

Near-infrared spectroscopy "under pressure" as a post-cardiac arrest monitoring technique of cerebral autoregulation

Markus B. Skrifvars Anders Åneman

PII: S0300-9572(20)30157-X DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.020 **RESUS 8497** Reference: To appear in: Resuscitation

13 April 2020 15 April 2020 Accepted Date:

Received Date:

Please cite this article as: Skrifvars MB, Åneman A, Near-infrared spectroscopy "under pressure" as a post-cardiac arrest monitoring technique of cerebral autoregulation, Resuscitation (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.04.020

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

Near-infrared spectroscopy "under pressure" as a post-cardiac arrest monitoring technique of cerebral autoregulation

Markus B. Skrifvars Department of Emergency Care and Services, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital markus.skrifvars@hus.fi

Anders Åneman

Intensive Care Unit, Liverpool Hospital, South Western Sydney Local Health District; University of New South Wales; Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Macquarie University

Corresponding author: Markus B. Skrifvars Department of Emergency Care and Services, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital Meilahden sairaala Haartmaninkatu 4 00029 HUS Finland markus.skrifvars@hus.fi +358405137862

Conflicts of interest: Markus Skrifvars has received speaker's fees from Covidien (INVOS) and BARD Medical (Ireland) and a research grant from GE Healthcare. Anders Åneman has no conflicts of interest to declare relevant to this manuscript.

Funding: None

A conceptual cornerstone of neurocritical care, including post-cardiac arrest (PCA) patients, is to mitigate the progress of hypoxic-ischaemic brain injury and minimize organ dysfunction [1, 2]. As in many other forms of neurocritical illness, it would seem intuitive that rapidly identifying and correcting brain ischaemia must play a major role in patient management [3]. Invasive measurement of intracranial pressure (ICP) or tissue oxygenation which enables estimations of cerebral autoregulation has been adopted in an approach to determine optimal mean arterial pressure (MAP) [4, 5]. However, the use of such monitoring and concomitant treatment strategies have not yet been shown to improve patient-centred outcomes [6]. Many studies are ongoing and eagerly awaited [6]. Invasive ICP monitors have not been used to any great extent in cardiac arrest patients [7]. The reasons for this are manifold and include the use of anticoagulation and targeted temperature management (TTM), that may increase the risk of bleeding and serious complications [2, 8]. Therefore, a non-invasive method to identify cerebral ischaemia, measure cerebral autoregulation and estimate individualized optimal blood pressures would be very appealing. Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) provides a non-invasive method to measure cerebral oxygenation and, indirectly, cerebral blood flow [9]. The absolute regional cerebral oxygen saturation value (rSO_2) in itself does not appear to be associated with outcome in PCA patients [10]. Instead, observational studies have shown that NIRS enables identification of the limits of cerebral autoregulation and identification of an optimal MAP for each patient [11-13] using a cerebral oximetry index (COx). This optimal MAP may be different from the "one size fits all" haemodynamic targets recommended by guidelines and may be much higher in many patients [11, 14, 15]. As MAP is an important driver of cerebral perfusion, a higher MAP could alleviate cerebral ischaemia, but, thus far, the evidence of targeting a higher MAP in PCA patients appears inconclusive [16, 17].

In the current issue of *Resuscitation*, Hoiland and colleagues challenge the accuracy of NIRS derived COx as a means to estimate the limits of autoregulation in cardiac arrest patients and thus its accuracy for determining optimal MAP [18]. This renowned research group has published a series of experimental and clinial studies focusing on brain oxygenation [19, 20]. In this small observational study, cerebral autoregulation was measured as the moving correlation coefficient between ICP and MAP to calculate the pressure reactivity index, PRx, and non-invasively using rSO₂ and MAP to calculate COx. Using Bland-Altman plots, they studied the agreement between the estimated optimal MAP and the upper and lower limits of cerebral autoregulation. They found fairly good agreement between the optimal MAP identified by PRx or COx, with a mean difference of only 1.4 mmHg but with variability in agreement ranging from -23 mmHg to 25 mmHg. The upper and lower limits of autoregulation had even more variation and very poor agreement. Additionally, applying the commonly used cut-off value for detecting impaired autoregulation of >0.3 for COx and PRx, the sensitivity of COx to detect impaired autoregulation assessed by PRx was only 3%.

The authors must be congratulated for conducting an interesting and thought-provoking study that challenges the accuracy of NIRS as a means to measure cerebral autoregulation against PRx as the reference standard. Some issues need to be considered when interpreting the results, which are appreciated by the authors as well. The study included only 10 patients with different cardiac arrest aetiology and multiple measurements over time. Importantly, in the study there were notable delays in initiating ICP measurement. The study may also not fully appreciate the influence of actual oxygen and carbon dioxide levels on the accuracy of NIRS algorithms to derive rSO₂ and thus autoregulation measurements [21, 22]. Furthermore, differences in algorithms between NIRS monitors to derive rSO₂ were not assessed leaving questions related to external validity unanswered.

How should these findings guide the field of PCA care in the future? A nihilistic interpretation could be to abandon the use of NIRS altogether. But is the use of invasive ICP measurement to measure PRx really a realistic alternative in PCA patients? It has been used in small observational studies of PCA patients only and never as part of an interventional protocol. The question is also whether ICP is really elevated in PCA patients early during intensive care, as suspected or anticipated intracranial hypertension forms the necessary clinical rationale to institute ICP monitoring. Two studies conducted in PCA patients undergoing TTM suggest that this is not the case [2, 8].

Any strategy aiming at early estimation of optimal MAP and thereby tailoring treatment in PCA patients would need to be implemented soon after hospital or intensive care unit admission. NIRS combined with a strategy of systematically increasing MAP with a vasopressor while keeping oxygen and carbon dioxide constant could be a feasible and expeditious approach to estimate optimal MAP. This MAP could then be targeted during the following 48–72 hours. Based on the findings of Hoiland and colleagues, would it may be wise to set the NIRS-derived optimal MAP target high rather than low? [18]. One may debate whether identifying an optimal MAP would be enough rather than aiming to characterize the limit of autoregulation. The findings of Hoiland and colleagues notwithstanding, the lack of other non-invasive and safe alternatives should prompt more studies of NIRS, COx and optimization of MAP before this technique is scrapped altogether. Such studies and protocols will benefit from taking onboard the important findings presented by Hoiland and colleagues.

References

[1] Sekhon MS, Ainslie PN, Griesdale DE. Clinical pathophysiology of hypoxic ischemic brain injury after cardiac arrest: a "two-hit" model. Crit Care. 2017;21:90.

[2] Pynnonen L, Falkenbach P, Kamarainen A, Lonnrot K, Yli-Hankala A, Tenhunen J. Therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest - cerebral perfusion and metabolism during upper and lower threshold normocapnia. Resuscitation. 2011;82:1174-9.

[3] Okonkwo DO, Shutter LA, Moore C, Temkin NR, Puccio AM, Madden CJ, et al. Brain Oxygen Optimization in Severe Traumatic Brain Injury Phase-II: A Phase II Randomized Trial. Crit Care Med. 2017;45:1907-14.

[4] Jaeger M, Schuhmann MU, Soehle M, Nagel C, Meixensberger J. Continuous monitoring of cerebrovascular autoregulation after subarachnoid hemorrhage by brain tissue oxygen pressure reactivity and its relation to delayed cerebral infarction. Stroke. 2007;38:981-6.

[5] Jaeger M, Soehle M, Schuhmann MU, Meixensberger J. Clinical significance of impaired cerebrovascular autoregulation after severe aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke. 2012;43:2097-101.

[6] Beqiri E, Smielewski P, Robba C, Czosnyka M, Cabeleira MT, Tas J, et al. Feasibility of individualised severe traumatic brain injury management using an automated assessment of optimal cerebral perfusion pressure: the COGITATE phase II study protocol. BMJ Open. 2019;9:e030727.

[7] Soar J, Nolan JP, Bottiger BW, Perkins GD, Lott C, Carli P, et al. European Resuscitation Council
Guidelines for Resuscitation 2015: Section 3. Adult advanced life support. Resuscitation. 2015;95:100-47.
[8] Nordmark J, Rubertsson S, Mortberg E, Nilsson P, Enblad P. Intracerebral monitoring in comatose

patients treated with hypothermia after a cardiac arrest. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2009;53:289-98. [9] Steiner LA, Pfister D, Strebel SP, Radolovich D, Smielewski P, Czosnyka M. Near-infrared spectroscopy can monitor dynamic cerebral autoregulation in adults. Neurocrit Care. 2009;10:122-8.

[10] Jakkula P, Hastbacka J, Reinikainen M, Pettila V, Loisa P, Tiainen M, et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Crit Care. 2019;23:171.

[11] Ameloot K, Genbrugge C, Meex I, Jans F, Boer W, Vander Laenen M, et al. An observational nearinfrared spectroscopy study on cerebral autoregulation in post-cardiac arrest patients: time to drop 'onesize-fits-all' hemodynamic targets? Resuscitation. 2015;90:121-6.

[12] Pham P, Bindra J, Chuan A, Jaeger M, Aneman A. Are changes in cerebrovascular autoregulation following cardiac arrest associated with neurological outcome? Results of a pilot study. Resuscitation. 2015;96:192-8.

[13] Pham P, Bindra J, Aneman A, Chuan A, Worthington JM, Jaeger M. Noninvasive Monitoring of Dynamic Cerebrovascular Autoregulation and 'Optimal Blood Pressure' in Normal Adult Subjects. Neurocrit Care. 2019;30:201-6.

[14] Laurikkala J, Wilkman E, Pettila V, Kurola J, Reinikainen M, Hoppu S, et al. Mean arterial pressure and vasopressor load after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Associations with one-year neurologic outcome. Resuscitation. 2016;105:116-22.

[15] Grand J, Hassager C, Winther-Jensen M, Rundgren M, Friberg H, Horn J, et al. Mean arterial pressure during targeted temperature management and renal function after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. J Crit Care. 2019;50:234-41.

[16] Ameloot K, De Deyne C, Eertmans W, Ferdinande B, Dupont M, Palmers PJ, et al. Early goal-directed haemodynamic optimization of cerebral oxygenation in comatose survivors after cardiac arrest: the Neuroprotect post-cardiac arrest trial. Eur Heart J. 2019;40:1804-14.

[17] Jakkula P, Pettila V, Skrifvars MB, Hastbacka J, Loisa P, Tiainen M, et al. Targeting low-normal or highnormal mean arterial pressure after cardiac arrest and resuscitation: a randomised pilot trial. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44:2091-101.

[18] Hoiland RL, Sekhon MS, Cardim D, Wood MD, Gooderham P, Foster D, et al. Lack of agreement between optimal mean arterial pressure determination using pressure reactivity index versus cerebral oximetry index in hypoxic ischaemic brain injury after cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2020.

[19] Sekhon MS, Smielewski P, Bhate TD, Brasher PM, Foster D, Menon DK, et al. Using the relationship between brain tissue regional saturation of oxygen and mean arterial pressure to determine the optimal mean arterial pressure in patients following cardiac arrest: A pilot proof-of-concept study. Resuscitation. 2016;106:120-5.

[20] Sekhon MS, Griesdale DE, Ainslie PN, Gooderham P, Foster D, Czosnyka M, et al. Intracranial pressure and compliance in hypoxic ischemic brain injury patients after cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2019;141:96-103.

[21] Jakkula P, Reinikainen M, Hastbacka J, Loisa P, Tiainen M, Pettila V, et al. Targeting two different levels of both arterial carbon dioxide and arterial oxygen after cardiac arrest and resuscitation: a randomised pilot trial. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44:2112-21.

[22] Aneman A, Laurikalla J, Pham P, Wilkman E, Jakkula P, Reinikainen M, et al. Cerebrovascular autoregulation following cardiac arrest: Protocol for a post hoc analysis of the randomised COMACARE pilot trial. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2019;63:1272-7.

South of the second