# **Radboud Repository**



# PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. https://repository.ubn.ru.nl/handle/2066/232472

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-11-02 and may be subject to change.



#### Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

# Letter to the Editor

# More gastro-intestinal adverse events in non-ICU hospitalised COVID-19 patients treated with chloroquine versus hydroxychloroquine



With great interest we read the observational cohort study of Lammers and colleagues, in which they investigated the effect of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in non-ICU hospitalized COVID-19 patients. They found that early treatment with hydroxychloroquine was associated with a reduced risk of transfer to the ICU, whereas chloroquine did not reduce this risk. A possible explanation for the observed difference of hydroxychloroquine as compared to chloroquine might be a better safety profile of hydroxychloroquine (Liu et al., 2020; Pereira, 2020; Yao et al., 2020).

Known adverse events in hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine are neurotoxicity, cardiotoxicity and gastro-intestinal symptoms. We conducted an observational cohort study, comparing gastro-intestinal adverse events in non-ICU hospitalized COVID-19 patients treated with chloroquine versus hydroxychloroquine. The study population consisted of all patients ≥ 18 years with proven COVID-19 of moderate severity, hospitalized in a non-ICU setting in Radboudumc or Rijnstate Hospital and treated with hydroxychloroquine (Rijnstate Hospital) or chloroquine (Radboud University Medical Center) between March 3, 2020 and May 1, 2020. The primary

outcome was discontinuation of treatment course due to gastrointestinal adverse events (diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, newly developed after start of treatment course). The analysis included multivariable logistic regression corrected for age (the two cohorts were relatively homogeneous except for the factor of age).

A total of 246 patients were included in the study, with 166 patients in the hydroxychloroquine group and 80 patients in the chloroquine group. In the hydroxychloroquine group 1.3% of the patients discontinued the treatment course due to gastro-intestinal adverse events, compared to 17% in the chloroquine group (p < 0.001; OR 17 [95% CI 3.4–80]). The incidence of gastro-intestinal adverse events was significantly higher in the chloroquine group (40%) compared to the hydroxychloroquine group (18%) (p < 0.001; OR 3.0 [95%CI 1.6–5.6]) (Table 1). These findings suggest that treatment with chloroquine was associated with an increased risk for gastro-intestinal adverse events, which subsequently led to an increased risk for discontinuing treatment, compared to hydroxychloroquine.

This study shows that, over short term use, chloroquine causes more gastro-intestinal adverse events than hydroxychloroquine at dosages given for COVID-19. When considering the outcome of the study of Lammers et al., the observed difference in risk for transfer to the ICU between patients treated with hydroxychloroquine versus chloroquine could be partially explained by the observed difference in gastro-intestinal adverse events.

**Table 1**Gastro-intestinal adverse events by treatment group.

	No./Total No. (%)			
	Hydroxychloroquine (n = 166)	Chloroquine (n = 80)	Adjusted p-value <sup>a</sup>	Adjusted OR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)
Completeness of treatment course				
(n = 149 and n = 79)				
Completed treatment course	123/149 (83)	46/79 (58)	<0.001*	
Discontinued treatment course	26/149 (17)	33/79 (42)	<0.001*	
Due to gastro-intestinal adverse events	2/149 (1.3)	13/79 (17)	<0.001*	17 (3.4-80)*
- Nausea/vomiting	2/149 (1.3)	8/79 (10)	0.009*	9.0 (1.7-47)*
- Diarrhea	0/149 (0.0)	5/79 (6.3)	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>
- Abdominal pain	0/149 (0.0)	0/79 (0.0)	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>
Gastro-intestinal adverse events	30/166 (18)	32/80 (40)	<0.001*	3.0 (1.6-5.6)*
- Nausea/vomiting	18/166 (11)	18/80 (23)	0.013*	2.6 (1.2-5.4)*
- Diarrhea	13/166 (7.8)	20/80 (25)	0.001*	3.6 (1.7-7.8)*
- Abdominal pain	6/166 (3.6)	0/80 (0.0)	NA <sup>b</sup>	NA <sup>b</sup>
Requirement for comedication duringtreatmen	it			
Start of antiemetics during treatment	20/166 (12)	13/80 (16)	0.354	1.5 (0.7-3.2)
- Metoclopramide	19/166 (11)	12/80 (15)		
- Other	3/166 (1.8)	1/80 (1.3)		
Start of loperamide during treatment	1/166 (0.6)	5/80 (6.3)	0.029*	11 (1.3-103)*

Statistically significant (p-value <0.05).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Tested with multivariable logistic regression adjusted for age categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Not available (NA), logistic regression test not possible due to zero frequencies.

#### Conflict of interest

None.

## **Ethical approval**

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Radboudumc, the Netherlands (CMO: 2020-6526).

## **Funding**

None.

## References

Liu J, Cao R, Xu M, Wang X, Zhang H, Hu H, et al. Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. Cell Discov 2020;6:16, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0156-0.

Pereira BB. Challenges and cares to promote rational use of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in the management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: a timely review. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 2020;23 (4):177–81, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2020.1752340.

Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, Cui C, Huang B, Niu P, et al. In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Clin Infect Dis 2020;71(15):732–9, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa237.

S. Verheijen\*

Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

M. van Luin

Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem, The Netherlands

R.J. Brüggemann

Q. de Mast

Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

R.J. Hassing

Rijnstate Hospital, Arnhem, The Netherlands

D.M. Burger

Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

\* Corresponding author at: Radboud University Medical Center, Platolaan 98, 6525KB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. E-mail address: shenna.verheijen@student.ru.nl (S. Verheijen).

Received 22 October 2020