1	
2	
3	LRH: Hawes and Peres
4	RRH: Phenology of flooded and unflooded forests
5	
6	
7	Patterns of Plant Phenology in Amazonian Seasonally Flooded and Unflooded Forests
8	Joseph E. HAWES ^{1,2} , and Carlos A. PERES ¹
9	
10	¹ School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park,
11	Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK
12	² Corresponding author; e-mail: j.hawes@uea.ac.uk
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Received; revision accepted

1 Abstract

2 Few studies have successfully monitored community-wide phenological patterns in 3 seasonally flooded Amazonian várzea forests, where a prolonged annual flood pulse arguably 4 generates the greatest degree of seasonality of any low-latitude ecosystem on Earth. We monitored the vegetative and reproductive plant phenology of várzea (VZ) floodplain and 5 6 adjacent terra firme (TF) forests within two contiguous protected areas in western Brazilian Amazonia, using three complementary methods: monthly canopy observations of 1,056 7 individuals (TF: 556, VZ: 500), twice monthly collections from 0.5-m² litterfall traps within 8 two 100-ha plots (1 TF, 1 VZ; 96 traps per plot), and monthly ground surveys of residual 9 fruit-fall along transect-grids within each 100-ha plot (12 km per plot). Surveys encompassed 10 11 the entire annual flood cycle, and employed a floating trap design to cope with fluctuating 12 water-levels. Phenology patterns were generally similar in both forest types. Leaf fall peaked during the aquatic phase in várzea forest, and the dry-season in terra firme. Flowering 13 typically followed leaf fall and leaf flush, extending into the onset of the terrestrial phase and 14 15 rainy season in várzea and terra firme, respectively. Abiotic seed dispersal modes were relatively more prevalent in várzea than terra firme; the main contrast in fruiting seasonality 16 was more likely a result of differences in community composition and relative abundance of 17 seed dispersal modes than differences within individual genera. We emphasize the difficulty 18 in distinguishing the role of the flood pulse from other seasonal environmental variables 19 20 without multi-annual data or spatially replicated studies across the spectrum of Amazonian forest types. 21

22

23 Key words: Dispersal modes; Floodplain forest; Fruit traps; Litterfall; Phenophase;

24 Seasonality; Terra firme; Várzea.

25

1 Resumo

2 Poucos estudos monitoraram com sucesso a fenologia de toda a comunidade de plantas em 3 florestas de várzea na Amazônia, onde um pulso anual de inundação bastante prolongado 4 gera um dos padrões de sazonalidade mais intensos em quaisquer ecossistemas terrestres de baixa latitude. Nós monitoramos a fenologia vegetativa e reprodutiva de plantas lenhosas em 5 6 florestas de várzea (VZ) e terra firme (TF) em duas áreas protegidas contíguas na Amazônia brasileira ocidental, através de três métodos complementares: observações mensais das copas 7 de 1.056 árvores e cipós lenhosos (TF: 556, VZ: 500), coletas quinzenais através de 8 armadilhas de serapilheira (0,5 m²) inseridas em duas parcelas de 100-ha (1 TF, 1 VZ, 96 9 armadilhas por parcela), e levantamentos mensais da queda residual de frutos no solo ao 10 11 longo de uma grade de transectos dentro de cada parcela de 100-ha (12 km por parcela). Estas 12 abordagens abrangeram todo o ciclo anual de inundação, empregando um desenho inovador de armadilhas flutuantes para lidar com as flutuações no nível da água. Os padrões 13 fenológicos destes tipos de florestas geralmente se semelharam. A queda de folhas atingiu um 14 15 pico durante a fase aquática na floresta de várzea, enaguanto que na terra firme isto occoreu na época seca. As florações tipicamente seguiram a queda de folhas maduras e o 16 17 rebrotamento de folhas novas, estendendo-se até o início da fase terrestre e a estação chuvosa na várzea e terra firme, respectivamente. Modos abióticos de dispersão de sementes foram 18 19 mais predominantes na várzea do que na terra firme; o principal contraste na sazonalidade de 20 frutos entre os dois tipos florestais provavelmente resulta de diferencas na composição florística do que diferenças entre populações no mesmo gênero. Destacamos a dificuldade em 21 distinguir o papel do pulso anual de inundação de outras variáveis ambientais sazonais na 22 23 ausência de dados supra-anuais ou estudos replicados espacialmente em todo o espectro de tipos de floresta na Amazônia. 24

25

1 PLANT PHENOLOGY, THE TIMING OF PLANT VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE CYCLES,

TYPICALLY DISPLAYS STRONG PERIODICITY IN BOTH TROPICAL AND TEMPERATE ZONES (van
Schaik *et al.* 1993, Newstrom *et al.* 1994, Ting *et al.* 2008), and is increasingly relevant to a
wide range of applied issues (Morisette *et al.* 2009). However, we still lack information on
local variation within tropical forest macromosaics consisting of different forest types. A case
in point is the variation between flooded and unflooded forests across lowland Amazonia,
which are subjected to strikingly distinct environmental gradients despite often occurring
side-by-side and experiencing similar climatic conditions.

9 Phenological patterns in unflooded terra firme forests, where dry-season water stress is more demarcated, are primarily understood to be driven by radiation, photoperiod and 10 11 precipitation (Borchert et al. 2005, Zimmerman et al. 2007, Bradley et al. 2011). Extensive 12 lowland floodplain forests (e.g. in the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong river basins), however, are subjected to an additional annual force, in the form of a predictable 'flood pulse' (Junk et 13 al. 1989). For example, white-water Amazonian floodplain forests, known as várzea forests 14 15 (Prance 1979), are typically inundated to a depth of up to 7.5 m for up to 230 days per year (Junk et al. 2011). This extended period of submersion and waterlogging has severe 16 consequences for plant physiology, notably oxygen deficiency (Parolin 2009), reduced 17 photosynthesis due to low light penetration through water and silt deposition on leaves, and 18 low water conductance which paradoxically results in water deficits in the tree crown 19 20 (Parolin et al. 2004a).

The regularity of this powerful flood pulse is expected to influence many ecological
processes within *várzea* forests, including phenological strategies (Schöngart et al 2002,
Parolin *et al.* 2004b, Ferreira *et al.* 2010). In addition to the timing of fruiting, further
adaptations to seasonal inundation are expected to include other plant life-history traits
(Parolin *et al.* 2004b), including seed dispersal modes that take advantage of the prolonged

1 flood pulse. Animal-dispersed plants bearing fleshy fruits are well represented in Amazonian forests, and tropical humid forests in general (Fleming & Kress 2011), while abiotically 2 3 dispersed plants are typically more common in dry forests (Griz & Machado 2001). In 4 seasonally-inundated forests such as várzea, a higher proportion of plants is also expected to bear seeds dispersed by abiotic agents, in particular water, which is expected to be one of the 5 6 main dispersal vectors (Kubitzki & Ziburski 1994, Oliveira & Piedade 2002, Parolin et al. 2010b, 2013). However, this is likely to be tempered to some extent by the importance of fish 7 in seed dispersal in flooded forests (Horn et al. 2011). Examining the relative importance of 8 9 different seed dispersal modes between *terra firme* and *várzea* forests may help interpret the communities of vertebrate frugivores found in these two adjacent forest types (Hawes & 10 11 Peres 2014).

Despite accounting for >400,000 km² (Junk *et al.* 2011, Melack & Hess 2010), *várzea* forests are one of the most poorly studied Amazonian forest types (Parolin *et al.* 2010a). In particular, few quantitative assessments of community-wide plant phenology are available, with most studies located in close proximity to Manaus and focusing on a select few tree species (Table S1). With the exception of one study (Haugaasen & Peres 2005), which also compares *várzea* to *igapó* (black-water flooded forests), there is a distinct lack of direct comparisons between *várzea* (VZ) and *terra firme* (TF) forests.

We redress this regional imbalance using a landscape-scale approach to explicitly
compare adjacent *terra firme* and *várzea* forests of the Juruá floodplain in a remote part of
western Brazilian Amazonia. Here, we present community-wide phenology patterns from *terra firme* and *várzea* forests, including both reproductive and vegetative characters,
recorded using three complementary field methods (canopy observations, litterfall traps, and
residual fruit-fall surveys). We tested the hypotheses that the additional influence of the flood
pulse in *várzea* forest will induce (i) vegetative and reproductive phenological patterns,

including the timing of peak fruiting, to differ between the two forest types; and (ii) abiotic
dispersal modes (including anemochory and hydrochory) to be relatively more important than
in adjacent *terra firme* forests. In addition to community-wide patterns we also include a
comparison of dominant plant genera present in both forest types.

5

6 **METHODS**

STUDY SITE. — This study was conducted within two contiguous sustainable use forest 7 8 reserves in the state of Amazonas, Brazil, encompassing nearly 0.9 Mha: the Médio Juruá 9 Extractive Reserve (ResEx Médio Juruá, 253,227 ha) and the Uacari Sustainable Development Reserve (RDS Uacari, 632,949 ha) (Fig. S1). These two reserves border the 10 11 Juruá river, a major white-water tributary of the Solimões (=Amazon) river, and contain large expanses of upland unflooded terra firme forest (80.6% of combined reserve area) and 12 seasonally-flooded várzea forest (17.9%) closer to the main river channel (Hawes et al. 2012). 13 The Médio Juruá region has a wet, tropical climate with marked seasonal variation in 14 15 rainfall, temperature, humidity and floodwaters (Fig. 1). There is a mean annual temperature of 27.1°C and annual rainfall, based on daily records over three consecutive years (2008-16 2010) at the Bauana Ecological Field Station (BEFS; 5°26'19" S, 67°17'12" W), averaging 17 3,679 mm/yr. Additional rainfall data were obtained from Eirunepé meteorological station 18 (315 km from the study area, 2000-2010, source: INMET). Water-level data were recorded 19 20 locally at BEFS (Oct 2009 – April 2010) and also obtained from the Juruá river at Porto Gavião, Carauari (90 km from the study area, 1972-1994 and 2008-2010, source: Petrobrás 21 S.A.). Although hot and humid throughout the year, the hottest months are August-November, 22 and humidity peaks in January-April. The precipitation pattern (rainy season: November-23 April, dry season: May-October) is asynchronous with the flood pulse, so that the river and 24 floodplain water-level lags approximately 6 weeks behind rainfall (aquatic phase: January-25

June, terrestrial phase: July-December) (Fig. 1). Climatic variables during the study period
 relate closely to records from the previous decade, while the flood-pulse displays a slightly
 delayed fall in water-level compared to long-term trends (Fig. 1).

The elevation range within the study area is 65-170 m asl and all sites surveyed consisted of undisturbed primary forest. *Terra firme* soils are typically heavily leached and nutrient poor in comparison to the eutrophic soils of *várzea* forests (Furch 1997), which are renewed with a fresh layer of pre-Andean alluvial sediments every year. Fabaceae,

8 Lecythidaceae, and Sapotaceae were the most abundant tree families in both *terra firme* and
9 *várzea*. Chrysobalanaceae and Moraceae were particularly abundant in *terra firme* compared
10 to *várzea*, whereas Annonaceae and Malvaceae were comparatively more abundant in *várzea*.

11

12 CANOPY OBSERVATIONS. — We conducted monthly crown inspections from the ground for twelve consecutive months (April 2009 – March 2010) along eight 1-km transects (April: 2 13 TF, 2 VZ; May-June: 3 TF, 3VZ; 4 TF, 4 VZ July-March), divided equally across terra firme 14 15 and várzea forests on either bank of the Juruá river (Fig. S1). We utilized a selection of available pre-existing transects, either along the opposite edges of two 100-ha plots or near 16 the starts of longer 5-km transects, which were distributed evenly across the wider landscape 17 avoiding large streams and lakes (see Hawes & Peres 2014 for details). Mean elevation 18 (SRTM; Jarvis et al. 2008) was 100-106 m and 86-92 m in terra firme and várzea transects, 19 20 respectively, with mean flood depths measured directly along várzea transects from highwater level marks visible on tree trunks of 192-304 cm (Hawes et al. 2012, Hawes unpubl. 21 data). 22

All live trees ≥30 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH), and all live woody lianas or
hemi-epiphytes ≥10 cm, within 5 m either side of each transect were measured (above
buttress roots where required), aluminium tagged, and identified. Palms (Arecaceae) are not

best assessed by DBH but only understory palms were present along our transects, which
were not included in our sample. Within a total survey area of 8 ha (eight 1000-m x 10-m
transects; 4 ha per forest type), we examined a total of 1,056 live stems (TF: 556, VZ: 500;
874 trees, 182 lianas) comprised of 120 genera from 45 families (Table S2).

The phenophase of each stem was recorded for each plant part at monthly intervals, 5 6 using a pair of 10x40 binoculars: leaves (new, mature, shedding), flowers, and fruits (immature, mature). Dehiscent fruits were defined as mature when they visibly opened. 7 8 During the aquatic phase, transects in *várzea* forest were surveyed using dugout canoes. 9 Phenophase activity was estimated as the proportion of individual stems (and genera) bearing a given phenophase in each forest type. For vegetative phenology we used observations of 10 11 leaf condition to classify each stem as displaying leaf fall, leaf flush or leaflessness. For fruit 12 availability we calculated the Fruit Availability Index (FAI), multiplying a monthly fruit production score of 0-5 for each stem (Fournier 1974) by its basal area (Develey & Peres 13 2000), which is a strong predictor of fruit crop size in trees (Chapman et al. 1992). This value 14 15 was summed for all trees per transect to derive a density estimate of fruit production (FAI/ha). Basal area is not a strong predictor of fruit crop size in high-climbing lianas (Chapman et al. 16 1994) so we excluded all lianas and hemi-epiphytes (representing only 17.2% of stems) from 17 this measure. 18

19

LITTERFALL TRAPS. — We used square traps constructed of polyester mesh with PVC tubing
support (Stevenson & Vargas 2008). Each trap had a collection area of 0.5 m² (0.71 x 0.71 m)
and was supported 1 m above the ground. To cope with the seasonal fluctuation of
floodwaters in *várzea* forest, we added buoyancy to this basic design using four empty,
water-tight 2-litre plastic bottles at each corner of the trap, to maintain the polyester mesh
above water. Traps were also tied loosely to the upper branches of surrounding vegetation to

stabilize the trap position within a vertical column, as they rose above their supports with the
 floodwater (Fig. S2).

3 Litterfall traps were employed for twelve consecutive months (May 2009 – April 4 2010) within two 100-ha plots (1 TF, 1 VZ), each plot consisting of a grid of 1-km transects at 200-m intervals (Fig. S1). Traps were located along all transects at 100-m intervals, 5 resulting in a total of 96 traps per plot (total collection area = 48 m^2). All material was 6 collected from traps twice monthly (by canoe during the aquatic phase in várzea), dried in 7 direct sunlight during the hottest time of day (09.00h - 15.00h) to a constant weight over at 8 9 least 72 h, and separated by plant part into fruits and seeds, flowers, leaves, and twigs/branches (maximum diameter not defined). Each fraction was then dry-weighed 10 11 separately (using a 0.01 g resolution electronic scale) and all fruits and seeds were retained 12 for collection and identification. Mean monthly litterfall collections were estimated as Mg/ha and trap collections were summed to provide annual estimates, standardizing for any 13 variation in number of days per collection period and the occasional omission of individual 14 15 damaged traps.

16

RESIDUAL GROUND SURVEYS. — We conducted monthly ground surveys for residual fruit-fall 17 within three 100-ha plots (2 TF, 1 VZ), as described above. Surveys were completed 18 between April 2008 and July 2010 (TF: total 18 months, 15 consecutive; VZ: total 26 months, 19 20 13 consecutive). All transects were surveyed slowly over four days (3 transects per day) each month, recording all patches of fallen fruit detected along a 1-m wide strip (total transect 21 length and survey area per plot = 12 km and 1.2 ha). For each fruit patch encountered we 22 recorded its position along the transect, and sampled a specimen for our reference fruit 23 collection. In each case we also located the source fruiting stem, and measured its DBH and 24 perpendicular distance from the transect. During the aquatic phase in *várzea* forest, floating 25

1 fruits/seeds were also recorded, but unless their source crowns could be located overhead,

these were assumed to have originated outside the transect and were therefore excluded fromanalyses.

4

TREE AND FRUIT IDENTIFICATION. — Number-tagged phenology trees were identified to the 5 highest possible level of taxonomic resolution (species 10.6%, genus 82.3%, family 15.0%) 6 by a trained herbarium technician from the Botany Department of the Instituto Nacional de 7 Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA, Manaus). Additional tree and fruit identification was aided by 8 van Roosmalen (1985), Gentry (1993), Ribeiro et al. (1999), Cornejo & Janovec (2010), and 9 Wittmann et al. (2010a), which also assigned each species/genus recorded to the appropriate 10 11 seed dispersal mode: anemochory, hydrochory, barochory/boleochory, synzoochory or 12 endozoochory. All fruit/seed specimens were deposited at the Herbarium of the Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Amazonas (IFAM, Manaus). 13

14

15 DATA ANALYSES. — Phenophase activity was calculated for each of the three methods employed: (1) canopy observations were quantified as the percentage of stems or genera 16 observed in a given phenophase (further partitioned by seed dispersal mode for unripe and 17 ripe fruit), and as the FAI index of fruit production; (2) trap collections were used to derive 18 the monthly mean dry weight (Mg/ha) across all traps and the overall mean per trap across all 19 20 months; (3) fruit/seed collections from ground surveys were used to estimate the monthly basal area density of all fruiting stems (m^2/ha). Our general focus compared community-21 wide phenology patterns between *terra firme* and *várzea* forest, including the relationship 22 with seed dispersal modes, but also included an examination of fruiting phenology in 23 individual plant genera present in both forest types (>2 individuals in each) using circular 24 statistics with January being 15° (Morellato et al. 2010). All analyses were conducted in R (R 25

Development Core Team 2010); circular statistics were conducted using the CircStats
 package (Lund & Agostinelli 2012), graphics were produced using the *ggplot2* package
 (Wickham 2009).

4

5 **RESULTS**

VEGETATIVE PHENOLOGY. — Both *terra firme* and *várzea* forests displayed high seasonality,
although canopy observations of leaf fall and leaf flush were recorded at low levels
continuously throughout the year; leaf fall peaked in both forest types in March-April, while
leaf flush peaked in March in *várzea* and was more steady in *terra firme* (Fig. 2). Deciduous
species occurred in both forest types, but peaks in leaflessness occurred towards the end of
the aquatic phase (June) in *várzea* forest, compared to the end of the dry season (September)
in *terra firme* (Fig. 2).

Mean total fine litterfall in *várzea* forest was not significantly different from that in *terra firme* (Table 1). Although leaf fall was significantly lower, the amount of
twigs/branches, bark and trash was higher. The proportion of total fine litterfall comprising
leaves was 80.4% and 74.7% in *terra firme* and *várzea* forest, respectively, with litterfall
fractions consisting of fertile material making the smallest contributions (Table 1, Fig. S3).
Leaf-fall collections were highest during the middle of the aquatic phase (March-May) in *várzea* forest, compared to the dry season (August) in *terra firme* (Fig. 3).

20

REPRODUCTIVE PHENOLOGY. — Canopy observations of flowering reached maximum levels
shortly after peaks in leaflessness and leaf flush in both forest types, with peaks in *várzea* and *terra firme* in July-September and October-November, respectively. Flowering in both forest
types persisted until December, with subsequent fruit development and maturation appearing
much more synchronous between the two forest types than other phenophases (Fig. 2).

Production of immature fruits peaked in November-January, whereas that of mature fruits
 peaked in March-April.

3 Data from litterfall traps correspond closely with canopy observations. Peak flower 4 fall in várzea and terra firme forest was recorded in June and September-November, respectively but they were not significantly different. Peak fruit-fall was recorded in January 5 6 for both várzea and terra firme forest (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in fruit biomass density between the two forest types. Surveys for residual fruit-fall showed 7 8 considerable inter-annual variation but suggest a unimodal pattern in *terra firme* forest with a 9 peak associated with maximum rainfall (Fig. 4). In contrast, temporal fruit availability in várzea was more complex with the strongest peaks during the aquatic phase, but also 10 11 secondary peaks during the terrestrial phase (Fig. 4).

12

FRUITING SEASONALITY AND SEED DISPERSAL MODES. — The timing of fruiting was relatively 13 consistent within most individual genera occurring in both forest types (Fig. 5) and while the 14 15 community-wide pattern in fruit production also appeared similar in both terra firme and várzea forest, there were noticeable differences across seed dispersal modes (Fig. S4). The 16 proportion of plant genera exhibiting abiotic dispersal modes (wind, water and ballistic) was 17 relatively higher in várzea forest (TF: 19 genera, 21.1% of genera; VZ: 17 genera, 26.2 % of 18 genera), whereas terra firme forest was more dominated by animal-dispersed plants (TF: 71 19 genera, 78.9% of genera; VZ: 48 genera, 73.8 % of genera). Fruiting peaked in terra firme 20 forest during the mid-rainy season almost exclusively due to animal-dispersed plants, with 21 wind-dispersed and ballistic genera bearing fruit at a more steady low level. In várzea forest, 22 23 both wind- and water-dispersed genera bore fruits most frequently during the aquatic phase (Fig. S4). 24

25

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 Our study reports two important observations: (1) vegetative and reproductive phenological 3 cycles show strong seasonality in várzea and terra firme forests although patterns are broadly 4 similar, including peak fruiting within plant genera occurring in both forest types; and (2) abiotic seed dispersal modes are relatively more prevalent in várzea forest than in terra firme 5 6 forest, where plants are more heavily dependent upon animal seed-dispersal vectors. Note that litterfall material could not be oven-desiccated during this study and, in addition to the 7 8 definition of branch size categories, may represent a potential source of error to be considered 9 in the following comparisons with other studies.

10

11 VEGETATIVE PHENOLOGY. — Phenological studies often focus on flowers and fruits although 12 leaf production and abscission are potentially key stages in the timing of other phenophases. Fine litterfall is strongly seasonal in Amazonian floodplains (Chave et al. 2009) but the 13 proportions of individual fractions are not always reported, since this is typically quantified 14 15 as a measure of primary productivity. In the várzea forests of the Médio Juruá, leaves comprised 74.7% of total fine litterfall, in close agreement with records for central Amazonia 16 (Schöngart et al. 2010) but higher than in Peruvian várzea forests (Nebel et al. 2001). 17 Absolute values for várzea total fine litterfall in our study were intermediate between a 18 19 successional stage várzea (Schöngart et al. 2010) and a 60-year-old stand (Worbes 1997) in 20 Central Amazonia and, in contrast to regional analyses (Chave et al. 2009), we found no significant differences in total fine litterfall between flooded and unflooded forests. 21 Leaf fall, recorded as a fraction of fine litterfall in traps, showed a peak during the 22

aquatic phase in *várzea* (February-May), but during the dry season (August) in *terra firme*.
This supports evidence from previous studies (Ayres 1986, Worbes 1997, Schöngart *et al.*2002, Haugaasen & Peres 2005, Schöngart *et al.* 2010) that leaf fall in *várzea* is related to

cambial dormancy induced by the onset of the aquatic phase, with peak leaflessness
 coinciding with the maximum flood pulse. In contrast, leaflessness in *terra firme* peaked
 during the height of the dry season, although we failed to find evidence for varying degrees
 of deciduousness between these forest types (but see Parolin 2001, Haugaasen & Peres 2005).

REPRODUCTIVE PHENOLOGY. — The timing of flowering we recorded concurs with previous 6 findings that peak levels in várzea forest occur towards the end of the aquatic phase, but can 7 8 extend into the terrestrial phase once floodwaters recede (Ayres 1986, Schöngart *et al.* 2002, 9 Haugaasen & Peres 2005). However, while Haugaasen & Peres (2005) report no difference between peak flowering in *terra firme* and *várzea*, we found flowering in *terra firme* to peak 10 11 two months later than in várzea, and continue beyond the dry season into the onset of the 12 rainy season. Small differences in flowering between forest types could reflect an influence of seasonal flooding on the availability of pollinators (van Dulman 2001). Canopy 13 observations also showed community-wide flowering to extend for almost six months, 14 15 reflecting a wide range of reproductive strategies for individual species. Fruiting in humid tropical forests typically occurs during the early- to mid-rainy 16 season (van Schaik et al. 1993, Zhang & Wang 1995). Our data were consistent with this 17 pattern and fruit availability was similar in both várzea and terra firme, despite small 18 differences in the phenology of leaves and flowers. Likewise, fruiting peaks at Lago Uauacú 19 20 were observed in December-March (early-mid rainy season) and January (start of the aquatic phase) in terra firme and várzea forest, respectively (Haugaasen & Peres 2005). However, on 21 closer inspection, this pattern seems more complex in várzea forest than a simple lag behind 22 terra firme. While our trap collections indicate a várzea peak in December-January (early-23

rainy season) as in *terra firme*, the amplitude is lower and a more pronounced peak occurs

25 during maximum flood levels in April-May. This is supported by ground surveys for residual

fruit-fall, which suggest a bimodal distribution in fruit production in *várzea* forest in contrast
to a unimodal pattern in *terra firme*. This is similar to the *várzea* forest at Lago Teiú,
Mamirauá (Ayres 1986), where a first fruit peak follows peak rainfall but precedes peak
water-levels, and a secondary peak precedes the onset of the rainy season, coinciding with the
submergence of low-lying *várzea* (*chavascal*). In our study the secondary peak occurred
during the terrestrial phase (onset of the rainy season), and was notably comprised of fleshy
fruits such as *Byrsonima* spp. and *Manilkara* spp.

8 The lack of a difference in fruit biomass between the two forest types is surprising 9 considering the much higher nutrient availability in várzea forests (Furch 1997). This may imply that flooding and nutrient availability in the floodplain forest does not affect fruit 10 11 production. However, this finding fails to take in to account the lower stature and less 12 continuous nature of the várzea forest canopy, which suggests that relative fruit production (per stem or per unit of aboveground forest biomass) is actually higher in this forest type, 13 where overall basal area is greater despite similar stem density (Hawes et al. 2012). That fruit 14 15 biomass recorded in litterfall traps was similar despite these differences in forest structure is testament to the high productivity of várzea forests. 16

17

FRUITING SEASONALITY AND SEED DISPERSAL MODES. — Our results suggest that any contrast 18 in fruiting seasonality between várzea and terra firme is more likely a result of differences in 19 20 community composition and the relative prevalence of various seed dispersal modes than differences within single genera. Our results from terra firme were consistent with previous 21 studies, in terms of the maturation of fleshy fruits consumed by vertebrate frugivores during 22 the humid early wet season (Lieberman 1982) and, conversely, the dry-season maturation of 23 fruits and seeds dispersed by abiotic agents (van Schaik et al. 1993). The greater prevalence 24 of wind-dispersed trees and lianas within *várzea* forest is likely related to the lower stature 25

and less continuous nature of the canopy, as well as the history of plant colonization of the
floodplains from adjacent *terra firme* forest communities (Wittmann *et al.* 2010b). That we
failed to detect any hydrochorous plants bearing mature fruits during the *várzea* terrestrial
phase is unsurprising, but mature fruits in anemochorous plants in this forest type appear
more tightly aligned to the dry season *per se* than to the terrestrial phase.

6 While we documented a surprisingly low proportion of hydrochorous plant genera in várzea forest, seed dispersal in several plants classified as anemochorous or zoochorous may 7 8 in fact also be assisted by the floodwaters. Buoyancy represents just one of many strategies 9 employed by plants with fruits or seeds falling during flood conditions (Ferreira et al. 2010). Others alternatively sink, remaining dormant on the forest floor until water-levels recede 10 11 (Kubitzki & Ziburski 1994) where they provide a food resource for terrestrial frugivores 12 returning to floodplain forests. During the aquatic phase moreover, many zoochorous fruits are consumed and potentially dispersed by fish, rather than mammals or birds (Goulding 13 1980, Kubitzki & Ziburski 1994, Correa et al. 2007, Horn et al. 2011). As a result, the 14 15 proportion of tree species in floodplain forests that benefit from floodwaters, either directly or indirectly and even without obvious hydrochoric characteristics, may be underestimated by 16 current classifications of seed dispersal modes. 17

18

PHENOLOGICAL TRIGGERS. — Varying levels of precipitation have often been considered the principal environmental trigger for plant phenology in the tropics (van Schaik *et al.* 1993), with the key proximate cue usually assumed to be the period of water stress (but see Wright & Cornejo 1990). In *terra firme* forest, the period of most intense water stress is the dry season, while within *várzea*, paradoxically, the greatest degree of water stress is a result of anoxia from the extended period of water-logging and deep submersion (Parolin 2009). Other environmental variables, however, may also potentially contribute as proximate triggers

1 (Parolin et al. 2010a). Indeed, the role of water stress may not be as important as the 2 seasonality of daily insolation, with this mechanism of photoperiod control now recognized as a factor triggering leaf flush and flowering, even in tropical forests near the equator 3 4 (Borchert et al. 2015). Given the wide variety of plant strategies, different triggers may be relevant for different species in both terra firme and várzea forests (Wright & Cornejo 1990, 5 6 Schöngart et al. 2002, Parolin et al. 2010a). This is shown by the variation in phenological schedules between plants with different seed dispersal syndromes, where fruiting events in 7 wind-dispersed species in várzea forest appears more closely related to the dry season than to 8 9 the flood pulse.

Phenological triggers are best identified by examining long-term datasets; the 10 11 importance of multi-year studies is highlighted by the supra-annual reproductive cycles in 12 many species (Newstrom et al. 1994, Haugaasen & Peres 2005, Norden et al. 2007) and the inter-annual variation in climatic conditions resulting in substantial oscillations in flood 13 pulses. However, apart from residual fruit-fall, we lacked such multi-year datasets. Further 14 15 caution in defining the environmental triggers of plant phenology is necessary due to the difference between proximate triggers (environmental events correlated with phenology) and 16 the ultimate factors driving evolutionary scale selection pressures (Hamann 2004). Because 17 of these issues we resist the temptation to over-analyze possible phenological triggers in this 18 study. 19

20

CONCLUSIONS. — Our year-round community-wide assessment along the Rio Juruá
represents one of the most extensive efforts conducted to date in Amazonian *várzea* forest,
including a large sample area for canopy observations, litterfall traps and ground surveys,
making a substantial contribution to understanding phenological patterns and processes
within this forest type. Our successful use of three complementary methods, including a

novel floating trap designed to cope with the fluctuating flood levels in *várzea* forest, enabled
 us to quantify litterfall and fruit production throughout the year and illustrates the
 possibilities for long-term studies in *várzea* and other flooded forests.

The increasingly threatened *várzea* forests remain vastly understudied, in terms of
both sampling effort and the distribution of study sites across Amazonia, partly as a result of
the practical difficulties associated with fieldwork in such a dramatically seasonal habitat.
While recent large-scale advances have been made in digital and remote phenology
monitoring (Pennec *et al.* 2011, Zhao *et al.* 2012), there remains no substitute for field
surveys, especially for reproductive phenophases.

Within any single short-term study, it is difficult to disentangle the relationships between phenology and environmental variables and, despite the general consistency between forest types, it remains possible that the annual flood pulse still has an important contributory role to play in plant phenology in *várzea* forests. Clarification of the relative roles of environmental triggers in the phenology of flooded forests would be aided, not just by multiyear studies, but by a systematic effort to increase the geographic distribution of phenology studies.

17

18 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by a NERC doctoral studentship to JEH and a DEFRA Darwin
Initiative (UK) grant (Ref. 16-001) to CAP. We thank the Secretaria do Estado do Meio
Ambiente e Desenvolvimento Sustentável of Amazonas (SDS) and the Instituto Brasileiro do
Meio Ambiente e Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA) for authorizing this research. We
are grateful to A. Azevedo (INPA) for assisting tree identification, V. Kinupp (IFAM) for
specimen curation, and to all resident communities of the Médio Juruá region for their
generous hospitality. We also thank P. Dolman, I. Mendoza, P. Parolin and two anonymous

1	reviewers for constructive comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. CAP co-wrote
2	this paper during a CAPES-funded visiting fellowship (PVE 004/2012). This is publication
3	no. 10 of the Projeto Médio Juruá series on Resource Management in Amazonian Reserves.
4	
5	LITERATURE CITED
-	
6	AYRES, J.M., 1986. Uakaris and Amazonian Flooded Forest. PhD Dissertation, Cambridge
7	University, Cambridge, UK.
8	BORCHERT, R., S.S. RENNER, Z. CALLE, D. NAVARETTE, A. TYE, L. GAUTIER, R. SPICHIGER,
9	AND P. VON HILDEBRAND, 2005. Photoperiodic induction of synchronous flowering
10	near the Equator. Nature 433: 627-629.
11	BORCHERT, R., Z. CALLE, A.H. STRAHLER, A. BAERTSCHI, R.E. MAGILL, J.S. BROADHEAD, J.
12	KAMAU, J. NJOROGE, AND C. MUTHURI, 2015. Insolation and photoperiodic control of
13	tree development near the equator. New Phytologist 205: 7-13.
14	BRADLEY, A.V, F.F. GERARD, N. BARBIER, G.P. WEEDON, L.O. ANDERSON, C. HUNTINGFORD,
15	L.E.O.C. ARAGÃO, P. ZELAZOWSKI, AND E. ARAI, 2011. Relationships between
16	phenology, radiation and precipitation in the Amazon region. Glob Change Biol 17:
17	2245–2260.
18	CHAPMAN, C.A., L.J. CHAPMAN, R. WANGHAM, K. HUNT, D. GEBO, AND L. GARDNER, 1992.
19	Estimators of fruit abundance of tropical trees. Biotropica 24: 527-531.
20	CHAPMAN, C.A., R. WRANGHAM, AND L.J. CHAPMAN, 1994. Indices of habitat-wide fruit
21	abundance in tropical forests. Biotropica 26: 160-171.
22	Chave, J., D. Navarrete, S. Almeida, E. Álvarez, L.E.O.C. Aragão, D. Bonal, P.
23	CHÂTELET, J. SILVA ESPEJO, JY. GORET, P. VON HILDEBRAND, E. JIMÉNEZ, S.
24	PATIÑO, M.C. PEÑUELA, O.L. PHILLIPS, P. STEVENSON, AND Y. MALHI, 2009. Regional

1	and temporal patterns of litterfall in tropical South America. Biogeosciences
2	Discussions 6: 7565–7597.
3	CORNEJO, F., AND J. JANOVEC, 2010. Seeds of Amazonian Plants (Princeton Field Guides),
4	Princeton University Press, Princeton.
5	Correa, S.B., K.O. Winemiller, H. López-Fernandez, and M. Galetti, 2007.
6	Evolutionary perspectives on seed consumption and dispersal by fishes. Bioscience
7	57: 748–756.
8	DEVELEY, P.F., AND C.A. PERES, 2000. Resource seasonality and the structure of mixed
9	species bird flocks in a coastal Atlantic forest of southeastern Brazil. J Trop Ecol 16:
10	33–53.
11	FERREIRA, C.S., M.T.F. PIEDADE, A. DE O. WITTMANN, AND A.C. FRANCO, 2010. Plant
12	reproduction in the Central Amazonian floodplains: challenges and adaptations. AoB
13	PLANTS 2010: plq009–plq009.
14	FLEMING, T.H., AND W.J. KRESS, 2011. A brief history of fruits and frugivores. Acta Oecol
15	37: 530–521.
16	FOURNIER, L.A., 1974. Un método cuantitativo para la medición de características
17	fenológicas en árboles. Turrialba 24: 422–423.
18	FURCH, K., 1997: Chemistry of varzea and igapo soils and nutrient inventory of their
19	floodplain forests. In W.J. Junk (Ed.) The Central Amazon Floodplain: ecology of a
20	pulsing system, Ecological Studies 126. pp. 46-67, Springer, Berlin.
21	GRIZ, L.M.S., AND I.C.S. MACHADO, 2001. Fruiting phenology and seed dispersal syndromes
22	in caatinga, a tropical dry forest in the northeast of Brazil. J Trop Ecol 17: 303-321.
23	HAMANN, A., 2004. Flowering and fruiting phenology of a Philippine submontane rain forest
24	climatic factors as proximate and ultimate causes. J Ecol 92: 24-31.

1	HAUGAASEN, T., AND C.A. PERES, 2005. Tree phenology in adjacent Amazonian flooded and
2	unflooded forests. Biotropica 37: 620-630.
3	HAWES, J.E., C.A. PERES, L.B. RILEY, AND L.L. HESS, 2012. Landscape-scale variation in
4	structure and biomass of Amazonian seasonally flooded and unflooded forests. For
5	Ecol Manage 281: 163–176.
6	HAWES, J.E., C.A. PERES, 2014. Fruit-frugivore interactions in Amazonian seasonally flooded
7	and unflooded forests. J Trop Ecol 30: 381-399.
8	HORN, M.H., S.B. CORREA, P. PAROLIN, B.J.A. POLLUX, J.T. ANDERSON, C. LUCAS, P.
9	WIDMANN, A. TJIU, M. GALETTI, AND M. GOULDING, 2011. Seed dispersal by fishes in
10	tropical and temperate fresh waters: the growing evidence. Acta Oecol 37: 577-561.
11	JARVIS, A., H.I. REUTER, A. NELSON, AND E. GUEVARA, 2008. Hole-filled seamless SRTM
12	data V4, International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT).
13	http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org
14	JUNK, W.J., M.T.F. PIEDADE, J. SCHÖNGART, M. COHN-HAFT, J.M. ADENEY AND F.
15	WITTMANN, 2011. A classification of major naturally-occurring Amazonian lowland
16	wetlands. Wetlands 31: 623-640.
17	JUNK, W.J., P.B. BAYLEY, AND R.E. SPARKS, 1989. The flood pulse concept in river-
18	floodplain systems. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
19	106: 110–127.
20	KUBITZKI, K., AND A. ZIBURSKI, 1994. Seed dispersal in flood plain forests of Amazonia.
21	Biotropica 26: 30–43.
22	LUND, U., AND C. AGOSTINELLI, 2012. CircStats: Circular Statistics, from "Topics in circular
23	Statistics" (2001). R package version 0.2-4. http://CRAN.R-
24	project.org/package=CircStats

1	MELACK, J.M., AND L.L. HESS, 2010 Remote sensing of the distribution and extent of
2	wetlands in the Amazon basin. In W.J. Junk, M.T.F. Piedade, F. Wittmann, J.
3	Schöngart, and P. Parolin (Eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: ecophysiology,
4	biodiversity and sustainable management. pp. 105–126, Springer, Dordrecht.
5	MORELLATO, L.P.C., L.F.ALBERTI, AND I.L. HUDSON, 2010. Applications of circular statistics
6	in plant phenology: a case studies approach. In I. L. Hudson and M. R. Keatly (Eds.)
7	Phenological Research. pp. 339–359, Springer Dordrecht.
8	MORISETTE, J.T., A.D. RICHARDSON, A.K. KNAPP, J.I. FISHER, E.A. GRAHAM, J.
9	ABATZOGLOU, B.E. WILSON, D.D. BRESHEARS, G.M. HENEBRY, J.M. HANES, AND L.
10	LIANG, 2009. Tracking the rhythm of the seasons in the face of global change:
11	phenological research in the 21st century. Front Ecol Environ 7: 253–260.
12	NEBEL, G., J. DRAGSTED, AND A.S. VEGA, 2001. Litter fall, biomass and net primary
13	production in flood plain forests in the Peruvian Amazon. For Ecol Manage 150: 93-
14	102.
15	NEWSTROM, L.E., G.W. FRANKIE, AND H.G. BAKER, 1994. A new classification for plant
16	phenology based on flowering patterns in lowland tropical rain forest trees at La
17	Selva, Costa Rica. Biotropica 26: 141–159.
18	NORDEN, N., J. CHAVE, P. BELBENOIT, A. CAUBÈRE, P. CHÂTELET, PM. FORGET, AND C.
19	THÉBAUD, 2007. Mast fruiting is a frequent strategy in woody species of eastern
20	South America. PloS ONE 2: e1079.
21	OLIVEIRA, A. C. DE, AND M. T. F. PIEDADE. 2002. Implicações ecológicas da fenologia
22	reprodutica de Salix martiana Leyb. (Salicaceae) em áreas de várzea da Amazônia
23	central. Acta Amaz. 32: 377–385.
24	PAROLIN, P., 2001. Morphological and physiological adjustments to waterlogging and
25	drought in seedlings of Amazonian floodplain trees. Oecologia 128: 326-335.

1	PAROLIN, P., 2009. Submerged in darkness: adaptations to prolonged submergence by woody
2	species of the Amazonian floodplains. Annals of Botany 103: 359-376.
3	PAROLIN, P., L.V. FERREIRA, A.L.K.M. ALBERNAZ, AND S.S. ALMEIDA, 2004a. Tree species
4	distribution in várzea forests of Brazilian Amazonia. Folia Geobot 39: 371-383.
5	PAROLIN, P., O. DE SIMONE, K. HAASE, D. WALDHOFF, S. ROTTENBERGER, U. KUHN, J.
6	KESSELMEIER, B. KLEISS, W. SCHMIDT, M. PLEDADE, AND W. JUNK, 2004b. Central
7	Amazonian floodplain forests: tree adaptations in a pulsing system. The Botanical
8	Review 70: 357–380.
9	PAROLIN, P., D. WALDHOFF, AND M.T.F. PIEDADE, 2010a. Fruit and seed chemistry, biomass
10	and dispersal. In W.J. Junk, M.T.F. Piedade, F. Wittmann, J. Schöngart, and P.
11	Parolin (Eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: ecophysiology, biodiversity and
12	sustainable management. pp. 243–258, Springer, Dordrecht.
13	PAROLIN, P., F. WITTMANN, AND J. SCHÖNGART, 2010b. Tree phenology in Amazonian
14	floodplain forests. In W.J. Junk, M.T.F. Piedade, F. Wittmann, J. Schöngart, and P.
15	Parolin (Eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: ecophysiology, biodiversity and
16	sustainable management. pp. 105–126, Springer, Dordrecht.
17	PAROLIN, P., F. WITTMANN, AND L.V. FERREIRA, 2013. Fruit and seed dispersal in Amazonian
18	floodplain trees – a review. Ecotropica 19: 19-36.
19	PENNEC, A., V. GOND, AND D. SABATIER, 2011. Tropical forest phenology in French Guiana
20	from MODIS time series. Remote Sensing Letters 2: 337–345.
21	PRANCE, G.T., 1979. Notes on the vegetation of Amazonia III. The terminology of
22	Amazonian forest types subject to inundation. Brittonia 31: 26-38.
23	R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM, 2010. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
24	Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

1	RIBEIRO, J.E.L. DA S., M.J.G. HOPKINS, A. VICENTINI, C.A. SOTHERS, M.A. DA S. COSTA, J.M.
2	DE BRITO, M.A.D. DE SOUZA, L.H.P. MARTINS, L.G. LOHMAN, P.A.C.L. ASSUNÇÃO, E.
3	DA C. PEREIRA, C.F. DA SILVA, M.R. MESQUITA, AND L.C. PROCÓPIO, 1999. Flora da
4	Reserva Ducke: Guia de identificacao das plantas vasculares de uma floresta de
5	terra-firme na Amazónia Central, INPA-DFID.
6	SCHÖNGART, J., M.T.F. PIEDADE, S. LUDWIGSHAUSEN, V. HORNA, AND M. WORBES, 2002.
7	Phenology and stem-growth periodicity of tree species in Amazonian floodplain
8	forests. J Trop Ecol 18: 581–597.
9	SCHÖNGART, J., F. WITTMANN, AND M. WORBES, 2010. Biomass and net primary production
10	of central Amazonian floodplain forests. In W.J. Junk, M.T.F. Piedade, F. Wittmann,
11	J. Schöngart, and P. Parolin (Eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: ecophysiology,
12	biodiversity and sustainable management. pp. 347–388, Springer, Dordrecht.
13	STEVENSON, P.R., AND I.N. VARGAS, 2008. Sample size and appropriate design of fruit and
14	seed traps in tropical forests. J Trop Ecol 24: 95–105.
15	TING, S., S. HARTLEY, AND K.C. BURNS, 2008. Global patterns in fruiting seasons. Glob Ecol
16	Biogeogr 17: 648–657.
17	VAN DULMAN, A., 2001. Pollination and phenology of flowers in the canopy of two
18	contrasting rain forest types in Amazonia, Columbia. Plant Ecol 153: 73-85.
19	VAN ROOSMALEN, M.G.M., 1985. Fruits of the Guianan Flora, Institute of Systematic
20	Botany, Utrecht University/Silvicultural Department, Wageningen University.
21	VAN SCHAIK, C.P., J.W. TERBORGH, AND S.J. WRIGHT, 1993. The phenology of tropical
22	forests: adaptive significance and consequences for primary consumers. Annu Rev
23	Ecol Syst 24: 353–377.
24	WICKHAM, J., 2009. Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis, Springer, New York.

1	WITTMANN, F., J. SCHÖNGART, J.M. DE BRITO, A. DE O. WITTMANN, M.T.F. PIEDADE, P.
2	PAROLIN, W.J. JUNK, AND JL. GUILLAUMET, 2010a. Manual of Trees in Central
3	Amazonian Várzea Floodplains: taxonomy, ecology, and use, Editora INPA, Manaus.
4	WITTMANN, F., J. SCHÖNGART, AND W.J. JUNK, 2010b. Phytogeography, species diversity,
5	community structure and dynamics of central Amazonian floodplain forests. In W.J.
6	Junk, M.T.F. Piedade, F. Wittmann, J. Schöngart, and P. Parolin (Eds.) Amazonian
7	Floodplain Forests: ecophysiology, biodiversity and sustainable management. pp. 61-
8	102, Springer, Dordrecht.
9	WORBES, M., 1997. The forest ecosystem of the floodplains. In W.J. Junk (Ed.) The Central
10	Amazon Floodplain: ecology of a pulsing system, Ecological Studies 126. pp. 223-
11	266, Springer, Berlin.
12	WRIGHT, S.J., AND F.H. CORNEJO, 1990. Seasonal drought and leaf fall in a tropical forest.
13	Ecology 71: 1165-1175.
14	ZHANG, SY., AND LX. WANG, 1995. Comparison of three fruit census methods in French
15	Guiana. J Trop Ecol 11: 281–294.
16	ZHAO, J., Y. ZHANG, Z. TAN, Q. SONG, N. LIANG, L. YU, AND J. ZHAO, 2012. Using digital
17	cameras for comparative phenological monitoring in an evergreen broad-leaved forest
18	and a seasonal rain forest. Ecol Inform 10: 65-72.
19	ZIMMERMAN, J.K., S.J. WRIGHT, O. CALDERÓN, M.A. PAGAN, AND S. PATON, 2007. Flowering
20	and fruiting phenologies of seasonal and aseasonal neotropical forests: the role of
21	annual changes in irradiance. J Trop Ecol 23: 231–251.
22	

- 1 TABLES
- 2
- 3 TABLE 1. Annual fine litterfall fractions (Mean \pm SD, Mg/ha/yr) sampled by 96 traps in each

4 forest type from April 2009 to March 2010. *P*-values are represented by * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.005,

5 *** *P* < 0.001.

	Terra firme	Várzea	t	Р
Leaves	8.27 ± 0.96	7.43 ± 1.04	-5.76	<0.001 ***
Twigs/branches, bark and trash	1.69 ± 0.39	2.36 ± 0.51	10.16	<0.001 ***
Flowers	0.15 ± 0.24	0.05 ± 0.07	-4.09	<0.001 ***
Fruits	0.18 ± 0.20	0.12 ± 0.24	-1.94	0.054
Total	10.29 ± 1.18	9.95 ± 1.33	-1.84	0.068

6

1 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

3 FIGURE S1. Médio Juruá region of western Brazilian Amazonia, showing locations of eight 1-4 km phenology transects (stars) and two 100-ha plots (squares) in terra firme (no shading) and 5 6 várzea forest (gray shading). Black circles represent local communities (BAU=Bauana, NUN=Nova União); gray lines represent perennial streams; dashed lines represent the spatial 7 8 extent of the várzea floodplain according to high-resolution ALOS ScanSAR imagery (Hawes et al. 2012). 9 10 FIGURE S2 Floating fruit/seed trap design used in várzea forest, supported at a height of 1m during the terrestrial phase, but free to float within a vertical water column with fluctuating 11 floodwaters during the aquatic phase. 12 FIGURE S3. Annual fine litterfall (Mg/ha/yr) recorded from 24 twice monthly collections of 96 13 traps in both terra firme and várzea forest, showing total fine litterfall and values for individual 14 vegetative and reproductive fractions. 15 FIGURE S4. Percentage of genera with either unripe or ripe fruit, recorded during canopy 16 observations in terra firme (A) and várzea forest (B) and partitioned according to seed dispersal 17 18 modes.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

19

1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2 FIGURE 1. Seasonal variation in climate and river water-level in the Médio Juruá region of 3 western Brazilian Amazonia. Mean monthly records for (A) temperature, (B) humidity, and (C) 4 rainfall from Eirunepé meteorological station: gray shading represents 95% confidence intervals, solid circles represent study months April 2009 – April 2010 (source: INMET 2000-10); mean 5 daily records for (D) water-level of the Juruá river at Porto Gavião, Carauari: gray fill represents 6 long-term records 1972-94, solid circles represent daily measurements during the study period: 7 April 2009 – April 2010 (source: Petrobrás S.A., 1972-94 and 2009-10). 8 9 FIGURE 2. Percentage of stems for each phenophase recorded by monthly canopy observations in *terra firme* (open circles, dashed line) and *várzea* forest (shaded circles, solid line). 10 FIGURE 3. Mean monthly values for (A) total fine litterfall (Mg/ha), recorded from twice 11 monthly collections of 96 traps per 100-ha plot in both terra firme (open circles, dashed line) and 12 várzea forest (shaded circles, solid line), and for individual vegetative and reproductive fractions: 13 (B) leaves, (C) fine woody litter (twigs/branches, bark, trash), (D) flowers, and (E) fruits. 14 FIGURE 4. Fruit production estimates in terra firme (open circles, dashed line) and várzea forest 15 16 (shaded circles, solid line) using three complementary sampling methods: canopy observations of (A) unripe and (B) ripe fruit, (C) trap collections of the fruit fraction in litterfall, and (D) ground 17 surveys of residual fruit patches (stem basal area: m²/ha). Seasonal variation in (E) rainfall: black 18 19 points represent total monthly values from daily records at the Bauana field station; dotted line 20 represents records from the Eirunepé meteorological station (source: INMET), and (F) waterlevel: solid circles represent daily measurements at the Bauana field station; gray fill represents 21 22 daily records obtained at Porto Gavião, Carauari (source: Petrobrás, S.A.).

FIGURE 5. Correlation of peak fruiting (mean angle ± circular standard deviation) from canopy
 observations of 20 plant genera occurring in both *terra firme* and *várzea* forest. Point shading
 represents seed dispersal modes; dashed lines represent equal angle in both forest types; number
 codes refer to plant genera listed in Table S3.