

Tilburg University

Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method

Kriens, J.; van Lieshout, J.T.H.C.

Publication date:
1988

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kriens, J., & van Lieshout, J. T. H. C. (1988). *Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 300). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



2376

CBM
R

UNIVERSITY
LEIENE
UNIVERSITEIT
BRABANT

7626
1988
300

POSTBOX 90153
5000 LE TILBURG
THE NETHERLANDS



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

7626
88
300



NOTES ON THE MARKOWITZ PORTFOLIO
SELECTION METHOD

J. Kriens and J.Th. van Lieshout
(revised version of FEW 211)_R 653

FEW 300

wpc 653.2

Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method.

by

J.Kriens and J.Th. van Lieshout*

Tilburg University, Department of Econometrics
 P.O.Box 90153 - 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands

A proof of the validity of Markowitz's critical line method is given for a more general situation than discussed by Markowitz. Next for the Markowitz case with a positive definite covariance matrix explicit expressions are derived for all efficient portfolios. Using these expressions it can be shown that the critical line in the (μ, σ^2) plane is a representation of a function which is not necessarily differentiable everywhere.

Key Words and Phrases : finance, parametric programming.

1. Introduction.

Markowitz developed the critical line method for the following portfolio selection problem cf. Markowitz (1956), (1959). Suppose an investor wants to invest an amount b in the securities $1, \dots, n$. He invests an amount x_j (≥ 0) in security j , so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = b \quad (1)$$

The yearly revenue of a portfolio $X' = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a random variable $\underline{r}(X)$ with expected value $E\underline{r}(X) = \mu(X)$ and variance $\sigma^2(\underline{r}(X)) = \sigma^2(X)$. Besides the constraint (1) other constraints may exist, restricting the feasible options to a set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{R}^n$.

* Now with C.M.G. ADVIES B.V.

A feasible portfolio is efficient if:

a) no feasible portfolio exists with larger or equal expectation and smaller variance of the revenue,

and

b) no feasible portfolio exists with smaller or equal variance and larger expectation of the revenue.

This means that a portfolio $X=\bar{X}$ is efficient if and only if it is a solution of both

$$\min_X \{ \sigma^2(X) \mid \mu(X) \geq \mu(\bar{X}) \wedge X \in \mathcal{X} \} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\max_X \{ \mu(X) \mid \sigma^2(X) \leq \sigma^2(\bar{X}) \wedge X \in \mathcal{X} \}. \quad (3)$$

Markowitz derived an algorithm to compute all efficient portfolios and the corresponding efficient (μ, σ^2) points, assuming $\mu(X)$ linear, and $\sigma^2(X)$ quadratic and all constraints linear. In section 2 we show that the theorem on which this algorithm is based can be reformulated for a much more general situation. Furthermore Markowitz derived some properties of the curve of efficient points, but his remarks on differentiability properties of this curve are not very explicit. In section 3 we derive explicit expressions for all efficient portfolios and give more precise statements on differentiability properties.

2. A general theorem for the computation of efficient portfolios.

Theorem.

Let

- i. the set of feasible portfolios be defined by $\mathcal{X} = \{X \mid h_i(X) \geq 0, i \in \mathcal{F}\}$, with \mathcal{F} an index set, $h_i(x)$ concave and continuously differentiable,¹⁾ \mathcal{X} compact with non empty interior,
 - ii. the expected value $\mu(X)$ of the revenue be concave, continuously differentiable on \mathcal{X} ,
 - iii. the variance of X be continuously differentiable on \mathcal{X} ,
- then $X = \bar{X}$ is efficient if and only if,

either

- a) there exists a $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, such that

$$\min_X \{ \sigma^2(X) - \bar{\lambda} \mu(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X} \} = \sigma^2(\bar{X}) - \bar{\lambda} \mu(\bar{X}), \quad (4)$$

or

b)

$$\max_X [\mu(X) \mid \sigma^2(X) = \min_Y \{ \sigma^2(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{X} \}] = \mu(\bar{X}), \quad (5)$$

or

c)

$$\min_X [\sigma^2(X) \mid \mu(X) = \max_Y \{ \mu(Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{X} \}] = \sigma^2(\bar{X}). \quad (6)$$

Proof.

We first show the sufficiency property.

 1) By continuously differentiable we mean that all partial derivatives exist and are continuous. Strictly speaking, these conditions and the concavity conditions can be somewhat weakened.

Case a) . Suppose \bar{X} is not efficient; this implies the existence of a portfolio $X^* \in \mathcal{X}$, $X^* \neq \bar{X}$, such that

$$\{\mu(X^*) \geq \mu(\bar{X}) \wedge \sigma^2(X^*) < \sigma^2(\bar{X})\} \vee \{\sigma^2(X^*) \leq \sigma^2(\bar{X}) \wedge \mu(X^*) > \mu(\bar{X})\},$$

hence

$$\sigma^2(X^*) - \bar{\lambda} \mu(X^*) < \sigma^2(\bar{X}) - \bar{\lambda} \mu(\bar{X}),$$

for all $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, contradicting a). So \bar{X} must be efficient.

Next define

$$\sigma_{\min}^2 := \min_X \{\sigma^2(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

and

$$\mu_{\max} := \max_X \{\mu(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Case b) If $X = \bar{X}$ suffices (5), then

$$\sigma^2(\bar{X}) = \sigma_{\min}^2$$

and

$$\mu(\bar{X}) = \max_X \{\mu(X) \mid \sigma^2(X) = \sigma_{\min}^2 \wedge X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Thus $X = \bar{X}$ is efficient with minimum variance on \mathcal{X} .

Case c) In the same way $X = \bar{X}$ sufficing (6) implies

$$\mu(\bar{X}) = \mu_{\max},$$

$$\sigma^2(\bar{X}) = \min \{\sigma^2(X) \mid \mu(X) = \mu_{\max} \wedge X \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

In other words $X = \bar{X}$ is efficient with maximum expected value on \mathcal{X} .

Secondly we prove that the conditions are necessary. If $X = \bar{X}$ is efficient, it solves both (2) and (3), so it is a solution of

$$\max \{ -\sigma^2(X) \mid \mu(X) - \mu(\bar{X}) \geq 0 \wedge X \in \mathcal{X} \}, \quad (7)$$

and of

$$\max \{ \mu(X) \mid \sigma^2(\bar{X}) - \sigma^2(X) \geq 0 \wedge X \in \mathcal{X} \} \quad (8)$$

To both problems we apply the Kuhn-Tucker theorem which gives sufficient optimality conditions for the problem, maximize

$$y = f(X)$$

subject to

$$h_i(X) \geq 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, l) \quad (9)$$

with $f(X)$ and $h_i(X)$ concave continuously differentiable functions.

These conditions run: $f(X)$ has its global maximum in $X=\bar{X}$ if there exist numbers \bar{t}_i ($i=1, \dots, l$) such that

$$\nabla f(\bar{X}) + \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{t}_i \nabla h_i(\bar{X}) = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$h_i(\bar{X}) \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, l) \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{t}_i \geq 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, l) \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{t}_i h_i(\bar{X}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

The conditions are also necessary if a certain regularity condition is satisfied. We take Slater's condition, stating: the set defined by the conditions (9) has a non empty interior.

We now differentiate between two situations:

- 1) Slater's condition is satisfied, and
- 2) Slater's condition is not satisfied.

1) If Slater's condition is satisfied, in the case of problem (7) there exist numbers $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and \bar{t}_{i1} ($i \in \mathcal{F}$) such that (10) ... (13) are fulfilled.

In the same way there exist numbers $\bar{\lambda}_2$ and \bar{t}_{i2} ($i \in \mathcal{F}$) for problem (8) such that (10) ... (13) are satisfied.

Next define

$$\bar{\lambda} := \frac{1+\bar{\lambda}_1}{1+\bar{\lambda}_2}, \quad \bar{t}_i := \frac{1}{1+\bar{\lambda}_2} (\bar{t}_{i1} + \bar{t}_{i2}) \quad (i \in \mathcal{F}),$$

then the two sets of conditions can be combined and rewritten as

$$-\nabla\sigma^2(\bar{X}) + \bar{\lambda}\nabla\mu(\bar{X}) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}} \bar{t}_i \nabla h_i(\bar{X}) = 0. \quad (14)$$

$$h_i(\bar{X}) \geq 0 \quad (i \in \mathcal{F}) \quad (15)$$

$$\bar{\lambda} > 0, \quad \bar{t}_i \geq 0 \quad (i \in \mathcal{F}) \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}} \bar{t}_i h_i(\bar{X}) = 0, \quad (17)$$

But this means that there exists a $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, such that $X = \bar{X}$ solves the problem

$$\max_X \{-\sigma^2(X) + \bar{\lambda} \mu(X) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\},$$

which is identical to (4).

2) If Slater's condition is not satisfied, this means that either $\mu(X) - \mu(\bar{X}) \geq 0$ or $\sigma^2(\bar{X}) - \sigma^2(X) \geq 0$ doesn't have an interior point because \mathcal{X} has a non empty interior. In the first case $\mu(\bar{X})$ equals the maximum μ_{\max} of $\mu(X)$ on \mathcal{X} and the efficient portfolio \bar{X} solves (6); in the second case $\sigma^2(\bar{X})$ equals the minimum σ_{\min}^2 of $\sigma^2(X)$ on \mathcal{X} and the efficient portfolio \bar{X} solves (5). If $\sigma^2(X) = \sigma_{\min}^2$ has an unique solution, finding the corresponding efficient portfolio is equivalent to solving (4) for $\bar{\lambda} = 0$. Analogous if $\mu(X) = \mu_{\max}$ has an unique solution, finding the corresponding efficient portfolio is equivalent to solving (4) for a sufficiently large value of $\bar{\lambda}$.

Remark 1.

The theorem implies that Markowitz's method for computing the efficient portfolios can also be applied if the return $\underline{r}(X)$ is a nonlinear function of X . An example of this is the case of a capital budgeting decision in which the revenue of the investment is a concave function of the investment amount (diminishing returns). This is especially the case if the capital budgeting problem is combined with liquidity constraints. Then both $\underline{r}(X)$ and the conditions $h_1(X)$ resulting from the liquidity constraints are non linear functions of X .

3. The set of efficient (μ, σ^2) points in the Markowitz' case.

We now specialize to the original portfolio selection problem of Markowitz. Suppose the yearly revenue of one dollar invested in security j equals \underline{r}_j with $E\underline{r}_j = \mu_j$; the covariance matrix of the \underline{r}_j is \mathcal{C} . If $M' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, then

$$\mu(X) = M'X, \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma^2(X) = X' \mathcal{C} X. \quad (19)$$

The constraints are

$$A X \leq B, \quad (20)$$

$$X \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

If the feasible set \mathcal{X} has a non empty interior, the efficient portfolios can be found by applying the theorem of section 2 in which the left hand side of (4) now reduces to

$$\min_X \{X' \mathcal{C} X - \bar{\lambda} M'X \mid A X \leq B \wedge X \geq 0\}.$$

The points $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\sigma}^2)$ corresponding to efficient portfolios constitute the efficient points in the (μ, σ^2) plane, sometimes called the critical line of the

problem. If we start with $\lambda=0$ and next raise λ , we get different efficient portfolios. For specific values of λ , there is a change in the basis; suppose these values are $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_k$ and corresponding efficient solutions are $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_k$. We form the (sub)sequence $\bar{X}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{X}_{j_h}$ from $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_k$ for which the $(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\sigma}^2)$ combinations are different. This (sub)sequence is called the set of corner portfolios. We have

$$M' \bar{X}_{j_i} < M' \bar{X}_{j_{i+1}} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\bar{X}'_{j_i} \mathcal{C} \bar{X}_{j_i} < \bar{X}'_{j_{i+1}} \mathcal{C} \bar{X}_{j_{i+1}}. \quad (23)$$

The critical line in the (μ, σ^2) plane has the following properties.

- a. Between the (μ, σ^2) points of two adjacent corner portfolios, it is part of a strictly convex parabola.
- b. On the segments mentioned in a, the relation

$$\left[\frac{d\sigma^2}{d\mu} \right]_{(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\sigma}^2)} = \bar{\lambda} \quad (24)$$

holds.

- c. For \mathcal{C} positive definite every point of the critical line (= every efficient portfolio \bar{X}_b) satisfies

$$\bar{X}_b = A + D\bar{\lambda} \quad (25)$$

with A and D constants which can be explicitly computed; moreover $\mu(\bar{X}_b)$ is a linear function of $\bar{\lambda}$ with coefficient $\neq 0$.

Only property b is well known from literature, cf. Markowitz (1956) p. 16, or Zangwill (1969) p. 66-68. We shall now prove properties a and c.

Proof of property a.

We consider a part of the critical line between two adjacent corner portfolios, so the efficient portfolios that are convex combinations of these corner portfolios. For simplicity we note these corner portfolios not as X_{j_i} and $X_{j_{i+1}}$ but as X_i and X_{i+1} .

The efficient portfolios of this part of the critical line can be written as:

$$\bar{X} = \alpha(X_i - X_{i+1}) + X_{i+1} \quad \alpha \in [0,1].$$

With (18) and (19) it follows:

$$\mu(\bar{X}) = \alpha M'(X_i - X_{i+1}) + M'X_{i+1} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\sigma^2(\bar{X}) = \alpha^2(X_i - X_{i+1})' \mathcal{C} (X_i - X_{i+1}) + 2\alpha(X_i - X_{i+1})' \mathcal{C} X_{i+1} + X_{i+1}' \mathcal{C} X_{i+1}. \quad (27)$$

Elimination of α from (26) and substitution in (27) gives a quadratic expression of $\sigma^2(\bar{X})$ as a function of $\mu(\bar{X})$ with as a coefficient of $\mu(\bar{X})^2$

$$\frac{(X_i - X_{i+1})' \mathcal{C} (X_i - X_{i+1})}{\{M'(X_i - X_{i+1})\}^2}.$$

This coefficient is positive, because (22) gives

$$\{M'(X_i - X_{i+1})\}^2 > 0,$$

and (23) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (X_i - X_{i+1})' \mathcal{C} (X_i - X_{i+1}) &= \sigma^2(X_i - X_{i+1}) = \sigma^2(\underline{r}(X_i) - \underline{r}(X_{i+1})) \geq \\ &\geq (\sigma(\underline{r}(X_i)) - \sigma(\underline{r}(X_{i+1})))^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows directly that $\sigma^2(\bar{X})$ is a strictly convex function of $\mu(\bar{X})$.

Proof of property c.

For efficient portfolios $X = \bar{X}$ with $\mu_{\min} < \mu(\bar{X}) < \mu_{\max}$ there exist numbers $\bar{\lambda}$ and \bar{t}_i ($i \in \mathcal{F}$) satisfying (14) ... (17). Specializing to the problem of this section, combining the Lagrange multipliers of the conditions (20) in $U' = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$, those of (21) in $V' = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and adding slack variables y_1, \dots, y_m to (20), (14) and (15) reduce to

$$-2 \mathcal{C} \bar{X} - \mathcal{A}' \bar{U} + \bar{V} = -\bar{\lambda} M \quad (28)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} \bar{X} + \bar{Y} = B \quad (29)$$

$$\bar{X} \geq 0.$$

An expression which holds for every efficient portfolio can be derived as follows. Denote the basic variables of X by X_b and the corresponding parts of M , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{A} by M_{b_1} , \mathcal{C}_{b_1} and \mathcal{A}_{b_1} , then as will be shown in appendix A, \bar{X}_b can be written as

$$\bar{X}_b = A + D \bar{\lambda} \quad (25)$$

with

$$A = \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} B_{b_1} \quad (30)$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} - \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1}] M_{b_1}. \quad (31)$$

Substituting (25) into (18) and (19), we get

$$\mu(\bar{X}_b) = M_{b_1}' A + M_{b_1}' D \bar{\lambda} \quad (32)$$

$$\sigma^2(\bar{X}_b) = A' \mathcal{C}_{b_1} A + 2 A' \mathcal{C}_{b_1} D \bar{\lambda} + D' \mathcal{C}_{b_1} D \bar{\lambda}^2. \quad (33)$$

Furthermore in appendix B it will be shown that

$$M'_{b_1} D \neq 0. \quad (34)$$

for every efficient portfolio.

Remark 2.

Using the formulae (32) and (33) it can be shown that if \mathcal{C} is positive definite, the critical line needs not to be differentiable everywhere on the open interval (μ_{\min}, μ_{\max}) . Dr. J. Vörös from Pécz University (Hungary) provided us the following example.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & 11 & 23 \\ -1 & 23 & 75 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A} = (1 \quad 1 \quad 1), B = (1).$$

The critical line of this problem is not differentiable in the point corresponding to the corner portfolio $X' = (0 \quad 1 \quad 0)$. On the parabola to the left of this point the variables x_1 and x_2 are in the basis, on the righthand side the variables x_2 and x_3 . For the point $\mu = 3$, $\sigma^2 = 11$ the lefthand side and righthand side derivative can be computed as follows. Substitute the corresponding parts of M , \mathcal{C}^{-1} , \mathcal{A} and B into (32) and (33) and next eliminate λ . It then turns out that $\lim_{\mu \uparrow 3} \frac{d\sigma^2}{d\mu} = 8$ and $\lim_{\mu \downarrow 3} \frac{d\sigma^2}{d\mu} = 12$.

Appendix A.

Proof of the formulae (25), (30) and (31).

We rewrite the equations (28) and (29), omitting the bars, to get variables X, Y, U and V , as follows

X'	Y'	U'	V'	
$-2 \mathcal{C}$	\mathcal{O}	$-\mathcal{A}'$	\mathcal{F}	$-\bar{\lambda}M$
\mathcal{A}	\mathcal{F}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	B

(35)

Let

$$\bar{z}'_b = (\bar{x}'_b, \bar{y}'_b, \bar{u}'_b, \bar{v}'_b) \quad (36)$$

be the feasible basic solution belonging to the efficient portfolio, then (35) can be partitioned into

X'_b	X'_{nb}	Y'_b	Y'_{nb}	U'_b	U'_{nb}	V'_b	V'_{nb}	
$-2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1}$	$-2 \mathcal{C}_{nb_1}$	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	$-\mathcal{A}'_{b_1}$	$-\mathcal{A}'_{nb_1}$	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{F}	$-\bar{\lambda}M_{b_1}$
$-2 \mathcal{C}_{b_2}$	$-2 \mathcal{C}_{nb_2}$	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	$-\mathcal{A}'_{nb_1}$	$-\mathcal{A}'_{nb_2}$	\mathcal{F}	\mathcal{O}	$-\bar{\lambda}M_{b_2}$
\mathcal{A}_{b_1}	\mathcal{A}_{nb_1}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{F}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	B_{b_1}
\mathcal{A}_{b_2}	\mathcal{A}_{nb_2}	\mathcal{F}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	\mathcal{O}	B_{b_2}

(37)

The matrix $-2 \mathcal{C}$ is partitioned into the square matrices $-2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1}$ and $-2 \mathcal{C}_{nb_2}$ corresponding to basic and non-basic variables x_j and into $-2 \mathcal{C}_{b_2}$ and $-2 \mathcal{C}_{nb_1}$

with $\mathcal{C}_{b_2} = \mathcal{C}'_{nb_1}$. \mathcal{A}_{b_1} and \mathcal{A}_{nb_1} represent the active constraints, \mathcal{A}_{b_2} and \mathcal{A}_{nb_2} the non-active constraints. Therefore we get identity matrices in the fourth place of the Y'_b column and the third place of the Y'_{nb} column. The other partitions are evident.

The matrix of basic vectors is

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} & -\mathcal{A}'_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} \\ -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_2} & \mathcal{O} & -\mathcal{A}'_{nb_1} & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{A}_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{A}_{b_2} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix} \quad (38).$$

To facilitate computations we reshuffle rows and columns into

$$B_v = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & -\mathcal{A}'_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{A}_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_2} & -\mathcal{A}'_{nb_1} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{A}_{b_2} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \quad (39).$$

The values of the basic variables are

$$\bar{z}_{bv} = \mathcal{B}_v^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B_{b_1} \\ 0 \\ B_{b_2} \end{bmatrix} - \bar{\lambda} \mathcal{B}_v^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} M_{b_1} \\ 0 \\ M_{b_2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

with $\bar{z}'_{bv} = (\bar{x}'_b, \bar{u}'_b, \bar{v}'_b, \bar{y}'_b)$. In order to get an explicit expression for \bar{x}_b we compute \mathcal{B}_v^{-1} :

$$\mathcal{B}_v^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} & \begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & -A'_{b_1} \\ A_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & & \mathcal{O} \\ \hline - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_2} & -A'_{nb_1} \\ A_{b_2} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & -A'_{b_1} \\ A_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{F} \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right] \quad (41).$$

Because \mathcal{B}_v has an inverse, $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & -A'_{b_1} \\ A_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$ exists and since \mathcal{C} is positive definite $\mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1}$ exists and also $(A_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} A'_{b_1})^{-1}$, cf. Hadley (1961) pp 107-109. Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \mathcal{C}_{b_1} & -A'_{b_1} \\ A_{b_1} & \mathcal{O} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} =$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} & \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \\ \hline -(\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} & -2(\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \end{array} \right] \quad (42).$$

Substitution of (42) in (41) and the result into (40) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_b &= \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} B_{b_1} + \\ &+ \bar{\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \right] M_{b_1}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A = \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} B_{b_1} \quad (30)$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} - \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}' (\mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1}')^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{b_1} \mathcal{C}_{b_1}^{-1} \right] M_{b_1}, \quad (31)$$

as was to be proved.

Appendix B.

Proof of formula (34).

We use the fact that an efficient portfolio with expected value $\bar{\mu}$ solves problem (7), which in this case reduces to, maximize

$$-X' C X$$

subject to

$$A X \leq B$$

$$M'X \geq \bar{\mu}$$

$$X \geq 0.$$

The Kuhn and Tucker conditions with Lagrange multipliers \bar{U} , $\bar{\lambda}_1$ and \bar{V} and slack variables \bar{Y} and \bar{y}_{n+1} are

$$-2 C \bar{X} \quad - A' \bar{U} + M \bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{V} = 0 \quad (43)$$

$$A \bar{X} + \bar{Y} \quad = B \quad (44)$$

$$M' \bar{X} \quad - \bar{y}_{n+1} \quad = \bar{\mu} \quad (45)$$

$$\bar{X}' \bar{V} + \bar{Y}' \bar{U} + \bar{y}_{n+1} \cdot \bar{\lambda}_1 = 0.$$

$$\bar{X} \geq 0, \bar{Y} \geq 0, \bar{y}_{n+1} \geq 0, \bar{U} \geq 0, \bar{V} \geq 0.$$

For the equations (43), (44), (45), vector (36) completed with $\bar{\lambda}_1$, forms a basic solution. Reordering in the same way as (39), the matrix of basic vectors changes into

$$B_v^* = \begin{bmatrix} B_v & K \\ L' & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$L' = \begin{pmatrix} M'_{b_1} & 0' & 0' & 0' \end{pmatrix} \quad (46)$$

and

$$K' = \begin{pmatrix} M'_{b_1} & 0' & M'_{b_2} & 0' \end{pmatrix} \quad (47).$$

B_v^* has an inverse, so $(B_v^*)^{-1}$ exists, just as B_v^{-1} and $(L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1}$, cf. again Hadley (1961) pp. 107-109. Now

$$(B_v^*)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_v^{-1} - B_v^{-1} K (L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1} L' B_v^{-1} & B_v^{-1} K (L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1} \\ (L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1} L' B_v^{-1} & -(L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substitution of (46), (41) and (47) in $-(L' B_v^{-1} K)^{-1}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2} [M'_{b_1} \{ C_{b_1}^{-1} - C_{b_1}^{-1} A_{b_1}' (A_{b_1} C_{b_1}^{-1} A_{b_1}')^{-1} A_{b_1} C_{b_1}^{-1} \} M_{b_1}]^{-1},$$

which is, but for a constant, the reciprocal of the left hand side of (34).

Acknowledgment.

The authors thank the referee G. van der Hoek and T. Snijders for their comments, which led to several improvements of an earlier version and J. van den Bergh for his assistance in making the computations.

References.

- Hadley G. (1961), Linear Algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading.
 Markowitz H.M. (1956), The Optimization of a Quadratic Function subject to Linear Constraints, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 3 pp. 111-133.
 Markowitz H.M. (1959), Portfolio Selection, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
 Zangwill, W.J. (1969), Nonlinear Programming: A Unified Approach, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J..

IN 1987 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 242 Gerard van den Berg
Nonstationarity in job search theory
- 243 Annie Cuyt, Brigitte Verdonk
Block-tridiagonal linear systems and branched continued fractions
- 244 J.C. de Vos, W. Vervaat
Local Times of Bernoulli Walk
- 245 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Rob Willemse
Some methodological issues in the implementation
of subjective poverty definitions
- 246 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel
Sampling for Quality Inspection and Correction: AOQL Performance
Criteria
- 247 D.B.J. Schouten
Algemene theorie van de internationale conjuncturele en structurele
afhankelijkheden
- 248 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, J.J. Seidel, E. Spence
On (v,k,λ) graphs and designs with trivial automorphism group
- 249 Peter M. Kort
The Influence of a Stochastic Environment on the Firm's Optimal Dynamic
Investment Policy
- 250 R.H.J.M. Gradus
Preliminary version
The reaction of the firm on governmental policy: a game-theoretical
approach
- 251 J.G. de Gooijer, R.M.J. Heuts
Higher order moments of bilinear time series processes with symmetrically
distributed errors
- 252 P.H. Stevers, P.A.M. Versteijne
Evaluatie van marketing-activiteiten
- 253 H.P.A. Mulders, A.J. van Reeken
DATAAL - een hulpmiddel voor onderhoud van gegevensverzamelingen
- 254 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn
On the identifiability of household production functions with joint
products: A comment
- 255 B. van Riel
Was er een profit-squeeze in de Nederlandse industrie?
- 256 R.P. Gilles
Economies with coalitional structures and core-like equilibrium concepts

- 257 P.H.M. Ruys, G. van der Laan
Computation of an industrial equilibrium
- 258 W.H. Haemers, A.E. Brouwer
Association schemes
- 259 G.J.M. van den Boom
Some modifications and applications of Rubinstein's perfect equilibrium model of bargaining
- 260 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Competition, Risk Neutrality and Loan Commitments
- 261 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell
Collateral and Borrower Risk
- 262 A. Kapteyn, I. Woittiez
Preference Interdependence and Habit Formation in Family Labor Supply
- 263 B. Bettonvil
A formal description of discrete event dynamic systems including perturbation analysis
- 264 Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger
A monthly model for the monetary policy in the Netherlands
- 265 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies
- 266 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation
- 267 Aart de Zeeuw
Inflation and reputation: comment
- 268 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg
Difference games and policy evaluation: a conceptual framework
- 269 Frederick van der Ploeg
Rationing in open economy and dynamic macroeconomics: a survey
- 270 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman
Computing economic equilibria by variable dimension algorithms: state of the art
- 271 C.A.J.M. Dirven and A.J.J. Talman
A simplicial algorithm for finding equilibria in economies with linear production technologies
- 272 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Consistent estimation of regression models with incompletely observed exogenous variables
- 273 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm
Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in arima - models

- 274 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
The net present value of governmental policy: a possible way to find the Stackelberg solutions
- 275 Jack P.C. Kleijnen
A DSS for production planning: a case study including simulation and optimization
- 276 A.M.H. Gerards
A short proof of Tutte's characterization of totally unimodular matrices
- 277 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg
Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy
- 278 Peter M. Kort
The net present value in dynamic models of the firm
- 279 Th. van de Klundert
A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-Discriminating Monopolists
- 280 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing
- 281 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor
Appendix: "Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing"
- 282 Arnoud Boot, Anjan V. Thakor and Gregory F. Udell
Credible commitments, contract enforcement problems and banks: intermediation as credibility assurance
- 283 Eduard Ponds
Wage bargaining and business cycles a Goodwin-Nash model
- 284 Prof.Dr. hab. Stefan Mynarski
The mechanism of restoring equilibrium and stability in polish market
- 285 P. Meulendijks
An exercise in welfare economics (II)
- 286 S. Jørgensen, P.M. Kort, G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel
Optimal investment, financing and dividends: a Stackelberg differential game
- 287 E. Nijssen, W. Reijnders
Privatisering en commercialisering; een oriëntatie ten aanzien van verzelfstandiging
- 288 C.B. Mulder
Inefficiency of automatically linking unemployment benefits to private sector wage rates

- 289 M.H.C. Paardekooper
A Quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi process for almost diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues
- 290 Pieter H.M. Ruys
Industries with private and public enterprises
- 291 J.J.A. Moors & J.C. van Houwelingen
Estimation of linear models with inequality restrictions
- 292 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Vakantiebestemming en -bestedingen
- 293 Rob Alessie, Raymond Gradus, Bertrand Melenberg
The problem of not observing small expenditures in a consumer expenditure survey
- 294 F. Boekema, L. Oerlemans, A.J. Hendriks
Kansrijkheid en economische potentie: Top-down en bottom-up analyses
- 295 Rob Alessie, Bertrand Melenberg, Guglielmo Weber
Consumption, Leisure and Earnings-Related Liquidity Constraints: A Note
- 296 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
Estimation of the indirect translog demand system with binding non-negativity constraints

IN 1988 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 297 Bert Bettonvil
Factor screening by sequential bifurcation
- 298 Robert P. Gilles
On perfect competition in an economy with a coalitional structure
- 299 Willem Selen, Ruud M. Heuts
Capacitated Lot-Size Production Planning in Process Industry

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01065949 9