

1 This is a pre-proof corrected manuscript, as accepted for publication, of an article  
2 published by SciTechnol in *Journal of Athletic Enhancement* on 25<sup>th</sup> November 2013,  
3 available online: [http://www.scitechnol.com/are-aerobic-fitness-and-repeated-sprint-  
4 ability-linked-to-fatigue-in-professional-soccer-matchplay-a-pilot-study-  
5 ZfkA.php?article\\_id=1669](http://www.scitechnol.com/are-aerobic-fitness-and-repeated-sprint-ability-linked-to-fatigue-in-professional-soccer-matchplay-a-pilot-study-ZfkA.php?article_id=1669)

6 **PLEASE REFER TO THE PUBLISHED VERSION FOR CITING PURPOSES**

7  
8  
9 **Title: Are aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability linked to fatigue in  
10 professional soccer match-play? A pilot study.**

11  
12 Submission type: short communication

13  
14 Authors:

15 Christopher Carling, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>; Franck Le Gall, MD<sup>1</sup>; Gregory Dupont, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>

16  
17 Affiliations:

18 <sup>1</sup>LOSC Lille Métropole Football Club, Domain de Luchin, Camphin-en-Pévèle, France,

19 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Coaching and Performance, University of Central Lancashire, Preston,  
20 United Kingdom,

21 <sup>3</sup>Univ Lille Nord de France, UArtois, EA4488, 59000 Lille, France.

22  
23 Corresponding author:

24 Christopher Carling

25 LOSC Lille Métropole Football Club,

26 Domain de Luchin, Camphin-en-Pévèle,

27 France,

28 Tel : + 33 (0)6 03921863

29 [chris.carling@free.fr](mailto:chris.carling@free.fr)

30

31 Running Head: Fitness and match fatigue in soccer

32 **Abstract**

33 This investigation examined the association between aerobic fitness and repeated sprint  
34 ability and match-related fatigue in 9 professional outfield soccer players. Aerobic  
35 fitness using maximal aerobic speed (MAS) was determined via a continuous  
36 progressive incremental running test conducted on a motorised treadmill. A repeated  
37 sprint ability test (6 successive 6 s sprints separated by 20 s passive recovery) was  
38 performed on a non-motorised treadmill to determine mean and best sprint times and a  
39 percentage decrement score (%PD). A total of 114 observations of physical  
40 performance derived using computerised time motion analyses were collected from 33  
41 matches. Correlations between fitness test and match-play measures were examined for  
42 1) accumulated fatigue: percentage difference between halves for total distance covered  
43 per minute, distance run at high-intensities (HIR, actions for 1s duration, >19.1 km/h)  
44 per minute, mean recovery time between high-intensity runs, and percentage difference  
45 between the distance covered in HIR in the first 5- and 15-minute periods versus the  
46 final 5- and 15-minute periods respectively in normal time; and for 2) transient fatigue:  
47 percentage difference between the distance covered in HIR in a peak 5-minute period  
48 and the subsequent 5-minute period and for the latter compared to the mean for all other  
49 5-minute periods. No significant relationships were observed between MAS and fatigue  
50 scores (magnitude of associations: trivial to large). For mean and best sprint times and  
51 %PD, the only reported significant correlation ( $r=0.77$ , magnitude of association: very  
52 large,  $p<0.05$ ) was between %PD and the % difference across halves for mean recovery  
53 time between high-intensity runs (magnitude of other associations: small to large).  
54 Criterion measures from tests of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability might not

55 accurately depict a player's capacity to resist fatigue during professional soccer

56 competition.

57

58 Key words: time motion analysis, physical performance, locomotor activity,

59

## 60 **Introduction**

61           In professional soccer, a strong relationship has been observed between  
62 measures of physical fitness derived from tests of repeated sprint ability [1,2],  
63 intermittent endurance [3] and aerobic capacity [2] and running performance in  
64 competition determined from time motion analyses data. Unfortunately, these studies  
65 have generally only examined associations with ‘overall’ time motion measures of  
66 running performance such as the total distance run or that covered in sprinting. The  
67 potential relationship between fitness scores and declines in competitive physical  
68 performance in professional soccer players has up to now not received any attention  
69 despite the comprehensive body of research from time motion analyses that has  
70 indirectly demonstrated the existence of fatigue during match-play [4]. To our  
71 knowledge, only two studies, both conducted in elite youth soccer players, have  
72 addressed this gap in the literature [5,6]. In the former for example [5], despite a  
73 significant association between intermittent-endurance fitness (Yo-Yo IR1 test) and  
74 overall distance covered in high-intensity activity, no relationship was observed  
75 between fitness measures and first- vs. second-half decrements in running distance.

76           Nevertheless, the occurrence of accumulated fatigue represented by a significant  
77 drop in running distance between halves and towards the latter stages of games and  
78 transient fatigue represented by impaired running performance immediately after short-  
79 term periods of intense activity is common in professional soccer match-play [7]. In  
80 addition, field tests of sprinting ability have demonstrated declines in performance  
81 directly after completion of a match [8] and after intense periods of running activity in  
82 the first and second halves [9]. In theory therefore, a higher level of anaerobic and/or  
83 aerobic fitness might enable ‘protection’ against these forms of game-induced fatigue

84 and potentially reduce the occurrence of declines in running performance.  
85 Consequently, the aim of this pilot study was to examine whether an association exists  
86 between measures of physical fitness and match-induced fatigue determined via time  
87 motion analyses in professional soccer players.

88

## 89 **Methods & Subjects**

90

### 91 **Subjects**

92 A total of 9 first-team outfield professional soccer players participated (age:  $26.1 \pm 3.0$   
93 years; height:  $180.1 \pm 8.2$  cm; weight  $78.1 \pm 8.1$  kg). The small sample size was in part  
94 due to the inclusion of players in whom measures of both aerobic fitness and repeated  
95 sprint ability were available. While player consent was obtained and approval for the  
96 study obtained from their club, these data arose as a condition of employment in which  
97 player performance was routinely measured over the course of the competitive season  
98 [10]. Therefore, usual appropriate ethics committee clearance was not required.

99

### 100 **Fitness testing**

101 All participants were free from illness and injury at the time of fitness testing.  
102 All test protocols were performed at the same time of day and the players were familiar  
103 with the test procedures.

104 Aerobic fitness was indirectly determined via a continuous progressive  
105 incremental running test performed on a motorised treadmill (Desmo 3.0, Woodway,  
106 Waukesha, WI, USA) at the beginning of the competitive season. This protocol  
107 employed a 4-minute warm-up run performed at 10 km/h on a constant 1.5% gradient

108 followed by 2 km/h increments for 4-minute stages until voluntary exhaustion [11]. The  
109 running speed attained at exhaustion (maximal aerobic speed) was used as the criterion  
110 measure of aerobic fitness [2].

111 A repeated sprint ability test (RSA) was performed on a non-motorised treadmill  
112 (Force 3.0, Woodway, Waukesha, WI, USA). This test was done during the mid-season  
113 winter break as logistical reasons prevented assessment during the same period as the  
114 aerobic fitness assessment. Following a 15-minute standardized warm-up, the protocol  
115 entailed 6 consecutive 6 s sprints separated by 20 s passive recovery periods. Criterion  
116 measures of repeated sprint ability included mean and best sprint time and a percentage  
117 decrement score (%PD) across the 6 sprints [1].

118

#### 119 Measures of match performance

120 Physical performance via time motion analysis was analysed in 33 matches  
121 played over the course of the 2010/2011 competitive season (League, domestic Cup and  
122 UEFA Europa League games). A total of 114 individual match observations  
123 (completion of entire game) were generated. The recognised difficulties in obtaining  
124 systematic information on match running performance (due to player absence through  
125 injury and/or non-selection, unavailability of the match analysis system in away games  
126 etc.) [12] led to collection of a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 28 individual  
127 performance observations for the 9 players with these randomly obtained at different  
128 time points across the competitive season.

129 Time motion analyses data were obtained using a semi-automatic computerised  
130 motion analysis tracking system (AMISCO, Sport Universal Process, Nice, France).

131 The workings, accuracy and reliability of this system in measuring player movements in  
132 elite soccer competition have been described elsewhere [4].

133 The association between MAS and RSA (mean, best and %PD) and the  
134 following match-play measures [7] was examined: a) accumulated fatigue: percentage  
135 difference between halves for: total distance, distance run at high-intensities (HIR;  
136 running performed at speeds >19.8 km/h for a minimum duration of 1 s) per minute and  
137 mean recovery time between high-intensity runs; and percentage difference between the  
138 distance covered in HIR in the first 5- and 15-minute periods versus the final 5- and 15-  
139 minute periods respectively in normal time; b) transient fatigue: percentage difference  
140 between the distance covered in HIR in a peak 5-minute period and the subsequent 5-  
141 minute period and for the latter compared to the mean for all other 5-minute periods  
142 (minus peak period).

143

#### 144 Statistical analyses

145 Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows Version 13.0  
146 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Changes in running performance in all players across  
147 selected time periods are expressed as a percentage difference (mean  $\pm$  SD). The  
148 normality of the data was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Pearson's product-  
149 moment correlations were employed to examine relationships between fitness measures  
150 and percentage changes in match data. The level of statistical significance was set at  
151  $p < 0.05$ . The correlation coefficients ( $r$ ) (presented with 95% Confidence Intervals [95%  
152 CI]) were assessed according to the following scale of magnitude:  $\leq 0.1$ , trivial;  $> 0.1$ -  
153  $0.3$ , small;  $> 0.3$ - $0.5$ , moderate;  $> 0.5$ - $0.7$ , large;  $> 0.7$ - $0.9$ , very large; and  $> 0.9$ - $1.0$ ,  
154 almost perfect [13].



155 **Results:**

156           The mean scores across all players for MAS and mean, best and %PD  
157 respectively were  $17.7\pm 0.9$  km/h and  $4.34\pm 0.32$  s,  $3.91\pm 0.34$  s and  $-9.3\pm 3.1\%$ . While  
158 performance dropped in all match-play measures of accumulated and transient fatigue  
159 across the selected match periods, no significant relationships (magnitude range for the  
160 associations: trivial to large) were observed between MAS and any of the fatigue scores  
161 (Table 1). Regarding mean, best & %PD scores, the only significant correlation  
162 observed was with the % difference across halves for mean recovery time between high-  
163 intensity runs ( $r=0.77$ , very large,  $p<0.05$ ). The magnitude of the other associations  
164 ranged from small to large.

165

166   Insert Table I about here.

167

168 **Discussion**

169           To our knowledge, this study was the first to investigate the association between  
170 fitness and match-induced fatigue determined via time motion analyses in professional  
171 soccer players. Here, correlation analyses showed that maximal aerobic speed and  
172 repeated sprint ability were generally unrelated and even inversely associated (albeit  
173 non-significantly) to accumulated and transient match fatigue.

174           The fitness components examined here have previously been associated with  
175 overall measures of running performance (total distance run and that covered at high-  
176 intensities) in professional soccer players [2]. However, despite the moderate to large  
177 magnitude of several of the present correlations, inconsistencies across values and the  
178 general lack of significant relationships tend to raise doubts on the capacity and

179 pertinence of the present tests as valid indicators for predicting a player's ability to  
180 resist fatigue in match-play. Indeed, the increase in mean recovery time between  
181 consecutive high-intensity actions was significantly and positively correlated to the  
182 negative percentage decrement observed across sprints in the RSA test inferring that  
183 lower decrement scores were actually associated with greater second-half mean  
184 recovery times. Thus it would seem that despite the observed declines in distances  
185 covered across match periods, higher levels of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability  
186 as measured by the current tests were not necessarily associated with a better capacity to  
187 resist fatigue in match-play. The present results, while admittedly using different fitness  
188 test protocols, partly concord with those observed in young elite soccer players in whom  
189 no relationships were found between field tests of intermittent-endurance (Yo-Yo IR1)  
190 [5] and aerobic fitness (maximal incremental running test) [6] and first- vs. second-half  
191 decrements in match running activities.

192         One explanation for these results could be that the logical validity or intrinsic  
193 characteristics of the present fitness tests are simply unrelated to actual match-play  
194 demands. Additional work is thus warranted to examine associations with intermittent-  
195 type test performance (e.g., Yo-Yo tests) [3] and submaximal parameters of aerobic  
196 fitness (e.g., ventilatory or lactate threshold) [14]. An alternative explanation could be  
197 that match-related fatigue is simply a function of the physical demands associated with  
198 playing positions and/or its link to tactical choices made by coaching staff [6]. While  
199 relating playing position to match-related fatigue and player fitness scores would have  
200 been pertinent, the small sample size used did not permit this sub-analysis. However,  
201 doubts have recently been raised on the use, in isolation, of time-motion analyses to  
202 identify occurrence of player fatigue in match-play represented by changes in distance

203 covered across selected time intervals [15]. Declines in running performance identified  
204 here might have been over- or under-estimated due to confounding factors such as the  
205 time the ball was in play, ball possession and score line [4]. Finally, the players might  
206 simply have adopted a pacing strategy whereby they modulated, by intermittently  
207 lowering, their physical efforts in an attempt to avoid fatigue [16].

208 A major limitation of this study is the small sample of players (n=9) from a  
209 single team which might have left it statistically underpowered. Indeed, the wide  
210 confidence intervals for the correlations tend to prevent formulation of any firm  
211 conclusions as these frequently overlapped small positive and negative values thus the  
212 magnitude of the correlations can be considered unclear [6, 13]. Here, only players who  
213 completed both test protocols were included for analysis which substantially reduced  
214 the sample size and larger and wider scale samples of professional teams and players are  
215 thus necessary to verify the present findings. Ideally, physical performance data in the  
216 same players clustered from matches played over a shorter period closer to the tests  
217 would also have helped to account for and potentially reduce the effect of any changes  
218 across the season in aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability as well as the inherent  
219 high variability (expressed as % coefficient of variation) in competitive physical  
220 performance [17]. However, as explained earlier, in the present setting and as commonly  
221 experienced in other professional soccer club environments this was practically  
222 unfeasible due to non-availability (e.g., illness/injury) and/or non-selection of players  
223 and the lack of time motion analyses data for every game played (notably in away  
224 matches) across the season [12].

225

226 **Conclusion**

227 Within the restrictions of the present sample size and study design, these results imply  
228 that practitioners should be aware that criterion measures from fitness tests such as  
229 aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability might not accurately depict a player's capacity  
230 to resist fatigue during professional soccer competition. Similar investigations using  
231 larger sample sizes and additional measures of physical fitness as well as accounting for  
232 the present study limitations are nevertheless warranted.

233

#### 234 **Acknowledgements**

235 The dataset from this study was originally presented at the Third World Congress on  
236 Science and Soccer held in Ghent, Belgium (14-16<sup>th</sup> May, 2012).

237 **References**

- 238 1. Carling C, Dupont G, Le Gall, F (2012) Analysis of repeated high-intensity  
239 running performance in professional soccer. *J Sports Sci* 30: 325-336.
- 240 2. Rampinini E, Bishop D, Marcora SM, Ferrari Bravo D, Sassi R, et al. (2007)  
241 Validity of simple field tests as indicators of match-related physical performance  
242 in top-level professional soccer players. *Int J Sports Med* 28: 228-235.
- 243 3. Bradley PS, Mohr M, Bendiksen M, Randers MB, Flindt M, et al. (2011) Sub-  
244 maximal and maximal Yo-Yo intermittent endurance test level 2: heart rate  
245 response, reproducibility and application to elite soccer. *Eur J Appl Phys* 111:  
246 969-978.
- 247 4. Carling C, Bloomfield J, Nelsen L, Reilly T (2008) The role of motion analysis in  
248 elite soccer: Contemporary performance measurement techniques and work-rate  
249 data. *Sports Med* 38: 839-862.
- 250 5. Castagna C, Impellizzeri F, Cecchini E, Rampinini E, Alvarez JC (2009) Effects  
251 of intermittent-endurance fitness on match performance in young male soccer  
252 players. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 1954-1959.
- 253 6. Mendez-Villanueva A, Buchheit M, Simpson B, Bourdon PC (2013) Match play  
254 intensity distribution in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 34:101-110.
- 255 7. Bradley PS, Sheldon W, Wooster B, Olsen P, Boanas P, et al. (2009) High-  
256 intensity running in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *J Sports Sci*  
257 27:159-168.
- 258 8. Rampinini E, Bosio A, Ferraresi I, Petruolo A, Morelli A, et al. (2011) Match-  
259 related fatigue in soccer players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 43; 2161-2170.

- 260 9. Krstrup P, Mohr M, Steensberg A, Bencke J, Kjaer M, et al. (2006) Muscle and  
261 blood metabolites during a soccer game: implications for sprint performance. *Med*  
262 *Sci Sports Exerc* 38: 1165-1174.
- 263 10. Winter EM, Maughan RJ (2009) Requirements for ethics approvals. *J Sports Sci*  
264 27: 985.
- 265 11. Reilly T (2007). *Science of training: Soccer*. Routledge; London, UK.
- 266 12. Carling C, Wright C, Nelson LJ, Bradley PS. (2013) Comment on ‘Performance  
267 analysis in football: A critical review and implications for future research’. *J*  
268 *Sports Sci*, in press.
- 269 13. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J (2009) Progressive statistics  
270 for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med & Sci Sports & Exerc* 41:  
271 3-13.
- 272 14. Abt G, Lovell, R (2009) The use of individualized speed and intensity thresholds  
273 for determining the distance run at high-intensity in professional soccer. *J Sports*  
274 *Sci* 27: 893-898.
- 275 15. Carling, C (2013) Interpreting physical performance in professional soccer match-  
276 play: should we be more pragmatic in our approach. *Sports Med*, 43: 655-663.
- 277 16. Bradley PS, Noakes TD (2013) Match running performance fluctuations in elite  
278 soccer: Indicative of fatigue, pacing or situational influences? *J Sports Sci*,  
279 31:1627-1638.
- 280 17. Gregson W, Drust B, Atkinson G, Salvo Di, VD (2010) Match-to-match  
281 variability of high-speed activities in premier league soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 31:  
282 237-242.

283 **Table I:** Associations between measures of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability and match-related fatigue in 9 professional soccer  
 284 players.  
 285  
 286

Match period			% Difference	RSA Best	Correlation coefficient (95%CI)		Maximal Aerobic Speed
					RSA Mean	RSA % Decrement Score	
1st vs. 2nd Half							
Total distance (m/min) <sup>#</sup>	124.4±8.2	117.2±6.8	-5.8±1.9	0.51 (-0.23 to 0.88)	0.39 (-0.38 to 0.84)	-0.43 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.24 (-0.78 to 0.50)
High-intensity running (m/min)	9.6±2.2	9.4±1.9	-2.5±8.5	0.45 (-0.31 to 0.86)	0.44 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.41 (-0.84 to 0.36)	-0.35 (-0.82 to 0.41)
High-intensity action recovery time (s)	100.0±25.9	117.1±26.2	+17.1±8.7	-0.44 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.39 (-0.84 to 0.37)	*+0.77 (0.22 to 0.95)	0.20 (-0.54 to 0.76)
Total distance covered in selected match periods (m)							
0-15min vs. 75-90mins	153.7±41.3	152.9±30.7	-0.5±13.4	0.56 (-0.16 to 0.89)	0.45 (-0.30 to 0.86)	-0.38 (-0.84 to 0.38)	-0.55 (-0.89 to 0.18)
0-5mins vs. 85-90mins	58.5±15.6	56.3±12.3	-3.9±30.2	0.34 (-0.42 to 0.82)	0.17 (-0.55 to 0.75)	-0.43 (-0.85 to 0.33)	0.03 (-0.65 to 0.68)
Peak 5mins vs Following 5mins	108.5±14.4	39.7±14.7	-63.4±8.4	-0.37 (-0.83 to 0.39)	-0.52 (-0.88 to 0.22)	-0.14 (-0.75 to 0.58)	0.28 (-0.46 to 0.80)
Peak 5mins vs Mean for all 5mins	45.1±10.0	38.3±14.7	-15.2±15.8	-0.51 (-0.88 to 0.24)	-0.62 (-0.91 to 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.75 to 0.56)	0.39 (-0.37 to 0.83)

287  
 288 \*Significant association (p<0.05) between the % Decrement Score across sprints for the test of repeated sprint ability (RSA) and the difference in mean recovery time  
 289 between high-intensity actions across match halves.  
 290

291 <sup>#</sup>m/min: metres covered per minute