1	Title:
2	MR1: a multi-faceted metabolite sensor for T cell activation
3	
4	Author names and affiliations:
5	Hamish E.G. McWilliam <sup>1-2#</sup> & Jose A. Villadangos <sup>1-2#</sup>
6	
7 8 9	<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
10 11 12	<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.
13	# joint corresponding authors. e-mail: hamish.mcwilliam@unimelb.edu.au,
14	j.villadangos@unimelb.edu.au

#### 15 Abstract:

16 The major histocompatibility complex class I-related molecule MR1 captures and presents small 17 metabolites to MR1-restricted T cells including Mucosal Associated Invariant T (MAIT) cells. The 18 first MR1 ligands discovered were intermediates of microbial riboflavin synthesis, antigens presented 19 to alert inflammatory MAIT cells to bacterial infection. Recent advances have expanded the range of 20 MR1 ligands to include extracellular metabolites released by the commensal microbiome, and yet 21 undefined antigens presented by cancer cells to mediate MR1-dependent anti-tumor activity. MR1 22 thus exhibits a multifaceted ability to display a diverse range of ligands for immune surveillance in a 23 variety of contexts. The mechanisms of antigen presentation by MR1 are of central importance to 24 understanding metabolite-mediated immune homeostasis, immunity to infection and tumor 25 surveillance.

- 26
- 27

#### 28 Highlights:

- MR1 captures a conserved metabolite signature of a diverse range of microbes and activates
   MAIT cells for inflammation and immunity.
- Commensal microbes at barrier tissues secrete metabolites that are captured and presented by
   MR1 in distant tissues such as the thymus.
- MR1 displayed by a various cancer cells elicit activation of MR1-restricted T cells for immune
   control of cancers.
- The trafficking of MR1 to sample its varied metabolite cargo requires a unique pathway
   among antigen-presenting molecules.
- 37

#### 38 Introduction

39 The presentation of foreign antigen (Ag) by Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) molecules is a pivotal step in adaptive immunity, which allows the activation, expansion and effector functions of 40 41 T lymphocytes. These cells possess a highly specific T cell receptor (TCR) that recognizes diverse 42 classes of Ag in the context of specialized MHC molecules. Conventional T cells recognize peptides 43 presented by classical MHC molecules, whereas innate-like T cells recognize conserved non-peptidic 44 Ag presented by non-classical MHC molecules, such as the monomorphic MHC class I-related 45 protein 1 (MR1). MR1 is highly conserved among mammals[1], and captures and presents small 46 metabolites to MR1-restricted T cells[2], the largest population of which are Mucosal Associated 47 Invariant T (MAIT) cells. The best characterized Ag presented by MR1 are transient metabolites 48 derived from the microbial synthesis of vitamin B2, riboflavin, which are produced by many microbes 49 but not mammals[3]. The riboflavin-precursor 5-amino-6-D-ribitylaminouracil (5-A-RU) combines 50 with methylglyoxal to form the MR1-binding derivative 5-(2-oxopropylideneamino)-6-D-51 ribitylaminouracil (5-OP-RU)[2]. Unlike protein Ag which can mutate and drift, metabolites are 52 highly conserved and are essential bacterial 'building blocks' produced by a diverse range of 53 microbes. Therefore, MR1 presents a metabolite signature to allow the detection of pathogenic 54 microbes by the immune system for their subsequent clearance.

55

56 Recent discoveries that have expanded the roles attributed to MR1 metabolite Ag presentation (Figure 57 1): (i) surveillance of not only pathogenic but also commensal microbes; (ii) presentation of a cancer 58 metabolite signature for the immune recognition of tumors. The mechanism by which MR1 presents 59 this unique class of Ag is of central importance to understanding the whole range of functions of the 60 conserved MR1-MAIT cell axis. This review outlines these areas and proposes future directions for 61 the field.

62

#### 63 MR1 presents microbial metabolites for immunity and barrier homeostasis

64 MAIT cells are classed as innate-like T cells. They are abundant in mucosal and barrier tissues and 65 are considered antigen-experienced, tissue-resident cells [4,5]. MAIT cells rapidly secrete proinflammatory cytokines upon recognition of their MHC-presented cognate antigen, specifically 66 67 interferon- $\gamma$ , tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and interleukin-17A [5-8], and this inflammatory potential 68 somewhat defines MAIT cells. During infection they have also been shown to recruit conventional T 69 cells and secrete granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor which differentiates monocytes 70 into dendritic cells, together arming the adaptive response [9,10]. Furthermore, MAIT cells can 71 directly kill infected cells presenting MR1-metabolite complexes [11,12]. Many bacterial pathogens 72 produce the riboflavin-related metabolites presented by MR1, and much of the early work that 73 addressed the function of the MR1-MAIT cell axis focused on its role in immunity against 74 intracellular bacteria [13]. For example, in mice MAIT cells have been shown to be active and/or 75 protective against a range of bacterial infections including tuberculosis [9,10,14-18] whereas in 76 humans MAIT cells are stimulated and enriched in the airways of tuberculosis-infected patients 77 [19,20] and activated in many other bacterial diseases [11,21].

78

79 Collectively this has suggested that MR1 evolved as a sensor of microbial, intracellular pathogens 80 [22]. However, two key recent studies have shown that in vivo, MR1 can capture soluble metabolites, 81 and not only from pathogenic but also from commensal bacteria. This suggests that MR1 may play a 82 key pivotal role in the crosstalk between microbiota and the mammalian immune system to maintain 83 homeostasis [23,24]. Interestingly, the commensal metabolites presented by MR1 in this scenario do 84 not need to originate within infected cells or in intracellular compartments of phagocytic cells 85 harboring microbes; instead they are bacterial metabolites released to the extracellular environment 86 in peripheral tissues [23,24]. The fate of these soluble compounds is remarkable. It was already 87 known that MAIT cells require commensal flora to colonize peripheral tissues [25], but a recent and 88 elegant study by Legoux et al [24] discovered that the first location where MAIT cells detect that 89 flora is actually the thymus. They showed that 5-OP-RU secreted by commensal microbes in the 90 periphery reaches the thymus, where it is presented to drive positive selection of developing MAIT 91 cells. Purified 5-OP-RU injected intraperitoneally, or administered on the skin or by oral gavage, 92 entered circulation and was likewise captured by thymocytes and presented on MR1. In another 93 unexpected development, Constantinides et al. [23] showed that 5-OP-RU administered on mouse 94 skin induced local accumulation of MAIT cells, another demonstration of presentation of soluble, 95 extracellular 5-OP-RU, in this case in a peripheral tissue. These studies provide direct evidence that 96 cells can be physically separated from commensals that synthesize 5-OP-RU and still capture and 97 present the metabolite on MR1 (Figure 1). There have been numerous studies showing cells readily 98 acquire and present extracellular metabolites in vitro [2,3,18,26-29], and Chen et al. [18] showed that 99 extracellular 5-OP-RU along with inflammatory signals can activate MAIT cells in vivo, but the 100 studies by Legoux et al [24] and Constantinides et al [23] represent the first evidence of a homeostatic function for this source of MR1 ligands. 101

102

Other recent studies also implicate the MR1-MAIT cell axis in responding to and shaping the microbiome; MR1-deficient mice harbored distinct microbiota to wildtype mice [30,31], and antibiotic treatment depleted MAIT cell numbers [32]. Conversely, detection of changes in the microbiome, or invasion of normally sterile tissues by commensal bacteria, may trigger reactions in the host initiated by MAIT cells to restore homeostasis. Constantinides showed that MAIT cells had 108 wound-healing properties, and other studies have shown that MAIT cells are associated with gut 109 barrier integrity [31,33]. Together, these studies widen the scope of the roles played by the MR1-110 MAIT cell axis and implicate multi-faceted mechanism for MR1 presentation of microbial 111 metabolites, catering for Ag from intra- and extracellular sources, from both commensal and infecting 112 pathogens (Figure 1), as will be discussed later.

113

#### 114 **Presenting a metabolite signature of tumors?**

115 The metabolite 5-OP-RU is the canonical microbial MR1 ligand, a conserved antigen produced by a 116 range of microbes spanning different biological kingdoms; yet MR1 is capable of presenting a more 117 diverse range of metabolites. The structural flexibility of its Ag-binding cleft enables MR1 to 118 accommodate a diverse range ligands [34], including drug-like molecules [35] and other microbial 119 metabolites that have not been identified yet [36-38]. Recently, several studies have provided 120 compelling evidence that MR1 can present Ag from tumors for immune surveillance of cancers. Two separate studies found MR1-restricted T cell clones that seem to recognize undefined cancer-specific 121 122 Ag [39,40]. Crowther et al [39] identified a T cell clone could recognize MR1 complexes presented 123 by cancer cells, but not healthy cells. This clone was highly cytolytic for a diverse range of human 124 tumor cells and it controlled a mouse model of leukemia. Furthermore, primary T cells transfected to 125 express the TCR of this clone could kill both autologous and non-autologous melanomas. These 126 results suggest that the TCR of this clone recognizes an MR1-presented Ag shared by human and 127 murine tumor cells, so T cells expressing this TCR have promise for use as a pan-cancer therapy [41]. Lepore et al. [40] also found MR1-restricted T cell clones that recognized MR1 likely presenting 128 tumor-derived ligands; these clones were stimulated by hydrophilic fractions from the lysates of THP-129 130 1 leukemia cell line and murine breast tumors. Interestingly, the T cell clone discovered by Crowther 131 et al [39] did not recognize the canonical ligands 5-OP-RU or the vitamin B9-related metabolite, Ac-6-FP. Further, it did not recognize MR1 with the residue lysine-43 mutated to alanine (K43A). This 132 133 lysine contains a positively charged side chain that needs to be neutralized by forming a Schiff base 134 bond with ligands lodged into the antigen binding site of MR1 to enable transport of the MR1-ligand 135 complexes from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the cell surface [29]. MR1-K43A molecules cannot form this covalent bond with metabolites although they can still bind non-Schiff base ligands 136 137 [42]. The fact that the anti-tumor clone described by Crowther et al requires MR1 molecules with the 138 lysine 43 present suggests it does not recognize MR1 alone but in complex with a Schiff base-forming 139 ligand.

140

141 These studies suggest a new role for MR1; not only to present microbial Ag but to display a metabolic 142 signature of cancer, which is potentially a unique metabolite from within transformed cells. The 143 identity of such ligands is thus a crucial question.

144

#### 145 How does MR1 present these diverse metabolites signatures?

146 The picture that has emerged from studies of MR1 ligands is that this molecule can sample metabolite 147 Ag from within cells, derived from intracellular bacterial infections or produced by cancer cells 148 themselves. In addition, MR1 can present Ag derived from extracellular sources, produced by 149 commensal or pathogenic organisms. This versatility sets MR1 apart from other antigen presenting 150 molecules. MHC class II molecules utilize a presentation pathway that enables sampling the proteome 151 that reaches the lumen of endosomal compartments, including extracellular proteins. The pathway 152 used by MHC class I molecules is adapted to present mostly the cytosolic proteome, although in some 153 cells MHC class I can also present Ag sampled from endosomes via cross-presentation [43,44]. The 154 various human CD1 subclasses employ distinct trafficking routes to survey the full range of lipids 155 that occur in different compartments [45]. What are the mechanisms that enable MR1, the product of a single, monomorphic gene [46], to achieve its multifaceted display of so many different metabolite 156 ligands? 157

158 This is an ongoing area of research and we still do not understand all the nuances of MR1 presentation. 159 In all cell types examined, there is a pool of pre-synthesized MR1 that is poised to capture Ag from 160 either extracellular sources or intracellular infection [28,29]. The majority of this pool is located 161 inside the ER in a ligand-receptive conformation, with a small portion at the cell surface or in 162 endosomes [29,47-50]. Metabolite Ag binding to MR1 causes it to traffic to the cell surface for 163 presentation [2,3,27,29,51]. Logic dictates that the location where the majority of ligand-receptive 164 MR1 resides is the compartment where the Ag is captured. In support of this, we found that the 165 binding of ligands to MR1, accompanied by covalent binding to the K43 side chain, causes the release 166 from the ER. The mechanism is hypothesized to be the neutralization of the K43 charge, allowing the 167 stable folding of MR1 [29]. We and others support an ER-binding model as the primary mode of 168 presentation of extracellular metabolites [22,28,29,52,53]. But is this the only way that MR1 acquires 169 its cargo?

170

There is substantial evidence that MR1 molecules already expressed on the cell surface can be used to present Ag from exogenous or intracellular Ag. The first evidence comes from the recycling of MR1 molecules that acquired ligands in the ER and then trafficked to the cell surface. Most of these molecules are endocytosed, delivered to lysosomes and degraded [29], but a small percentage (~5%) returns to the surface after internalization [29]. We showed that MR1 pre-loaded with the ligand, 6176 formylpterin (6-FP) and expressed on the cell surface could replace this ligand with 5-OP-RU during 177 transit through endosomes and recycle back to the surface [29]. Karamooz et al [28] extended this 178 further and showed that cell lines incubated overnight with high concentrations of 6-FP enabled more 179 efficient presentation of subsequently added exogenous ligands, but not of ligands derived from 180 intracellular infections. The implication was that the recycling pathway could be used by ligands derived from extracellular sources but not from intracellular bacteria, the latter relying on the ER-181 182 loading pathway. Further indications of the ability of MR1 to capture endosomal ligands through a 183 recycling pathway comes from a recent study describing a modified form of the ligand, 5-A-RU that 184 requires processing in endosomes [54]. This 5-A-RU *prodrug* could not be presented by a mutant 185 MR1 that could not recycle, indicating this was its preferred presentation route.

186 The second evidence that MR1 employs more than one trafficking pathway comes from the 187 knockdown of several proteins in the secretory pathway. The silencing of VAMP4 and Rab6 inhibited 188 MR1 presentation of intracellular Ag without affecting extracellular 6-FP presentation [27], while Syntaxin 4 silencing reduced the presentation of intracellular but not extracellular Ag [28]. One 189 190 interpretation of these experiments is that either MR1 employs different pathways in the same cell to 191 survey various compartments, hence the interruption of specific endosomal pathways can block one 192 mode of presentation over another. However, an alternative explanation is that the metabolite Ag 193 itself is captured and trafficked by different pathways, depending on its source; extracellular or from 194 within a phagosome. Future studies are needed to conclusively decide on the answer.

195

196 Since MR1 can capture ligands in endosomal compartments via recycling, it is reasonable to assume 197 that any MR1 molecules already expressed on the plasma membrane prior to infection might be used 198 to present extracellular Ag. Indeed, a small amount of MR1 is found on the surface of cell lines or 199 primary cells in the absence of ligands [29]. To explain the origin of these molecules, we proposed 200 that empty ER-resident MR1 is maintained in a folding equilibrium between a partially misfolded, 201 predominant conformer that cannot leave the ER, and a minor one that can traffic to the cell surface 202 [53]. However, we believe this cohort of MR1 molecules is unlikely to play a prominent role in Ag 203 presentation because they represent a very small proportion compared to the ER-resident pool, and 204 of these only a small number (~5%) would be able to reach endosomes, escape transfer to lysosomes, 205 and return to the cell surface bound to ligands. It cannot be discarded that empty MR1 molecules may 206 recycle more efficiently than MR1-ligand complexes. However, it appears more likely that the 207 recycling pathway supplements the ER-binding route to enable presentation of ligands that cannot 208 reach the ER by employing the recycling pathway, but only once a sufficient number of MR1-Ag 209 complexes have been recruited from the ER to the plasma membrane (Figure 1). This would add

another layer of versatility to MR1 presentation pathway, enabling display of diverse ligands withdistinct intracellular trafficking properties.

212

#### 213 **Future directions**

214 The MR1 presentation pathway is multifaceted; it can display metabolites from commensal and pathogenic microbes and cancer, either distant to the presenting cell or from within. Understanding 215 216 how it manages this will require to answer numerous questions. Are there specialized mechanisms 217 for preservation and transport of extracellular MR1 ligands in blood or tissues? Their description 218 might improve vaccination protocols. What is the contribution of MR1 outside the ER to T cell 219 activation? To date this has not been addressed in a physiological setting and obtaining the answer 220 may need novel tools. Which cells present MR1-Ag complexes in vivo? MR1 is expressed by a 221 diverse range of cell types [53], but their relative roles in different scenarios of homeostasis or 222 infection *in vivo* remain unknown. Another complication is that the cells that capture and present for commensal monitoring may be different from those presenting during acute infection. Recently Wang 223 224 et al. [15] used bone marrow chimeras to show that the cell type required to express MR1 for MAIT 225 cell expansion was dependent on the type of infection: Salmonella infection required MR1 on non-226 bone marrow-derived cells, whereas for Legionella longbeachae infection MR1 expression was 227 required on bone-marrow-derived cells. This reinforces the idea that a range of professional and non-228 professional APCs can perform MR1 presentation and their relative contribution depends on the 229 context. Understanding this is important to develop therapeutic strategies to arm MAIT cells in the 230 right setting and location without inducing side-effects. Finally, what is the identity of the cancer 231 ligands presented by MR1? The identity of these ligands would allow a novel approach for cancer 232 therapy and allow the creation of tetramers to study cancer-specific MR1-restricted T cells in healthy 233 donors or cancer patients.

234

#### 235 Acknowledgments

J.A.V. is supported by an NHMRC Principal Research Fellowship (1154502) and Program Grant
(1113293). H.E.G.M. is supported by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career
Researcher Award (DE170100575) and the AMP Tomorrow Fund. The authors declare that they have
no competing financial interests.

240

#### 241 Figure legends

242

#### 243 Figure 1. The multifaceted presentation of metabolites by MR1 *in vivo*.

Commensal microbes secrete MR1 ligands (5-OP-RU) at barrier tissues such as the skin (1). These extracellular ligands are transported to the thymus by an unknown mechanism where they are acquired by thymocytes (2) and presented for positive selection of MAIT cells. The commensalderived 5-OP-RU can also be acquired locally by an antigen presenting cell (APC; 3) for loading onto MR1 molecules in the APC's endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and then presented at the cell surface. During disease, such as the breach of a barrier (4), APCs may phagocytose microbes or be infected

- by pathogens (5). The ligands in this situation may be sampled by MR1 in the ER or in endosomes
- 251 (5). Finally, MR1 expressed by tumor cells may present cancer metabolite ligands to T cells for cancer
- surveillance (6).

#### 253

#### 254 **References**

- 255 1. Reinink P, Van Rhijn I: Mammalian CD1 and MR1 genes. Immunogenetics 2016, 68:515-523.
- Corbett AJ, Eckle SB, Birkinshaw RW, Liu L, Patel O, Mahony J, Chen Z, Reantragoon R, Meehan B, Cao H, et al.: T-cell activation by transitory neo-antigens derived from distinct microbial pathways. *Nature* 2014, 509:361-365.
- 3. Kjer-Nielsen L, Patel O, Corbett AJ, Le Nours J, Meehan B, Liu L, Bhati M, Chen Z, Kostenko
   L, Reantragoon R, et al.: MR1 presents microbial vitamin B metabolites to MAIT cells.
   *Nature* 2012, 491:717-723.
- 4. Fan X, Rudensky Alexander Y: Hallmarks of Tissue-Resident Lymphocytes. *Cell* 2016,
   164:1198-1211.
- 5. Dusseaux M, Martin E, Serriari N, Peguillet I, Premel V, Louis D, Milder M, Le Bourhis L,
   Soudais C, Treiner E, et al.: Human MAIT cells are xenobiotic-resistant, tissue-targeted,
   CD161hi IL-17-secreting T cells. *Blood* 2011, 117:1250-1259.
- 267 6. Le Bourhis L, Martin E, Peguillet I, Guihot A, Froux N, Core M, Levy E, Dusseaux M,
   268 Meyssonnier V, Premel V, et al.: Antimicrobial activity of mucosal-associated invariant
   269 T cells. Nat Immunol 2010, 11:701-708.
- 7. Gold MC, Cerri S, Smyk-Pearson S, Cansler ME, Vogt TM, Delepine J, Winata E, Swarbrick
   GM, Chua WJ, Yu YY, et al.: Human mucosal associated invariant T cells detect
   bacterially infected cells. *PLoS Biol* 2010, 8:e1000407.
- 8. Walker LJ, Kang YH, Smith MO, Tharmalingham H, Ramamurthy N, Fleming VM, Sahgal N,
   Leslie A, Oo Y, Geremia A, et al.: Human MAIT and CD8 cells develop from a pool of
   type-17 precommitted CD8+ T cells. 2012, 119:422-433.
- 9. Meierovics AI, Cowley SC: MAIT cells promote inflammatory monocyte differentiation into
   dendritic cells during pulmonary intracellular infection. *J Exp Med* 2016, 213:2793 2809.
- 10. Meierovics A, Yankelevich WJ, Cowley SC: MAIT cells are critical for optimal mucosal
   immune responses during in vivo pulmonary bacterial infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S* A 2013, 110:E3119-3128.
- 11. Le Bourhis L, Dusseaux M, Bohineust A, Bessoles S, Martin E, Premel V, Core M, Sleurs D,
   Serriari NE, Treiner E, et al.: MAIT Cells Detect and Efficiently Lyse Bacterially Infected Epithelial Cells. *PLoS Pathog* 2013, 9:e1003681.
- L. Kurioka A, Ussher JE, Cosgrove C, Clough C, Fergusson JR, Smith K, Kang YH, Walker LJ,
   Hansen TH, Willberg CB, et al.: MAIT cells are licensed through granzyme exchange to
   kill bacterially sensitized targets. 2015, 8:429-440.
- 13. Salou M, Franciszkiewicz K, Lantz O: MAIT cells in infectious diseases. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2017, 48:7-14.
- 14. Wang H, D'Souza C, Lim XY, Kostenko L, Pediongco TJ, Eckle SBG, Meehan BS, Shi M,
   Wang N, Li S, et al.: MAIT cells protect against pulmonary Legionella longbeachae
   infection. Nat Commun 2018, 9:3350.
- 15. Wang H, Kjer-Nielsen L, Shi M, D'Souza C, Pediongco TJ, Cao H, Kostenko L, Lim XY,
   Eckle SBG, Meehan BS, et al.: IL-23 costimulates antigen-specific MAIT cell activation
   and enables vaccination against bacterial infection. *Science Immunology* 2019,
   4:eaaw0402.
- 297 16. Cui Y, Franciszkiewicz K, Mburu YK, Mondot S, Le Bourhis L, Premel V, Martin E, Kachaner
   298 A, Duban L, Ingersoll MA, et al.: Mucosal-associated invariant T cell-rich congenic
   299 mouse strain allows functional evaluation. *J Clin Invest* 2015, 125:4171-4185.
- 300 17. Georgel P, Radosavljevic M, Macquin C, Bahram S: The non-conventional MHC class I MR1
   301 molecule controls infection by Klebsiella pneumoniae in mice. *Mol Immunol* 2011,
   302 48:769-775.
- 18. Chen Z, Wang H, D'Souza C, Sun S, Kostenko L, Eckle SB, Meehan BS, Jackson DC, Strugnell
- 304 RA, Cao H, et al.: Mucosal-associated invariant T-cell activation and accumulation

305 after in vivo infection depends on microbial riboflavin synthesis and co-stimulatory 306 signals. Mucosal Immunol 2017, 10:58-68. 19. Jiang J, Wang X, An H, Yang B, Cao Z, Liu Y, Su J, Zhai F, Wang R, Zhang G, et al.: MAIT 307 308 Cell Function is Modulated by PD-1 Signaling in Patients with Active Tuberculosis. 309 American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2014:140630082918004. 310 20. Wong EB, Gold MC, Meermeier EW, Xulu BZ, Khuzwayo S, Sullivan ZA, Mahyari E, Rogers 311 Z, Kløverpris H, Sharma PK, et al.: TRAV1-2+ CD8+ T-cells including oligoconal 312 expansions of MAIT cells are enriched in the airways in human tuberculosis. 313 Communications Biology 2019, 2. 314 21. Booth JS, Salerno-Goncalves R, Blanchard TG, Patil SA, Kader HA, Safta AM, Morningstar LM, Czinn SJ, Greenwald BD, Sztein MB: Mucosal-Associated Invariant T Cells in the 315 Human Gastric Mucosa and Blood: Role in Helicobacter pylori Infection. 2015, 6. 316 317 22. Karamooz E, Harriff MJ, Lewinsohn DM: MR1-dependent antigen presentation. Semin Cell 318 Dev Biol 2018, 84:58-64. 319 23. Constantinides MG, Link VM, Tamoutounour S, Wong AC, Perez-Chaparro PJ, Han S-J, Chen 320 YE, Li K, Farhat S, Weckel A, et al.: MAIT cells are imprinted by the microbiota in 321 early life and promote tissue repair. Science 2019, 366:eaax6624. 322 24. Legoux F, Bellet D, Daviaud C, El Morr Y, Darbois A, Niort K, Procopio E, Salou M, Gilet J, 323 Ryffel B, et al.: Microbial metabolites control the thymic development of mucosal-324 associated invariant T cells. Science 2019, 366:494-499. 325 25. Treiner E, Duban L, Bahram S, Radosavljevic M, Wanner V, Tilloy F, Affaticati P, Gilfillan S, 326 Lantz O: Selection of evolutionarily conserved mucosal-associated invariant T cells by 327 MR1. Nature 2003. 422:164-169. 328 26. Eckle SB, Corbett AJ, Keller A, Chen Z, Godfrey DI, Liu L, Mak JY, Fairlie DP, Rossjohn J, 329 McCluskey J: Recognition of Vitamin B precursors and byproducts by Mucosal 330 Associated Invariant T cells. J Biol Chem 2015, 290:30204-30211. 331 27. Harriff MJ, Karamooz E, Burr A, Grant WF, Canfield ET, Sorensen ML, Moita LF, Lewinsohn 332 DM: Endosomal MR1 Trafficking Plays a Key Role in Presentation of Mycobacterium 333 tuberculosis Ligands to MAIT Cells. PLoS Pathog 2016, 12:e1005524. 334 28. Karamooz E, Harriff MJ, Narayanan GA, Worley A, Lewinsohn DM: MR1 recycling and blockade of endosomal trafficking reveal distinguishable antigen presentation 335 336 pathways between Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection and exogenously delivered 337 antigens. Scientific Reports 2019, 9. 338 29. McWilliam HE, Eckle SB, Theodossis A, Liu L, Chen Z, Wubben JM, Fairlie DP, Strugnell 339 RA, Mintern JD, McCluskey J, et al.: The intracellular pathway for the presentation of 340 vitamin B-related antigens by the antigen-presenting molecule MR1. Nat Immunol 341 2016, 17:531-537. 342 30. Smith AD, Foss ED, Zhang I, Hastie JL, Giordano NP, Gasparyan L, Vinhnguyen LP, Schubert 343 AM, Prasad D, McMichael HL, et al.: Microbiota of MR1 deficient mice confer 344 resistance against Clostridium difficile infection. PLOS ONE 2019, 14:e0223025. 345 31. Varelias A, Bunting MD, Ormerod KL, Koyama M, Olver SD, Straube J, Kuns RD, Robb RJ, 346 Henden AS, Cooper L, et al.: Recipient mucosal-associated invariant T cells control 347 **GVHD** within the colon. Journal of Clinical Investigation 2018, **128**:1919-1936. 348 32. Dumas A, Corral D, Colom A, Levillain F, Peixoto A, Hudrisier D, Poquet Y, Neyrolles O: The 349 Host Microbiota Contributes to Early Protection Against Lung Colonization by 350 Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Frontiers in Immunology 2018, 9. 351 33. Rouxel O, Da Silva J, Beaudoin L, Nel I, Tard C, Cagninacci L, Kiaf B, Oshima M, Diedisheim M, Salou M, et al.: Cytotoxic and regulatory roles of mucosal-associated invariant T 352 cells in type 1 diabetes. Nat Immunol 2017, 18:1321-1331. 353 354 34. McWilliam HE, Birkinshaw RW, Villadangos JA, McCluskey J, Rossjohn J: MR1 355 presentation of vitamin B-based metabolite ligands. Curr Opin Immunol 2015, 34C:28-356 34.

35. Keller AN, Eckle SB, Xu W, Liu L, Hughes VA, Mak JY, Meehan BS, Pediongco T, 357 Birkinshaw RW, Chen Z, et al.: Drugs and drug-like molecules can modulate the 358 function of mucosal-associated invariant T cells. Nat Immunol 2017, 18:402-411. 359 360 36. Meermeier EW, Laugel BF, Sewell AK, Corbett AJ, Rossjohn J, McCluskey J, Harriff MJ, 361 Franks T, Gold MC, Lewinsohn DM: Human TRAV1-2-negative MR1-restricted T cells 362 detect S. pyogenes and alternatives to MAIT riboflavin-based antigens. Nat Commun 363 2016, 7:12506. 364 37. Harriff MJ, McMurtrey C, Froyd CA, Jin H, Cansler M, Null M, Worley A, Meermeier EW, Swarbrick G, Nilsen A, et al.: MR1 displays the microbial metabolome driving selective 365 366 MR1-restricted T cell receptor usage. Sci Immunol 2018, 3. 38. Greene JM, Dash P, Roy S, McMurtrey C, Awad W, Reed JS, Hammond KB, Abdulhaqq S, 367 Wu HL, Burwitz BJ, et al.: MR1-restricted mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells 368 369 respond to mycobacterial vaccination and infection in nonhuman primates. Mucosal 370 Immunol 2017, 10:802-813. 371 39. Crowther MD, Dolton G, Legut M, Caillaud ME, Lloyd A, Attaf M, Galloway SAE, Rius C, 372 Farrell CP, Szomolay B, et al.: Genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 screening reveals 373 ubiquitous T cell cancer targeting via the monomorphic MHC class I-related protein 374 MR1. Nature Immunology 2020. 375 40. Lepore M, Kalinichenko A, Calogero S, Kumar P, Paleja B, Schmaler M, Narang V, Zolezzi F, 376 Poidinger M, Mori L, et al.: Functionally diverse human T cells recognize non-microbial 377 antigens presented by MR1. Elife 2017, 6:e24476. 378 41. Bird L: MR1-restricted pan-cancer T cells. Nature Reviews Immunology 2020. 42. Reantragoon R. Corbett AJ, Sakala IG, Gherardin NA, Furness JB, Chen Z, Eckle SBG, Uldrich 379 380 AP, Birkinshaw RW, Patel O, et al.: Antigen-loaded MR1 tetramers define T cell 381 receptor heterogeneity in mucosal-associated invariant T cells. Journal of Experimental 382 Medicine 2013, 210:2305-2320. 43. Rock KL, Reits E, Neefjes J: Present Yourself! By MHC Class I and MHC Class II 383 384 Molecules. Trends Immunol 2016, 37:724-737. 385 44. Heath WR, Belz GT, Behrens GM, Smith CM, Forehan SP, Parish IA, Davey GM, Wilson NS, 386 Carbone FR, Villadangos JA: Cross-presentation, dendritic cell subsets, and the generation of immunity to cellular antigens. Immunol Rev 2004, 199:9-26. 387 388 45. Barral DC, Brenner MB: CD1 antigen presentation: How it works. Nature Reviews 389 Immunology 2007, 7:929-941. 46. Hashimoto K, Hirai M, Kurosawa Y: A gene outside the human MHC related to classical 390 HLA class I genes. Science 1995, 269:693-695. 391 392 47. Abós B, Gómez del Moral M, Gozalbo-López B, López-Relaño J, Viana V, Martínez-Naves E: 393 Human MR1 expression on the cell surface is acid sensitive, proteasome independent 394 and increases after culturing at 26°C. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2011, 411:632-636. 48. Yamaguchi H, Hashimoto K: Association of MR1 protein, an MHC class I-related molecule, 395 396 with **β2-microglobulin**. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002, **290**:722-729. 397 49. Miley MJ, Truscott SM, Yu YY, Gilfillan S, Fremont DH, Hansen TH, Lybarger L: 398 Biochemical features of the MHC-related protein 1 consistent with an immunological 399 function. J Immunol 2003, 170:6090-6098. 400 50. Aldemir H: Novel MHC class I-related molecule MR1 affects MHC class I expression in 401 **293T cells**. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2008, **366**:328-334. 402 51. Eckle SB, Birkinshaw RW, Kostenko L, Corbett AJ, McWilliam HE, Reantragoon R, Chen Z, 403 Gherardin NA, Beddoe T, Liu L, et al.: A molecular basis underpinning the T cell 404 receptor heterogeneity of mucosal-associated invariant T cells. J Exp Med 2014, 405 **211**:1585-1600. 406 52. McWilliam HE, Villadangos JA: MR1 antigen presentation to MAIT cells: new ligands, 407 diverse pathways? Curr Opin Immunol 2018, 52:108-113.

- 408 53. McWilliam HEG, Villadangos JA: How MR1 presents a pathogen metabolic signature to
  409 mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells. *Trends Immunol* 2017, 38:679-689.
  410 54. Lange J, Anderson RJ, Marshall AJ, Chan STS, Bilbrough TS, Gasser O, Gonzalez-Lopez C,
  411 Salio M, Cerundolo V, Hermans IF, et al.: The Chemical Synthesis, Stability, and
- Activity of MAIT Cell Prodrug Agonists That Access MR1 in Recycling Endosomes.
   ACS Chemical Biology 2020.

414

415	Papers of interest:
416	Outstanding interest
417	** Legoux et al. Science 2019
418	Discovered that 5-OP-RU at barrier tissues was presented by thymocytes to enable the selection of
419	MAIT cells. This is compelling evidence that MR1 can survey commensal bacteria at distant sites for
420	training immune system.
421	
422	** Constatinidies et al Science 2019
423	Showed that MAIT cells expand in skin by recognising soluble 5-OP-RU from commensal bacteria,
424	implying that MR1 captures extracellular ligands for monitoring commensal organisms.
425	
426	** Crowther et al. <i>Nature Immunology</i> 2020.
427	Identified a T cell clone that recognises MR1 presented by cancerous cells, not healthy cells, and is
428	cytolytic for a range of diverse tumors. This is solid evidence that MR1 presents tumor metabolites.
429	
430	
431	Special interest
432	* Karamooz et al. Scientific Reports 2019
433	Showed that cell-surface MR1-ligand complexes can be re-used to present extracellular ligands but
434	not those from infecting intracellular bacteria.
435	
436	* Wang et al. Science Immunology 2019
437	Found that the type of cells that present MR1 for efficient MAIT cell activation and proliferation was
438	dependent on the type of infection.
439	
440	

#### **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:



## **University Library**



# **MINERVA** A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s: McWilliam, HEG; Villadangos, JA

Title: MR1: a multi-faceted metabolite sensor for T cell activation

Date: 2020-06-01

### Citation:

McWilliam, H. E. G. & Villadangos, J. A. (2020). MR1: a multi-faceted metabolite sensor for T cell activation. Current Opinion in Immunology, 64, pp.124-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2020.05.006.

**Persistent Link:** http://hdl.handle.net/11343/267503

File Description: Accepted version