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## RESEARCH MEMORANDUM



## TILBURG UNIVERSITY <br> DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Postbus 90153-5000 LE Tilburg
Netherlands


COMMENT ON: IDENTIFICATION IN THE
LINEAR ERRORS IN VARIABLES MODEL

By Paul A. Bekker ${ }^{1)}$

[^0]1. Introduction

Kapteyn and Wansbeek [1] considered the following multiple linear regression model with errors in variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}=\xi_{j}^{\prime} \beta+\varepsilon_{j}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(j=1, \ldots, n)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}=\xi_{j}+u_{j} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{j}, x_{j}, U_{j}$ and $B$ are k-vectors, $y_{j}, \varepsilon_{j}$ are scalars. The $\xi_{j}$ are unobservable variables: instead the $x_{j}$ are observed. The measurement errors $u_{j}$ are unobservable as well and it is assumed that $u_{j} \sim N(0, \Omega)$ and $\varepsilon_{j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ for all $j$. The $u_{j}$ and $\varepsilon_{j}$ are mutually independent and independent of $\xi_{j}$. The $\xi_{j}$ are considered as random drawings from some, as yet unspecified, multivariate distribution with zero mean. For the case $k=1$ Reiers $\phi 1$ [2] has shown that normality of $\xi_{j}$ is the only distributional assumption which spoils identification. For the case $k \geqslant$ 1 and the components of $\xi_{j}$ are mutually independent, Wilassen [3] has shown that none of the components of $\xi_{j}$ should be normally distributed to guarantee identifiability of $\beta$. Kapteyn and Wansbeek [1] did not assume independency of the components of $\xi_{j}$ and they stated the following proposition: the parameter vector $\beta$ is identified if and only if there does not exist a lineair combination of $\xi_{j}$ which is normally distributed. The necessity part in this proposition is incorrect, i.e. it may well be that a normally distributed linear combination of $\xi_{j}$ does not spoil the identifiability of $\beta$. Here $I$ present necessary and sufficient conditions for identification of $\beta$.
2. Statement of the Result and Proof

Proposition: Under the assumptions above, the parameter vector $B$ is identified if and only if there does not exist a nonsingular matrix $A=\left(a_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$ is distributed nor ma11y and independently of $\xi_{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{2}$.

Proof: We first show that nonidentifiability of $\beta$ implies the existence of the matrix $A$. Let $s$ be a scalar and $t$ a vector. The characteristic function $\phi_{y_{j}, x_{j}}(s, t)$ of $y_{j}$ and $x_{j}$ is
(2.1) $\phi_{y_{j}, x_{j}}(s, t)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{2} s^{2}+t^{\prime} \Omega t\right)\right\} \phi_{\xi}(\beta s+t)$,
where $\phi_{\xi}($.$) is the characteristic function of \xi_{j}$. Assuming that $B$ is not fully identified amounts to saying that there exist parameter sets $\left\{\beta, \sigma^{2}, \Omega\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta^{*}, \sigma^{*^{2}}, \Omega^{\star}\right\}$, with $\beta \neq \beta^{*}$, generating the same distribution of $y_{j}, x_{j}$. Consequently $\phi_{y_{j}}, x_{j}(s, t)$ should be the same for both sets of parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{2} s^{2}+t^{\prime} \Omega t\right)\right\} \phi_{\xi}(B s+t)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{*^{2}} s^{2}+t^{\prime} \Omega^{*} t\right)\right\} \phi_{\xi}^{*}\left(B^{*} s+t\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$ Let $\ell=\beta^{*} \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{t}$, then $\phi_{\xi}(\beta \mathrm{s}+\mathrm{t})=\phi_{\xi}\left(\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right) \mathrm{s}+\ell\right)=\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right), \xi}(\mathrm{s}, \ell)$. Thus (2.2) caries over into

(2.3) $\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right), \xi}(s, \ell)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[s^{2}\left(\sigma^{*^{2}}-\sigma^{2}\right)+\right.\right.$

$$
\left.\left.+\left(\ell-\beta^{*} s\right)^{\prime}\left(\Omega{ }^{*}-\Omega\right)\left(\ell-\beta^{*} s\right)\right]\right\} \phi_{\xi}^{*}(\ell)
$$

The characteristic function corresponding to the marginal distribution of $\xi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)$ is found by setting $\ell=0$
(2.4) $\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)}(s)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} s^{2}\left(\sigma^{*^{2}}-\sigma^{2}+\beta^{*} \cdot\left(\Omega^{*}-\Omega\right) \beta^{*}\right)\right\}$,
which is the characteristic function of a normally distributed variable. In addition to this result, which was obtained by Kapteyn and Wansbeek [1], it will now be shown that nonidentifiability of $\beta$ also implies the existence of a matrix $A_{2}$ such that ( $a_{1}, A_{2}$ ) is nonsingular and $\xi_{j}^{\prime}{ }^{a}$ is distributed independently of $\xi_{j}^{\prime} A_{2}$. The characteristic function corresponding to the marginal distributions of $\xi_{j}$ is found by setting $s=0$ in (2.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\xi}(\ell)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \ell \ell^{\prime}\left(\Omega^{*}-\Omega\right) \ell\right\} \phi_{\xi}^{*}(\ell) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we may rewrite (2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right), \xi}(\mathrm{s}, \ell)=\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)}(\mathrm{s}) \phi_{\xi^{\prime}}(\ell) \exp \left\{\mathrm{s} \beta^{*^{\prime}}\left(\Omega^{*}-\Omega\right) \ell\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B$ be a $(k \times(k-1))$-matrix of full column rank such that $\beta^{*^{\prime}}\left(\Omega^{*}-\Omega\right) B=$ 0. Equality (2.6) holds for all possible values of $s$ and $\ell$. In particular (2.6) holds if we let $\ell$ vary such that $\ell=B m$, where $m$ is $a(k-1)-$ vector:
(2.7) $\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right), \xi^{*}}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Bm})=\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)}(\mathrm{s}) \phi_{\xi}(\mathrm{Bm})$,
or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}, B\right)}(s, m)=\phi_{\xi^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)}(s) \phi_{\xi^{\prime} B^{(m)} .} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus nonidentifiability of $\beta$ implies the existence of a matrix $\left(\beta-\beta^{*}, B\right)$ such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)$ is distributed normally and independently of $\xi_{j}^{\prime}$ B. If $\quad$ rank $\left(\beta-\beta^{*}, B\right)=k$ then a matrix A is given by $\left(\beta-\beta_{*}^{*}, B\right)$. In the trivial case where $\operatorname{Rank}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}, B\right)=k-1$, the variable $\xi_{j}^{\prime}\left(\beta-\beta^{*}\right)$ is distributed independently of itself and must therefore be equal to zero identically (which is also considered as a normal distribution). In that case any nonsingular matrix $A$ whose first column equals $\beta-\beta^{*}$ will do.

To prove the necessity part of the Proposition we assume that there exists a nonsingular matrix $A=\left(a_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$ is distributed normally and independently of $\xi_{j}^{\prime} A_{2}$. If we substitute $t=A \ell=$ $a_{1} \ell_{1}+A_{2} \ell_{2}$ and $B=A \grave{B}=a_{1} \grave{\beta}_{1}+A_{2} \grave{\beta}_{2}\left(\ell_{1}\right.$ and $\grave{B}_{1}$ are scalars, $\ell_{2}$ and $\grave{B}_{2}$ are ( $k-1$ )-vectors) in (2.1), then the characteristic function of $y_{j}, x_{j}$ takes the following form:
(2.9) $\phi_{y_{j}, x_{j}}(s, A l)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma^{2} s^{2}+l^{\prime} A^{\prime} \Omega A l\right)\right\} \phi_{\xi}(A(\bar{B} s+\ell))$.

The characteristic function $\phi_{\xi}\left(A\left(\bar{\beta}_{s}+\ell\right)\right)$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\xi}\left(A\left(\tilde{\beta}_{s}+\ell\right)\right) & =\phi_{\xi^{\prime} A}\left(\tilde{\beta}^{s}+\ell\right)=\phi_{\xi^{\prime}} a_{1}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{1} s+\ell{ }_{1}\right) \phi_{\xi} A_{2}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{2} s+\ell{ }_{2}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left.=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{1} s+\ell\right)_{1}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\xi^{\prime} a_{1}\right)\right\} \phi_{\xi^{\prime} A_{2}}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{2} s+\ell \ell_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.10), (2.9) carries over into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{y_{j}, x_{j}}\left(s_{1} A \ell\right)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(s, \ell^{\prime}\right) C\left(s, \ell^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\} \phi_{\xi^{\prime} A_{2}}\left(\bar{\beta}_{2} s+\ell_{2}\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma^{2} & 0  \tag{2.12}\\
0 & A^{\prime} \Omega A
\end{array}\right]+\operatorname{Var}\left(\xi^{\prime} a_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\beta}_{1}^{2} & e_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{\beta}_{1} \\
e_{1} \tilde{\beta}_{1} & e_{1} e_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The $\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)+2$ nonzero elements of $C$ are functions of $\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)+3$ parameters in $\sigma^{2}, \Omega, \hat{\beta}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\xi^{\prime} a_{1}\right)$, whereas the function $\phi_{\xi^{\prime} A_{2}}\left(\hat{\beta}_{2} s+\ell_{2}\right)$ is not affected by these parameters. Clearly, different parameter values generate the same distribution of $y_{j}, x_{j}$. The existence of a nonsingular transformation such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$ is distributed normally and independently of $\xi_{j}^{\prime} A_{2}$ thus implies nonidentifiability of $\beta$.

## 3. Discussion

Compared to Kapteyn and Wansbeek's proposition, the sufficiency part of the proposition proved here is stronger. Nonidentifiability does not only imply the existence of a normally distributed linear combination $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$, but also the existence of $A_{2}$ such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$ and $\xi_{j}^{\prime} A_{2}$ are mutually independent. Consequently, the necessity part of their proposition must be wrong, because they fail to invoke the existence of a matrix $A_{2}$ such that $\xi_{j}^{\prime} a_{1}$ and $\xi_{j}^{\prime} A_{2}$ are mutually independent.

As an example, consider the model with two regressors $\xi_{j 1}$ and $\xi_{j 2}$, the first of which is normally distributed, $\xi_{j 1}-N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, and the second is a function of the first $\xi_{j 2}=\xi_{j 1}^{2}-\sigma^{2}$. According to Kapteyn and Wansbeek this model would not be identified since $\xi_{j l}$ is normally distributed. However, this point of view would be too pessimistic. Clearly there is no nonsingular transformation ( $a_{1}, a_{2}$ ) such that
 is identified.
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