

Tilburg University

A quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi-process for diagonal dominant matrices with nondistinct eigenvalues

Paardekooper, M.H.C.

Publication date:
1988

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Paardekooper, M. H. C. (1988). *A quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi-process for diagonal dominant matrices with nondistinct eigenvalues*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 364). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM
R



UNIVERSITEIT
BRABANT

7626
1988
364

POSTBOX 90153
5000 LE TILBURG
THE NETHERLANDS



* C I N O 1 3 6 6 *



7626

1988

nr. 364

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A QUADRATICALLY CONVERGENT PARALLEL
JACOBI-PROCESS FOR DIAGONAL DOMINANT
MATRICES WITH NONDISTINCT EIGENVALUES

M.H.C. Paardekooper

FEW 364

R83

512 8
518.315



A QUADRATICALLY CONVERGENT PARALLEL JACOBI-PROCESS FOR
DIAGONAL DOMINANT MATRICES WITH NONDISTINCT EIGENVALUES

M.H.C. Paardekooper

Department of Economics
Tilburg University
5000 LE Tilburg

This research is part of the VF-program "Parallele Algoritmiëk", THD - WI
- 08185 - 25, which has been approved by the Netherlands Ministry of
Education and Sciences.

A QUADRATICALLY CONVERGENT PARALLEL JACOBI PROCESS FOR
DIAGONAL DOMINANT MATRICES WITH NONDISTINCT EIGENVALUES

ABSTRACT

This article presents a new Jacobi-like eigenvalue algorithm for nonhermitian almost diagonal $n \times n$ matrices. In each step $n/2$ submatrices of order 2 are diagonalized. The precautions for the multiple eigenvalues are based on theorems of Fan and Hoffman (1954) and Wilkinson (1961). The proof of the quadratic convergence generalizes our previous result for distinct eigenvalues. The convergence theorem is pessimistic concerning the region of attraction to a diagonal as is shown in examples. The local information structure makes the process ready to parallelization on a hypercube or a systolic array.

Keywords: multiple eigenvalues, diagonal dominance, nonhermitean matrices, quadratic convergence, Jacobi method, parallel algorithm.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the wellknown Jacobi algorithm [7] for the diagonalization of a real *symmetric* matrix each of the successive *orthogonal* similarity transformations is designed to annihilate a symmetric pair of off-diagonal elements. The ultimately quadratic convergence of this algorithm has been investigated by several authors [8,9,15,16].

This report deals with a similar parallel computational method for eigenvalues of a diagonalizable nonhermitean matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Our Jacobi-like method is asymptotically quadratic convergent. It is assumed that A is almost diagonal. In [12] a parallel algorithm was developed for solving eigenvalues of almost diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues. The extension of that method in this article does not exclude multiple eigenvalues. We assume $n \geq 4$, and in order to avoid inessential difficulties in the description of the algorithm n to be even. Matrix A is the first element $A^{(0)}$ of a recursively constructed sequence $\{A^{(k)}\}$:

$$A^{(k+1)} = S_k^{-1} A^{(k)} S_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Each S_k is a direct sum of 2×2 unimodular matrices

$$T_{i,k} = \begin{array}{cc} \left[\begin{array}{cc} p_{i,k} & q_{i,k} \\ r_{i,k} & s_{i,k} \end{array} \right] & \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \ell(i,k) \\ \leftarrow m(i,k) \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cc} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \ell(i,k) & m(i,k) \end{array} & \end{array}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n/2. \quad (1.2)$$

Every shear $T_{i,k}$ annihilates the symmetrically placed pair $(a_{\ell(i,k),m(i,k)}, a_{m(i,k),\ell(i,k)})$ or, with $T_{i,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, let them unchanged. If $a_{\ell(i,k),\ell(i,k)}$ and $a_{m(i,k),m(i,k)}$ are affiliated to the same eigenvalue then the annihilation is skipped. In (1.12) is the condition for the choice between the annihilator and the identity. Generally the transformation matrix S_k is not unitary. Consequently monotonic decrease of the Frobenius norms of the non-diagonal parts of $A^{(k)}$ can not be guaran-

teed despite the annihilation of elements. In [13] the same lack of monotonicity caused genuine difficulties in the proof of the quadratic convergence of the Eberlein algorithm [4,10] for the algebraic eigenproblem.

In each *step* (1.1) of the algorithm the $n/2$ ordered pivot pairs $(\ell(i,k), m(i,k))$, $i = 1, \dots, n/2$ are such that $1 \leq \ell(i,k) < m(i,k) \leq n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(\ell(i,k), m(i,k)) \mid i = 1, \dots, n/2, k = 0, \dots, n-2\} = \\ & = \{(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} . \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Hence in any *sweep* of $n-1$ steps each index pair (i,j) occurs once and only once as a pivot pair.

For the definition of an adequate concept of almost diagonality and also for the detailed description of process (1.1) we introduce some notation. Let be $D^{(k)}$ and $E^{(k)}$ the diagonal and nondiagonal part of $A^{(k)}$ respectively. We set

$$\epsilon_k = \|E^{(k)}\|_{\infty} , \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\eta = \min\{|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \mid \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j\} , \quad (1.5)$$

where λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are the eigenvalues of A . Further

$$\tau_k = \epsilon_k / \eta . \quad (1.6)$$

DEFINITION 1.1. Matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is *diagonal dominant* with respect to *separation* η of its spectrum if $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \leq c\eta$ for some $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. \square

We assume $A^{(0)}$ to be diagonal dominant relatively η , namely

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \leq \eta/10 \quad (1.7)$$

For the formulation of the algorithm we make use of a theorem of Fan and Hoffman.

THEOREM 1.2. [6] If λ is a t -fold eigenvalue of A then the inequality

$$|a_{i,i} - \lambda| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{i,j}| \quad (1.8)$$

holds for at least t indices i . \square

As a consequence of assumption (1.7) we get that for a t -fold eigenvalue λ inequality (1.8) holds for exactly t indices i . Hence if $a_{\ell,\ell}$ and $a_{m,m}$ are affiliated with the same eigenvalue λ then $|a_{\ell,\ell} - a_{m,m}| \leq 2\epsilon_0$ otherwise $|a_{\ell,\ell} - a_{m,m}| \geq \eta - 2\epsilon_0$.

DEFINITION 1.3. The set of index pairs

$$J_k := \{(\ell(i,k), m(i,k)) \mid i = 1, \dots, n/2, \\ |a_{\ell(i,k), \ell(i,k)}^{(k)} - a_{m(i,k), m(i,k)}^{(k)}| \leq 2\epsilon_k\}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (1.9)$$

is called the k -th set of *forbidden* pivot pairs. \square

By means of J_k we define $\tilde{E}^{(k)}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{e}_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} a_{i,j}^{(k)} & , (i,j) \in J_k \text{ or } (j,i) \in J_k \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise } . \end{cases}, \quad k \geq 0 \quad (1.10)$$

For the elements $\hat{e}_{i,j}^{(k)}$ of $\hat{E}^{(k)}$ holds

$$\hat{e}_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} e_{i,j}^{(k)} & , |a_{i,i}^{(k)} - a_{j,j}^{(k)}| \leq 2\epsilon_k \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise } . \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

In our convergence analysis we use Wilkinson's estimate for $|\hat{E}^{(0)}|_{\infty}$, namely

THEOREM 1.4 [17]. Let be $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}^{(0)}$ and $\hat{E} = \hat{E}^{(0)}$ as defined in (1.10) and (1.11) resp. Then

$$\|\tilde{E}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\hat{E}\|_{\infty} \leq (1-2\epsilon/\eta)^{-1} \epsilon^2/\eta . \quad \square$$

In order to control and bound the growth of ϵ_k , $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, it is advisable to avoid the annihilation of the nondiagonal elements corresponding with the forbidden pivot pairs. So we arrive at a *threshold* strategy for the Jacobi process $A^{(k+1)} = S_k^{-1} A^{(k)} S_k^{(k)}$, $k \geq 0$. The shears $T_{i,k}$, $i = 1, \dots, n/2$ in the transformation matrix S_k are defined in the following way

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_{i,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, (\ell(i,k), m(i,k)) \in J_k \\ T_{i,k} \text{ annihilates } a_{\ell(i,k), m(i,k)} \text{ and } a_{m(i,k), \ell(i,k)}, (\ell(i,k), m(i,k)) \notin J_k . \end{array} \right. \quad (1.12)$$

As in [12] an annihilating shear $T_{i,k} = T = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$, with $ps - qr = 1$ and such that

$$T^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \mu \\ \sigma & \beta \end{bmatrix} T = \begin{bmatrix} s & -q \\ -r & p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \mu \\ \sigma & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha' & 0 \\ 0 & \beta' \end{bmatrix} ,$$

is chosen to be

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2F} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \frac{\sigma\sqrt{2}}{\nu(F+F^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2F} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \frac{-\mu\sqrt{2}}{\nu(F+F^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2F} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} . \quad (1.13)$$

In (1.13) we used the notations:

$$\nu = \alpha - \beta , \quad F := (1+4\sigma\mu\nu^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(F) > 0 . \quad (1.14)$$

Without loss of generality we assume the pivots to be generated by the *caterpillar* permutation P [3], defined by

$$P(i) = \begin{cases} i+2, & i \leq n-2 \text{ and even,} \\ i-2, & 5 \leq i \text{ and odd} \\ i-1, & i = 3, n \\ i, & i = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

The pivot strategy is given by

$$\{(\mathcal{L}(i,k), m(i,k)) \mid i = 1, \dots, n/2\} = \\ \{(P^k(2i-1), P^k(2i)) \mid i = 1, \dots, n/2\}, k \geq 0. \quad (1.16)$$

Remark that $P^{n-1} = \text{id}$ and that (1.3) has been satisfied. In each step $n/2$ shears are active in a parallel way. Other parallel orderings effectuate the same convergence results as those given below.

The main result of this article is the quadratic convergence property

$$\text{if } \epsilon_0 \leq \eta/(10n) \quad \text{then} \quad \epsilon_{n-1} \leq \left[4n + \frac{9}{2}\right] \epsilon_0^2/\eta. \quad (1.17)$$

The proof of (1.17) requires a precise analysis of the annihilation. In section 2 we investigate the first step: $A^{(1)} = S_0^{-1}A^{(0)}S_0$. Section 3 analyses the first sweep of $n-1$ steps that terminates with $A^{(n-1)} = S_{n-2}^{-1}A^{(n-2)}S_{n-2}$. There we prove (1.17). Numerical results and their discussion are presented in section 4. In section 5 are concluding remarks.

2. THE FIRST STEP

In this section we investigate the first step $A^{(1)} = S_0^{-1}A^{(0)}S_0$. Basic estimates will be derived for the analysis of the first sweep. The affiliation of the eigenvalues and diagonal elements remains unchanged in the first step, and also as a consequence of (1.7) we prove that $\epsilon_1 \leq (1 + \frac{46}{11} \tau_0) \epsilon_0$.

For shortness of notation we write

$$T_i = T_{i,0} = \begin{bmatrix} p_i & q_i \\ r_i & s_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i=1, \dots, n/2 \quad (2.1)$$

THEOREM 2.1. If $\epsilon_0 \leq \eta/10$ then

$$(i) \quad |p_i| \leq 1 + \frac{9}{11} \tau_0^2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$(ii) \quad |q_i|, |r_i| \leq \frac{9}{7} \tau_0, \quad i=1, \dots, n/2 \quad (2.3)$$

$$(iii) \quad \|T_i\|_\infty \leq 1 + \frac{26}{19} \tau_0. \quad (2.4)$$

PROOF. The nontrivial case concerns the submatrix with $|v_i| = |a_{2i-1, 2i-1} - a_{2i, 2i}| \geq \eta - 2 \epsilon_0$, for otherwise $|v_i| \leq 2 \epsilon_0$ and $p_i = s_i = 1$, $q_i = r_i = 0$. For reasons of simplicity we omit the index i . We use the formulae (1.13) and (1.14).

$$(i) \quad |p| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 4\epsilon^2 |v|^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 4\tau^2 / (1 - 2\tau)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 + \frac{9}{11} \tau^2, \quad \text{for } \tau = \epsilon/\eta \leq 1/10.$$

$$(ii) \quad |q| \leq |\mu v^{-1} (F + F^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}| \sqrt{2} \leq \tau (1 - 2\tau)^{-1} |F + F^2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2}.$$

Since $|F|^2 \geq 1 - 4\tau^2 (1 - 2\tau)^{-2}$ we find

$$|q|, |r| \leq \tau (1 - 2\tau)^{-1} (1 - 4\tau^2 (1 - 2\tau)^{-2} + (1 - 4\tau^2 (1 - 2\tau)^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2}.$$

With simple but tedious calculations we derive from $\tau \leq 1/10$: $|q| \leq \frac{9}{7} \tau$. The same estimate holds for $r = \sigma v^{-1} (F + F^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{2}$.

$$(iii) \quad \|T\|_\infty = \max \{ |p| + |q|, |r| + |s| \} \leq 1 + \frac{9}{11} \tau^2 + \frac{9}{7} \tau \leq 1 + \frac{26}{19} \tau \quad \text{as follows from (2.2) and (2.3).} \quad \square$$

With (2.4) we find

THEOREM 2.2. If $\epsilon_0 \leq \eta/10$ then

$$\epsilon_1 \leq \left(1 + \frac{46}{11} \tau_0\right) \epsilon_0. \quad (2.5)$$

PROOF. Consider the partitioned matrix $\hat{A} = (\hat{A}_{i,j})$ with 2×2 blocks $\hat{A}_{i,j}$ defined by

$$\hat{A}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} A_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{2i-1,2j-1} & a_{2i-1,2j} \\ a_{2i,2j-1} & a_{2i,2j} \end{bmatrix}, & i \neq j \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & i = j. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Now $S_0^{-1} \hat{A} S_0 = (T_i^{-1} \hat{A}_{i,j} T_j)$. Since, see (1.11)

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n/2} \max\{|a_{2i-1,2i}|, |a_{2i,2i-1}|\} \leq \beta = (1-2\tau_0)^{-1} \tau_0 \epsilon_0,$$

we have with (2.4) and $\tau_0 \leq 1/10$

$$\epsilon_1 \leq \|S_0^{-1} \hat{A} S_0\|_\infty + \beta \leq \left(1 + \frac{26}{19} \tau_0\right)^2 \epsilon_0 + (1-2\tau_0)^{-1} \tau_0 \epsilon_0 \leq \left(1 + \frac{46}{11} \tau_0\right) \epsilon_0. \quad \square$$

Finally we show that in the first step no diagonal element changes its affiliated eigenvalue.

THEOREM 2.3. If $\epsilon_0 \leq \eta/10$ then the application of the diagonal elements to the eigenvalues remains unchanged in the first step.

PROOF. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{2i-1,2i-1}^{(1)} - a_{2i-1,2i-1}| &= |2\mu\sigma\nu^{-1}(1+F)^{-1}| \\ &\leq 2\tau^2(1-2\tau)^{-2}(1+(1-4\tau^2(1-2\tau)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} |\nu| \leq |\nu|/62, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

since $\tau \leq 1/10$. Now assume $a_{2i-1,2i-1}$ and $a_{2i,2i}$ are affiliated with distinct eigenvalues λ_{2i-1} and λ_{2i} resp., $|\lambda_{2i}-\lambda_{2i-1}| \geq \eta$ and that $a_{2i-1,2i}^{(1)}$ and $a_{2i,2i}^{(1)}$ are affiliated to λ_{2i} and λ_{2i-1} resp. Then $|a_{2i-1,2i-1}^{(1)} - \lambda_{2i-1}| \leq \epsilon_0$ and $|a_{2i-1,2i-1}^{(1)} - \lambda_{2i}| \leq \frac{156}{110} \epsilon_0$ as follows from (2.5). By (2.7)

$$|a_{2i-1,2i-1}^{(1)} - a_{2i-1,2i-1}| \leq |\nu|/62 \leq (|\lambda_{2i-1} - \lambda_{2i}| + 2\epsilon_0)/62. \quad (2.8)$$

The change of affiliation implies

$$|a_{2i-1,2i-1}^{(1)} - a_{2i-1,2i-1}| \geq |\lambda_{2i-1} - \lambda_{2i-1}| - \frac{156}{110} \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_0 \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.8) and (2.9) $|\lambda_{2i-1} - \lambda_{2i}| \leq \frac{5}{2} \epsilon_0$. This contradicts (1.7). \square

3. THE EFFECT OF A COMPLETE SWEEP

For the estimation of $\epsilon_k = \|E^{(k)}\|_\infty$, $k=0,1,\dots, n-1$, we make use of theorem 2.2: if $\epsilon_k \leq \eta/10$ then

$$\epsilon_{k+1} \leq (1 + \frac{46}{11} \tau_k) \epsilon_k . \quad (3.1)$$

Firstly we prove that a sufficient small ϵ_0 guarantees such a slow growth of ϵ_k that $\epsilon_k \leq \eta/10$ for $k=0,\dots, n-1$.

THEOREM 3.1. If $\epsilon_0 \leq (10n)^{-1} \eta$ then holds for $k=0,\dots, n-1$

$$\epsilon_k \leq (n-k)^{-1} \eta/10 \quad (3.2)$$

PROOF. (3.2) can be proved by induction with (3.1). □

As in [12] the transform $A^{(k+1)}$ will be considered to be obtained from $A^{(k)}$ in two stages. Let be $H^{(k)}$ the diagonal part of S_k and thus also of S_k^{-1} . Then

$$\begin{cases} A^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} = S_k^{-1} A^{(k)} = H^{(k)} A^{(k)} + B^{(k)} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} A^{(k+1)} = A^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} S_k = A^{(k+\frac{1}{2})} H^{(k)} + C^{(k)} = H^{(k)} A^{(k)} H^{(k)} + G^{(k)} , \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$G^{(k)} = B^{(k)} H^{(k)} + C^{(k)} . \quad (3.5)$$

With (1.2) the elements of $B^{(k)}$ and $C^{(k)}$ can be written als follows

$$\begin{cases} b_{l(i,k),j}^{(k)} = -q_{i,k} a_{m(i,k),j}^{(k)}, b_{m(i,k),j}^{(k)} = -r_{i,k} a_{l(i,k),j}^{(k)}, i=1,\dots,n/2, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{j,l(i,k)}^{(k)} = r_{i,k} a_{j,m(i,k)}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})}, c_{j,m(i,k)}^{(k)} = q_{i,k} a_{j,l(i,k)}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})}, j = 1,\dots,n. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

A tardy growth of $H^{(k)} A^{(k)} H^{(k)}$ is guaranteerd by

THEOREM 3.2. If $\epsilon_0 \leq (10n)^{-1} \eta$ then

$$\prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \|H^{(k)}\|_2^2 \leq 16/15 \quad (3.8)$$

PROOF. By (2.2) and (3.2) $\|H^{(k)}\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \frac{9}{11} \tau_k^2)^2 \leq 1 + \frac{5}{3} \tau_k^2 \leq 1 + (n-k)^{-2}/60$.
 So $\prod_{k=2}^{n-2} \|H^{(k)}\|_2^2 \leq \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \frac{1}{60} k^{-2}) \leq \frac{93}{92}$ for $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-2} = \pi^2/6 - 1$ and
 $\exp((\pi^2/6 - 1)/60) \leq 93/92$. \square

Now we consider the genealogy of $a_{i,j}^{(n-1)}$, $i \neq j$ especially its history after the occurrence of (i,j) as a pivot pair, say its happen in steps $N(i,j) - 1 = N - 1$.

If $(i,j) \notin J_{N-1}$ then $a_{i,j}^{(N)} = 0$, $a_{i,j}^{(N+1)} = g_{i,j}^{(N)}$ and

$$a_{i,j}^{(k+1)} = h_{i,i}^{(k)} a_{i,j}^{(k)} h_{j,j}^{(k)} + g_{i,j}^{(k)}, \quad k = N+1, \dots, n-2. \quad (3.9)$$

As a consequence of (3.8) we find

$$|a_{i,j}^{(n-1)}| \leq \frac{93}{92} \sum_{k=N}^{n-2} |g_{i,j}^{(k)}| \leq \frac{93}{92} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq N-1}}^{n-2} |g_{i,j}^{(k)}|, \quad (i,j) \notin J_{N-1} \quad (3.10)$$

To the contrary, if $(i,j) \in J_{N-1}$ then $g_{i,j}^{(N-1)} = 0$. With recursion (3.9), now from $k=0$ until $k=n-2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{i,j}^{(n-1)}| &\leq |a_{i,j}^{(0)}| \prod_{m=0}^{n-2} \|H^{(m)}\|_2^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} |g_{i,j}^{(k)}| \prod_{m=k+1}^{n-2} \|H^{(m)}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{93}{92} |a_{i,j}^{(0)}| + \frac{93}{92} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq N-1}}^{n-2} |g_{i,j}^{(k)}|, \quad (i,j) \in J_{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

For the investigation of $\epsilon_{n-1} = \|E^{(n-1)}\|_{\infty}$ we introduce, compare (2.6)

DEFINITION 3.3. $\hat{G}^{(k)} = (\hat{g}_{i,j}^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 2}$, $k=0, \dots, n-2$, is generated from the matrix $G^{(k)}$ in (3.5) by

$$\hat{G}_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad i=j \text{ or } \{i,j\} \in \{\{1(i,k),m(i,k)\} | i=1,\dots,n/2\} \\ g_{i,j}^{(k)} & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \square$$

With definition (3.3) and the notation described in (1.11) the inequalities (3.10) and (3.11) can be written in matricial form

$$|E^{(n-1)}| \leq \frac{93}{92} |\hat{E}^{(0)}| + \frac{93}{92} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} |\hat{G}^{(k)}| .$$

Hence by theorem 1.4

$$\epsilon_{n-1} \leq \frac{93}{92} \epsilon_0^2 / (\eta - 2\epsilon_0) + \frac{93}{92} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} . \quad (3.12)$$

THEOREM 3.4. If $\epsilon_0 \leq (10n)^{-1} \eta$ then

$$\|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{18}{7} (1+3(n-k)^{-1}/40) \epsilon_k^2 / \eta . \quad (3.13)$$

PROOF. We write $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \mu \\ \sigma & \beta \end{bmatrix}$ for the 2×2 block $A_{i,j}^{(k)}$ of $A^{(k)}$ corresponding with the pivot pairs $(1(i,k),m(i,k))$ and $(1(j,k),m(j,k))$ with $i \neq j$. Then

$$A_{i,j}^{(k+1)} = T_{i,k}^{-1} A_{i,j}^{(k)} T_{j,k} = \begin{bmatrix} s_i & -q_i \\ -r_i & p_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \mu \\ \sigma & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_j & q_j \\ r_j & s_j \end{bmatrix} = p_i p_j A_{i,j}^{(k)} + \hat{G}_{i,j}^{(k)}$$

where

$$\hat{G}_{i,j}^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} p_i r_j \mu - q_i p_j \sigma - q_i r_j \beta & p_i q_j \alpha - q_i p_j \beta - q_i q_j \sigma & \leftarrow 1(i,k) \\ p_i r_j \beta - r_i p_j \alpha - r_i r_j \mu & p_i q_i \sigma - r_i p_j \mu - r_i q_j \alpha & \leftarrow m(i,k) \end{bmatrix}$$

\uparrow
 $1(j,k)$

\uparrow
 $m(j,k)$

By (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{G}_{i,j}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{9}{7} \tau_k (2(1+\frac{9}{11} \tau_k^2) + \frac{9}{7} \tau_k) \|A_{i,j}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{18}{7} \tau_k (1+\frac{3}{4} \tau_k) \|A_{i,j}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{18}{7} \tau_k (1+3(n-k)^{-1}/40) \|A_{i,j}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} . \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau_k \leq (n-k)/10$.

Hence $\|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{18}{7} (1+3(n-k)^{-1}/40) \epsilon_k^2/\eta$. This proves (3.13). \square

THEOREM 3.5. If $\epsilon_0 \leq (10n)^{-1}\eta$ then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{18}{7} \left(\frac{20}{13}n + \frac{21}{16}\right) , \quad n \geq 4 . \quad (3.14)$$

PROOF. By (3.1) and (3.2) $\epsilon_{k+1} \leq (1+\frac{46}{11} (n-k)^{-1}/10) \epsilon_k$. Hence

$$\epsilon_k \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1+\frac{23}{55}(n-j)^{-1}) \epsilon_0 .$$

Together with theorem 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{18}{7} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (1+\frac{3}{40}(n-k)^{-1}) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1+\frac{23}{55}(n-j)^{-1})^2 \epsilon_0^2/\eta \\ &= \frac{18}{7} t_n \epsilon_0^2/\eta , \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (1+\frac{3}{40}(n-k)^{-1}) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1+\frac{23}{55}(n-j)^{-1})^2 .$$

It is easy to see that t_n satisfies the recurrence relation

$$t_{n+1} = 1+3(n+1)^{-1}/40 + (1+23(n+1)^{-1}/55)^2 t_n . \text{ It is simple to verify that } t_n \leq \frac{20}{13} n + \frac{21}{16} . \text{ Hence}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \|\hat{G}^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{18}{7} \left(\frac{20}{13}n + \frac{21}{16}\right) \epsilon_0^2/\eta . \quad \square$$

By (3.12) we obtain the final estimate of ϵ_{n-1} in

THEOREM 3.6. If $\epsilon_0 \leq (10n)^{-1}\eta$ then

$$\epsilon_{n-1} \leq (4n + \frac{9}{2}) \epsilon_0^2 / \eta < \epsilon_0 . \quad (3.15)$$

PROOF. Direct consequence of (3.12) and (3.14). □

4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

As an illustration of the procedure experiments were carried out with a 6×6 complex test matrix [4,13] given in table 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90+96i & 3+4i & 21+22i & 23+24i & 41+42i & -89-94i \\ 182+188i & 13+14i & 15+16i & 33+34i & 35+36i & -139-144i \\ 114+120i & 7+8i & 25+26i & 27+28i & 45+46i & -109-114i \\ 206+212i & 17+18i & 19+20i & 37+38i & 39+40i & -159-164i \\ 138+144i & 11+12i & 29+30i & 31+32i & 49+50i & -129-134i \\ 90+96i & 3+4i & 21+22i & 23+24i & 41+42i & -89-90i \end{bmatrix}$$

Table 1. Matrix A

Matrix A is diagonalizable and has a threefold eigenvalue zero. A's departure of normality $(\|A\|_F^2 - \sum |\lambda_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ equals 669.89. The initial matrix $A_0 = A$ doesn't satisfy the convergence conditions since $\epsilon_0 \approx 632.23$ and $\eta \approx 11.937$. The computations were performed on a VAX 8700 computer which has an arithmetic precision of approximately 16 decimals. Contrary to rule (1.12) in our implementation the annihilation of the elements $a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ and $a_{j,i}^{(k)}$ is skipped when

$$\left| a_{i,j}^{(k)} \right| + \left| a_{j,i}^{(k)} \right| \leq \epsilon_k / (10n^2). \quad (4.1)$$

After k steps the computed approximation $\tilde{A}^{(k)}$ of the exact iterate $A^{(k)}$ equals

$$\tilde{A}^{(k)} = A^{(k)} + F^{(k)} = D^{(k)} + E^{(k)} + F_D^{(k)} + F_E^{(k)} = \tilde{D}^{(k)} + \tilde{E}^{(k)}, \quad k > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Due to the finite precision $\tilde{A}^{(k)}$ has been afflicted with error $F^{(k)} = F_D^{(k)} + F_E^{(k)}$ where $F_D^{(k)}$ is the diagonal part of $F^{(k)}$. $\tilde{\epsilon}_{18} = \|\tilde{E}^{(18)}\|_{\infty}$, being 0.1871 is the first $\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ that satisfies $\tilde{\epsilon}_k \leq \eta / (10n)$. In table 2 we give the results. $\tilde{\epsilon}_k$ denotes $\|\tilde{E}^{(k)}\|_{\infty}$, δ^k the ∞ -norm of the nondiagonal part of the 3×3 matrix $\hat{E}^{(k)}$ associated with the threefold eigenvalue zero, and $\tilde{\sigma}_k = \tilde{\epsilon}_k^2 / \eta$, $k \geq 12$.

	$\tilde{\epsilon}_k$	$\tilde{\zeta}_k$	$\tilde{\rho}_k$	k	$\tilde{\epsilon}_k$	$\tilde{\zeta}_k$	$\tilde{\rho}_k$
0	$6.322_{10}+2$			20	$3.641_{10}-2$	$1.221_{10}-11$	$1.111_{10}-4$
5	$1.974_{10}+2$			21	$9.595_{10}-4$	$3.981_{10}-13$	$7.711_{10}-8$
10	$1.491_{10}+2$			22	$1.453_{10}-5$	$1.029_{10}-13$	$1.7686_{10}-11$
11	$3.954_{10}+1$			23	$1.837_{10}-6$	$1.922_{10}-14$	$2.826_{10}-13$
12	$3.773_{10}+1$	$4.616_{10}-1$	$1.192_{10}+2$	24	$1.031_{10}-9$	$1.922_{10}-14$	$8.905_{10}-20$
13	$3.749_{10}+1$	$2.242_{10}-1$	$1.178_{10}+2$	25	$6.807_{10}-10$	$1.922_{10}-14$	$3.821_{10}-20$
14	$2.013_{10}+1$	$1.122_{10}-2$	$3.396_{10}+1$	26	$1.922_{10}-14$	$1.922_{10}-14$	$3.096_{10}-29$
15	$1.898_{10}+1$	$7.264_{10}-3$	$3.019_{10}+1$	30	$1.219_{10}-14$	$1.722_{10}-14$	$1.245_{10}-29$
16	1.253	$3.308_{10}-3$	$1.315_{10}-1$	35	$4.485_{10}-16$	$8.041_{10}-15$	$1.685_{10}-32$
17	1.177	$1.123_{10}-3$	$1.159_{10}-1$	40	$4.093_{10}-21$	$1.826_{10}-21$	$1.404_{10}-42$
18	$1.871_{10}-1$	$7.727_{10}-7$	$2.932_{10}-3$	45	$1.453_{10}-25$	$6.203_{10}-26$	$1.769_{10}-51$
19	$4.021_{10}-2$	$4.226_{10}-8$	$1.354_{10}-4$	50	$1.332_{10}-33$	0	$1.487_{10}-67$
				53	0	0	0

Table 2. $\tilde{\epsilon}_k$, $\tilde{\zeta}_k$ and $\tilde{\rho}_k$ derived from $\tilde{A}^{(k)}$

127.386	670	773	067	7	+	i	132.278	203	200	121	7
7.073	313	248	823	7	-	i	9.558	389	037	045	5
-9.459	984	021	891	4	+	i	7.280	185	836	923	8
0.000	000	000	000	0	-	i	0.000	000	000	000	2
0.000	000	000	000	7	-	i	0.000	000	000	000	8
0.000	000	000	000	8	+	i	0.000	000	000	000	5

Table 3. The computed eigenvalues of A

By roundoff generally $\tilde{A}^{(k)}$, $k > 0$ has three pathologically close eigenvalues instead of the threefold zero of $A^{(k)}$. When the nondiagonal elements of $A^{(k)}$ start to be small, the nondiagonal elements of $A^{(k)}$ associated with the threefold eigenvalue are overruled by the corresponding elements of $F^{(k)}$. The results for $k = 24$ elucidate this phenomenon. The bulk of $\tilde{\epsilon}_{24} = 1.031_{10}^{-9}$ is built up by the nondiagonal elements of $A^{(24)}$ associated with the really *different* eigenvalues. So we can conclude that the nondiagonal elements of $F^{(24)}$ associated with the *equal* eigenvalues cause ζ_{24} to be 1.922_{10}^{-14} ; the contribution $\tilde{\rho}_{24} = 8.905_{10}^{-20}$ of $E^{(24)}$ of $A^{(24)}$ to that quantity is neglectable.

1.274_{10}^{+2}	2.099_{10}^{-11}	0	3.247_{10}^{-11}	1.522_{10}^{-21}	2.118_{10}^{-21}
1.323_{10}^{+2}					
1.144_{10}^{-10}	-9.460	9.252_{10}^{-18}	2.451_{10}^{-28}	0	1.947_{10}^{-17}
	7.280				
0	1.746_{10}^{-18}	7.533_{10}^{-15}	4.834_{10}^{-11}	8.133_{10}^{-15}	2.946_{10}^{-15}
		6.410_{10}^{-15}			
6.807_{10}^{-10}	4.647_{10}^{-28}	3.504_{10}^{-10}	7.073	2.214_{10}^{-17}	0
			-9.558		
7.124_{10}^{-10}	0	2.588_{10}^{-15}	4.839_{10}^{-15}	8.544_{10}^{-15}	2.309_{10}^{-15}
				-1.137_{10}^{-15}	
7.751_{10}^{-21}	5.327_{10}^{-18}	3.252_{10}^{-15}	0	1.597_{10}^{-14}	-8.800_{10}^{-16}
					-2.144_{10}^{-16}

Table 4. $\tilde{A}^{(24)}$; $\tilde{\epsilon}_{24} = 1.031_{10}^{-9}$; $\zeta_{24} = 1.922_{10}^{-14}$; $\tilde{\epsilon}_{24}^2/\eta = 8.905_{10}^{-20}$.

In the following iterations the small separation of the pathologically close eigenvalues slows down the speed of convergence. The alteration in the diagonal elements of $\tilde{A}^{(k)}$ essentially descend from the roundoff in

$F^{(k)}$ when $\tilde{\epsilon}_k = \|\tilde{E}^{(k)}\|_\infty$ is of order 10^{-16} . This train of thinking leads to the criterion: iterate until

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_k \leq \|\tilde{A}^{(k)}\|_\infty * 10^{-16} . \quad (4.3)$$

The method has been tested on many testcases. The numerical are very satisfactory accurate. The errors in the computed eigenvalues are in conformity with the precision of the floating point arithmetic and the condition numbers of the individual eigenvalues.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of the annihilating procedure shares features from [9] and [13]. As in [13] the difficulties in the understanding of the process comes from the non-unitary similarity transformations.

In our process the numerical results are surprising accurate despite the non-unitary transformations. The parallel annihilations effectuate a norm-reduction and so, as a welcome side-effect, the condition of the eigenvalue problem improves [5]. This may declare that the accuracy of the computed eigenvalues is in accordance with the floating point precision and the condition of the initial eigenvalue problem.

Our convergence theorem demonstrates that in the final stage of Eberlein-like methods [4,10,13] the complex normreducing similarity transformations, based on global information are unnecessary. In [13] the claim

$$\left| a_{\ell(i,k),m(i,k)}^{(k+1)} \right|, \left| a_{m(i,k),\ell(i,k)}^{(k+1)} \right| = O(\epsilon_k^2)$$

is of apparent importance. The same reasoning occurs in our analysis concerning the diagonal elements associated with multiple roots. The last example illustrates that the annihilating method converges also for matrices far from diagonal dominancy. Evidently the process convergence for Hermitean and more general for normal matrices, since it generalizes the classical Jacobi methods for these matrices. In case the departure of normality of the original matrix is rather large a few parallel normreductions suffice to reach the region of convergence for the annihilating method.

The parallel annihilators can be implemented on a hypercube [1] or on a (systolic) array processor [2,3].

6. REFERENCES

1. Bischof, Christian, The Two-Sided Block Jacobi Method on a Hypercube, in Hypercube Multiprocessors (M. Heath, Ed.), SIAM 1987, 612-618.
2. Brent, R.P. and F.L. Luk, The Solution of Singular-value and Eigenvalue Problems on Multiprocessor Arrays, SIAM J. Sci.Stat. Comput., 6, 1985, pp. 69-84.
3. Brent, R.P., F.T. Luk and C.F. Van Loan: Computation of the Singular Value Decomposition using Mesh-Connected processors, J. V L S I and Computer Systems, 1, 1984, 242-270.
4. Eberlein, P.J., A Jacobi-like Method for the Automatic Computation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of an Arbitrary Matrix, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., 10, 1962, pp. 74-88.
5. Elsner, L. and M.H.C. Paardekooper, On Measures of Nonnormality of Matrices, Lin Algebra Appl., 92, 1987, 107-124.
6. Fan, K. and A.J. Hoffman, Lower Bounds for the Rank and Location of the Eigenvalues of a Matrix, Nat. Bur. Stand. Appl. Math. Series, 39, 1954, pp. 117-130.
7. Jacobi, C.G.J., Über ein leichtes Verfahren die in der Theorie der Seculär Störungen vorkommenden Gleichungen numerisch aufzulösen, Crelle's J., 30, 1846, pp. 51-96.
8. Kempen, H.P.M. van, On the Convergence of the Classical Jacobi Method for Real Symmetric Matrices with Nondistinct Eigenvalues, Num. Math., 9, 1966, pp. 11-18.
9. Kempen, H.P.M. van, On the Quadratic Convergence of the Serial Cyclic Jacobi Method, Num. Math., 9, 1966, 19-22.

10. Paardekooper, M.H.C., An Eigenvalue Algorithm Based on Normreducing Transformations, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Thesis, 1969.
11. Paardekooper, M.H.C., Sameh's Parallel eigenvalue algorithm revisited, in *Algorithms and Applications of Vector and Parallel Computers*, (H.J.J. te Riele, T.J. Dekker and H.A. van der Vorst, Eds.), Elseviers Science Publishers, 1987, pp.351-371.
12. Paardekooper, M.H.C., A quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi process for diagonal dominant matrices with distinct eigenvalues, 1989, *J. of Comp. App. Math.* (to be published).
13. Ruhe, A., On the Quadratic Convergence of a Generalization of the Jacobi Method for Arbitrary Matrices, *BIT*, 8, 1968, pp. 210-233.
14. Sameh, A.H., On Jacobi and Jacobi-like Algorithms for a Parallel Computer. *Math. Comp.*, 25, 1971, pp. 579-590.
15. Schönhage, A., On the Quadratic Convergence of the Jacobi Process, *Num. Math.*, 6, 1964, pp. 410-412.
16. Wilkinson, J.H., Note on the Quadratic Convergence of the Cyclic Jacobi Process, *Num. Math.*, 4, 1962, pp. 296-300.
17. Wilkinson, J.H., Almost Diagonal Matrices with Multiple or Close Eigenvalues, *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 1, 1968, pp. 1-12.

IN 1987 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 242 Gerard van den Berg
Nonstationarity in job search theory
- 243 Annie Cuyt, Brigitte Verdonk
Block-tridiagonal linear systems and branched continued fractions
- 244 J.C. de Vos, W. Vervaat
Local Times of Bernoulli Walk
- 245 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Rob Willemse
Some methodological issues in the implementation
of subjective poverty definitions
- 246 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel
Sampling for Quality Inspection and Correction: AOQL Performance
Criteria
- 247 D.B.J. Schouten
Algemene theorie van de internationale conjuncturele en structurele
afhankelijkheden
- 248 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, J.J. Seidel, E. Spence
On (v,k,λ) graphs and designs with trivial automorphism group
- 249 Peter M. Kort
The Influence of a Stochastic Environment on the Firm's Optimal Dyna-
mic Investment Policy
- 250 R.H.J.M. Gradus
Preliminary version
The reaction of the firm on governmental policy: a game-theoretical
approach
- 251 J.G. de Gooijer, R.M.J. Heuts
Higher order moments of bilinear time series processes with symmetri-
cally distributed errors
- 252 P.H. Stevers, P.A.M. Versteijne
Evaluatie van marketing-activiteiten
- 253 H.P.A. Mulders, A.J. van Reeken
DATAAL - een hulpmiddel voor onderhoud van gegevensverzamelingen
- 254 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn
On the identifiability of household production functions with joint
products: A comment
- 255 B. van Riel
Was er een profit-squeeze in de Nederlandse industrie?
- 256 R.P. Gilles
Economies with coalitional structures and core-like equilibrium con-
cepts

- 257 P.H.M. Ruys, G. van der Laan
Computation of an industrial equilibrium
- 258 W.H. Haemers, A.E. Brouwer
Association schemes
- 259 G.J.M. van den Boom
Some modifications and applications of Rubinstein's perfect equilibrium model of bargaining
- 260 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Competition, Risk Neutrality and Loan Commitments
- 261 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Collateral and Borrower Risk
- 262 A. Kapteyn, I. Woittiez
Preference Interdependence and Habit Formation in Family Labor Supply
- 263 B. Bettonvil
A formal description of discrete event dynamic systems including perturbation analysis
- 264 Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger
A monthly model for the monetary policy in the Netherlands
- 265 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies
- 266 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation
- 267 Aart de Zeeuw
Inflation and reputation: comment
- 268 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg
Difference games and policy evaluation: a conceptual framework
- 269 Frederick van der Ploeg
Rationing in open economy and dynamic macroeconomics: a survey
- 270 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman
Computing economic equilibria by variable dimension algorithms: state of the art
- 271 C.A.J.M. Dirven and A.J.J. Talman
A simplicial algorithm for finding equilibria in economies with linear production technologies
- 272 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of regression models with incompletely observed exogenous variables
- 273 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in arima - models

- 274 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
The net present value of governmental policy: a possible way to find the Stackelberg solutions
- 275 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
A DSS for production planning: a case study including simulation and optimization
- 276 A.M.H. Gerards
A short proof of Tutte's characterization of totally unimodular matrices
- 277 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg
Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy
- 278 Peter M. Kort
The net present value in dynamic models of the firm
- 279 Th. van de Klundert
A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-Discriminating Monopolists
- 280 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing
- 281 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Appendix: "Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing"
- 282 Arnoud Boot, Anjan V. Thakor and Gregory F. Udell
Credible commitments, contract enforcement problems and banks: intermediation as credibility assurance
- 283 Eduard Ponds
Wage bargaining and business cycles a Goodwin-Nash model
- 284 Prof.Dr. hab. Stefan Mynarski
The mechanism of restoring equilibrium and stability in polish market
- 285 P. Meulendijks
An exercise in welfare economics (II)
- 286 S. Jørgensen, P.M. Kort, G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel
Optimal investment, financing and dividends: a Stackelberg differential game
- 287 E. Nijssen, W. Reijnders
Privatisering en commercialisering; een oriëntatie ten aanzien van verzelfstandiging
- 288 C.B. Mulder
Inefficiency of automatically linking unemployment benefits to private sector wage rates

- 289 M.H.C. Paardekooper
A Quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi process for almost diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues
- 290 Pieter H.M. Ruys
Industries with private and public enterprises
- 291 J.J.A. Moors & J.C. van Houwelingen
Estimation of linear models with inequality restrictions
- 292 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Vakantiebestemming en -bestedingen
- 293 Rob Alessie, Raymond Gradus, Bertrand Melenberg
The problem of not observing small expenditures in a consumer expenditure survey
- 294 F. Boekema, L. Oerlemans, A.J. Hendriks
Kansrijkheid en economische potentie: Top-down en bottom-up analyses
- 295 Rob Alessie, Bertrand Melenberg, Guglielmo Weber
Consumption, Leisure and Earnings-Related Liquidity Constraints: A Note
- 296 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Estimation of the indirect translog demand system with binding non-negativity constraints

IN 1988 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 297 Bert Bettonvil
Factor screening by sequential bifurcation
- 298 Robert P. Gilles
On perfect competition in an economy with a coalitional structure
- 299 Willem Selen, Ruud M. Heuts
Capacitated Lot-Size Production Planning in Process Industry
- 300 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout
Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method
- 301 Bert Bettonvil, Jack P.C. Kleijnen
Measurement scales and resolution IV designs: a note
- 302 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek
Estimation of time dependent parameters in linear models
using cross sections, panels or both
- 303 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
A differential game between government and firms: a non-cooperative
approach
- 304 Leo W.G. Strijbosch, Ronald J.M.M. Does
Comparison of bias-reducing methods for estimating the parameter in
dilution series
- 305 Drs. W.J. Reijnders, Drs. W.F. Verstappen
Strategische bespiegelingen betreffende het Nederlandse kwaliteits-
concept
- 306 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, H. Timmermans and H. Van den Wildenberg
Regression sampling in statistical auditing
- 307 Isolde Woittiez, Arie Kapteyn
A Model of Job Choice, Labour Supply and Wages
- 308 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
Simulation and optimization in production planning: A case study
- 309 Robert P. Gilles and Pieter H.M. Ruys
Relational constraints in coalition formation
- 310 Drs. H. Leo Theuns
Determinanten van de vraag naar vakantiereizen: een verkenning van
materiële en immateriële factoren
- 311 Peter M. Kort
Dynamic Firm Behaviour within an Uncertain Environment
- 312 J.P.C. Blanc
A numerical approach to cyclic-service queueing models

- 313 Drs. N.J. de Beer, Drs. A.M. van Nunen, Drs. M.O. Nijkamp
Does Morkmon Matter?
- 314 Th. van de Klundert
Wage differentials and employment in a two-sector model with a dual
labour market
- 315 Aart de Zeeuw, Fons Groot, Cees Withagen
On Credible Optimal Tax Rate Policies
- 316 Christian B. Mulder
Wage moderating effects of corporatism
Decentralized versus centralized wage setting in a union, firm,
government context
- 317 Jörg Glombowski, Michael Krüger
A short-period Goodwin growth cycle
- 318 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek, Arthur van Soest
The optimal design of rotating panels in a simple analysis of
variance model
- 319 Drs. S.V. Hannema, Drs. P.A.M. Versteijne
De toepassing en toekomst van public private partnership's bij de
grote en middelgrote Nederlandse gemeenten
- 320 Th. van de Klundert
Wage Rigidity, Capital Accumulation and Unemployment in a Small Open
Economy
- 321 M.H.C. Paardekooper
An upper and a lower bound for the distance of a manifold to a nearby
point
- 322 Th. ten Raa, F. van der Ploeg
A statistical approach to the problem of negatives in input-output
analysis
- 323 P. Kooreman
Household Labor Force Participation as a Cooperative Game; an Empiri-
cal Model
- 324 A.B.T.M. van Schaik
Persistent Unemployment and Long Run Growth
- 325 Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans
De lokale produktiestructuur doorgelicht.
Bedrijfstakverkenningen ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onder-
zoek
- 326 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel
Sampling for quality inspection and correction: AOQL performance
criteria

- 327 Theo E. Nijman, Mark F.J. Steel
Exclusion restrictions in instrumental variables equations
- 328 B.B. van der Genugten
Estimation in linear regression under the presence of heteroskedasticity of a completely unknown form
- 329 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
The employment policy of government: to create jobs or to let them create?
- 330 Hans Kremers, Dolf Talman
Solving the nonlinear complementarity problem with lower and upper bounds
- 331 Antoon van den Elzen
Interpretation and generalization of the Lemke-Howson algorithm
- 332 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers, part II: Rao's approach
- 333 Jacek Osiewalski
Posterior and Predictive Densities for Nonlinear Regression. A Partly Linear Model Case
- 334 A.H. van den Elzen, A.J.J. Talman
A procedure for finding Nash equilibria in bi-matrix games
- 335 Arthur van Soest
Minimum wage rates and unemployment in The Netherlands
- 336 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman, Arie Kapteyn
Coherent specification of demand systems with corner solutions and endogenous regimes
- 337 Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans
De lokale produktiestructuur doorgelicht II. Bedrijfstakverkenningen ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onderzoek. De zeescheepsnieuw-
bouwindustrie
- 338 Gerard J. van den Berg
Search behaviour, transitions to nonparticipation and the duration of unemployment
- 339 W.J.H. Groenendaal and J.W.A. Vingerhoets
The new cocoa-agreement analysed
- 340 Drs. F.G. van den Heuvel, Drs. M.P.H. de Vor
Kwantificering van ombuigen en bezuinigen op collectieve uitgaven 1977-1990
- 341 Pieter J.F.G. Meulendijks
An exercise in welfare economics (III)

- 342 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts
A modified priority index for Günther's lot-sizing heuristic under capacitated single stage production
- 343 Linda J. Mittermaier, Willem J. Selen, Jeri B. Waggoner, Wallace R. Wood
Accounting estimates as cost inputs to logistics models
- 344 Remy L. de Jong, Rashid I. Al Layla, Willem J. Selen
Alternative water management scenarios for Saudi Arabia
- 345 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts
Capacitated Single Stage Production Planning with Storage Constraints and Sequence-Dependent Setup Times
- 346 Peter Kort
The Flexible Accelerator Mechanism in a Financial Adjustment Cost Model
- 347 W.J. Reijnders en W.F. Verstappen
De toenemende importantie van het verticale marketing systeem
- 348 P.C. van Batenburg en J. Kriens
E.O.Q.L. - A revised and improved version of A.O.Q.L.
- 349 Drs. W.P.C. van den Nieuwenhof
Multinationalisatie en coördinatie
De internationale strategie van Nederlandse ondernemingen nader beschouwd
- 350 K.A. Bubshait, W.J. Selen
Estimation of the relationship between project attributes and the implementation of engineering management tools
- 351 M.P. Tummers, I. Woittiez
A simultaneous wage and labour supply model with hours restrictions
- 352 Marco Versteijne
Measuring the effectiveness of advertising in a positioning context with multi dimensional scaling techniques
- 353 Dr. F. Boekema, Drs. L. Oerlemans
Innovatie en stedelijke economische ontwikkeling
- 354 J.M. Schumacher
Discrete events: perspectives from system theory
- 355 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, R. Mathon and H.A. Wilbrink
A (49,16,3,6) strongly regular graph does not exist
- 356 Drs. J.C. Caanen
Tien jaar inflatieneutrale belastingheffing door middel van vermogensaftrek en voorraadaftrek: een kwantitatieve benadering

- 357 R.M. Heuts, M. Bronckers
A modified coordinated reorder procedure under aggregate investment
and service constraints using optimal policy surfaces
- 358 B.B. van der Genugten
Linear time-invariant filters of infinite order for non-stationary
processes
- 359 J.C. Engwerda
LQ-problem: the discrete-time time-varying case
- 360 Shan-Hwei Nienhuys-Cheng
Constraints in binary semantical networks
- 361 A.B.T.M. van Schaik
Interregional Propagation of Inflationary Shocks
- 362 F.C. Drost
How to define UMVU
- 363 Rommert J. Casimir
Infogame users manual
Rev 1.2 December 1988

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01065955 6