

1	
2	DR. MUHAMMAD SAJID ARSHAD (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-9564-886X)
3	DR. MUHAMMAD KAMRAN KHAN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7989-4219)
4	DR. HAFIZ ANSAR RASUL SULERIA (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-2450-0830)
5	
6	
7	Article type : Original Article
8	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
9	
10	Evaluation of Gamma Irradiation and Moringa Leaf Powder on Quality
11	Characteristics of Meat Balls under Different Packaging Materials
12	Ω
13	Muhammad Faisal Nisar ¹ , Muhammad Sajid Arshad ¹ *, Muhammad Yasin ² , Muhammad Kamran
14	Khan ¹ , Muhammad Afzaal ¹ , Saira Sattar ¹ and Hafiz Ansar Rasul Suleria ³
15	¹ Department of Food Science, Nutrition and Home Economics, Government College University,
16	Faisalabad-Pakistan
17	² Food Science Division, Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture, Pakistan Atomic Energy
18	Commission Peshawar-Pakistan.
19	³ Department of Agriculture and Food Systems, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne 3010,
20	Australia
21	
22	*Corresponding author: E-mail: sajid_ft@yahoo.com, msajidarshad@gcuf.edu.pk
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may

lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/JFPP.14748</u>

24

36 Abstract

This study was carried out to investigate the effects of irradiation doses (0, 1.5 and 3kGy) on the 37 physicochemical and microbial qualities of meat balls with or without moringa leaf powder 38 (MLP) and 14 days under refrigerated storage. The results indicated that irradiation and storage 39 caused significant changes on physicochemical attributes of meatballs and the decreasing trend 40 in stability was observed in meatballs stored under aerobic packaging. Highest pH and TVBN 41 were observed in samples treated with 3kGy at day 14 in aerobic packaging without MLP. The 42 addition of MLP and higher doses of irradiation reduced the total aerobic bacteria and coliforms 43 counts. Different treatments did not affect the sensory quality of chicken samples. Hence, it was 44 concluded that irradiation dose at 1.5kGy with the addition of MLP and vacuum packaging may 45 46 enhanced the safety, quality as well as stability of chicken meat during storage intervals.

Keywords. Aerobic and vacuum packaging, gamma irradiation, moringa leaf powder, quality *attributes, sensory evaluation*

49 **Practical application**

The health benefits of meat and its products make them popular among the consumers. Hence, this study indicates the significance of irradiation (at low dose) in preserving the meat for long time without causing immense deteriorative changes in its quality. Moreover, the addition of moringa leaf powder (a natural antioxidant) and type of packaging (vacuum packaging) plays a vital role in maintaining the shelf life and stabilizing the safety of meat without causing major changes in its physicochemical, functional and sensory characteristics.

56 Introduction

57 Meat consumption is considered as highly esteem diet in most places around the world due to its 58 high nutritional value. Meat is regarded as a rich source of zinc, essential amino acids, heme iron 59 and bioavailable B vitamins (Pereira & Vicente, 2013). It is the first-choice source of animal 60 protein for many people all over the world (Ekmekcioglu et al., 2018).

The need for ensuring meat products of high quality, consumer attractiveness and cost 61 effectiveness has made the industrialists, meat processors, distributors and retailers to confirm 62 the availability of these required features (Valdramidis & Koutsoumanis, 2016). It is not easy for 63 meat-based products to make stable its shelf life in dynamic market due to its high perishability 64 character without employing some appropriate preservation techniques. To enhance the shelf life 65 of meat and its products various traditional preservation approaches have been utilized such as 66 dehydration; heat processing, low temperature preservation, smoking and curing, but these 67 conventional preservation methods have certain restrictions such as average shelf life, expansive 68 and less stability. Moreover, numerous environmental and safety issues are also linked with the 69 application of these methods that may develop serious environmental and health issues. 70 Therefore, for safety enhancement of meat innovative techniques must be used in spite of these 71 72 traditional methods that do not cause adverse effects on health (Troy et al., 2016). Thus, a wide 73 range of novel processing, packaging and preservation methods has been emerging to increase the shelf stability and quality of meat products. These innovative techniques preserve the meat 74 without disturbing the quality and sensory characteristics of muscle food (Feng et al., 2018). 75

Researchers have investigated a novel method that is the use of radiation for the decontamination of meat from different food and meat borne pathogens. It is quite efficient method as it has less residual effect on meat products in comparison to the use of different chemicals for decontamination (FAO, 2016). Radiation was first time applied in food materials at the institute of technology in Massachusetts. It was documented that irradiation can enhance the shelf life and eradicate the microorganisms of food product (Fadhel et al., 2016).

Irradiation preserves food products with minimal harm to the nutritional, functional and sensory 82 attributes and has recently become one of the effective techniques for the preservation of food 83 products. Irradiation is considered as preservation technology and gaining importance in muscle 84 food worldwide (Bhat et al., 2016). All types of pathogenic microorganism in meat can be 85 86 decontaminated by irradiation application but it also has negative effect as it reduces the quality 87 due to change in oxidation reduction environment (Ahn et al., 2013). The gamma irradiation can also be applied on different fruits processing for enhancing the safety and shelf life (Panou et al., 88 2020). 89

90 Another major issue in maintaining the quality of meat is lipid oxidation (Feng & Ahn, 2016). Anti-oxidant addition can resolve the meat oxidation issue. The use of natural preservative is a 91 promising technology to enhance the shelf-life of meat and meat products. Natural preservatives 92 includes plants, herbs, fruits and vegetables powders or extracts have antimicrobial and 93 94 antioxidant properties. Moringa oleifera is one of the naturally founded antioxidant which is also 95 called as drumstick or horse radish tree is native to Southeast Asia, Arabia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, India, Africa and South America (Falowo et al., 2017). Moringa oleifera L. leaf 96 extracts have been reported in literature as edible and promising sources of natural antioxidants 97 (Bartolome et al., 2013). The leaves have unique concern in the preservation of food as they are 98 highly enriched with proteins, essential amino acids such as cystine, tryptophan, methionine and 99 100 lysine, minerals (particularly iron) and provitamin A, vitamins B and C (Oyeyinka & Oyeyinka, 2018) and also because of presence of bioactive substances such as, flavonoids, ascorbic acid, 101 carotenoids and phenolics. It plays a significant role as a natural anti-oxidant and shelf life 102 enhancer (Al-Juhaimi et al., 2016). The combination of gamma irradiation and moringa leaf 103 104 powder has synergistic effect on the safety of meat products (Nisar et al., 2019).

Application of a novel and advance techniques are useless without applying proper packaging, it
 has an active role in, safety enhancement, preserving quality and sensory characteristics of the

product. Plastics are usually used for meat as a packaging material, more specifically, laminates, in which good humidity barrier performance and polymer layers with oxygen-barrier properties like polyamide or polyethylene tetrapthalate. Packaging materials with good oxygen barrier attributes can be employed for low oxygen vacuum packaging. An air-permeable packaging is generally characterized as aerobic packaging, whereas vacuum packaging involves placing a product in a pack possessing low-oxygen permeability.

113 Considering the facts discussed above, the objective of this study was to determine the anti-114 microbial effect of irradiation with addition of moringa leaf powder as an antioxidant for safety 115 enhancement of meat and meat products, to improve the shelf life of meat with gamma 116 irradiation and to examine the stability of gamma treated meat under different storage conditions 117 (Aerobic and Vacuum) and time intervals.

118 Material and methods

119 **Procurement of raw material**

This research study was conducted at Nuclear Institute for Food and Agriculture, Peshawar (NIFA) and Institute of Home and Food Sciences, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan. The moringa leaf powder (MLP) and chicken meat were collected from the local market. Chicken was grinded and half of the meat was mixed with 2% MLP. Chicken samples were then placed in polythene bags and were transferred to NIFA for gamma irradiation. All reagents and chemicals used in this study were procured from Sigma Aldrich (Tokyo, Japan).

126 Irradiation dose

The chicken meat samples were placed in 12 packets (6 each for aerobic and vacuum packaging). The samples were than subjected to different irradiation doses (0, 1.5 and 3 kGy). After treatment the samples were placed in refrigerator storage at 4 °C up to 14 days. The physicochemical and functional analysis of treated samples was performed at 0, 7 and 14 days of interval.

132 Physicochemical analysis

133 pH

The pH was determined in a homogenate of the sample with distilled water $(1\rightarrow 10)$ using a digital pH meter (Model 520A, Orion Research inc., Boston, USA) that was calibrated with

standard pH buffers of 4.01, 7.00 and 10.01 at 25 °C. Three replicate measures were taken, and
the mean value derived.

138 Total volatile basic nitrogen value

The TVBN value was determined as described by Qiao et al., 2017. Meat sample (5 g) was 139 homogenized (Heiddph: 595-08000-00-2, Germany) with 45 mL of DW for 30 s; 5 mL of the 140 homogenate was mixed with an equal volume of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA; w/v in distilled 141 water). Thus, obtained TCA extract was used to determine the value of TVBN. One milliliter of 142 143 TVBN reagent was added into the inner well of the Conway unit, and 1 mL TCA extract was added into the outer well, followed by the addition of 1 mL saturated potassium carbonate 144 (K2CO3). The Conway unit was immediately sealed with an airtight ground glass plate, rotated 145 clockwise and counter-clockwise, and incubated at room temperature for 3 h. The TVBN reagent 146 147 in the inner well was back-titrated with 0.02 N sulfuric acid (H2SO4) until the blue coloring changed to pink. The TVBN value was calculated using the following equation 148

149 "'N"mg/mL of extract =
$$14 \times a \times b$$

150 TVBN value (mg/100mL) =
$$100 \times N$$

- 151 14 = molecular weight of nitrogen, a = normality of H2SO4,
- 152 153
- b = volume of H2SO4, (titration value)
- 154

155 Hunter color

Hunter colorimeter was used to determine the surface color values of the chicken samples, white calibration plate (L = 89.2, a = 0.921, and b = 0.783) is used as standardized measurements. An average of 3 random readings of color values (lightness (L), redness (a), and yellowness (b)) were obtained of each sample surface.

160 Heme pigment

The extraction of heme pigments from chicken meat samples were extracted following the protocol of Warriss (1979). Chicken sample (4 g) was homogenized (Heiddph: 595-08000-00-2, Germany) for 10 s at 13000 rpm with cold phosphate buffer (20 mL, 40 mM, pH 6.8). The resultant homogenate was stored for 1 hour at 4°C. The extract was then subjected to

- 165 centrifugation for 30 min at 5000 rpm, the mixture was then filtered through Whatman No.1 166 filter paper. Ultraviolet–visible (UV/VIS) spectrophotometer (U-1800, Japan) was used to 167 measure the absorbance of sample at different wavelength (525, 545, 565, and 572 nm). The 168 method of Krzywicki et al, (1982) was followed to determine the relative concentration of heme 169 pigments, such as myoglobin, oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin. The relative concentrations (%) 170 of Mb, MbO₂, and MMb were calculated using the following equations:
- 171 $[Mb] = (\overline{0.369R_1} + 1.140R_2 0.941R_3 + 0.015) \times 100$
- 172 $[OxyMb] = (0.882R_1 1.267R_2 + 0.809R_3 0.361) \times 100$
- 173 $[MetMb] = (-2.541R_1 + 0.777R_2 + 0.800R_3 + 1.098) \times 100$
- 174 Where R_1 , R_2 , R_3 are absorbance ratios A^{572}/A^{525} , A^{565}/A^{525} , A^{545}/A^{525} , respectively.

175 Microbial analysis

176 The determination of microbes (total aerobic bacteria and coliforms) in chicken samples were done according to the methodology described by Helrich, (1990). Total aerobic bacteria were 177 178 spread on Plate Count Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) in petri dishes, which were then incubated at different time and temperature (35 °C for 24-48 hour and 25 °C for 3-5 days). 179 Enumeration of coliform was performed with solid medium method by using double layer 180 VRBA (Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar, Oxoid) and VRBA (Oxoid) with 4-methlyenebelliferyl-b-181 D-glucuronide (MUG). The plates were then incubated for 18-24 hour at 35 °C. The total 182 coliforms were counted by the formation of dark red, lactase positive and 0.5-2 mm diameter 183 colonies surrounded by a reddish zone. 184

185 Storage Study

The irradiated meat samples were kept in storage for 7 and 14 days at 4°C to study the changes in its physicochemical and functional analysis throughout the storage interval (Walkling-Ribeiro et al., 2009).

189 Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation of gamma and moringa leaf powder treated chicken meatballs sample was conducted at storage interval of 0, 7, and 14 by trained panelists using a 9-point hedonic scale where "0" being the lowest and "9" being the highest score (Meilgaard et al., 2007). The chicken meatballs were analyzed for different quality attributes (appearance, taste, texture,flavor, and overall acceptability).

195 Statistical analysis

All experiments were conducted in triplicate (n=3) and an ANOVA test using SPSS version 11.5 was used to compare the mean values of each treatment. Significant differences between the means of parameters were determined by using the Tukey test (p < 0.05) (Steel & Torrie, 2012).

199 Results and Discussion

200 Physicochemical parameters

The effect of different irradiation doses on the physicochemical parameters of meat samples including pH, hunter color and heme pigment of meat samples incorporated with or without moringa leaf powder were examined. Chemical composition and nutritional quality of meat and meat products are affected by several factors including processing and storage and are also influenced by species. Results for changes in physicochemical parameters (pH, hunter color and heme pigment) of untreated and processed meat samples kept at refrigerator in aerobic and vacuum packaging for a maximum period of 14 days are presented and described.

208 pH

pH is one of the important factor as it is closely related with the stability of the bioactive 209 compounds in meat and meat products (Sánchez-Moreno et al., 2006). The pH value of meat 210 samples with or without moringa leaf powder showed significant decrement ($p \le 0.05$) with the 211 increment of irradiation doses and storage days. The mean values of pH irradiated with different 212 doses of gamma radiations, stored in aerobic and vacuum packaging are given in (table 1). The 213 pH value in aerobic and vacuum packaging on 0 day after different treatments ranged from 214 5.61±0.01 to 5.76±0.01 respectively, whereas, the pH values in aerobic packaging during storage 215 ranged from 5.70±0.01 to 6.02±0.01 and in vacuum packaging ranged from 5.71±0.02 to 216 6.06±0.01 respectively. The Higher pH (more acidic) was found with 1.5 kGy with the addition 217 of 2% MLF on storage day 0 (5.61±0.01) in both aerobic and vacuum packaging; lower pH 218 (6.06±0.01) was found with 1.5 kGy without MLF on storage day 14. This result is similar with 219 220 the findings of Chouliara et al. (2008), who concluded that pH of irradiated meat samples kept in 221 aerobic and modified atmospheric packaging tends to reduce slightly throughout the storage

period of 25 days. Pelicia et al. (2014) also determined that pH tends to decrease in meat with thehigh dose of gamma irradiation kept in both aerobic and vacuum packaging.

The increment in pH level by irradiation processing may be because of the breakdown of lactic acid production and due to hydrolysis of glycogen which accumulates in meat (Kumar et al., 2017). The stability of pH level in irradiated samples with moringa leaf powder is because the radiations and antioxidants inhibit the growth of lactic acid bacteria which causes the rise in pH in meat samples (Miyagusku et al., 2008).

229 Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVBN) value

The TVBN value of meat samples with or without moringa leaf powder showed significant 230 increment (p < 0.05) with rise of irradiation doses and storage period. The mean TVBN value of 231 232 meat samples on storage day 0 varied from 2.25±0.01 to 4.15±0.02 mg/100mL among different 233 applied treatments, whereas the TVBN value during storage in aerobic packaging ranged from 2.43±0.02 to 4.51±0.01 mg/100mL, while in vacuum packaging ranges from 2.37±0.01 to 234 4.42±0.01 mg/100mL respectively (Table 1). Higher TVBN value (4.51±0.01 mg/100mL) were 235 found in meat samples (without MLF) treated with 3 kGy irradiation dose and stored in aerobic 236 packaging for 14 days. The similar effect of high dose irradiation causing the increment in 237 TBVN value of chicken kababs was examined by Al-Bachir et al. (2010). In another study by 238 Kwon et al. (2011), it was determined that the TVBN level increases in raw and cooked chicken 239 meat when exposed to gamma radiation dose of 0-5kGy. 240

However, it was also demonstrated that the increment in TVBN values were suppressed by 241 242 irradiation during storage as compared to control and it was also observed that addition of 243 moringa leaf powder also suppressed the production of volatiles in meat samples during storage. The amount of TVBN components also vary in type of packaging, meat samples stored in 244 245 vacuum packaging showed less value of TVBN throughout the storage as compared to meat samples in aerobic packaging. This result is similar with the findings of Yun et al. (2014), who 246 247 reported that volatile compounds were enhanced by irradiation process in ready to eat chicken breast, but they tend to increase slowly as compared to un-irradiated meat samples during the 248 storage time period. Furthermore, Li et al. (2017) reported that the volatiles substances found to 249 250 be increased in high dose irradiated pork samples as compared to low dose irradiated samples during storage. The role of antioxidants in suppressing the volatiles production induced by 251

irradiation process during storage was demonstrated by Hwang et al. (2015). According to the author the mugwort extracts and ascorbic acids when added into meat samples inhibits the increment of volatile substances during storage.

The increment in TVBN level by irradiation processing may be because of the breakdown of nitrogenous compounds present in meat samples (Ahn et al, 2004). The stability of TVBN level in irradiated samples and samples with moringa leaf powder during storage might be due to the fact that irradiation and antioxidants both helps in controlling the production of spoilage bacteria which may causes high amount of TBVN value in meat samples (An et al., 2017). The results are in agreement with the findings of Arshad et al. (2019); Arshad et al. (2020).

261 Hunter color

Color is one of the most important sensory attribute of any product. The color value of meat 262 samples with or without moringa leaf powder showed significant changes ($p \le 0.05$) in all 263 264 treatments and during storage. The lightness L* value of meat samples increased till 1.5 kGy dose, whereas it showed decrement with the rise of irradiation dose. On the other hand, the a* 265 and b^{*} values increased significantly with the increment of irradiation dose (Table 2). According 266 to the obtained data the higher L*, a* and b* value was observed at 1.5 kGy with 2% MLF 267 throughout storage in vacuum packaging that ranged from 70.00±1.00 to 78.66±0.57, 4.04±0.05 268 to 7.48±0.01 and 15.55±0.09 to 13.00±0.100 respectively, while minimum L*, a* and b* values 269 were observed in control at 0 day. 270

According to our result the storage days do not show any variation in L*, a* and b* color values, 271 272 but different irradiation treatments significantly affect the color values of meat samples. Moreover, we concluded that meat samples stored in vacuum packaging show minimally 273 changes in color values as compared to meat samples stored in aerobic packaging. The 274 incorporation of natural antioxidant (moringa leaf powder) resulted in the variation in L*, a* and 275 b* color values of irradiated and non-irradiated samples throughout the storage period in both 276 types of packaging. The similar effect of storage was determined in the study of Li et al. (2017), 277 278 who examined that L*, a* and b* values of color parameters remain unchanged during storage for 14 days. However, the rise in irradiation doses enhanced the color values. Present results are 279 in agreement with Zhao et al. (2017) on effect of irradiation on quality of vacuum packed 280 chopped beef samples, also agrees with our finding. The authors concluded that color of meat 281

samples changes with the increment of irradiation dose level irrespective of storage time and packaging. The change in color as observed in irradiated meat samples incorporated with moringa leaf powder is in favor of previous study by Liz and Getty, (2013), who demonstrated that antioxidants when mixed with meat samples transfers their natural color into the meat thus causing some variation in meat color.

Irradiation degrades the water molecules in meat that generates oxidizing and reducing compounds such as hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen atoms, etc. and lead to the changes in meat color (Thakur and Singh, 1994). The oxidizing compound can convert myoglobin to metmyoglobin and can also lead to lipid oxidation by removing ferric ion from heme, whereas the oxidationreduction potential is lowered by reducing compounds in meat (Min et al., 2010).

292 Heme Pigment

The assessment of heme pigment especially myoglobin is used to observe the quality parameters 293 294 of meat like color, microbial growth, shelf life and rancidity (Chaijan et al., 2007). The heme pigment value of irradiated meat samples with or without moringa leaf powder in aerobic and 295 vacuum packaging showed significant changes ($p \le 0.05$) among all treatments and storage days. 296 297 The mean values of myoglobin, oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin are shown in Table 3. The amount of myoglobin varied from 28.98±0.86 to 34.08±0.06 %, oxymyoglobin ranged from 298 8.98±0.15 to 11.77±0.06 % and metmyoglobin ranged from 42.12±0.31 to 45.05±0.04 % 299 respectively, on storage day 0. The higher value of myoglobin was observed in 3 kGy samples 300 with MLF on day 0 (35.65 ± 0.03 %), higher value of oxymyoglobin was 20.61±0.12%, observed 301 at 3 kGy with MLF on day 14, while the highest value of metmyoglobin (65.90±0.07 %) was 302 observed on day 14 at 3 kGy without MLF in vacuum packaging. 303

304 According to present results, the myoglobin pigment decreased, whereas the oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin pigments increased with the rise in irradiation dose level and storage days in both 305 aerobic and vacuum packaging. This result correlates with the findings of An et al. (2017) who 306 reported that heme pigments of irradiated smoked duck meat increased during storage except 307 myoglobin which tends to decrease with the increase in storage days. Reddy et al. (2015), also 308 309 reported that myoglobin pigment decreased during storage, whereas, oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin increased as the storage day increases in irradiated samples of mutton kheema. In 310 our study, we also found that the heme pigments level in the meat samples incorporated with 311

moringa leaf powder though exhibited the same trend as meat samples without the antioxidant, but the level of heme pigments is greater in those samples as compared to ones without antioxidants. Cunha et al. (2018), also examined that addition of antioxidants in meat samples slightly inhibits the degradation of myoglobin during storage, thus provided better contents of heme pigments in meat samples.

The irradiation process through many different mechanisms causes the catabolism of myoglobin pigment into oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin pigments thus resulting in decrement of myoglobin level and increment in other two pigment compounds. Myoglobin possess prooxidant capacities by many ways as it could act as a free radical by decomposing hydroperoxide. free radicals helps in lipid oxidation and produces hydroxyl radicals from water that converts myoglobin into metmyoglobin due to ionizing radiation (Aliakbarlu et al., 2015).

323 Microbial analysis

324 The effect of different irradiation doses on the microbes of irradiated and non-irradiated meat samples with or without moringa leaf powder were examined before and after storage under 325 vacuum and aerobic packaging. Total aerobic bacteria and coliform values of irradiated meat 326 samples with or without moringa leaf powder showed significant changes ($p \le 0.05$) in all 327 treatment and storage time interval (Table 4). The results of total bacterial count in meat samples 328 after being exposed to irradiation processing, demonstrate that irradiation have the great potential 329 in minimizing and eliminating the microbes from the meat and meat products. The highest value 330 of TAB was found in control (9.81±0.04 log CFU/g) on day 14 in aerobic packaging, whereas 331 332 the lowest TAB value was observed in 3 kGy treated sample w/ MLF (4.17±0.02 log CFU.g) on day 0. Coliforms were only discovered in control samples with or without MLF, whereas, they 333 334 were absent in all irradiated meat samples. The similar effect of gamma irradiation eradicating the microbes from fried chicken dices was observed by Chen et al. (2016). Kanatt et al. (2010), 335 336 also examined the effect of irradiation in controlling the growth of microorganism in different 337 meat products. The gamma radiation eradicating the no of coliforms in smoked duck meat samples was also reported by An et al. (2017). Moreover, according to our result, the 338 incorporation of Moringa leaf powder in meat samples act as a resistant against microbial 339 340 growth, therefore, the no of bacterial count become more less in antioxidant meat samples after irradiation as compare to non-antioxidant irradiated meat samples. This examinations corelates 341

with the observation of Cunha et al. (2018), who demonstrate that antioxidants play a vital role inpreserving the different meat products.

Though irradiation reduced the amount of TAB but during storage their number tends to increase 344 slightly. On the other hand, irradiation eradicates the coliforms from the meat samples which do 345 not appear in storage days as well. These findings agree with the observations of Fallah et al. 346 (2010) who examined that gamma radiation inactivates pathogenic bacteria from chicken meat 347 samples, moreover very less amount of bacteria were found in irradiated samples when kept in 348 349 storage for 25 days. In another study, Mantilla et al. (2011) reported that the shelf life of chicken breast fillets improves with the irradiation treatment and when kept in storage under vacuum 350 351 packaging as compared to unirradiated samples in aerobic packaging. Henriques et al. (2013) and Arzina et al. (2012) also reported that irradiation dosage of 1.5-2.5kGy is enough to eradicate the 352 353 pathogenic bacteria in refrigerated stored meat samples. The growth of microorganism is controlled by irradiation process as it kills them with its high doses of radiation (Montiel et al., 354 355 2013).

356 Sensory evaluation

Sensory assessment of a product correlates with consumer approach, believes and awareness. 357 Product development requires an efficient hedonic evaluation for documentation and 358 interpretation of sensory results perceived by the trained panelists. The mean sensory score of 359 appearance, flavor, texture, taste and overall acceptability of formulated chicken meatballs in 360 aerobic and vacuum packaging are shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. According to present 361 362 results, different treatments did not affect the sensory result, but it showed variation with storage days and type of packaging. the highest value of appearance (8.25 ± 0.70) , flavor (7.5 ± 1.35) , 363 texture (7.1 \pm 0.77), taste (7.3 \pm 1.03), overall acceptability (7.5 \pm 0.81) was awarded to control (0 364 kGy) on day 0 in both aerobic and vacuum packaged meatballs samples. Whereas the lowest 365 366 value of appearance (6.1 ± 1.43 in aerobic packaging), flavor (6.1 ± 0.90 in vacuum packaging), texture (5.7±1.20 in aerobic packaging), taste (6.0±0.90 in vacuum packaging) and overall 367 acceptability (6.2±0.90 in vacuum packaging) is also at 0 kGy but on storage day 14. 368

The sensory attributes showed decrement in their value throughout the storage time. These findings are in accordance with Fallah et al. (2010) who concluded that appearance score of both irradiated and non-irradiated samples were good at day 1, but after storage day 15 the non-

irradiated barbecued chicken exhibit less sensory score as compared to irradiated one. Al-Bachir 372 et al. (2010) also reported that the flavor of prepared chicken kababs was well accepted by the 373 panelist without irradiation treatment on day 1, all irradiated chicken kababs were also had good 374 sensory score on day 1 and throughout the storage period, but non-irradiated samples start losing 375 their acceptability of flavor as the storage days increases. Regarding texture, Benerjee et al. 376 (2016), reported that the hardness, chewiness and gumminess in mutton patties were lower in 377 control on day 0, as compared to irradiated mutton patties. Furthermore, Gertzou et al. (2017) 378 reported that sensory attributes are better with combination of vacuum packaging and ozonation. 379 In another study by Kanatt et al. (2005), it was observed that un-irradiated meat products 380 exhibited good taste on day 1 but when kept in storage their taste become less accepted due to 381 bacteria which altered the taste as compared to irradiated meat products which inhibited the 382 383 growth of pathogenic bacteria. Irradiation facilitated the lipid oxidation which cause changes in sensory attributes and made the product less acceptable, but overall acceptability was in the 384 range of acceptance. 385

386 Conclusion

This study indicated that irradiation preserves food products with minimal harm to the 387 nutritional, functional and sensory attributes at lower doses. Phytochemicals originating from 388 moringa leaf powder play an important role in stabilizing and protecting the fat, amino acid and 389 other physicochemical and functional components in meat and meat products. The type of 390 391 packaging helped in extending the shelf life of meat and meat products. The irradiation doses minimize the total aerobic bacteria and eliminates the coliform from the meat samples during 392 storage. Different treatments did not affect the sensory quality of chicken meatballs, but the 393 variation was observed with the storage period. 394

395 Authors' contributions

The contribution of the each author for this manuscript was as follows, MSA and MY designed the experimental plan and MFS conducted the analysis and drafted the manuscript. MKK and MA helped in execution of the project. MARS helped in revising the manuscript and SS helped in data analysis. It is also confirmed that all the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

400 **References**

401	Ahn, D. U., Kim, I. S., & Lee, E. J. (2013). Irradiation and additive combinations on the
402	pathogen reduction and quality of poultry meat. <i>Poultry science</i> , 92(2), 534-545.
403	
404	Ahn, D. U., & Lee, E. J. (2004). Mechanisms and prevention of off-odor production and color
405	changes in irradiated meat. Irradiation of food and packaging: Recent developments, 875,
406	43-76.
407	Ahn, D. U., Lee, E. J., & Mendonca, A. (2017). Meat decontamination by irradiation Advanced
408	Technologies for Meat Processing, Second Edition (pp. 197-226): CRC Press.
409	
410	Al-Bachir, M., Farah, S., & Othman, Y. (2010). Influence of gamma irradiation and storage on
411	the microbial load, chemical and sensory quality of chicken kabab. Radiation Physics and
412	Chemistry, 79(8), 900-905
413	
414	Al-Juhaimi, F., Ghafoor, K., Hawashin, M. D., Alsawmahi, O. N., & Babiker, E. E. (2016).
415	Effects of different levels of Moringa (Moringa oleifera) seed flour on quality attributes
416	of beef burgers. CyTA-Journal of Food, 14(1), 1-9
417	
418	Aliakbarlu, J., Mohammadi, S., & Khalili, S. (2014). A Study on Antioxidant Potency and
419	Antibacterial Activity of Water Extracts of Some Spices Widely Consumed in I ranian
420	Diet. Journal of food biochemistry, 38(2), 159-166
421	
422	An, K. A., Arshad, M. S., Jo, Y., Chung, N., & Kwon, J. H. (2017). E-Beam Irradiation for
423	Improving the Microbiological Quality of Smoked Duck Meat with Minimum Effects on
424	Physicochemical Properties During Storage. Journal of food science, 82(4), 865-872.
425	
426	Arshad, M. S., Kwon, J. H., Ahmad, R. S., Ameer, K., Ahmad, S., & Jo, Y. (2020). Influence of
427	E-beam irradiation on microbiological and physicochemical properties and fatty acid
428	profile of frozen duck meat. Food Science & Nutrition, 8(2), 1020-1029.
429	Arshad, M. S., Amjad, Z., Yasin, M., Saeed, F., Imran, A., Sohaib, M., & Hussain, S. (2019).
430	Quality and stability evaluation of chicken meat treated with gamma irradiation and
431	turmeric powder. International Journal of Food Properties, 22(1), 154-172.

432	Arzina, H., Hasan, M. Z., Al-Mahin, A., & Or-Rashid, H. (2012). Effect of gamma radiation and
433	low temperature on pathogenic Staphylococcus aureus isolated from pizza. Am. J. Food
434	<i>Technol</i> , 7, 204-213
435	
436	Bartolome, A. P., Villaseñor, I. M., & Yang, WC. (2013). Bidens pilosa L.(Asteraceae):
437	botanical properties, traditional uses, phytochemistry, and pharmacology. Evidence-based
438	complementary and alternative medicine, 2013
439	
440	Bhat, N. A., Wani, I. A., Hamdani, A. M., Gani, A., & Masoodi, F. (2016). Physicochemical
441	properties of whole wheat flour as affected by gamma irradiation. LWT-Food Science and
442	<i>Technology</i> , 71, 175-183
443	Banerjee, R., Jayathilakan, K., Chauhan, O. P., Naveena, B. M., Devatkal, S., & Kulkarni, V. V.
444	(2017). Vacuum Packaged Mutton Patties: Comparative Effects of High Pressure
445	Processing and Irradiation. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 41(1), e12880.
446	
447	Chaijan M, Benjakul S, Visessanguan W, Lee S, Faustman C. 2007. The effect of freezing and
448	aldehydes on the interaction between fish myoglobin and myofibrillar proteins. J Agric
449	Food Chem 55(11):4562–8.
450	
451	Chen, Q., Cao, M., Chen, H., Gao, P., Fu, Y., Liu, M., & Huang, M. (2016). Effects of gamma
452	irradiation on microbial safety and quality of stir fry chicken dices with hot chili during
453	Storage. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 127, 122-126.
454	
455	Chouliara, E., Badeka, A., Savvaidis, I., & Kontominas, M. G. (2008). Combined effect of
456	irradiation and modified atmosphere packaging on shelf-life extension of chicken breast
457	meat: microbiological, chemical and sensory changes. European Food Research and
458	Technology, 226(4), 877-888.
459	Conway, E. (1947). Microdiffusion analysis and volumetric error. Crosby Lockwood And Son
460	Ltd.; London

461	Cunha, L. C., Monteiro, M. L. G., Lorenzo, J. M., Munekata, P. E., Muchenje, V., de Carvalho,
462	F. A. L., & Conte-Junior, C. A. (2018). Natural antioxidants in processing and storage
463	stability of sheep and goat meat products. Food Research International, 111, 379-390.
464	Ekmekcioglu, C., Wallner, P., Kundi, M., Weisz, U., Haas, W., & Hutter, HP. (2018). Red
465	meat, diseases, and healthy alternatives: A critical review. Critical reviews in food
466	science and nutrition, 58(2), 247-261.
467	
468	Fadhel, Y. B., Leroy, V., Dussault, D., St-Yves, F., Lauzon, M., Salmieri, S., Lacroix, M.
469	(2016). Combined effects of marinating and γ -irradiation in ensuring safety, protection of
470	nutritional value and increase in shelf-life of ready-to-cook meat for
471	immunocompromised patients. Meat Science, 118, 43-51.
472	
473	Fallah, A. A., Saei-Dehkordi, S. S., Rahnama, M., Tahmasby, H., & Mahzounieh, M. (2012).
474	Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Listeria species isolated from poultry
475	products marketed in Iran. Food Control, 28(2), 327-332.
476	
477	Falowo, A., Muchenje, V., Hugo, A., Aiyegoro, O., & Fayemi, P. (2017). Antioxidant activities
478	of Moringa oleifera L. and Bidens pilosa L. leaf extracts and their effects on oxidative
479	stability of ground raw beef during refrigeration storage. CyTA-Journal of Food, 15(2),
480	249-256.
481	
482	FAO, I. (2016). WFP (2015), The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. Meeting the 2015
483	international hunger targets: taking stock of uneven progress. Food and Agriculture
484	Organization Publications, Rome.
485	
486	Feng, X., & Ahn, D. U. (2016). Volatile profile, lipid oxidation and protein oxidation of
487	irradiated ready-to-eat cured turkey meat products. Radiation Physics and Chemistry,
488	127, 27-33.
489	

490	Feng, X., Jo, C., Nam, K. C., & Ahn, D. U. (2018). Effect of irradiation on the parameters that
491	influence quality characteristics of raw beef round eye. Innovative Food Science &
492	Emerging Technologies, 45, 115-121.
493	
494	Gertzou, I. N., Karabagias, I. K., Drosos, P. E., & Riganakos, K. A. (2017). Effect of
495	combination of ozonation and vacuum packaging on shelf life extension of fresh chicken
496	legs during storage under refrigeration. Journal of Food Engineering, 213, 18-26.
497	Helrich, K. (1990). Official methods of analysis of the AOAC International: Arlington, US:
498	Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 1990.
499	
500	Henriques-Normark, B., & Tuomanen, E. I. (2013). The pneumococcus: epidemiology,
501	microbiology, and pathogenesis. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine, 3(7),
502	a010215.
503	Hwang, K. E., Kim, H. W., Song, D. H., Kim, Y. J., Ham, Y. K., Lee, J. W., & Kim, C. J.
504	(2015). Effects of antioxidant combinations on shelf stability of irradiated chicken
505	sausage during storage. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 106, 315-319.
506	
507	Kanatt, S. R., Chander, R., Radhakrishna, P., & Sharma, A. (2005). Potato peel extract a natural
508	antioxidant for retarding lipid peroxidation in radiation processed lamb meat. Journal of
509	Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 53(5), 1499-1504.
510	
511	Kanatt, S. R., Rao, M. S., Chawla, S. P., & Sharma, A. (2010). Shelf-life extension of
512	convenience meat products sold in Indian supermarkets by radiation
513	processing. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 79(12), 1259-1263.
514	
515	Krzywicki, K. (1982). The determination of haem pigments in meat. Meat Science, 7(1), 29-36.
516	
517	Kumar, S., Khadka, M., Mishra, R., Kohli, D., & Upadhaya, S. (2017). Effects of conventional
518	and microwave heating pasteurization on physiochemical properties of pomelo (Citrus
519	maxima) juice. Journal of Food Processing and Technology, 8(7).
520	

521	Kwon, J. H., Akram, K., Nam, K. C., Lee, E. J., & Ahn, D. U. (2011). Evaluation of radiation-
522	induced compounds in irradiated raw or cooked chicken meat during storage. Poultry
523	science, 90(11), 2578-2583
524	
525	Li, C., He, L., Jin, G., Ma, S., Wu, W., & Gai, L. (2017). Effect of different irradiation dose
526	treatment on the lipid oxidation, instrumental color and volatiles of fresh pork and their
527	changes during storage. Meat science, 128, 68-76
528	
529	Liz, K. L. K. (2013). Getty KJK Natural antioxidants in meat and poultry products: A
530	review. Meat Sci, 94, 220-227.
531	
532	Mantilla, S. P. S., Santos, É. B., Vital, H. D. C., Mano, S. B., Freitas, M. Q. D., & Franco, R. M.
533	(2011). Microbiology, sensory evaluation and shelf life of irradiated chicken breast fillets
534	stored in air or vacuum. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 54(3), 569-576.
535	
536	Meilgaard, M., Civille, G., & Carr, B. (2007). Overall difference tests: does a sensory difference
537	exist between samples. Sensory evaluation techniques, 4, 63-104.
538	
539	Min, S. H., Yang, J. H., Kim, J. Y., & Kwon, Y. U. (2010). Development of white antibacterial
540	pigment based on silver chloride nanoparticles and mesoporous silica and its polymer
541	composite. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 128(1-3), 19 25.
542	
543	Miyagusku, L. (2008). Influencia da radiação ionizante (60Co) na manutenção da qualidade
544	fisico-quimica, microbiologica e sensorial de cortes de coxa e file de peito de frango
545	acondicionado em deiferentes sistemas de embalagens
546	
547	Montiel R, Cabeza MC, Bravo D, Gaya P, Cambero I, Ord'o nez JA, Nu nez M, Medina M.
548	2013. A comparison between e-beam irradiation and high-pressure treatment for cold
549	smoked salmon sanitation: shelf-life, colour, texture and sensory characteristics. Food
550	Bioprocess Tech 6(11):3177-85
551	

552	Nisar, M. F., Arshad, M. S., Yasin, M., Arshad, M. U., & Nadeem, M. T. (2019). Influence of
553	irradiation and moringa leaf powder on the amino acid and fatty acid profiles of chicken
554	meat stored under various packaging materials. Journal of Food Processing and
555	Preservation, 43(9), e14166.
556	Oyeyinka, A. T., & Oyeyinka, S. A. (2018). Moringa oleifera as a food fortificant: Recent trends
557	and prospects. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 17(2), 127-136.
558	
559	Panou, A. A., Karabagias, I. K., & Riganakos, K. A. (2020). Effect of Gamma-Irradiation on
560	Sensory Characteristics, Physicochemical Parameters, and Shelf Life of Strawberries
561	Stored under Refrigeration. International Journal of Fruit Science, 20(2), 191-206.
562	Pearson, R. G. (1968). Hard and soft acids and bases, HSAB, part II: Underlying
563	theories. Journal of Chemical Education, 45(10), 643
564	
565	Pelicia, K., Garcia, E. A., Molino, A. B., Santos, G. C., Vieira Filho, J. A., Santos, T. A., &
566	Berto, D. A. (2015). Chicken Meat Submitted to Gamma Radiation and Packed with or
567	without Oxygen. Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, 17(2), 255-261.
568	
569	Pereira, P. M. d. C. C., & Vicente, A. F. d. R. B. (2013). Meat nutritional composition and
570	nutritive role in the human diet. <i>Meat Science</i> , 93(3), 586-592.
571	Reddy KJ, Jayathilakan K, Pandey MC. 2015. Effect of ionizing radiation on the protein and
572	lipid quality characteristics of mutton kheema treated with rice bran oil and sunflower oil.
573	Rad Phys Chem 117:217–24.
574	Sánchez-Moreno, C., Plaza, L., de Ancos, B., & Cano, M. P. (2003). Quantitative bioactive
575	compounds assessment and their relative contribution to the antioxidant capacity of
576	commercial orange juices. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 83(5), 430-
577	439.
578	Steel, R., & Torrie, J. (2012). Principles and procedures of statistics: a Biometrical approach
579	MCGraw-Hill Book Company Toronto. Redvet, 13(6), 481.
580	

- Thakur, B. R., & Singh, R. K. (1994). Food irradiation-chemistry and applications. *Food Reviews International*, 10(4), 437-473
- 583
- Troy, D. J., Ojha, K. S., Kerry, J. P., & Tiwari, B. K. (2016). Sustainable and consumer-friendly
 emerging technologies for application within the meat industry: An overview. *Meat Science*, 120, 2-9.
- Valdramidis, V. P., & Koutsoumanis, K. P. (2016). Challenges and perspectives of advanced
 technologies in processing, distribution and storage for improving food safety. *Current Opinion in Food Science, 12*, 63-69.
- Walkling-Ribeiro, M., Noci, F., Cronin, D., Lyng, J., & Morgan, D. (2009). Shelf life and
 sensory evaluation of orange juice after exposure to thermosonication and pulsed electric
 fields. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*, 87(2), 102-107.
- 593
- Warriss, P. (1979). Adrenal ascorbic acid depletion as an index of preslaughter stress in pigs. *Meat Science*, 3(4), 281-285
- Yun, H., Lee, K. H., Lee, H. J., Lee, J. W., Ahn, D. U., & Jo, C. (2012). Effect of high-dose
 irradiation on quality characteristics of ready-to-eat chicken breast. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 81(8), 1107-1110.
- Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., Guo, S., Xiong, W., Xia, H., Liu, W., & Venkitasamy, C. (2017). Effect
 of Irradiation on Quality of Vacuum-Packed Spicy Beef Chops. *Journal of Food Oality*, 2017.

╶┥╾┛┙ Author Manuscrip

0		p	H	TVBN (mg	z/100mL)
-		Aerobic	Vacuum	Aerobic	Vacuum
0kGy	0	5.76 ± 0.01^{f}	5.76±0.01 ^g	2.76±0.02 ^a	2.76±0.02 ^a
6	7	5.88 ± 0.01^{cd}	5.82±0.01 ^e	3.20±0.01 ^b	3.01 ± 0.02^{b}
	14	5.97±0.01 ^b	5.93±0.01 ^{bc}	3.54±0.02 ^c	3.27 ± 0.02^{b}
	0	5.70±0.01 ^g	5.70 ± 0.01^{ef}	$3.70 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$3.70 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$
1.5kGy	7	$5.82{\pm}0.01^{e}$	5.97 ± 0.01^{b}	$3.89{\pm}0.02^{d}$	3.82 ± 0.02^{d}
σ	14	5.95 ± 0.01^{b}	6.06±0.01 ^a	3.97±0.01 ^e	3.78±0.01°
<pre> </pre>	0	$5.77{\pm}0.01^{\mathrm{f}}$	$5.77 {\pm} 0.01^{hi}$	4.15±0.02 ^e	4.15 ± 0.02^{b}
3kGy	7	5.90±0.01 ^c	5.81 ± 0.04^{ef}	$4.44{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	4.36±0.01 ^e
	14	6.02±0.01 ^a	5.91±0.01 ^{cd}	4.51±0.01 ^e	4.42 ± 0.01^{ef}
	0	$5.67{\pm}0.01^{gh}$	$5.67{\pm}0.01^{i}$	2.25±0.01 ^a	2.25±0.01 ^a
0kGy + 2% MLF	7	$5.74{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	5.76 ± 0.03^{fg}	$2.43{\pm}0.02^{a}$	2.37±0.01 ^a
	14	$5.82{\pm}0.01^{e}$	5.86 ± 0.01^{de}	2.51±0.02 ^a	2.45±0.01 ^a
<u> </u>	0	$5.61{\pm}0.01^{i}$	5.61±0.01 ^{gh}	3.36±0.01°	3.36±0.01°
1.5kGy + 2% MLF	7	5.70±0.01 ^g	5.89±0.01 ^{cd}	3.58 ± 0.02^{bc}	$3.50{\pm}0.02^{bd}$
	14	5.86 ± 0.01^{d}	5.99 ± 0.01^{b}	3.71±0.01°	3.59±0.02°
	0	5.67 ± 0.01^{h}	5.67 ± 0.01^{j}	3.67±0.01°	3.67±0.01°

Table 1. pH and TVBN in aerobic and vacuum packaged meat samples during storage

3kGy + 2% MLF	7	$5.77{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	$5.71 {\pm} 0.02^{gh}$	3.78 ± 0.01^{d}	$3.70{\pm}0.01^{d}$
	14	$5.88{\pm}0.01^{cd}$	$5.86{\pm}0.02^{de}$	$3.89{\pm}0.01^{d}$	$3.77{\pm}0.01^{d}$
Results are means of triplicates (=	SD). Values with t	lifferent letters in columns	s are significantly differer	t (Tukey's test, $p \le 0.05$)	. MLF; Moringa
Leaf Powder OGD UCC UCC UCC UCC UCC UCC UCC UCC UCC UC	LSD). Values with (s are significantly unrefer	$1 (1 \text{ ukey s test}, p \le 0.03)$. MLP, Morniga
\triangleleft					

Table 2. Hunter color in aerobic and vacuum packaged meat samples during storage

Aanusc Autho

Results are means of triplicates (\pm SD). Values with different letters in columns are significantly different (Tukey's test, p \leq 0.05). MLF; Moringa Leaf Powder

Treatments	Storage Days		Aerobic			Vacuum	
		L*	a*	b*	L^*	a *	b*
0kGy	0	54.00 ± 1.00^{def}	4.04 ± 0.06^{h}	13.00 ± 0.100^{i}	54.00 ± 1.00^{ef}	4.04 ± 0.05^{f}	13.00±0.100 ^g
0	7	$53.00{\pm}1.00^{\rm ef}$	$4.05{\pm}0.01^{h}$	12.96 ± 0.01^{i}	$58.00{\pm}1.00^{\rm f}$	$4.24{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	13.38V0.03 ^g
0)	14	$51.33{\pm}1.52^{\rm f}$	4.08 ± 0.01^{h}	$12.85{\pm}0.05^{i}$	$56.66{\pm}0.57^{\rm f}$	$4.29{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	13.77 ± 0.02^{fg}
1.5kGy	0	$61.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	$5.57{\pm}0.04^{\rm f}$	14.23 ± 0.15^{de}	$61.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	5.57 ± 0.04^{d}	14.23±0.15°
	7	$62.66 {\pm} 0.57^{b}$	$5.56{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	14.18 ± 0.03^{def}	71.33 ± 0.57^{b}	5.90 ± 0.01^{d}	14.83±0.05°
	14	62.00 ± 1.00^{b}	$5.60{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	$14.35{\pm}0.02^{d}$	$73.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	$5.97 {\pm} 0.01^{d}$	14.94 ± 0.02^{bc}
3kGy	0	$53.00{\pm}1.00^{\rm ef}$	$5.09{\pm}0.07^{g}$	14.00 ± 0.10^{efg}	53.00±1.00 ^c	$5.09 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$	$14.00\pm0.10^{\circ}$
	7	$52.00{\pm}1.00^{\rm ef}$	5.11 ± 0.02^{g}	13.96 ± 0.01^{fgh}	$66.66 \pm 0.57^{\circ}$	5.57±0.01 ^c	14.63±0.06 ^{cd}
	14	$54.33{\pm}0.57^{de}$	$5.08{\pm}0.02^{g}$	$13.98{\pm}0.07^{efgh}$	66.00±1.00 ^c	5.62±0.01 ^c	14.73 ± 0.02^{cd}
0kGy + 2% MLF	0	57.66±0.57°	6.72±0.07 ^e	$13.73{\pm}0.05^{h}$	57.66 ± 0.57^d	6.72 ± 0.07^{e}	13.73 ± 0.05^{ef}
0	7	$56.66{\pm}0.57^{cd}$	6.79 ± 0.02^{de}	13.76 ± 0.01^{gh}	61.33 ± 0.57^{d}	6.85±0.01 ^e	$13.99{\pm}0.05^{ef}$
	14	56.66 ± 0.57^{cd}	6.71±0.03 ^e	13.79 ± 0.01^{gh}	62.66 ± 0.57^{de}	6.90±0.01 ^e	$14.29{\pm}0.44^{de}$
1.5kGy + 2% MLF	0	$70.00{\pm}1.00^{a}$	7.15 ± 0.04^{b}	15.13±0.20 ^{bc}	$70.00{\pm}1.00^{a}$	7.15 ± 0.04^{a}	15.13±0.20 ^a
	7	69.33 ± 0.57^{a}	$7.53{\pm}0.15^{a}$	15.26 ± 0.05^{ab}	77.66 ± 0.57^{a}	7.43±0.01 ^a	$15.44{\pm}0.05^{a}$
	14	$68.00{\pm}1.00^{a}$	7.12 ± 0.01^{bc}	15.40±0.01ª	78.66 ± 0.57^{a}	7.48±0.01 ^a	15.55±0.09 ^a
3kGy + 2% MLF	0	63.00 ± 1.00^{b}	$6.94{\pm}0.08^d$	14.93±0.15 ^c	$63.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	6.94 ± 0.08^{b}	14.93±0.15 ^{ab}
	7	63.66 ± 0.57^{b}	6.89 ± 0.01^{d}	14.88±0.03 ^c	73.33±0.57 ^b	7.22 ± 0.01^{b}	15.31±0.05 ^{ab}

	14	63.00±1.00 ^b	6.95±0.01 ^{cd}	14.96±0.01°	73.33 ± 1.52^{b}	7.28±0.01 ^b	15.26±0.04 ^{ab}
Table 3. Heme pign	nent in aero	bic and vacuum	packaged meat sa	mples during stora	ge		

Treatments	Storage Days		Aerobic			Vacuum	
0		Mb (%)	OxyMb (%)	MetMb (%)	Mb (%)	OxyMb (%)	MetMb (%)
0kGy	0	33.36±0.43 ^a	8.98 ± 0.15^{1}	42.12 ± 0.31^{1}	34.44±0.14 ^c	9.12 ± 0.06^{1}	42.86 ± 0.07^{k}
	7	26.30±0.13 ^e	10.86 ± 0.04^{jk}	51.51 ± 0.49^{g}	$26.26{\pm}0.32^h$	13.75±0.19 ^g	53.71 ± 0.22^{g}
	14	18.13±0.09 ^j	13.78 ± 0.14^{g}	57.28 ± 0.25^{d}	$19.58{\pm}0.34^{m}$	15.59±0.05 ^e	61.79 ± 0.13^{d}
1.5kGy	0	$30.73{\pm}1.18^{b}$	10.96 ± 0.01^{jk}	44.64 ± 0.14^{i}	33.93±0.06 ^c	$11.05{\pm}0.01^{j}$	$44.89{\pm}0.02^{j}$
	7	$22.63{\pm}0.20^{gh}$	$12.84{\pm}0.16^{h}$	54.56±0.19 ^e	26.71 ± 0.19^{h}	$14.45{\pm}0.08^{\rm f}$	$52.80{\pm}0.13^{\rm h}$
2	14	16.71 ± 0.21^{k}	15.64±0.25 ^e	59.45 ± 0.16^{b}	18.50 ± 0.04^{n}	17.51±0.20 ^c	63.84±0.14 ^c
3kGy	0	28.98±0.86 ^c	$10.47 {\pm} 0.35^k$	43.90 ± 0.07^{j}	$32.38{\pm}0.20^d$	10.99 ± 0.01^{j}	$44.63 {\pm} 0.05^{j}$
	7	19.43 ± 0.06^{i}	14.55 ± 0.19^{f}	$53.60{\pm}0.16^{\rm f}$	$24.57{\pm}0.06^{i}$	13.58±0.14 ^g	$55.80{\pm}0.10^{\rm f}$
9	14	$15.84{\pm}0.03^k$	17.63±0.09°	$57.30{\pm}0.10^d$	$17.64 \pm 0.24^{\circ}$	16.35 ± 0.11^{d}	$65.90{\pm}0.07^{a}$
0kGy + 2%	0	$34.08{\pm}0.06^a$	$9.07{\pm}0.05^{1}$	$42.96{\pm}0.02^k$	$34.08{\pm}0.06^{b}$	$9.07{\pm}0.05^k$	$42.96{\pm}0.02^{k}$
MLF	7	28.68 ± 0.24^{cd}	$11.75{\pm}0.25^i$	$50.83{\pm}0.01^{h}$	$28.68{\pm}0.24^{\rm f}$	12.62 ± 0.05^{h}	$51.79{\pm}0.14^i$
	14	$23.81{\pm}0.16^{\rm f}$	16.67 ± 0.29^{d}	56.922 ± 0.01^{d}	$22.65{\pm}0.16^k$	15.59±0.14 ^e	60.73±0.13 ^e
1.5kGy + 2%	0	$33.17{\pm}0.08^{a}$	11.77 ± 0.06^{i}	$45.05{\pm}0.04^i$	36.34±0.07 ^a	11.96 ± 0.03^{i}	$44.99{\pm}0.01^{j}$
MLF	7	27.50 ± 0.04^{d}	15.70±0.38 ^e	54.70 ± 0.30^{e}	29.57±0.10 ^e	15.48±0.11 ^e	53.67±0.30 ^g

Results are means of triplicates (\pm SD). Values with different letters in columns are significantly different (Tukey's test, p \leq 0.05). MLF; Moringa Leaf Powder

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

-

		04.05±0.51
$3kGy + 2\% \qquad 0 \qquad 31.26 \pm 0.08^{b} \qquad 11.22 \pm 0.06^{ij} \qquad 44.97 \pm 0.01^{i} \qquad 35.65 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$11.72{\pm}0.03^{i}$	$44.79 {\pm} 0.03^{j}$
MLF 7 $22.72\pm0.22^{\text{fg}}$ $16.89\pm0.07^{\text{d}}$ $50.76\pm0.12^{\text{h}}$ $27.67\pm0.16^{\text{g}}$	16.48 ± 0.10^{d}	$59.90{\pm}0.07^{\rm f}$
14 15.71 ± 0.13^{k} 19.48 ± 0.07^{a} 58.74 ± 0.33^{c} 20.59 ± 0.15^{1}	20.61 ± 0.12^{a}	64.81±0.09 ^b

Treatments	Storage Days	A	Aerobic	Vacuum		
		TAB (log CFU/g)	Coliform (log CFU/g)	TAB (log CFU/g)	Coliform (log CFU/g)	
0kGy	0	7.03 ± 0.01^{d}	5.23 ± 0.02^{d}	7.03±0.01 ^e	5.23±0.02 ^b	
\mathbf{O}	7	8.53 ± 0.01^{b}	6.35 ± 0.01^{b}	7.62 ± 0.01^{b}	5.71±0,28 ^a	
<u> </u>	14	9.81 ± 0.04^{a}	7.12±0.01 ^a	8.15±0.01 ^a	5.98±0.10 ^a	
1.5kGy	0	5.15 ± 0.02^{h}	ND	$5.15{\pm}0.02^{j}$	ND	
	7	$5.36{\pm}0.02^{g}$	ND	5.68 ± 0.01^{h}	ND	
σ	14	$5.98{\pm}0.02^{\rm f}$	ND	5.98 ± 0.01^{g}	ND	
3kGy	0	$4.23{\pm}0.02^{m}$	ND	4.23 ± 0.02^{n}	ND	
	7	4.52 ± 0.01^{1}	ND	4.65 ± 0.01^{1}	ND	
	14	4.67 ± 0.01^k	ND	4.77 ± 0.02^{k}	ND	
0kGy + 2% MLF	0	6.74 ± 0.02^{e}	$4.86{\pm}0.04^{\rm f}$	$6.74{\pm}0.02^{\rm f}$	4.86±0.04 ^c	
9	7	7.02 ± 0.01^{d}	5.17±0.01 ^e	7.03 ± 0.01^{d}	4.90±0.01 ^b	
	14	7.24 ± 0.02^{c}	5.35±0.01°	7.31±0.09°	4.97±0.01 ^b	
1.5kGy + 2% MLF	0	$5.04{\pm}0.01^{i}$	ND	$5.04{\pm}0.01^{k}$	ND	
	7	$5.12{\pm}0.01^{h}$	ND	$5.03{\pm}0.01^{j}$	ND	
	14	5.38±0.01 ^g	ND	$5.20{\pm}0.01^{i}$	ND	
3kGy + 2% MLF	0	4.17 ± 0.02^{n}	ND	$4.17\pm0.02^{\circ}$	ND	

 Table 4. Mean values of microbial load in aerobic and vacuum packaged meat samples during storage

7	4.46 ± 0.03^{1}	ND	4.24 ± 0.02^{n}	ND
 14	4.74 ± 0.01^{j}	ND	4.31 ± 0.04^{m}	ND

Results are means of triplicates (\pm SD). Values with different letters in columns are significantly different (Tukey's test, p \leq 0.05). MLF; Moringa Leaf Powder

Treatments	Storage Days	Appearance	Flavor	Texture	Taste	OA
0kGy	0	8.25±0.70 ^a	7.5 ± 1.35^{a}	7.1 ± 0.77^{ab}	7.3±1.03 ^a	7.5±0.81 ^{ab}
	7	7.15 ± 1.30^{b}	6.7±1.03 ^b	6.8 ± 0.95^{b}	6.9 ± 1.16^{b}	6.8 ± 0.97^{b}
	14	6.1±1.43 ^c	6.2±0.91°	5.7±1.20 ^c	6.1±1.42 ^c	6.3±1.10 ^c
1.5kGy	0	$7.86{\pm}0.71^{ab}$	7.2±1.30 ^b	6.9 ± 0.80^{b}	7.2 ± 0.90^{a}	$7.2 \pm 0.67^{\circ}$
	7	7.1 ± 0.71^{b}	6.5±1.10 ^b	6.2 ± 0.90^{b}	6.5 ± 1.20^{b}	6.4 ± 0.82^{b}
>	14	$6.8 \pm 0.74^{\circ}$	$5.9 \pm .080^{\circ}$	5.8±1.40°	6.3±1.25 ^c	6.1±1.02 ^c
3kGy	0	7.5 ± 0.53^{ab}	7.3 ± 1.15^{a}	$7.0{\pm}0.90^{a}$	7.1±1.01 ^a	7.3 ± 0.77^{ab}
	7	7.2 ± 1.30^{b}	6.8 ± 0.95^{b}	6.7 ± 1.52^{b}	6.9 ± 1.19^{b}	6.9 ± 0.77^{b}
0	14	6.5±1.52 ^c	6.5±0.90°	$6.1 \pm 1.20^{\circ}$	6.5±1.04 ^c	6.4±1.03 ^c
0kGy + 2% MLF	0	8±0.65 ^a	7.4 ± 1.32^{a}	$7.0{\pm}1.10^{ab}$	7.2 ± 0.98^{a}	$7.4{\pm}0.74^{a}$
	7	7.5 ± 0.70^{b}	6.9±1.14 ^b	6.7±0.99 ^b	6.7 ± 1.06^{b}	6.9 ± 0.77^{b}
	14	7.1±1.20 ^c	6.4±1.01 ^c	6.2±1.30 ^c	6.4±1.10 ^c	6.5±1.32 ^c
1.5kGy + 2% MLF	0	7.8 ± 0.77^{ab}	7.3±1.16 ^{ab}	$7.0{\pm}0.87^{a}$	7.3±1.15 ^a	7.4±0.93 ^{abc}
	7	7.2 ± 0.82^{b}	6.6 ± 0.70^{b}	6.7±1.03 ^b	$6.4{\pm}1.07^{b}$	6.7 ± 0.85^{b}

Table 5. Sensory scores of meatballs stored in aerobic packaging

	14	$6.9 \pm 0.90^{\circ}$	$6.4 \pm 0.74^{\circ}$	6.3±0.93°	6.3±1.03 ^c	$6.4 \pm 1.05^{\circ}$
3 kGy + 2% MLF	0	7.6 ± 0.80^{b}	$7.4{\pm}1.43^{ab}$	7.0 ± 0.90^{ab}	7.1 ± 0.88^{a}	7.3 ± 1.01^{bc}
Ō	7	6.8 ± 1.30^{b}	6.5±1.33 ^b	6.6 ± 0.90^{b}	6.2 ± 0.98^{b}	6.5 ± 0.98^{b}
	14	$6.76 \pm 1.42^{\circ}$	6.3±1.21 ^c	6±1.10 ^c	6.2±1.07 ^c	6.1±1.10 ^c

The values are mean ± SD of ten independent determinations. The means carrying different letters in a column differed significantly. OA: Overall Acceptability; MLF: Moringa Leaf Powder

Table 6. Sensory scores of meatballs stored in vacuum packaging

Treatments	Storage Days	Appearance	Flavor	Texture	Taste	OA
0kGy	0	$8.25 {\pm} 0.70^{ab}$	7.5±1.35 ^b	7.1±0.77 ^{ab}	7.3±1.03 ^a	7.5±0.81 ^a
	7	7.3±0.72 ^b	6.4 ± 1.21^{b}	6.9 ± 1.18^{b}	6.8±1.16 ^b	6.8 ± 0.88^{b}
2	14	$6.4\pm0.80^{\circ}$	$6.1 \pm 0.90^{\circ}$	6.0±1.36 ^c	6.0±0.90 ^c	$6.2 \pm 0.90^{\circ}$
1.5kGy	0	7.86 ± 0.71^{d}	7.2±1.30 ^{ab}	$6.9{\pm}0.80^{ab}$	7.2±0.90 ^a	7.2 ± 0.67^{a}
5	7	6.9 ± 0.71^{b}	6.7 ± 1.21^{b}	6.8 ± 1.10^{b}	6.4±1.13 ^b	6.7 ± 0.80^{b}
9	14	$6.8 \pm 0.82^{\circ}$	6.4±1.02 ^c	6.3±1.32 ^c	6.2±1.43 ^c	6.3±0.77 ^c
3kGy	0	7.5 ± 0.53^{b}	7.3 ± 1.15^{b}	$7.0{\pm}0.90^{\rm a}$	7.1±1.01 ^a	7.3 ± 0.77^{a}
H	7	7.3 ± 0.77^{b}	6.2 ± 1.22^{b}	6.9 ± 0.98^{b}	6.8 ± 1.07^{b}	6.8 ± 0.84^{b}
	14	$6.8 \pm 0.85^{\circ}$	6.3±1.10 ^c	6.2±1.08 ^c	6.4±1.41 ^c	6.3±0.77 ^c
0kGy + 2% MLF	0	8 ± 0.65^{a}	$7.4{\pm}1.32^{a}$	7.0 ± 1.10^{b}	7.2 ± 0.98^{a}	7.4 ± 0.74^{a}
~	7	7.5 ± 0.99^{b}	6.9 ± 0.94^{b}	6.5 ± 0.90^{b}	6.6±1.33 ^b	6.8 ± 0.91^{b}

	14	7±1.01°	$6.4 \pm 0.74^{\circ}$	6.1±1.41 ^c	6.3±1.21 ^c	6.1±1.01 ^c
1.5kGy + 2% MLF	0	7.8 ± 0.77^{bc}	7.3 ± 1.16^{a}	$6.9{\pm}0.87^{ab}$	$7.2{\pm}1.15^{a}$	7.4±0.93 ^a
t	7	7.2 ± 1.10^{b}	6.9 ± 1.30^{b}	6.8±1.17 ^b	6.3±1.10 ^b	6.8 ± 0.85^{b}
	14	6.7±0.77 ^c	6.4 ± 1.04^{c}	6.4±1.10 ^c	6.2±1.15 ^c	6.3±0.74 ^c
3 kGy + 2% MLF	0	7.6 ± 0.80^{cd}	$7.4{\pm}1.43^{b}$	$6.8{\pm}0.90^{ab}$	7.1 ± 0.88^{a}	7.3±1.01 ^a
0	7	7.1 ± 0.71^{b}	6.3 ± 1.25^{b}	6.7 ± 1.18^{b}	6.3±1.23 ^b	6.6 ± 0.84^{b}
S	14	6.6±0.74 ^c	6.2±1.17 ^c	6.1±1.30 ^c	6.1±1.20 ^c	6.2±0.91 ^c

The values are mean ± SD of ten independent determinations. The means carrying different letters in a column differed significantly. OA: Overall

Acceptability; MLF: Moringa Leaf Powder

Author Ma

University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Nisar, MF; Arshad, MS; Yasin, M; Khan, MK; Afzaal, M; Sattar, S; Suleria, HAR

Title:

Evaluation of gamma irradiation and moringa leaf powder on quality characteristics of meat balls under different packaging materials

Date:

2020-07-28

Citation:

Nisar, M. F., Arshad, M. S., Yasin, M., Khan, M. K., Afzaal, M., Sattar, S. & Suleria, H. A. R. (2020). Evaluation of gamma irradiation and moringa leaf powder on quality characteristics of meat balls under different packaging materials. JOURNAL OF FOOD PROCESSING AND PRESERVATION, 44 (10), https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14748.

Persistent Link:

http://hdl.handle.net/11343/268088

File Description: Accepted version