

Tilburg University

A note on the characterization of the compromise value

Otten, G.J.M.; Borm, P.E.M.; Tijs, S.H.

Publication date: 1994

Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Otten, G. J. M., Borm, P. E. M., & Tijs, S. H. (1994). A note on the characterization of the compromise value. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Faculty of Economics; Vol. FEW 655). Unknown Publisher.

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 12. May. 2021

Faculty of Economics

research memorandum





CBM

RR

7626 1994 NR.655

RYO

Bargaining

Tilburg University





A NOTE ON THE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE COMPROMISE VALUE

Gert-Jan Otten, Peter Borm, Stef Tijs

FEW 655

Communicated by Prof.dr. A.J.J. Talman



A Note on the Characterizations of the Compromise Value

Gert-Jan Otten, Peter Borm, Stef Tijs

Tilburg University
P.O. Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands
May, 1994

Abstract

In Borm, Keiding, McLean, Oortwijn and Tijs (1992) the compromise value is introduced as a solution concept on the class of compromise admissible NTU-games. Two characterizations of the compromise value are provided on subclasses of NTU-games.

This note shows that in one of these characterizations the axioms are dependent. It turns out that with a small weakening of the symmetry property the axioms become independent. Moreover, a new characterization of the compromise value is provided.

Further, it is shown that these characterizations can be extended to a larger class of NTU-games. Finally, all monotonic, Pareto optimal, and covariant values on this class of NTU-games are described.

1 Introduction

Borm, Keiding, McLean, Oortwijn and Tijs (1992) introduced the compromise value as a new solution concept for a large class of NTU-games. The compromise value by definition extends the τ -value for TU-games (Tijs (1981)) and the Raiffa-Kalai-Smorodinsky solution (RKS-solution) for bargaining problems (Raiffa (1953), Kalai and Smorodinsky (1975)) to NTU-games. Two characterizations of the compromise value show that also axiomatically the compromise value generalises the solution concepts mentioned above.

In section 2 of this note it is shown that in one of the characterizations of the compromise value provided by Borm et al. (1992) the axiom system is dependent. We show that by weakening the (strong) symmetry property, the original characterization of the compromise value can be adapted in such a way that the axioms are independent. Moreover, we obtain a new characterization of the compromise value, which is similar to one of the characterizations of the MC-value introduced in Otten, Borm, Peleg, Tijs (1994).

In the characterizations of the compromise value discussed in section 2 a non-levelness condition plays a crucial role. Section 3 illustrates that this condition can be weakened in order to obtain a characterization on a larger class of NTU-games. We use a similar technique as Peters and Tijs (1984) who extended Thomson's (1980) axiomatization of the RKS-solution to a larger class of bargaining problems by weakening the non-levelness condition.

Finally, section 4 characterizes the set of all monotonic, Pareto optimal, and covariant values on this class of NTU-games using monotonic curve solutions as introduced by Peters and Tijs (1984).

2 The compromise value

We start with some definitions. A non-transferable utility game or NTU-game is a pair (N, V), where N is a finite set of players and V is a map assigning to each coalition $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ a subset V(S) of \mathbb{R}^S of attainable payoff vectors. We assume that for each $i \in N$ there exists a real number v(i) such that $V(\{i\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \leq v(i)\}$.

Further, we assume that for each $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ the following properties hold

- (i) V(S) is a non-empty, closed and comprehensive subset of \mathbb{R}^{S}
- (ii) $V(S) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x_i \ge v(i) \text{ for all } i \in S\}$ is bounded.

An NTU-game (N, V) is often identified with V.

Let V be an NTU-game. For each $S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, let

$$dom(V(S)) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x < y \text{ for some } y \in V(S)\}$$
$$wdom(V(S)) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x \le y, \ x \ne y \text{ for some } y \in V(S)\}.$$

The elements of (w)dom(V(S)) are (weakly) dominated by the coalition S in the game V. Elements of $V(S) \setminus dom(V(S))$ are called weakly Pareto optimal in V(S) and elements of $V(S) \setminus wdom(V(S))$ are called Pareto optimal in V(S). The core of (N, V), denoted by C(V), consists of all payoff vectors attainable for the grand coalition N which are not dominated by any coalition S.

Let $i \in N$. The utopia payoff for player $i, K_i(V)$, is defined by

$$K_i(V) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists_{a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{i\}} : (a, t) \in V(N), a \notin dom(V(N \setminus \{i\})), a \geq (v(j))_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}}\}.$$

By assumption (ii) in the definition of an NTU-game it follows that $K_i(V) < \infty$. However, it might happen that $K_i(V) = -\infty$. We will restrict ourselves to NTU-games (N, V) for which $K_i(V) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $i \in N$. The vector $K(V) := (K_i(V))_{i \in N}$ is also called the *upper value* of V.

Let $i \in N$ and let $S \in 2^N$ with $i \in S$. The remainder of $i \in S$ is given by

$$\rho^V(S,i) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists_{a \in \mathbb{R}^{S \backslash \{i\}}} : (a,t) \in V(S), a > (K_j(V))_{j \in S \backslash \{i\}}\}.$$

The minimal right of player i is denoted by

$$k_i(V) := \max_{S:i \in S} \rho^V(S, i),$$

and the vector $k(V) := (k_i(V))_{i \in N}$ is also called the *lower value* of V. Note that $k_i(V) \geq v(i)$ for all $i \in N$, but it might happen that $k_i(V) = \infty$ for some $i \in N$.

Again, we will restrict ourselves to NTU-games (N, V) for which $k(V) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

The compromise value is defined on the class of compromise admissible NTU-games. An NTU-game (N, V) is called *compromise admissible* if

$$k(V) \leq K(V)$$
, and $k(V) \in V(N)$, $K(V) \not\in dom(V(N))$.

It is easy to show that for a compromise admissible game (N, V) the assumption $K(V) \not\in dom(V(N))$ implies that $K(V) \not\in wdom(V(N))$. By C^N we denote the class of all compromise admissible NTU-games with player set N. It is shown by Borm et al. (1992) that an NTU-game with a non-empty core is compromise admissible.

A value on C^N is a map $f: C^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, which assigns to each $V \in C^N$ a payoff vector. For a compromise admissible NTU-game (N, V) the compromise value T(V) is defined as the unique vector on the line segment between k(V) and K(V) which lies in V(N) and is nearest to the utopia value K(V), i.e.,

$$T(V) := k(V) + \alpha_V(K(V) - k(V)),$$

where

$$\alpha_V := \max\{\alpha \in [0,1] \mid k(V) + \alpha(K(V) - k(V)) \in V(N)\}.$$

Borm et al. (1992) show that the characterization of the two player RKS-solution by Kalai and Smorodinsky (1975) can be extended in order to provide a characterization of the compromise value. In order to illustrate this result we first need some notation and definitions.

For vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we define $x * y := (x_i y_i)_{i \in N}$ and $x * C := \{x * c \mid c \in C\}.$

Let (N, V) be an NTU-game, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The NTU-game $(N, \alpha * V + \beta)$ is defined by

$$(\alpha * V + \beta)(S) := \alpha_S * V(S) + {\beta_S}$$
 for all $S \in 2^N$.

Let $A^N \subset C^N$, and let $f: A^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a value on A^N .

- (i) f is called Pareto optimal on A^N if $f(V) \in V(N) \setminus wdom(V(N))$ for all $V \in A^N$.
- (ii) f is called weak Pareto optimal on A^N if $f(V) \in V(N) \setminus dom(V(N))$ for all $V \in A^N$.
- (iii) f is symmetric if $f_i(V) = f_j(V)$ for all $V \in A^N$ and all $i, j \in N$ which are symmetric in V. Here, players $i, j \in N$ are called symmetric in V if
 - (1) for all $S \subset N \setminus \{i, j\}$, all $x \in V(S \cup \{i\})$ it holds that $y \in V(S \cup \{j\})$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}^{S \cup \{j\}}$ is defined by $y_j = x_i$ and $y_S = x_S$,
 - (2) for all $S \subset N$, $i, j \in S$ and all $x \in V(S)$, we have $y \in V(S)$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}^S$ is defined by $y_i = x_j$, $y_j = x_i$ and $y_{S \setminus \{i,j\}} = x_{S \setminus \{i,j\}}$.
- (iv) f is strongly symmetric on A^N if for all $V \in A^N$ and all $i, j \in N$ with $k_i(V) = k_j(V), K_i(V) = K_j(V)$, we have $f_i(V) = f_j(V)$.
- (v) f is monotonic on A^N if for all $V, W \in A^N$ with k(V) = k(W), K(V) = K(W) and $V(N) \subset W(N)$ we have $f(V) \leq f(W)$.
- (vi) f satisfies *covariance* on A^N if for all $V \in A^N$, all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$ and all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have $f(\alpha * V + \beta) = \alpha * f(V) + \beta$.

On the class of compromise admissible games the compromise value satisfies all properties mentioned above, except Pareto optimality. This is shown in the following example.

Example 2.1 Let $N := \{1, 2, 3\}$ and define V by

$$V(S) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x \le 0 \} \text{ for all } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset, N\},$$

 $V(N) := compr(conv\{(4,0,0),\ (4,3,0),\ (2,4,0),\ (0,4,0),\ (2,3,2),\ (0,3,2),\ (0,0,4)\}).$ Here, for a set $C \in \mathbb{R}^N$, compr(C) denotes the comprehensive hull of C and conv(C) denotes the convex hull of C. The reader easily verifies that K(V) = (4,4,4) and k(V) = (0,0,0). So, $V \in C^N$ and T(V) = (2,2,2). But $(2,2,2) \in wdom(V(N))$ since $(2,3,2) \in V(N)$. Hence, the compromise value is not Pareto optimal on C^N .

Borm et al. (1992) characterize the compromise value on the set $\overline{C}^N \subset C^N$ of all compromise admissible games (N, V) satisfying

- (A) the boundary of the set $V^*(N) := \{x \in V(N) \mid x \ge k(V)\}$ contains no segments parallel to a coordinate hyperplane, i.e., $V^*(N)$ is non-level
- (B) k(V) < K(V)
- (C) $(k_{N\setminus\{i\}}, K_i(V)) \in V(N)$ for all $i \in N$
- (D) V(N) is convex.

We now have

Theorem 2.2 (Borm et al. (1992))

The compromise value is the unique value on \overline{C}^N which satisfies weak Pareto optimality, strong symmetry, monotonicity and covariance.

Of course, in this characterization weak Pareto optimality can be replaced by Pareto optimality since for a game $V \in \overline{C}^N$ all weak Pareto optimal points in the set $V^*(N)$ are Pareto optimal.

However, in this characterization the monotonicity property is superfluous. This is a consequence of

Theorem 2.3 The compromise value is the unique value on \overline{C}^N which satisfies Pareto optimality, strong symmetry and covariance.

Proof. Clearly, the compromise value satisfies the properties mentioned above on \overline{C}^N . Let $f: \overline{C}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy the three properties, and let $V \in \overline{C}^N$. We show that f(V) = T(V).

Let V' := V - k(V). Clearly, $V' \in \overline{C}^N$ and k(V') = 0. Moreover by (B), K(V') = K(V) - k(V) > 0. Define $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by $\lambda_i := (K_i(V'))^{-1}$ for all $i \in N$. Then $\lambda > 0$. Let $W := \lambda * V'$. Then $W \in \overline{C}^N$ and $k(W) = \lambda * k(V') = 0$, $K(W) = \lambda * K(V') = e^N$, where $e^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes the vector with $e_i^N = 1$ for all $i \in N$. Strong symmetry of f and the T implies $f_i(W) = f_j(W)$ for all $i, j \in N$ and $T_i(W) = T_j(W)$ for all $i, j \in N$. From Pareto optimality of f and the f it follows that f(W) = f(W). Since f(V) = f(V) = f(V) covariance of f and f(V) = f(V) = f(V).

Note that in the proof of this theorem we did not use the conditions (C) and (D). So theorem 2.3 holds on the larger class of compromise admissible NTU-games satisfying (A) and (B).

Theorem 2.3 is similar to one of the characterizations of the MC-value which is introduced in Otten et al. (1994).

In fact, the proof of theorem 2.2 provided by Borm et al. (1992) shows the following characterization of the compromise value on \overline{C}^N in which strong symmetry is replaced by symmetry.

Theorem 2.4 The compromise value is the unique value on \overline{C}^N which satisfies Pareto optimality, symmetry, monotonicity and covariance.

It is left to the reader to show that in theorem 2.4 all properties are independent.

3 Characterizations on a larger class of NTUgames

The assumption of non-levelness plays a crucial role in the characterizations of the previous section. We will show that by modifying this assumption one can obtain a characterization of the compromise value on a larger class of compromise admissible NTU-games. This modification is based on Peters and Tijs (1984), who extended Thomson's (1980) characterization of the RKS-solution to a larger class of bargaining problems by weakening the assumption of non-levelness.

We restrict attention to the class \widehat{C}^N of all compromise admissible NTU-games with player set N satisfying (B)-(D) and, in addition,

(E) for all $x \in V^*(N)$ and all $i \in N$ we have: if $x \in wdom(V(N))$ and $x_i < K_i(V)$, then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $x + \epsilon e^i \in V(N)$.

Here, $e^i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes the vector with $e^i_j = 1$ if i = j, and $e^i_j = 0$ otherwise. Clearly, if $V^*(N)$ is non-level, then $V^*(N)$ also satisfies (E).

Note that the NTU-game provided in example 1 does not satisfy (E). This is an immediate consequence of the following lemma which shows that the compromise value is Pareto optimal on the class \hat{C}^N .

Lemma 3.1 Let $V \in \widehat{C}^N$. Then $T(V) \in V(N) \setminus wdom(V(N))$.

Proof. Because of covariance of f and T it is sufficient to prove that f(V) = T(V) for all $V \in \widehat{C}^N$ with k(V) = 0 and $K(V) = e^N$ (see the proof of theorem 2.3). So, let $V \in \widehat{C}^N$ with $k(V) = \text{and } K(V) = e^N$. The compromise value of V is an element of the line segment through 0 and e^N . We must prove that $T(V) \in V(N) \setminus wdom(V(N))$. We distinguish two cases.

Obviously, if $T(V) = e^N$, then $T(V) \in V(N) \setminus wdom(V(N))$. Now suppose that $T(V) \neq e^N$ and that $T(V) \in wdom(V(N))$. Then $T(V) < e^N = K(V)$, and so by assumption (E), it follows that for each $i \in N$ there exists an $\epsilon_i > 0$ such that $T(V) + \epsilon_i e^i \in V(N)$. Take $\epsilon := \min\{\epsilon_i \mid i \in N\}$. By comprehensiveness of V(N) it follows that $T(V) + \epsilon e^i \in V(N)$ for all $i \in N$. Using convexity of V(N) we obtain that $T(V) + \frac{\epsilon}{|N|} e^N \in V(N)$. Hence, $T(V) \in dom(V(N))$, which contradicts the weak Pareto optimality of T. Hence, $T(V) \in V(N) \setminus wdom(V(N))$.

Now we can formulate

Theorem 3.2 The compromise value is the unique value on \hat{C}^N which satisfies Pareto optimality, symmetry, monotonicity and covariance.

Proof. Clearly, the compromise value satisfies the four properties mentioned above on \widehat{C}^N . Now let $f:\widehat{C}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy the four properties. We prove that f(V) = T(V) for all $V \in \widehat{C}^N$.

Because of covariance of f and T it is sufficient to prove that f(V) = T(V) for all $V \in \widehat{C}^N$ with k(V) = 0 and $K(V) = e^N$ (see the proof of theorem 2.3). So, let $V \in \widehat{C}^N$ with $k(V) = \text{and } K(V) = e^N$. Then T(V) is an element of the line segment through 0 and e^N . Using the assumptions (C) and (D) we have that $conv\{e^i \mid i \in N\} \subset V(N)$, so $T(V) \ge \frac{1}{|N|} e^N$.

Now consider the NTU-game (N, W) defined by

$$W(S) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x \leq 0\} & \text{if } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset, N\} \\ compr(conv(\{e^i \mid i \in N\} \cup \{T(V)\})) & \text{if } S = N. \end{array} \right.$$

Obviously, $K(W) = e^N$, and k(W) = 0. Hence, $W \in C^N$ and assumptions (B)-(D) are satisfied. If $T(V) = e^N$, then $W(N) = compr\{e^N\}$. Otherwise, if $T(V) < e^N$, then W(N) is non-level. In both cases (E) is satisfied, so $W \in \hat{C}^N$. Clearly, T(W) = T(V). Using symmetry of f it follows that $f_i(W) = f_j(W)$ for all $i, j \in N$. So, by Pareto optimality of f and f it follows that f(W) = T(W). Hence, f(W) = f(W). Since, f(W) = f(W), and f(W) = f(W). But then Pareto optimality of f that $f(W) \leq f(V)$. Hence, $f(W) \leq f(V)$. But then Pareto optimality of f implies that f(W) = f(V).

4 The class of monotonic, Pareto optimal and covariant values on \widehat{C}^N

Theorem 3.2 characterizes the compromise value as the unique value on \widehat{C}^N which satisfies Pareto optimality, monotonicity, covariance and symmetry. In this section we drop the symmetry property and characterize all Pareto optimal, monotonic and covariant solutions on the class \widehat{C}^N . For this, we use similar techniques as Peters and Tijs (1984) who characterized all Pareto optimal, monotonic, and covariant bargaining solutions on a large class of bargaining problems, using monotonic curve solutions.

Because we consider covariant values on \widehat{C}^N attention can be restricted to the class $\widehat{C}_{0,1}^N$ of NTU-games $V \in \widehat{C}^N$ which satisfy $K(V) = e^N$ and k(V) = 0 (cf. the proof of theorem 3.2).

Using monotonic curves one can define monotonic and Pareto optimal values on the class $\hat{C}_{0,1}^N$.

A monotonic curve (Peters and Tijs (1984)) is a map $\gamma:[1,|N|]\to[0,1]^N$ with

- (i) γ is increasing, i.e., $\gamma(s) \geq \gamma(t)$ if $s \geq t$, and
- (ii) $\sum_{i \in N} \gamma_i(t) = t$ for all $t \in [1, |N|]$.

Note that (ii) implies that $\gamma(1) \in conv\{e^i \mid i \in N\}$, and $\gamma(|N|) = e^N$. Moreover, it can easily be checked that each monotonic curve is continuous.

Let γ be a monotonic curve. Then γ gives rise to a value f^{γ} on $\widehat{C}_{0,1}^{N}$ in the following way: for $V \in \widehat{C}_{0,1}^{N}$ define $f^{\gamma}(V)$ as the unique Pareto optimal point of V(N) lying on the curve $\{\gamma(t) \mid 1 \leq t \leq |N|\}$. It can easily be verified that f^{γ} is well-defined on $\widehat{C}_{0,1}^{N}$ (cf. Peters and Tijs (1984)). f^{γ} is called the value corresponding to the monotonic curve γ . The reader easily verifies that f^{γ} is monotonic and Pareto optimal.

Clearly, each f^{γ} can be extended to a monotonic, Pareto optimal and covariant value on \hat{C}^N in a unique way.

We now have the following characterization.

Theorem 4.1 Let $f: \widehat{C}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a value on \widehat{C}^N . Then f satisfies Pareto optimality, monotonicity and covariance if and only if $f = f^{\gamma}$ for some monotonic curve $\gamma: [1, |N|] \to [0, 1]^N$.

Proof. Clearly, if $f = f^{\gamma}$ for some monotonic curve γ , then f satisfies the required properties. Conversely, let f satisfy Pareto optimality, monotonicity and covariance. We construct $\gamma: [1, |N|] \to [0, 1]^N$ as follows.

For $t \in [1, |N|]$, let $\gamma(t) := f(V_t)$, where V_t is the NTU-game defined by

$$V_t(S) := \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x \le 0\} & \text{if } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset, N\} \\ compr(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid 0 \le x \le e^N, \ \sum_{i \in N} x_i \le t\}) & \text{if } S = N. \end{cases}$$

The reader easily verifies that $K(V_t) = e^N$, $k(V_t) = 0$ and that $V_t \in \hat{C}^N$ for every $t \in [1, |N|]$. Further, by Pareto optimality and monotonicity of f it follows that γ satisfies (i) and (ii). So γ is well-defined. Note that

$$f(V_t) = f^{\gamma}(V_t) \text{ for all } t \in [1, |N|].$$

$$\tag{1}$$

We want to prove that $f = f^{\gamma}$. In view of covariance of f and f^{γ} it is sufficient to prove that $f(V) = f^{\gamma}(V)$ for all $V \in \hat{C}^N$ with $K(V) = e^N$ and k(V) = 0. Let $V \in \hat{C}^N$ satisfy $K(V) = e^N$ and k(V) = 0. Let $t := \sum_{i \in N} f_i^{\gamma}(V)$, and let W be the NTU-game defined by

$$W(S) := \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S \mid x \le 0\} & \text{if } S \in 2^N \setminus \{\emptyset, N\} \\ V(N) \cap V_t(N) & \text{if } S = N. \end{cases}$$

Then $W \in \hat{C}^N$ and $K(W) = e^N$ and k(W) = 0. Clearly, $f^{\gamma}(W) = f^{\gamma}(V) = f^{\gamma}(V_t)$. Hence, by (1)

$$f^{\gamma}(V) = f(V_t). \tag{2}$$

Using monotonicity of f, we have $f(W) \leq f(V_t)$, and $f(W) \leq f(V)$, and by Pareto optimality of f it follows that

$$f(W) = f(V_t) = f(V). \tag{3}$$

Combining (2) and (3) we can conclude that
$$f(V) = f^{\gamma}(V)$$
.

From the proof of theorem 4.1 it follows that there exists a unique monotonic curve $\gamma^*: [1,|N|] \to [0,1]^N$ such that f^{γ^*} is symmetric, namely, $\gamma^*(t) := \frac{t}{|N|} e^N$ for all $t \in [1,|N|]$. Clearly, $f^{\gamma^*} = T$, so theorem 4.1 provides an alternative proof of theorem 3.2.

References

- BORM, P., KEIDING, H., McLean, R.P., Oortwijn, S., and Tijs, S.H. (1992). "The compromise value for NTU-games," *International Journal of Game Theory*, **21**, 175-189.
- KALAI, E., AND SMORODINSKY, M. (1975). "Other solutions to Nash's bargaining problem," *Econometrica*, 43, 513-518.
- OTTEN, G.J.M., BORM, P.E.M., PELEG, B., AND TIJS, S.H. (1994). The MC-value for monotonic NTU-games. Discussion Paper, CentER for Economic Research, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands.
- Peters, H., and Tijs, S.H. (1984). "Individually monotonic bargaining solutions for n-person bargaining games," *Methods of Operations Research*, **51**, 377-384.

- RAIFFA, H. (1953). "Arbitration schemes for generalized two-person games," Annals of Mathematics Studies, 28, 361-387.
- THOMSON, W. (1980) "Two characterizations of the Raiffa solution," *Economics Letters*, 6, 225-231.
- Tijs, S.H. (1981). "Bounds for the core and the τ-value," in Game Theory and Mathematical Economics (Eds. O. Moeschlin and D. Pallaschke), North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 123-132.
- Tijs, S.H. (1987). "An axiomatization of the τ -value," Mathematical Social Sciences, 13, 177-181.

IN 1993 REEDS VERSCHENEN

588 Rob de Groof and Martin van Tuiil

The Twin-Debt Problem in an Interdependent World Communicated by Prof.dr. Th. van de Klundert

589 Harry H. Tigelaar

A useful fourth moment matrix of a random vector Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

590 Niels G. Noorderhaven

Trust and transactions; transaction cost analysis with a differential behavioral assumption

Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

591 Henk Roest and Kitty Koelemeijer

Framing perceived service quality and related constructs A multilevel approach Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.M.M. Verhallen

592 Jacob C. Engwerda

The Square Indefinite LQ-Problem: Existence of a Unique Solution Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher

593 Jacob C. Engwerda

Output Deadbeat Control of Discrete-Time Multivariable Systems Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Schumacher

594 Chris Veld and Adri Verboven

An Empirical Analysis of Warrant Prices versus Long Term Call Option Prices Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland

595 A.A. Jeunink en M.R. Kabir

De relatie tussen aandeelhoudersstructuur en beschermingsconstructies Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland

596 M.J. Coster and W.H. Haemers

Quasi-symmetric designs related to the triangular graph Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

597 Noud Gruijters

De liberalisering van het internationale kapitaalverkeer in historisch-institutioneel perspectief

Communicated by Dr. H.G. van Gemert

598 John Görtzen en Remco Zwetheul

Weekend-effect en dag-van-de-week-effect op de Amsterdamse effectenbeurs? Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland

599 Philip Hans Franses and H. Peter Boswijk

Temporal aggregration in a periodically integrated autoregressive process Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.E. Nijman

600 René Peeters

On the p-ranks of Latin Square Graphs Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

601 Peter E.M. Borm, Ricardo Cao, Ignacio García-Jurado Maximum Likelihood Equilibria of Random Games Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

602 Prof.dr. Robert Bannink

Size and timing of profits for insurance companies. Cost assignment for products with multiple deliveries.

Communicated by Prof.dr. W. van Hulst

603 M.J. Coster

An Algorithm on Addition Chains with Restricted Memory Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

604 Ton Geerts

Coordinate-free interpretations of the optimal costs for LQ-problems subject to implicit systems

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher

605 B.B. van der Genugten

Beat the Dealer in Holland Casino's Black Jack Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm

606 Gert Nieuwenhuis

Uniform Limit Theorems for Marked Point Processes Communicated by Dr. M.R. Jaïbi

607 Dr. G.P.L. van Roii

Effectisering op internationale financiële markten en enkele gevolgen voor banken Communicated by Prof.dr. J. Sijben

608 R.A.M.G. Joosten, A.J.J. Talman

A simplicial variable dimension restart algorithm to find economic equilibria on the unit simplex using n(n+1) rays Communicated by Prof.Dr. P.H.M. Ruys

609 Dr. A.J.W. van de Gevel

The Elimination of Technical Barriers to Trade in the European Community Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

610 Dr. A.J.W. van de Gevel

Effective Protection: a Survey

Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

611 Jan van der Leeuw

First order conditions for the maximum likelihood estimation of an exact ARMA model

Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

612 Tom P. Faith

Bertrand-Edgeworth Competition with Sequential Capacity Choice Communicated by Prof.Dr. S.W. Douma

613 Ton Geerts

The algebraic Riccati equation and singular optimal control: The discrete-time case Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher

614 Ton Geerts

Output consistency and weak output consistency for continuous-time implicit systems

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher

615 Stef Tijs, Gert-Jan Otten

Compromise Values in Cooperative Game Theory

Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm

616 Dr. Pieter J.F.G. Meulendijks and Prof.Dr. Dick B.J. Schouten

Exchange Rates and the European Business Cycle: an application of a 'quasiempirical' two-country model

Communicated by Prof.Dr. A.H.J.J. Kolnaar

617 Niels G. Noorderhaven

The argumentational texture of transaction cost economics Communicated by Prof.Dr. S.W. Douma

618 Dr. M.R. Jaïbi

Frequent Sampling in Discrete Choice Communicated by Dr. M.H. ten Raa

619 Dr. M.R. Jaïbi

A Qualification of the Dependence in the Generalized Extreme Value Choice Model Communicated by Dr. M.H. ten Raa

620 J.J.A. Moors, V.M.J. Coenen, R.M.J. Heuts

Limiting distributions of moment- and quantile-based measures for skewness and kurtosis

Communicated by Prof.Dr. B.B. van der Genugten

621 Job de Haan, Jos Benders, David Bennett

Symbiotic approaches to work and technology

Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

622 René Peeters

Orthogonal representations over finite fields and the chromatic number of graphs Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers

623 W.H. Haemers, E. Spence

Graphs Cospectral with Distance-Regular Graphs

Communicated by Prof.dr. M.H.C. Paardekooper

624 Bas van Aarle

The target zone model and its applicability to the recent EMS crisis Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

625 René Peeters

Strongly regular graphs that are locally a disjoint union of hexagons Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers

626 René Peeters

Uniqueness of strongly regular graphs having minimal *p*-rank Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers

627 Freek Aertsen, Jos Benders

Tricks and Trucks: Ten years of organizational renewal at DAF? Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

628 Jan de Klein, Jacques Roemen

Optimal Delivery Strategies for Heterogeneous Groups of Porkers Communicated by Prof.dr. F.A. van der Duyn Schouten

629 Imma Curiel, Herbert Hamers, Jos Potters, Stef Tijs

The equal gain splitting rule for sequencing situations and the general nucleolus Communicated by Dr. P.E.M. Borm

630 A.L. Hempenius

Een statische theorie van de keuze van bankrekening Communicated by Prof.Dr.Ir. A. Kapteyn

631 Cok Vrooman, Piet van Wijngaarden, Frans van den Heuvel

Prevention in Social Security: Theory and Policy Consequences

Communicated by Prof.Dr. A. Kolnaar

IN 1994 REEDS VERSCHENEN

632 B.B. van der Genugten

Identification, estimating and testing in the restricted linear model Communicated by Dr. A.H.O. van Soest

633 George W.J. Hendrikse

Screening, Competition and (De)Centralization

Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

634 A.J.T.M. Weeren, J.M. Schumacher, and J.C. Engwerda

Asymptotic Analysis of Nash Equilibria in Nonzero-sum Linear-Quadratic Differential Games. The Two-Player case

Communicated by Prof.dr. S.H. Tijs

635 M.J. Coster

Quadratic forms in Design Theory

Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers

636 Drs. Erwin van der Krabben, Prof.dr. Jan G. Lambooy

An institutional economic approach to land and property markets - urban dynamics and institutional change

Communicated by Dr. F.W.M. Boekema

637 Bas van Aarle

Currency substitution and currency controls: the Polish experience of 1990 Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

638 J. Bell

Joint Ventures en Ondernemerschap: Interpreneurship Communicated by Prof.dr. S.W. Douma

639 Frans de Roon and Chris Veld

Put-call parities and the value of early exercise for put options on a performance index

Communicated by Prof.dr. Th.E. Nijman

640 Willem J.H. Van Groenendaal

Assessing demand when introducing a new fuel: natural gas on Java Communicated by Prof.dr. J.P.C. Kleijnen

641 Henk van Gemert & Noud Gruijters

Patterns of Financial Change in the OECD area

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.J Sijben

642 Drs. M.R.R. van Bremen, Drs. T.A. Marra en Drs. A.H.F. Verboven Aardappelen, varkens en de termijnhandel: de reële optietheorie toegepast

Communicated by Prof.dr. P.W. Moerland

643 W.J.H. Van Groenendaal en F. De Gram

The generalization of netback value calculations for the determination of industrial demand for natural gas

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.P.C. Kleijnen

644 Karen Aardal, Yves Pochet and Laurence A. Wolsey Capacitated Facility Location: Valid Inequalities and Facets Communicated by Dr.ir. W.H. Haemers

645 Jan J.G. Lemmen

An Introduction to the Diamond-Dybvig Model (1983)

Communicated by Dr. S. Eijffinger

646 Hans J. Gremmen and Eva van Deurzen-Mankova

Reconsidering the Future of Eastern Europe: The Case of Czecho-Slovakia Communicated by Prof.dr. H.P. Huizinga

647 H.M. Webers

Non-uniformities in spatial location models

Communicated by Prof.dr. A.J.J. Talman

648 Bas van Aarle

Social welfare effects of a common currency

Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

649 Laurence A.G.M. van Lent

De winst is absoluut belangriik!

Communicated by Prof.drs. G.G.M. Bak

650 Bert Hamminga

Jager over de theorie van de internationale handel

Communicated by Prof.dr. H. Huizinga

651 J.Ch. Caanen and E.N. Kertzman

A comparison of two methods of inflation adjustment

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.A.G. van der Geld

652 René van den Brink

A Note on the τ -Value and τ -Related Solution Concepts

Communicated by Prof.dr. P.H.M. Ruys

653 J. Engwerda and G. van Willigenburg

Optimal sampling-rates of digital LQ and LQG tracking controllers with costs

associated to sampling

Communicated by Prof.dr. J.M. Schumacher

654 J.C. de Vos

A Thousand Golden Ten Orbits

Communicated by Prof.dr. B.B. van der Genugten

