

1 **Why, oh why, are so many older adults not drinking enough fluid?**

2 **Dehydration in long-term care.**

3 Lee Hooper

4 The National Resident Assessment Instrument (RAI) was introduced a quarter century ago,
5 was fully operational by October 1991 and appeared to reduce the prevalence of dehydration
6 from 2% to 1% in nursing home residents¹. Despite this [*X et al, reported in this issue of*
7 *JAND*] have found that 38% of long term care residents were dehydrated (assessed using
8 serum osmolality), and a further 30% had impending dehydration. These 132 long-term
9 residents of eight long term care facilities in Nashville had a blood sample and a written order
10 for caloric supplementation, but were not receiving hospice care, enteral or parenteral
11 nutrition. The mean age of the 247 adults included in the study (not all had a blood sample)
12 was 83 (SD 11) years, mean body mass index (BMI) 25 (SD 5) kg/m², and 79% were
13 women². Hydration status was measured using serum osmolality (directly measured by
14 freezing point depression). A resident was dehydrated where their serum osmolality was
15 >300mOsm/kg, had impending dehydration where their serum osmolality was 295-
16 300mOsm/kg, and they were normally hydrated below 295mOsm/kg^{3, 4}.

17
18 This echoes recent research in United Kingdom (UK) residential care, the Dehydration
19 Recognition In our Elders (DRIE) study⁵, which found that 20% of older residents were
20 dehydrated, and a further 28% had impending dehydration (using the same criteria as [*X et al,*
21 *reported in this issue of JAND*]²). The DRIE population were similar to those reported here,
22 were living in long term care, though slightly older, heavier and more male (188 adults, mean
23 age 86, SD 8, years, BMI 26, SD 6, kg/m², and 66% women). There appear only to be two
24 other studies from long term care settings that have assessed serum osmolality (and so
25 assessed hydration status accurately). Stotts found that 19% of 48 United States (US) nursing

26 home residents at risk for pressure ulcers were dehydrated, and a further 44% had impending
27 dehydration, while Gaspar found that none of 36 US long term care residents were
28 dehydrated (8% had impending dehydration)^{6, 7}. While high rates of dehydration are not
29 totally consistent (ranging from 8% to 68% of older adults having either impending or current
30 dehydration), these figures suggest that dehydration is common. Rates of dehydration in
31 older adults admitted to hospital are also variable but often high (see Table)⁸.

32

33 So why are so many older adults living in long term care in developed countries dehydrated?

34

35 Both recent studies^{2, 5} examined factors associated with dehydration in long term care
36 residents in cross-sectional analyses. [*X et al, reported in this issue of JAND*]² suggest that
37 risk of dehydration was associated with diabetes, mental status score based on the mini-
38 mental state examination (MMSE) score and higher blood urea nitrogen (BUN). Factors
39 assessed, but not associated with dehydration in multivariate analysis included age, sex, BMI,
40 functional status, energy intake, drink (beverage) consistency, total water intake, snack
41 frequency, and type of oral nutrition supplement. Factors associated with dehydration in the
42 UK DRIE⁵ cohort were diabetes, lower cognitive function (also based on MMSE score) and
43 lower estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Being male, having more health care
44 contacts in the past 2 months and not using potassium-sparing diuretics were associated with
45 increased risk of dehydration in some (but not all) analytic models. Factors assessed, but not
46 associated with dehydration in DRIE included age, needing thickened drinks, needing help
47 drinking, a variety of current and chronic health factors, a variety of measures of urinary and
48 fecal continence, functional status, BMI, other measures of nutritional status and
49 medications. The consistent factors associated with dehydration in both studies are diabetes,
50 poor renal function (though measured in different ways) and poor cognition.

51

52 Why are these particular factors associated, and consistently associated across continents in
53 different care systems, with dehydration? To discuss this we need to start by defining
54 dehydration – a term rather like malnutrition in that it refers to several distinct problems.
55 Malnutrition always refers to poor nutrition, but may refer to protein-energy malnutrition, or
56 to scurvy, or to obesity, conditions which have different causes, different symptoms and
57 different treatments. Dehydration is similar - it always refers to a shortage of fluid in the
58 body, but covers water-loss dehydration and salt-loss dehydration, which have different
59 causes, different symptoms and different treatments⁹. [*X et al, reported in this issue of*
60 *JAND*] reports on water-loss dehydration (also called intracellular dehydration, or simply
61 dehydration), measured by serum osmolality, which signifies that someone is not drinking
62 enough^{3, 9, 10}. This is distinct from salt-loss dehydration (also called extracellular
63 dehydration, or hypovolemia) where the shortage of fluid is due to excessive losses of fluid
64 and electrolytes (and sometimes other components also, through diarrhea, vomiting, or blood
65 loss) and serum osmolality is not raised. Water-loss dehydration is a nutritional deficiency,
66 the result of insufficient fluid intake, and occurs in otherwise well individuals, while salt-loss
67 dehydration is usually the result of an illness, a medical condition.

68

69 The mechanism of water-loss dehydration is that with insufficient drinking (insufficient fluid
70 intake) the serum components become more concentrated (which raises serum osmolality).
71 To equalize osmolality between the intracellular and extracellular fluids water moves from
72 cells into the extracellular fluids, diluting the serum but concentrating intracellular fluid and
73 shrinking the cells. This is water-loss dehydration. Humans are physiologically protected
74 against water-loss dehydration and its consequences through several elegant feedback
75 mechanisms. Cell membrane osmoreceptors are triggered as intracellular fluids become more

76 concentrated, and these trigger thirst (ensuring that we seek fluid, drink, and resolve the
77 problem). At the same time (and in case there is no fluid to drink nearby) they cause the
78 release of vasopressin (also called antidiuretic hormone, ADH) which increases water
79 resorption in the renal tubules, so that the urine is concentrated and further fluid loss is
80 limited¹⁰.

81

82 So why do so many older adults have water-loss dehydration? It appears that as people age
83 these basic feedback mechanisms that protect them against water-loss dehydration are
84 weakened or lost. Thirst is no longer associated with raised serum osmolality in older adults⁵,
85 ¹¹⁻¹³. At the same time renal concentrating capacity becomes more limited¹⁴, so that urine
86 concentration (measured by urinary color, specific gravity and osmolality) ceases to indicate
87 hydration status in older adults^{13, 15, 16}. This leaves older adults at high risk of dehydration,
88 without the normal physiological responses.

89

90 Diabetes is likely to be associated with dehydration, because when diabetes is not well
91 controlled, and blood glucose rises, it results in higher serum osmolality (serum glucose is a
92 component of serum osmolality, as reflected in osmolarity equations)¹⁷⁻¹⁹. It is intriguing,
93 though, that when individuals with raised or unknown serum glucose were omitted from
94 analyses in the DRIE study, use of diabetic medication was still associated with dehydration
95 in multivariate analyses⁵, suggesting that there may also be other mechanisms involved.

96

97 The association of poor renal function (measured by BUN or eGFR) with dehydration
98 reinforces the importance of renal function in retention of fluid when fluid intake is limited,
99 as we would expect from the physiological mechanisms. However, the association could also

100 reflect a rise in BUN resulting from limited renal function. BUN (also called serum urea) is a
101 key component of serum osmolarity equations, and of directly measured osmolality¹⁷⁻²⁰.

102

103 So maybe the links between diabetes, renal function and dehydration are obvious. But, why
104 is poor cognitive function associated with dehydration? The relationship is consistent, and
105 appears to be linear. As cognitive function becomes more limited, both serum osmolality and
106 the odds of dehydration rise⁵. As our normal physiological responses fade, it is likely that we
107 become more dependent on routine, habit and social interaction to ensure we drink enough to
108 maintain hydration. Dementia and limited cognition disrupt routine, and disturb social
109 relationships (as does aging itself, as we get older we often lose key friends, relatives and
110 partners), so that drinking may drop off without any conscious decision to reduce drinking.
111 With the forgetfulness of dementia older adults lose their awareness of when they last drank,
112 and eventually lose awareness of the need to drink. In the absence of thirst the body does not
113 prompt drinking when drinks are forgotten. On top of these problems, dehydration can
114 worsen cognitive function, creating a vicious circle^{21, 22}.

115

116 Almost as interesting as the factors associated with dehydration are those that were tested and
117 found not to be associated with dehydration. Eating and drinking dependence and total
118 functional status were assessed and found not to be strongly related to serum osmolality in
119 multivariate analyses in either study^{2, 5}. This suggests that in the context of long term care
120 those who struggle to drink or gain access to drinks are appropriately supported, so that this
121 potential risk factor is overcome²³. Needing thickened drinks was also assessed in both
122 studies and not found related to serum osmolality, but in the case of DRIE there were few
123 participants needing thickened drinks, so analyses may simply be underpowered, and larger
124 studies will be needed to assess this relationship. Age was not related to serum osmolality in

125 either study, perhaps because in the long term care context all are frail and most are either
126 cognitively or functionally limited, regardless of their age – so that age may still predict
127 dehydration (as an indicator of frailty) in older adults living independently in the community
128 (research in those living independently will be needed to test this hypothesis). BMI was not
129 associated with serum osmolality in either multivariate analysis.

130

131 Perhaps most intriguing, given that serum osmolality reflects inadequate fluid intake, [*X et al,*
132 *reported in this issue of JAND*] found no relationship between total fluid intake and serum
133 osmolality. Does this suggest that fluid needs are highly individual, or an inability to
134 accurately measure fluid intake in older adults in this setting? The methodology of fluid
135 assessment in this study appears very strong, weighing all food and fluid intake at meal and
136 snack times, over two 24-hour periods. However, long term care residents in the UK often
137 drink between set meal and snack times. Residents may keep a bottle of lemonade or a
138 special type of fruit juice in their bedroom, drink water from a jug in their room, or directly
139 from their faucet. Visitors may make drinks for residents, request them from care staff (to
140 share with residents) or take residents out for drinks to local shops, cafes, bars or tea rooms.
141 Fluids taken with medications can also be important for fluid intake. The relationship
142 between drinks intake and hydration status needs to be explored further in future research,
143 perhaps assessing daily water turnover very accurately using deuterium oxide²⁴.

144

145 The crucial question, raised by [*X et al, reported in this issue of JAND*], is what this tells us
146 about how we support older adults to drink well. The authors provide good suggestions for
147 actions we can take. While many interventions have been tested, none are clearly effective at
148 promoting fluid intake and protecting against dehydration in older adults^{25, 26}. We need
149 methodologically rigorous and well-powered studies to assess ways to improve fluid intake in

150 older adults. A variety of avenues need to be explored, remembering that in the absence of
151 basic physiological protection against dehydration we need to ensure that older adults are
152 aware of the need to drink, and that because they are not feeling thirsty they must not assume
153 they have drunk enough. We may need to provide older people with tools to assess and
154 monitor their own drinking (for example a Drinks Diary, recently developed to help older
155 adults in residential care to record their own drinks intake²⁷). Multifactorial interventions
156 including individual assessment of barriers to drinking, education, monitoring, prompting,
157 variety, regularity and choice of drinks, addressing continence and even medications may be
158 needed, as well as interventions at local and national policy levels²⁵. As care-givers, we must
159 consider how to support continued drinking even in the face of receding cognitive function by
160 supporting social relationships, routine and the enjoyment of drinking²⁶.

161

162

Reference List

163

- 164 (1) Fries BE, Hawes C, Morris JN, Phillips CD, Mor V, Park PS. Effect of the National
165 Resident Assessment Instrument on selected health conditions and problems. *J Am*
166 *Geriatr Soc* 1997;45(8):994-1001.
- 167 (2) xxx. Elevated Serum Osmolality and Total Water Deficit Indicate Impaired Hydration
168 Status in Long Term Care Residents Regardless of Low or High Body Mass Index. *J*
169 *Acad Nutr Diet* 2015;accepted.
- 170 (3) Thomas DR, Cote TR, Lawhorne L et al. Understanding clinical dehydration and its
171 treatment. *JAMDA* 2008;9(5):292-301.
- 172 (4) Institute of Medicine. *Panel on Dietary Reference Intakes for Electrolytes and Water.*
173 *Dietary Reference Intakes for Water, Potassium, Sodium, Chloride, and Sulfate.*
174 Washington DC, USA: National Academies Press; 2004.
- 175 (5) Hooper L, Bunn DK, Downing A et al. Which frail older people are dehydrated? The
176 UK DRIE study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2015;published online 9Nov2015.
- 177 (6) Stotts NA, Hopf HW, Kayser-Jones J, Chertow GM, Cooper BA, Wu H-S. Increased
178 fluid intake does not augment capacity to lay down new collagen in nursing home
179 residents at risk for pressure ulcers: A randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Wound*
180 *Repair Regen* 2009;17(6):780-788.

- 181 (7) Gaspar PM. Comparison of four standards for determining adequate water intake of
182 nursing home residents. *Res Theory Nurs Pract* 2011;25(1):11-22.
- 183 (8) Morley JE. Dehydration, Hypernatremia, and Hyponatremia. *Clin Geriatr Med*
184 2015;31(3):389-399.
- 185 (9) Chevront SN, Kenefick RW. Dehydration: physiology, assessment, and performance
186 effects. *Compr Physiol* 2014;4:257-285.
- 187 (10) Hooper L, Bunn D, Jimoh FO, Fairweather-Tait SJ. Water-loss dehydration and
188 aging. *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development* 2013;136(pp 50-58):-58.
- 189 (11) Davies I, O'Neill PA, McLean KA, Catania J, Bennett D. Age-associated Alterations
190 in Thirst and Arginine Vasopressin in Response to a Water or Sodium Load. *Age*
191 *Ageing* 1995;24(2):151-159.
- 192 (12) Mack GW, Weseman CA, Langhans GW, Scherzer H, Gillen CM, Nadel ER. Body
193 fluid balance in dehydrated healthy older men: Thirst and renal osmoregulation. *J*
194 *Appl Physiol* 1994;76(4):1615-1623.
- 195 (13) Hooper L, Abdelhamid A, Atreed NJ et al. Clinical symptoms, signs and tests for
196 identification of impending and current water-loss dehydration in older people.
197 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;2015(4):CD009647.
- 198 (14) Rowe JW, Shock NW, Defronzo RA. The influence of age on the renal response to
199 water deprivation in man. *Nephron* 1976;17:270-278.
- 200 (15) Fortes MB, Raymond-Barker P, Bishop C, Elghenzai S, Oliver SJ, Walsh NP. Is This
201 Elderly Patient Dehydrated? Diagnostic Accuracy of Hydration Assessment Using
202 Physical Signs, Urine, and Saliva Markers. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16(3):221-228.
- 203 (16) Hooper L, Bunn DK, Abdelhamid A et al. Water-loss (intracellular) dehydration
204 assessed using urinary tests, how well do they work? Diagnostic accuracy in older
205 people. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;resubmitted Oct 2015.
- 206 (17) Hooper L, Abdelhamid A, Ali A et al. Diagnostic accuracy of calculated serum
207 osmolality to predict dehydration in older people: adding value to pathology lab
208 reports. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008846.
- 209 (18) Khajuria A, Krahn J. Osmolality revisited-deriving and validating the best formula for
210 calculated osmolality. *Clin Biochem* 2005;38:514-519.
- 211 (19) Heavens KR, Kenefick RW, Caruso EM, Spitz MG, Chevront SN. Validation of
212 equations used to predict plasma osmolality in a healthy adult cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr*
213 2014;100(5):1252-1256.
- 214 (20) Siervo M, Bunn D, Prado C, Hooper L. Accuracy of prediction equations for serum
215 osmolality in frail older people with and without diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr*
216 2014;100(3):867-876.
- 217 (21) Masento NA, Golightly M, Field DT, Butler LT, van Reekum CM. Effects of
218 hydration status on cognitive performance and mood. *Br J Nutr* 2014;111:1841-1852.

- 219 (22) Watson P, Whale A, Mears SA, Reyner LA, Maughan RJ. Mild hypohydration
220 increases the frequency of driver errors during a prolonged, monotonous driving task.
221 *Physiol Behav* 2015;147:313-318.
- 222 (23) Hooper L, Bunn DK. Should dehydration in older people be a marker of lack of
223 quality in long term care provision? *QAOA* 2014;15(4):published online Nov 2014.
- 224 (24) Leiper JB, Seonaid PC, Primrose WR et al. A comparison of water turnover in older
225 people in community and institutional settings. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2005;9(3):189-
226 193.
- 227 (25) Bunn D, Jimoh FO, Howard Wilsher S, Hooper L. Increasing fluid intake and
228 reducing dehydration risk in older people living in long-term care: a systematic
229 review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2015;16(2):101-113.
- 230 (26) Abdelhamid A, Bunn DK, Copley M et al. Effectiveness of interventions to directly
231 improve, maintain or facilitate food and drink intake in people with dementia: Eating
232 and Drinking Well IN dementia (EDWINA) systematic review and meta-analysis.
233 *BMC Geriatr* 2016;accepted January 2016.
- 234 (27) Jimoh FO, Bunn D, Hooper L. Assessment of a self-reported Drinks Diary for the
235 estimation of drinks intake by care home residents: Fluid Intake Study in the Elderly
236 (FISE). *J Nutr Health Aging* 2015;19(5):491-496.
- 237 (28) Bossingham MJ, Carnell NS, Campbell WW. Water balance, hydration status, and
238 fat-free mass hydration in younger and older adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;81(6):1342-
239 1350.
- 240 (29) Johnson TM, Miller M, Pillion DJ, Ouslander JG. Arginine vasopressin and nocturnal
241 polyuria in older adults with frequent nighttime voiding. *J Urol* 2003;170(2 Pt 1):480-
242 484.
- 243 (30) Kajii F, Sugiyama M, Gomi I. Dehydration and water intake in frail elderly at home.
244 *Bulletin of St Luke's College of Nursing* 2006;32:43-50.
- 245 (31) Rodhe P. Mathematical Modelling of Clinical Applications in Fluid Therapy
246 Karolinska Institutet; 2010.
- 247 (32) El-Sharkawy AM, Watson P, Neal KR et al. Hydration and outcome in older patients
248 admitted to hospital (The HOOP prospective cohort study). *Age Ageing*
249 2015;44(6):943-947.
- 250 (33) Fletcher SJ, Slaymaker AE, Bodenham AR et al. Urine colour as an index of
251 hydration in critically ill patients. *Anaesthesia* 1999;54(2):189-192.
- 252 (34) Kafri MW, Myint PK, Doherty D, Wilson AH, Potter JF, Hooper L. The diagnostic
253 accuracy of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis in diagnosing
254 dehydration after stroke. *Med Sci Monit* 2013;19:548-570.
- 255 (35) Walsh NP, Fortes MB, Raymond-Barker P et al. Is whole-body hydration an
256 important consideration in dry eye? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;53(10):6622-
257 6627.

258 (36) Lindner G, Kneidinger N, Holzinger U et al. Tonicity balance in patients with
259 hypernatremia acquired in the intensive care unit. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2009;54(4):674-
260 679.
261
262

Table. Percentages of older people (aged 65+) with impending and current dehydration from various population samples and settings.

Setting	Sample	Country	Sample size	N (%) with impending dehydration (295-300mOsm/kg)	N (%) with current dehydration (>300mOsm/kg)
Residential care	Long-term care residents	US ²	132	40 (30%)	50 (38%)
	Older people living in residential care	UK ⁵	188	52 (28%)	38 (20%)
	Long-term care or acute psychiatric unit	US ⁷	36	3 (8%)	0 (0%)
	Nursing home residents at risk of pressure ulcers	US ⁶	48	21 (44%)	9 (19%)
Older people living at home	Healthy people living in the community	US ²⁸	21	2 (10%)	2 (10%)
	People who entered a residential research facility for 4 days	US ²⁹	43	13 (30%)	2 (5%)
	Frail elderly people living at home	Japan ³⁰	71	5 (7%)	2 (3%)
	Healthy male volunteers	US ¹²	10	2 (20%)	0 (0%)
	Healthy older people	Sweden ³¹	13	7 (54%)	2 (15%)
Hospitalized groups	People admitted to hospital as emergencies	UK ³²	200	NR	69 (37%)
	Adults (> 60 years) admitted to acute medical care or emergency department	UK ¹⁵	130	27 (21%) with serum osmolality ≥ 295 mOsm/kg	
	People admitted to intensive care, surgical and neurosurgical high dependency units	UK ³³	17	0 (0%)	4 (24%)
	People admitted to hospital within 48 hours of a mild or moderate acute stroke	UK ³⁴	31	5 (16%)	18 (58%)
	Older people admitted to an acute medical unit	UK ³⁵	106	16 (15%)	4 (4%)
	People in hospital intensive care unit (ICU)	Austria ³⁶	34	8 (24%)	18 (53%)
	Elderly people attending the emergency room of a tertiary care center	Sweden (reported ¹³)	40	14 (35%)	15 (38%)