

Sedimentological constraints on the late Silurian history of the Highland Boundary Fault, Scotland: Implications for Midland Valley Basin development

Adrian J. Hartley and Sophie Leleu¹

Department of Geology & Petroleum Geology,
School of Geosciences
University of Aberdeen
Aberdeen AB24 3UE
Scotland, U.K.

¹ Now at: EA 4592 G&E, ENSEGID, Institut Polytechnique de Bordeaux, 1 allée Daguin, 33 607
Pessac, France

1 **Abstract**

2 The relationship between movement on the Highland Boundary Fault (HBF) and deposition of
3 the Lower Old Red Sandstone (LORS) in the Midland Valley Basin, Scotland is controversial.
4 Most models favour mid-Silurian to early Devonian sinistral movement on the HBF and
5 development of a transtensional Midland Valley Basin. To constrain HBF movement during the
6 late Silurian, we examine the basal LORS alluvial succession exposed adjacent to the HBF. A
7 lack of syn-sedimentary fault movement indicators coupled with an increase in stratal thickness
8 across the fault, indicates the HBF was not active during LORS sedimentation. A transtensional
9 basin model cannot be sustained.

10

11 The Highland Boundary Fault (HBF) is a steeply-dipping reverse fault that juxtaposes Dalradian
12 metasediments of the Grampian Terrane (GT) onto Highland Border Complex and Lower Old
13 Red Sandstone rocks (LORS) of the Midland Valley Terrane (MVT) (Barrow 1901; Campbell
14 1913; Anderson 1946; Strachan et al. 2002; Tanner 2008; Figs. 1a). The main phase of reverse
15 movement on the fault took place in the Middle Devonian between deposition of the Lower and
16 Upper Old Red Sandstone, yet the timing and nature of pre Mid-Devonian movement on the
17 HBF remains controversial (e.g. Tanner 2008, 2011; Bluck 2010). Marked differences in late
18 Precambrian to Lower Palaeozoic development of the GT and MVT have suggested to some
19 workers that the HBF represents a terrane boundary separating the GT (Laurentia) from the MVT
20 with extensive (> 500 km) sinistral strike-slip movement in Silurian to early Devonian times
21 (e.g. Harte et al. 1984; Bluck 2002; Strachan et al. 2002; Dewey & Strachan 2003). In contrast,
22 others believe there has been limited post-mid-Silurian strike-slip movement and that the GT and
23 MVT blocks were amalgamated by the early Silurian (Oliver 2001; Tanner 2008). Bluck (2002,
24 2010) suggested that the northern edge of the MVB lay north of the current location of the HBF
25 and that it migrated southwards during the early Devonian. He stressed that the notion that the
26 LORS basin was continuous across the HBF could not be reconciled with provenance data,
27 favouring deposition in a series of small strike-slip basins. In palaeogeographic reconstructions,
28 the HBF also forms the northern, fault-bounded margin to the late Mid-Silurian LORS Midland
29 Valley Basin (MVB), with, sediment shed southwards across the active fault into the basin (e.g.
30 Bluck 1983; Haughton 1989; Trewin & Thirlwall 2002).

31

32 To constrain the timing and nature of mid Silurian to early Devonian movement on the HBF, we
33 examine sedimentological and stratigraphic evidence from the Cowie Sandstone Formation, the

34 oldest sedimentary unit in the northern part of the MVB. The formation unconformably overlies
35 the Ordovician Highland Border Complex and is juxtaposed against the HBF, consequently
36 sedimentological analysis should allow constraints to be placed on any syn-sedimentary fault
37 activity during basin formation. Results suggest that the HBF was not active during deposition of
38 the LORS and this observation is discussed within the context of the late Silurian to early
39 Devonian development of the MVB.

40

41 **Sedimentology of the Cowie Sandstone Formation**

42 The sandstones of the LORS which unconformably overlie the pillow lavas of the Highland
43 Border Complex and are truncated by the HBF are referred to as the Cowie Sandstone Formation
44 and together with the overlying Carron Sandstone Formation form the Stonehaven Group (Fig. 2;
45 Browne et al. 2002). The Cowie Sandstone Formation is dated as Wenlock in age on the basis of
46 spores (Marshall 1991; Wellman 1993). A measured section through the 430 m thick formation
47 is presented in Fig. 2. It comprises coarse grained, moderate to poorly sorted, trough cross-
48 stratified sandstones interbedded with horizontally laminated mudstones, rippled and
49 horizontally laminated siltstone and fine sandstones. Pebbly sandstones and occasional well
50 rounded clast-supported conglomerates occur towards the top of the formation. Desiccation
51 cracks are present in some mudstone intervals, freshwater fish, arthropod and millipede remains
52 have been found in a siltstone unit towards the top of the formation (Westoll 1977). Sandstone
53 bodies range from 1 m thick, single story, channel-fill packages to amalgamated channel-belt
54 bodies up to 60 m thick interpreted to represent the deposits of medial and lateral bars developed
55 in a large-scale, low sinuosity fluvial system. Tilt-corrected palaeocurrent data measured from
56 trough cross-strata are presented for a number of stratigraphic units within the Cowie Sandstone

57 Formation and summed for all units in Fig. 2. A consistent transport direction towards the west
58 is clearly illustrated with a WNW component dominant in the lowermost units.

59

60 **Highland Boundary Fault: Field Relationships**

61 Field relationships associated with the HBF have been described in detail previously (e.g.
62 Barrow 1901; Campbell 1913; Anderson 1946; Tanner 2008) and a brief summary relevant to the
63 study area is presented here. The HBF is exposed on the coastline at Cowie (Fig. 2), where it
64 forms a high angle reverse fault that dips steeply to the north, placing late Precambrian to
65 Cambrian Dalradian metasediments of the GT onto Ordovician pillow lavas (Highland Border
66 Complex) of the MVT and LORS sandstones (Campbell 1913). An unconformity dipping 51° to
67 the south, separates the pillow lavas from sandstones of the LORS (British Geological Survey
68 1999). Strata above the unconformity show a progressive increase in dip south of the
69 unconformity from 51° to close to vertical over a horizontal distance of 500 m. Dips remain
70 close to vertical south of this point for a further 4 km. The unconformity between the LORS and
71 the Highland Border Complex is only seen at Cowie. Elsewhere the LORS is always in fault
72 contact with either Dalradian metasediments or the Highland Border Complex.

73

74 The LORS succession at Cowie forms part of the northern limb of the Strathmore Syncline (Fig.
75 1) - a Middle Devonian structure truncated prior to deposition of the Upper Devonian Upper Old
76 Red Sandstone (Bluck 2000). The syncline can be traced for >200 km across the Midland Valley
77 Basin where it runs parallel to the HBF and is thought to have developed during the main phase
78 of movement on the HBF (Tanner 2008)

79

80 **Discussion**

81 Palaeocurrent data from the Cowie Sandstone Formation do not support evidence for syn-
82 sedimentary movement on the HBF. Fluvial channel deposits located immediately adjacent to the
83 HBF flowed either directly or obliquely towards the present day location of the fault. In addition,
84 if the HBF had been active during LORS deposition, as suggested in reconstructions (e.g. Bluck
85 1983; Haughton 1989; Trewin & Thirlwall 2002), then thick packages of coarse grained, angular,
86 poorly sorted alluvial fan deposits that dipped southwards off the active fault scarp should be
87 preserved. No evidence for alluvial fan deposits such as are commonly observed along active
88 fault scarps (e.g. Blair & McPherson 1994) are present in the Cowie Sandstone Formation (Fig
89 2). Palaeocurrent data from strata overlying the Cowie Sandstone Formation also indicate no
90 evidence for transport of material southwards across the HBF in the LORS. For example, fluvial
91 sandstones in the overlying Carron Sandstone Formation show westerly directed palaeoflow
92 (Haughton 1989; Davidson & Hartley 2010). Haughton (1989) described complex palaeocurrent
93 patterns from conglomerates of the 1500 m thick Dunnottar Group (Fig. 2) which overlies the
94 Stonehaven Group, and which also display predominantly southwesterly directed palaeoflow.
95 This indicates that for at least the lower 2500 m of LORS deposition, sediment was consistently
96 sourced from the east with no input from the north.

97

98 Unfolding of the Strathmore Syncline and restoration of depositional dip to palaeo-horizontal
99 (Fig. 3), shows that the Cowie Sandstone Formation thickened northwards towards what is the
100 present day location of the HBF. Assuming an equivalent thickness of LORS overlay the GT
101 prior to post-Lower Devonian movement on the HBF, estimates of displacement on the HBF at
102 Cowie would include the full thickness of the LORS on the northern limb of the syncline of at

103 least 4500 m. Although fault-bounded on the BGS section (Figs. 1 and 2), this still provides a
104 minimum value for post-Lower Devonian displacement and erosion prior to UORS deposition.
105 Elsewhere adjacent to the HBF across Scotland, thick (>5 km) sections of LORS strata are
106 affected by the Strathmore Syncline (Fig. 2), with the implication that up to 5000 m of LORS
107 overlay much of at least the southern part of the GT prior to movement on the HBF in the Mid
108 Devonian.

109
110 Evidence for LORS sedimentation across the GT is provided by a regional base-LORS
111 unconformity that can be reconstructed across much of the GT using numerous scattered LORS
112 outliers (Watson 1985; Stephenson & Gould 1995; Bluck 2000; Macdonald et al. 2000). North of
113 the HBF, thick accumulations of sediments and interbedded lavas (800 to 1440 m) of late
114 Silurian to earliest Devonian age are recorded for example at Lintrathen, Glen Turret, Lorne,
115 Oban and Kintyre (Bluck 2000; Browne et al. 2002; Trewin & Thirlwell, 2002; Fig. 1). The
116 preservation of a base-LORS unconformity and LORS outliers of Silurian age north of the HBF
117 support the idea that the GT did not form a topographic high during LORS deposition, but rather
118 was buried by LORS sediment at least partially by the late Mid-Silurian and certainly by the Late
119 Silurian (Fig. 3),

120
121 The palaeocurrent data from the Cowie Sandstone Formation, the projected increase in LORS
122 thickness across the HBF and the absence of any significant accumulations of alluvial fan
123 deposits adjacent to the fault have a number of significant implications: 1) the HBF was not
124 active during deposition of the LORS, 2) the LORS basin margin lay significantly north of the
125 present day location of the HBF (Bluck 2000), 3) sedimentation was continuous across the HBF,

126 4) a significant thickness of LORS (4500 m) directly overlay GT Dalradian basement and was
127 subsequently uplifted and exhumed in relation to post-LORS reverse HBF movement, 5) any
128 strike-slip movement on the HBF must have occurred prior to the Wenlock such that there is no
129 evidence for large scale sinistral strike-slip movement on the HBF in late Silurian to early
130 Devonian times (see also Tanner 2008).

131
132 Bluck (1978) suggested the LORS was deposited in a series of linked transtensional sub-basins
133 which together formed the MVB. In these models the northern margin of the basin was
134 represented by an active HBF which separated an uplifted GT from the MVB. The evidence from
135 the Cowie Sandstone Formation and overlying strata suggest that this model cannot be sustained,
136 with no indication of syn-sedimentary relief on the HBF during LORS deposition, sediment
137 extending northwards over the subdued relief of the GT and at least the lower 2500 m of the
138 basin-fill derived from an elevated area to the east of the basin. Other features that are commonly
139 associated with active strike-slip basin margins (e.g. Miall 2000) such as angular unconformities
140 within the basin-fill adjacent to the basin-bounding fault and rapid along strike changes in true
141 stratigraphic thickness have not been documented within the LORS succession (e.g. Browne et
142 al. 2002),

143
144 To assess the significance of these observations within a wider context, it is necessary to place
145 the HBF within the late Lower Palaeozoic tectonic framework. In the early to Mid Silurian (435-
146 425 Ma), to the east and north of the GT and MVT, collision between Laurentia and Baltica
147 resulted in the Scandian deformation phase of the Caledonian Orogeny (Coward 1990).
148 Scandian deformation affected western Norway, east Greenland and the Northern Highland

149 Terrane (NHT) of Scotland, and was responsible for large-scale nappe emplacement including
150 development of the Moine Thrust. The GT which is separated from the NHT by the Great Glen
151 Fault (Fig. 1) has no record of significant Scandian deformation. To explain the present day
152 juxtaposition of these crustal blocks, it has long been inferred that significant (possibly >500 km)
153 late Silurian to early Devonian sinistral strike-slip movement took place on the Great Glen Fault
154 (Strachan et al. 2002) to accommodate the oblique collision of Baltica with Laurasia, with >500
155 km of sinistral movement also taking place along the HBF at this time (e.g. Dewey & Strachan
156 2003). It is clear that this latter scenario is not supported by the sedimentological evidence from
157 the Cowie Sandstone Formation and that from the late Mid-Silurian to the Mid-Devonian the
158 HBF had little or no influence on LORS deposition with the GT forming a contiguous basal
159 surface with that of the MVB. Tanner (2008) presents evidence for very limited post LORS
160 strike-slip movement on the HBF such that any strike-slip movement must have been pre-Mid
161 Silurian.

162
163 The preservation of Silurian LORS deposits on both the MVT and GHT indicate that
164 sedimentation occurred across the HBF. If the GHT was not the direct source for LORS detritus
165 a mechanism for generation of significant relief immediately east and north of the MVB is
166 required to supply substantial volumes of coarse clastic sediment to the basin. It has long been
167 recognised that some sediment was supplied by fluvial systems draining the Scandian Orogen to
168 the east of the MVB (e.g. Bluck 2000), however in most reconstructions this sediment source
169 supplements material derived from the GHT. We suggest that the Scandian Orogen is the sole
170 source of clastic material for LORS fluvial systems (Fig. 3). The correspondence between
171 Scandian deformation and the onset of LORS sedimentation in the Wenlock further suggests that

172 the LORS basin-fill developed as part of the Scandian foreland. The suture zone between Baltica
173 and the edge of the MVT and GHT currently lies 100 to 150 km directly east of the Midland
174 Valley (Coward et al. 2003) and would have been closer prior to Mesozoic extension in the
175 North Sea.

176

177 **Conclusions**

178 A study of the Mid to Late Silurian succession located adjacent to the Highland Boundary Fault,
179 allows the timing of fault movement on this major Caledonian structure to be constrained. A lack
180 of evidence for syn-sedimentary fault movement such as fault-scarp derived scree deposits, growth
181 strata or palaeocurrent deflection together with evidence for stratal thickening across the fault
182 indicate that there has been no significant post-Ordovician strike-slip movement on the HBF.

183 The observations indicate that LORS sedimentation was continuous across the HBF and that the
184 HBF did not form the northern margin of the MVB and did not migrate southwards during LORS
185 deposition. The HBF did not therefore accommodate any Scandian shortening or strike-slip
186 movement and should not be included in late Palaeozoic palaeogeographic reconstructions of
187 NW Europe and contiguous areas. Implications of these observations when placed within the
188 Caledonian tectonic framework for the Silurian are that the LORS basin-fill succession which
189 covered the low-lying and contiguous Midland Valley and Grampian Highland Terranes was
190 derived primarily through erosion of the developing Scandian Orogen to the east.

191

192 **References**

193 Anderson, J.G.C. 1946. The geology of the Highland Border: Stonehaven to Arran. Transactions
194 of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 61, 479-515.

195 Barrow, G. 1901. On the occurrence of Silurian(?) rocks in Forfarshire and Kincardineshire
196 along the eastern border of the Highlands. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of
197 London, 57, 328-345.

198 Blair, T. & McPherson, J.G. 1994. Alluvial fans and their natural distinction from rivers based
199 on morphology, hydraulic processes, sedimentary processes, and facies assemblages.
200 Journal of Sedimentary Research, A64, 451-490.

201 Bluck, B.J. 1978. Sedimentation in a late orogenic basin: the Old Red Sandstone of the Midland
202 Valley of Scotland. In: Bowes, D. R. & Leake, B. E. (eds) Crustal Evolution in NW Britain
203 and Adjacent Regions. Seel House Press, Liverpool, 249-278.

204 Bluck, B.J. 1983. The role of the Midland Valley of Scotland in the Caledonian Orogeny.
205 Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences, 74, 119–136.

206 Bluck, B.J. 2000. Old Red Sandstone basins and alluvial systems of Midland Scotland. In Friend,
207 P.F., and Williams, B.P.J., eds., New Perspectives on the Old Red Sandstone, geological
208 Society, London, Special Publication, 180, 417-437.

209 Bluck, B.J. 2002. The Midland Valley terrane. In Trewin, N., ed., Geology of Scotland, 4th edn.
210 Geological Society, London, 149–166.

211 Bluck, B.J. 2010. The Highland Boundary Fault and the Highland Border Complex. Scottish
212 Journal of Geology, 46, 113-124.

213 British Geological Survey, 1999, Stonehaven, Scotland Sheet 67, Solid and Drift Geology,
214 1:50,000, Keyworth, Nottingham, British Geological Survey.

215 Browne, M.E.A., Smith R.A. & Aitken, A.M. 2002. Stratigraphical framework for the Devonian
216 (Old Red Sandstone) rocks of Scotland south of a line from Fort William to Aberdeen.
217 British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/01/04, 67pp.

218 Campbell, R. 1913. The geology of south-eastern Kincardineshire. Transactions of the Royal
219 Society of Edinburgh, 48, 923–960.

220 Coward, M.P. 1990. The Precambrian, Caledonian and Variscan Framework to NW Europe. In:
221 Hardman R.F.P. and Brooks, J. (eds), Tectonic Events Responsible for Britain’s Oil and Gas
222 Reserves, Geological Society London, Special Publication, 55, 1-34.

223 Coward, M.P., Dewey, J., Hempton, M. & Holroyd, J. 2003. Tectonic Structure, in Evans, D.,
224 Graham, C., Armour, A., and Bathurst, P., eds, The Millenium Atlas: Petroleum Geology of
225 the Central and Northern North Sea, Geological Society, London, 21-48.

226 Davidson, S. & Hartley. A.J. 2010. Towards a quantitative method for estimating
227 paleohydrology from clast size and comparison with modern rivers. Journal of Sedimentary
228 Research, 80, 688-702.

229 Dewey, J.F. & Strachan, R.A. 2003. Changing Silurian–Devonian relative plate motion in the
230 Caledonides; sinistral transpression to sinistral transtension. Journal of the Geological
231 Society, London, 160, 219–229.

232 Harte, B., Booth, J.E., Dempster, T.J., Fettes, D.J., Mendum, J.R. & Watts, D. 1984. Aspects of
233 the post-depositional evolution of Dalradian and Highland Border Complex rocks in the
234 southern Highland of Scotland. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Earth
235 Sciences, 75, 151-163.

236 Houghton, P.D.W. 1989. Structure of some Lower Old Red Sandstone conglomerates,
237 Kincardineshire, Scotland: deposition from late-orogenic antecedent streams. Journal of the
238 Geological Society, London, 146, 509-525.

239 MacDonald, D., Archer, B., Murray, S., Smith, K. & Bates, A. 2009. Modelling and comparing
240 the Caledonian and Permo-Triassic erosion surfaces with present-day topography across

241 Highland Scotland: implications for landscape inheritance, in Nichols, G., Williams, E., and
242 Paola, C., eds. *Sedimentary Processes, Environments and Basins*. Special Publication of the
243 International Association of Sedimentologists, 36, 283-299.

244 Marshall, J.E.A. 1991. Palynology of the Stonehaven Group, Scotland; evidence for a Mid-
245 Silurian age and its geological implications. *Geological Magazine*, 128, 283-286.

246 Miall, A.D. 2000. *Principles of Sedimentary Basin Analysis*, 3rd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New
247 York.

248 Oliver, G.J.H., 2001, Reconstruction of the Grampian episode in Scotland: its place in the
249 Caledonian Orogeny. *Tectonophysics*, 332, 23–49.

250 Stephenson, D. & Gould, D. 1995. *British Regional Geology, the Grampian Highlands*. HMSO,
251 London.

252 Strachan, R., Smith, M., Harris, A.L. & Fettes, D.J. 2002. The Northern Highland and Grampian
253 terranes. In Trewin, N.H., ed., *The Geology of Scotland*. Geological Society, London, 81–
254 148.

255 Tanner, P.W.G. 2008. Tectonic significance of the Highland Boundary Fault, Scotland. *Journal of*
256 *the Geological Society*, London, 165, 915-921.

257 Tanner, P.W.G. 2011. Discussion of ‘The Highland Boundary Fault and the Highland Border
258 Complex’ by B. J. Bluck, *Scottish Journal of Geology*, 46, 113–124

259 Trewin, N.H., and Thirlwall, M.F. 2002. The Old Red Sandstone. In Trewin, N.H., ed., *Geology*
260 *of Scotland*, Geological Society, London, 213–249.

261 Watson, J. 1985. Northern Scotland as an Atlantic-North Sea divide. *Journal of the Geological*
262 *Society*, London, 142, 221-243.

263 Wellman, C.H. 1993. A land plant microfossil assemblage of Mid Silurian age from the
264 Stonehaven Group, Scotland. *Journal of Micropalaeontology*, 12, 47-66.
265 Westoll, T.S. 1977. Northern Britain: n House, M.R., Richardson, J.B., Chaloner, W.G., Allen,
266 J.R.L., Holland, C.H. & Westoll, T.S. (eds), *A Correlation of the Devonian Rocks of the*
267 *British Isles*. Geological Society, London, Special Report, 8, 66-93.

268

269 List of Figures

270 Fig. 1 a) Map of northern and central Scotland showing the distribution of LORS outcrop and the
271 main terranes, basins and faults. Box highlights area of map in b). Fig. 1b) Geological map
272 of northeastern part of the MVB showing the relationship between the main structures and
273 stratigraphy, modified after Tanner (2008). Fig. 1c) Three cross-sections illustrating the
274 relationship between the HBF and the basin-fill. Note the presence of thick LORS packages
275 immediately north of the fault (modified after Tanner 2008).

276 Fig. 2a) Geological map of the Cowie area (modified from Trewin and Gillan 1987 and British
277 Geological Survey 1999). Red line shows location of logged section shown in (b), line of
278 cross-section shown in (c) is labelled A-B and marked as a black dashed line. 2b)

279 Stratigraphic column of the lower part of the LORS basin-fill succession and sedimentary
280 log of the Cowie Sandstone Formation with palaeocurrent data (corrected for bed dip). 2c)
281 Cross-section taken orthogonal to the strike of the HBF showing changes in dip southwards
282 away from the fault, .

283 Fig.3 Reconstruction of LORS depositional setting and basin geometry, top diagram shows a
284 palaeogeographic reconstruction of fluvial systems draining the developing orogen
285 associated with collision of Baltica and Laurentia to the east of the Midland Valley, note the

286 location of where the HBF will develop after LORS deposition. Bottom diagram shows
287 simple cross-section restored across the present day location of the HBF with location of
288 LORS stratigraphic section shown in Fig. 2 (red dot), note thickening northwards.





