\oplus

 \oplus

Movement & Sport Sciences – Science & Motricité © ACAPS, EDP Sciences, 2015 DOI: 10.1051/sm/2015025

Influence of minimalist footwear on knee and ankle loads during the squash lunge

Jonathan Sinclair¹, Lindsay Bottoms², Paul John Taylor³ and Khizar Mahmood¹

- ¹ Centre for Applied Sport and Exercise Sciences, School of Sport Tourism and Outdoors, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, United kingdom
- ² Department of Human and Environmental Sciences, School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, United kingdom

³ School of Psychology, University of Central Lancashire, United kingdom

Received 14 May 2015 – Accepted 4 August 2015

Abstract. Squash is associated with a high incidence of knee and ankle joint injuries. The aim of this work was to examine the effects of squash specific, running shoes and minimalist footwear on knee and ankle loads during the lunge movement in squash players. Twelve male squash players performed lunge movements whilst wearing squash specific, running shoes and minimalist footwear. The loads experienced by the knee and ankle joints were calculated. Patellofemoral forces were significantly greater in running shoes (5.10 B.W) compared to minimalist footwear (4.29 B.W). Achille tendon forces were significantly larger in the minimalist footwear (3.10 B.W) compared to the running shoes (2.64 B.W) and squash specific footwear (2.88 B.W). This shows that whilst minimalist footwear may reduce the incidence of knee pathologies in squash players corresponding increases in ankle loading may induce an injury risk at this joint.

Key words: Biomechanics, squash, knee, ankle, footwear

Résumé. Influence de la chaussure minimaliste sur les charges du genou et de la cheville lors de la fente de squash.

La pratique du squash est associée à des lésions articulaires au niveau du genou et de la cheville. Le but de ce travail était d'examiner les effets du port de chaussures de course à pied, de chaussures spécifiques de squash et de chaussures minimalistes sur les contraintes au niveau du genou et de la cheville pendant le mouvement de fente chez des joueurs de squash. Les contraintes aux articulations du genou et de la cheville ont été calculées pour douze joueurs de squash avec les différents types de chaussures. Les forces fémoro-patellaires étaient significativement plus grandes avec les chaussures de course (5,10 × poids de corps) par rapport aux chaussures minimalistes (4,29 × poids de corps). Les forces au niveau du tendon d'Achille étaient significativement plus grandes avec les chaussures minimalistes (3.10 × poids de corps) par rapport aux chaussures de course (2,64 × poids de corps) et aux chaussures spécifiques de squash (2,88 × poids de corps). En conclusion, les chaussures minimalistes pourraient réduire les pathologies du genou chez les joueurs de squash, cependant une augmentation des contraintes au niveau du tendon d'Achille pourrait induire parallèlement un risque de blessure au niveau de l'articulation de la cheville.

 $\textbf{Mots clés}: \ Biomécanique, \ squash, \ genou, \ cheville, \ chaussures$

1 1 Introduction

 \oplus

- 2 Squash is an extremely popular sport with millions of
- 3 players in over 100 countries worldwide. Competitive
- 4 squash is a physically demanding sport characterised by
- 5 a series of accelerations and decelerations which involve 6 both human and aids strugging $(V_{2}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{3$
- 6 both lunging and side-stepping (Vuckovic & James, 2010).

The repetitive nature and intensity of squash means that squash players are at risk from injuries.

7

8

Injuries of a chronic nature are commonplace in both recreational and competitive squash players (Clavisi & 10 Finch, 2000), with an occurrence rate of 45% (Berson, 11 Rolnick, Ramos, & Thornton, 1981). Chronic musculoskeletal pathologies in squash players occur in both the 13 Movement & Sport Sciences - Science & Motricité

upper and lower limbs and also the lower back (Finch
 & Eime, 2001). Lower extremities injuries are most com mon, with the knee and ankle joints being the most com-

4 monly injured sites (Finch & Eime, 2001).

Clavisi & Finch (2000) proposed that inappropriate 5 footwear is a potential contributing factor to the aeti-6 ology of lower extremity injures in squash players. In-7 deed Shorten (1993) suggests that through appropriate 8 footwear design/ selection athletes may be able to regu-9 late their susceptibility to chronic injuries. There is cur-10 rently a lack of published research investigating the effects 11 of different footwear on the biomechanical parameters 12 linked to the aetiology of injury development in squash. 13 There is a trend in a number of sporting disciplines for 14 athletes to choose minimalist footwear as opposed to 15 sport specific or running shoes (Sinclair, Atkins, Taylor, 16 & Vincent, 2015a), based on the supposition that running 17 in minimalist footwear is associated with a reduced inci-18 dence of lower extremity injuries (Sinclair, Greenhalgh, 19 A., Brooks, Edmundson, & Hobbs, 2013a). 20

Research in other sports has examined the effects of 21 minimalist footwear on the loads experienced by the knee 22 and ankle joints. Sinclair (2014) investigated the effects of 23 barefoot and minimalist footwear on knee and ankle loads 24 during running compared to running shoes. Running 25 barefoot and in minimalist footwear reduced the loads 26 experienced by the knee but also increased the loads on 27 the ankle compared to running shoes. Bonacci, Vicenzino, 28 Spratford, & Collins (2013) showed that running bare-29 foot reduced the loads experienced by the knee com-30 pared to running in conventional running shoes. Sinclair, 31 Chockalingam, Naemi, & Vincent (2015b) showed that 32 minimalist footwear reduced the loads experienced by the 33 knee during depth jumping compared to running shoes, 34 but there were no differences in ankle loads. Finally Sin-35 clair, Hobbs, & Selfe (2015c) showed that minimalist 36 footwear reduced the load on the knee but increased the 37 load experienced by the ankle compared to netball spe-38 cific footwear. However, there is currently no research 39 which has investigated the effects of different footwear 40 in squash players. This indicates further study regarding 41 the effects of different footwear on the loads experienced 42 by the knee and ankle joints in squash specific movements 43 is warranted. 44

The lunge is a movement that is used regularly in 45 competitive squash, and the ability to quickly execute a 46 controlled lunge is a key component of the game (Cronin, 47 McNair, & Marshall, 2003). The aim of the current in-48 vestigation was therefore to examine the effects of squash 49 specific, running shoes and minimalist footwear on the 50 loads experienced by the knee and ankle during the 51 squash lunge. An investigation of this nature may pro-52 vide key information to squash players regarding selection 53 of appropriate footwear. This study tests the hypothesis 54 that the minimalist footwear will be associated with de-55 creased knee loading in comparison to the squash specific 56 and running shoes 57



 \oplus

58

59

67

Fig. 1. Example of the squash lunge movement.

2 Methods

2.1 Participants

Twelve male participants (Age 21.59 ± 2.28 years; height 60 1.74 ± 0.07 m; mass 68.12 ± 4.54 kg) volunteered to take 61 part in the current investigation. Participants were all 62 competitive university level squash players. Ethical approval for this project was obtained from the University 64 ethics committee, and each participant provided written 65 consent in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. 66

2.2 Procedure

Participants completed five lunges in each footwear con-68 dition starting from a stationary position facing forward 69 (Fig. 1). Following each lunge they were required to re-70 turn to a starting point which was determined by each 71 participant prior to the commencement of data collec-72 tion. This allowed the lunge distance to be maintained for 73 each condition. Participants were also required to contact 74 a force platform (Kistler, Kistler Instruments Ltd., Alton, 75 Hampshire) embedded into the floor of the biomechanics 76 laboratory with their right (lead) foot. The force platform 77 sampled at 1000 Hz. The lunge movement was considered 78 to begin at the point of foot contact, this was taken as 79 the point at which > 20 N of vertical force was applied 80 to the force platform. The end of the lunge movement 81 was taken as the point of maximum knee flexion (Sinclair 82 & Bottoms, 2013). The peak linear velocity (m/s) of the 83 lunge movement was quantified using the centre of mass 84 of the pelvis segment (Sinclair, Toth, & Hobbs, 2015d). 85

Kinematic information was obtained using an eight camera optoelectric system capture system (Qualisys Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden) using a capture frequency of 250 Hz. Kinematics and force platform data were synchronized using an analogue to digital interface 90

 $\mathbf{2}$

 \oplus

 \oplus

 \oplus

board. To model the lower extremity segments in six de-1 grees of freedom the calibrated anatomical systems tech-2 nique was utilized (Cappozzo, Catani, Leardini, Benedeti, 3 & Della, 1995). To define the segment co-ordinate axes 4 of the right; foot, shank and thigh, retroreflective mark-5 ers were placed unilaterally onto 1st metatarsal, 5th 6 metatarsal, calcaneus, medial and lateral malleoli, me-7 dial and lateral epicondyles of the femur. To define the 8 pelvic segment, additional markers were placed on the an-9 terior (ASIS) and posterior (PSIS) superior iliac spines. 10 The centres of the ankle and knee joints were delineated 11 as the mid-point between the malleoli and femoral epi-12 condyle markers (Graydon, Fewtrell, Atkins, & Sinclair 13 2015; Sinclair Hebron, & Taylor 2015e). The hip joint cen-14 tre was delineated using a regression equation in accor-15 dance with Sinclair, Taylor, Currigan, & Hobbs (2014a) 16 (Fig. 2). 17

The Z (transverse) axis was oriented vertically from 18 the distal segment end to the proximal segment end. The 19 Y (coronal) axis was oriented in the segment from pos-20 terior to anterior. Finally, the X (sagittal) axis orienta-21 22 tion was determined using the right hand rule and was oriented from medial to lateral. Carbon fibre tracking 23 clusters were positioned onto the shank and thigh seg-24 ments. The foot was tracked using the 1st metatarsal, 25 5th metatarsal and calcaneus markers. Static calibration 26 trials were obtained allowing for the anatomical mark-27 ers to be referenced in relation to the tracking markers/ 28 clusters. Previous work has confirmed that the reliability 29 of this marker configuration is very high (Sinclair, et al., 30 31 2012).

32 2.3 Data processing

 \oplus

 \oplus

Ground reaction force (GRF) and marker data were fil-33 tered at 50 Hz and 12 Hz using a low-pass Butter-34 worth 4th order filter and processed using Visual 3-D 35 (C-Motion, Germantown, MD, USA). Joint kinetics were 36 computed using Newton-Euler inverse-dynamics, allow-37 ing net knee and ankle joint moments to be calculated. To 38 quantify joint moment's segment mass, segment length, 39 GRF and angular kinematics were utilized using the pro-40 cedure previously described by Sinclair (2014). 41

Knee loading was examined through extrac-42 tion of peak knee extensor/ abduction moments, 43 peak patellofemoral contact force (PTCF) and peak 44 patellofemoral contact pressure (PTS). PTCF during the 45 lunge was estimated using knee flexion angle (kfa) and 46 knee extensor moment (KEM) through the biomechan-47 ical model of Ho Blanchette, and Powers (2012). This 48 model has been utilized previously to resolve differences 49 in PTCF and PTS in during the lunge movement and 50 when different footwear (Bonacci, et al., 2013; Sinclair, 51 2014; Sinclair & Bottoms, 2015). In addition to this 52 previous work has confirmed the robustness of this model 53 through sensitivity analyses for each of the measures 54 (Sinclair, Taylor, & Atkins, 2015f). 55



Fig. 2. Pelvic, thigh, tibial and foot segments, with anatomical axes. P = Pelvis, S = Shank, T = tibia and F = foot.

The effective moment arm distance (m) of the quadriceps muscle (QM) was calculated as a function of *kfa* using a non-linear equation, based on information presented by Van Eijden, Kouwenhoven, Verburg, & Weijs (1986): 59

$$QM = 0.00008 \, kfa^3 - 0.013 \, kfa^2 + 0.28 \, kfa + 0.046$$
 60

The force (N) of the quadriceps (QF) was calculated using 61 the below formula: 62

$$QF = KEM/QM$$
 63

Net PTCF was estimated using the QF and a con- 64 stant (C): 65

$$PTCF = QF * C$$
 66

70

The C was described in relation to kfa using a curve fitting technique based on the non-linear equation described by Van Eijden, *et al.* (1986): 69

$$C = (0.462 + 0.00147 * kfa^2 - 0.0000384 * kfa^2) /$$
71

$$(1 - 0.0162 * kfa + 0.000155 * kfa^2 - 0.000000698 * kfa^3)$$
 73

Movement & Sport Sciences - Science & Motricité

 Table 1. Abbreviations of input parameters for knee and ankle load models.

Key	
Patellofemoral contact force	PTCF
Patellofemoral contact pressure	PTS
Knee flexion angle	kfa
Knee extensor moment	KEM
Quadriceps moment arm	QM
Quadriceps force	QF
Constant	С
Achilles tendon force	ATF
Ankle plantarflexor moment	MPF
Achilles tendon moment arm	mat
Sagittal ankle angle	ak

1 PTS (MPa) was calculated using the net PTCF divided

2 by the patellofemoral contact area. The contact area was

3 described using the Ho, et al. (2012) recommendations by

4 fitting a 2nd order polynomial curve to the data of Powers,
5 Lilley, & Lee (1998) showing patellofemoral contact areas

5 Lilley, & Lee (1998) showing patellofem
6 at varying levels of kfa.

7

PTS = PTCF / contact area

8 Ankle loading was examined through extraction of the 9 peak plantar flexion moment and peak Achilles tendon 10 force (ATF). ATF was determined by dividing the plan-11 tarflexion moment (MPF) by the estimated Achilles ten-12 don moment arm (mat). The moment arm was quantified 13 as a function of the ankle sagittal plane angle (*ak*) using 14 the procedure described by Self & Paine (2001):

15 ATF = MPF / mat

16 $mat = -0.5910 + 0.08297 \ ak - 0.0002606 \ ak^2$

The net joint moments were normalized by dividing by 17 body mass (Nm/kg). PTCF and ATF were also normal-18 ized by dividing by body weight (B.W). These variables 19 were extracted from each of the five trials and the data 20 was then averaged within participants for statistical anal-21 ysis. In accordance with Sinclair, Isherwood, & Taylor 22 (2014b) GRF's in all three axes and sagittal knee/ an-23 kle angles at the instances of peak PTCF and ATF were 24 also obtained. GRF's were normalized by dividing by 25 bodyweight. 26

27 2.4 Experimental footwear

The footwear used during the current investigation consisted of a running shoe (New balance 1260 v2), minimalist footwear (Vibram five-fingers, ELX) and squash specific shoe (Asics Mens GEL Rocket 7 Indoor), (shoe size 8-10 UK men's).

33 2.5 Statistical analysis

 \oplus

Differences between footwear were examined using oneway repeated measures ANOVA with significance accepted at the $p \leq 0.05$ level (Sinclair, Taylor, & Hobbs,

2013b). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were conducted on 37 all significant main effects using a Bonferroni adjustment. 38 Effect sizes were calculated for each significant main effect 39 using partial eta² $(p\eta^2)$. The normality assumption was 40 calculated using a Shapiro-Wilk test, which confirmed 41 that all data were normally distributed. All statistical 42 procedures were conducted using SPSS v22.0 (SPSS Inc, 43 Chicago, USA). 44 \oplus

45

50

55

63

77

3 Results

Figure 3 and Tables 2–3 show GRFs and knee/ ankle 46 loads as a function of footwear. The statistical findings 47 indicate that both knee and ankle loads were significantly 48 influenced as a function of footwear. 49

3.1 Lunge velocity

No significant (p > 0.05) differences in lunge velocity were found between running shoe $(1.65 \pm 0.32 \text{ m/s})$, minimalist $(1.63 \pm 0.32 \text{ m/s})$ and squash specific footwear $(1.64 \pm 53 0.27 \text{ m/s})$.

3.2 Ground reaction forces and joint angles

No significant (p > 0.05) differences in GRF's at the instances of PTCF of ATF were shown between footwear. A significant main effect was shown $(P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 0.33)$ 58 for knee flexion angle at the instance of PTCF. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that knee flexion was significantly larger in the running shoes (P = 0.014) compared 61 to the minimalist condition. 62

3.3 Knee loads

A significant main effect was shown ($P < 0.05, p\eta^2 =$ 64 0.32) for peak knee extensor moment. Post-hoc pairwise 65 comparisons showed that peak extensor moment was sig-66 nificantly larger in the running shoes (P = 0.04) com-67 pared to the minimalist condition. In addition a sig-68 nificant main effect was found for PTCF (P < 0.05, 69 $p\eta^2 = 0.42$). Post-hoc analysis indicated that PTCF was 70 significantly larger in the running shoes (P = 0.03) com-71 pared to the minimalist condition. Finally a significant 72 main effect was found for PTS (P < 0.05, $p\eta^2 = 0.41$). 73 Post-hoc analysis indicated that PTS was significantly 74 larger in the running shoes (P = 0.03) compared to the 75 minimalist condition. 76

3.4 Ankle loads

A significant main effect was shown ($P < 0.05, p\eta^2 = 78$ 0.46) for peak ankle plantarflexor moment. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that peak plantarflexor moment 80

4

 \oplus

P

 \oplus

 \oplus

Đ





Fig. 3. Knee and ankle loads as a function of footwear (a. = knee extensor moment, b. = PTFC, c. = knee abduction moment, d. = PTS, e. = plantarflexor moment, f. = ATF) (EXT = extensor, AD = adductor, PF = plantarflexor).

P

 $-\oplus$

 $-\oplus$

ŧ

Movement & Sport Sciences – Science & Motricité

	Running shoe		Minimalist		Squash footwear		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Medial force at PTCF (B.W)	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	
Anterior force at PTCF (B.W)	0.29	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.27	0.11	
Vertical force at PTCF (B.W)	1.19	0.17	1.18	0.17	1.19	0.18	
Knee angle at PTCF ($^{\circ}$)	145.25 A	36.36	137.07	35.35	142.85	37.31	*
Medial force at ATF (B.W)	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.05	
Anterior force at ATF (B.W)	0.22	0.10	0.24	0.10	0.26	0.09	
Vertical force at ATF (B.W)	1.17	0.17	1.19	0.16	1.16	0.17	
Ankle angle at ATF ($^{\circ}$)	19.75	9.29	21.04	8.82	19.82	4.65	

Table 2. GRF's and knee/ ankle angles as a function of footwear.

* =significant main effect.

A =significantly different from minimalist.

Labio of Thice and annie reads as a ranction of reethear
--

	Running shoe		Minimalist		Squash footwear		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Peak knee extensor moment (Nm/kg)	2.16 A	0.58	2.03	0.52	2.13	0.56	*
Peak knee abduction moment (Nm/kg)	0.71	0.37	0.67	0.38	0.64	0.32	
Peak PTCF $(B.W)$	$5.10 \ A$	1.36	4.29	1.49	4.66	1.45	*
Peak PTS (Mpa)	6.94 A	2.10	5.67	2.38	6.04	2.24	*
Peak plantarflexor moment (Nm/kg)	$1.26 \ A$	0.21	1.49	0.29	$1.38 \ A$	0.22	*
Peak ATF (B.W)	2.64 A	0.47	3.10	0.63	2.88 A	0.48	*

* =significant main effect.

A =significantly different from minimalist.

1 was significantly larger in the minimalist footwear com-2 pared to the squash specific (P = 0.026) and running 3 shoes (P = 0.005). A significant main effect was also 4 found (P < 0.05, $p\eta^2 = 0.40$) for ATF. Post-hoc pairwise 5 comparisons showed that ATF was significantly larger in 6 the minimalist footwear compared to the squash specific 7 (P = 0.029) and running shoes (P = 0.007).

8 4 Discussion

The aim of the current investigation was to examine the 9 effects of different footwear on the loads experienced by 10 the knee and ankle joints during the squash lunge. This 11 represents the first investigation to study the effects of 12 different footwear on knee and ankle loads in squash play-13 ers. As the knee and ankle joints are the most frequently 14 injured sites in squash players, this work may provide 15 important information to squash players regarding the 16 selection of appropriate footwear. 17

From these findings the first important observation 18 is that peak knee loads were significantly larger in the 19 running shoes in comparison to the minimalist footwear 20 This observation concurs with previous work investigat-21 ing knee loading in runners. Sinclair (2014), Bonacci, 22 et al. (2013), Sinclair, et al. (2015b, 2015c) each showed 23 that knee loading in runners was significantly larger in 24 running shoes footwear compared to barefoot and in min-25 imalist footwear. This may be attributable to the signifi-26 cant increase in peak knee extensor moment and decrease 27

in knee flexion angle at PTCF. Increases in knee flexion 28 are linked to a shortening of the quadriceps moment arm, 29 which ultimately leads to an increase in the load borne 30 by the patellofemoral joint (Sinclair, 2014). This finding 31 may have clinical significance regarding the aetiology of 32 injury in squash players as the consensus regarding the 33 development of knee pathologies is that symptoms are the 34 function of excessive knee joint kinetics (LaBella, 2004). 35 Therefore it appears that for squash players susceptible to 36 knee injuries that minimalist footwear may be more ap-37 propriate than running shoes although they do not appear 38 to provide any advantage compared to squash footwear. 39

Of further importance is the finding that peak ankle 40 loads were significantly greater in the minimalist footwear 41 in comparison to the squash specific and running shoe 42 conditions. This observation also concurs with the find-43 ings of Sinclair (2014) and Sinclair, et al. (2015c) who 44 showed in runners that ankle loading was significantly 45 larger when wearing minimalist footwear in comparison 46 to conventional athletic trainers. It is proposed that this 47 observation relates to the increase plantarflexion moment 48 contribution observed in minimalist footwear as no dif-49 ferences in ankle angle were shown between footwear. 50 This finding may also have relevance clinically as the de-51 velopment of Achilles tendon pathology is mediated by 52 excessive and habitual loading of the tendon during dy-53 namic activities (Magnusson, Langberg, & Kjaer, 2010). 54 When the load experienced exceeds levels that are toler-55 able by the tendon itself this causes degeneration of the 56

Influence of minimalist footwear on knee and ankle loads during the squash lunge

1 tendon and eventually leads to injury (Selvanetti, Cipolla,

2 & Puddu, 1997). Based on this observation this study in-

3 dicates that for squash players who are predisposed to

ankle pathologies, that squash specific and running shoesare most appropriate.

A potential drawback of the current study is that 6 only male squash players were tested. Sinclair & Bottoms 7 (2014), and Sinclair & Bottoms (2015) showed that knee 8 loads were significantly larger in females and ankle loads 9 were significantly greater in males during the lunge. As 10 such it appears that the findings from this study may not 11 be generalizable to female squash players. Future research 12 should seek to repeat this study using a sample of female 13 squash players. A further limitation is that only the lunge 14 movement was investigated. The lunge was chosen as it 15 represents a high impact movement (Sinclair, Bottoms, 16 Taylor, & Greenhalgh, 2010), which exposes the mus-17 culoskeletal system to high forces. Competitive squash 18 also requires other motions for success including sprint-19 ing, pivot turning and side stepping. Therefore future re-20 search should investigate the effects of different footwear 21 22 when performing different squash movements.

23 In conclusion, the observations of the current investigation show that performing the lunge movement in min-24 imalist footwear produced significant reductions in knee 25 loading compared to running shoes. Given the proposed 26 relationship between knee loading and knee joint pathol-27 ogy, squash players may be able to attenuate their risk 28 of the developing knee injuries by wearing minimalist 29 footwear as opposed to running shoes. However, taking 30 into account the corresponding increase in ankle loading 31 in minimalist footwear in comparison to the running shoes 32

33 and squash specific footwear, this may also enhance the

34 likelihood of chronic ankle injuries. Additional analyses

are required in order to expand the current investigationto squash specific movements in addition to the lunge.

37 Bibliography

 \oplus

 \oplus

- 38 Berson, B., Rolnick A., Ramos C., & Thornton J. (1981).
- An epidemiologic study of squash injuries. The American
 Journal of Sports Medicine, 9 103-106.
- 41 Bonacci, J., Vicenzino, B., Spratford, W., & Collins, P. (2013).
- 42 Take your shoes off to reduce patellofemoral joint stress
- during running. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 47,
 387–392.
- 45 Cappozzo, A., Catani, F., Leardini, A., Benedeti, M.G &

Della, C.U. (1995). Position and orientation in space of
bones during movement: Anatomical frame definition and
determination. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 10, 171–178.

- Clavisi, O., & Finch, C. (2000). Squash injury countermeasures: A review of the literature. Journal of Science and
- 51 Medicine in Sport, 3, 13–22.
 52 Cronin, J., McNair, P., & Marshall, R. (2003). Lunge perfor-
- mance and its determinants. Journal of Sports Sciences,
 21, 49–57.

- Finch, C., & Eime, R. (2001). The epidemiology of squash injuries. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 2, 1–11. 56
- Graydon, R., Fewtrell, D., Atkins, S., & Sinclair, J. The testretest reliability of different ankle joint center location techniques. The Foot and Ankle Online journal, 8, 1–11.
- Ho, K.Y., Blanchette, M.G., & Powers, C.M. (2012). The influence of heel height on patellofemoral joint kinetics during walking. *Gait & Posture*, 36, 271–275.
- LaBella, C. (2004). Patellofemoral pain syndrome: evaluation 63 and treatment. *Primary Care*, 31, 977–1003. 64
- Magnusson, S.P., Langberg, H., & Kjaer, M. (2010). The pathogenesis of tendinopathy: balancing the response to loading. Nature Reviews Rheumatology, 6, 262–268.
- Powers, C.M., Lilley, J.C., & Lee, T.Q. (1998). The effects of axial and multiplane loading of the extensor mechanism on the patellofemoral joint. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 70 13, 616-624.
- Self, B.P., & Paine, D. (2001). Ankle biomechanics during
 four landing techniques. Medicine & Science in Sport & 73
 Exercise, 33, 1338–1344.
 74
- Selvanetti, A., Cipolla, M., & Puddu, G. (1997). Overuse 75
 tendon injuries: basic science and classification. Operative 76
 Techniques in Sports Medicine, 5, 110–117. 77
- Shorten, M.R. (1993). The energetics of running and running
 shoes. Journal of Biomechanics, 26, 41–51.
 79
- Sinclair, J., Bottoms, L., Taylor, K. & Greenhalgh, A (2010).
 Tibial shock measured during the fencing lunge: the influence of footwear. Sports Biomechanics, 9, 65–71.
- Sinclair, J., Taylor, P.J., Greenhalgh, A., Edmundson, C.J.,
 Brooks, D., & Hobbs, S.J. (2012). The test-retest reliability of anatomical co-ordinate axes definition for the quantification of lower extremity kinematics during running. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 35, 15–25.
- Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2013). Gender differences in the kinetics and lower extremity kinematics of the fencing lunge. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 90 13, 440–451. 91
- Sinclair, J., Greenhalgh, A., Brooks, D., Edmundson, C.J., 92
 & Hobbs, S.J. (2013a). The influence of barefoot and 93
 barefoot-inspired footwear on the kinetics and kinematics 94
 of running in comparison to conventional running shoes. 95
 Footwear Science, 5, 45–53. 96
- Sinclair, J., Taylor, P.J., & Hobbs, S.J. (2013b). Alpha 97
 level adjustments for multiple dependent variable analyses and their applicability-a review. International Journal 99
 of Sports Science & Engineering, 7, 17-20. 100
- Sinclair, J. (2014). Effects of barefoot and barefoot in spired footwear on knee and ankle loading during run ning. Clinical Biomechanics, 29, 395–399.
- Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2014). Gender differences in 104
 the Achilles tendon load during the fencing lunge. Baltic 105
 Journal of Health and Physical Activity, 6, 199-204. 106
- Sinclair, J., Taylor, P.J., Currigan, G., & Hobbs, S.J. (2014a).
 107 The test-retest reliability of three different hip joint centre location techniques. *Movement & Sport Sciences*, 83, 109 31–39.

7

 \oplus

8

 \oplus

 \oplus

Movement & Sport Sciences – Science & Motricité

- Sinclair, J., Isherwood, J., & Taylor, P.J. (2014b). Effects of foot orthoses on Achilles tendon load in recreational runners. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 29, 956–958.
- 4 Sinclair, J., & Bottoms, L. (2015). Gender differences
 5 in patellofemoral load during the epee fencing lunge.
 6 Research in Sports Medicine, 23, 51–58.
- 7 Sinclair, J., Atkins, S., Taylor, P.J, & Vincent, H. (2015a).
- 8 Effects of conventional and minimalist footwear on 9 patellofemoral and Achilles tendon kinetics during netball
- 10 specific movements. Comparative Exercise Physiology, (In
- 11 press).
- 12 Sinclair, J., Chockalingam, N., Naemi, R., & Vincent, H.
- (2015b). The effects of sport-specific and minimalistfootwear on the kinetics and kinematics of three netball-
- 15 specific movements. *Footwear Science*, 7, 31–36.
- 16 Sinclair, J., Hobbs, S. J., & Selfe, J. (2015c). The Influence
- of Minimalist Footwear on Knee and Ankle Load duringDepth Jumping. *Research in Sports Medicine*, (In press).

Sinclair, J., Toth, J., & Hobbs, S.J. (2015d). The influence of 19 energy return and minimalist footwear on the kinetics and 20 kinematics of depth jumping in relation to conventional 21 trainers. *Kinesiology*, 47, 11–18.

 \oplus

 $-\oplus$

- Sinclair, J., Hebron, J., & Taylor, P.J. (2015e). The Test-retest
 Reliability of Knee Joint Center Location Techniques.
 Journal of Applied Biomechanics, 31, 117–121.
- Sinclair, J., Taylor, P.J., & Atkins, S. (2015f). Effects of new 26 military footwear on knee loading during running *Footwear* 27 *Science*, (In press). 28
- Van Eijden, T.M., Kouwenhoven, E., Verburg, J., & Weijs, 29
 W.A. (1986). A mathematical model of the patellofemoral 30
 joint. Journal of Biomechanics, 19, 219–229. 31
- Vuckovic, G., & James, N. (2010). The distance covered 32
 by winning and losing players in elite squash matches. 33 *Kinesiologia Slovenica*, 16, 44–50. 34