

1	MIP-based Electrochemical Protein Profiling
2	
3	Lígia Bueno # ⁽¹⁾ , Hazim F. El-Sharif # ⁽²⁾ , Maiara O. Salles # ⁽¹⁾ , Ryan D. Boehm ⁽³⁾ ,
4	Roger J. Narayan ⁽³⁾ , Thiago R. L. C. Paixão ⁽¹⁾ and Subrayal M. Reddy ^(2*)
5	
6	¹ Instituto de Quimica, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida Professor Lineu Prestes,
7	748 – São Paulo – SP, Brasil.
8	² Department of Chemistry, FEPS, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK, GU2 7XH.
9	³ Joint Departments of Biomedical Engineering, University of North Carolina,
10	Raleigh, USA.
11	
12	# These authors contributed equally to this work (co-first authorship)
13	

***Corresponding Author:** Tel: +44 (0) 1483686396, <u>s.reddy@surrey.ac.uk</u>

15 Graphical Abstract



16

17 Highlights

- Electrochemical MIP-based biosensor fabricated for rapid protein detection.
- We report the coupling of electrochemical and pattern recognition techniques.
- Selective synthetic MIP recognition of a range of bio-significant proteins.
- Protein fingerprint profiling by principal component analysis
- Faster detection rates at lower concentrations.

24 Abstract

25 We present the development of an electrochemical biosensor based on modified glassy carbon (GC) electrodes using hydrogel-based molecularly imprinted polymers 26 27 (MIPs) has been fabricated for protein detection. The coupling of pattern recognition 28 techniques via principal component analysis (PCA) has resulted in unique protein 29 fingerprints for corresponding protein templates, allowing for MIP-based protein 30 profiling. Polyacrylamide MIPs for memory imprinting of bovine haemoglobin 31 (BHb), equine myoglobin (EMb), cytochrome C (Cyt C), and bovine serum albumin 32 (BSA), alongside a non-imprinted polymer (NIP) control, were 33 spectrophotometrically, and electrochemically characterised using modified GC 34 electrodes. Rebinding capacities (Q) were revealed to be higher for larger proteins 35 (BHb and BSA, $Q \approx 4.5$) while (EMb and Cyt C, $Q \approx 2.5$). Electrochemical results 36 show that due to the selective nature of MIPs, protein arrival at the electrode via 37 diffusion is delayed, in comparison to a NIP, by attractive selective interactions with 38 exposed MIP cavities. However, at lower concentrations such discriminations are 39 difficult due to low levels of MIP rebinding. PCA loading plots revealed 5 variables 40 responsible for the separation of the proteins; Ep, Ip, E1/2, Iat -0.8 v, Δ Idecay peak current to -0.8 41 v. Statistical symmetric measures of agreement using Cohen's kappa coefficient (κ) 42 were revealed to be 63% for bare GC, 96% for NIP and 100% for MIP. Therefore, our 43 results show that with the use of PCA such discriminations are achievable, also with 44 the advantage of faster detection rates. The possibilities for this MIP technology once fully developed are vast, including uses in bio-sample clean-up or selective extraction, 45 46 replacement of biological antibodies in immunoassays, as well as biosensors for medicine, food and the environment. 47

49 Keywords

50 Biosensors; Electrochemical protein detection; Molecularly imprinted polymers;
51 Pattern recognition; Modified electrodes; Electronic tongue.

52

53 **1. Introduction**

Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) are rapidly becoming viable alternatives to natural antibodies for sensor technology [1-4]. MIPs offer many advantages in terms of shelf-life, stability, robustness, cost, and ease of preparation [5]. While biological antibodies are routinely used in diagnostic tests and are able to give precise results, they are notably unstable and require lengthy procedures to grow, isolate, and treat before they can be used; ethical issues surrounding the use of animalbased antibodies are also a common drawback [6].

61 Over the years molecular imprinting has become an effective method for 62 imprinting highly specific and selective recognition sites in synthetic polymers [7,8]. 63 As such, MIPs have been regarded as 'antibody mimics' and have shown clear 64 advantages over actual antibodies for sensor technology as they are highly cross-65 linked, intrinsically stable, robust, and have potential use in extreme environments [9]. However, in the imprinting community, bio-macromolecules such as proteins present 66 67 a variety of challenges and successful imprints are highly sought after. Proteins are 68 relatively labile and have changeable conformations that are sensitive to various 69 factors (e.g., solvent environments, pH and temperature) [7,10-12]. Moreover, a large 70 number of proteins are vital markers; for example, in the case of haemoglobin, 71 mutations in genes that encode for the protein's subunits can result in hereditary 72 diseases such as sickle cell anaemia, thalassaemia, and haemoglobinopathies [1]. 73 However, protein-detecting arrays remain under-developed due to the lack of highly selective and specific binding agents that interact with protein surfaces through complementary interactions [13]. It is therefore imperative to develop new methodologies based on protein detection for applications in proteomics, medical diagnostics, and even pathogen detection [13].

78 Differential receptor arrays, that in nature routinely conduct pattern-based 79 recognition, have already been artificially constructed using synthetic 80 receptors/transducers and could provide a possible solution. Such devices have been 81 labelled as electronic noses for smell recognition and electronic tongues for taste 82 recognition. These synthetic receptors/transducers or sensors have low selectivity and 83 consequently exhibit over-lapping signals for different species, providing a fingerprint 84 of a sample that could be used for qualitative discrimination [14]. The operation of 85 these electronic devices uses a concept of the human tongue and nose known as global 86 selectivity [15], in which the biological system does not identify a particular substance 87 but brings together all of the extracted information into patterns that the brain 88 decodes. An electronic sensor that works in a similar way is a chememotric tool e.g., principal component analysis (PCA). These tools decode complex information and 89 90 classify standards for recognition [16-18]. Takeuchi et al. previously applied the 91 electronic tongue strategy to the molecular recognition of proteins by using imprinted 92 acrylic acid (AA) and 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate (DMA) polymers [19,20]. 93 Three-dimensional PCA scores of the binding data described by Takeuchi et al. 94 revealed that a clear protein distinction was possible and that protein-imprinted 95 polymer arrays can be applied to protein profiling by pattern analysis of binding 96 activity for each polymer [19-21]. PCA has also been used in conjunction with 97 electrochemical methods such as cyclic voltammetry [16,17,22-25]. An attractive

approach for the development of biochemical sensors would be the integration of
smart materials (e.g., MIPs) with said electrochemical techniques

100

100 This paper demonstrates the use of pattern recognition techniques to uniquely 101 identify fingerprint profiles for four different proteins by coupling electrochemical 102 sensor strategies with hydrogel-based MIPs. The four proteins chosen were on the 103 basis of their different biological roles, sizes, and electrochemical activities. Bovine 104 hemoglobin (BHb, 64.5 kda) well known for its function in the vascular system as a 105 carrier of oxygen, also in aiding the transport of carbon dioxide and regulating blood 106 pH [26]. Both BHb and EMb (17.5 kda) exhibit well-known electrochemical 107 behaviour [1,24,27,28]. Cytochrome complex (Cyt C, 12.5 kda) is an essential 108 component of the electron transport chain but exhibits a lack of oxygen binding, 109 despite being an iron-containing metalloprotein that is capable of undergoing 110 oxidation and reduction. Bovine serum albumin (BSA, MW 66.0 kDa) is a non-111 metalloprotein with similar molecular weight to BHb, and serves to test the selectivity 112 of the BHb-MIP to BSA compared to template BHb.

Our results demonstrate sensitivity and selectivity; if such devices can be further optimised for MIP parameters, then perhaps these MIP-based strategies can offer viable methods for the characterisation of proteins. With the aid of inexpensive synthetic smart material hydrogel MIPs, new biosensor platforms for rapid screening, diagnosis, and monitoring of a variety of disorders can be readily developed within the years to come [8,29].

- 120 **2.** Materials and methods
- 121 **2.1 Materials**

122 Acrylamide (AA), N,N-methylenebisacrylamide (bis-AA), ammonium 123 persulphate (APS), N,N,N,N-tetramethylethyldiamine (TEMED), sodium dodecyl-124 sulphate (SDS), glacial acetic acid (AcOH), phosphate buffered saline (PBS) tablets (137 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl; 27 mmol L⁻¹ KCl; 10 mmol L⁻¹ Na₂HPO₄; 1.76 mmol L⁻¹ 125 126 KH₂PO₄), methyl viologen, bovine haemoglobin (BHb), bovine serum albumin 127 (BSA), cytochrome C (Cyt C), and equine heart myoglobin (EMb) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). Sieves (75µm) were purchased from Inoxia Ltd. 128 129 (Guildford, UK). Polycarbonate membranes 25 mm in diameter, 0.8 µm pore size 130 were purchased from Osmonic Inc., Minnetonka, USA.

131

132 2.2 Hydrogel production

133 Hydrogel MIPs for BHb, EMb, Cyt C and BSA were synthesised by separately 134 dissolving AA (54 mg) and bis-AA as cross-linker (6 mg) along with template protein 135 (12 mg) in 960 µL of MilliQ water. The solutions were purged with nitrogen for 5 136 minutes, followed by an addition of 20 μ L of a 10% (w/v) APS solution and 20 μ L of a 5% (v/v) TEMED solution. Polymerisation occurred at room temperature ($\sim 22 \text{ C}^0$), 137 giving final total gel densities (%T) of 6 %T, AA/bis-AA (w/v) and crosslinking 138 139 densities (%C) of 10 %C (9:1, w/w). For every MIP created, a control non-imprinted polymer (NIP) was prepared in an identical manner but in the absence of protein. 140

141

142 2.3 Hydrogel conditioning

143 After polymerization, the gels were granulated separately using a 75 μ m sieve. 144 Of the resulting gels, 500 mg were conditioned by washing with five 1 mL volumes of 145 150 mmol L⁻¹ PBS buffer (pH 7.4). This was followed by five 1 mL volumes of a 146 10% (w/v):10% (v/v) SDS:AcOH (pH 2.8). A further five 1 mL washes of 150 mmol 147 L⁻¹ PBS buffer (pH 7.4) were conducted to remove any residual SDS:AcOH eluent 148 and equilibrate the gels. Each conditioning step was followed by a centrifugation 149 using an Eppendorf mini-spin plus centrifuge (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) 150 for 3 minutes at 6000 rpm (RCF: 2419 x g). All supernatants were collected for 151 analysis by spectrophotometry to verify the extent of template removal. It should be 152 noted that the last water wash and SDS:AcOH eluent fractions were not observed to 153 contain any protein. Therefore we are confident that any remaining template protein 154 within the MIPs did not continue to leach out during future studies.

155

156 2.4 Hydrogel characterization

157 The rebinding efficiency of the MIPs and NIPs produced were characterized 158 using a UV mini-1240 CE spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Europa, Milton Keynes, 159 UK). After elution washing of the polymers MIP and NIP (500 mg) were treated with 160 3 mg/ml of protein in an eppendorf and polymer/protein solution mixed on a rotary 161 vortex mixer for 5 minutes followed by centrifugation. The supernatant was removed 162 and protein concentration measured spectrophotometrically (at 404 nm for BHb; 280 163 nm for BSA, 408 nm for Mb and 402 nm for Cyt C). Protein loaded MIPs and NIPs 164 were then washed with five sequential washes of water (1ml each) and the washes 165 combined. Again the absorbance of the washes was also taken. All protein 166 unaccounted for at this stage was deemed to be selectively bound to the MIP or NIP 167 and determined by subtraction of the protein levels in supernatants (after loading and 168 water washing) from the initial load. Optical microscope images of granulated and 169 washed MIPs and NIPs were also taken.

170

171 **2.5 Electrochemical analysis**

172 Glassy carbon (GC) working electrode surfaces were individually modified 173 with a 20 mg conditioned hydrogel layer of each: NIP, BHb MIP, Cyt C MIP, BSA 174 MIP, and EMb MIP. The layer was kept in place by a polycarbonate membrane (0.8 175 µm) placed over the modified electrode surface and held down with the aid of a 176 rubber ring. The polycarbonate membrane was chosen because its pores are small 177 enough to retain the gel (75 µm particle size) and, at the same time, large enough to 178 allow protein in solution to diffuse through. The potential range used in all electrochemical measurements was 0.0 to -0.9 V with a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹; a 179 180 Ag/AgCl reference electrode (saturated KCl) and platinum counter electrode 181 connected to an Autolab II potentiostat/galvanostat were used in this study (Utrecht, 182 Netherlands). The modified electrodes were first placed in a solution of PBS (pH 7.4) 183 and SDS 5% (w/v) and analysed after a 20 min period of equilibration. Subsequently, 184 15.4 µmol L⁻¹ protein solutions (BHb, BSA, EMb and Cyt C) dissolved in PBS buffer 185 (pH 7.4) and SDS 5% (w/v) were placed independently in the cell and 186 voltammograms were obtained at 10 min intervals for 60 min. It should be noted that protein solutions were stirred between measurements for 3 minutes; GC electrodes 187 188 were cleaned, polished, and tested with methyl viologen between each new MIP/NIP 189 experiment. Cyclic voltammograms using bare GC electrodes were also recorded for the PBS (pH 7.4) and SDS 5% (w/v) buffer solution and for the 15.4 μ mol L⁻¹ protein 190 191 solutions (BHb, BSA, EMb and Cyt C) dissolved in PBS buffer (pH 7.4) and SDS 5% 192 (w/v).

193

194 **2.6 Principal component analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA)
were performed in Statistica 11.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, USA). The analysis was

197 carried out using voltammetric current density values without any previously pre-198 processing and scaling from bare GC or modified GC electrodes as input. PCA was 199 used to reduce the large data sets to 2D plots, which can be easily used to discriminate 200 protein samples. All voltammetric curves were recorded three times for each sample 201 in a random order using a clean bare GC or a new modified GC electrode surface.

202

203 **3. Results and discussion**

204 **3.1 Characterisation**

Figure 1A and 1B show the optical microscope images of granulated and washed BHb MIPs and NIPs. The MIPs appear denser than the NIPs due to the light contrast apparent from protein which is still locked in the bulk of the MIP. It is also evident that the MIP particles form larger agglomerates with each other compared with the NIP. This is because there is still surface entrapped protein in the MIP particles which is attracted to more surface entrapped protein within other MIP particles. This is not observed with the NIP.

The molecular imprinting effect is characterised by the rebinding capacity (Q) of protein to the gel polymer (mg/g) exhibited by the protein-specific MIP and the control NIP, and is calculated using Eq. (1), where C_i and C_r are the initial protein and the recovered protein concentrations (mg/ml) respectively (which specifies the specific protein bound within the gel), V is the volume of the initial solution (ml), and g is the mass of the gel polymers (g).

218

$$\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{C}_{i} - \mathbf{C}_{r}] \, \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{g} \tag{1}$$

Figure 1C shows the rebinding capacities for each protein studied. As expected, the MIP exhibited superior selective binding of the target protein compared with the NIP with a typical selectivity ratio of 10:1. Interestingly, the binding capacity is highest for BHb-MIP_{polyAA} while both EMb-MIP_{polyAA} and BCat- MIP_{polyAA} exhibit the lowest binding capacity. It has previously been observed that with smaller size proteins a higher crosslinking density is necessary; the opposite is also true for larger proteins [12,30]. Since the crosslinking density remained the same (10% by weight), the low MIP affinities for BCat and EMb can be attributed to the fact that fewer cavities were imprinted due too high, and too low of a crosslinking density respectively.

229

230 **3.2 Electrochemical analysis**

231 3.2.1 Glassy carbon (GC) profiling

232 Metallo-proteins are expected to produce an electrochemical signal because of 233 their metal-containing haem active centres in the protein molecules. However, the 234 extended three-dimensional structure of proteins results in the inaccessibility of the 235 electroactive iron centres. It can therefore be difficult for metallo-proteins to undergo 236 heterogeneous electron transfer; as a result, no detectable current appears at 237 conventional electrodes [1,4]. However, conformational changes due to partial or 238 complete protein denaturation, can allow haem groups to become accessible to a 239 subjacent electrode and be electrochemically reduced at GC electrodes via promotion 240 of electrocatalytic reduction of nascent oxygen [1]. For example, conformational or 241 structural changes in oxyhaemoglobin (Hb) complexes can be induced upon 242 denaturation in the presence of sodium dodecylsulphate (SDS) denaturant [1,11,31].

With this in mind, we attempted to evaluate the possibility to discriminate the proteins using cyclic voltammetric information extracted from bare GC electrodes in the presence of an SDS surfactant in solution. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded in the presence of the four proteins that were studied at 15.4 μ mol L⁻¹ (including one

247 non-metalloprotein as a control; BHb - 1 mg mL⁻¹, BSA - 0.98 mg mL⁻¹, EMb - 0.26 mg mL⁻¹, and Cyt C - 0.185 mg mL⁻¹) in a solution containing PBS buffer (pH 7.4) 248 249 and 5% SDS (w/v) (Figure 2A). In the presence of Cyt C and BSA, the cathodic 250 reduction signal of dissolved oxygen in solution could be seen (reduction peak at -0.6 251 V). The fact that the peak was due to dissolved oxygen was confirmed by bubbling 252 Ar in the latter protein solutions, which consequently led to depletion of the oxygen 253 reduction peak (results not shown). In the presence of BHb and EMb, a shift in the 254 peak reduction potential towards a less negative potential was observed, indicating an 255 iron centre-dependent electrocatalytic process for the oxygen reduction reaction at the 256 surface of the electrode. This effect was not observed in the absence of SDS, and is 257 therefore due to a probable SDS-induced change in the haemoglobin and myoglobin 258 structural conformation exposing the Fe(III) centre by partial denaturation. The 259 partial denaturation is induced only by SDS where at 5% (w/v) the CMC is reached. 260 Full denaturation however, requires a combination of SDS surfactant and an acid in 261 order to protonate the protein and hence be further attracted to and unravelled by negatively charged SDS micelles [31]. With this modification, the reduction of the 262 263 oxygen does not directly happen at the electrode surface; the Fe(III) is reduced to 264 Fe(II) at the electrode surface and the oxygen reduction is subsequently 265 electrocatalysed by the oxidation of Fe(II) back to Fe(III).

Using hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) the qualitative discrimination of the proteins on the GC electrode was performed and data were analysed for their discrimination and compiled as number of cluster recognition (Figure 2B). The results reveal that a slight degree of separation between the proteins that, in solution, exhibit and do not exhibit a shift in the peak reduction potential of the oxygen electrochemical process, as clearly observed in the voltammetric profile. However, further evaluation of the recognized sample similarities shows that the model using
data extract with a bare electrode was unable to clearly discriminate individual
proteins inside the groups of protein clusters.

275

276 *3.2.2 Hydrogel profiling*

That the MIP can detect partially denatured protein is of significance to the exploitation of this electrochemical technique in protein discrimination. Indeed Kryscico *et al.* recently demonstrated using CD spectroscopy that during the imprinting process, some of the protein undergoes conformational changes and is partially denatured [32,33]. The MIPs therefore are imprinted with both native as well as partially denatured protein. The MIPs and NIPs were therefore analysed electrochemically with SDS treated protein to give partially denatured protein.

Considering the selective nature of MIPs, protein arrival at the electrode surface via diffusion should be delayed by the MIP due to attractive selective interactions with exposed cavities [1]. With this in mind, GC electrode surfaces were individually modified with a conditioned hydrogel layer (20 mg) of BHb MIP, EMb MIP, Cyc C MIP, and BSA MIP.

289 To ensure the successful elution of protein from the MIP (and thus confirming 290 the presence of selective cavities through conditioning), BHb MIPs at different stages 291 were tested electrochemically on the electrode. Figure 3A characterizes the cyclic 292 voltammograms for freshly prepared MIP (with BHb still in the cavities; referred to as 293 MIP1), the same MIP washed to remove protein (referred to as MIP2) and also NIP. 294 The results clearly demonstrate that the MIP loaded with protein exhibits similar 295 electrochemistry to the BHb solution in Figure 2A. The reduction peak observed at 296 around -0.4V is the iron mediated reduction of oxygen. This suggests that the GC

electrode is able to detect the protein at the surface due to the 'un-eluted' MIP's
presence and concurred with previously reported electrochemical MIP studies [1].
Conversely, when protein is not present in either the MIP or the NIP, the
electrochemistry (reduction peak at -0.6 V) reverts to direct electrochemical reduction
of dissolved oxygen.

302 Protein diffusion through MIP and NIP layers was initially studied at 154 and 15.4 μ mol L⁻¹. Whereas the NIP response time remained constant at 10 min for all 303 304 protein concentrations, we found that the MIP response time decreases from our 305 previously reported 40 min [1] to 10 min at low protein concentrations. Figure 3B 306 illustrates the resulting voltammograms for 0 and 10 minutes of BHb exposure at 15.4 μ mol L⁻¹ using a modified BHb-MIP layer (20 mg). It can be seen that a shift in the 307 308 peak reduction potential for the oxygen reduction was observed after only 10 min of 309 BHb exposure. Therefore, both MIP and NIP share the same reduced response time at 310 lower concentrations. This result suggests that the template protein exhibits little 311 interaction with the MIP cavities at the lower concentrations, which is associated with 312 a less tortuous path to the electrode. It could be that at low protein concentrations we 313 observe extensive protein denaturation in the presence of SDS and therefore there is 314 little or no interaction between denatured protein and the mixed population of MIP 315 cavities for native and partially denatured protein.

Another possibility is that the 'template' forms a mixed population of free and clustered proteins when the template is imprinted at a very high concentration (12 mg mL⁻¹). The resultant population of imprinted sites would therefore contain some cavities that are associated with protein clusters. This phenomenon is supported by our previous work [34,35], where force spectroscopy analysis of MIPs suggested that the cavities accommodated an agglomeration of template protein molecules rather

than just a single molecule. It is therefore possible that the solution phase represents a more dispersed protein population compared with the original imprinted template population for rebinding protein at low concentrations. If the cavities only respond to a critical number of protein molecules in a given arrangement, then this could explain why the MIP does not appear to be selective at low protein concentrations.

327 However, although the presence of SDS in solution (5% (w/v)) allows for 328 protein detection at the electrode by iron exposure, it also implies that MIP 329 recognition within the specific cavities may technically not be able to rebind the 330 partially denatured and unfolded protein structures due to an altered size and shape. In 331 light of this, recent studies have shown that when imprinting a mixture of stable and 332 partially denatured proteins are present [9,32,33]. Therefore it is still possible that the MIPs can function as a recognition element and rebind a small percentage of the 333 334 heterogeneous protein configurations.

335 In order to confirm these assumptions and elucidate the hypothesis that MIP 336 cavities undergo an electrochemical discrimination of their template proteins, 337 individually modified GC electrodes with all four hydrogel MIP layers were 338 separately tested across all four proteins. Cyclic voltammograms for all MIP were recorded in a solution containing PBS (pH 7.4), SDS 5% (w/v), and 15.4 µmol L⁻¹ of 339 340 the four proteins for different times of protein exposure (0-60 minutes). It was noted that the current signal for both BHb and EMb at 15.4 µmol L⁻¹ achieved steady state 341 342 behaviour after 10 minutes, indicating that this time could be used for all further 343 measurements. Therefore, using the voltammetric current density values PCA score 344 plots for each MIP and protein combination were plotted at 10 minutes of protein 345 exposure.

346 Figure 4A illustrates the average PCA score plot for the four MIPs as they all 347 shared the same cluster separation. A clear discrimination and separation (using 92.9 348 % of the original information) of the four proteins clusters at 10 min of protein 349 exposure can be seen. This indicated that MIP cavity interactions could play an 350 important role in the discrimination process. Of the four different clusters, Cyt C and 351 BSA clusters are far less scattered than BHb and EMb clusters. An explanation for 352 this behaviour could be ascribed to the fact that the BHb MIP was selective for both 353 BHb and EMb (which bear similarities in their structure), allowing for them to bind in 354 the MIP cavities and consequently making the diffusion rate less reproducible in the 355 MIP. The separation for Cyt C and BSA can be justified due to their adsorption at GC 356 electrode surfaces, subsequently changing the rate of the oxygen reduction. A change 357 in the peak current and in the current decay from peak current to -0.8 V ($\Delta I_{decay peak}$ 358 current to -0.8 v) for the oxygen reduction was observed for all the experiments with Cyt C 359 and BSA proteins when compared with a blank solution. These adsorption rates of 360 Cyt C and BSA can be related to previously published values [27,36]. It is plausible 361 that this adsorption effect and delayed diffusion due to MIP cavity interactions are 362 responsible for the discrimination process [37]. PCA loading plots revealed the 363 variables responsible for the separation of the proteins; 5 variables could be elected: 364 Ep, Ip, E1/2, Iat -0.8 V, Δ Idecay peak current to -0.8 V.

Thus, the effective diffusion rate of proteins through the composite membranes could be a function of specific and non-specific cavities of the polymeric MIP layer [37]. Therefore, the time of protein diffusion was considered an important parameter for the discrimination process. This indicated that GC electrodes modified with an acrylamide cavity-based MIP could be used as a sensor to discriminate different kinds of proteins at 10 minutes of protein exposure. However, mechanical 371 obstruction of the polymeric layer using a control non-imprinted polymer (NIP) on the 372 GC electrode surface was conducted in order to validate the MIP-protein rebinding 373 profiles. This allows only for the non-specificity of the polymeric layer to be 374 evaluated due to the lack of selective cavities. All discrimination experiments were 375 executed identically as reported using the MIP layers; the only altered variable was 376 the modified NIP layer (20 mg). Unfortunately, PCA plots revealed NIP to have 377 similar protein discrimination (Figure 4B) to that of a MIP at 10 minutes of protein 378 exposure. Therefore only the protein diffusion rate through the polymeric layer could 379 be considered as a possible discriminating factor for the four proteins.

380 A closer look at the PCA data using interpreted HCA data compiled as number 381 of cluster recognition reveals that the four proteins are best profiled using both MIP 382 and NIP layers (Figure 5A and B, respectively) when compared with bare GC 383 electrode (Figure 2B). The symmetric measures between our protein discrimination 384 models, in terms of a percentage measurement of agreement using Cohen's kappa 385 coefficient (κ), are illustrated in Table 1. Since the approx. significance (p) = .000 (which actually means p < .0005), our κ coefficients are statistically significantly 386 387 different from zero (63% for bare GC, 96% for NIP and 100% for MIP). Therefore, 388 there is a clear comparison between the behavioural models for protein 389 discrimination.

Furthermore, clustering relationships for each of the four proteins are apparent; this phenomenon is especially noticeable in the MIP and NIP PCA plots (Figure 4). It should be noted that in different studies, involving bare GC electrodes, MIP modified GC electrodes or NIP modified GC electrodes, all PCA protein clusters fall into the same pattern recognition, thus providing an overall cohesive protein profile. Each protein retains its own individual cluster within a single quadrant of the

PCA plot. Interestingly, our studies illustrate that proteins with a metal center behave similarly; it can clearly be seen that both metalloproteins that exhibit a peak potential shift (BHb and EMb) are on the right half of the vector, while BSA and Cyt C are on the other. Moreover, the smaller sized proteins (EMB ~17.5kDa and Cyt C ~12.5kDa) are on the top half of the plot. This recognition approach could be useful for future protein speciation profiling.

402

403 **4.** Conclusions

404 The proposed electrochemical and PCA coupled method proved to be efficient 405 for discriminating four proteins (BHb, Mb, BSA and Cyt C), indicating that glassy 406 carbon (GC) electrodes modified with either a MIP or NIP layer could be used as a 407 fast sensor to discriminate between different kinds of proteins. At high concentrations, 408 the selective nature and integrity of MIPs delays the protein response and leads to an 409 obvious difference between MIP and NIP performance. At lower concentrations, such 410 discriminations are difficult due to an apparent lack of critical protein agglomeration 411 and/or complete denaturation of protein molecules impeding optimum protein binding 412 within cavities. With the use of PCA, protein discrimination has been achievable at 413 faster detection rates. Our results suggest that PCA could be used to interrogate and 414 discriminate between proteins when hydrogels are integrated to electrochemical 415 sensors.

416

417 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the University Global Partnership Network (Universities of Surrey, Sao Paulo and NC State), The Royal Society, NERC, ACTF of the RSC, FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo;

421	Grant Numbers: 2012/12106-5, 2011/11115-8, 2011/23355-3), CAPES (Coordenação
422	de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), and CNPq (Conselho Nacional de
423	Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico; Grant Numbers: 470919/2011-6 and
424	302700/2011-0) for financial support.
425	The authors would also like to thank Dr PJ McCabe (Clinical Res Centre) at
426	the University of Surrey for his contributions towards the statistical evaluation of this

427 work.

429 **References**

- 430 [1] S.M. Reddy, G. Sette, Q. Phan, Electrochemical probing of selective haemoglobin
- 431 binding in hydrogel-based molecularly imprinted polymers, Electrochim. Acta. 56

432 (2011) 9203-9208.

- 433 [2] H. Chen, Z. Zhang, R. Cai, X. Chen, Y. Liu, W. Rao, S. Yao, Molecularly
- 434 imprinted electrochemical sensor based on amine group modified graphene covalently

435 linked electrode for 4-nonylphenol detection, Talanta. 115 (2013) 222-227.

- 436 [3] B. Khadro, C. Sanglar, A. Bonhomme, A. Errachid, N. Jaffrezic-Renault,
- 437 Molecularly imprinted polymers (MIP) based electrochemical sensor for detection of
- 438 urea and creatinine, Procedia Engineering. 5 (2010) 371-374.
- 439 [4] X. Kan, Z. Xing, A. Zhu, Z. Zhao, G. Xu, C. Li, H. Zhou, Molecularly imprinted
- 440 polymers based electrochemical sensor for bovine hemoglobin recognition, Sensors

441 Actuators B: Chem. 168 (2012) 395-401.

- 442 [5] S.A. Piletsky, N.W. Turner, P. Laitenberger, Molecularly imprinted polymers in
- clinical diagnostics—Future potential and existing problems, Med. Eng. Phys. 28
 (2006) 971-977.
- 445 [6] V.J.B. Ruigrok, M. Levisson, M.H.M. Eppink, H. Smidt, J. van der Oost,
- 446 Alternative affinity tools: more attractive than antibodies? Biochem. J. 436 (2011) 1-447 13.
- 448 [7] M.E. Byrne, V. Salian, Molecular imprinting within hydrogels II: Progress and
 449 analysis of the field, Int. J. Pharm. 364 (2008) 188-212.

- 450 [8] P.A. Lieberzeit, R. Samardzic, K. Kotova, M. Hussain, MIP Sensors on the Way
- to Biotech Application: Selectivity and Ruggedness, Procedia Engineering. 47 (2012)
 534-537.
- 453 [9] D.R. Kryscio, N.A. Peppas, Critical review and perspective of macromolecularly
- 454 imprinted polymers, Acta Biomaterialia. 8 (2012) 461-473.
- 455 [10] S.M. Reddy, D.M. Hawkins, Q.T. Phan, D. Stevenson, K. Warriner, Protein
- 456 detection using hydrogel-based molecularly imprinted polymers integrated with dual
- 457 polarisation interferometry, Sensors Actuators B: Chem. 176 (2013) 190-197.
- 458 [11] E. Verheyen, J.P. Schillemans, M. van Wijk, M. Demeniex, W.E. Hennink, C.F.
- 459 van Nostrum, Challenges for the effective molecular imprinting of proteins,
- 460 Biomaterials. 32 (2011) 3008-3020.
- 461 [12] H.F. El-Sharif, Q.T. Phan, S.M. Reddy, Enhanced selectivity of hydrogel-based
- 462 molecularly imprinted polymers (HydroMIPs) following buffer conditioning, Anal.
- 463 Chim. Acta. 809 (2014) 155-161.
- 464 [13] H.C. Zhou, L. Baldini, J. Hong, A.J. Wilson, A.D. Hamilton, Pattern recognition
- d65 of proteins based on an array of functionalized porphyrins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128

466 (2006) 2421-2425.

- 467 [14] Y. Vlasov, A. Legin, A. Rudnitskaya, C. Di Natale, A. D'Amico, Nonspecific
- 468 sensor arrays ("electronic tongue") for chemical analysis of liquids (IUPAC Technical
- 469 Report), Pure and Applied Chemistry. 77 (2005) 1965-1983.
- 470 [15] K. Toko, Taste sensor with global selectivity, Materials Science & Engineering
- 471 C-Biomimetic Materials Sensors and Systems. 4 (1996) 69-82.

- 472 [16] J. Zeravik, A. Hlavacek, K. Lacina, P. Skládal, State of the Art in the Field of
- 473 Electronic and Bioelectronic Tongues ? Towards the Analysis of Wines,
- 474 Electroanalysis. 21 (2009) 2509-2520.
- 475 [17] E.A. Baldwin, J. Bai, A. Plotto, S. Dea, Electronic Noses and Tongues:
- 476 Applications for the Food and Pharmaceutical Industries, Sensors. 11 (2011) 4744-477 4766.
- 478 [18] C. Baggiani, L. Anfossi, C. Giovannoli, C. Tozzi, Multivariate analysis of the
- 479 selectivity for a pentachlorophenol-imprinted polymer, Journal of Chromatography B.

480 804 (2004) 31-41.

- 481 [19] T. Takeuchi, D. Goto, H. Shinmori, Protein profiling by protein imprinted
- 482 polymer array, Analyst. 132 (2007) 101-103.
- 483 [20] T. Takeuchi, T. Hishiya, Molecular imprinting of proteins emerging as a tool for

484 protein recognition, Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry. 6 (2008) 2459.

- 485 [21] K.D. Shimizu, C.J. Stephenson, Molecularly imprinted polymer sensor arrays,
- 486 Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 14 (2010) 743-750.
- 487 [22] T.R.L.C. Paixao, M. Bertotti, Fabrication of disposable voltammetric electronic
- 488 tongues by using Prussian Blue films electrodeposited onto CD-R gold surfaces and
- 489 recognition of milk adulteration, Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical. 137 (2009) 266-
- 490 273.
- 491 [23] M.O. Salles, M. Bertotti, T.R.L.C. Paixao, Use of a gold microelectrode for
- discrimination of gunshot residues, Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical. 166 (2012)
- 493 848-852.

- 494 [24] W. Novakowski, M. Bertotti, T.R.L.C. Paixao, Use of copper and gold electrodes
- 495 as sensitive elements for fabrication of an electronic tongue: Discrimination of wines
- and whiskies, Microchemical Journal. 99 (2011) 145-151.
- 497 [25] L. Bueno, R.L.C. Paixão Thiago, A Single Platinum Microelectrode for
- 498 Identifying Soft Drink Samples, International Journal of Electrochemistry. 2012
- 499 (2012) 1-5.
- 500 [26] Q. Gai, F. Qu, Y. Zhang, The Preparation of BHb-Molecularly Imprinted Gel
- 501 Polymers and Its Selectivity Comparison to BHb and BSA, Sep. Sci. Technol. 45

502 (2010) 2394-2399.

- 503 [27] S. Boussaad, N.J. Tao, R. Zhang, T. Hopson, L.A. Nagahara, In situ detection of
- 504 cytochrome c adsorption with single walled carbon nanotube device, Chem. Commun.
 505 0 (2003) 1502-1503.
- 506 [28] S. Wu, W. Tan, H. Xu, Protein molecularly imprinted polyacrylamide membrane:
- 507 for hemoglobin sensing, Analyst. 135 (2010) 2523-2527.
- 508 [29] M.J. Whitcombe, I. Chianella, L. Larcombe, S.A. Piletsky, J. Noble, R. Porter, A.
- 509 Horgan, The rational development of molecularly imprinted polymer-based sensors

510 for protein detection, Chem. Soc. Rev. 40 (2011) 1547-1571.

- 511 [30] D.E. Hansen, Recent developments in the molecular imprinting of proteins,
- 512 Biomaterials. 28 (2007) 4178-4191.
- 513 [31] D.M. Hawkins, D. Stevenson, S.M. Reddy, Investigation of protein imprinting in
- 514 hydrogel-based molecularly imprinted polymers (HydroMIPs), Anal. Chim. Acta. 542
- 515 (2005) 61-65.

- 516 [32] D.R. Kryscio, M.Q. Fleming, N.A. Peppas, Conformational studies of common
 517 protein templates in macromolecularly imprinted polymers, Biomed. Microdevices.
 518 14 (2012) 679-687.
- 519 [33] D.R. Kryscio, M.Q. Fleming, N.A. Peppas, Protein Conformational Studies for
- Macromolecularly Imprinted Polymers, Macromolecular Bioscience. 12 (2012) 11371144.
- 522 [34] E. Saridakis, S. Khurshid, L. Govada, Q. Phan, D. Hawkins, G.V. Crichlow, E.
- 523 Lolis, S.M. Reddy, N.E. Chayen, Protein crystallization facilitated by molecularly
- 524 imprinted polymers, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 108 (2011)
- 525 11081-11086.
- 526 [35] H. EL-Sharif, D.M. Hawkins, D. Stevenson, S.M. Reddy, Determination of
- 527 protein binding affinities within hydrogel-based molecularly imprinted polymers

528 (HydroMIPs), Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16 (2014) 15483-15489.

- 529 [36] X. Zhao, R. Liu, Z. Chi, Y. Teng, P. Qin, New Insights into the Behavior of
- 530 Bovine Serum Albumin Adsorbed onto Carbon Nanotubes: Comprehensive
- 531 Spectroscopic Studies, J Phys Chem B. 114 (2010) 5625-5631.
- 532 [37] R. Schirhagl, U. Latif, D. Podlipna, H. Blumenstock, F.L. Dickert, Natural and
- 533 Biomimetic Materials for the Detection of Insulin, Anal. Chem. 84 (2012) 3908-3913.
- 534
- 535

536 **Table captions**

537

Table 1 - Symmetric Measures; Cohen's kappa coefficient (κ) as a percentage measurement of agreement, asymptotic std. error not assuming the null hypothesis^a, approximate T as the ratio of κ to the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis^b, and the approximate statistical significance (p).

542

544 **Figure captions**

545

Figure 1 - Microscope imaging of 75 μ m hydrogel particles: (A) non-imprinted control (NIP); (B) bovine haemoglobin (BHb) imprinted MIP_{polyAA}. (C) Rebinding capacities and imprinting effects of MIP_{polyAA} and NIP_{polyAA} for several biological molecules (bovine haemoglobin (BHb), bovine serum albumin (BSA), myoglobin (Mb), Cytochrom C (Cyt C)). Data represents mean ± S.E.M., n = 3.

551

Figure 2 – (A) Cyclic voltammograms recorded in PBS (pH 7.4), SDS 5% (w/v), and in the presence of protein in solution (15.4 μ mol L⁻¹) (cytochrome C (a), bovine serum albumin (b), equine heart myoglobin (c) and bovine haemoglobin (d)). Scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹. Electrode: bare glassy carbon (GC) electrode. (B) Cluster analysis percentage prediction scores for the four proteins using GC electrodes.

557

Figure 3 - Cyclic voltammograms recorded in PBS (pH 7.4), SDS 5% (w/v), and in the presence of BHb in solution (15.4 μ mol L⁻¹) at scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹: (A) Glassy carbon (GC) electrode modified with hydrogel layers of NIP (a), unconditioned BHb-MIP1 (b), conditioned BHb-MIP2 (c) after 0 minutes of protein exposure. (B) Glassy carbon (GC) electrode modified with hydrogel layer of BHb MIP. Measurement made after 0 (a) and 10 (b) minutes of protein exposure.

564

565

Figure 4 - PCA score plots: (A) glassy carbon (GC) electrode modified with hydrogel
MIP layer, results show the average response of all four different MIPs; (B) glassy
carbon (GC) electrode modified with a non-imprinted hydrogel layer. Voltammetric

- 569 date recorded in PBS (pH 7.4), SDS 5% (w/v), and in the presence of each protein
- 570 (15.4 μ mol L⁻¹). Potential programme employed to record the voltammetric curves
- 571 used as input to perform PCA: $E_i=0.0$ V, $E_{V1}=-0.9$ V, $E_f=0.0$ V, and scan rate = 100
- 572 mV s⁻¹. Measurement made after 10 minutes of protein exposure.
- 573
- 574 Figure 5 Cluster analysis percentage prediction scores for the four proteins; (A) MIP
- 575 modified GC electrodes, (B) NIP modified GC electrodes.
- 576

Model	к (%)	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig. (ρ)
Bare GCE	63%	0.1	6.543	0.00
NIP	96%	0.036	10.018	0.00
Mb MIP	100%	0	10.392	0.00
Cyt C MIP	100%	0	10.392	0.00
BSA MIP	100%	0	10.392	0.00
BHb MIP	96%	0.04	9.414	0.00





582 Figure 1







586 Figure 2







592 Figure 4

