

GALOIS EXTENSIONS AND CROSSED PRODUCTS

By

Yôichi MIYASHITA

Introduction.

In this paper we treat Galois extensions, crossed products, (projective) Frobenius extensions and separable extensions. In §1, we state a relation between Galois extensions and (generalized) crossed products. This relation is fundamental. In §2, we consider the separability of crossed products. In §3, we give a relation between Frobenius extensions and separable extensions. In §4, we give a relation between Frobenius extensions and H-separable extensions. In §5, we give several conditions that an extension ring is a finite Galois extension with a free basis of g elements, where g is the order of the automorphism group.

Throughout the present paper, all rings have identities, and modules are unitary. A subring of a ring contains the same identity. By a ring homomorphism, we always mean a ring homomorphism such that the image of 1 is 1. Let ${}_R M$ and ${}_R N$ be R -left modules. We denote by $\text{Hom}_l({}_R M, {}_R N)$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R N)$) the module of all R -homomorphisms from M to N acting on the left (resp. right) side. Similarly we define $\text{Hom}_l(M'_R, N'_R)$ and $\text{Hom}_r(M'_R, N'_R)$ for R -right modules M'_R, N'_R . Let f be a mapping from a set S to a set T . For any element s in S , the image of s by f is written as $f(s) = {}^f s = (s)f = s^f$, and f is written as $f = (s \rightarrow f(s))(s \in S)$, etc.

§ 1. Galois extensions and crossed products.

Let us begin with the definition of crossed products. Let $\mathcal{A} \supseteq A^*$ be rings, and G a finite group. \mathcal{A} is said to be a *crossed product* of A^* with G , if there is a subset $\{u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ of invertible elements of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A^* u_\sigma$ (direct sum), $u_1 = 1$, $A^* u_\sigma = u_\sigma A^*$, and $A^* u_\sigma u_\tau = A^* u_{\sigma\tau}$. Evidently, the last implies that the mapping $\sigma \rightarrow A^* u_\sigma$ from G to $\{A^* u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ is a group homomorphism. For any σ in G , the mapping $x \rightarrow u_\sigma x u_\sigma^{-1}$ from A^* to A^* is a ring automorphism. If we write $u_\sigma x u_\sigma^{-1} = \sigma(x)$, then $u_\sigma x = \sigma(x) u_\sigma$. To be easily seen, $A^* u_{\sigma^{-1}} = A^* u_\sigma^{-1} = u_\sigma^{-1} A^* = u_\sigma^{-1} A^*$. For σ, τ in G , $u_\sigma u_\tau = a_{\sigma, \tau} u_{\sigma\tau}$ for some $a_{\sigma, \tau}$ in A^* . Let $\sum_{\rho \in G} x_\rho u_\rho$ ($x_\rho \in A^*$) be the inverse of $a_{\sigma, \tau}$. Then $a_{\sigma, \tau} x_1 = 1$. For any x in A^* , $x u_\sigma u_\tau = x a_{\sigma, \tau} u_{\sigma\tau}$. On the other hand, $x u_\sigma u_\tau = u_\sigma \cdot \sigma^{-1}(x) u_\tau = u_\sigma u_\tau (\sigma\tau)^{-1}(x) = a_{\sigma, \tau} u_{\sigma\tau} (\sigma\tau)^{-1}(x) = a_{\sigma, \tau} x u_{\sigma\tau}$. Hence each $a_{\sigma, \tau}$ is an invertible element

of C^* , where C^* means the center of A^* . Therefore, $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus C^* u_\sigma$ is a crossed product of C^* with G . $\{u_{\sigma, \tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ is called the *factor set* of a crossed product $\mathcal{A} = (A^*, G, \{u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\})$.

The proof of the following lemma may be omitted.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $M \neq 0$ be a module, and R, S subrings of $T = \text{End}_l(M)$ such that $V_T(R) = S$ and $V_T(S) = R$, where $\text{End}_l(M)$ is the endomorphism ring of M acting on the left side, and $V_T(R) = \{t \in T; rt = tr \text{ for all } r \text{ in } R\}$. If c is an invertible element of T such that $cRc^{-1} = R$, then $cSc^{-1} = S$.*

Now, let $\mathcal{A} = (A^*, G, \{u_\sigma\})$ be a crossed product, and ${}_jM \sim {}_j\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [7]). Then ${}_A\mathcal{A} \sim {}_{A^*}A^*$ implies that ${}_A M \sim {}_{A^*}A^*$. If we set $T = \text{End}_l(M)$, $V_T(\mathcal{A}) = B$ and $V_T(A^*) = A$, then ${}_A M \sim {}_A A$, ${}_B M \sim {}_B B$, and B is a subring of A . Therefore ${}_B A \sim {}_B B$. For any σ in G , \tilde{u}_σ denotes the inner automorphism ($f \rightarrow u_\sigma f u_\sigma^{-1}$) of T , where $f \in T$. Since \tilde{u}_σ induces the automorphism $\tilde{u}_\sigma|_{A^*}$ of A^* , \tilde{u}_σ induces the automorphism $\tilde{u}_\sigma|_A$ of A (Lemma 1.1), and G may be considered as a finite group of automorphisms of A . In this sense, $A^G = B$ holds. Moreover we have the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A^*, G, \{u_\sigma\})$ be a crossed product, ${}_jM \sim {}_j\mathcal{A}$, $\text{End}_l({}_jM) = B$ and $\text{End}_l({}_{A^*}M) = A$. Then A/B is a finite G -Galois extension (cf. [7]).*

Proof. Since ${}_jM|_j\mathcal{A}$, there are $f_i \in \text{Hom}({}_jM, {}_j\mathcal{A})$, $m_i \in M$ such that $\sum_i f_i m \cdot m_i = m$ for all m in M . Since ${}_j\mathcal{A}|_jM$, there are $g_k \in \text{Hom}({}_j\mathcal{A}, {}_jM)$, $n_k \in M$ such that $\sum_k g_k n_k = u_1 (= 1)$. For τ in G , the mapping $\sum x_\sigma u_\sigma \rightarrow x_\tau$ from \mathcal{A} to A^* is an A^* -left homomorphism. We denote this by p_τ . Evidently, $\sum_{\sigma \in G} p_\sigma \delta \cdot u_\sigma = \delta$ for any δ in \mathcal{A} . Let $\varphi_{\tau, i, k}$ be the A^* -left homomorphism from M to M such that $\varphi_{\tau, i, k}(m) = p_\tau f_i m \cdot u_\tau n_k$ for any m in M , and let $\phi_{\tau, i, k}$ be the A^* -left homomorphism from M to M such that $\phi_{\tau, i, k}(m) = p_\tau g_k m \cdot u_\tau m_i$ for any m in M . Then, as is easily seen, $\sum_{\tau, i, k} \phi_{\tau, i, k} u_\sigma \varphi_{\tau, i, k} = \delta_{1, \sigma}$ for all σ in G , or equivalently, $\sum_{\tau, i, k} \phi_{\tau, i, k} \cdot \sigma(\varphi_{\tau, i, k}) = \delta_{1, \sigma}$ for all σ in G . Thus A/B is a finite G -Galois extension.

Remark. Let c, d be invertible elements of \mathcal{A} . Then, $\tilde{c}|A = \tilde{d}|A$ if and only if $cA^* = dA^*$.

Proposition 1.3. *With the same notations and assumptions as in Th. 1.2, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $V_A(B) = C$, where C is the center of A .
- (ii) $V_j(A^*) = C^*$.
- (iii) For any σ in G such that $\sigma \neq 1$, $\{a \in A^*; \sigma(x)a = ax \text{ for all } x \text{ in } A^*\} = \{0\}$.

If (iii) holds and $\mathcal{A}=(A^*, G, \{v_\sigma\})$, then $u_\sigma A^*=v_\sigma A^*$ for all σ in G .

Proof. Since $V_{\mathcal{A}}(A^*)=V_{\mathcal{A}}(B)$ and $C=C^*$, (i) \iff (ii) is evident. For $\delta=\sum a_\sigma u_\sigma$ in \mathcal{A} , $\delta\in V_{\mathcal{A}}(A^*)$ if and only if $x a_\sigma = a_\sigma \cdot \sigma(x)$ for all σ in G and x in A^* . Thus we have (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) holds, then $\text{Hom}_{(A^*, A^* u_\sigma A^*, A^* A^* v_\tau A^*)} = 0$ for any σ, τ in G such that $\sigma \neq \tau$ (cf. [6; p. 127]). Thus $A^* u_\sigma \subseteq A^* v_\sigma$. Symmetrically we have $A^* v_\sigma \subseteq A^* u_\sigma$. Hence $A^* u_\sigma = A^* v_\sigma$ for all σ in G .

If a co-crossed product \mathcal{A} satisfies the condition (ii) in Prop. 1.3, we call \mathcal{A} an *outer crossed product*.

The following is well known.

Lemma 1.4. *Let S be a ring, σ an automorphism of S , and ${}_S N$ a faithful S -left module. If, for any s in S and x in N , we define $s * x = \sigma(s)x$, then we have a new S -left module ${}_S(\sigma, N)$. Then, ${}_S(\sigma, N) \simeq {}_S N$ if and only if σ can be extended an inner automorphism of $\text{End}_l(N)$.*

By Lemma 1.4, ${}_A(\sigma, M) \simeq {}_A M(\sigma \in G)$ in Th. 1.2. Next we shall prove the converse of Th. 1.2. Let A/B be a finite G -Galois, ${}_A M \sim {}_A A$, and assume that each $\sigma \in G$ can be extended to an inner automorphism \tilde{u}_σ of $\text{End}_l(M)$, that is, $u_\sigma x u_\sigma^{-1} = \sigma(x)$ for all x in A . We take u_1 as $u_1 = 1$. Put $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}_l({}_B M)$ and $A^* = \text{End}_l({}_A M)$. Then A^* is a subring of \mathcal{A} . Now, there are elements a_s, a'_s in A such that $\sum_s a_s \cdot \sigma(a'_s) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$ for all σ in G . Then $\sum_s \tau(a_s) \sigma(a'_s) = \delta_{\tau,\sigma}$ for any σ, τ in G , that is, $\sum_s u_\tau a_s u_\tau^{-1} \cdot u_\sigma a'_s u_\sigma^{-1} = \delta_{\tau,\sigma}$ for any τ, σ in G . Therefore, $\sum_s a_s u_\tau^{-1} u_\sigma a'_s = \delta_{\tau,\sigma}$ for any τ, σ in G . Assume that $0 = \sum_\sigma u_\sigma x_\sigma$ ($x_\sigma \in A^*$). Then, for any τ in G , $0 = \sum_s a_s u_\tau^{-1} (\sum_\sigma u_\sigma x_\sigma) a'_s = \sum_\sigma (\sum_s a_s u_\tau^{-1} u_\sigma a'_s) x_\sigma = x_\tau$. Thus we know $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus u_\sigma A^* \supseteq A^*$. For τ, σ in G , $\tau\sigma = (u_\tau | A)(\tilde{u}_\sigma | A) = \widetilde{u_\tau u_\sigma} | A$. On the other hand, $\tau\sigma = \tilde{u}_{\tau\sigma} | A$. Hence $u_\tau A^* = u_\tau u_\sigma A^*$. Since \tilde{u}_σ induces an automorphism of A , \tilde{u}_σ induces an automorphism of A^* , that is, $u_\sigma A^* = A^* u_\sigma$. Lastly we shall show that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \sum_{\sigma \in G} A^* u_\sigma$. Since ${}_A M | {}_A A$, there are $f_i \in \text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A A)$ and $m_i \in M$ such that $\sum_i f_i m \cdot m_i = m$ for all $m \in M$. Since ${}_A A | {}_A M$, there are $g_k \in \text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A A)$ and $n_k \in M$ such that $\sum_k g_k m \cdot n_k = 1$. For any x in A , $\sum_s t_G(x a_s) a'_s = x$. For any $\delta \in \mathcal{A}$, we put $\varphi_{i,s,k}(\delta) = (x \rightarrow^{g_k} x \cdot \delta a'_s m_i)$, where $x \in A^*$. Then $\varphi_{i,s,k} : {}_A \mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}_A A^*$. For any x in A^* , we put $\psi_{i,s,k}(x) = (m \rightarrow t_G(f_i m \cdot a_s) x n_k)$, where $m \in M$. Then $\psi_{i,s,k} : {}_A A^* \rightarrow {}_A \mathcal{A}$. To be easily seen, there holds $\sum_{i,s,k} \psi_{i,s,k} \varphi_{i,s,k} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus ${}_A \mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\{(m \rightarrow t_G(f_i m \cdot a_s) n_k); i, s, k\}$. Further, $t_G(f_i m \cdot a_s) n_k = \sum_\sigma u_\sigma \cdot f_i m \cdot a_s u_\sigma^{-1} n_k$, and $(m \rightarrow f_i m \cdot a_s u_\sigma^{-1} n_k)$ ($m \in M$) is in A^* . Thus we have proved that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A^* u_\sigma$, and hence \mathcal{A} is a crossed product of A^* with G . Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 1.5. *Let A/B be finite G -Galois, ${}_A M \sim {}_A A$, $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}_l({}_B M)$, and $A^* = \text{End}_l({}_A M)$. Further, assume that each σ in G can be extended to*

an inner automorphism \tilde{u}_σ of $\text{End}_l(M)$. Then $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A^* u_\sigma = (A^*, G, \{u_\sigma\})$, which is a crossed product of A^* with G .

For inner Galois extensions we remark the following

Proposition 1.6. *With the same notations and assumptions as in Th. 1.5, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) A/B is finite inner G -Galois (i.e. each $\sigma \in G$ is an inner automorphism of A).
- (ii) Each $\tilde{u}_\sigma|A^*$ is an inner automorphism of A^* .
- (iii) For a suitable $\{u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ there holds that $xu_\sigma = u_\sigma x$ for all x in A^* .

Proof. It σ is an inner automorphism of A , then $\tilde{u}_\sigma|A = \tilde{c}_\sigma|A$ for some invertible c_σ of A . Then $c_\sigma^{-1}u_\sigma \in A^*$, which is an invertible element of A^* . If we put $\tilde{d}_\sigma = c_\sigma^{-1}u_\sigma$, then $\tilde{d}_\sigma|A^* = \tilde{u}_\sigma|A^*$, which is an inner automorphism of A^* . Symmetrically, if $\tilde{u}_\sigma|A^*$ is inner, then so is $\tilde{u}_\sigma|A$. Thus the proof is complete.

If a crossed product Δ satisfies the condition (ii) in Prop. 1.6, we call Δ an *inner crossed product*. Thus the outer (resp. inner) crossed products and the outer (resp. inner) Galois extensions correspond to each other;

Let S, T be rings, and ${}_S M_T$. If ${}_S M \sim_S S$ and $\text{End}_r({}_S M) = T$, then $M_T \sim T_T$ and $\text{End}_l(M_T) = S$ (Morita). We call such a module a *Morita module*. If there is a Morita module ${}_S M_T$, then we write $S \sim T$. This is an equivalence relation (Morita).

Theorem 1.7. (1) *Let A/B be finite [outer, inner] G -Galois, and $B \sim B'$. Then there is a finite [outer, inner] G -Galois extension A'/B' such that $A' \sim A$.*

(2) *Let Δ/A^* be an [outer, inner] crossed product of A^* with G , and $A^* \sim A^{**}$. Then there is an [outer, inner] crossed product Δ^*/A^{**} of A^{**} with G such that $\Delta^* \sim \Delta$.*

Proof. (1) Let ${}_B M_{B'}$ be a Morita module, and $\Delta = \text{End}_l(A_B)$. Then ${}_A \Delta \otimes_B M_{B'}$ is a Morita module, and Δ/A is an crossed product of A with G . If we put $A' = \text{End}_r({}_A \Delta \otimes_B M)$, then A'/B' is a required one. (2) Let ${}_{A^{**}} N_{A^*}$ be a Morita module, and $\text{End}_r({}_{A^*} \Delta) = A$. Then ${}_{A^{**}} N \otimes_{A^*} \Delta_A$ is a Morita module, and A/Δ is a finite [outer, inner] G -Galois extension. If we put $\Delta^* = \text{End}_l(N \otimes_{A^*} \Delta)$, then Δ^*/A^{**} is a required one.

In the rest of this section, we remark on factor sets. Let $\Delta = (A, G, \{u_\sigma; \sigma \in G\})$ be a crossed product of A with G , $\{a_{\sigma,\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ its factor set, and C the center of A . Then, $u_\sigma u_\tau = a_{\sigma,\tau} u_{\sigma\tau}$ and each $a_{\sigma,\tau}$ is an invertible element of C . If we write $u_\sigma a u_\sigma^{-1} = \sigma(a)$ for any $a \in A$, then σ is an automor-

phism of A , and the mapping $\varphi : \sigma \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \sigma(a)) (\sigma \in G, a \in A)$ is a group homomorphism. $(u_\sigma u_\tau)u_\rho = u_\sigma(u_\tau u_\rho)$ implies that $a_{\sigma,\tau}a_{\sigma\tau,\rho} = {}^a a_{\tau,\rho}a_{\sigma,\tau\rho}$ for σ, τ, ρ in G , and $a_{\sigma,1} = a_{1,\sigma} = 1$, because $u_1 = 1$. Conversely, if we give a group homomorphism from G into the automorphism group of A and a factor set $\{a_{\sigma,\tau}; \sigma, \tau \in G\}$ such that $a_{\sigma,\tau}a_{\sigma\tau,\rho} = {}^a a_{\tau,\rho}a_{\sigma,\tau\rho}$ ($\sigma, \tau, \rho \in G$) $a_{\sigma,1} = a_{1,\sigma} = 1$, then we have a crossed product.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Au_\sigma$ and $\Delta' = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Av_\sigma$ be crossed products of A with G , C the center of A , and let $\{a_{\sigma,\tau}\}$ and $\{b_{\sigma,\tau}\}$ be factor sets of Δ and Δ' , respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There is an A -ring isomorphism f from Δ to Δ' such that $f(Au_\sigma) = Av_\sigma$ for all σ in G .*

(ii) *For any σ in G , $u_\sigma a u_\sigma^{-1} = v_\sigma a v_\sigma^{-1}$ for all a in A , and there is a subset $\{c_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ of invertible elements of C such that $b_{\sigma,\tau} = c_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} c_\sigma \cdot {}^a c_\tau a_{\sigma,\tau}$ for all σ, τ in G , and $c_1 = 1$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) We may assume that $\Delta = \Delta'$. Let $v_\sigma = c_\sigma u_\sigma$. Then, for any x in A , $v_\sigma x = c_\sigma u_\sigma x = c_\sigma \cdot \sigma(x) u_\sigma$. On the other hand, $v_\sigma x = \sigma(x) v_\sigma = \sigma(x) c_\sigma u_\sigma$, and so $\sigma(x) c_\sigma = c_\sigma \cdot \sigma(x)$ for all x in A . Thus $c_\sigma \in C$ for all σ in G . The remainder is rather familiar.

Now, we fix a group homomorphism φ from G into the automorphism group of A , then the set of all factor sets with respect to φ is an abelian group $G(A, \varphi) : \{a_{\sigma,\tau}\} \{b_{\sigma,\tau}\} = \{c_{\sigma,\tau}\}$, where $c_{\sigma,\tau} = a_{\sigma,\tau} b_{\sigma,\tau}$. Let $\{c_\sigma; \sigma \in G\}$ be a subset of invertible elements of C such that $c_1 = 1$. If we put $d_{\sigma,\tau} = c_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} c_\sigma \cdot {}^a c_\tau$ for all σ, τ in G , then $\{d_{\sigma,\tau}\}$ is a factor set, and the set of such factor sets forms a subgroup $G_0(A, \varphi)$ of $G(A, \varphi)$. Then Prop. 1.8 yields at once the following

Proposition 1.9. *Let $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Au_\sigma$ and $\Delta' = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Av_\sigma$ be crossed products of A with G , and let $\{a_{\sigma,\tau}\}$ and $\{b_{\sigma,\tau}\}$ be factor sets of Δ and Δ' , respectively. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *There is an A -ring isomorphism f from Δ to Δ' such that $f(Au_\sigma) = Av_\sigma$ for all σ in G .*

(ii) *For any σ in G , $u_\sigma a u_\sigma^{-1} = v_\sigma a v_\sigma^{-1}$ for all a in A , and $\{a_{\sigma,\tau}\} \equiv \{b_{\sigma,\tau}\} \pmod{G_0(A)}$.*

§ 2. Separability of crossed products.

Throughout this section, we assume that R/S is a (projective) Frobenius extension. Then there are $h : {}_S R_S \rightarrow {}_S S_S$, and $r_i, l_i \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $x = \sum_i r_i \cdot h(l_i x) = \sum_i h(x r_i) l_i$ for all x in R (cf. [9]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let ${}_R M_T$ be an R -left, T -right module. Then $\varphi : \text{Hom}_l({}_S M_T, {}_S M_T) \simeq \text{Hom}_l({}_R M_T, {}_R R \otimes_S M_T)$, where $\varphi(f) = (m \rightarrow \sum_i r_i \otimes f(l_i m))$*

($f \in \text{Hom}_l({}_sM_T, {}_sM_T)$, $m \in M$). For any g in $\text{Hom}_l({}_R M_T, {}_R R \otimes {}_s M_T)$, $\varphi^{-1}(g) = (h \otimes 1)g$, where $(h \otimes 1)(r \otimes m) = h(r)m$ ($r \in R, m \in M$).

Proof. $\text{Hom}({}_s M_T, {}_s M_T) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_s R \otimes {}_R M_T, {}_s M_T) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R M_T, {}_R (\text{Hom}({}_s R, {}_s M)_T) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R M_T, {}_R \text{Hom}({}_s R, {}_s S) \otimes {}_S \text{Hom}({}_s S, {}_s M)_T) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R M_T, {}_R R \otimes {}_s M_T)$. If we follow the above sequence of isomorphisms, we obtain the required isomorphism.

Corollary. For any ${}_R M_T$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) The R - T -homomorphism $r \otimes m \rightarrow rm$ from $R \otimes {}_S M$ to M splits.
- (ii) There is a homomorphism f in $\text{Hom}_l({}_s M_T, {}_s M_T)$ such that $\sum_i r_i \cdot f(l_i m) = m$ for all m in M .

The following proposition is an easy consequence of Cor. to Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. For an extension ring T of R , the following are equivalent:

- (i) The R - T -homomorphism $r \otimes t \rightarrow rt$ from $R \otimes {}_S T$ to T splits.
- (ii) There is an element c in $V_T(S)$ such that $\sum_i r_i c l_i = 1$.

In this case, the mapping $r \rightarrow \sum_i r_i \otimes c l_i r$ ($r \in R$) is an R - T -homomorphism from R to $R \otimes {}_S T$.

Corollary (Hirata and Sugano [2]). The following are equivalent:

- (i) R/S is a separable extension.
- (ii) There is an element c in $V_R(S)$ such that $\sum_i r_i c l_i = 1$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\Delta = (A, G, \{u_\sigma\})$ be a crossed product of A with G , H a subgroup of G , $\Delta_H = \sum_{\tau \in H} \bigoplus A u_\tau$, and $u_\sigma u_\tau = a_{\sigma, \tau} u_{\sigma\tau}$ ($\sigma, \tau \in G$). Then Δ/Δ_H is a free Frobenius extension. In fact, if $G = \sigma_1 H \cup \dots \cup \sigma_r H$ is the coset decomposition of G and h is the Δ_H - Δ_H -homomorphism from Δ to Δ_H such that $h(\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_\sigma u_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in H} a_\tau u_\tau$, then $\hat{\delta} = \sum_i h(\hat{\delta} u_{\sigma_i}) a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i}^{-1} = \sum_i u_{\sigma_i} \cdot h(a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i}^{-1} \hat{\delta})$ for all $\hat{\delta}$ in Δ .

Proof. That h is a Δ_H - Δ_H -homomorphism will be easily seen. Let $\hat{\delta} = \sum_{\gamma \in H} \sum_i x_{\sigma_i \gamma} u_{\sigma_i \gamma}$ be in Δ . Then $h(a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i}^{-1} \hat{\delta}) = a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_i^{-1}(x_{\sigma_i \gamma}) a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i \gamma} u_\gamma$. Now, $a_{\sigma, \sigma} a_{\sigma\tau, \rho} = \sigma(a_{\tau, \rho}) a_{\sigma, \tau\rho}$ for all σ, τ, ρ in G . If we put $\rho = \sigma$ and $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$, then $a_{\sigma, \sigma}^{-1} a_{1, \sigma} = \sigma(a_{\sigma^{-1}, \sigma}) a_{\sigma, 1}$. Since $1 = a_{1, \sigma} = a_{\sigma, 1}$, we have $a_{\sigma, \sigma}^{-1} = \sigma(a_{\sigma^{-1}, \sigma})$ for all σ in G . Further we see that $a_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}^{-1} = a_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}^{-1} a_{1, \sigma_i \gamma} = \sigma_i(a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i \gamma}) a_{\sigma_i, \gamma}$, where $\gamma \in H$. Noting these facts we can see that $\sum_i u_{\sigma_i} \cdot h(a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i}^{-1} \hat{\delta}) = \hat{\delta}$. Next we write $\hat{\delta}$ as $\hat{\delta} = \sum_{\gamma \in H} \sum_j y_{\gamma \sigma_j} u_{\gamma \sigma_j}$. Then $h(\hat{\delta} u_{\sigma_i}) = y_{\gamma \sigma_i} a_{\gamma \sigma_i} u_\gamma$. Noting that $\eta(a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}) = \eta \sigma_i^{-1}(a_{\sigma_i, \sigma_i}^{-1}) a_{\gamma \sigma_i}^{-1, 1} = a_{\gamma \sigma_i}^{-1, \sigma} a_{\gamma, \sigma_i}^{-1}$, we can see that $\sum_i h(\hat{\delta} u_{\sigma_i}) a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i}^{-1} = \hat{\delta}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary. Let $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \bigoplus A u_\sigma$ be a crossed product. Then Δ/A is a free Frobenius extension. In fact, $h = (\sum_\sigma a_\sigma u_\sigma \rightarrow a_1)$ is a Frobenius homo-

morphism, and $\delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} h(\delta u_\sigma) a_{\sigma^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}}^{-1} u_{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \cdot h(a_{\sigma^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}} u_{\sigma^{-1}} \delta)$ for all δ in Δ .

Theorem 2.4. *With the same notations and assumptions as in Prop. 2.3, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) Δ/Δ_H is a separable extension.
- (ii) There is an element c in C (=the center of A) such that $\sum_i \sigma_i(c) = 1$ and $\tau(c) = c$ for all τ in H . (Remark. Since $c \in C''$, $\sum_i \sigma_i(c)$ is independent of the choice of $\{\sigma_i\}$.)

Proof. By Prop. 2.3 and Cor. to Th. 2.2, (i) is equivalent to that there is a δ in $V_J(\Delta_H)$ such that $\sum_i u_{\sigma_i} \delta a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1}}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i^{-1}} = u_1$. Now, for any $\delta = \sum_\sigma a_\sigma u_\sigma$ in $V_J(\Delta_H)$, the coefficient of u_1 of $\sum_i u_{\sigma_i} \delta a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1}}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i^{-1}}$ is $\sum_i \sigma_i(a_1)$, and $\delta \in V_J(\Delta_H)$ implies that $a_1 \in C$. Since $\delta u_\tau = u_\tau \delta$ for all τ in H , we obtain that $a_\sigma a_{\sigma^{-1}, \tau} = \tau(a_{\tau^{-1}, \sigma}) a_{\tau^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}}$ for τ in H , σ in G . Put $\sigma = 1$. Then $a_1 = \tau(a_1)$ for all τ in H . Thus, if $\sum_i u_{\sigma_i} \delta a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1}}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i^{-1}} = 1$ for some δ in $V_J(\Delta_H)$, then $\sum_i \sigma_i(a_1) = 1$ for some a_1 in C'' . Conversely, if there is an element a_1 in C'' such that $\sum_i \sigma_i(a_1) = 1$, then $a_1 u_1 \in V_J(\Delta_H)$ and $\sum_i u_{\sigma_i} a_1 u_1 a_{\sigma_i^{-1}, \sigma_i^{-1}}^{-1} u_{\sigma_i^{-1}} = u_1$. Hence (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Corollary 1. *When $\sigma(x) = x$ for any $\sigma \in G$ and any $x \in C$, Δ/Δ_H is separable if and only if $(G:H) \cdot 1$ is invertible in A .*

Corollary 2. *Δ/A is separable if and only if $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c) = 1$ for some c in C .*

Corollary 3. *If Δ/A is separable then Δ_H/A is separable.*

Proof. If $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c) = 1$ for c in C , then $1 = \sum_{\tau \in H} \tau(\sum_i \sigma_i^{-1}(c))$. By Cor. 2, Δ_H/A is separable.

Remark. As Δ_H is an Δ_H - Δ_H -direct summand of Δ , Δ is (A, Δ_H) -projective (cf. [6]) if and only if Δ_H/A is separable (cf. [7; Lemma 2.10]).

In the rest of this section, $\Omega = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A u_\sigma$ means a crossed product of A with G such that $u_\sigma u_\tau = u_{\sigma\tau}$ for any σ, τ in G . Then A may be considered an Ω -left module: $\sum_\sigma a_\sigma u_\sigma(x) = \sum_\sigma a_\sigma \cdot \sigma(x)$ for $\sum_\sigma a_\sigma u_\sigma$ in Ω and x in A .

Lemma 2.5. *${}_A A$ is (finitely generated and) projective if and only if $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a) = 1$ for some a in A .*

Proof. $\text{Hom}({}_A A, {}_A \Omega) \subseteq \text{Hom}({}_A A, {}_A \Omega) \simeq \Omega$, canonically. To be easily seen, $\text{Hom}({}_A A, {}_A \Omega) \simeq (\sum_\sigma u_\sigma) A$ under the isomorphism. Therefore, ${}_A A$ is finitely generated and projective if and only if there are $a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n$ in A such $x \sum_\sigma \sum_i \sigma(b_i a_i) = x$ for all x in A , or equivalently, $\sum_\sigma \sigma(a) = 1$ for some a in A .

Remark. ${}_A A \sim {}_A \Omega$ if and only if A/B is finite G -Galois, where $B = A^G$.

Combining Lemma 2.5 with Cor. 2 to Th. 2.4, we obtain the following

Proposition 2.6. *If A is a commutative ring, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Ω/A is separable.
- (ii) ${}_0A$ is projective.
- (iii) $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c) = 1$ for some c in A .

Corollary. *If A is commutative and ${}_A A$ is completely reducible (i.e. A is a direct sum of fields), then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Ω/A is separable.
- (ii) ${}_0\Omega$ is completely reducible.
- (iii) ${}_0A$ is projective.
- (iv) $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c) = 1$ for some c in A .

§ 3. On Frobenius extensions and separable extensions.

The proof of the following may be omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an R -left, R^* -right module, $\text{End}_r({}_R M) = R^*$, and $\text{End}_l(M_{R^*}) = R$. Then $\text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$ by the correspondence $g \mapsto (x \mapsto (y \rightarrow x \cdot {}^g y))$, where $g \in \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*})$, $x, y \in M$. Assume that, further, $M_{R^*} | R_{R^*}$. Then $M \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}), {}_R R^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R), {}_R R^*)$ by the correspondence $x \mapsto (g \mapsto {}^g x) \mapsto (f \mapsto (y \rightarrow y^f \cdot x))$, where $x, y \in M$, $g \in \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*})$, $f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let R/S be a Frobenius extension. Then there are $h: {}_S R_S \rightarrow {}_S S_S$, $a_i, b_i \in R$ such that $x = \sum_i (x a_i)^h \cdot b_i = \sum_i a_i (b_i x)^h$ for all x in A . Then there hold the following:*

- (1) ${}_R R_S \simeq {}_R \text{Hom}({}_S R, {}_S S)_S$ by the mapping $(x \mapsto xh)(x \in R)$. The inverse of this mapping is $(g \mapsto \sum_i a_i \cdot b_i^g)(g \in \text{Hom}_r({}_S R, {}_S S))$.
- (2) For any ${}_R M_{R^*}$, $\text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S)$ as R^* -left, S -right modules, by the mapping $(f \mapsto fh)(f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R))$. The inverse of this mapping is $(g \mapsto (x \mapsto \sum_i a_i (b_i x)^g))$, where $g \in \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S)$, $x \in M$. From this fact, $M \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R), {}_R R^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S), {}_R R^*)$ as S - R^* -modules, by the correspondence $m \mapsto (f \mapsto fm_r) \mapsto (fh \mapsto fm_r)$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$, $m \in M$, $m = (r \mapsto rm)(r \in R)$.

Proof. The proof of (1) is found in [9]. (2) We use (1). Then, $\text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R \text{Hom}_r({}_S R, {}_S S)) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S R \otimes_R M, {}_S S) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S)$. Thus we obtain the required isomorphism.

Now, with the same notations and assumptions as in Lemma 3.2, we set $\text{End}_r({}_R M) = R^*$ and $\text{End}_r({}_S M) = S^*$, and assume that ${}_R R | {}_R M$ and that ${}_S M | {}_S S$. Then $M_{R^*} | R_{R^*}$, $S_{S^*}^* | M_{S^*}$, and R^* is a subring of S^* . Since $S^* = \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S M)$

$\simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S) \otimes_S M \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R) \otimes_S M \simeq \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}^*) \otimes_S M$ as R^* -left modules, we have ${}_R S^* |_{R^*} R^*$, because ${}_R \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}^*) |_{R^*} R^*$ and ${}_S M |_{S^*} S$. There hold $\text{Hom}_r({}_R S^* |_{R^*} R^*) = \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S), {}_R R^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S) \otimes_S M, {}_R R^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S \text{Hom}_r({}_R \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S), {}_R R^*)) \simeq \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S M) = S^*$ as S^* - R^* -modules (Lemma 3.2). Put $H = (f h m_r \rightarrow f m_r)$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$, $m \in M$, and $m_r = (r \rightarrow r m)(r \in R)$ (Lemma 2.3). Then, under the above isomorphisms, $H \mapsto (f h \otimes m \rightarrow f m_r) \mapsto (m \rightarrow (f h \rightarrow f m_r)) \mapsto (m \rightarrow m) = 1_M$ (Lemma 3.2 (2)). Hence S^*/R^* is a Frobenius extension with a Frobenius homomorphism H . Since ${}_S M |_{S^*} S$, there are $f_j \in \text{Hom}({}_S M, {}_S S)$, $m_j \in M$ such that $\sum_j x^j \cdot m_j = x$ for all $x \in M$. Since ${}_R R |_{R^*} M$, there are $g_k \in \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R)$, $n_k \in M$ such that $\sum_k n_k^g = 1$. Put $\varphi_{j,i,k} = f_j a_{i,r} h n_{k,r}$, where $a_{i,r} = (x \rightarrow x a_i)(x \in R)$, and $n_{k,r} = (y \rightarrow y n_k)(y \in R)$. Put $\psi_{k,i,j} = g_k h b_{i,r} m_{j,r}$. Then $\varphi_{j,i,k}, \psi_{k,i,j} \in S^*$, and $s^* = \sum_{j,i,k} \varphi_{j,i,k} \cdot H(\psi_{k,i,j} s^*) = \sum_{j,i,k} H(s^* \varphi_{j,i,k}) \psi_{k,i,j}$ for all s^* in S^* . Now, for any a^* in $V_{S^*}(R^*)$, $(m) \sum_{j,i,k} \varphi_{j,i,k} a^* \psi_{k,i,j} = a^* \cdot m$ for all m in M , where $a m = m a^*$ for all $m \in M$. Hence $\sum_{j,i,k} \varphi_{j,i,k} a^* \psi_{k,i,j} = 1$ for some a^* in $V_{S^*}(R^*)$ if and only if $a^* = 1$ for some $a \in V_R(S)$. The former is equivalent to that S^*/R^* is separable, and the latter is equivalent to that ${}_S S_S$ is a direct summand of ${}_S R_S$. Thus we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let R/S be a Frobenius extension, and M an R -left module such that ${}_R R |_{R^*} M$ and ${}_S M |_{S^*} S$. Put $\text{End}_r({}_R M) = R^*$ and $\text{End}_r({}_S M) = S^*$. Then S^*/R^* is a Frobenius extension. With a Frobenius homomorphism h of R/S , a Frobenius homomorphism of S^*/R^* is written as $(f h m_r \rightarrow f m_r)$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$, $m \in M$, and $m_r = (x \rightarrow x m)(x \in R)$. S^*/R^* is separable if and only if ${}_S S_S$ is a direct summand of ${}_S R_S$.*

Proposition 3.4. *With the same notations and assumptions as in Th. 3.3, the Frobenius homomorphism H yields h , symmetrically. Therefore, if we set $S' = \text{End}_l(M_{S^*})$, then h is an S' - S' -homomorphism from R into S' .*

Proof. Any f in $\text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R M)$ is written as $f = (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x \cdot {}^g y))(x, y \in M)$ with a suitable g in $\text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}^*)$. Therefore $H = ((x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x \cdot {}^g y)^k \cdot m) \rightarrow {}^g m)$, where $x, y, m \in M, g \in \text{Hom}_l(M_{R^*}, R_{R^*}^*)$. Let $H \mapsto h'$. Then $h' = ((y \rightarrow m \cdot {}^{''} (x \rightarrow x^f \cdot y)) \rightarrow m^f)$, where $m, x, y \in M, f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S)$. Since $x^f = (\sum_i a_i (b_i x)^f)^k$ (Lemma 3.2), we know that $h' = (\sum_i a_i (b_i m)^f \rightarrow m^f)$, where $m \in M, f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_S M, {}_S S)$. Let $f = f' h$ ($f' \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$). Then $h' = (m^{f'} \rightarrow m^{f'k}) = h$. (Note that $(M) \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R) = R$.) This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. *Let R/S be a Frobenius extension with a Frobenius homomorphism h , and $S' = \text{End}_l(R_{S^*})$, where $S^* = \text{End}_r({}_S R)$. Then R/S' is a Frobenius extension with a Frobenius homomorphism h .*

Remark. Assume that ${}_S S'$ is a direct summand of ${}_S R$. Then $h(c) = 1$

for some c in R . Then, for any x in S' , $S \ni h(cx) = h(c)x = x$, and so $S = S'$.

Corollary 2. *Let R/S be a Frobenius extension, and R a division ring. Then S is also a division ring.*

Proof. In this case, S' is a division ring. Hence ${}_sS'$ is a direct summand of ${}_sR$, and so $S' = S$ (cf. the above remark).

Proposition 3.5. *Let R/S be a Frobenius extension, and ${}_sS_s$ is a direct summand of ${}_sR_s$. If ${}_R R$ is completely reducible, then so is ${}_sS$.*

Proof. $\text{End}_r({}_sR)/R_r$ is separable. Then, by [7; Lemma 2.10], $\text{End}_r({}_sR)$ is $\text{End}_r({}_sR)$ -left completely reducible, and so R is $\text{End}_r({}_sR)$ -right completely reducible. Hence ${}_sS$ is completely reducible.

Corollary. *Let R/S be Frobenius and separable, and assume that ${}_sS_s$ is a direct summand of ${}_sR_s$. Then ${}_R R$ is completely reducible if and only if so is ${}_sS$.*

§ 4. On Frobenius extensions and H-separable extensions.

Throughout this section, R/S is a Frobenius extension, h an S - S -homomorphism from R to S , and r_i, l_i elements of R such that $x = \sum_i (xr_i)^h \cdot l_i = \sum_i r_i (l_i x)^h$ for all x in R .

Lemma 4.1. $V_R(S) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R R_R, {}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R)$ by the mapping $a \mapsto (x \mapsto \sum_i r_i \otimes a l_i x)$ ($x \in R$).

Proof. $V_R(S) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_s R_R, {}_s R_R) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_s R \otimes {}_R R_R, {}_s R_R) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R R_R, {}_R \text{Hom}({}_s R, {}_s R_R)) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R R_R, {}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R)$ (cf. Lemma 3.2).

Proposition 4.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) ${}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R | {}_R R_R$ (cf. Hirata [3]).
- (ii) *There are elements a_j, b_j in $V_R(S)$ such that $\sum_j a_j x b_j = x^h$ for all x in R .*

Proof. To be easily seen, $V_R(S) \simeq \text{Hom}({}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R, {}_R R_R)$ by the mapping $a \mapsto (x \otimes y \rightarrow x a y)$ ($x, y \in R$). From this fact, as is easily seen, ${}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R | {}_R R_R$ if and only if there are a_j, b_j in $V_R(S)$ such that $(R \otimes {}_S R \ni) y \otimes 1 = \sum_{i,j} r_i \otimes a_j l_i y b_j$ for all y in R . As $R \otimes {}_S R \simeq \text{Hom}({}_s R, {}_s R)$ by the mapping $x \otimes y \rightarrow x h y$, $y \otimes 1 = \sum_{i,j} r_i \otimes a_j l_i y b_j$ for all y in R if and only if $y h = \sum_{i,j} r_i h a_j l_i y b_j$ for all y in R . Noting that $a_j \in V_R(S)$, we have $\sum_{i,j} (x r_i)^h \cdot a_j l_i y b_j = \sum_j a_j x y b_j$ ($x \in R$). Thus the proof is complete.

Remark. If R/S is G -Galois, and each $\sigma \in G$ is an inner automorphism of A , then ${}_R R \otimes {}_S R_R | {}_R R_R$.

Let A/B be rings, and ${}_A A \otimes {}_B A_A | {}_A A_A$. Such an extension was introduced by Hirata [3]. By [3; Th. 2.2], A/B is separable. Sugano [11] call such

an extension an *H-separable extension*.

The proof of the following is similar to [9; Cor. 1.1], and may be omitted.

Lemma 4.3. *If ${}_S R_S | {}_S S_S$ then we can take r_i, l_i in $V_R(S)$, and conversely.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be an R -left module such that ${}_R R | {}_R M$ and ${}_S M | {}_S S$, and let $R^* = \text{End}_r({}_R M)$, and $S^* = \text{End}_r({}_S M)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) ${}_S R_S | {}_S S_S$.
- (ii) ${}_S S^* \otimes_{R^*} S^* | {}_S S^* S^*$.

Proof. By Th. 3.3, S^*/R^* is a Frobenius extension with a Frobenius homomorphism $H = (f m_r \rightarrow f m_r)$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_r({}_R M, {}_R R)$, $m \in M$. Let a_i, b_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be in $V_R(S)$, and $a_i m = m a_i^*$, $b_i m = m b_i^*$ for all m in M . Then, for any y in S^* , $(y) \sum_i a_i^* f m_r b_i^* = \sum_i b_i (a_i \cdot y^r)^h \cdot m$. Therefore, $\sum_i a_i^* f m_r b_i^* = f m_r$ for all f, m if and only if $\sum_i b_i (a_i \cdot y^r)^h = y^r$ for all f, y . Now, since ${}_R R | {}_R M$, there holds $(M) \text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R R) = R$, and hence the latter is equivalent to that $\sum_i b_i (a_i x)^h = x$ for all x in R . Then, by Lemma 4.3, the proof is evident.

Combining Th. 4.4 with Prop. 2.3, we obtain the following

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\Delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus A^* u_\sigma$ be a crossed product of Λ^* with G , and H a subgroup of G . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) ${}_H \Delta \otimes_{\Delta_H} \Delta_H | {}_H \Delta_H$.
- (ii) *There are elements δ_i, δ'_i in $V_\Delta(\Delta_H)$ such that $\sum_i \delta_i u_i \delta'_i = \delta_H \cdot u_\tau$ for all τ in G .*

§ 5. On a finite Galois extension with a free basis.

We consider the following condition for a ring R .

(*) If $ab = 1$ for a, b in R , then $ba = 1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Λ be a ring, G a finite group of automorphisms of Λ , $G = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_g\}$, and $B = \Lambda^G$. Let $(\Lambda)_g$ be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over Λ , and assume that the ring $(\Lambda)_g$ satisfies the condition (*). Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For some subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of Λ , there holds $\sum_k \sigma_k(a_k) \sigma_j(b_k) = \delta_{i,j}$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ means Kronecker's delta.*
- (ii) *For some subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of Λ , there holds $\sum_k \sigma_k(b_i) \sigma_k(a_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.*
- (iii) *For some subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of Λ , $(t_\sigma(b_i a_j))$ is invertible in $(B)_g$, where $t_\sigma(b_i a_j)$ is the (i, j) -component.*
- (iv) *Λ/B is finite G -Galois, and $\Lambda_B = a_1 B + \dots + a_g B$ for some subset*

$\{a_1, \dots, a_g\}$ of A .

In these cases, $\{a_1, \dots, a_g\}$ is a free basis of A_B . For a subset $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of A , S satisfies (i) if and only if it satisfies (ii).

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) If we put $\sigma_i(a_j) = c_{ij}$ and $\sigma_i(b_j) = c_{ji}^*$, then (i) is equivalent to that $(c_{ij})(c_{ij}^*) = E$ (unit matrix). By assumption, the latter is equivalent to that $(c_{ij}^*)(c_{ij}) = E$, that is, $\sum_k \sigma_k(b_i) \sigma_k(a_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is trivial. (iii) \Rightarrow (ii), (iv) Let (b_{ij}) be the inverse matrix of $(t_\alpha(b_i a_j))$, where $b_{ij} \in B$. Then $\delta_{i,j} = \sum_k b_{ik} \cdot t_\alpha(b_k a_j) = t_\alpha((\sum_k b_{ik} b_k) a_j)$. If we set $b'_i = \sum_k b_{ik} b_k$, then $\delta_{i,j} = \sum_k \sigma_k(b'_i) \sigma_k(a_j)$. Then $\sum_k \sigma_k(a_k) \sigma_j(b'_k) = \delta_{i,j}$, because (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Thus A/B is G -Galois. By [9; Cor. 1.1], $\{a_1, \dots, a_g\}$ is a free basis of A . (iv) \Rightarrow (i) There is a subset $\{b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of A such that $\sum_i a_i \cdot t_\alpha(b_i x) = x$ for all x in A . Then $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ satisfies (i).

Corollary. Let A/B be finite G -Galois, $(G:1) = g$ and A a semi-primary ring. Further, assume that ${}_n A$ or A_B is generated by g elements. Then A has a normal basis.

Proof. Since A is semi-primary, so is $(A)_g$. Then $(A)_g$ satisfies the condition (*). Hence ${}_n A$ and A_B are free, by Th. 5.1. Consequently, by [6; Th. 1.7], A has a normal basis.

Remark (1) If R is a left Noetherian ring, then R satisfies (*). To see this, let $ab=1$ for a, b in R . Then, the mapping $x \rightarrow xb$ from R to R is an epimorphism. By assumption, this homomorphism must be $1-1$. As $(ba-1)b=0$, we have $ba-1=0$, that is, $ba=1$.

(2) If R/I satisfies (*) for an ideal I which is contained in the Jacobson radical of R , then so is R . To see this, let $ab=1$ for a, b in R . Then $(b+I)(a+I) = 1+I$, and so $ba-1 \in I$. Therefore $Rba+I=R$. Since I is contained in the Jacobson radical of R , we have $Rba=R$. Hence a has a left inverse. Thus $ba=1$.

References

- [1] G. AZUMAYA: Completely faithful modules and self-injective rings, Nagoya Math. J., 27 (1966), 697-708.
- [2] K. HIRATA and K. SUGANO: On Semisimple extensions and separable extensions over non commutative rings, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 360-373.
- [3] K. HIRATA: Some types of separable extension of a ring, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.
- [4] K. KASCH: Projective Frobenius-Erweiterungen. Sitzungsber. Heidelberger Acad., 1960/1961, 89-109.
- [5] H. F. KREIMER: Galois theory for noncommutative rings and normal bases, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 127 (1967), 42-49.

- [6] Y. MIYASHITA: Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 19 (1966), 114-134.
- [7] ————: Locally finite outer Galois theory, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 20 (1967), 1-26.
- [8] K. MORITA: Duality for modules and its application to the theory of rings with minimum condition, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, 6 (1958), 83-142.
- [9] T. ONODERA: Some studies on projective Frobenius extensions, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I, 18 (1964), 89-107.
- [10] H. TOMINAGA: Some results on normal basis, to appear in Math. J. Okayama Univ.
- [11] K. SUGANO: Note on semi-simple extensions and separable extensions, Osaka J. Math., 4 (1967), 265-270.

Department of Mathematics,
Hokkaido University

(Received June 6, 1968)