0.01 <x<0.9 Condensatio n</x<0.9 	For Stratified flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{48.2}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ For Annular flow: $\phi_l^2 = 1 + \frac{59.8}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{3.5}{\chi_{tt}^2}$ Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor was used (±20%) Frictional pressure drop increases with refrigerant mass flux and quality. 70% increase in the frictional pressure drop over that of smooth tubes

				$\phi_{l,tt}^2 = 1 + \frac{10}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^2}$
				(±30%)
Horizontal orientation & Smooth tubes				Smooth tubes
Elsayed	1.1 <id<2.8mm< td=""><td>0.037&lt;δ&lt;0.04</td><td>0.2<x<0.9< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is a strong function of the</td></x<0.9<></td></id<2.8mm<>	0.037<δ<0.04	0.2 <x<0.9< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is a strong function of the</td></x<0.9<>	Frictional pressure drop is a strong function of the
et al.	1.47 <od<4mm< td=""><td>7</td><td></td><td>inner tube diameter. The coil diameter has a</td></od<4mm<>	7		inner tube diameter. The coil diameter has a
(2012) [48]	30 <d<60mm< td=""><td>0.35<p<0.6m Pa</p<0.6m </td><td>Evaporation</td><td>marginal effect on the pressure drop.</td></d<60mm<>	0.35 <p<0.6m Pa</p<0.6m 	Evaporation	marginal effect on the pressure drop.
[10]		2.5 < q < 12 100 < $G < 450$		Kim et al.'s [51] correlation for R-22:
				$\Delta P_{f,TP} = 2 \frac{f_{TP} G_{ref}^2}{\rho_l d_{it}} \left[ 1 + x \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\rho_v} - \frac{1}{\rho_l}\right)}{\frac{1}{\rho_v}} \right]$
				where: $f_{TP} = 0.079 \left( \frac{G_{ref} d_{it}}{\mu_{TP}} \right)^{-0.25}$
				$\mu_{TP} = \rho_{TP} \left[ \frac{\chi \mu_{\nu}}{\rho_{\nu}} + \frac{(1-\chi)\mu_l}{\rho_l} \right]$
				$\rho_{TP} = \rho_v VF + \rho_l (1 - VF)$
		Various or	ientations and s	smooth tube
Lin and	Tube-in-tube	$\delta_{it}=0.053$		The effects of the tube orientation on the pressure
Ebadian	9.4mm ID <sub>it</sub>	60 <reref<200< td=""><td>Condensatio</td><td>drop were not significant whilst the effects of the</td></reref<200<>	Condensatio	drop were not significant whilst the effects of the
(2007)	12.7mm OD <sub>it</sub>	3600 <re<sub>wt&lt;22</re<sub>	n	refrigerant mass flow rate on the pressure drop
[52]	21.2mm ID <sub>ot</sub>	000		were more significant in the annular section of the
	D=177.8mm	30 <t<sub>ref &lt;35 16<t<sub>int &lt;24</t<sub></t<sub>		pipe when compared to the inner tube.
	Horizontal/45º/Verti	10 \1wt \27		. 1.271 1
	cal			$\phi_l^2 = 1 - \frac{\chi_{tt}^{1.492}}{\chi_{tt}^{1.492}} + \frac{\chi_{tt}^2}{\chi_{tt}^2}$
				(+6%)

Table 2: Review of experimental studies on the flow boiling/condensing frictional pressure drop characteristics of R-134a in helically coiled tubes

#### 324 **3. Gas-Water**

325

In contrast to the paucity of studies on the gas-water two-phase flow heat transfer 326 characteristics in helically coiled tubes [57], the open literature presents numerous studies on 327 328 the two-phase gas-water pressure drop characteristics. Table 3 summarises the experimental studies and correlations for the frictional pressure drop with gas and water two-phase flow as 329 presented in the pertinent literature. The majority of studies reviewed in this section have 330 demonstrated a reasonable agreement with the original and modified Lockhart and Martinelli 331 correlations. Some investigators have also reported the helix angle and the curvature ratio to 332 have some impact on the frictional pressure drop whilst other investigators reported the 333 334 frictional pressure drop to be independent of the latter design parameters. The effects of the air volumetric void fraction remain indeterminate due to conflicting results. As in the case of 335 steam-water flow, the total two-phase pressure drop with air-water systems is calculated 336 337 through Eq. (2) whilst the two-phase frictional pressure drop is calculated through the application of the pressure drop multiplier as in Eq. (3). 338

Most studies on the two-phase air-water flow in helically coiled tubes were developed for vertically orientated tubes. The earliest study was reported by Rippel at al. [58] who investigated annular, bubbly, slug, and stratified flows. In agreement with some studies reported for steam-water, they reported that the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation for

horizontal straight tubes predicted their data with reasonable accuracy. These results were 343 attributed to the fact that the Lockhart and Martinelli parameters are essentially ratios, while 344 the geometry of the tube does not impact on the ratio of the two-phase to single-phase pressure 345 drop given in Eq. (3). However, they also reported that the latter methodology also results in a 346 number of limitations, principally due to the fact that some pertinent factors that affect two-347 phase flows are neglected. In view of this, Rippel et al. presented three empirical correlations 348 349 for the calculation of the two-phase flow pressure drop for annular, bubbly and stratified flows. These correlations are based on the two-phase drag coefficient. Banerjee et al. [59] reported 350 similar results with the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation and presented modified equations 351 352 for the gas and liquid pressure drop multipliers, and the Lockhart and Martinelli parameter. Banerjee were the first investigators to report that the helix angle did not have a significant 353 impact on the frictional pressure drop. Agakawa et al. [4] also reported a good agreement with 354 the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation whilst the frictional pressure drop was reported to be 355 independent of the coil curvature. They also presented two key empirical correlations to 356 calculate the ratios of the two-phase frictional pressure drop in the coil to those in a straight 357 tube and coil with liquid flow only. Xin et al. [8] presented a further development of the 358 Lockhart and Martinelli correlation whereby they included the effects of the three main forces 359 affecting the pressure drop these being: the inertia, liquid gravity and centrifugal forces. In fact, 360 they reported that the helix angle, coil diameter and pipe diameter had some effect on the 361 frictional pressure drop. Awwad et al. [20, 60] investigated the two-phase frictional pressure 362 drop in horizontal helically coiled tubes. Their conclusions and correlations are similar to those 363 presented by Xin et al. [8] for vertical tubes. Therefore, whilst being based on the original 364 365 Lockhart and Martinelli model, their correlations for horizontal coils included the effects of the three principal forces affecting two-phase flow in coiled tubes. 366

Xin et al. [61] investigated the two-phase flow frictional pressure drop in annular 367 368 helicoidal pipes. As done in their earlier study [8] on vertical coils, they presented a correlation for the calculation of the pressure drop multiplier which is a function of the Lockhart and 369 Martinelli parameter, as well as the Froude number. However, for the case of the annular tubes, 370 the latter is also a function of the inner and outer tube diameters. Vashisth and Nigam [62] 371 were the sole authors to investigate the frictional pressure drop in a coiled flow inverter. The 372 pressure drop was reported to be significantly higher than that for a straight helix. This result 373 was attributed to the higher recirculation rates and the complete flow inversion as a result of 374 the sudden shift in the flow direction. Vashisth and Nigam also reported that the Lockhart and 375 Martinelli correlation, both in its original and modified form, predicted their data for a very 376 limited range of flow rates. Therefore, they presented their own correlation for the two-phase 377 friction factor in a coiled flow inverter which is a strong function of the number of bends and 378 the curvature ratio. The latter was included due to their conclusions that smaller coil diameters 379 resulted in higher intensity secondary flows which consequently increased the two-phase 380 381 frictional pressure drop.

Chen and Guo [63] investigated the three phase oil-air-water flow in helically coiled 382 tubes. The frictional pressure drop was reported to be independent of the coil diameter whilst, 383 due to increased mixture viscosities, higher oil fractions resulted in higher pressure drops. A 384 correlation which is essentially a modified Chisholm correlation for straight tubes was also 385 presented. Chisholm's correlation was also used to correlate the data for sulphur hexafluoride-386 water flow in helically coiled tubes [64]. The sole study in the pertinent literature that 387 investigated the gas-non-Newtonian pressure drop in helically coiled tubes was reported by 388 Biswas and Das [65]. They reported a large deviation with the Lockhart and Martinelli 389 390 correlation which was attributed to the non-Newtonian fluid properties. Therefore, they presented an empirical correlation for the calculation of the friction factor which is a 391 function of the fluid and gas Reynolds number, the curvature ratio and fluid properties. In 392

agreement to pertinent conclusions made for gas-water flow [20, 59], the impact of the helixangle on the frictional pressure drop was also found to be negligible.

A recent study reported by Saffari et al. [17] investigated the frictional drag reduction 395 through the use of two-phase bubbly flow in vertical helically coiled tubes. This study is based 396 on earlier initiatives developed for straight tubes whereby two-phase bubbly flow resulted in a 397 drag reduction over the corresponding single-phase flow [66, 67]. For turbulent flow with an 398 399 air volumetric void fraction of 0.09, Saffari et al. reported a maximum drag reduction of 25% over that of single-phase flow, ceteris paribus (Fig. 4). The latter reduction was at its highest 400 at the lower end of the turbulent flow Reynolds numbers. Saffari et al. attributed these results 401 402 to the impact of the centrifugal force on the lighter phase, this being air, whereby due to their lighter density, bubbles accumulate on the tube inner wall in the flow boundary layer. At lower 403 Reynolds numbers these bubbles are widely spread on the tube wall and consequently result in 404 405 a significant reduction of the turbulent Reynolds stresses. Such results are in contrast to the findings reported in this section where all investigators reported frictional pressure drop 406 multipliers in excess of 1. 407



409

408

Figure 4: Comparison of the friction factor for single-phase and two-phase flow in helically coiled tubes at a volumetric void fraction of 0.09 (Saffari et al. [17], Fig. 4)

4	Т	3

nean error			
Vertical orientation – Air-Water			
Developed			
fficient.			
$\frac{2}{2}$			
<sup>2</sup> -)			
-)			
$\frac{2}{2}$			

Banerjee et al. (1969) [59]	15.34 <d<54.8mm 152<d<610mm< th=""><th>0.108&lt;ð&lt;0.090 500<re<40000< th=""><th>Helix angle had no significant effect on the frictional pressure drop. Data correlated well with the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation using the modified <math>\emptyset_l, \emptyset_g</math> and <math>\chi_{tt}</math>; <math>\vartheta_l^2 = SF^{n-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_l}\right)^{5-n}</math> <math>\vartheta_g^2 = SF^{m-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_g}\right)^{5-m}</math> <math>\chi_{tt}^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_l}{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_g}</math> <math>(\pm 30\%)</math></th></re<40000<></th></d<610mm<></d<54.8mm 	0.108<ð<0.090 500 <re<40000< th=""><th>Helix angle had no significant effect on the frictional pressure drop. Data correlated well with the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation using the modified <math>\emptyset_l, \emptyset_g</math> and <math>\chi_{tt}</math>; <math>\vartheta_l^2 = SF^{n-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_l}\right)^{5-n}</math> <math>\vartheta_g^2 = SF^{m-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_g}\right)^{5-m}</math> <math>\chi_{tt}^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_l}{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_g}</math> <math>(\pm 30\%)</math></th></re<40000<>	Helix angle had no significant effect on the frictional pressure drop. Data correlated well with the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation using the modified $\emptyset_l, \emptyset_g$ and $\chi_{tt}$ ; $\vartheta_l^2 = SF^{n-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_l}\right)^{5-n}$ $\vartheta_g^2 = SF^{m-2} \left(\frac{d}{HD_g}\right)^{5-m}$ $\chi_{tt}^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_l}{\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta z}\right)_g}$ $(\pm 30\%)$
Akagawa et al. (1971) [4]	d=9.92mm D=109, 225 mm	0.044<δ<0.091 0 <ug<5m s<br="">0.35<ui<1.16m s<br="">Bubbly&amp;Slug</ui<1.16m></ug<5m>	Frictional pressure drop was measured as 1.1 to 1.5 times greater than that in straight tubes, ceteris paribus. Pressure drop is not a function of the curvature. Data fitted the Lockhart and Martinelli correlation. Empirical equations were also provided: $\frac{\Delta P_{f,TP,c}}{\Delta P_{f,TP,c}} = \frac{(1 + 144\delta^{1.61})}{Re_{TP}^{1.4\delta}}$ where: $Re_{TP} = \frac{U_l d}{(1 - VF_g)\mu_l}$ $\frac{\Delta P_{f,TP,c}}{\Delta P_{f,l,c}} = (1 - VF_g) [\frac{(2.3d)}{D} - 1.4]$ $(\pm 35\%)$
Kasturi and Stepanek (1971) [68]	d=12.5mm D=665 mm	$\delta$ =0.019 1E+3 <de<1e+6 Stratified&amp;Wavy</de<1e+6 	Data fitted the Lockhart-Martinelli parameter.
Whalley (1980) [69]	d=20.2mm D=1000mm <i>B</i> =6	δ=0.019 Stratified& Annular	Frictional pressure drop is the dominant pressure drop over the acceleration and gravity pressure drops. No correlation provided.
Rangachar yulu and Davies (1984) [70]	d=11,13mm 1.52<β<2.69	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0427{<}\delta{<}0.0541\\ 1{<}vf_g{<}10\ m^3{/}h\\ 0.04{<}vf_i{<}0.75\\ m^3{/}h \end{array}$	Correlation also valid for air in glycerol and isobutyl alcohol solutions. $\phi_g - 1 = 0.05 Re_l \delta^{0.5} \left(\frac{U_{TP}}{CS}\right)^{-0.68} \left(\frac{\mu_l^4 g}{\rho_l \sigma_l^3}\right)^{0.18} \delta^{3.66}$
Xin et al. (1996) [8]	d=12.7,19.1,25.4,38 .1mm D=305,609 mm	0.02<δ<0.125 0.008 <u<sub>w&lt;2.2 0.2<u<sub>g&lt;50 Bubbly flow</u<sub></u<sub>	The helix angle, coil and pipe diameters have a marginal effect on the frictional pressure drop. For $F_d > 0.1$ $\phi_l = \left[1 + \frac{\chi}{434.6F_d^{1.7}}\right] \left[1 + \frac{20}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}$ For $F_d \le 0.1$ $\phi_l = \left[1 + \frac{\chi}{65.45F_d^{0.6}}\right] \left[1 + \frac{20}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}$ where; $F_d = Fr\left(\frac{d}{D}\right)^{0.5} (1 + tan\beta)^{0.2}$ $Fr = \frac{U_l^2}{gd}$

			(+250/)
			(±33%)
Mandal and Das (2003) [15]	d=10,13mm 131 <d<2222mm 0&lt;β&lt;12</d<2222mm 	0.046<∂<0.095 1.5 <vfg<52.5e-5 3.65<vfi<14.2e-5 28<t<sub>mean&lt;32°C</t<sub></vfi<14.2e-5 </vfg<52.5e-5 	The helix angle has no effect on the pressure drop. Empirical correlation was developed to calculate the two-phase friction factor. $f_{TP,l}$ $= 5.8853Re_{l}^{-1.1829\pm0.0215}Re_{g}^{0.952\pm0.0142} \left(\frac{\mu_{l}^{4}g}{\rho_{l}\sigma_{l}^{3}}\right)^{0.022\pm0.0086}$
M	1.00	0.007 5.0.04	$\delta^{-0.282\pm 0.0369}$
Murai et al. (2006) [16]	d=20mm D=540,750mm	0.02/< <i>o</i> <0.04 P=0.101MPa 1.76 <u<5.28 15<t<17°c Re&gt;10<sup>4</sup> Bubbly/Plug/Slug flow</t<17°c </u<5.28 	$\Delta P_{f,l} = f \rho_l (1 - VF) \frac{L}{d} \frac{U^2}{2}$ Used Ito's [6] correlation for the single-phase friction factor
Saffari et	d=12,19mm D=200mm	0.06<δ<0.095 P−0.101MPa	25% reduction in the frictional pressure drop with VF=0.09 of air
[17]	D-2001111	10000 <re<50000 0.03<vf<0.09 Bubbly flow</vf<0.09 </re<50000 	No correlation provided.
		Horizontal or	rientation – Air-Water
Awwad et al. (1995) [20]	12.7 <d<38.1mm 330<d<670mm 1&lt;β&lt;20</d<670mm </d<38.1mm 	0.04<δ<0.057 0.2 <ug<50m s<br="">0.008<u₁<2.2m s<br="">Bubbly flow</u₁<2.2m></ug<50m>	Frictional pressure drop is a function of the flow rate of air and water and the Lockhart-Martinelli parameter. The helix angle has almost no effect on the frictional pressure drop whilst the tube and coil diameters have some effects which diminish at higher fluid flow rates. $\phi_l = \left[1 + \frac{\chi}{C(E_d)^{n1}}\right] \left[1 + \frac{12}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}$
			where: $E < 0.3  (-7.70 \ \text{km}) = 0.576$
			where. $F_{d \ge 0.5}$ , $C_{-1.79} \propto 11-0.570$
			$F_{d} > 0.3, C = 13.56 \& n1 = 1.5$
Awwad et al. (1995) [60]	d=25.4mm D=350, 660mm 1<β<20	0.04<δ<0.073 0.2 <ug<50m s<br="">0.008<ul<2.2m s<="" td=""><td><math display="block">(\pm 32\%)</math> Same conclusions as in Awwad et al. [20] <math display="block">\phi_l = \left[\frac{\chi}{9.63F_d^{0.61}}\right] \left[1 + \frac{12}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}</math></td></ul<2.2m></ug<50m>	$(\pm 32\%)$ Same conclusions as in Awwad et al. [20] $\phi_l = \left[\frac{\chi}{9.63F_d^{0.61}}\right] \left[1 + \frac{12}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}$
			$F_d = Fr\delta^{0.1}$
			(±35%)
		Coiled flow	inverter – Air-Water
Vashisth and Nigam (2007) [62]	5 <d<15mm< td=""><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{r} 0.05 &lt; \delta &lt; 0.149 \\ 8.33 &lt; v f_g &lt; 100 E - \\ 5 \\ 3.33 &lt; v f_l &lt; 1000 E \\ -6 \end{array}</math></td><td>Pressure drop increases by a factor of 1.2-2.5 more than that of a straight helix. Smaller coil diameters result in higher frictional pressure drops. <math display="block">f_{TP} = \frac{29.4N^{0.16} \left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^{0.19} Re_g^{0.06}}{Re_l^{0.94}} \qquad 400 &lt; Re_l \le 9000</math> <math display="block">f_{TP} = \frac{0.065N^{0.003}Re_g^{0.001}}{\left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^{0.003}Re_l^{0.13}} \qquad Re_l \ge 10200</math></td></d<15mm<>	$\begin{array}{r} 0.05 < \delta < 0.149 \\ 8.33 < v f_g < 100 E - \\ 5 \\ 3.33 < v f_l < 1000 E \\ -6 \end{array}$	Pressure drop increases by a factor of 1.2-2.5 more than that of a straight helix. Smaller coil diameters result in higher frictional pressure drops. $f_{TP} = \frac{29.4N^{0.16} \left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^{0.19} Re_g^{0.06}}{Re_l^{0.94}} \qquad 400 < Re_l \le 9000$ $f_{TP} = \frac{0.065N^{0.003}Re_g^{0.001}}{\left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^{0.003}Re_l^{0.13}} \qquad Re_l \ge 10200$
			(±15%)

	Annular helicoidal tubes – Air-Water			
Xin et al. (1997) [61]	Tube-in-tube OD <sub>it</sub> =6.35,9.525,12. 7mm ID <sub>ot</sub> =10.21,15.748,2 1.18mm D=114.3,177.8,196. 85mm Vertical and Horizontal orientations	30 <reg<30000 210<rew<23000< td=""><td>Frictional pressure drop is a function of the flow rate of air and water and the Lockhart-Martinelli parameter, whilst the flow rate effect diminishes with an increase in the tube diameter. <math display="block">\phi_l = \left[1 + \frac{0.0435\chi^{1.5}}{F}\right] \left[1 + \frac{10.646}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}</math>where; <math display="block">F = Fr^{0.9106}e^{0.0458(lnFr)^2}</math><math display="block">Fr = \frac{U_l^2}{g(ID_{ot} - OD_{it})}</math></td></rew<23000<></reg<30000 	Frictional pressure drop is a function of the flow rate of air and water and the Lockhart-Martinelli parameter, whilst the flow rate effect diminishes with an increase in the tube diameter. $\phi_l = \left[1 + \frac{0.0435\chi^{1.5}}{F}\right] \left[1 + \frac{10.646}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2}\right]^{0.5}$ where; $F = Fr^{0.9106}e^{0.0458(lnFr)^2}$ $Fr = \frac{U_l^2}{g(ID_{ot} - OD_{it})}$	
		Three-ph	ase: Oil-Air-Water	
Chen and Guo (1999) [63]	d=39mm D=265, 522.5mm 1<β<20	0.45 <ug<19.02m <br="">s 0.018<uwt<1.85m /s 0.0141<uo<0.91 m/s 15<tmean<20°c 0.1<p<0.5mpa VCoil&lt;30% VCwt&gt;70% Stratified/Oil- Droplet</p<0.5mpa </tmean<20°c </uo<0.91 </uwt<1.85m </ug<19.02m>	The frictional pressure drop increases with the oil fraction in the mixture. Coil diameter has no effect on the frictional pressure drop. $\phi_l^2 = f(\theta) \left[ 1 - \frac{0.603}{\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2} \right]$ where: $f(\theta) = R^{0.0172} \left( \frac{1526}{G} \right)^{1.596} (\delta)^{0.175} \left( \frac{\mu_g \rho_o}{\mu_o \rho_o} \right)^{-1.238} \left( \frac{\mu_{wt} \rho_o}{\mu_o \rho_{wt}} \right)$ $R = \frac{U_g}{U}$	
		Stratified/Oil- Droplet/Annular	(+30%)	
Gas-Non-Newtonian Fluid				
		0.00-0.1		
Biswas and Das (2008) [65]	9.3 <d<12mm 176.2<d<266.7m m <math>0&lt;\beta&lt;12</math> Vertical orientation</d<266.7m </d<12mm 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035 < \delta < 0.09 \\ 0.44 < v f_g < 42.03 \\ E-5 \\ 3.334 < v f_l < 15.00 \\ 3E-5 \\ 28 < T_{mean} < 32^{\circ}C \\ 0.2 < MC < 0.8 \end{array}$	The effect of the helix angle on the pressure drop was negligible. Empirical correlation was developed to calculate the two-phase friction factor. $f_{TP,l}$ $= 0.4Re_g^{0.757\pm0.025}Re_l^{-1.437\pm0.059} \left(\frac{\mu_{eff}^4g}{\rho_l\sigma_l^3}\right)^{-0.348\pm0.017}$ $\delta^{0.721\pm0.076}$	
		S	(RE 8%) F6-Water	
Czop et al. (1994) [64]	d=19.8mm D=1170mm $\beta=7.27$	δ=0.017 0.1 <p<1.35mpa 26000<re<50000 500<g<3000 Slug &amp; Bubbly flow</g<3000 </re<50000 </p<1.35mpa 	Significant differences with the Lockhart-Martinelli correlation. Fairly good agreement with the Chisholm correlation [71]: $\phi_{l,tt}^{2} = 1 + \frac{C}{\chi_{tt}} + \frac{1}{\chi_{tt}^{2}}$ where: $\chi_{tt} = \frac{1 - x}{x} \left(\frac{\rho_{g}}{\rho_{l}}\right)^{0.5}$ $C = 1.5 \left[ \left(\frac{\rho_{g}}{\rho_{l}}\right)^{0.5} + \left(\frac{\rho_{l}}{\rho_{g}}\right)^{0.5} \right]$	

414 Table 3: Review of experimental studies on the air-water frictional pressure drop characteristics in helically
 415 coiled tubes

#### 420 **4. Nanofluids**

421

423

422 4.1. Experimental studies

There is a significant paucity of studies on the pressure drop characteristics of 424 nanofluids in helically coiled or curved tube heat exchangers. Fakoor-Pakdaman et al. [72] 425 426 reported that the few studies reported on the investigation of nanofluid flow in helically coiled tubes were mainly focused at investigating the heat transfer characteristics with the system 427 parameters. In fact, their study, published in 2013, was the first study to comprehensively 428 429 investigate the isothermal pressure drop with nanofluids in helically coiled tubes. As reported in Section 1 of the present study, a number of authors have considered the ratio of the resultant 430 pressure drop with nanofluids in a helically coiled tube to that in a straight tube with the base 431 fluid only, to calculate the performance index given in Eq. (1). This is principally used to 432 appraise the application of heat transfer enhancement techniques as a function of the ratios of 433 the heat transfer coefficients and the pressure drops. The latter is particularly relevant to the 434 calculation of the heat exchanger performance, as the use of helical coils and nanofluids could 435 result in a significant increase in the pressure drop (circa 3.5 times) over that of the base fluid 436 437 in straight tubes [72].

Table 4 summarises the pertinent experimental studies reviewed, categorised according to the nanoparticles and the base fluids investigated. The principal nanoparticles which have been reported by researchers are the oxides of copper and aluminium whilst the base fluids are water and oil.

442 Most of the researches reviewed in the present study have reported an increase in the nanofluid pressure drop with the nanoparticle concentration and the Reynolds number. This is 443 mainly attributed to the resultant higher relative mixture densities and viscosities [3, 73, 74]. 444 445 However, most researchers agreed that at low fluid velocities the rate of increase in the pressure drop with the nanoparticle volume concentration was smaller than that at higher fluid 446 velocities. Mukesh Kumar et al. [74] attributed this result to the dominance of the viscosity 447 448 effects at low Dean numbers. Furthermore, Hashemi and Akhavan-Behabadi [73] reported that the higher rate of chaotic motion and migration of the nanoparticles at increased Reynolds 449 numbers could be the reason for the different rates of pressure drop increases. There are no 450 experimental studies which investigated the pressure drop characteristics of the principle 451 nanofluids, these being the oxides of aluminium and copper dispersed in water, at identical 452 system parameters. However, Hashemi and Akhavan-Behabadi reported that due to the 453 spherical properties of CuO nanoparticles, reduced levels of friction could result when 454 compared to other nanofluids. This is due to the rolling effect (instead of sliding) between the 455 oil and solid phases. 456

There is an agreement amongst authors [18, 14] that the transitional velocity, and hence 457 458 the critical Reynolds number of nanofluids will be higher than that of the base fluid. This is due to the higher viscosity of the former. As reported in our review on the two-phase heat 459 transfer characteristics in helically coiled tubes [57] some controversies characterise the studies 460 on nanofluid flow in these tubes. The majority of investigations reviewed in the present study 461 reported a significant appreciation in the pressure drop with nanofluids over that of the base 462 fluid only. Furthermore, the increment in the pressure drop for helical tubes was reported to be 463 higher than that for straight pipes. In view of this, Suresh et al., Fakoor-Pakdaman et al. and 464 Kahani et al. [13, 72, 75] presented correlations for the calculation of the friction factor and 465 pressure drop with nanofluids. These correlations are principally a function of the coil 466 geometry, Dean or Reynolds numbers and the nanoparticle concentration. With a 2% weight 467 concentration of CuO nanoparticles in oil, flowing through a helically coiled tube, Hashemi 468 and Akhavan-Behabadi [73] reported an increase in the pressure drop of 20.3% over that of the 469

470 base fluid only whilst for a straight tube, this was measured as 13.2%. Similarly, for 0.2% volume concentration of CuO in water, Kannadasan et al. [18] reported that the friction factor, 471 when compared to water flow only, increased by 24% and 23% for horizontal and vertical 472 orientations respectively. However, in contrast to these findings, Suresh et al. and Wu et al. 473 [75, 14] reported that the resultant pressure drop increment with a wide range of nanoparticle 474 concentrations was marginal when compared to that of the base fluid alone. In fact, Wu et al.'s 475 476 pressure drop results were reasonably predicted by the Ito [6] (laminar) and Seban and McLaughlin [76] (turbulent) equations for single-phase flow in helically coiled tubes. Suresh 477 et al. attributed these results to the nanoscale size of the additive nanoparticles. Furthermore, 478 479 whilst Wu et al. [14] reported that, due to their higher viscosity and density, nanofluids resulted in a mitigation of the secondary flow, Mukesh Kumar et al. [3] reported contradictory results. 480 The latter results were attributed to the random motion of the nanoparticles which did not 481 impede the formation of the secondary flow. 482

The nanofluid pressure drop as a function of the coil geometry was investigated by 483 Kahani et al. [77] and Fakoor-Pakdaman et al. [72] who both reported lower pressure drops 484 with a decrease in the curvature ratio. The pressure drop was also independent of the coil pitch. 485 The former was principally attributed to the weaker centrifugal forces, hence minimising the 486 effects of the secondary flow on the system pressure drop. The sole study which investigated 487 the nanofluid pressure drop as a function of the helical coil orientation was reported by 488 Kannadasan et al. [18]. They reported that the nanofluids in a vertical coil resulted in 489 490 marginally lower pressure drop increments (over that of pure water) when compared to horizontal coils, ceteris paribus (Fig. 5). However, they failed to critically analyse these results. 491 492





Authors	Heat exchanger type/Flow regime	Nanofluid	Volume or weight conc.	Main conclusions, proposed correlation and mean error
	Copper & Copper oxide nanoparticles & Water			
Akbaridoust et al. (2003) [78]	Laminar 200 <re<1000< td=""><td>Cu/H<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>0.1-0.2% (VF)</td><td>The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate.</td></re<1000<>	Cu/H <sub>2</sub> O	0.1-0.2% (VF)	The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate.
Suresh et al. (2011) [75]	Horizontal with smooth and dimpled surface Turbulent ID=4.85mm OD=6.3mm 2500 <re<6000< td=""><td>CuO/ H<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>0.1-0.3% (VF)</td><td>Quasi no increase in the pressure drop with nanofluids over that with distilled water. <math display="block">f = 0.1648Re^{0.97}(1 + VC)^{107.89} \left(1 + \frac{PR}{d}\right)^{-4.463}</math><math display="block">(\pm 20\%)</math></td></re<6000<>	CuO/ H <sub>2</sub> O	0.1-0.3% (VF)	Quasi no increase in the pressure drop with nanofluids over that with distilled water. $f = 0.1648Re^{0.97}(1 + VC)^{107.89} \left(1 + \frac{PR}{d}\right)^{-4.463}$ $(\pm 20\%)$

Kannadasan et al. (2012) [18]	Horizontal & vertical Turbulent ID=9mm OD=10.5mm D=124mm 1600 <de<4000< td=""><td>CuO/ H<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>0.1-0.2% (VC)</td><td><ul> <li>For both horizontal and vertical coils, an increase in the friction factor was measured with higher nanoparticle volume concentrations. Higher Dean numbers decreased the friction factor.</li> <li>For 0.2% volume concentration, the friction factor, when compared to water flow only, increased by 24% and 23% for horizontal and vertical orientations respectively.</li> </ul></td></de<4000<>	CuO/ H <sub>2</sub> O	0.1-0.2% (VC)	<ul> <li>For both horizontal and vertical coils, an increase in the friction factor was measured with higher nanoparticle volume concentrations. Higher Dean numbers decreased the friction factor.</li> <li>For 0.2% volume concentration, the friction factor, when compared to water flow only, increased by 24% and 23% for horizontal and vertical orientations respectively.</li> </ul>
		Copper ovi	de nanonarti	No correlation.
		Copper oxi	uc nanopai u	
Hashemi and Akhavan- Behabadi (2012) [73]	Horizontal Laminar ID=14.37mm D=324mm Re<125 700 <pr<2050< td=""><td>CuO/Oil</td><td>0.5-2% (WC)</td><td>The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and Reynolds numbers. For 2% WC, the pressure drop, when compared to oil flow only, increased by 20.3%. For a straight tube this was measured as 13.2% No correlation.</td></pr<2050<>	CuO/Oil	0.5-2% (WC)	The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and Reynolds numbers. For 2% WC, the pressure drop, when compared to oil flow only, increased by 20.3%. For a straight tube this was measured as 13.2% No correlation.
	Mı	l 1lti-Walled Carbon	NanoTubes	nanoparticles & Oil
	1,11		i tuno i uoco	
Fakoor- Pakdaman et al. (2012)	Vertical Laminar ID=15.6mm 220 <d<320mm< td=""><td>Multi-Walled Carbon NanoTubes/Oil</td><td>0.1-0.4% (WC)</td><td>Performance index, increases with higher nanoparticle weight concentrations.</td></d<320mm<>	Multi-Walled Carbon NanoTubes/Oil	0.1-0.4% (WC)	Performance index, increases with higher nanoparticle weight concentrations.
[5]	100 <re<1800< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></re<1800<>			
Fakoor- Pakdaman et al. (2013) [72]	Vertical Laminar ID=15.6mm 220 <d<320mm 10<re<2000< td=""><td>Multi-Walled Carbon NanoTubes/Oil</td><td>0.1-0.4% (WC)</td><td>The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. 31% pressure drop increase over the base fluid at the highest concentration. Pressure drop is independent of the coil pitch whilst a decrease in the curvature ratio results in a lower pressure drop. Pressure drop in the coiled tube is up to 2.5 times higher than that in a straight tube. <math display="block">\frac{f_{TP}}{f_{bf}} = [1 + 0.031(logDn_m)^4](1 + 10WC)^{4.9}</math>where: <math display="block">Dn_m = Re \left\{ \delta^{-1} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{p}{\pi D}\right)^2 \right] \right\}^{-0.5}</math>(±20%)</td></re<2000<></d<320mm 	Multi-Walled Carbon NanoTubes/Oil	0.1-0.4% (WC)	The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. 31% pressure drop increase over the base fluid at the highest concentration. Pressure drop is independent of the coil pitch whilst a decrease in the curvature ratio results in a lower pressure drop. Pressure drop in the coiled tube is up to 2.5 times higher than that in a straight tube. $\frac{f_{TP}}{f_{bf}} = [1 + 0.031(logDn_m)^4](1 + 10WC)^{4.9}$ where: $Dn_m = Re \left\{ \delta^{-1} \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{p}{\pi D}\right)^2 \right] \right\}^{-0.5}$ (±20%)
	Alumi	nium oxide & titar	nium dioxide	nanoparticles & Water
Kahani et al. (2013a) [13]	Horizontal Laminar d=7mm D=70,140mm 500 <re<4500 5.89<pr<8.95< td=""><td>Al2O3/H2O TiO2 /H2O</td><td>0.25-1.0% (VC)</td><td>The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. <math display="block">\Delta P_{TP} = 5.584 He^{1.36} VF^{0.446} d^{0.163} RA^2</math> where: <math display="block">He = De \left[1 + \left(\frac{p}{1000}\right)^2\right]^{0.5}</math></td></pr<8.95<></re<4500 	Al2O3/H2O TiO2 /H2O	0.25-1.0% (VC)	The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. $\Delta P_{TP} = 5.584 He^{1.36} VF^{0.446} d^{0.163} RA^2$ where: $He = De \left[1 + \left(\frac{p}{1000}\right)^2\right]^{0.5}$
Kahara' t	113.5<пе<1311.4			$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2\pi D \end{bmatrix}$
Kahani et al. (2013b) [77]	Horizontal Laminar d=7mm D=70,140mm 500 <re<4500 5.89<pr<8.95 115.3<he<1311.4< td=""><td>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>0.25-1.0% (VC)</td><td>The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. A decrease in the curvature ratio results in a lower pressure drop, whilst the coil pitch had a minimal effect on the pressure drop. No correlation.</td></he<1311.4<></pr<8.95 </re<4500 	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	0.25-1.0% (VC)	The pressure drop increased with increasing particle volume concentration and mass flow rate. A decrease in the curvature ratio results in a lower pressure drop, whilst the coil pitch had a minimal effect on the pressure drop. No correlation.
Mukesh	Laminar		0.1-0.8%	Generally, the pressure drop increased with
Kumar et al. (2013)	5100 <re<8700 ID=9mm</re<8700 	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	(VC)	increasing particle volume concentration and mass

[3]	OD=10.5mm			flow rate. Rate of pressure drop increase was higher
	D=93mm			when the Dean number increased.
				No correlation.
Wu et al.	Double pipe	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	0.78-	Mitigation of secondary flow with nanofluids.
(2013)	Laminar &		7.04%	Friction factor decreases with higher Reynolds
[14]	Turbulent		(WC)	numbers for laminar flow while it increases slowly
[]	$ID_{it} = 13.28 \text{mm}$		(	for higher Reynolds numbers in turbulent flow
	$ID_{\pi} = -26mm$			for higher regionas numbers in tarbutent now.
	$D_{ot} = 20$ mm			Their friction factor was predicted through the use
	D=2.3411111			of the Ite [6] (leminer) and Schen and Mel suchlin
	800 <ke<10000< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>of the Ito [6] (laminar) and Seban and MicLaughlin</td></ke<10000<>			of the Ito [6] (laminar) and Seban and MicLaughlin
				[76] (turbulent) equations for single-phase flow.
				(±30%)
Mukesh	Laminar	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	0.1-0.8%	Generally, the pressure drop increased with
Kumar et al.	$0.03 < \dot{m} < 0.05$		(VC)	increasing particle volume concentration and mass
(2014)	1600 <de<2700< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>flow rate. Rate of pressure drop increase was higher</td></de<2700<>			flow rate. Rate of pressure drop increase was higher
[74]	ID=10mm			when the Dean number increased.
L' J	OD=115mm			Hence, no significant increase in the pressured drop
	D-93mm			with 0.1% and 0.4% nanofluid particle volume
	D=)5mm			concentration
				concentration.
				No correlation

Table 4: Review of experimental studies of the pressure drop characteristics of nanofluids in helically coiled
 tubes

500

502

510

514

501 4.2 Numerical Studies

Research on the pressure drop and the general thermo-physical properties of nanofluids in helically coiled heat exchangers is a relatively new development. In fact, the earliest research in the pertinent literature was reported by Sasmito et al. [23] in 2011. The ANSYS Fluent commercial software package was used in all of the studies reviewed and summarised in this section. Therefore, the fluid flow and heat transfer governing equations, given in Eqs. (14-16), were solved to measure the pressure drop and temperature distribution along the helically coiled tubes.

511 Continuity:

512  
513 
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} + \nabla . (\rho V) = 0$$
(14)

515 Momentum:

516  
517 
$$\rho \frac{\delta V}{\delta T} + \nabla \tau_{ij} - \nabla P + \rho F B = 0$$

518

519 Energy:

520

521 
$$\rho \frac{De}{\delta T} + \rho(\nabla, V) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial T} - \nabla, Q + \phi_d$$
 (16)  
522

(15)

523 where V is the fluid velocity, FB are the body forces,  $\phi_d$  is the energy dissipation term and Q is 524 the heat transfer by conduction. The numerical analysis studies reviewed in this section 525 assumed that the nanofluid flow through the tubes is incompressible, single-phase and fully 526 developed, both hydrodynamically and thermally. The SIMPLEC algorithm was used by a 527 number of studies to solve the flow field [21, 31], whilst for turbulent flow modelling, the 528 Standard Turbulence k- $\varepsilon$  model as proposed by Launder and Spalding, was used [79]. The

529 530 531	thermo-physical properties of the nanofluids were obtained using the equations given in (17-28) [21, 31].	n Eqs.
532 533	Density:	
534 535	$\rho_{nf} = (1 - VF)\rho_{bf} + VF\rho_{np}$	(17)
536 537	Heat capacity:	
538 539	$(\rho C_p)_{nf} = (1 - VF) (\rho C_p)_{bf} + VF (\rho C_p)_{np}$	(18)
540 541	Effective thermal conductivity:	
542 543	$k_{eff} = k_{static} + k_{Brownian}$	(19)
544 545	Static thermal conductivity:	
546 547	$k_{static} = k_{bf} \left[ \frac{k_{np} + 2k_{bf} - 2(k_{bf} - k_{np})VF}{k_{np} + 2k_{bf} + (k_{bf} - k_{np})VF} \right]$	(20)
548 549	Brownian thermal conductivity:	
550	$k_{Brownian} = 5E4\beta VF\rho_{bf}C_{p,bf}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa T}{2\rho_{np}rd_{np}}}f(T,VF)$	(21)
551 552 553	where the Boltzmann constant, $\kappa = 1.3807\text{E}-23 \text{ J/K}$	
554 555	Modelling function for CuO, $1\% \leq VF \leq 6\%$ , $\beta$ :	
556 557	$\beta = 9.881(100VF)^{-0.9446}$	(22)
558 559	Modelling function for Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , 1% $\leq$ VF $\leq$ 10%, $\beta$ :	
560 561	$\beta = 8.4407(100VF)^{-1.07304}$	(23)
562 563	Modelling function for ZnO, $1\% \le VF \le 7\%$ , $\beta$ :	
564 565	$\beta = 8.4407(100VF)^{-1.07304}$	(24)
566 567	Modelling function for SiO <sub>2</sub> , $1\% \le VF \le 10\%$ , $\beta$ :	( <b>- -</b> )
568 569	$\beta = 1.9526(100VF)^{-1.4394}$	(25)
570 571	Modelling function, f (T, VF):	
572 573	$f(T, VF) = (2.8217E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)\left(\frac{1}{T_o}\right) + (VF(-3.0699E - 2) - (3.91123E - 2)VF + (3.917E - 3)VF +$	· 3)) ( <b>26</b> )
574 575	Dynamic viscosity:	()

576 
$$\frac{\mu_{eff}}{\mu_{bf}} = \frac{1}{1 - 34.87 \left(\frac{di_{np}}{di_{bf}}\right)^{-0.3} VF^{1.03}}$$
577 (27)

578 where the equivalent diameter of the base fluid molecule is:

579

$$580 \quad di_{bf} = \left(\frac{6M}{N\pi\rho_{bf}}\right) \tag{28}$$

581

Table 5 summarises the numerical studies on the pressure drop characteristics with nanofluid 582 laminar and turbulent flow in helically coiled tubes. The studies summarised in this section are 583 in reasonable agreement with the data reported in the experimental studies reviewed in Section 584 4.1. Furthermore, some controversy also characterises the reviewed numerical studies. Hence, 585 whilst a number of authors [21, 22, 80] reported an increase in the frictional pressure drop with 586 higher nanoparticle volume concentrations as well as higher nanofluid pressure drops over that 587 of the base fluid only, Sasmito et al. [23] reported that at a nanoparticle volume concentration 588 of 1%, the calculated pressure drop was lower than that for pure water. Sasmito et al. attributed 589 these results to the fact that at low volume concentrations, the nanoparticles have a minimal 590 effect on the fluid viscosity, whereas the temperature effects on the nanofluid thermo-physical 591 properties are more significant. Intriguingly, Aly [30] also reported that the aluminium oxide 592 nanoparticles with a maximum volume concentration of 2% did not result in an increase to the 593 resultant pressure drop. Therefore, their data was in reasonable agreement with the single-phase 594 friction factor correlations by Mishra and Gupta and Ito [7, 6]. Similar results were also 595 reported by Suresh et al. and Wu et al. [75, 14] through their experimental investigations. One 596 of the advantages of numerical simulations is the minimal cost incurred for each simulation. 597 Hence, Narrein and Mohammed [21] were able to investigate the flow characteristics of a 598 599 combination of oil, ethylene glycol and water based nanofluids. They reported that due to the high viscosities of oil based nanofluids, the latter resulted in the highest calculated pressure 600 drop when compared to ethylene glycol and water based nanofluids. Narrein and Mohammed 601 602 also reported higher pressure drops with decreasing nanoparticle diameters which were more intense at higher fluid velocities. These results were attributed to the resultant increase in the 603 fluid viscosity which could result in higher wall shear stresses. 604

605 Through their numerical investigations, Elsayed et al. [29] and Moraveji and Hejazian [80] presented correlations for the prediction of the friction factor. The former's correlation 606 takes the form of a ratio of the nanofluid flow pressure drop in a helically coiled tube to that in 607 a straight tube, ceteris paribus. This correlation is a function of the fluid properties, represented 608 through a modified Reynolds number and the tube geometry, represented through the curvature 609 ratio. The correlation presented by the latter authors is markedly different as it is not a function 610 of the coil geometry. In fact, it is a sole function of the fluid properties, represented through 611 the nanoparticle volume concentration and the Reynolds number. 612

Mohammed and Narrein [31] and Aly [30] investigated the nanofluid pressure drop characteristics with the coil geometry. In agreement with the experimental results reported by Kahani et al. [13] and Fakoor-Pakdaman et al. [5], an increase in the nanofluid frictional pressure drop was reported with a reduction in the coil diameter, whilst the pressure drop decreased with larger tube diameters. As reported in Section 4.1, these results can be attributed to the reduction in the centrifugal forces with larger helix diameters. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the pressure drop was also reported to be independent of the helix pitch.



Figure 6: CFD simulation of the CuO nanoparticles in water, pressure drop for: different helix radii (a), pitches (b) (Mohammed and Narrein [31], Fig. 7a&b)

6	2	3	
-	_	-	

Authors	Heat exchanger type / Flow regime	Nanofluid	Volume or Weight Concent ration	Main conclusions, proposed correlation and mean error
Sasmito et al. (2011) [23]	Square tubes Laminar	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O CuO/H <sub>2</sub> O	0-1% (VC)	Helical coil resulted in the highest pressure drop when compared to straight, conical and in-plane coiled tubes. At 1% nanoparticle concentration, the pressure drop was lower than that for water. No correlation.
Jamshidi et al. (2012) [22]	Laminar 1700 <re<2500< td=""><td>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> /H<sub>2</sub>O</td><td>1-3% (VC)</td><td>Friction factor increased with higher nanoparticle volume concentrations and lower Reynolds numbers. No correlation.</td></re<2500<>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O	1-3% (VC)	Friction factor increased with higher nanoparticle volume concentrations and lower Reynolds numbers. No correlation.
Mohammed and Narrein (2012) [31]	Laminar 0.01 <mं<0.06 d=32,42,52mm D=600,800,900 mm</mं<0.06 	CuO/H <sub>2</sub> O	4% (VC)	Pressure drop increased with a reduction in the coil diameter and decreased with larger tube diameters. No correlation.
Narrein and Mohammed (2013) [21]	Laminar 0.01< <i>m</i> <0.06	CuO/H <sub>2</sub> O/Engine Oil/Ethylene glycol Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O/Engin e Oil/Ethylene glycol ZnO/H <sub>2</sub> O/Engine Oil/Ethylene glycol SiO <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O/ Engine Oil/Ethylene glycol	1-4% (VC)	Due to the different densities, SiO <sub>2</sub> had the highest pressure drop followed by Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , ZnO, CuO. Due to higher viscosities, pressure drop increased with higher nanoparticle concentrations and decreasing nanoparticle diameters. Due to higher wall shear stresses this effect is more intense at higher fluid velocities. Pressure drop for oil based nanofluids resulted in the highest pressure drop followed by ethylene glycol and water based nanofluids. No correlation.

with nanofluids was at of water in a straight e. $\frac{Re_{nf}^{-0.25} + 0.012\delta^{0.5})}{0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}}$
at of water in a straight e. $\frac{Re_{nf}^{-0.25} + 0.012\delta^{0.5})}{0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}}$
e. $\frac{Re_{nf}^{-0.25} + 0.012\delta^{0.5})}{0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}}$
$\frac{Re_{nf}^{-0.25} + 0.012\delta^{0.5})}{0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}}$
$\frac{Re_{nf}^{-0.25} + 0.012\delta^{0.5})}{0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}}$
$0.316Re_{nf}^{-0.25}$
$0.510Re_{nf}$
,
26)
tor correlations by Ito
to are also valid for
vida
i with curvature ratios.
ase with nanoparticle
centration.
elation.
nanoparticles is 11%
se fluid, ceteris paribus.
fluid in the helical coil
that in a straight tube
that in a strangift tube.
$^{23}(1 + VC)^{0.00781}$
%)

Table 5: Review of numerical studies on the pressure drop characteristics of nanofluids in helically coiled
 tubes

627

629

#### 628 **5. Scope for further research**

As discussed in Section 3, recent studies have suggested that the introduction of small 630 air bubbles (b<0.5mm) in turbulent flow could result in a substantial reduction of the frictional 631 632 pressure drop over that of pure water. A number of studies have investigated this concept for two-phase flow in straight tubes [66, 67] whilst Saffari et al. [17] presented the sole study for 633 helically coiled tubes. Saffari et al.'s conclusions are in substantial disagreement with the 634 findings reported by the majority of investigations on air-water two-phase flow, where the 635 introduction of the second phase was reported to enhance the frictional pressure drop. In fact, 636 the pressure drop multiplier in Eq. (3), as originally defined by Lockhart and Martinelli, was 637 typically reported to be in excess of unity. Such evident controversies should be addressed 638 through further research on the frictional pressure drop due to bubbly air-water two-phase flows 639 in coiled tubes. 640

As reported in a study published by one of the authors of the present study [81], air-641 642 water two-phase flow through helically coiled tube heat exchangers characterises many modern condensing sealed heating systems. Bubbly flow finds its origins in the supersaturated 643 conditions at the heat exchanger wall. Whilst numerous studies investigated the air-water 644 bubbly flow pressure drop, these studies were developed through the insertion of artificial 645 bubbles. This presents significant scope for further research on the bubbly flow two-phase 646 647 frictional pressure drops, where bubbles nucleate and detach at the tube wall and therefore, the volumetric void fraction at the return and flow ends of the heat exchanger would be dissimilar. 648 Moreover, in view of the fact that numerous studies have suggested enhanced heat transfer 649 coefficients with the addition of nanoparticles to water [75, 18], there is scope for further 650 651 research on the three-phase air-water-nanoparticles frictional pressure drop in helically coiled tube heat exchangers. 652

Due to the recent development of nanofluids as a means for the enhancement of the
fluid heat transfer characteristics, there is ample scope for further research in this field of study.
The majority of the pertinent studies available in the open literature have focused their

656 investigations on the resultant heat transfer characteristics. This is evidenced by the paucity of correlations presented for the calculation of the frictional pressure drop when compared to 657 those available for the heat transfer coefficient [57]. Further investigations should be developed 658 to address the conflicting results for the impact of the nanoparticle concentration on the 659 frictional pressure drop as outlined in Section 4. Studies should also be developed for the 660 purpose of investigating the frictional pressure drop as a sole function of the type of 661 nanoparticles. Such studies are deemed necessary in view of the conclusions made by Hashemi 662 and Akhavan-Behabadi [73] who reported that due to their typical spherical shape, copper 663 oxide nanoparticles could yield lower frictional pressure drops. The open literature presents a 664 665 single study on the two-phase frictional pressure drop as a function of the coil orientation [18], where horizontal coils were reported to yield marginally higher pressure drops. However, the 666 authors failed to provide a detailed appraisal for the latter results. Furthermore, this study was 667 developed with copper oxide nanoparticles in water and hence, further studies are required to 668 investigate the impact of the coil orientation with widely used nanoparticles and base fluids, 669 such as aluminium oxide and oil respectively. Moreover, the pertinent literature failed to 670 comprehensively investigate the distribution of the secondary phase (nanoparticles) in coiled 671 tubes. Therefore, whilst Wu et al. [14] reported that nanofluid flow in coiled tubes did not yield 672 a significant phase separation, no other relevant studies investigated this pertinent flow 673 characteristic. Such avenues for future fundamental research will complement and facilitate the 674 research and development of high efficiency heat exchangers as well as open new opportunities 675 for industry-led heat exchanger tube design initiatives, whereby the distribution of the 676 secondary phase could be manipulated for optimised system efficiencies. 677

678

### 679 6. Conclusions

680

691

681 This paper has provided a review on all the investigations available in the pertinent literature on the two-phase pressure drop characteristics in helically coiled tubes. Therefore the relevant 682 investigations on steam-water flow boiling, R-134a evaporation and condensation, air-water 683 flow and nanofluids have been critically reviewed. The correlations for the calculation of the 684 frictional two-phase pressure drop were also tabulated with the corresponding system 685 parameters. Whilst being more complex than single-phase flow, two-phase flow is more 686 relevant to numerous engineering applications. Therefore a comprehensive understanding of 687 the two-phase pressure drop is necessary to ensure that no excessive, energy consuming, 688 pumping power is required. The pertinent conclusions outlined in the current study can be 689 summarised through the following points: 690

- For steam-water flow boiling, the frictional pressure drop increases with the vapour • 692 quality and mass flux whilst it decreases with higher system pressures. The appreciation 693 of the frictional pressure drop with the vapour quality is more significant at qualities 694 below 0.3. The curvature ratio does not appear to have a significant influence on the 695 two-phase flow boiling frictional pressure drop multiplier whilst there is some 696 controversy surrounding the influence of the coil orientation and heat flux. Some 697 698 studies have correlated their data to widely cited correlations for straight tubes such as those given by: Lockhart and Martinelli, Martinelli and Nelson and Chen. 699
- For R-134-a evaporation and condensation in helically coiled tubes, the curvature ratio appears to have some impact on the resultant frictional pressure drop for R-134a flow in non-miniature helically coiled tubes (d>1mm). The pertinent investigations have also concluded that the frictional pressure drop increases with higher vapour qualities and refrigerant mass fluxes, whilst the tube orientation has no significant impact on the

pressure drop. The majority of the correlations presented are a function of the Lockhartand Martinelli parameter.

- The pertinent literature presents numerous correlations for the prediction of the two-707 • phase frictional pressure drop with air-water bubbly flow. The majority of 708 investigations have correlated their data using the original or modified Lockhart and 709 Martinelli correlation for straight tubes, whilst other authors presented their own 710 empirical correlations. The early investigations reported the two-phase pressure drop to 711 be independent of the coil design parameters such as the curvature ratio and the helix 712 angle, whilst more recent studies have suggested a marginal impact on the two-phase 713 pressure drop by the latter parameters. The frictional pressure drop as a function of the 714 air volumetric void fraction remains indeterminate due to conflicting results. 715
- Few correlations are available to calculate the frictional pressure drop with nanofluids. 716 • The majority of experimental and numerical investigations on nanofluids flowing in 717 helically coiled tubes have reported a significant increment (up to 3.5 times) in the 718 frictional two-phase pressure drop over that of pure water in straight tubes. Such 719 conclusions were mainly attributed to the higher relative mixtures and densities as well 720 as the secondary flow formed in curved tubes. Due to the dominance of the viscosity 721 722 effects at low fluid velocities, the impact of the nanoparticle concentration on the twophase frictional pressure drop is stronger at higher Reynolds numbers. The frictional 723 pressure drop was also reported to be a function of the curvature ratio and the coil 724 orientation with marginally larger pressure drops for horizontal coils. Controversy 725 surrounds the impact of nanofluids on the frictional pressure drop, where some 726 investigations reported a decrease in the resultant pressure drop while other studies 727 reported the pressure drop to be quasi-identical to that with pure water in coiled tubes. 728
- 729

This paper has also outlined areas for further research, principally in the fields of air-water and
nanofluids two-phase flows and three-phase air-water-nanoparticles flow. Such studies could
take the form of fundamental research as well as industrial research and development initiatives
with the aim of enhancing the system efficiencies through the reduction of the two-phase
pressure drop.

735

## 736 Acknowledgments

The authors of the current investigation would like to thank the University of Central
Lancashire UK, for facilitating the completion of this study as well as the various authors who
have been contacted during the course of this study.

- 741742 Notation List
- 743
- 744 A Heat transfer area  $(m^2)$
- 745bBubble diameter (m)
- 746 bf Base fluid (-)
- 747 c<sub>p</sub> Specific heat (J/kgK)
- 748 C Constant depending on the flow condition of the vapour and liquid i.e. 5 for laminar
   749 and 20 for turbulent flows (-)
- 750 CS Stratified speed of sound (m/h)
- 751 d Tube diameter (m)
- 752 D Helix diameter (m)
- 753DeDean number (-)
- 754 Di Diameter of nanoparticle (m)

755	E	Corrugation depth (m)
756	f	Friction factor (-)
757	Fr	Froude number (-)
758	FB	Body forces (N)
759	g	Acceleration due to gravity (m/s)
760	G	Mass flux $(kg/m^2s)$
761	h*	Mean heat transfer coefficient after applying enhancement techniques (Nanoparticles
762	and he	lical coils) $(W/m^2K)$
763	$\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{st}}$	Mean heat transfer coefficient inside a straight tube with base fluid only
764	He	Helical coil number (-)
765	Η	Coil vertical height (m)
766	HD	Hydraulic diameter (m)
767	ID	Inner tube diameter (m)
768	k	Thermal conductivity (W/mK)
769	L	Length (m)
770	'n	Mass flow rate (kg/s)
771	Μ	Molecular weight (mol/g)
772	MC	Mass concentration $(kg/m^3)$
773	Ν	Number of bends (-)
774	OD	Outside tube diameter (m)
775	р	Pitch (m)
776	P	System pressure (-)
777	Pr	Prandtl number (-)
778	ΡI	Performance index (-)
779	PR	Pitch ratio (-)
780	$\Delta P^*$	Mean pressure drop after applying enhancement techniques (Nanoparticles and helical
781		coils) (Pa)
782	$\varDelta P_{st}$	Mean pressure drop inside a straight tube with base fluid only (Pa)
783	$\varDelta P_{\mathrm{TP}}$	Two-phase frictional pressure drop (Pa)
784	q	Heat flux $(kW/m^2)$
785	Q	Heating power (kW)
786	rd	Radius of nanoparticle (m)
787	Re	Reynolds number (-)
788	RA	Adjusted correlation coefficient (-)
789	RE	Average relative error (%)
790	RMS	Root mean square (-)
791	S	Slip ratio (-)
792	SF	Shape factors (-)
793	Т	Temperature ( <sup>0</sup> C)
794	U	Superficial velocity (m/s)
795	v	Specific volume (m <sup>3</sup> /kg)
796	vf	Volume flow rate $(m^3/s)$
797	V	Flow velocity (m/s)
798	VC	Volume concentration (-)
799	VF	Void fraction (-)
800	WC	Mass concentration as fraction (-)
801	х	Steam quality (-)
802	Z	Vertical elevation (m)
803		
804		

805	Greek	x symbols
806		
807	β	Helix angle (°)
808	γ	Friction factor multiplier (-)
809	$\delta$	Curvature ratio: Internal tube radius d <sub>i</sub> /mean coil radius D (-)
810	З	Volumetric quality, liquid volume flow rate to total volume flow rate (-)
811	η	Performance index (-)
812	κ	Boltzmann constant (J/K)
813	μ	Dynamic viscosity (Pa/s)
814	ρ	Density $(kg/m^3)$
815	σ	Surface tension (N/m)
816	τ	Sheer stress $(N/m^2)$
817	$\phi_{ m d}$	Dissipation term $(m^2/s^3)$
818	$\phi_1$	Two-phase multiplier (-)
819	χ	Lockhart-Martinelli parameter (-) $\chi = \left(\frac{1-\chi}{\chi}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_g}{2}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\mu_l}{\mu_l}\right)^{0.1}$
820	ψ	The unevenness correction factor (-) $(x,y) = (\mu_g)^{-1} (\mu_g)^{-1}$
821		
822	Subsc	ripts
823		
824	Acc	Acceleration
825	bf	Base fluid
826	c	Coil
827	crit	Critical
828	eff	Effective
829	f	Frictional
830	g	Gas properties/flow
831	grav	Gravity
832	g,tt	Gas phase turbulent flow
833	it	Inner tube
834	1	Liquid properties/flow
835	l,tt	Liquid phase turbulent flow
836	m	Mixture
837	n	nth
838	nf	Nanofluid
839	np	Nanoparticle
840	NS	No slip conditions
841	0	Oil
842	ot	Outer tube
843	ref	Refrigerant side
844	S	Straight tube
845	sat	Saturation conditions
846	st	Single-phase conditions
847	TP	Two-phase conditions
848	tt	Turbulent liquid and vapour flow
849	v	Vapour
850	v,tt	Vapour phase turbulent flow
851	wt	Water
852		
853		

### 854 **Reference List**

855

881

882

883

884

885

886

896

- Goering D.J., Humphrey J.A.C, Greif R., 1997, The dual influence of curvature and buoyancy in fully developed tube flows, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 40, pp. 2187-2199
- Pabhanjan D.G., Raghavan G.S.V., Rennie T.J., 2002, Comparison of heat transfer rates
  between a straight tube heat exchanger and a helically coiled heat exchanger, Int.
  Comm. Heat Mass Transfer, 29 (2) pp. 185-191
- Mukesh Kumar P.C., Kumar J., Suresh S., 2013, Experimental investigation on convective heat transfer and friction factor in a helically coiled tube with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/water nanofluid, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, 27 (1), pp. 239-245
- 4. Akagawa K., Tadashi S., Minoru U., 1971, Study on a gas-liquid two-phase flow in helically coiled tubes, Bulletin of JSME, 14 (72), pp. 564-571
- 5. Fakoor-Pakdaman M., Akhavan-Behabadi M.A., Razi P., 2012, An experimental investigation on thermo-physical properties and overall performance of MWCNT/heat transfer oil nanofluid flow inside vertical helically coiled tubes, International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 40, pp. 103-111
- 871 6. Ito H., 1959, Friction factors for turbulent flow in curved pipes, ASME Journal of Basic
  872 Engineering, 81, pp. 123-134
- 873
  7. Mishra P., Gupta S.N., 1979, Momentum transfer in curved pipes 1, Newtonian fluids,
  874 Industrial Engineering Chemical Processes Design and Development, 18, pp. 130-137
- 875
  8. Xin R.C., Awwad A., Dong Z.F., Ebadian M.A., 1996, An investigation and comparative study of the pressure drop in air-water two-phase flow in vertical helicoidal pipes, International Journal of Heat Transfer, 39 (4), pp. 735-743
- 878
  9. Campolumghi F., Cumo M., Ferrari G., and Palazzi G., 1977, Full scale tests and thermal design for coiled once-through heat exchangers, American Institute of 880
  879 Chemical Engineers Symposium Series, 73, pp. 215-222
  - Nariai H., Kobayashi M., Matsuoka T., 1982, Friction pressure drop and heat transfer coefficient of two-phase flow in helically coiled tube once-through steam generator for integrated type marine water reactor, Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 19 (11), pp. 936-947
    - 11. Kang H.J., Lin C.X., Ebadian M.A., 2000, Condensation of R134a flowing inside helicoidal pipe, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 43 pp. 2553-2564
- 12. Han J.T., Lin C.X., Ebadian M.A., 2005, Condensation heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of R-134a in an annular helical pipe, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 32, pp. 1307-1316
- 13. Kahani M., Heris S.Z., Mousavi S.M., 2013, Comparative study between metal oxide
  nanopowders on thermal characteristics of nanofluid flow through helical coils, Powder
  Technology, 246, pp. 82-92
- 14. Wu Z., Wang L., Sunden B., 2013, Pressure drop and convective heat transfer of water
  and nanofluids in a double-pipe helical heat exchanger, Applied Thermal Engineering,
  60, pp. 266-274
  - 15. Mandal S.N., Das S.K., 2003, Gas-liquid flow through coils, Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 204(4), pp. 624-630
- 898 16. Murai Y., Yoshikawa S., Toda S., Ishikawa M., Yamamoto F., 2006, Structure of air899 water two-phase flow in helically coiled tubes, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 236,
  900 pp. 94-106

- 17. Saffari H., Moosavi R., Gholami E., Nouri N.M., 2013, The effect of bubble in the
   pressure drop reduction in helical coil, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 51,
   pp. 251-256
- 18. Kannadasan N., Ramanathan K., Suresh S., 2012, Comparison of heat transfer and pressure drop in horizontal and vertical helically coiled heat exchanger with Cu/water based nanofluids, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 42, pp. 64-70
- 907 19. Nouri N.M., Motlagh S.Y., Navidbakhsh M., Dalilhaghi M., Moltani A.A., 2013,
  908 Bubble effect on pressure drop reduction in upward pipe flow, Experimental Thermal
  909 and Fluid Science, 44, pp. 592-598
- 20. Awwad A., Xin R.C., Dong Z.F., Ebadian M.A., Soliman H.M., 1995, Measurement
  and correlation of the pressure drop in air-water two-phase flow in horizontal helicoidal
  pipes, International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 21 (4), pp. 607-619

914

921

922

926

927

928

933

- 21. Narrein K., Mohammed H.A., 2013, Influence of nanofluids and rotation on helically coiled tube heat exchanger performance, Techmochimica Acta, 564, pp. 13-23
- 22. Jamshidi N., Farhadi M., Sedighi K., Domeiry G., 2012, Optimisation of design parameters for nanofluids flowing inside helical coils, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 39, pp. 311-317
- 918 23. Sasmito P.A., Kurnia J.C., Mujumdar A.S., 2011, Numerical evaluation of laminar heat
   919 transfer enhancement in nanofluid flow in coiled square tubes, Nanoscale Research
   920 letters, 6:376
  - 24. Naphon P., Wongwises S., 2006, A review of flow and heat transfer characteristics in curved tubes, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 10 (5), pp. 463-490
- 923 25. Guo L.J., Feng Z.P., Chen X.J., 2001, Pressure drop oscillation of steam-water two924 phase flow in a helically coiled tube, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer,
  925 44, pp. 1555-1564
  - 26. Cioncolini A., Santini L., Ricotti M.E., 2008, Subcooled and saturated water flow boiling pressure drop in small diameter helical coils at low pressure, Experimental and Fluid Science, 32 pp. 1301-1312
- 27. Zhao L., Guo L., Bai B., Hou Y., Zhang X., 2003, Convective boiling heat transfer and two-phase flow characteristics inside a small horizontal helically coiled tubing oncethrough steam generator, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 46 pp. 4779-4788
  - 28. Kozeki M., Nariai H., Furukawa T., Kurosu K., 1970, A study of helically coiled tube once-through steam generator, Bull.JSME, 13(66), pp. 1485-1494
- 29. Elsayed A., Al-dadah R., Mahmoud S., Rezk A., 2014, Numerical investigation of
  turbulent flow heat transfer and pressure drop of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Water nanofluid in helically
  coiled tubes, International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies, 0, pp. 1-8
- 30. Aly W., 2014, Numerical study on turbulent heat transfer and pressure drop of
  nanofluid in coiled tube-in-tube heat exchangers, Energy Conversion and Management,
  79, pp. 304-316
- 31. Mohammed H.A., Narrein K., 2012, Thermal and hydraulic characteristics of nanofluid
  flow in a helically coiled tube heat exchanger, International Communications in Heat
  and Mass Transfer, 39, pp. 1375-1383
- 32. Bi Q.C., Chen T.K., Luo Y.S., Zheng J.X., Jing J.G., Frictional pressure drop of steamwater two-phase flow in helical coils with small helix diameter, in: Chen et al. (Eds.),
  Proc. Of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Symposium on Multiphase Flow and Heat Transfer, vol.
  1, Xi'an Jiaotong Univ. Press and Begell House Inc., 1994, pp. 498-505
- 33. Owhadi A., Bell K.J., Crain Jr. B., 1968, Forced convection boiling inside helically
  coiled tubes, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 11, pp. 1179-1793

34. Lockhart, R.W., Martinelli, R.C.; 1949, Proposed correlation of data for isothermal
two-phase two-component flow in pipes, Chemical Engineering Progress, 45, pp. 39–
48

953

954

961

962

963

967

968

969

970

971 972

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

- 35. Martinelli R.C., Nelson D.B., 1948, Prediction of pressure drop during forced circulation boiling of water, Transactions of ASME, 70, pp. 695-702
- 36. Chen L., Steam-water two-phase flow frictional pressure drop in straight tubes, in: Chen
  X. (Ed.), Selected papers of multiphase flow and heat transfer, Paper 7, Xi'an Jiaotong
  University Press, 1982, pp. 7.1-7.6
- 37. Guo L., Feng Z., Chen X., 2001, An experimental investigation of the frictional pressure drop of steam-water two-phase flow in helical coils, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 44, pp. 2601-2610
  - 38. Santini L., Cioncolini A., Lombardi C., Ricotti M., 2008, Two-phase pressure drops in a helically coiled steam generator, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 51, pp. 4926-4939
- 39. Ruffell A.E., The application of heat transfer and pressure drop data to the design of
  helical coil once-through boilers, Symposium on multi-phase flow systems, University
  of Strathclyde, Institute of Chemical Engineering Symposium Series, 38, Paper 15
  - 40. Unal H.C., van Gasselt M.L.G., van't Vertlaat P.M., 1981 Dryout and two-phase flow pressure drop in sodium heated helically coiled steam generator tubes at elevated pressures, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 24, pp. 285-298
    - 41. Chen X.J., Zhou F.D., 1981, An investigation of flow pattern and frictional pressure drop characteristics of air-water two-phase flow in helical coils, in: Proceedings of the Fourth Miami International Conference on Alternate Energy Sources, pp. 120-129
- 973 42. Guo L.J., Chen S.K., Zhang Z.P., Correlation for predicting pressure drop of single and
  974 two-phase flow through horizontal helically coiled tubes, in: Proceedings of the Third
  975 International Symposium on Multiphase Flow and Heat Transfer, Xi'an, China, 1994,
  976 pp. 514-521
- 43. Kubair V.G.,1986, Heat transfer to multiphase flow in coiled pipes, Heat Transfer, C.L.
  Tien et al. (Ed.), Proceedings of the 8th International Heat Transfer Conference, San
  Francisco USA, 5, pp. 2355-2360
  - 44. Ju H., Huang Z., Xu Y., Duan B., Yu Y., 2001, Hydraulic performance of small bending radius helical coil-pipe, Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 38 (10), pp. 826-831
  - 45. Tong L.S., Weisman J., 1996, Thermal analysis of pressurised water reactors. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, American Nuclear Society
  - 46. Cui W., Li L., Xin M., Jen T.C., Chen Q., Liao Q., 2006, A heat transfer correlation of flow boiling in micro-finned helically coiled tube, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 49, pp. 2851-2858
  - 47. Aria H., Akhavan-Behabadi M.A., Shemirani F.M., 2012, Experimental Investigation of flow boiling heat transfer and pressure drop of HFC-134a inside a vertical helically coiled tube, Heat Transfer Engineering, 33(2), pp. 79-86
- 48. Elsayed, A.M., Al-Dadah R.K., Mahmoud S., Rezk A., 2012, Investigation of flow
  boiling heat transfer inside small diameter helically coiled tubes, International Journal
  of Refrigeration, 35, pp. 2179-2187
- 49. Wongwises S., Polsongkram M., 2006a, Evaporation heat transfer and pressure drop of
   HFC-134a in a helically coiled concentric tube-in-tube heat exchanger, International
   Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 49, pp. 658-670
- 50. Laohalertdecha S., Wongwises S., 2010, The effects of corrugation pitch on the
  condensation heat transfer and pressure drop of R-134a inside horizontal corrugated
  tube, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 53 pp. 2924-2931

- 1000 51. Kim J.W., Kim J.H., Seo S.K., Kim J.H., Kim J.S., 2000, Characteristics of heat transfer
   1001 and pressure drop of R-22 inside an evaporating tube with small diameter helical coil,
   1002 Transactions of the Korean Society of Mechanical Engineers Series, B24 (5), pp. 699 1003 708
- 1004 52. Lin C.X., Ebadian M.A., 2007, Condensation heat transfer and pressure drop of R-134a
   1005 in annular helicoidal pipe at different orientations, International Journal of Heat and
   1006 Mass Transfer, 50 pp. 4256-4264
- Scott Downing R., Kojasoy G., 2002, Single and two-phase pressure drop characteristics on miniature helical channels, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 26 pp. 535-546
- 1010 54. Wongwises S., Polsongkram M., 2006b, Condensation heat transfer and pressure drop
   1011 of HFC-134a in a helically coiled concentric tube-in-tube heat exchanger, International
   1012 Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 49, pp. 4386-4398
  - 55. Han J.T., Lin C.X., Ebadian M.A., 2005, Condensation heat transfer and pressured drop characteristics of R-134a in an annular helical pipe, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 32, pp. 1307-1316
- 56. El-Sayed Mosaad M., Al-Hajeri M., Al-Ajmi R., Koliub A.M., 2009, Heat transfer and
  pressure drop of R-134a condensation in a coiled, double tube, Heat Mass Transfer, 45
  pp. 1107-1115

1014 1015

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027 1028

1032

1033 1034

1038

1039

1040

1044

- 57. Fsadni A.M., Whitty J.P.M., 2016, A review of the two-phase heat transfer
  characteristics in helically coiled tube heat exchangers, International Heat and Mass
  Transfer, 95, pp. 551-565
  - 58. Rippel G.R., Edit C.M., Jordan H.B., 1966, Two-phase flow in a coiled tube, Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Process Design and Development, 5 (1) pp. 32-39
  - 59. Banerjee S., Rhodes E., Scott D.S., 1969, Studies on cocurrent gas-liquid flow in helically coiled tubes, The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 47, 445-453
  - 60. Awwad A., Xin R.C., Dong Z.F., Ebadian M.A., Soliman H.M., 1995, Flow patterns and pressure drops in air/water two-phase flow in horizontal pipes, Journal of Fluids Engineering, 117(4), pp. 720-726
- 1029 61. Xin R.C., Awwad A., Dong Z.F., Ebadian M.A., 1997, An experimental study of single phase and two-phase flow in annular helicoidally pipes, National Heat Transfer
   1031 Conference, 8, pp. 11-17
  - 62. Vashisth S., Nigam K.D.P., 2007, Experimental Investigation of Pressure Drop during Two-Phase Flow in a Coiled Flow Inverter, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 46 (14) pp.5043-5050
- 1035 63. Chen X., Guo L., 1999, Flow patterns and pressure drop in oil-air-water three-phase
  1036 flow through helically coiled tubes, International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 25, pp.
  1037 1053-1072
  - 64. Czop V., Barbier S., Dong S., 1994, Pressure drop, void fraction and shear stress measurements in an adiabatic two-phase flow in a coiled tube, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 149 pp. 323-333
- 1041 65. Biswas A.B., Das S.K., 2008, Two-phase frictional pressured drop of gas-non1042 Newtonian liquid flow through helical coils in vertical orientation, Chemical
  1043 Engineering and Processing, 47 pp. 816-826
  - 66. Mazzitelli I., Lohse D., Toschi F., 2003, The effect of micro bubbles on developed turbulence, Physics of Fluids, 15(1), L5
- 1046 67. Bao-Guo C., Nan-Sheng L., 2011, Direct numerical simulations of turbulent channel
  1047 flows with consideration of the buoyancy effect of the bubble phase, Journal of
  1048 Hydrodynamics, 23 (3), pp. 282-288

- 68. Kasturi G., Stepanek J.B., 1972, Two-phase flow-I. Pressure drop and void fraction measurements in concurrent gas-liquid flow in a coil, Chemical Engineering Science, 27 pp. 1871-1880
  - 69. Whalley P.B., 1980, Air-water two-phase flow in a helically coiled tube, International Journal of Multiphase flow, 6(4), pp. 345-356
    - 70. Rangacharyulu K., Davies G.S., 1984, Pressure drop and holdup studies of air-liquid flow in helical coils, The Chemical Engineering Journal, 29 pp. 41-46
  - 71. Chisholm D., 1967, Pressure gradients during the flow of incompressible two-phase mixtures through pipes, Venturis and orifice plates, Chemical Engineering, 12(9), pp. 1368-1371
    - 72. Fakoor-Pakdaman M., Akhavan-Behabadi M.A., Razi P., 2013, An empirical study on the pressure drop characteristics of nanofluid flow inside helically coiled tubes, International Journal of Thermal Sciences, 65, pp. 206-213
- 1062 73. Hashemi S.M., Akhavan-Behabadi M.A., 2012, An empirical study on heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of CuO-base oil nanofluid flow in a horizontal helically coiled tube under constant heat flux, International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer, 39, pp. 144-151
- 1066 74. Mukesh Kumar P.C., Kumar J., Sendhilnathan S., Tamilarasan R., Suresh S., 2014,
  1067 Heat transfer and pressure drop of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanofluid as coolant in shell and helically
  1068 coiled tube heat exchanger, Bulgarian Chemical Communications, 46(4) pp. 743-749
  - 75. Suresh S., Chandrasekar M., Chandra Sekhar S., 2011, Experimental studies on heat transfer and friction factor characteristics of CuO/water nanofluid under turbulent flow in a helically dimpled tube, Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 35, pp. 542-549
  - 76. Seban R.A., Mclaughlin E.F., 1963, Heat transfer in tube coils with laminar and turbulent flow, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 6, pp. 387-395
- 1074 77. Kahani M., Zeinali Heris S., Mousavi S.M., 2013, Effects of curvature ratio and coil pitch spacing on heat transfer performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Water nanofluid laminar flow through helical coils, Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology, 34:12, pp. 1704-1712
- 1078 78. Akbaridoust F., Raksha M., Abbassi A., Saffar-Avval M., 2013, Experimental and numerical investigation of nanofluid heat transfer in helically coiled tubes at constant wall temperature using dispersion model, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 58, pp. 480-491
  - 79. Rabienataj Darzi A.A., Farhadi M., Sedighi K., Aallahyari S., Aghajani Delavar, 2013, Turbulent heat transfer of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> – water nanofluid inside helically corrugated tubes: Numerical Study, International Communication in Heat and Mass Transfer, 41, pp. 68-75
- 80. Moraveji M.K., Hejazian M., 2014, CFD examination of convective heat transfer and pressure drop in a horizontal helically coiled tube with CuO/Oil base nanofluid, Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications, 66 pp. 315-329
- 1089 81. Fsadni A.M., Ge Y.T., Lamers A.G., 2011, Measurement of bubble detachment diameters from the surface of the boiler heat exchanger in a domestic central heating system, Applied Thermal Engineering, 31, (14-15), pp. 2808-2818
- 1092

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057 1058

1059

1060

1061

1069

1070 1071

1072

1073

1082

1083 1084