

RESEARCH

Open Access



Proinov type contractions on dislocated b -metric spaces

Badr Alqahtani¹, Sara S. Alzaid¹, Andreea Fulga² and Antonio Francisco Roldán López de Hierro^{3*} *Correspondence: aroldan@ugr.es³Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Granada, Facultad de Farmacia, Campus de Cartuja, s/n, 18071, Granada, Spain
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we improve the Proinov theorem by adding certain rational expressions to the definition of the corresponding contractions. After that, we prove fixed point theorems for these modified Proinov contractions in the framework of dislocated b -metric spaces. We show some illustrative examples to indicate the validity of the main results.

Keywords: Fixed point theory; Simulation function; Contraction mapping

1 Introduction and preliminaries

In the nature of mathematics, there is the purpose of generalizing, expanding, and obtaining the most general forms of existing concepts and results. The concept of metric, which is the most fundamental and solid basis of the analysis study, has been constantly expanded and generalized with this motivation. Examples of the new metrics that have been put forward for this purpose can be counted as quasi-metric, b -metric, partial-metric, symmetric, D-metric, modular metric, fuzzy metric, soft-metric, G-metric, and so on. On the other hand, it was understood that not all of these newly defined metrics provide a new and original structure. For instance, G-metric can be reduced to semi-metric or cone metric to a standard metric. More examples can be given, but here we stop to focus on the main motivation. Two of the new and original generalizations of metric notions are b -metrics [1–16] and dislocated metrics [17–21]. Very recently, these two notions have emerged under the name of dislocated b -metric [22, 23].

Metric fixed point theory is a field of study that needs an abstract metric framework (see, for instance, [24–27]). Very recently Proinov [28] proved a fixed point theorem that not only unifies but also generalizes a number of well-known results in the framework of a standard metric space. In particular, he proved that Wardowski [29] and Jleli and Samet [30] results are not only equivalent to each other, but also they are a special case of one of the main results of [28].

In this paper, we improve the Proinov type contractions by involving certain rational expression to the corresponding contraction thought by Proinov [28]. After then, we prove fixed point theorems for these modified Proinov contractions in the framework of dislocated b -metrics. We bring forward illustrative examples to show the validity of the main results.

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Let S be a nonempty set and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Some examples of rational contractivity conditions are shown in the following results (see also [31]).

Theorem 1 ([32]) *Let (S, d) be a complete metric space and $Z : S \rightarrow S$ be a mapping such that there exist $k_1, k_2 \in [0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 < 1$ such that*

$$d(Zv, Zw) \leq k_1 \cdot d(w, Zw) \frac{1 + d(v, Zv)}{1 + d(v, w)} + k_2 \cdot d(v, w) \tag{1}$$

for all $v, w \in S$. Then Z has a unique fixed point $x \in S$, and the sequence $\{Z^n v\}$ converges to the fixed point x for all $v \in S$.

Theorem 2 ([33]) *Let (S, d) be a complete metric space and $Z : S \rightarrow S$ be a continuous mapping. If there exist $k_1, k_2 \in [0, 1)$ with $k_1 + k_2 < 1$ such that*

$$d(Zv, Zw) \leq k_1 \cdot \frac{d(v, Zv)d(w, Zw)}{d(v, w)} + k_2 \cdot d(v, w) \tag{2}$$

for all distinct $v, w \in S$, then Z possesses a unique fixed point in S .

Theorem 3 ([28]) *Let (S, d) be a metric space and $Z : S \rightarrow S$ be a mapping such that*

$$\Psi(d(Zv, Zw)) \leq \Phi(d(v, w))$$

for all $v, w \in S$ with $d(Zv, Zw) > 0$, where the functions $\Psi, \Phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. Ψ is nondecreasing;
2. $\Phi(\theta) < \Psi(\theta)$ for any $\theta > 0$;
3. $\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0^+} \Phi(\theta) < \Psi(\theta_0)$ for any $\theta_0 > 0$.

Then Z admits a unique fixed point.

Definition 4 ([34]) A function $d_l : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *dislocated-metric* on S if it satisfies the conditions:

- d_{l1} . $d_l(v, w) = 0 \Rightarrow v = w$;
- d_{l2} . *symmetry*: $d_l(w, v) = d_l(v, w)$;
- d_{l3} . the triangle inequality

$$d_l(u, w) \leq d_l(u, v) + d_l(v, w)$$

for all $u, v, w \in S$. In this case, the pair (S, d_l) is a *dislocated-metric space* (shortly d_l -MS).

Definition 5 ([35]) Let $s \in [1, \infty)$ be a real number. A function $b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *b-metric* on S if it satisfies the conditions:

- b_1 . $b(v, w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = w$,
- b_2 . *symmetry*: $b(w, v) = b(v, w)$
- b_3 . the generalized version of the *triangle inequality* involving the number s

$$b(u, w) \leq s[b(u, v) + b(v, w)] \quad \text{for all } u, v, w \in S.$$

In this case, the tripled (S, b, s) forms a *b-metric space* (shortly b -MS).

Obviously, for $s = 1$, we find the notion of *metric space*.

Definition 6 ([36]) Let $s \in [1, \infty)$ be a real number(given). A function $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *dislocated b-metric* on S if it satisfies the conditions:

- d_{b1} . $d_b(v, w) = 0 \Rightarrow v = w$;
- d_{b2} . $d_b(w, v) = d_b(v, w)$;
- d_{b3} . $d_b(u, w) \leq s[d_b(u, v) + d_b(v, w)]$ for all $u, v, w \in S$.

In this case, (S, d_b, s) is a *dislocated b-metric space* (shortly d_b -MS).

We mention that, when $s = 1$, a d_b -MS becomes a d_t -MS.

Definition 7 ([36]) A sequence $\{v_n\}$ on a d_b -MS (S, d_b, s) is said to be:

- d_b -convergent to a point $v \in S \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v) = 0$;
- d_b -Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p)$ exists and tends to be finite.

Proposition 8 ([36]) *In a d_b -MS the limit of a convergent sequence is unique.*

Proposition 9 ([36]) *In a d_b -MS every convergent sequence is d_b -Cauchy.*

In case every d_b -Cauchy sequence is d_b -convergent, we say that the space (S, d_b, s) is a complete d_b -MS. The next lemma will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 10 *Let a d_b -MS $(S, d_b, s \geq 1)$, a mapping $Z : S \rightarrow S$, and v_0 be arbitrary, but fixed point in S . If there exists $C \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$d_b(Z^n v_0, Z^{n+1} v_0) \leq C d_b(Z^{n-1} v_0, Z^n v_0) \tag{3}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the sequence $\{Z^n v_0\}$ is a d_b -Cauchy sequence.

Proof Let v_0 be an arbitrary point in S and the sequence $\{v_n\}$ with

$$v_1 = Zv_0, \quad v_2 = Zv_1 = Z^2v_0, \dots, v_{n+1} = Zv_n = Z^n v_0$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus, by (3), we have

$$d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) \leq C d_b(v_{n-1}, v_n) \leq C^2 d_b(v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq C^n d_b(v_0, v_1). \tag{4}$$

We split the proof in two cases, namely $s = 1$ and $s > 1$.

1. For $s = 1$, d_b becomes a dislocated metric and by d_{t3} , for $n < p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(v_n, v_p) &\leq d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) + d_b(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}) + \dots + d_b(v_{p-1}, v_p) \\ &\leq C^n d_b(v_0, v_1) + C^{n+1} d_b(v_0, v_1) + \dots + C^{p-1} d_b(v_0, v_1) \\ &= C^n \frac{1 - C^{p-n}}{1 - C} d_b(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p) = 0$, that is, the sequence $\{Z^n v_0\}$ is Cauchy.

2. For $s > 1$, we distinguish two sub-cases:

(a) If $C \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$, by (d_{b_3}) and taking into account (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(v_n, v_p) &\leq s[d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) + d_b(v_{n+1}, v_p)] \\ &\leq s d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) + s^2 d_b(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{p-n-1} d_b(v_{p-1}, v_p) \\ &\leq s C^n d_b(v_0, v_1) + s^2 C^{n+1} d_b(v_0, v_1) + \dots + s^{p-n-1} C^{p-1} d_b(v_0, v_1) \\ &= s C^n \left(\frac{1 - (sC)^p}{1 - sC} + \frac{(sC)^{p-n-1}}{s} \right) d_b(v_0, v_1) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\{Z^n v_0\}$ is d_b -Cauchy.

(b) If $C \in [\frac{1}{s}, 1)$, then $C^n \rightarrow 0$, and we can find $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C^n < \frac{1}{s}$. Therefore, by (a), the sequence $\{Z^{l+n} v_0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is d_b -Cauchy. But we have

$$\{v_n\} = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}\} \cup \{v_l, v_{l+1}, \dots, v_{l+n}, \dots\},$$

□

and then the sequence $\{Z^n v_0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is d_b -Cauchy.

2 Main results

Henceforth, we use the following notations:

$$\Theta = \{ \Phi, \Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi(\theta) < \Psi(\theta) \text{ for every } \theta \in (0, +\infty) \}$$

and, respectively,

$$\mathcal{F}_S(Z) = \{x \in S \mid Zx = x\};$$

$$\mathcal{F}_S(\mathcal{U}) = \{x \in S \mid \mathcal{U}x = x\};$$

$$\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) = \{x \in S \mid Zx = x = \mathcal{U}x\}.$$

Let the functions $R_1, R_2 : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(v, w) &= c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 d_b(v, Zv) + c_3 d_b(w, \mathcal{U}w) \\ &\quad + c_4 \frac{d_b(w, \mathcal{U}w) d_b(v, Zv)}{d_b(v, w)} \quad \text{for all } v, w \in S, v \neq w; \\ R_2(v, w) &= c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 d_b(v, Zv) + c_3 d_b(w, \mathcal{U}w) + c_4 \frac{d_b(w, \mathcal{U}w) d_b(v, Zv)}{1 + d_b(v, w)} \\ &\quad + c_5 \frac{d_b(w, \mathcal{U}w)(1 + d_b(v, Zv))}{1 + d_b(v, w)} \quad \text{for all } v, w \in S, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5 are nonnegative real numbers.

Theorem 11 *Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and two continuous mappings $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality*

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \Phi(R_1(v, w)) \tag{5}$$

holds. Assume that:

(β₁) $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 < s^\alpha$ and $c_1 > 0$;

(β₂) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 4c_4 \leq s^\alpha$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Proof For an arbitrary (but fixed) point $v_0 \in S$, let $\{v_n\}$ be the sequence defined as follows:

$$v_1 = Zv_0, \quad v_2 = \mathcal{U}v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1} = Zv_{2n}, \quad v_{2n+2} = \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}, \dots \tag{6}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. First of all, we claim that $v_n \neq v_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Indeed, if we can find $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_{l_0} = v_{l_0+1} = v_{l_0+2} = x$, then $x \in \mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$.

Under this assumption, $d(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) = d(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) > 0$ and letting $v = v_{2n}$ and $w = v_{2n+1}$ in (5), because the functions Ψ, Φ belong to Θ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})) &= \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1})) \leq \Phi(R_1(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) \\ &\leq \Phi\left(c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n}) + c_3 d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1})d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n})}{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_3 d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}\right) \\ &= \Phi((c_1 + c_2)d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + (c_3 + c_4)d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})) \\ &< \Psi((c_1 + c_2)d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + (c_3 + c_4)d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})). \end{aligned}$$

Taking (β₁) into account, we get

$$s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) < (c_1 + c_2)d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + (c_3 + c_4)d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})$$

or

$$d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) < \frac{c_1 + c_2}{s^\alpha - c_3 - c_4} d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) = \mathcal{K} \cdot d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{s^\alpha - c_3 - c_4} < 1$, holds due to the first assumption in (β₁).

In the same way, replacing in (5) v with v_{2n-1} and w with v_{2n} , and keeping in mind (d_{b_2}), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) &= \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(\mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}, Zv_{2n})) \leq \Phi(R_1(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})) \\ &= \Phi((c_1 + c_2)d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) + (c_3 + c_4)d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) \\ &< \Psi((c_1 + c_2)d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) + (c_3 + c_4)d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which leads us to

$$d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) < \frac{c_1 + c_2}{s^\alpha - c_3 - c_4} d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) = \mathcal{K} \cdot d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}). \tag{9}$$

Consequently, (7) and (9) show us that

$$d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) < \mathcal{K} \cdot d_b(v_{n-1}, v_n) \tag{10}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathcal{K} \in (0, 1)$. By Lemma 10 it follows that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, $\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p)$ exists and is finite. Moreover, since the d_b -ms is complete, we get that there exists $x \in S$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = x$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, x) = \lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Since the mappings Z and \mathcal{U} are supposed to be continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Zx &= Z\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{2n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Zv_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{2n+1} = x \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1} \\ &= \mathcal{U}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{2n+1}\right) = \mathcal{U}x, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. If we suppose that there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ such that $x \neq y$, by (5) and since $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, we have

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(x, y)) = \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}y)) \leq \Phi(R_1(x, y)) < \Psi(R_1(x, y)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(x, y) &= c_1 d_b(x, y) + c_2 d_b(x, Zx) + c_3 d_b(y, \mathcal{U}y) + c_4 \frac{d_b(y, \mathcal{U}y) d_b(x, Zx)}{d_b(x, y)} \\ &= c_1 d_b(x, y) + c_2 d_b(x, x) + c_3 d_b(y, y) + c_4 \frac{d_b(y, y) d_b(x, x)}{d_b(x, y)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, applying (d_{b_3}) and taking into account (d_{b_2}) ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(x, y) &\leq c_1 d_b(x, y) + 2c_2 d_b(x, y) + 2c_3 d_b(x, y) + 4c_4 \frac{d_b^2(x, y)}{d_b(x, y)} \\ &= (c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 4c_4) d_b(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (β_2) we get

$$s^\alpha d_b(x, y) < (c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 4c_4) d_b(x, y) \leq s^\alpha d_b(x, y),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_b(x, y) = 0$ and from (d_{b_1}) it follows that $x = y$, that is, the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element. □

Corollary 12 *Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and a continuous mapping $Z: S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, Zw) > 0$, the following inequality*

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, Zw)) \leq \Phi(R_1^*(v, w)) \tag{12}$$

holds, where for c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 nonnegative real numbers,

$$R_1^*(v, w) = c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 d_b(v, Zv) + c_3 d_b(w, Zw) + c_4 \frac{d_b(w, Zw) d_b(v, Zv)}{d_b(v, w)}$$

for all $v, w \in S, v \neq w$. Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 < s^\alpha$ and $c_1 > 0$;
- (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then $\mathcal{F}_5(Z) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 \leq 1$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_5(Z)$ has exactly one element.

Proof Let $Z = \mathcal{U}$ in Theorem 11. □

Theorem 13 Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and two mappings $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \Phi(R_2(v, w)) \tag{13}$$

holds. Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 < s^\alpha$, $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 \leq 1$, $c_3 + c_5 \leq 1$;
- (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then $\mathcal{F}_5(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 \leq 1$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_5(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Proof Let $v_0 \in S$ be a chosen point and $\{v_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (6) in the proof of Theorem 11. Thus, following the same arguments, we can assume that $d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) > 0$ and from (13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})) &= \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1})) \leq \Phi(R_2(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) \\ &= \Phi \left(c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n}) + c_3 d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n})}{1 + d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})} + c_5 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) [1 + d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n})]}{1 + d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})} \right) \\ &< \Psi \left((c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_3 d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}{1 + d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})} + c_5 \frac{d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) [1 + d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})]}{1 + d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})} \right) \\ &\leq \Psi((c_1 + c_2) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + (c_3 + c_4 + c_5) d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})). \end{aligned}$$

Since by (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing, we deduce that

$$0 < s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) < (c_1 + c_2) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + (c_3 + c_4 + c_5) d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 < d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) < C d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), \tag{14}$$

where $C = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{s^\alpha - c_3 - c_4 - c_5} < 1$ by (β_1). Similarly, taking $v = v_{2n}$ and $w = v_{2n-1}$ in (5) and keeping in mind (d_{b_2}), we get

$$0 < d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) < C_1 d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}). \tag{15}$$

However, from relations (14), (15), together with Lemma 10, we find that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a complete d_b -ms. Therefore, there exists $x \in S$ such that

$$\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, x). \tag{16}$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $x \neq v_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Supposing that $x \neq Zx$, by (5), we have

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2m-1})) \leq \Phi(R_2(x, v_{2m-1})) < \Psi(R_2(x, v_{2m-1})),$$

or, taking (β_2) into account,

$$s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2m-1}) < R_2(x, v_{2m-1}). \tag{17}$$

However, since

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(x, v_{2m-1}) &= c_1 d_b(x, v_{2m-1}) + c_2 d_b(x, Zx) + c_3 d_b(v_{2m-1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2m-1}) \\ &\quad + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2m-1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2m-1})d_b(x, Zx)}{1 + d_b(x, v_{2m})} + c_5 \frac{d_b(v_{2m-1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2m-1})[1 + d_b(x, Zx)]}{1 + d_b(x, v_{2m})} \\ &= c_1 d_b(x, v_{2m-1}) + c_2 d_b(x, Zx) + c_3 d_b(v_{2m-1}, v_{2m}) \\ &\quad + c_4 \frac{d_b(v_{2m-1}, v_{2m})d_b(x, Zx)}{1 + d_b(x, v_{2m-1})} + c_5 \frac{+ d_b(v_{2m-1}, v_{2m})[1 + d_b(x, Zx)]}{1 + d_b(x, v_{2m-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$0 < \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_2(x, v_{2m-1}) < c_2 d_b(x, Zx). \tag{18}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(x, Zx) &\leq s[d_b(x, v_{2m}) + d_b(v_{2m}, Zx)] \\ &\leq s d_b(x, v_{2m}) + s^\alpha d_b(\mathcal{U}v_{2m-1}, Zx) \\ &< s d_b(x, v_{2m}) + R_2(x, v_{2m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$0 < \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_b(x, Zx) < \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} R_2(x, v_{2m-1}) < c_2 d_b(x, Zx),$$

which contradicts our assumption $c_2 \leq 1$. Thus, we get $d_b(x, Zx) = 0$, that is, $x = Zx$. Moreover, if we suppose that $x \notin \mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$, since $d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x) > 0$,

$$\Psi(s d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x)) \leq \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x)) \leq \Phi(R_2(u, u)) < \Psi(R_2(u, u)),$$

or, keeping in mind (β_2)

$$s d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x) < R_2(x, x) = c_1 d_b(x, x) + c_2 d_b(x, Zx) + c_3 d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ c_4 \frac{d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x)d_b(x, Zx)}{1 + d_b(x, x)} + c_5 \frac{d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x)[1 + d_b(x, Zx)]}{1 + d_b(x, x)} \\
 &= (c_3 + c_5)d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) \leq s(c_3 + c_5)[d_b(x, Zx) + d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x)] \\
 &= s(c_3 + c_5)d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x) \leq sd_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}x) = 0$ which implies by (d_{b_1}) that $x = Zx = \mathcal{U}x$. That is, $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$.

As a last step, we claim that x is the unique fixed point of the mappings Z and \mathcal{U} . Indeed, if we suppose that there exists another point $v \in \mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ such that $x \neq v$, by (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(d_b(x, v)) &\leq \Psi(s^\alpha d_b(x, v)) \leq \Phi(R_2(x, v)) < \Psi(R_2(x, v)) \\
 &= \Psi(c_1 d_b(x, v)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the function Ψ is supposed to be nondecreasing, it follows that

$$d_b(x, v) < c_1 d_b(x, v) \leq d_b(x, v),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element. □

Corollary 14 *Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and a mapping $Z : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality*

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \Phi(R_2(v, w)) \tag{19}$$

holds, where for c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5 nonnegative real numbers,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2(v, w) &= c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 d_b(v, Zv) + c_3 d_b(w, Zw) + c_4 \frac{d_b(w, Zw)d_b(v, Zv)}{1 + d_b(v, w)} \\
 &+ c_5 \frac{d_b(w, Zw)(1 + d_b(v, Zv))}{1 + d_b(v, w)} \quad \text{for all } v, w \in S.
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 < s^\alpha$, $c_1 > 0$, and $c_3 + c_5 \leq 1$;
- (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then $\mathcal{F}_S(Z) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 \leq 1$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z)$ has exactly one element.

Proof Let $Z = \mathcal{U}$ in Theorem 13. □

Example 15 Let the set $\mathcal{U} = \{m, n, p, q\}$ and the function $d_b : S \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$d_b(v, w)$	m	n	p	q
m	0	2	5	7
n	2	6	8	5
p	5	8	0	1
q	7	5	1	0

Obviously, d_b is a d_b -metric, with $s = 2$. Let $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ be two mappings, where $Zm = Zp = Zq = p, Zn = q$ and $\mathcal{U}m = \mathcal{U}n = q, \mathcal{U}p = \mathcal{U}q = p$. We have, in this case,

	v	m	n	p	q
Zv	p	q	p	p	
Uv	q	q	p	p	
$d_b(v, Zv)$	5	5	0	1	
$d_b(v, Uv)$	7	5	0	1	

	v	m	n	p	q
$d_b(Zv, Uw)$	Zv	p	q	p	p
w	Uw				
m	q		1	0	1
n	q		1	0	1
p	p		0	1	0
q	p		0	1	0

Letting the functions $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, $\Psi(\theta) = \theta$, $\Phi(\theta) = \frac{3}{4}\theta$ and the numbers $\alpha = 2$, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = \frac{1}{4}$, we can easily see that assumptions (β_1) and (β_2) in Theorem 13 are satisfied. We show that (13) is satisfied for any pair $(v, w) \in \mathcal{L}$, where

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(m, n), (n, p), (n, q), (p, m), (p, n), (q, m), (q, n)\}$$

(the other cases are excluded by the hypotheses of Theorem 13).

- $(v, w) = (m, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} & s^\alpha d_b(Zv, Uw) \\ &= 2^2 d_b(Zm, Un) \\ &= 4 \leq \frac{154}{16} = \frac{3}{4} \left(c_1 d_b(m, n) + c_2 d_b(m, Zm) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c_3 d_b(n, Un) + c_4 \frac{d_b(n, Un) d_b(m, Zm)}{1 + d_b(m, n)} + c_5 \frac{d_b(n, Un)(1 + d_b(m, Zm))}{1 + d_b(m, n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- $(v, w) = (n, p)$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^2 d_b(Zn, Up) \\ &= 4 \leq \frac{39}{4} = \frac{3}{4} (8 + 5) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \left(c_1 d_b(n, p) + c_2 d_b(n, Zn) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c_3 d_b(p, Up) + c_4 \frac{d_b(p, Up) d_b(n, Zn)}{1 + d_b(n, p)} + c_5 \frac{d_b(p, Up)(1 + d_b(n, Zn))}{1 + d_b(n, p)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The other cases are discussed similarly.

Thus $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, U) = \{p\}$.

Theorem 16 Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, the functions $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, $c_1 > 0, c_2 \geq 0$, and two mappings $Z, U : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$

with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}W) > 0$, the following inequality

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}W)) \leq \Phi(R_3(v, w)) \tag{20}$$

holds, where

$$R_3(v, w) = c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 \frac{d_b(v, Zv) d_b(v, \mathcal{U}W) + d_b(w, \mathcal{U}W) d_b(w, Zv)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v, Zv), d_b(w, \mathcal{U}W)\}}. \tag{21}$$

Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + sc_2 < s^\alpha$;
- (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Proof Let us take in (20), $v = v_{2n}$ and $w = v_{2n+1}$, where the sequence $\{v_n\}$ is defined as in Theorem 11. We have

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1})) \leq \Phi(R_3(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})) < \Psi(R_3(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) &= c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n}) d_b(v_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) + d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) d_b(v_{2n+1}, Zv_{2n})}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v_{2n}, Zv_{2n}), d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1})\}} \\ &= c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+2}) + d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+1})}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})\}} \\ &= c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + c_2 \frac{sd_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})(d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})) + 2sd_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})\}} \\ &= c_1 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + sc_2 d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \frac{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) + 3d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}), d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2})\}} \\ &\leq (c_1 + sc_2) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking (β_2) and the above relation into account, we get

$$s^\alpha d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) = s^\alpha d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n+1}) < R_3(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) \leq (c_1 + sc_2) d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}),$$

which implies

$$d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n+2}) < \frac{c_1 + sc_2}{s^\alpha} d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}). \tag{22}$$

Similarly, taking $v = v_{2n}$, respectively $w = v_{2n-1}$, we obtain

$$d_b(v_{2n+1}, v_{2n}) < \frac{c_1 + sc_2}{s^\alpha} d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n-1}). \tag{23}$$

Now, choosing $C = \frac{c_1 + 5c_2}{5^\alpha} < 1$ (by assumption (β_1)), we have $d_b(v_n, v_{n+1}) < C d_b(v_{n-1}, v_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, Lemma 10 leads us to the conclusion that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, since the space is complete, there exists $x \in S$ such that

$$\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, v_p) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_b(v_n, x). \tag{24}$$

Supposing that $Zx \neq x$, we have

$$d_b(Zx, x) \leq s [d_b(Zx, v_{2n}) + d_b(v_{2n}, x)] = s [d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}) + d_b(v_{2n}, x)]. \tag{25}$$

Moreover, without loss of generality, we can assume that $d_b(v_n, x) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and then from (20) we get

$$\Psi(s^\alpha (Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1})) \leq \Phi(R_3(x, v_{2n-1})) < \Psi(R_3(x, v_{2n-1}))$$

or, by (β_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}) \\ & \leq s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}) < R_3(x, v_{2n-1}) \\ & = c_1 d_b(x, v_{2n-1}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(x, Zx) d_b(x, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}) + d_b(v_{2n-1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1}) d_b(v_{2n-1}, Zx)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(x, Zx), d_b(v_{2n-1}, \mathcal{U}v_{2n-1})\}} \\ & = c_1 d_b(x, v_{2n-1}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(x, Zx) d_b(x, v_{2n}) + d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) d_b(v_{2n-1}, Zx)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(x, Zx), d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Returning in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_b(Zx, x) & < s \left[c_1 d_b(x, v_{2n-1}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(x, Zx) d_b(x, v_{2n}) + d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n}) d_b(v_{2n-1}, Zx)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(x, Zx), d_b(v_{2n-1}, v_{2n})\}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + d_b(v_{2n}, x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and keeping in mind (24), we get

$$d_b(Zx, x) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $d_b(Zx, x) = 0$ and from (d_{b_1}) we have $x = Zx$.

Analogously, we have

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}x)) \leq \Phi(R_3(v_{2n}, x)) < \Psi(R_3(v_{2n}, x)),$$

or, by (β_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}x) < R_3(v_{2n}, x) \\ & = c_1 d_b(x, v_{2n}) + c_2 \frac{d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1}) d_b(v_{2n}, \mathcal{U}x) + d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) d_b(x, v_{2n+1})}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x), d_b(v_{2n}, v_{2n+1})\}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, supposing that $d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) > 0$, we have

$$0 < d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) \leq s[d_b(x, v_{2n+1}) + d_b(v_{2n+1}, \mathcal{U}x)] = s[d_b(x, v_{2n+1}) + d_b(Zv_{2n}, \mathcal{U}x)].$$

Combining the above inequalities and taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $0 < d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) < 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_b(x, \mathcal{U}x) = 0$, and then $x = \mathcal{U}x$. Thus, x is a common fixed point for Z and \mathcal{U} , that is, $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ and it remains to show that the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ is in fact reduced to a single point. On the contrary, let $v \in \mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ with $v \neq x$. Replaced in (20), we have

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v)) \leq \Phi(R_3(x, v)) < \Psi(R_3(x, v))$$

and, due to (β_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} s^\alpha d_b(x, v) &= s^\alpha d_b(Zx, \mathcal{U}v) < (R_3(x, v)) \\ &= c_1 d_b(x, v) + c_2 d_b \frac{d_b(x, Zx)d_b(x, \mathcal{U}v) + d_b(v, \mathcal{U}v)d_b(v, Zx)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(x, Zx), d_b(v, \mathcal{U}v)\}} \\ &= c_1 d_b(x, v), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, it follows that $x = v$ and the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element. □

Example 17 Let $S = \{2, 4, 5, 7\}$ and two self-mappings \mathcal{U}, Z be defined on S by

v	2	4	5	7
Zv	5	5	5	4
$\mathcal{U}v$	4	5	5	5

Let d_b be the d_b -metric on S (with $s = 2$) given by

$$d_b(v, w) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } v = w = 4, \\ |v - w|^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Considering the functions $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$ as in Example (15) and letting $\alpha = 2, c_1 = 2, c_2 = \frac{3}{4}$, we have the following cases:

- $(v, w) = (4, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} 4d_b(Z4, \mathcal{U}2) &= 4d_b(5, 4) = 4 < 6 \leq \frac{3}{4} \left(8 + \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{39}{17} \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \left[2 \cdot 4 + \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 9}{1 + 4 \cdot 4} \right] = \frac{3}{4} R_3(4, 2); \end{aligned}$$

- $(v, w) = (5, 2)$

$$4d_b(Z5, \mathcal{U}2) = 4d_b(5, 4) = 4 < \frac{27}{2} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2d_b(5, 2) \leq \frac{3}{4} R_3(5, 2);$$

- $(v, w) = (7, 2)$

$$4d_b(Z7, \mathcal{U}2) = 4d_b(4, 4) = 4 \cdot 3 = 12 < \frac{75}{2} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2d_b(7, 2) \leq \frac{3}{4}R_3(7, 2);$$

- $(v, w) = (7, 4)$

$$4d_b(Z7, \mathcal{U}4) = 4d_b(4, 5) = 4 < 6 = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2d_b(7, 4) \leq \frac{3}{4}R_3(7, 4);$$

- $(v, w) = (7, 5)$

$$4d_b(Z7, \mathcal{U}5) = 4d_b(4, 5) = 4 < \frac{27}{2} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2d_b(7, 5) \leq \frac{3}{4}R_3(7, 4).$$

The other cases are excluded by the hypothesis of Theorem 16. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_5(Z, \mathcal{U}) = \{5\}$.

Corollary 18 *Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, $\Psi, \Phi \in \Theta$, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, $c_1 > 0, c_2 \geq 0$, and a mapping $Z : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, Zw) > 0$, the following inequality*

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, Zw)) \leq \Phi(R_3(v, w)) \tag{26}$$

holds, where

$$R_3(v, w) = c_1 d_b(v, w) + c_2 \frac{d_b(v, Zv)d_b(v, Zw) + d_b(w, Zw)d_b(w, Zv)}{1 + 4 \max\{d_b(v, Zv), d_b(w, Zw)\}}. \tag{27}$$

Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + sc_2 < s^\alpha$;
- (β_2) Ψ is nondecreasing.

Then the set $\mathcal{F}_5(Z)$ has exactly one element.

Proof Let $Z = \mathcal{U}$ in Theorem 16. □

3 Consequences

Taking particular functions Ψ and Φ , we obtain as consequences some known results. For example, let $\Phi(\theta) = \beta(\theta)\Psi(\theta)$ for all $\theta > 0$ and $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{s})$.

Corollary 19 *Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and two continuous mappings $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality*

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \beta(R_1(v, w))\Psi(R_1(v, w)) \tag{28}$$

holds. Assume that:

- (β_1) $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 < s^\alpha$ and $c_1 > 0$;
- (β_3) $\Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is nondecreasing;
- (β_4) $\beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{1}{s})$ satisfies $\limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \beta(\theta) < \frac{1}{s}$ for any $\theta_0 > 0$.

Then $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 4c_4 \leq s^\alpha$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Corollary 20 Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and two mappings $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \Phi(R_2(v, w)) \tag{29}$$

holds. Assume that:

$$(\beta_1) \quad c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 + c_5 < s^\alpha, \quad c_1 > 0, \quad c_2 \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 + c_5 \leq 1;$$

$$(\beta_3) \quad \Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ is nondecreasing};$$

$$(\beta_4) \quad \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{1}{s}) \text{ satisfies } \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \beta(\theta) < \frac{1}{s} \text{ for any } \theta_0 > 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $c_1 \leq 1$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Corollary 21 Let (S, d_b, s) be a complete d_b -ms, a number $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$, and two mappings $Z, \mathcal{U} : S \rightarrow S$ such that, for every distinct $v, w \in S$ with $d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w) > 0$, the following inequality

$$\Psi(s^\alpha d_b(Zv, \mathcal{U}w)) \leq \Phi(R_3(v, w)) \tag{30}$$

holds. Assume that:

$$(\beta_1) \quad c_1 + sc_2 < s^\alpha, \quad c_1 > 0;$$

$$(\beta_3) \quad \Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \text{ is nondecreasing};$$

$$(\beta_4) \quad \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \frac{1}{s}) \text{ satisfies } \limsup_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \beta(\theta) < \frac{1}{s} \text{ for any } \theta_0 > 0.$$

Then the set $\mathcal{F}_S(Z, \mathcal{U})$ has exactly one element.

Considering $\Phi(\theta) = \kappa \Psi(\theta)$ or $\Phi(\theta) = \kappa \cdot \theta$ for all $\theta > 0$ in Theorems 11, 13 or 16, other consequences can be listed. On the other hand, many other corollaries can be deduced considering $Z = \mathcal{U}$ or letting $s = 1$.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank their universities. The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through research group no. RG-1437-037. A.F. Roldán López de Hierro is grateful to Project TIN2017-89517-P of Ministerio de Economía, Industria y Competitividad and also to Junta de Andalucía by project FQM-365 of the Andalusian CICYE.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Availability of data and materials

The data and material used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors carried out the whole manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. ²Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov, Romania. ³Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Granada, Facultad de Farmacia, Campus de Cartuja, s/n, 18071, Granada, Spain.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 18 January 2021 Accepted: 2 March 2021 Published online: 10 March 2021

References

1. Afshari, H., Aydi, H., Karapinar, E.: On generalized α - ψ -Geraghty contractions on b -metric spaces. *Georgian Math. J.* **27**, 9–21 (2020)
2. Alghamdi, M.A., Gulyaz-Ozyurt, S., Karapinar, E.: A note on extended Z -contraction. *Mathematics* **2020**(8), 195 (2020)
3. Alsulami, H., Gulyaz, S., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.: An Ulam stability result on quasi- b -metric-like spaces. *Open Math.* (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2016-0097>
4. Aksoy, Ü., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: Fixed points of generalized α -admissible contractions on b -metric spaces with an application to boundary value problems. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **17**, 1095–1108 (2016)
5. Aydi, H., Karapinar, E., Bota, M.F., Mitrović, S.: A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 88 (2012)
6. Aydi, H., Bota, M.F., Karapinar, E., Moradi, S.: A common fixed point for weak ϕ -contractions on b -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **13**, 337–346 (2012)
7. Fulga, A., Karapinar, E., Petruşel, G.: On hybrid contractions in the context of quasi-metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2020**(8), 675 (2020)
8. Gulyaz-Ozyurt, S.: On some α -admissible contraction mappings on Branciari b -metric spaces. *Adv. Theor. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **1**, 1–13 (2017)
9. Karapinar, E., Chifu, C.: Results in wt-distance over b -metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2020**(8), 220 (2020)
10. Karapinar, E., Fulga, A., Petruşel, G.: On Istratescu type contractions in b -metric spaces. *Mathematics* **2020**(8), 388 (2020)
11. Marasi, H.R., Aydi, H.: Existence and uniqueness results for two-term nonlinear fractional differential equations via a fixed point technique. *J. Math.* **2021**, Article ID 6670176 (2021)
12. Javed, K., Aydi, H., Uddin, F., Arshad, M.: On orthogonal partial b -metric spaces with an application. *J. Math.* **2021**, Article ID 6692063 (2021)
13. Mlaiki, N., Souayah, N., Abdeljawad, T., Aydi, H.: A new extension to the controlled metric type spaces endowed with a graph. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**(2021), 94 (2021)
14. Hammad, H.A., Aydi, H., Mlaiki, N.: Contributions of the fixed point technique to solve the 2D Volterra integral equations, Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals, and Atangana–Baleanu integral operators. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**(2021), 97 (2021)
15. Aydi, H., Lakzian, H., Mitrović, Z.D., Radenović, S.: Best proximity points of MT-cyclic contractions with property UC. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **41**(7), 871–882 (2020)
16. Karapinar, E., Czerwik, S., Aydi, H.: (α, ψ) -Meir–Keeler contraction mappings in generalized b -metric spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2018**, Article ID 3264620 (2018)
17. Alqahtani, B., Fulga, A., Karapinar, E., Kumari, P.S.: Sehgal type contractions on dislocated spaces. *Mathematics* **2019**(7), 153 (2019)
18. Gholizadeh, L., Karapinar, E.: Best proximity point results in dislocated metric spaces via R -functions. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **112**, 1391–1407 (2018)
19. Karapinar, E.: A note on Meir–Keeler contractions on dislocated quasi- b -metric. *Filomat* **31**, 4305–4318 (2017)
20. Karapinar, E., Salimi, P.: Dislocated metric space to metric spaces with some fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**(2013), 222 (2013)
21. Karapinar, E.: A short survey on dislocated metric spaces via fixed point theory. In: Banas, J., et al. (eds.) *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis via the Concept of Measure of Noncompactness*, pp. 457–483. Springer, Berlin (2017)
22. Chen, C., Dong, J., Zhu, C.: Some fixed point theorems in b -metric-like spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2015**(2015), 122 (2015)
23. Rahman, M.U., Sarwar, M.: Dislocated quasi b -metric space and fixed point theorems. *Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **4**, 16–24 (2016)
24. Shahzad, N., Roldán López de Hierro, A.F., Khojasteh, F.: Some new fixed point theorems under $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S})$ -contractivity conditions. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **111**, 307–324 (2017)
25. Adigüzel, R.S., Aksoy, Ü., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6652>
26. Al-Mezel, S.A., Alsulami, H.H., Karapinar, E., Roldán López de Hierro, A.F.: Discussion on “multidimensional coincidence points” via recent publications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 287492 (2015)
27. Roldán López de Hierro, A.F., Karapinar, E., Manro, S.: Some new fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* **27**, 2257–2264 (2014)
28. Proinov, P.D.: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **22**, Article ID 21 (2020)
29. Wardowski, D.: Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, 94 (2012)
30. Jleli, M., Samet, B.: A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **38**, 1–8 (2014)
31. Karapinar, E., Roldán, A., Sadarangani, K.: Existence and uniqueness of best proximity points under rational contractivity conditions. *Math. Slovaca* **66**, 1427–1442 (2016)
32. Dass, B.K., Gupta, S.: An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **6**, 1455–1458 (1975)
33. Jaggi, D.S.: Some unique fixed point theorems. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8**, 223–230 (1977)
34. Hitzler, P., Seda, A.K.: Dislocated topologies. *J. Electr. Eng.* **51**, 3–7 (2000)
35. Czerwik, S.: Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1**, 5–11 (1993)
36. Hussain, N., Roshan, J.R., Parvaneh, V., Abbas, M.: Common fixed point results for weak contractive mappings in ordered b -dislocated metric spaces with applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**(2013), 486 (2013)